



AD A 052617

Technical Report No. 17

STRESS-STRAIN BEHAVIOR OF SOME

ACRYLIC GRADIENT POLYMERS

By

C. F. Jasso, S. D. Hong* and M. Shen Department of Chemical Engineering University of California Berkeley, California 94720

February 10, 1978



Technical Report to be published in Advances in Chemistry Series

Approved for public release: Distribution Unlimited

Prepared for Office of Naval Research 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virgina 22217

AD NO.

STRESS-STRAIN BEHAVIOR OF SOME

ACRYLIC GRADIENT POLYMERS

by

C. F. Jasso, S. D. Hong and M. Shen Department of Chemical Engineering University of California Berkeley, California 94720

ABSTRACT

Multicomponent polymers whose structure or composition varies as a function of position in the sample are called gradient polymers. One way to prepare gradient polymers is to permit a guest monomer to diffuse into a host polymer network. The resulting profile of the diffusion gradient is fixed by polymerizing the monomer in situ. In this work, we used 2-chloroethyl acrylate as the monomer and poly(methyl methacrylate) as the polymer matrix. Both gradient polymers and interpenetrating networks were prepared. It was found that the stress-strain behavior of gradient polymers is quite different from that of the interpenetrating networks of comparable composition. The former shows a yield point, and considerably enhanced fracture strain. The latter is essentially rubber in character. Possible mechanisms for the unique properties of ACCESSION for gradient polymers are discussed. NTIS

White Section

Buff Section

DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES

DDC

RY

Diet

UNANNOUNCED JUSTIFICATION

INTRODUCTION

Gradient polymers are multicomponent polymers whose structure or composition is not homogeneous throughout the material, but varies as a function of position $(\underline{1})$. In other words, these polymers have gradients in their structures or compositions. In a previous paper $(\underline{2})$, we have shown that it is possible to produce such materials by diffusing a guest monomer into a host polymer for a period of time sufficient for the establishment of a diffusion gradient profile. This profile is then "fixed" by polymerization of the monomer <u>in situ</u>. The mechanical behavior of such gradient polymers was found to be quite different from the interpenetrating networks (IPN's) of comparable composition. Of course the latter material allows the monomer to reach a swelling equilibrium in the host polymer, and therefore has no gradient structure.

In our preliminary report $(\underline{2})$, we have chosen poly(methyl methacrylate) or PMMA as a host polymer and methyl acrylate as the guest monomer. They were both crosslinked by a divinyl acrylic monomer. However, because of the similarity in the constitutions of these two components, it was not possible to establish the gradient profile through chemical analysis. In this work, we have selected a halogenated acrylic monomer as the second component to be diffused into PMMA. By analyzing the halogen content, it was possible to determine the profiles of the gradient polymers. Stress-strain measurements of the samples were then carried out on these unique materials.

EXPERIMENTAL

Monomers of methyl methacrylate and 2-chloroethyl acrylate (2-ClEA) were purchased from the Polysciences, Inc. They were distilled and subsequently mixed with 1.3% by weight of the crosslinking agent, (ethylene glycol dimethacrylate obtained from the J.T.

Baker Co.), and 1.9% by weight of the photosensitizer (benzoin isobutyl ether supplied by the Stauffer Chemical Co.). PMMA was first prepared by photopolymerization in front of ultraviolet light for 48 hours. Samples were stored in a vacuum oven at 60°C until a constant weight was achieved to remove remaining monomer. The crosslinked PMMA samples were then immersed in the bath of 2-CIEA monomer for various periods of time by monitoring the weight uptake. When the desired amount of monomer has been imbibed into the host polymer, the latter was removed, surface dried and then immediately polymerized by uv radiation. IPN's were prepared in a similar manner, except that after immersion in the monomer bath the sample was stored in a sealed polymerization cell at 60°C for several days prior to polymerization.

The profiles of these gradient polymers were determined by machining off the samples layer by layer. Shavings from each layer were then analyzed for chlorine content by combustion methods $(\underline{3})$. The results of these analyses are shown in Figure 1, recalculated in terms of mole percent of 2-CLEA in PMMA.

An Instron Universal Testing Machine Model TM-SM was used to carry out the stress-strain measurements. An environmental chamber, equipped with a Missimers PITC temperature controller, was employed in providing constant temperatures to ± 0.5 °C. Samples were of the approximate dimensions of 5.0 x 0.5 x 0.1 cm³. To prevent slippage from the Instron clamps, special aluminum tabs were glued to the ends of the samples.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Stress-strain curves for a series of gradient polymers of 2chloroethyl acrylate in poly(methyl methacrylate), which are designated

as PMMA/Grad PClEA, are shown in Figure 2. Quantities in the parentheses indicate the mole percent of the acrylate. Also included are the stress-strain curves of pure PMMA and an interpenetrating network (PMMA/IPN PCLEA). All of these measurements were carried out at 80°C, and at strain rates of 2-3%/sec. First we note that the stress-strain curve of PMMA has a high initial slope (high elastic modulus) and fractures at about 6% strain. Upon introduction of a 5% PCLEA gradient, there is a slight decrease of the initial slope, but a dramatic increase in fracture strain (~65%). In addition, a pronounced yield region is observed around 5% strain. Further increases in PClEA contents to 12.5 and 29.7% show a continuing decrease in the elastic moduli, but even higher fracture strains were attained (85% and 130% respectively). Both of the latter gradient polymers, however, still exhibit the yielding behavior and are plastic-like in mechanical properties. In contrast the IPN at 29.8% PCIEA content is rubbery and fractures at slightly greater than 100% strain. Apparently the presence of the gradient structure enables the samples to retain the plastic-like properties without sacrificing the enhanced ability to withstand deformation.

In our previous paper $(\underline{2})$, we proposed a possible mechanism to interpret the stress-strain behavior of gradient polymers. We perceived the gradient polymer as consisting of infinite number of layers of varying compositions. Upon deformation, the macroscopic strain is the same for the entire sample. Because of the fact that the moduli of the various layers are differnt due to their differences in composition, those layers with higher moduli must sustain greater stresses (for the same strain). According to Eyring's stress-biased activated rate theory of yielding ($\underline{4,5}$), the barrier height for a molecular segment to jump in the forward direction is reduced by the applied stress. As a con-

sequence, the higher modulus layers in the gradient polymer should show greater tendency to yield because of the greater stress biases they have than those with lower moduli. This mechanism will be inoperative for interpenetrating networks because of their uniform composition.

An alternative mechanism for the observed high fracture strain may be the reduction in surface imperfections for the gradient polymers. The surfaces of these materials must be more resistent to fracture because of the relatively high loading of rubbery phases. Thus, under stress they are likely to craze or crack, which would have initiated fracture for the sample as a whole. The validity of either mechanism, however, must await verification.

The effect of the strain rates of PMMA/Grad PCLEA (29.7) and PMMA/IPN PCLEA (29.8) are compared in Figure 3. Increased strain rates are seen to increase the yield stresses as well as stress levels in the plateau regions of the gradient polymers but decrease the fracture strains. For the interpenetrating networks of comparable composition, there is no observable yielding, but the stress levels are increased by higher strain rates. However, there appear to be also decreases in the fracture strains. Again IPN's behave essentially as rubbers, while the gradient polymers exhibit plastic-like properties.

The effect of temperature on the stress-strain properties of PMMA, its gradient polymers with various compositions and an IPN are shown in Figure 4. These experiments were performed at strain rates of the order of 10^{-2} %/sec at 60°C. Comparison with data in Figure 2, which are for the temperature of 80°C shows that the main effects of temperature are to increase the stress levels in the plateau regions at lower temperatures, without significant differences in other aspects.

In conclusion, we find that gradient polymers produced by diffusion polymerization generally show enhanced fracture strain, while retaining their plastic-like properties. This behavior appears to be a consequence of the gradient structure, rather than being due to the compositions alone. Interpenetrating networks with comparable composition do not possess the unique mechanical behavior of the gradient polymers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the Office of Naval Research.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Shen, M., Bever, M.B., J. Mat. Sci., (1972), 7, 741.
- Akovali, G., Biliyar, K., Shen, M., J. <u>Appl. Polymer Sci.</u>, (1976), <u>20</u>, 2419.
- 3. Sundberg, O.E., Royer, G.L., Anal. Chem., (1946), 18, 719.
- 4. Eyring, H., J. Chem. Phys., (1936), 4, 283.
- Matz, D.J., Guldemond, W.G., Cooper, S.L. J. Polymer <u>Sci. Phys.Ed.</u>, (1972), 10, 1917.

CAPTIONS FOR FIGURES

Figure 1: Concentration profiles of poly(2-chloroethyl carylate) in Poly(methyl methacrylate) along the thickness (L_o) dimension of the samples. GRAD: gradient polymer; IPN: interpenetrating networks.

- Figure 2: Stress-strain curves of poly(methyl methacrylate) three gradient polymers and one interpenetrating network at comparable strain rates (2-3%/sec) at 80°C.
- Figure 3: Stress-strain curves of gradient polymer and an interpenetrating network with comparable content of 2-chloroethyl acrylate in poly(methyl methacrylate) at 80°C for a series of strain states.

Figure 4: Stress-strain curves of poly(methyl methacrylate), three gradient polymers and one interpenetrating network at comparable strain rates (2-3%/sec) at 60°C.









SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM **REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE** 1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. BECHRIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 41 TYTE (and Sublitle) THEE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED STRESS-STRAIN BEHAVIOR OF SOME ACRYLIC Technical Reparts GRADIENT POLYMERS -NUMBER GORG. K- 17 7. AUTHOR(+) RACT OR GRANT NUMBER(.) C. F./Jasso, S.D./Hong and M./Shen N00014-75-C-0955 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Department of Chemical Engineering University of California Berkeley, California 94720 1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research February 10, 1978 800 N. Quincy Street 13. NUMBER OF PAGES Arlington, VA 22217 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. Tot This M 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release: Distribution unlimited 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, Il dillerent from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde il necessary and identify by block number) Gradient polymers, Multicomponent polymers, Stress-strain behavior, Mechanical properties. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde il neceseary and identity by block number) Multicomponent polymers whose structure or composition varies as a function of position in the sample are called gradient polymers. One way to prepare gradient polymers is to permit a guest monomer to diffuse into a host polymer network. The resulting profile of the diffusion gradient is fixed by polymerizing the monomer in situ In this work, we used 2-chloroethyl acrylate as the monomer and poly(methyl methacrylate) as the polymer matrix. Both gradient, DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE S/N 0102-014-6601 | SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) 404.601

UUNITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

· · · ·

.....

polymers and interpenetrating networks were prepared. It was found that the stress-strain behavior of gradient polymers is quite different from that of the interpenetrating networks of comparable composition. The former shows a yield point, and considerably enhanced fracture strain. The latter is essentially rubber in character. Possible mechanisms for the unique properties of gradient polymers are discussed.

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. Copies

2

6

1

1

1

1

1

No. Copies

12

1

1

1

1

1

1

Office of Naval Research Arlington, Virginia 22217 Attn: Code 472

Office of Naval Research Arlington, Virginia 22217 Attn: Code 1021P 1

ONR Branch Office 535 S. Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60605 Attn: Br. Jerry Smith

ONR Branch Office 715 Broadway New York, New York 10003 Attn: Scientific Dept.

ONR Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91106 Attn: Dr. R. J. Marcus

ONR Branch Office 760 Market Street, Rm. 447 San Francisco, California 94102 Attn: Dr. P. A. Miller

ONR Branch Office 495 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210 Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles

Director, Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20390 Attn: Code 6100

The Asst. Secretary of the Navy (R&D) Department of the Navy Room 4E736, Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20350 1

Commander, Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20360 Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser) 1 Defense Documentation Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314

U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709 Attn: CRD-AA-IP

Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego, California 92152 Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney

Naval Weapons Center China Lake, California 93555 Attn: Head, Chemistry Division

Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Port Hueneme, California 93041 Attn: Mr. W. S. Haynes

Professor O. Heinz Department of Physics & Chemistry Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940

Dr. A. L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code RD-1) Washington, D.C. 20380

Office of Naval Research Arlington, Virginia 22217 Attn: Dr. Richard S. Miller

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. Copies

1

2

1

1

1

1

1

1

Dr. Stephen H. Carr Department of Materials Science Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201

Dr. M. Broadhurst Bulk Properties Section National Bureau of Standards U.S. Department of Commerce Washington, D.C. 20234

Dr. C. H. Wang Department of Chemistry University of Utah Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

Dr. T. A. Litovitz Department of Physics Catholic University of America Washington, D.C. 20017

Dr. R. V. Subramanian Washington State University Department of Materials Science Pullman, Washington 99163

Prof. R. S. Porter Polymer Science & Eng. Dept. Univ. of Massachusetts Amherst, MA 01003

Dr. V. Stannett Department of Chemical Engineering North Carolina State University Raleigh, North Carolina 27607

Dr. D. R. Uhlmann Department of Metallurgy and Material Science Center for Materials Science and Engineering Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Naval Surface Weapons Center White Oak Silver Spring, Maryland 20910 Attn: Dr. J. M. Augl Dr. B. Hartman Dr. G. Goodman Globe Union Inc. 5757 North Green Bay Avenue Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201

Picatinny Arsenal SMUPA-FR-M-D Dover, New Sersey 07801 Attn: A. M. Anzalone Bldg. 3401

Dr. J. K. Gillham Princeton University Department of Chemistry Princeton, New Jersey 08540

Douglas Aircraft Co. 3855 Lakewood Boulevard Long Beach, California 90846 Attn: Technical Library Cl 290/36-84 A&TO-Sutton

Dr. E. Baer Department of Macromolecular Science Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106

Dr. K. D. Pae Department of Mechanics and Materials Science Rutgers University New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903 1

NASA-Lewis Research Center 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135 Attn: Dr. T. T. Serofint, MS-49-1 1

Dr. Charles H. Sherman, Code TD 121 Naval Underwater Systems Center New London, Connecticut

Dr. William Risen Department of Chemistry Brown University Providence, Rhode Island 02912 No. Copies

1

1

1

1

1

1

No. Copies

1

1

1

1

1

Dr. Alan Gent Department of Physics University of Akron Akron, Ghio 44304

Mr. Robert W. Jones Advanced Projects Manager Hughes Aircraft Company Mail Station D 132 Culver City, California 90230

Dr. C. Giori IIT Research Institute 10 West 35 Street Chicago, Illinois 60616

Dr. M. Litt Department of Macromolecular Science Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106 1

Dr. R. S. Roe Department of Materials Science and Metallurgical Engineering University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio 45221

Dr. L. E. Smith U.S. Department of Commerce National Bureau of Standards Stability and Standards Washington, D.C. 20234

Dr. Robert E. Cohen Chemical Engineering Department Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 1

Dr. David Roylanee Department of Materials Science and Engineering Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02039 1 Dr. W. A. Spitzig United States Steel Corporation Research Laboratory Monroeville, Pennsylwania 15146

Dr. T. P. Conlon, Jr., Code 3622 Sandia Laboratories Sandia Corporation Albuquerque, New Mexico 87115

Dr. Martin Kaufmann, Head Materials Research Branch, Code 4542 Naval Weapons Center China Lake, California 93555

Dr. T. J. Reinhart, Jr., Chief Composite and Fibrous Materials Branch Nonmetallic Materials Division Department of the Air Force Air Force Materials Laboratory (AFSC) 1 Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433

Dr. J. Lando Department of Macromolecular Science Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106

Dr. J. White Chemical and Metallurgical Engineering University of Tennessee Knoxville, Tennessee 37916

Dr. J. A. Manson Materials Research Center Lehigh University Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18015

Dr. R. F. Heimreich Contract RD&F Dow Chemical Co. Midland, Michigan 48640

No. Copies

1

1

1

1

1

1