

AD-A052 146

BATTELLE COLUMBUS LABS DURHAM N C  
STOCHASTIC DUELS WITH DISPLACEMENTS (SUPPRESSION). (U)  
MAR 78 G T WILLIAMS

F/G 15/7

DAHCO4-72-A-0001

TRASANA-TM-3-77

NL


UNCLASSIFIED

| OF |

AD  
A052 146



END  
DATE  
FILMED  
5-78  
DDC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

AD A 052146

AD NO. ———  
DDC FILE COPY

(18) **TRASANA** (19) **TM-3-77**

(9) **TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM 3-77**

(6) **STOCHASTIC DUELS WITH DISPLACEMENTS  
(SUPPRESSION)**

PREPARED BY

(10) **G. TREVOR WILLIAMS**  
Professor of Statistics  
University of Bristol, Bristol, England

EDITED BY

**C. J. ANCKER, JR.**  
University of Southern California

DDC  
APR 3 1978  
UNIVERSITY OF  
F

(11) **MARCH 1978**

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;  
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

(12) **11P**

(15) **DAHCO4-72-A-0001**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
US ARMY TRADOC SYSTEMS ANALYSIS ACTIVITY  
WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE  
NEW MEXICO 88002**

391 859 JOB

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM 3-77, "STOCHASTIC DUELS WITH DISPLACEMENTS (SUPPRESSION)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
7. AUTHOR(s) G. TREVOR WILLIAMS University of Bristol, Bristol, England		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER USA TRASANA
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS US Army TRADOC Systems Analysis Activity ATTN: ATAA-TG White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) DAHC04-72-A-0001 ✓ Task Order 76-10
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Commander, US Army Research Office ATTN: DRXRO-PR P.O. Box 12211, Research Triangle Pk, NC 27709		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) Battelle Columbus Laboratories P.O. Box 8832 Durham, NC 27707		12. REPORT DATE March 1978 ✓
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 9
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)  Approved for public release - distribution unlimited		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  The stochastic duel is extended to include the possibility of a near-miss on each round fired, which causes the opponent to displace. During displacement, the displacing contestant cannot return the fire but is still a target for his opponent. An alternative interpretation of this model is to consider the displacement time as the time a contestant's fire is suppressed by his opponent's fire and that he does not move but merely ceases fire temporarily. All times are exponentially distributed.		

**DDC**  
**APR 3 1978**  
**RESERVED**  
**F**

DISCLAIMER

*The findings in this memorandum are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position.*

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
US ARMY TRADOC SYSTEMS ANALYSIS ACTIVITY  
WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE  
NEW MEXICO 88002

ACCESSION for	
NTIS	White Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ODC	B. H. Section <input type="checkbox"/>
NATIONAL	<input type="checkbox"/>
JCS / JCA	
BY	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
DATE	SPECIAL
A	

TRASANA MEMORANDUM 3-77

STOCHASTIC DUELS WITH DISPLACEMENTS (SUPPRESSION)<sup>1</sup>

BY

G. TREVOR WILLIAMS<sup>2</sup>

ABSTRACT

The stochastic duel is extended to include the possibility of a near-miss on each round fired, which causes the opponent to displace. During displacement, the displacing contestant cannot return the fire but is still a target for his opponent. An alternative interpretation of this model is to consider the displacement time as the time a contestant's fire is suppressed by his opponent's fire and that he does not move but merely ceases fire temporarily. All times are exponentially distributed.

---

<sup>1</sup>*Edited by C.J. Ancker, JR from notes supplied by the author.*

<sup>2</sup>*Professor of Statistics, University of Bristol, Bristol, England.*

Some earlier work has considered mobility by incorporating varying projectile time of flight, [1] and [2], or by varying hit probability, [3], [4], and [5]. Some very simple models where the contestants displace upon receiving a near-miss are provided in [6], [7], and [8]. In this paper, we consider a more general situation of the latter type.

*NOTE: The numbers in brackets pertain to the references listed at the end of the paper.*

In general, most earlier studies of stochastic duels have not considered an interaction between the two contestants, A and B. That is to say, the same results would have been effected if each fired separately at his own target in two different localities and they subsequently compared their times to score a kill, having previously agreed that the quicker of the two would be the winner. We shall now consider a duel where A's behavior is contingent upon B's, and vice versa.

We assume, as usual, that both duelists begin to load and fire simultaneously, but we now add the possibility that one or the other may score a near-miss, the effect of which on a duelist is to make him move to a new firing position. We may imagine that if he did not move, he would immediately be killed since his opponent has now gotten his range. During his displacement time, he is subject to fire from his opponent but cannot return it. We assume that the probabilities of a near-miss and a kill are the same from round to round and that once a duelist has displaced because of a near-miss, he proceeds to load and fire as before. His displacement and firing times are random variables whose probability density functions are known and are not necessarily the same.

An alternate interpretation that may be useful is as follows: Upon receiving a near-miss, the duelist merely seeks cover in his present position and ceases fire for a period of time equal to the corresponding displacement time, i.e., merely interpret displacement time as fire-suppression time, and the model is a fire-suppression model as it stands.

Our first step is to eliminate from consideration all complete misses on both sides. We are thus left with a series of near-misses that form, so to speak, a succession of turning points on which the duel hinges. It does not matter which of the duelists scores a near-miss since it still interrupts the course of the duel. We thus make a list of when the near-misses occurred and who scored them. Ultimately, the duel ends on a near-miss which was actually a kill.

We introduce the following notation. Let

$$\left. \begin{aligned} p_{AA} &= \text{the conditional probability that A scores the} \\ &\quad \text{next near-miss given that A scored the last one} \\ p_{AB} &= \text{the conditional probability that B scores the} \\ &\quad \text{next near-miss given that A scored the last one} \end{aligned} \right\} (1)$$

and similarly for  $p_{BA}$  and  $p_{BB}$ . Then, we plainly have

$$p_{AA} + p_{AB} = p_{BA} + p_{BB} = 1. \quad (2)$$

Next, let

$$p_A = \text{the unconditional probability that A scores a} \quad (3)$$

near-miss on any round

$$k_A = \text{the conditional probability that A scores a kill} \quad (4)$$

given a near-miss

that is, on any near-miss, a kill may or may not have occurred, and

$$k_A p_A = \text{the joint probability of a near-miss and a kill} \quad (5)$$

or just simply a kill

Also, let

$$q_A = 1 - p_A = \text{the probability that A misses entirely} \quad (6)$$

on any round

and

$$z_A = 1 - k_A = \text{the conditional probability that A does} \quad (7)$$

not score a kill given a near-miss

Of course, there is an identical set of notation for B. We further let

$$p_{OA} = \text{the probability that A scores the first near-miss} \quad (8)$$

$$p_{OB} = \text{the probability that B scores the first near-miss}$$

so that

$$p_{OA} + p_{OB} = 1 \quad (9)$$



and we call

$$\left. \begin{aligned} P_n(A) &= \text{the probability that A kills, for the} \\ &\quad \text{first time, on near-miss number } n \\ P_n(B) &= \text{the probability that B kills, for the} \\ &\quad \text{first time, on near-miss number } n \end{aligned} \right\} (10)$$

Then, the probability,  $P(A)$ , that A wins the duel is

$$\left. \begin{aligned} P(A) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(A) \\ \text{and similarly for B} \\ P(B) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(B) \end{aligned} \right\} (11)$$

also,  $P(A) + P(B) = 1$ .

Clearly, when  $n = 1$ , we have

$$P_1(A) = p_{OA} k_A \text{ and } P_1(B) = p_{OB} k_B. \quad (12)$$

Now, the probability that A scored a near-miss on round  $n-1$  but did not kill is given by  $\frac{z_A}{k_A} P_{n-1}(A)$  since  $P_{n-1}(A)$  includes a factor  $k_A$  for the kill on round  $n-1$ , which factor must be replaced by  $z_A$  if there was no kill. Thus,

$$P_n(A) = k_A \left[ p_{AA} \frac{z_A}{k_A} P_{n-1}(A) + p_{BA} \frac{z_B}{k_B} P_{n-1}(B) \right] \quad (13)$$

provides the two near-miss situations on a given round that can lead to a kill by A on the next round. Hence,

$$P_n(A) = z_A p_{AA} P_{n-1}(A) + z_B p_{BA} \frac{z_A}{k_B} P_{n-1}(B) \quad (14)$$

and similarly,

$$P_n(B) = z_B p_{BB} P_{n-1}(B) + z_A p_{AB} \frac{k_B}{k_A} P_{n-1}(A). \quad (15)$$

Summing both sides of these simultaneous difference equations from 2 to  $\infty$  and making use of Equations (11) and (12), we find that

$$P(A) - p_{OA} k_A = z_A p_{AA} P(A) + z_B p_{BA} \frac{k_A}{k_B} P(B) \quad (16)$$

and

$$P(B) - p_{OB} k_B = z_B p_{BB} P(B) + z_A p_{AB} \frac{k_B}{k_A} P(A). \quad (17)$$

When we solve these two equations simultaneously for  $P(A)$ , we obtain

$$P(A) = \frac{k_A (p_{OA} k_B + z_B p_{BA})}{k_B - z_A p_{AA} k_B + z_B p_{BA} k_A} \quad (18)$$

with a corresponding expression for  $P(B)$ . By using Notations (1), (2), and (7), it is easily shown that the denominators of  $P(A)$  and  $P(B)$  are equal.

Now, adding the numerator of  $P(A)$  to that of  $P(B)$ , we find

$$k_A k_B p_{OA} + k_A z_B p_{BA} + k_A k_B p_{OB} + k_B z_A p_{AB}$$

which, by using Equations (2) and (9), is equal to

$$k_A k_B + k_A z_B p_{BA} + k_B z_A - k_B z_A p_{AA}.$$

And now, using Equation (7), this is equal to

$$k_B + k_A z_B p_{BA} - k_B z_A p_{AA}$$

which is equal to the common denominator of  $P(A)$  and  $P(B)$ , thus checking that  $P(A) + P(B) = 1$ .

Now, assume that A's firing time is exponentially distributed with mean  $1/r_A$  ( $r_A$  is A's rate of fire), and his displacement time is also an exponential

with mean  $\delta_A$ , and similarly for B. Then, by exactly the same reasoning as used in the fundamental duel, Reference [9], to arrive at the first kill, the probability that A makes the first near-miss,  $p_{OA}$ , is

$$p_{OA} = \frac{p_A r_A}{p_A r_A + p_B r_B} \quad (19)$$

Also, from Notation (1),

$$p_{AA} = P[T_A \leq T_B + D_B] \quad (20)$$

where

$T_A$  = random variable time between A's near-misses

$T_B$  = time between B's near-misses

$D_B$  = B's time to displace .

This expression accounts for the fact that after A scores a near-miss, he proceeds to fire again, whereas B must first displace and then fire. And, as we have already seen, when the probability of the event (near-miss) is  $p_A$  and the firing time is exponential with mean  $1/r_A$ , then the time to score a near-miss is exponentially distributed with mean  $1/p_A r_A$ . Again, we may use the of the fundamental duel to obtain this probability ( $p_{AA}$ ) by noting that the characteristic function of  $T_B + D_B$  is simply the product of their individual chatacteristic functions. Hence,

$$p_{AA} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{p_A r_A p_B r_B du}{(p_A r_A + iu)(p_B r_B - iu)(1 - i\delta_B u)u} \quad (21)$$

and using residue theory, we have

$$p_{AA} = \frac{p_A r_A (1 + p_A r_A \delta_B + p_B r_B \delta_B)}{(p_A r_A + p_B r_B) (1 + p_A r_A \delta_B)} \quad (22)$$

and similarly,

$$P_{BA} = \frac{P_A r_A}{(P_A r_A + P_B r_B) (1 + P_B r_B \delta_A)} \quad (23)$$

When we substitute these results into Equation (18), we obtain after a little algebra

$$P(A) = \frac{k_A P_A r_A (1 + P_A r_A \delta_B) (1 + k_B P_B r_B \delta_A)}{k_A P_A r_A (1 + P_A r_A \delta_B) (1 + k_B P_B r_B \delta_A) + k_B P_B r_B (1 + P_B r_B \delta_A) (1 + k_A P_A r_A \delta_B)} \quad (24)$$

It is interesting to note that if  $k_A = k_B = 1$ , then all near-misses are really kills, and Equation (24) reduces to the solution for the fundamental duel with exponential firing times, Reference [9], and the same result occurs if  $\delta_A = \delta_B = 0$  (zero displacement), as it should.

The expression in Equation (24) is unwieldy since it contains eight parameters. If we define

$$P_B = P_B r_B \delta_B$$

$$P_A = P_A r_A \delta_A$$

$$D = \delta_B / \delta_A$$

then Equation (24) becomes

$$P(A) = \frac{D k_A P_A (D + k_B P_B) (1 + D P_A)}{k_A D P_A (D + k_B P_B) (1 + D P_A) + k_B P_B (D + P_B) (1 + k_A D P_A)} \quad (25)$$

which reduces the parameters to only five.

## REFERENCES

- [1] ANCKER, C. J. Jr., "Stochastic Duels with Times of Flight Included," OPSEARCH Vol 3, No. 2, 1966, pp 71-92.
- [2] JAISWAL, N. K. and BHASHYAM, N., "Stochastic Duels with Flight Time and Replenishment," OPSEARCH Vol 3, No. 4, 1966, pp 169-185.
- [3] WILLIAMS, G. TREVOR, "Stochastic Duels with Homing," SP 1017/106/000, System Development Corporation, 18 May 1965, 34 pages.
- [4] BHASHYAM and SINGH, N., "Stochastic Duels with Varying Single Shot Kill Probabilities," OPERATIONS RESEARCH, Vol 15, No. 2, Mar-Apr 67, pp 233-244.
- [5] ANCKER, C. J. Jr., "Stochastic Duels with Round-Dependent Hit Probabilities," Naval Research Logistics Quarterly, Vol 22, No. 3, 1975, pp 575-583.
- [6] ANCKER, C. J. Jr. and WILLIAMS, G. TREVOR, "Some Discrete Processes in the Theory of Stochastic Duels," OPERATIONS RESEARCH, Vol 13, No. 2, March-April 1965, pp 202-216.
- [7] SCHODERBECK, Joseph J., "Some Weapon System Survival Probability Models - I. Fixed Time Between Firings," OPERATIONS RESEARCH, Vol 10, No. 2, March-April 1962, pp 155-167.
- [8] SCHODERBECK, Joseph J., "Some Weapon System Survival Probability Models - II. Random Time Between Firings," OPERATIONS RESEARCH, Vol 10, No. 2, March-April 1962, pp 168-179.
- [9] WILLIAMS, G. TREVOR and ANCKER, C. J. Jr., "Stochastic Duels," OPERATIONS RESEARCH, Vol 11, No. 5, October 1963, pp 803-817.

LMED  
-78

