



AD A 053398

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
A TITLE (and Subtida)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVER
IAPODATORY AND NUMERICAL MODELS OF DOTATING	Rfs.1 B
LABORATORY AND NUMERICAL MODELS OF ROTATING	Final Report
STRATIFIED FLOWS	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(a)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
	N00014-76-C-0272
Don L. Boyer	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TAS
University of Wyoming	
Laramie, Wyoming 82071	
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
Contracts and Grants Office	April 21, 1978
P.O. Box 3314	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Laramie, Wyoming 82071	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
Office of Naval Research	
1914 Hampden Avenue	Unclassified
Denver, Colorado 80202	154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADIN SCHEDULE
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit	ed.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit	DDC
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, 11 different fro	m Report)
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, 11 different fro None	m Report) MAY 2 1976
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, 11 different fro None	m Report) MAY 2 1976
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, 11 different fro None 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	m Report) MAY 2 1978
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different fro None 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None	m Report) MAY 2 197 F
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, if different fro None 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None	m Report) MAY 2 1974 F
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, 11 different fro None 10. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number,	m Report) MAY 2 1974 F
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 different fro None 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number,	m Report) MAY 2 197 F
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different fro None 10. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number, Rotating, stratified flow.	m Report) MAY 2 197
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 different fro None 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number, Rotating, stratified flow.	red. m Report) MAY 2 197 HECHIV F
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different fro None 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number, Rotating, stratified flow. 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number)	red. m Report) MAY 2 1974 F
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, 11 different from None 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number, Rotating, stratified flow. 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number) This report considers the flow of a stably st	ratified rotating flow ove
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different fre None 10. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number, Rotating, stratified flow. 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number, This report considers the flow of a stably st shallow topographies located on a horizontal plane	ratified rotating flow over surface; the system is bour
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, if different for None None None None None None None Automatic continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number; Rotating, stratified flow. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number; This report considers the flow of a stably st shallow topographies located on a horizontal plane above by a horizontal plane surface. The theoreti	ratified rotating flow over surface; the system is boun cal formulation is restrict
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, if different for None 10. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number, Rotating, stratified flow. 10. ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number, Rotating, stratified flow. 10. ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number, Rotating stratified flow. 10. ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number, Rotating stratified flow. 10. ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number, Rotating stratified flow. 11. Stratified flow flow flow flow flow flow flow flow	ratified rotating flow over surface; the system is boun cal formulation is restri
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different for None 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number, Rotating, stratified flow. 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number, This report considers the flow of a stably st shallow topographies located on a horizontal plane above by a horizontal plane surface. The theoreti by the following parameter restrictions E<<1, RovE where E is the Ekman number, Ro the Rossby number,	ratified rotating flow over surface; the system is bouncal formulation is restri by B200(1), H/Lv0(1) and h/ B2 a stratification parama onography width and h its h

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE S/N 0102-014-6601

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Bate

...



SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PREPARATION OF REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

RESPONSIBILITY. The controlling DoD office will be responsible for completion of the Report Documentation Page, DD Form 1473, in all technical reports prepared by or for DoD organizations.

<u>CLASSIFICATION</u>. Since this Report Documentation Page, DD Form 1473, is used in preparing announcements, bibliographies, and data banks, it should be unclassified if possible. If a classification is required, identify the classified items on the page by the appropriate symbol.

COMPLETION GUIDE

General. Make Blocks 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, 13, 15, and 16 agree with the corresponding information on the report cover. Leave Blocks 2 and 3 blank.

Block 1. Report Number. Enter the unique alphanumeric report number shown on the cover.

Block 2. Government Accession No. Leave Blank. This space is for use by the Defense Documentation Center.

Block 3. Recipient's Catalog Number. Leave blank. This space is for the use of the report recipient to assist in future retrieval of the document.

Block 4, Title and Subtitle. Enter the title in all capital letters exactly as it appears on the publication. Titles should be unclassified whenever possible. Write out the English equivalent for Greek letters and mathematical symbols in the title (see "Abstracting Scientific and Technical Reports of Defense-sponsored RDT/E,"AD-667 000). If the report has a subtitle, this subtitle should follow the main title, be separated by a comma or semicolon if appropriate, and be initially capitalized. If a publication has a title in a foreign language, translate the title into English and follow the English translation with the title in the original language. Make every effort to simplify the title before publication.

Block 5. Type of Report and Period Covered. Indicate here whether report is interim, final, etc., and, if applicable, inclusive dates of period covered, such as the life of a contract covered in a final contractor report.

Block 6. Performing Organization Report Number. Only numbers other than the official report number shown in Block 1, such as series numbers for in-house reports or a contractor/grantee number assigned by him, will be placed in this space. If no such numbers are used, leave this space blank.

Block 7. Author(s). Include corresponding information from the report cover. Give the name(s) of the author(s) in conventional order (for example, John R. Doe or, if author prefers, J. Robert Doe). In addition, list the affiliation of an author if it differs from that of the performing organization.

Block 8. Contract or Grant Number(s). For a contractor or grantee report, enter the complete contract or grant number(s) under which the work reported was accomplished. Leave blank in in-house reports.

Block 9. Performing Organization Name and Address. For in-house reports enter the name and address, including office symbol, of the performing activity. For contractor or grantee reports enter the name and address of the contractor or grantee who prepared the report and identify the appropriate corporate division, school, laboratory, etc., of the author. List city, state, and ZIP Code.

<u>Block 10.</u> Program Element, Project, Task Area, and Work Unit Numbers. Enter here the number code from the applicable Department of Defense form, such as the DD Form 1498, "Research and Technology Work Unit Summary" or the DD Form 1634. "Research and Development Planning Summary," which identifies the program element, project, task area, and work unit or equivalent under which the work was authorized.

<u>Block 11.</u> Controlling Office Name and Address. Enter the full, official name and address, including office symbol, of the controlling office. (Equates to funding/sponsoring agency. For definition see DoD Directive 5200.20, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents.")

Block 12. Report Date. Enter here the day, month, and year or month and year as shown on the cover.

Block 13. Number of Pages. Enter the total number of pages.

Block 14. Monitoring Agency Name and Address (if different from Controlling Office). For use when the controlling or funding office does not directly administer a project, contract, or grant, but delegates the administrative responsibility to another organization.

Blocks 15 & 15a. Security Classification of the Report: Declassification/Downgrading Schedule of the Report. Enter in 15 Biocks 15 & 15 ... Security classification of the report. If appropriate, enter in 15a the declassification/downgrading schedule of the report, using the abbreviations for declassification/downgrading schedules listed in paragraph 4-207 of DoD 5200.1-R.

Block 16. Distribution Statement of the Report. Insert here the applicable distribution statement of the report from DoD Directive 5200.20, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents."

Block 17. Distribution Statement (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from the distribution statement of the report). Insert here the applicable distribution statement of the abstract from DoD Directive 5200.20, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents."

Block 18. Supplementary Notes. Enter information not included elsewhere but useful, such as: Prepared in cooperation with ... Translation of (or by) ... Presented at conference of ... To be published in ...

Block 19. Key Words. Select terms or short phrases that identify the principal subjects covered in the report, and are sufficiently specific and precise to be used as index entries for cataloging, conforming to standard terminology. The DoD "Thesaurus of Engineering and Scientific Terms" (TEST), AD-672 000, can be helpful.

Block 20. Abstract. The abstract should be a brief (not to exceed 200 words) factual summary of the most significant informa-tion contained in the report. If possible, the abstract of a classified report should be unclassified and the abstract to an unclassified report should consist of publicly- releasable information. If the report contains a significant bibliography or literature survey, mention it here. For information on preparing abstracts see "Abstracting Scientific and Technical Reports of Defense-Sponsored RDT&E," AD-667 000.

\$ U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1973-713-648/3361 2-1

Table of Contents

...

Page Chapter 1 1 . 2 . . 5 3 4

1. Introduction

The effect of bottom topography on the flow of rotating and/or stratified fluids has recieved a great deal of attention in the recent past. The experiments by Sir G.I. Taylor (1921) in which the so-called Taylor column was first observed in the laboratory were the genesis of these efforts. McCartney (1975) presents a table delineating the most important theoretical studies on Taylor-columns including the parameter restrictions for the various analyses.

Theoretical studies for the homogeneous incompressible case include those of Jacobs (1964), Ingersoll (1969) and Vaziri and Boyer (1971). The first two of these investigations were restricted to inviscid flows: i.e. $E^{\frac{1}{2}}$ <<Ro where E and Ro are the Ekman and Rossby numbers respectively. Unfortunately laboratory experiments on this class of flows are generally restricted to parameter ranges in which viscous effects are important; i.e., $E^{\frac{1}{2}}$ \Ro. The Vaziri and Boyer study developed a viscous model in which $E^{\frac{1}{2}}$ \Ro and in addition presented a series of laboratory experiments which were in good agreement with the theory advanced. The experiments utilized a rotating water tunnel (analogy with a wind tunnel in a nonrotating frame) which was found to provide improved observational capabilities to the towing experiments first conducted by Taylor and later considered by Hide and Ibbetson (1966).

More recently Davies (1972) has extended the towing experiments to include the effects of stratification. Whereas, the homogeneous incompressible experiments were generally restricted by the Taylor-Proudmen theorem which' implies a horizontal velocity field independent of the distance along the axis of rotation, these stratified experiments allowed changes in the velocity field with depth. The Davies' experiments again were in the general range $R_0 v E^{\frac{1}{2}}$ so that viscous effects were presumably important; specifically Davies utilized the following set of parameters: Ro = 8.8(10)⁻³ and E = 4.0(10)⁻⁴.

Hogg (1973) in a theoretical study followed up the Davies' experiments with an investigation of the effect of stratification on Taylor columns. This study neglected viscosity and investigated three ranges of stratification: S<<Ro; SRo; and SO(1) where S is a stratification parameter.

McCartney (1975), neglecting viscous effects, presented an analysis of a two-layered rotating flow on a beta-plane; he also conducted a series of towing experiments for the single-layer case. In another beta-plane study Janowitz(1975)discussed an inviscid solution for flow of a continuously stratified fluid over shallow topographies.

In the present study the flow of a continuously stratified rotating fluid over shallow topographies is considered. The initial objective of the study is to develop a theoretical model for a rotating stratified flow which can be examined in the laboratory utilizing the rotating water tunnel concept advanced earlier by one of us; e.g. see Boyer-Ridge (1971), Boyer-Step (1971), or Vaziri and Boyer (1971). That is an experiment is to be developed in which a stably stratified fluid is to be in uniform motion relative to a rotating observer. Topographic features are then to be placed in the moving stream with the objective of determining the resulting flow field and comparing same with the theoretical studies.

. In the homogeneous incompressible case the laboratory experiment consisted of the flow through a channel of rectangular cross-section.

The flow outside of the boundary layers on the channel walls could be shown to be uniform and geostrophic. Because there were no free surfaces, it could be shown that the centrifugal terms in the equations of motion were unimportant and thus, that the location of the channel with respect to the rotation axis was not important.

When stratification is introduced, however, the position of the rotation axis with regard to the tunnel test-section will be an important consideration. In the analysis given in Sections 2 and 3 we assume an idealized physical system in which the flow is forced to move azimuthally about the axis of rotation in an annulus whose upper and lower bounding surfaces are parabolic (Figure 1). These parabolas are the equilibrium surfaces of a given stably-stratified fluid system at rest with respect to the rotating system. A specified topographic feature is located on the lower surface and it is desired to determine the resulting flow field for a specified upstream shear flow.

In section 2 the governing equations for the resulting flow are derived for a range of parameters considered attainable in the laboratory. In section 3 specific solutions for the flow over a long ridge of constant cross-section and an axisymmetric "bump" are given.

In Section 4 progress on the laboratory experiments is given.



2. Governing Equations

Consider the physical system sketched in Figure 1 a stably stratified fluid is confined in an annulus defined by two parabolic surfaces separated by a distance H and vertical walls at $r = R - \frac{L_0}{2}$ and $r = R + \frac{L_0}{2}$. The annulus is rotating at a constant angular velocity, ω , about a vertical axis and the fluid, away from solid boundaries, is assumed to be in uniform motion with speed dependent on the distance from the lower surface in the azimuthal direction. As discussed earlier the Ekman layers on the parabolic bounding surfaces combined with the constraints of the vertical walls would tend to alter the stratification. It is assumed here, however, that the Ekman layers can be controlled i.e., by removing fluid from the corner regions so that a stable stratification can be maintained.

Define an intrinsic coordinate system in which x is in the azimuthal direction, y is along the surface with posistive being toward the axis of rotation and z is normal to the surface. A topographic feature h(x, y) of characteristic horizontal length, L, and vertical scale, h, is located in the vicinity of the origin. It is desired to determine the motion in the vicinity of the topography.

Define the density field as

 $\rho = \rho_0 + \rho_s(z) + \hat{\rho}(x, y, z)$

where ρ_0 is the specified density at z = 0 assuming no motion, $\rho_s(z)$ is the specified vertical distribution assuming no motion and $\hat{\rho}$ is the density field compatible with the motion field. Define $\Delta \rho_s = \rho_s(H) - \rho_s(0)$ as the characteristic magnitude of ρ_s .

Also define the pressure field as

$$p = p_{0}(z) + p(x, y, z)$$

where

$$\nabla p_0 \equiv -(\rho_0 + \rho_s(z)) G k$$

Here $G = (g^2 + \omega^4 R^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and p_0 is the pressure associated with no motion.

Taking H to be of the order of L and restricting to $\frac{L}{R} < 1$ and $\frac{\omega^2 L}{g} < 1$, the steady-state equations of momentum, diffusion and conservation of mass can be written as

$$(\rho_{o} + \rho_{s} + \hat{\rho}) \frac{Dv}{Dt} = -\nabla \hat{p} - 2(\rho_{o} + \rho_{s} + \hat{\rho}) (\bar{\omega} \times \bar{v}) - \hat{\rho} (G + O(\omega^{2}L)) \hat{k}$$

$$+ \hat{\rho} O(\omega^{2}L) \hat{j} + \mu \nabla^{2} \bar{v}$$

$$(\bar{v} \cdot \nabla) \hat{\rho} + \frac{d\rho_{s}}{dz} w = \kappa \nabla^{2} \hat{\rho} + \kappa \frac{d^{2} \rho_{s}}{dz^{2}}$$

$$(2.1)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \rho \bar{v} = (\bar{v} \cdot \nabla) \hat{\rho} + w \frac{d\rho_{s}}{dz} + (\rho_{o} + \rho_{s} + \hat{\rho}) \nabla \cdot \bar{v} = 0$$

where $\overline{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ is the velocity; $\nabla = \frac{\partial}{\partial_{\mathbf{x}}} \hat{\mathbf{i}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial_{\mathbf{y}}} \hat{\mathbf{j}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial_{z}} \hat{\mathbf{k}} + 0(\frac{\mathbf{L}}{\mathbf{R}} \text{ and } \frac{\omega^{2}\mathbf{L}}{\rho});$ $\frac{\mathbf{D}}{\mathbf{Dt}} = \overline{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla; \ \mu \text{ the viscosity and } \kappa \text{ the mass diffusivity.}$

Define the following dimensionless quantities:

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}} \star = \frac{\bar{\mathbf{x}}}{L}; \bar{\mathbf{v}} \star = -\frac{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}{U}; \quad \hat{\rho} \star = \frac{\hat{\rho}}{2\omega U \rho_0 G^{-1}}; \quad \hat{p} \star = \frac{\hat{p}}{2\omega U \rho_0 L}; \quad \rho_s \star = \frac{\rho_s}{\Delta \rho_s}$$
(2.2)

where we anticipate the density and pressure field to be determined by a balance of gravitational and coriolis effects.

Introducing (2.2) into (2.1) one obtains:

$$\operatorname{Ro}(1 + \frac{\Delta \rho_{s}}{\rho_{o}} \rho_{s}) \frac{D\overline{v}}{Dt} + \operatorname{Fr}^{2} \frac{D\overline{v}}{Dt} = -\nabla \hat{p} - (1 + \frac{\Delta \rho_{s}}{\rho_{o}} \rho_{s} + \frac{2\omega U}{G})(\widehat{\omega} x \overline{v})$$
$$- \hat{\rho}'(1 + O(\frac{\omega^{2} L}{G}))\hat{k} + \hat{\rho}O(\frac{\omega^{2} L}{G})\hat{j} + E\nabla^{2}\overline{v}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{\bar{v}},\nabla)\hat{\rho} + \mathbf{B}^{2}\frac{d\rho_{s}}{dz}\mathbf{w} = \frac{\kappa}{UL}\mathbf{B}^{2}\frac{d^{2}\rho_{s}}{dz^{2}} + \mathbf{E}\sigma^{-1}\nabla^{2}\hat{\rho}$$

$$\frac{2\omega U}{(\mathbf{\bar{v}},\nabla)\hat{\rho}} + \frac{\Delta\rho_{s}}{dz}\frac{d\rho_{s}}{dz^{2}} + (1 + \frac{\Delta\rho_{s}}{dz}\rho_{s} + \frac{2\omega U}{\rho}\rho_{s})\nabla_{v}\mathbf{\bar{v}} = 0$$
(2.3)

7

$$G$$
 ρ_0 dz ρ_0 rs G $p/400$
where Ro = U/2 ω L is the Rossby number; $Fr^2 = U^2/GL$ the square of the
Froude number; $E = v/2\omega L^2$ the Ekman number; $B^2 = \frac{\Delta \rho_s G}{\rho_s L}/4\omega^2$ the stratifi-

cation parameter; and $\sigma = \nu/\kappa$ the Prandtl number.

Relations (2.3) are five equations in the unknowns($u,v,\omega,\hat{p},\hat{\rho}$) and will be solved in approximate form subject to the no slip condition on the upper and lower bounding surfaces. It will be assumed that the lateral bounding surfaces will not effect the motion in the vicinity of the topography. Upstream of the topographic feature it is assumed that the motion is given by U(z). Downstream we require the velocity to be

azimuthal.

The reduction of (2.3) to a tractable form is guided by the objective of deriving solutions in a region of parameter space that can be examined in the laboratory. In this regard we consider typical parameters used in the similar homogeneous experiments conducted by Vaziri and Boyer (1971) and further use stratification values that could be attainable from a saline stratified water experiment; e.g.

U = 0.1 cm/sec ω = 0.1 rad/sec ν = 0.01 cm²/sec κ = 1.6(10)⁻⁵ cm²/sec ρ₀ = 1.01 gm/cm³ Δρ_e = 0.01 'gm/cm³ G % g = 980 cm/sec² L = 2.5 cm H = 2.5 cm h = 0.25 cm R = 100 cm from whence

$$E = 8.0(10)^{-3} \qquad c^{-1} = 1.6(10)^{-3}$$
Ro = 0.2
$$\frac{H}{L} = 1.0$$
B² = 97.0
Fr² = 4.1(10)^{-6}
$$\frac{h}{L} = 0.1$$

$$\frac{L}{R} = 2.5(10)^{-2}$$

We now assume the following relationships for the dimensionless parameters:

$$E <<1 \qquad \sigma^{-1} \sim E^{\Upsilon} (\gamma > 0)$$

$$R_{0} = kE^{\frac{1}{2}}(k \sim unity) \qquad \frac{H}{L} \sim unity$$

$$B^{2} \sim unity$$

$$Fr^{2} \sim E^{2+\alpha} (\alpha > 0) \qquad \frac{h(x,y)}{L} = h_{0}(x,y) E^{\frac{1}{2}} (h_{0} \sim unity)$$

$$\frac{L}{R} \sim E^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}$$

$$(2.4)$$

It is assumed that \bar{v} , $\hat{\rho}$ and \hat{p} can be expanded in power series in $E^{\frac{1}{2}}$: i.e.

$$\vec{v} = \vec{v}_{0} E^{0} + v_{1} E^{\frac{1}{2}} + \dots$$

$$\hat{\rho} = s_{0} E^{0} + s_{1} E^{\frac{1}{2}} + \dots$$

$$\hat{p} = P_{0} E^{0} + P_{1} E^{\frac{1}{2}} + \dots$$

$$(2.5)$$

The zeroth order is dictated by the method of non-dimensionalization while the first order is suggested by the character of the Ekman layers on the parabolic surfaces. Substituting (2.5) into (2.3) and utilizing restrictions (2.4) one obtains: First Order

$$0 = -P_{ox} + V_{o}$$

$$0 = -P_{oy} = U_{o}$$

$$0 = -P_{oz} - S_{o}$$

$$W = 0$$

$$U_{ox} + V_{oy} + W_{oz} = 0$$

Second Order

$$k(U_{o}U_{o} + V_{o}U_{o}y) = -P_{1x} + V_{1} k(U_{o}V_{ox} + V_{o}V_{o}y) = -P_{1y} - U_{1} 0 = -P_{1z} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{R}{L} \frac{Fr^{2}}{Ro} U_{o} - S_{1} k(U_{o}S_{ox} + V_{o}S_{oy}) + B^{2} \frac{d\rho_{s}}{dz} W_{1} = 0 U_{1x} + V_{1y} + W_{1z} = 0$$

$$(2.7)$$

Cross-differentiating the first two of (2.7) and utilizing the last of (2.7) gives an expression for W_{iz} in terms of zeroth order terms. This can then be equated to W_{1z} as determined from the fourth of (2.7). Utilizing the third of (2.6) then one determines the following relation for P_

$$J(P_{o}, \nabla_{2}^{2} P_{o}) = -\frac{1}{B^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{P_{o}P_{oxz} - P_{ox}P_{oyz}}{\frac{d\rho_{s}}{dz}} \right)$$
(2.8)

If we assume a linear profile for ρ_s (i.e., $\rho_s = -\frac{L}{H}z$) and introduce a new vertical coordinate ζ define by $\zeta = B(\frac{L}{H})^{\frac{1}{2}}z$, (2.8) reduces to

$$J(P_{0}, \nabla_{3}^{2} P_{0}) = 0$$
 (2.9)

where

$$\nabla_3^3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2}$$

Under the above scaling, the boundary layers on the parabolic surfaces are, to lowest order, Ekman layers. The boundary conditions on these surfaces are the same as those given in Vaziri and Boyer (1971).

9

(2.6)

Along the lower surface the Ekman layer solutions specify the vertical velocity at the outer edge of the layer (i.e. z = 0 of the interior) as

$$W_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \nabla^2 P_0 + J(P_0, h_0)$$
 (2.10)

while along the upper surface $(z = \frac{H}{L})$

$$W_1 = -\frac{1}{2} v_2^2 P_0$$
 (2.11)

where $\nabla_2^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$. These vertical components must match the interior values specified by the fourth of (2.7) from whence one obtains

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \nabla^2 P_0 + J(P_0, h_0) + \frac{H}{L} \frac{k}{B^2} J(P_0, P_{0z}) = 0 \text{ at } z = 0$$
(2.12)

and

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \nabla^2 P_0 - \frac{H}{L} \frac{k}{B^2} J(P_0, P_{0z}) = 0 \quad \text{at } z = \frac{H}{L}$$
(2.13)

respectively. The boundary conditions far upstream and downstream are

$$P_{oy} = -1, x \rightarrow -\infty; \text{ and } P_{ox} = 0, x \rightarrow +\infty$$
 (2.14)

respectively. At large lateral distances from the topographic feature

$$P_{oy} = -1, y \to \pm \infty$$
 (2.15)

The task is thus, to solve (2.9) subject to (2.12) through (2.15). From (2.9) we note that

$$\nabla_3^2 P_0 = f(P_0)$$
 (2.16)

Then from (2.14) one notes that $\nabla_{3P_0}^2 P$ far upstream is zero for all P_0 and hence $f(P_0)$ is identically zero. The analytical problem thus reduces to a solution of Laplace's equation subject to the non-linear set of boundary conditions (2.12) to (2.15). Let us now consider some specific examples.

3. Examples

A. Long Ridge

We first consider a ridge with infinite extent in the y-direction and having a uniform triangular cross-section; specifically take

$$h_{0}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \leq -\frac{1}{2} \\ E^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\frac{h}{L} + \frac{2h}{L}x) \\ E^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\frac{h}{L} - \frac{2h}{L}x) \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \leq -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 0 \\ 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ x \geq \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} (3.1)$$

Assuming the velocity components to be independent of y, the formulation of Section 2, now expressed in terms of the velocity components, leads to the following boundary value problem:

Governing Equations

$$\left. \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{oxx}} + \frac{1}{B^2} \left(\frac{\mathbf{H}}{\mathbf{L}}\right) \quad \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{ozz}} = 0 \\ \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{1xx}} + \frac{1}{B^2} \left(\frac{\mathbf{H}}{\mathbf{L}}\right) \quad \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{1zz}} = 0 \end{array} \right\}$$
(3.2)

Boundary Conditions

$$W_{1}(x,o) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} V_{ox} & x \leq -\frac{1}{2} \\ \alpha + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} V_{ox} & -\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 0 \\ -\alpha + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} V_{ox} & 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} V_{ox} & x \geq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

$$W_{1}(x, \frac{H}{L}) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} V_{ox}$$

$$W_{1}(x + -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} V_{ox}$$

$$W_{1}(x + -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} V_{ox}$$

$$W_{1}(x + -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1$$

where $\alpha = \frac{2h}{L} E^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. The numerical solution of (3.2) subject to (3.3) is straightforward and leads to V_o and W₁ velocity profiles as exemplified by Figures 2 and 3 respectively.

For flow of a homogeneous fluid over a similar ridge, Boyer-Ridge (1971) found V_{o} to be negative for the entire flow field. It is noted here that V_{o} is positive in the vicinity of the ridge on its upstream side. Similar results were found by Janowitz (1975) in his inviscid study. One should also note the decreased horizontal deflection of the flow field at large vertical distances from the toporgaphic feature. This is in constrast to the homogeneous case for which these deflections are indipendent of depth.

B. Axisymmetric Bump

Next consider an axisymmetric bump given by the relation

$$h_{o} = \frac{h_{d}}{2RE^{2}} \left(1 - 4r^{2}\right)^{2}$$

where h_d is the bump height, R its radius, and r the radial coordinate in the x-y plane. Laplaces equation in P_o was thus solved numerically subject to the boundary conditions (2.12) to (2.15). Figures 4A, 4B, and 4C give the horizontal streamline pattern for the flow at the "bottom", "middle" and "upper" surfaces of the flow field. We note the rapid decrease of the effect of the topographic feature as the vertical distance from the feature increases. We also note that the flow field at the lower levels is reminiscent of the flow in the homogeneous case considered by Boyer and Vaziri.

Figures 5A, 5B, and 5C give the vertical velocity field at the "bottom", "middle" and "upper" surfaces respectively. The "low" pressure regions on the diagram depict areas in which the vertical velocity component is negative (i.e. downward) while the high pressure regions depict





a positive vertical velocity component. The profile along the lower surfaces is substantially different then the two-cell pattern found by Vaziri and Boyer for the homogeneous case. Vaziri and Boyer found a negative cell that generally covered the topography; i.e., a suction of fluid toward the topographic feature. Upward flow was found in a cell downstream and to the right of the topography. The principal new aspect for the stratified case is the strong positive cell, upstream and to the right of the topography. Figures 5B and 5C depict the rapid decrease in strength of the vertical velocity component with elevation.



Figure 4A Horizontal streamline pattern; E = 0.0004, $R_0 = 0.04$, $B^2 - 2.0$, H/L = 0.5, h/L = 0.1, and z = 0.0.



Figure 4B Horizontal streamline pattern; same parameters as 4A except z = 0.25.



.

Figure 4C Horizontal streamline pattern; same parameters as 4A except z = 0.50.



Figure 5A Vertical velocity field; same parameters as 4A.



Figure 5B Vertical velocity field; same parameters as 4A except z = 0.25.



Figure 5C vertical velocity field; same parameters as 4A except z = 0.50.,

4. Laboratory Experiments

A series of laboratory experiments was initiated to establish a stratified flow in a rotating system which could be utilized to test the theory advanced above. To date a system has been developed which is capable of providing a uniform flow of a two-layer fluid system in a rotating frame.

Figure 6 is a schematic diagram of the system. The basic system consists of simultaneously moving a lighter fluid (Tank A) and a heavier fluid (Tank B-salt stratified) from a non-rotating frame through a test section in the rotating frame and hence to a disposal tank in the non-rotating frame. Fluid A, for example starts in Supply Tank A and thence is piped by a pump through a block valve, a throttling valve and a flow meter, into a reservoir mounted on the rotating table. The fluid then flows under gravity through a flow meter, a block valve and a throttling valve into the test section of the rotating tunnel. The fluid is then removed from the tunnel to a disposal tank located an the rotating table.

The above system is now undergoing a final series of tests to establish a uniform flow in the test section. The system has worked well in that the fluids move through the tunnel with a distinct interface. Refinement in the horizontal excursions of the flow from that of a uniform rectilinear free-stream are required before tests with particular obstecles can be conducted.



Figure 6. Stratified Rotating Water Tunnel

References

Boyer, D.L (1971) Rotating Flow Over a Step; J. Fluid Mech., 50. 675.

- Boyer, D.L (1971) Rotating Flow Over Long Shallow Ridges; Geophysical Fluid Dynamics, 2, 165.
- Davies, P. (1972) Experiments on Taylor Columns in Rotating Stratified Fluids; J. Fluid Mech., 54, 691.
- Hide, R. and Ibbetson, A. (1966) An Experimental Study of Taylor Columns; Ecarus, <u>5</u>, 279.

Hogg, N. (1973) On the Stratified Taylor Column; J. Fluid Mech., 58, 517.

Ingersoll, A.P. (1969) Inertial Taylor Columns and Jupiter's Great Red Spot; J. Atmosph. Sciences, <u>26</u>, 744.

Jacobs, S.J. (1964) The Taylor Column Problem; J. Fluid Mech., 20, 581.

- Janowitz, G. (1975) The Effect of Bottom Topography on a Stratified Flow in the Beta Plane. J. Geophys. Res., 80, 4163.
- McCartney, M. (1975) Inertial Taylor Columns on a Beta Plane; J. Fluid Mech., <u>68</u>, 71.
- Taylor, G.I. (1921) Experiments on the Motion of Solid Bodies in Rotating Fluids; <u>Proc. Roy. Sco</u>. (London), A104, 213.

Vaziri, A. and Boyer, D.L. (1971) Rotating Flow Over Shallow Topographies; J. Fluid Mech., 50, 79.