



15 mm78 1000131 lemorandum Report AD A 052554 3705 **Computer Simulation of Space Charge Effects** in Particle Accelerators, IRVING HABER Plasma Dynamics Branch **Plasma Physics Division** (14) NRL-MR-3705 AD NO. Jan 78 AD-EP\$\$ 131 IS SBIE C APR 13 1978 B . NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY Washington, D.C. Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 950 251

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
NRL Memorandum Report 3705	and the line of level from 1, so the	parameters it thereight appears i	
TITLE (and Subtitle)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED	
		Interim report on a continuing	
COMPUTER SIMULATION OF SPACE (CHARGE EFFECTS	NRL problem.	
IN PARTICLE ACCELERATORS		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
AUTHOR(=)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)	
I. Haber			
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT. PROJECT, TASK	
Naval Research Laboratory		AREA & WORK ONT NUMBERS	
Washington, D.C. 20375		NRL Problem No. H02-58	
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE	
Department of Energy Washington, D.C. 20345		January 1978	
		32	
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II diller	ent from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)	
		UNCLASSIFIED	
		154. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)			
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)			
Approved for public release; distribution	unlimited.		
Approved for public release; distribution	unlimited.		
Approved for public release; distribution	unlimited.		
Approved for public release; distribution	unlimited.		
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered	unlimited.	ar Report)	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered	unlimited.	a Report)	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered	unlimited. ed in Block 20, if different fro	a Report)	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered	unlimited. ed in Block 20, if different fro	a Report)	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered	unlimited.	a Report)	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	unlimited.	a Report)	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect enterd SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This research was sponsored by the U.S.	unlimited. ed in Block 20, if different fro Department of Energy	a Report)	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observect enterd SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This research was sponsored by the U.S.	unlimited. ed in Block 20, if different fro Department of Energy	a Report)	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed entered SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This research was sponsored by the U.S.	unlimited. ed in Block 20, if different fro Department of Energy	a, Report)	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entere SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This research was sponsored by the U.S.	unlimited. ed in Block 20, if different fro Department of Energy end identify by block number)	a Report)	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entere SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This research was sponsored by the U.S. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary Inertial confinement fusion House for fusion	unlimited. ed in Block 20, if different fro Department of Energy and identify by block number)	a Report)	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entere SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This research was sponsored by the U.S. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary Inertial confinement fusion Heavy ion fusion	unlimited. ed in Block 20, if different fro Department of Energy and identify by block number)	a Report)	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This research was sponsored by the U.S. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse etde if necessary Inertial confinement fusion Heavy ion fusion Accelerator technology Deam tennenort	unlimited. ed in Block 20, if different fro Department of Energy and identify by bloct number)	a Report)	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered supplementary notes This research was sponsored by the U.S. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse etde if necessary Inertial confinement fusion Heavy ion fusion Accelerator technology Beam transport	unlimited. ed in Block 20, if different fro Department of Energy and identify by bloct number)	a Report)	
Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This research was sponsored by the U.S. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary Inertial confinement fusion Heavy ion fusion Accelerator technology Beam transport USTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necessary	unlimited. ed in Block 20, if different fro Department of Energy end identify by block number) and identify by block number)	a Report)	
Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This research was sponsored by the U.S. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary Inertial confinement fusion Heavy ion fusion Accelerator technology Beam transport DISTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necessary The potential use of high energy ion	unlimited.	a Report)	
Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This research was sponsored by the U.S. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary Inertial confinement fusion Heavy ion fusion Accelerator technology Beam transport MESTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necessary The potential use of high energy ion has increased the importance of understa	unlimited. ed in Block 20, it different fro Department of Energy end identify by block number) and identify by block number) beams as a source of inding high current bea	m Report)	
Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This research was sponsored by the U.S. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary Inertial confinement fusion Heavy ion fusion Accelerator technology Beam transport MESTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necessary The potential use of high energy ion has increased the importance of understa using narticle codes promises to be a use	unlimited. ed in Block 20, if different fro Department of Energy end identify by block number) and identify by block number) a beams as a source of inding high current bea ful tool in gaining that	thermonuclear pellet ignition energy m transport. Computer simulation ; understanding. A series of	
Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This research was sponsored by the U.S. REY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary Inertial confinement fusion Heavy ion fusion Accelerator technology Beam transport MESTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necessary The potential use of high energy ion has increased the importance of understa using particle codes promises to be a use simulations is presented in which the num	unlimited. ed in Block 20, if different fro Department of Energy end identify by block number) and identify by block number) beams as a source of anding high current bea ful tool in gaining that merical parameters are	thermonuclear pellet ignition energy im transport. Computer simulation i understanding. A series of systematically varied to illustrate	
Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This research was sponsored by the U.S. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary Inertial confinement fusion Heavy ion fusion Accelerator technology Beam transport MESTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necessary The potential use of high energy ion has increased the importance of understa using particle codes promises to be a use simulations is presented in which the num the purely numerical effects on these simulations	unlimited. ed in Block 20, if different fro Department of Energy end identify by block number) and identify by block number) a beams as a source of anding high current bea ful tool in gaining that merical parameters are nulations in a physicali	thermonuclear pellet ignition energy im transport. Computer simulation i understanding. A series of systematically varied to illustrate v interesting parameter range. It	
Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This research was sponsored by the U.S. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary Inertial confinement fusion Heavy ion fusion Accelerator technology Beam transport MESTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary The potential use of high energy ion has increased the importance of understa using particle codes promises to be a use simulations is presented in which the num the purely numerical effects on these simulations is found that the significant effects observed	unlimited. ad in Block 20, if different fro Department of Energy and identify by block number) a beams as a source of inding high current bea ful tool in gaining that merical parameters are nulations in a physicall rved are essentially ind	thermonuclear pellet ignition energy im transport. Computer simulation i understanding. A series of systematically varied to illustrate y interesting parameter range. It ependent of the numerical	
Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This research was sponsored by the U.S. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary Inertial confinement fusion Heavy ion fusion Accelerator technology Beam transport MESTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary The potential use of high energy ion has increased the importance of understa using particle codes promises to be a use simulations is presented in which the num the purely numerical effects on these sim is found that the significant effects obset	unlimited. ad in Block 20, if different fro Department of Energy and identify by block number) and identify by block number) a beams as a source of anding high current bea ful tool in gaining that merical parameters are nulations in a physicall rved are essentially ind	thermonuclear pellet ignition energy im transport. Computer simulation i understanding. A series of systematically varied to illustrate y interesting parameter range. It ependent of the numerical \longrightarrow ne (Continues)	

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

20. Abstract (Continued)

parameters. It therefore appears that the numerical techniques are indeed capable of reliably predicting the behavior of actual beam transport systems.

ii

• •

•

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Ertered)

CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
п.	THE NUMERICAL MODEL	5
ш.	TESTS OF THE NUMERICAL MODEL	7
	ACKNOWLEDGMENT	14
	REFERENCES	15

	Deather M
NTIS	White Section
DDC	Buff Section
INANNOUNC	ED
WETIFICAT	ON
10311110/111	
BY	03000
DISTRIBUTI	ON/AVAILABILITY CUUES
DIOTAID	VAIL and/or SPECIAL
Diet A	
Dist. A	
Dist. A	T I
Dist. A	

COMPUTER SIMULATION OF SPACE CHARGE EFFECTS IN PARTICLE ACCELERATORS

I. Introduction

Recent studies¹ have indicated the feasibility of using intense energetic heavy ion beams as the source of ignition energy for inertially confined nuclear fusion reactions. It appears that heavy ion beams with suitable powers and energies can be produced using modest extrapolations of conventional particle accelerator technology. However, one of the major limits on the beam intensities which can be delivered to a target is due to the space charge repulsive forces of the beam particles them-·selves. It has therefore become important to examine the effects of these space charge forces on particle focussing and transport.

The transport of charged particle beams is well understood when the space charge densities are small.² In this limit, the particle trajectories can be accurately described by their single particle orbits in the external focussing fields. A commonly employed focussing system uses alternate gradient quadrupole magnets. We will assume that all particles have the same longitudinal velocity and proceed to discuss the transverse behavior of quadrupole systems.

If the plane perpendicular to the direction of beam propagation is described in rectangular coordinates properly aligned with respect to the quadrupole magnet pole tips, each particle is subjected to an Note: Manuscript submitted January 16, 1978.

x-directed force proportional to its x distance from the center of the system and a y-directed force proportional to its y distance from the center. If this force is focussing in x, it is defocussing in y. Each successive magnet is then rotated 90° so that in each direction a particle is alternatively focussed and defocussed. However, the net effect is focussing in both directions.

A particle which starts off center, is focussed towards the center, goes past it, and eventually returns through its initial position, thereby executing a periodic motion. The fraction of this period excecuted per lens pair, is a phase shift μ_{o} given by²

$$\cos \mu_{0} = 1 - \eta^{2}/2 \tag{1}$$

where $\eta = v_z \tau/F$, $v_z \tau$ is the distance between succeeding lenses. 2τ is therefore the time taken to traverse a complete lens doublet.

If the trajectory of a particle in x-p_x phase space is plotted as it passes through successive focussing lenses, in the non-degenerate case where $\mu_0 \neq \frac{n\pi}{m}$, the particle traces an ellipse. Every other particle which has an initial phase space location on this same ellipse also remains on it, so that an initial phase space distribution which is uniform along this ellipse remains that way. A beam which fills such an ellipse will retain a constant cross section as it passes through succeeding lens systems and is spoken of as a matched beam. The product $x_0 p_{x0}$, which is the area of the ellipse in phase space divided by π , is known as the emittance ε .

Fig. la shows the x-p_x phase space of such a matched ellipse of particles half way through a focussing lens. Fig. lb shows the phase space 2/5 way to the next (defocussing) lens. Fig. lc shows the phase space half way through the defocussing lens. Fig. ld shows the phase space of the ellipse now having executed 4/5 of its period and Fig. le shows the ellipse back where it started. It should be noted that though the ellipse looks as it did when it started, the individual particles have moved only μ_0 degrees (in this case $\mu_0 = 90^0$) around the ellipse. The space charge forces in this case are negligibly small.

In the presence of space charge forces, the beam transport system becomes somewhat more complex. Each particle orbit is no longer independent of the others and the x and y directions are no longer decoupled. However, by assuming a particle distribution function of the form

$$f(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p}) = \delta \left[(x/x_0)^2 + (y/y_0)^2 + (p_x/p_{x0})^2 + (p_y/p_{y0})^2 - r_0^2 \right], \quad (2)$$

which is uniformly distributed on the x- p_x -y- p_y hyper-ellipse, Kapchinskij and Vladimirskij³ (K-V), have derived a self-consistent set of equilibria for focussing systems in the presence of space charge. By assuming such an idealized K-V distribution function, the beam dynamics including space charge can be described by a pair of coupled ordinary differential equations for the two configuration space axes of the K-V hyper-ellipse. These may be written in the form of Lambertson, Laslett and Smith⁴ as

$$\frac{d^2 u_x}{d\theta^2} = -S_x (\theta) u_x + \frac{1}{u_x^3} + \frac{Q}{u_x + u_y}$$
$$\frac{d^2 u_y}{d\theta^2} = -S_y (\theta) u_y + \frac{1}{u_y^3} + \frac{Q}{u_x + u_y}$$

where θ is a normalized distance along the beam, u_x and u_y are proportional to the major axes of the elliptical beam cross sections in x and y. The focussing term S(θ) is a unit amplitude δ function in the thin lens approximation. The dimensionless parameter

$$Q = \frac{4q^2}{A} \frac{Nr_p}{e_x^2 e_x^{1/2}}$$
(4)

(3)

where r_p is the classical proton radius and K=1/fL is the lens strength, is a measure of the strength of the space charge effects on the beam. Since the space charge forces are repulsive and therefore act against the lens focussing forces, the phase shift per lens system, μ , is less than the value μ_0 in the absence of space charge. Since it is a property of the K-V distribution that the space charge forces are linear, μ is the same for all beam particles and can also serve as a measure of the amount of space charge. It is significant to note that in the absence of space charge there is only one free parameter. That is, the distance between lenses, which is related to the phase shift per cell μ_0 . Adding the space charge then, the system of equations has two free parameters. These beam envelope equations can be integrated numerically to obtain the behavior of this special class of space charge systems.^{4,5}

The analysis of space charge effects by integrating the equations for the axes of a K-V distribution suffers from a number of fundamental limitations. Only uniformly loaded ellipses of the K-V form are treated. Therefore, any collective behavior not characteristic of a uniformly loaded ellipse can not be represented. Degrees of freedom expected to occur in a real system such as deviation from either uniformity or ellipticity can not be represented. Therefore, even if the K-V distribution were a realistic initial distribution, the stability of this system to realistic perturbations can not be investigated within the K-V formalism. Many physical effects which can be of crucial importance to successful accelerator design may be missed by this approach.

II. The Numerical Model

Standard particle simulation techniques⁶ can be employed to investigate finite space charge beam transport somewhat more generally than is possible by following the K-V envelope equations. Since the beam is long compared with its transverse dimensions, non-uniformities of the beam in the direction of propagation are neglected. By working in a reference frame moving with the beam, the evolution of the beam as it propagates in the z direction translates into the temporal evolution as viewed in the x-y plane. In this reference frame the magnet forces become electrostatic forces.

The numerical time differencing is shown in Fig. 2. The particle velocities and positions are defined one half time step apart. The particle positions are advanced according to

$$x_{t+At} = x_t + v_{t+At/2} \Delta t.$$
 (5)

The particles at the new times are then accumulated onto a grid to give a charge density array. Poisson's equation is then solved using fast Fourrier transforms to give the electric fields self-consistent with the charge density. These electric fields are then used to change the velocities according to

$$v_{t+3\Delta t/2} = v_{t+\Delta t/2} + (q/m)E_{t+\Delta t}$$
(6)

This numerical algorithm is time centered, reversible and second order accurate. It is also simple and fast.

The quadrupole lenses are assumed to be thin and implemented numerically by applying to each particle impulsive accelerations, Δv_x and Δv_y proportional to the x and y displacement of that particle from the center of the system. v_z is assumed unity for convenience and the particles are then given an impulsive acceleration

$$v_{x} = -(x - x_{0})\delta$$

$$v_{y} = (y - y_{0})\delta$$
(7)

when passing through a lens which is focussing in the x direction (defocussing in the y direction). Here (x_0, y_0) is the center of the system and $\delta = 1/F$, where F is the focal length of the lens. In the absence of space charge the particles will then coast between lenses according to Eq. (6) so that the numerical system reproduces the thin lens system to within machine roundoff.

Because of the finite grid on which the electric field is defined, space charge phenomena can be resolved only on the scale of a grid cell. Because Poisson's equation is only solved once per time step the charge density can not be allowed to vary significantly within a time step. The physical implications of these statements will be dealt with in the next section.

III. Tests of the Numerical Model

Because the numerical model being employed follows many particles in their self-consistent orbits the large class of nonlinearities possible in an "n-body problem," where n is several thousand, may be present. The details of the physical behavior to be modeled may therefore be quite complex. The numerical system should do a good job of modeling the "important" behavior, where important is defined in terms of the behavior of the average system parameters. For example, if the physical system is unstable to a perturbation whose characteristic wavelength is smaller than a cell size, this behavior may be missed entirely, or at least poorly represented, because of the inability of the numerical system to resolve phenomena on this scale. The same may be true for perturbations with growth times much smaller than a timestep. Lacking a complete theory of the system behavior, such questions must be resolved experimentally by varying the numerical parameters until a regime is found where the system behavior is insensitive to numerical parameters. From similar simulations in plasma physics where particle dynamics are dominated by the collective nature of the electric fields, it may be expected that the time step must be of order the plasma oscillation time

 (ω_p^{-1}) and the length scale should be of order a characteristic length defined by the maximum velocity divided by the plasma frequency (analogous to the Debye length).

Preliminary designs for transport systems¹ have assumed a rough balance between magnetic focussing forces and space charge defocussing forces. Accordingly, numerical tests have been run on a transport system which is in this range of parameters.

Magnet strength in the test case has been chosen to correspond to a phase shift per doublet of 90⁰ as defined in Eq. 1. In the absence of space charge, as in the case which was shown in Fig. 1, it is possible to calculate the initial conditions for a matched system analytically. However, in the presence of space charge, it is most convenient to calculate the initial condition for a matched system iteratively. Successive quesses are obtained by following the system through a doublet lens system, from t=o to t= 2τ . The x extent of the next guess is chosen as the average of x extent initially and after one doublet holding the emittance, x p_y , constant. Similarly, the y extent at t=2 τ is used to calculate a new averaged y dimension. This procedure usually converges in just a few iterations. Fig. 3 shows the initial conditions thus found at the center of a lens which is focussing in the x direction, for a slightly mismatched system with a phase shift per doublet of $\mu_0 = \pi/2$. A mismatch of about 10% was chosen so the system would evolve fairly rapidly and thereby test the numerics. Four projections of the density in $x-p_x-y-p_y$ space are shown for 2000 sample particles. The distances labelled on the axes are in terms of program grid points and velocities

are in units of cells/ τ . The initial space charge density is such that the Q defined in Eq. 4 is equal to 3.9. In this case the phase shift per doublet is about 30⁰. The particle positions and momenta are set up using the formulae

$$x = x_{0} \sqrt{q} \cos \left(\frac{r}{2\pi}\right)$$

$$p_{x} = p_{x0} \sqrt{q} \sin \left(\frac{r}{2\pi}\right)$$

$$y = y_{0} \sqrt{1-q} \cos \left(\frac{s}{2\pi}\right)$$

$$p_{y} = p_{y0} \sqrt{1-q} \sin \left(\frac{s}{2\pi}\right)$$

where q, r, and s are uniformly distributed random numbers between zero and unity.

The Poisson solver uses Fourier transforms and periodic boundary conditions to solve for the electric field from the particle charge distribution. Since it is impractical to follow the trajectories of as many particles as are actually found in a beam, anomolously high fluctuations in density occur in a particle code simulation. In addition, following only a finite number of modes leads to a band-limited situation in which short wavelength overshoots can occur in the vicinity of sharp changes in charge density. Both these effects can be mitigated somewhat by considering "macro-particles" with a Gaussian charge density.⁷ When this is done, the points plotted as in Fig. 3 are really the centers of these "macroparticle" charge clouds rather than point particles.

(8)

Fig. 4a is a plot of E_x vs x for a y coordinate 1/2 cell off the center of a 64 x 64 system whose initial conditions are similar to those shown in Fig. 3. Fig. 4b is a plot of the same fields with Gaussian smoothing applied to the system so that the particle "size" between 1/e points on the Gaussian is one cell. Note that E, is really the average field applied to the smoothed cloud, i.e., the acceleration field. The smoothed fields are closer to linear near the center but the peaks at the edge of the beam are somewhat rounded. Figs. 5 and 6 are a comparison of the phase space of two systems after traversing 16 focussing magnet pairs with and without smoothing. The comparison time was chosen because of the fine structure at this time which ought to accentuate numerical differences. Figs. 5 and 6 are phase space plots which correspond to the same smoothing as in Figs. 4a and 4b respectively. Though there are minor differences, they do not appear to be significant and they are small compared with differences which arise from changing the number of grid cells and which will be dealt with later. In both Figs. 5 and 6, 2048 particles are shown out of the 4096 used in the run. Other parameters are $\Delta t = .2\tau$, 64 x 64 cells and $\omega_n \tau = 1.2$. Since the smoothing does not have a major effect on the short time system evolution and does not seem to negatively affect evolution of the beam edge, there is a net advantage to employing it for long times in order to avoid anomolous fluctuation effects.

In simulating a collisionless plasma, it is usually impractical to represent anything like the number of particles in a real system. Consequently the number of particles per Debye volume is low. The simulation may then represent the collisionless behavior only for a number of

plasma periods proportional to the reciprocal of the actual number of simulation particles in a Debye volume. Fig. 7 is a phase space plot from a calculation with conditions as in Fig. 6 but with double the number (8192) of simulation particles. While the fine structure changes slightly, mainly in the y-P_y view, the computed results are essentially independent of the number of simulation particles; the small residual differences are due as much to different statistics in the initial distribution as to fluctuations in the fields during the run. The difference is made more noticeable in Fig. 8 by again doubling the number of particles to 16384. Here, too, however, it is felt that any differences are due to different statistics.

The Fourier Transform Poisson solver employed uses periodic boundary conditions. This means that the neutralizing charges, instead of being at infinity or in finite images are, uniformly distributed throughout the system. Furthermore each beam particle has an image of the same sign and size one system length away in each direction. Such a computational system clearly reproduces the infinite system when the boundary is far enough away.

Fig. 9 shows results from a calculation using a 128 by 128 finite difference mesh where the beam occupies only one quarter of the system area of the previous runs. That is, measured in beam size, the boundaries are twice as far out as in the previous runs. The numerics are otherwise identical to Fig. 6. The behavior of the two systems is again quite similar, showing that the boundary conditions are not having a major effect.

We have thus far seen that, when run through 16 magnet pairs, the system behavior is relatively insensitive to varying the particle smoothing, the number of particles and the distance to the boundaries.

A comparison of Fig. 6 with Fig. 10 shows the differences in behavior caused by changes in the resolution. Fig. 6 uses twice as many cells in the description of the electric fields of the beam and fine structure has appeared which was not present in the coarser grid run of Fig. 10. A comparison of Figs. 9 and 11 shows the result of doubling the resolution again. Fig. 9 is a 64 x 64 system and Fig. 11 is 128 x 128. Each run has 16K particles. (The number of sample particles for plotting is still 2K.) Though the difference is not as great as the original doubling, more fine structure is evident.

Finally, Fig. 12 shows the effect of taking twice as many timesteps (20) per magnet period. The parameters are otherwise the same as the run in Fig. 11. A comparison of the two pictures shows that the two are extremely close.

It is evident from these series of tests that the numerics are indeed capable of affecting the fine structure of the phase space distribution of the beam particles. The important question is whether differences in the fine structure have any important physical significance. Though the question can only be answered definitively when the physics of space charge effects in particle transport systems is well understood, there are several reasons for confidence that the numerical results will be reliable.

The fine structure observed in these runs is probably a consequence of the sharp edge of the distribution function. This particular initial distribution was chosen because the K-V distribution is well understood theoretically. It is not a physically realistic distribution because of the very sharp edges. A more physical distribution would have diffuse edges and the fine structured behavior would then be washed out. Some evidence has already been obtained that this is the case. In fact, it appears as if a steady state can be reached which is independent of the numerical parameters and the details of the intermediate turbulent structure.

That the numerical system can do as good a job as it does in examining the behavior of the sharp edged K-V distribution is encouraging since this type of system is generally the most difficult one to treat numerically. It seems clear that the similarities in behavior over a large range of numerical parameters indicate that reliable data on focussed beam systems can be inferred from this approach. Furthermore, because of the flexibility of this numerical approach it is easily possible to include many complexities such as finite length lenses and lens non-linearities which can give valuable insight into design of practical transport systems. Initial simulations to gain insight into the physics of beam transport systems in the presence of space charge should concentrate on simple systems and these are currently underway.

Acknowledgment

The author wishes to acknowledge the assistance and encouragement provided by Drs. J. P. Boris, T. F. Godlove, L. J. Laslett, A. W. Maschke, and L. Smith.

vidence nos elivindy been obtained that this is the case. In fact, it process as if a standy state can be reached which is independent of the meetical consistants and the dataile of the interesting torbulent.

That the company system can do as good a job at it does in exce aing the behavior of the shorp edged K-Y distribution is uncontrain unce this type of areter is generally the their difficult one to treat

large tange of womented parameters (noticate that relies in which is a coursed been systems the infected from this energical, furthermore, accouse of the filewidtlicky of this nonerical adoreach it is explicit onealble to include same complexities such as finite length lanes and lane non-linearities which can give velocite instight into design of presting transport eventees. Initial ginolations to goin insight into the physics of been transport systems in the presence of space charge should concernal been transport systems in the presence of space charge should concern-

References

- Final Report of ERDA Summer Study of Heavy Ions for Inertial Fusion, LBL-5543 (Dec. 1976).
- 2. "Focussing of Charged Particles," Edited by Albert Septier, Academic Press, New York (1967).
- I.M. Kapchinsky and V.V. Vladiminsky, Proc. Internat. Conf. on High Energy Accelerators, CERN 1959, p. 274.
- G. Lambertson, L.J. Laslett and L. Smith, IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Sci., NS-24, p. 993. Jun 1977
- T.K. Khoe and R.L. Martin, IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Sci., NS-24, p. 1025. Jun 1977
- Methods in Computational Physics, Vol. 9, "Plasma Physics," Academic Press, New York (1970); Methods in Computational Physics, Vol. 16, "Controlled Fusion," Academic Press, New York (1976).

7. Methods in Computational Physics, Vol. 9; op. cit., p.l.





Fig. $1 - x \cdot p_x$ phase space plot showing the evolution of a matched phase space ellipse of particles as it passes through a lens system with a phase shift of 90° per doublet. Fig. 1a shows the initial distribution halfway through a focussing lens. Fig. 1b shows the distribution 2/5 of the distance to the next lens. Fig. 1c shows the distribution halfway through a defocussing lens. Fig. 1d is 4/5 through the doublet. Finally, Fig. 1e is one complete lens period away showing a return to the initial elliptical shape.



Fig. 2 — Time centering of the numerical system showing how the system quantities are advanced through a time step



Fig. 3 — Initial phase space ellipses of a slightly mismatched Kapchinskij-Vladimirkij distribution with a magnet tune of 90° per doublet and enough space charge to bring the tune down to 30°. Units of length are in cells and units of velocity are in cells/ τ where τ is the time between successive magnets. Center of the beam is the center of the numerical system so that the system size (128 x 128) can be inferred from the labels on the configuration space axes. 2048 sample particles are plotted.























