

2361 S. JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY, ARLINGTON, VA. 22202 (703) 920-5740

Technical Report No. 370

MILITARY RETIREMENT: THE ROLE OF YOUTH AND VIGOR, VOLUME I

by

R. A. Holmes, T. C. Hillsman, E. M. Small and R. B. Borthwick

15 February 1978

Prepared for The President's Commission on Military Compensation Under Contract MDA 903-78-C-0177

> 2361 South Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington, Virginia 22202

.





RI	PORT DOCUMENTAT	ION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	1	2. GOVT ACCESSION	NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
A. TITLE (and Subtin			Final rept.
Military Ret	irement: The Role	e of Youth and	
Vigor, volum	le 1 and volume 11		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER Presearch Inc. T.R. 370
7. AUTHORAS	a 1998 a service contactor a la grada danda da cara a caracterizada da UN		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
R.A. Holmes R.B. Borthwi	TC./Hillsman, E.	M. / Small	MDA 903-78-C-0177
9. PERFORMING OR	GANIZATION NAME AND ADD	DRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Presearch In	corporated		AUDT-TR-3701
2361 S. Jeff	Eerson Davis Highwa	ıy	ENTERNOT
1. CONTROLLING	OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		REPORT DATE
President's	Commission on Mili	tary Compensation	n 4415 February 1978
666-11th Str	reet, N.W., Washing	ton, D.C. 20001	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
4. MONITORING AC	SENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II d	lifferent from Controlling Off	ice) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
Presidentia	Commission on Mili	tary Compensation	UNCLASSIFIED
666-11th Str	reet, N.W., Washing	gton, D.C. 20001	154. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING
Approved for	TATEMENT (of the abstract of	listribution unlir	nited.
Approved for	TATEMENT (of the abstract of	listribution unlir	nited.
Approved for 17. distribution s 18. supplementat	TATEMENT (of the abstract of	listribution unlir	nited.
Approved for	TATEMENT (of the abstract of	listribution unlir	nited.
Approved for	TATEMENT (of the abstract of	listribution unlir	nited.
Approved for 17. DISTRIBUTION S 18. SUPPLEMENTAL 19. KEY WORDS (Con	TATEMENT (of the abstract of RY NOTES	listribution unlin	nited.
Approved for 17. DISTRIBUTION S 18. SUPPLEMENTAR 9. KEY WORDS (Cor Military Con	TATEMENT (of the abstract of AY NOTES	listribution unlin ntered in Block 20, 11 differe sary and identify by block nu	nited. nt from Report) mber)
Approved for 17. DISTRIBUTION S 18. SUPPLEMENTAR 9. KEY WORDS (Cor Military Con Military Pen	TATEMENT (of the abstract of TATEMENT (of the abstract of RY NOTES Thinks on reverse side if necess mensation rsonnel System	listribution unlin ntered in Block 20, 11 differe	mited. nt from Report) mber)
Approved for 7. DISTRIBUTION S 8. SUPPLEMENTAR 9. KEY WORDS (Con Military Con Military Pen Military Pen Military Pen	TATEMENT (of the abstract of TATEMENT (of the abstract of RY NOTES The second state of necess mensation resonnel System resonnel	listribution unlin ntered in Block 20, 11 differe	mited. nt from Report) mber)
Approved for 17. DISTRIBUTION S 18. SUPPLEMENTAR 19. KEY WORDS (Con Military Con Military Per Military Per Military Per Retention Ir	TATEMENT (of the abstract of TATEMENT (of the abstract of RY NOTES mensation rsonnel System rsonnel ncentives	listribution unlin ntered in Block 20, if differe	mited. nt from Report) mber)
Approved for 17. DISTRIBUTION S 18. SUPPLEMENTAR 19. KEY WORDS (Cor Military Con Military Con Military Per Military Per Retention Ir 19. ABSTRACT (Cor This study of retirement in present time	TATEMENT (of the abstract of TATEMENT (of the abstract of ATATEMENT (of the abstract of ATATEMENT (of the abstract of ATATEMENT (of the abstract of approximation resonant for a state of the abstract sonnel System resonnel incentives tinue on reverse side if necess tinue on reverse side of necess tinue on the state of the source of the sou	sary and identify by block nur of youth and Vig emphasis is give: yzes the legislat	mber) mber) mber)
Approved for 17. DISTRIBUTION S 18. SUPPLEMENTAL 19. KEY WORDS (Cor Military Com Military Per Military Per Military Per Military Per Military Per Military Per Military Per Military Com Military Com	TATEMENT (of the abstract of TATEMENT (of the abstract of AY NOTES The study analy stablishment of a p of any generally a usable data prior to retirement is analities which potentia	ary and identify by block nur of youth and Vig emphasis is give yzes the legislat permanent U.S. mi accepted definiti to the World War lyzed with specia ally acted as the	mber) mber)
Approved for Approved for 17. DISTRIBUTION S 18. SUPPLEMENTAG 19. KEY WORDS (Cor Military Con Military Per Military Per Military Per Retention Ir P. ABSTRACT (Con This study of retirement since the es the absence of the of military ment object D 1 JAN 73 1473	TATEMENT (of the abstract of TATEMENT (of the abstract of AV NOTES The study analy stablishment of a p of any generally a usable data prior t retirement is anal ives which potentia EDITION OF ' NOV 65 15 (antered in Block 20, 11 different netered in Block 20, 11 different sary and identify by block num of youth and Vig emphasis is give: yzes the legislat permanent U.S. min accepted definiti bermanent U.S. min accepted definiti to the World War lyzed with specia ally acted as the obsolete UN security	mber) mber)

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

legislation. This study was performed for the President's Commission on Military Compension under Contract MDA903-78-C-0177.

ABSTRACT

This study examines the issue of youth and vigor as it impacts on the military retirement system. Particular emphasis is given to the period from 1900 to the present time. The study analyzes the legislative actions that have occurred since the establishment of a permanent U.S. military organization and notes the absence of any generally accepted definition of youth and vigor and the absence of usable data prior to the World War II era. The legislative history of military retirement is analyzed with special emphasis on the force management objectives which potentially acted as the causative agents for the legislation. This study was performed for the President's Commission on Military Compensation under Contract MDA903-78-C-0177.

)C	Buff Section
ISTIFICATIO	ED
NICTRIAIITI	N/AVAILABILITY CODES
Dist. AV	ALL and / or SPLCIA

SUMMARY

GENERAL

1. This report addresses certain issues associated with the relationship between military retirement policy and legislation and youth and vigor in the Armed Forces. The availability of historical data pertinent to youth and vigor is analyzed and the correlation between retirement legislation and force management objectives discussed.

2. The average age of the nondisability retirees generated by the current military retirement system ranges from about 40 to 44 yr for enlisted personnel to about 45 to 49 yr for officers. Coupled with the closed nature of the military personnel system, the early retirement ages result in a young force, with the average age of an officer approximately 33 yr and that of an enlisted man or woman about 25 yr.

3. The relatively low average age of active military personnel has evolved into a "requirement," and the military retirement system is perceived as an instrument that, in part, contributes to meeting this requirement. However, there are a number of questions concerning the credibility of the "youth and vigor requirement." Given that "youth and vigor" is a bona fide need, questions arise concerning the adequacy of the present system in meeting future personnel needs. These questions range from the definition of "youth and vigor" and how it manifests itself in the military environment to how the current military retirement system sustains the required/desired levels within the active forces.

4. This report focuses on the extent to which youth and vigor has been a factor in military retirement legislation and the utility of the current retirement system in managing the youth and vigor levels of the Armed Forces. In view of this relatively narrow scope, the report should not be interpreted as an attempt to advocate any change to the present military retirement or compensation systems. Such changes must be given proper consideration in light of the full complexities of the issues associated with the need for the compensation and retirement systems to provide sufficient flexibility to military managers while being socially acceptable and economically feasible.

FINDINGS

5. The study encompassed several discrete (although not mutually exclusive) efforts. A brief description of each effort and the resultant findings are given below.

Data Search

6. An effort was undertaken to locate data through which the historical and current definitions and levels of youth and vigor might be quantified and evaluated. Major libraries (e.g., Library of Congress, National Archives) as well as DoD, Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps officers were contacted and/or visited during the search. The findings were as follows:

- Historically, the meaning of youth and vigor has been addressed in nonquantifiable, subjective terms.
- No formal definition of youth and vigor has ever been stated.
- Quantifiable data relevant to youth and vigor have not accompanied military retirement legislation.
 - Prior to 1948, useful data that could quantify youth and vigor were either not collected or not aggregated in a useful manner. In the 1948-1970 period, some data are available, with the latter portion of the period being more complete. From 1971, data are available on automated systems. However, the routinely produced aggregations do not fully describe all of the potentially usable parameters that could be employed in measuring youth and vigor. These parameters would include but not necessarily be limited to:
 - -- Age
 - -- Years of service (YOS) or experience
 - -- Pay grade
 - -- Time in pay grade or experience in grade
 - -- Promotion opportunity or promotability
 - -- Occupational or skill specialty.

Analysis of Legislation

7. Military retirement/severance legislation was analyzed relative to the changes in the total force size occurring at the time the legislation was passed. The findings were:

- Nondisability retirement legislation correlates with force management objectives other than those associated with youth and vigor. Specifically, reductions in force have been accompanied by legislation facilitating the reduction. Once the gross reduction has taken place, officer inventories have been adjusted through the use of "hump" legislation. Beyond the "hump" period, changes in the retirement system have been less significant and tend (until a new force level buildup commences) toward a less liberal system.
- During force level buildups, legislation has been required to remove (voluntarily or otherwise) certain officers/groups of officers. The need for such legislation, even though progressively more liberal voluntary retirement provisions and increasingly specific involuntary retirement provisions have been in effect, highlights the lack of flexibility that has historically restricted the management of the officer forces.
 - Historically, the impetus for nondisability retirement legislation could be focused on a problem in the officer corps. The individual services have apparently coped with enlisted personnel by other means, probably administrative.

Recent Variations in Youth and Vigor Descriptors

8. Recent variations in youth and vigor descriptors were analyzed. Descriptors reviewed included age of force members, age by pay grade, experience, and experience by pay grade. In general, the data reviewed were obtained from the DoD Actuary and covered (at most) the years 1949 to the present. Almost all data were available in an aggregated form for all years. The major finding was that during the past 25 yr, significant variations have occurred in the percentage of the force over age 40, the average age and years of experience by pay grade and other descriptors.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 9. The study's conclusions are as follows:
 - Neither the present military retirement system nor any specific change to it can be supported or opposed solely on the basis of a youth and vigor argument.
 - The relative importance of the need for youth and vigor as a factor in historical changes to the military retirement system cannot be formally quantified. Many of the effects of the changes (e.g., accommodating the removal, voluntarily or otherwise, of military personnel from active duty) may be addressed in terms of broader management objectives, such as control of the total size of the force.
 - The assertion that the current military retirement system "works" is supportable in the sense that the system, together with the rest of the military compensation package, has conceivably (although not necessarily demonstrably) limited fluctuations in certain youth and vigor parameters. This is arguably a "self-fulfilling prophesy" effect.
 - The present military retirement and compensation systems have not "worked" in the sense that:
 - -- Significant fluctuations in youth and vigor descriptors have occurred
 - -- "Hump" legislation has been required
 - -- Pertinent trends in the occupational composition of the force and in morbidity parameters have not been reflected in the systems.
 - -- The system has not been immune from economic and social attack.
 - •
- The ability of the current military compensation and retirement systems to eliminate the need for a "housecleaning" of the officer corps coincident with a full mobilization has

not been tested. There is, however, the potential that such an action will be required/desired should full mobilization occur.

10. The study recommends that additional flexibility be incorporated into the military retirement and compensation package to:

- Accommodate the removal of officers prior to the mandatory retirement point
- Accommodate the extension on active duty of officers who should be continued past the mandatory service in grade points notwith-standing the fact that they will not be promoted.

The manner in which officers who fall into one of the above categories should be identified and the means by which their removal or continuation should be effected should be the subject of further study.

11. It should be anticipated that youth and vigor will continue to be an issue relative to force management objectives and associated retirement and compensation provisions. Accordingly, it is recommended that further study of youth and vigor be undertaken with the objectives of:

- Developing a serviceable definition of youth and vigor
- Developing methodologies to quantify youth and vigor levels and requirements
- Determining and evaluating the potential impact on youth and vigor levels and requirements of factors such as demographic changes in the population of the U.S. and the ability to recruit and retain individuals with the desired/required characteristics
 - Addressing youth and vigor as one of a number of interrelated management objectives and not as an isolated issue.

.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	ABSTRACT	i
	SUMMARY	ii
	LIST OF FIGURES vi	ii
	LIST OF TABLES	ix
Ι.	INTRODUCTION	1
	Background; Purpose and Scope; Contents.	
II.	DATA SEARCH	6
	Need; An Analytical Approach; Sources	
	of Findings	
III.	HISTORICAL ANALYSIS	50
	Approach; Group Characteristics; Summary.	
IV.	RECENT VARIATIONS IN YOUTH AND VIGOR DESCRIPTORS	37
	Descriptors Reviewed; Implications.	
v.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	56
	Conclusions; Recommendations.	

LIST OF FIGURES

Page

4.1.	Percent of Male Military Personnel Over Age 40	40
4.2.	Distribution of Officer Military Personnel on Active Duty by Age for Selected Pay Grades, 30 June 1975	43
4.3.	Distribution of Enlisted Military Personnel on Active Duty by Age for Selected Pay Grades, 30 June 1975	44
4.4.	Average Years of Active Federal Military Ser- vice for Military Personnel on Active Duty 30 June 1949-1976	47
4.5.	Average Years of Service for Pay Purposes Selected Pay Grades	49
4.6.	Average Pay Grade for Military Officers by Years of Service for Pay Purposes	52
4.7.	Average Pay Grade for Enlisted Military Person- nel by Years of Service for Pay Purposes	53

LIST OF TABLES

Page

2.1.	Voluntary Nondisability Retirement Provisions	11
2.2.	Involuntary Nondisability Retirement Provisions	12
3.1.	Years Characterized as "Immediately Prior to or During Strength Build Up" for War and "Peak Strength" Years	32
3.2.	Nondisability Retirement/Severance Legislation Grouped by Proximity to Strength Peak	33
4.1.	Age Distribution of Male Military Personnel	38
4.2.	Numbers of Military Personnel on Active Duty 30 June 1975 by Pay Grade and Age	42
4.3.	Age of Military Personnel on Active Duty 30 June 1975 for Selected Pay Grades	45
4.4.	Average Years of Active Federal Military Service for Military Personnel on Active Duty 30 June 1949-1976	46
4.5.	Average Years of Service for Pay Purposes for Military Personnel on Active Duty 30 June 1957-1973, by Pay Grade	48
4.6.	Average Pay Grade for Military Personnel on Active Duty 30 June 1957-1974, by Years of Service for Pay Purposes	50
4.7.	Division Points for Promotion to Specified Enlisted Grades, 1949-1969	54

ecedING

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 This report analyzes the role youth and vigor requirements have played in the evolution of the current military retirement The objective is to provide insight into the signifisystem. cance and credibility of that role so that it may be treated in the proper context during current or future reviews of the military compensation system. The research effort devoted to the collection of data through which the present and historical levels of youth and vigor might be formally defined and quantified is described and documented. The analyses performed relative to the identification of the causative agents associated with the legislation governing military nondisability retirement and severance are described, and certain aspects of the social, political, economic, and management factors impacting on military retirement policies and procedures are addressed. The work was performed for the President's Commission on Military Compensation under Contract MDA 903-78-C-0177.

BACKGROUND

1.2 The current military retirement system provides active duty military personnel with the option to voluntarily retire with as little as 20 yr of active military service. The result has been a nondisability retirement age averaging in the low 40s for enlisted personnel and mid- to upper-40s for officers. $\frac{1}{2}$

^{1/} OASD (M&RA) Actuarial Consultant, Average Age at Retirement for Military Personnel Receiving Retired Pay on June 30, 1972, by Fiscal Year of Retirement (1,673), 20 September 1973.

These retirement ages are young compared with the average federal civil service retiree (nondisability) age of over 60 yr. $\frac{2}{}$ Both the voluntary and mandatory aspects of the present military retirement system combine to produce a relatively young military force. For example, in 1975, the average age of the U.S. enlisted person was 25 yr and the officer was 33 yr. $\frac{3}{}$

1.3 The youthful nature of the military force has on occasion been addressed in terms of the "requirement" for such a characteristic. The appendices to the <u>Report of the First Quadrennial</u> <u>Review of Military Compensation</u> contain a statement typical of those found in reference to such a "requirement:" $\frac{4}{}$

> For the vast majority of its 'employees' the military organization requires retirement at a relatively young age. The basis for this requirement is an emphasis on the maintenance of a young and vigorous military force capable of performing vital defense and combat missions. As a result of prior experience wherein promotion stagnation and superannuation of personnel led to military forces with less than the desired efficiency and capabilities, the need for such an emphasis is well established and generally recognized.

- OASD (M&RA) Actuarial Consultant, Number of New Retirements Under the Civil Service Retirement Act in FY 1970 by Age, Sex and Type of Retirement (Excludes Deferred Annuitants) (1,492), 27 January 1972.
- OASD (M&RA) Actuary, Number of Military Personnel on Active Duty, June 30, 1975, by Pay Grade and Age, (1,989) V.4-K-R, 10 May 1976.
- 4/ Department of Defense, <u>Modernizing Military Pay: Report of</u> the First Quadrennial Review of Military Compensation, Volume V, The Military Estate Program (Appendices), Appendix III, pp. III-4, 5, 15 January 1969.

1.4 Additional references to the requirement for youth and vigor (particularly those statement offered in support of military retirement legislation) will be found throughout this report. This particular reference, however, contains the essence of the rationale generally associated with youth and vigor arguments. The statement also allows one to question the pros and cons of source aspects of the military retirement system and, in particular, the youth and vigor overtones associated with it. Specifically, the following questions may be asked:

- a. What are/should be the definition and quantification methodologies applicable to the youth and vigor levels of the military forces?
- b. Is there, in fact, a requirement (or set of requirements) for youth and vigor in the military force? If so, how are/can these requirements be stated? Do they vary among subsets of the force (e.g., officer/enlisted, occupational group, pay grade group, etc.)? Do they or should they reflect factors such as technological, sociological or demographic trends, or differences between peacetime and wartime postures?
- c. Can or should youth and vigor requirements be addressed independently of other force management objectives (e.g., those associated with either specific objectives such as promotion flow or skill distributions or those targeted at broader objectives such as total force size)?
- d. To what degree has the need for youth and vigor alone been a factor in the evolution

of the military retirement system? If a historical justification for youth and vigor exists, is the current retirement system "working" in the sense that historical problems have not or will not reoccur?

PURPOSE AND SCOPE

1.5 This report does not profess to comprehensively address all of the above questions. In addition, although our findings are stated and conclusions reached on the basis of the findings offered, neither any specific statement in this report nor the report as a whole should be construed as advocating any change to the present military compensation system. Proper consideration of such changes must be made in light of the need for the military compensation system to provide sufficient flexibility to military managers while simultaneously being socially acceptable, economically feasible and politically palatable. The complexities of these issues strongly mitigates against action based solely on an analysis of a single management objective such as youth and vigor.

1.6 The primary focus of this study is on the questions listed in Paragraph 1.4d. above. Although the other questions shown are addressed in a limited sense, the absence of historical data, the short time frame available to accomplish the work and the involved interrelationships among youth and vigor and other management ends have necessitated that this effort be confined to those issues which can realistically be presented for the Commission's use in consideration of the military compensation problem.

CONTENTS

1.7 The following sections contain a full description of the work accomplished. Section II introduces the need for data to objectively evaluate youth and vigor, describes the search undertaken to locate such data, states the results of the search and details the implications of the results. Section III describes the analyses performed concerning the history of retirement legislation and the findings relative to the youth and vigor issue. In Section IV, recent variations in youth and vigor descriptors are displayed and analyzed. Section V contains the conclusions and recommendations.

1.8 The appendices contain background material and describe peripheral analyses performed. Appendix A is a reprint of a DoD Actuary table summarizing significant retirement legislation. Appendix B contains supplementary figures and tables. In Appendix C the reasons why certain recommendations of the Hook Commission were not incorporated into the Career Compensation Act of 1949 are addressed. Appendix D focuses on the issue of a retirement system which differentiates among age and occupational groups, specifically combat/other occupations. The historical presence of "grandfather clauses" in military retirement legislation is discussed in Appendix E, and the provisions of reserve retirement legislation are analyzed in Appendix F. The Bibliography contains a list of the major references reviewed during this study.

II. DATA SEARCH

2.1 In this section the questions of appropriate definitions and possible descriptors of youth and vigor as they relate to the military force are addressed. Such questions logically led to a search for data through which the historical and current levels of youth and vigor might be observed and evaluated. The approach used in the data search, the results of the search and the implications of the results are described in this section.

NEED ·

2.2 In a sense, the requirement that the military force be youthful and vigorous may be considered as intuitively obvious. One must concede, for example, that a nonyouthful force (with an average age, for example, of 90) totally devoid of "vigor" would be intolerable. On the other hand, there appears to be no precedent for attempting to construct a force with an average age of 12, with each member required to possess the "vigor" of an Olympic decathlon champion. There are then, intuitive bounds to the perceived requirement for youth and vigor in the armed forces. The problem, then, is to address potential or actual definitions and levels of youth and vigor which fall within these intuitive bounds. At the outset one must appreciate the fact that intuition itself will be insufficient to address the issues. It is not intuitively obvious that the youth and vigor level "required" of an officer in pay grade 0-3 is significantly different from that "required" of an officer in pay grade 0-4. Neither is it

intuitively obvious that the youth and vigor level of a 33-yrold is different from that of a 31-yr-old or 35-yr-old. Competitive sports are an example. Most professional football players are retired (voluntarily or involuntarily) from playing status in their mid-30s. On the other hand, few Olympic shooters (rifle, pistol, skeet, and trap) achieve medal-winning stature prior to their 30s. This suggests that factors other than age--repeated physical contact, for example--quickly enter the youth and vigor argument.

Potential Definitions and Descriptors of Youth and Vigor

2.3 Two parallel efforts were undertaken to investigate potential definitions and descriptors of youth and vigor. First the history of military retirement was reviewed and analyzed to determine if a satisfactory set of definitions and descriptors existed either currently or previously. At the same time, the possibility of defining and describing youth and vigor based on a straightforward logical approach was investigated.

2.4 <u>Historical Analysis</u>. The historical analysis accomplished was focused on the potential youth and vigor implications of retirement legislation. Appendix A is a DoD Actuary document summarizing retirement legislation occurring prior to 1960. In addition, an update from 1960 to the present is provided. More comprehensive summaries and/or complete descriptions of military retirement legislation may be found in a number of the references listed in the Bibliography. The background papers to the report of the Third Quadrennial Review of Military Compensation $\frac{1}{}$ provide particularly serviceable summaries.

U.S. Department of Defense, Third Quadrennial Review of Military Compensation, Office of the Secretary of Defense, <u>Military</u> <u>Compensation Background Papers: Compensation and Related Man-</u> <u>power Cost Items, Their Purpose and Legislative Background</u>, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., August 1976.

2.5 <u>Disability Legislation</u>. An analysis of disability legislation reveals certain fundamental aspects of a youth and vigor rationale, as well as bringing to light a portion of the complexities of the issue. The key points are:

Vigor connotations are strongly imbedded in a. disability legislation. Initially the focus was on the physical capability of an individual to properly perform his duties. In 1855 the reasons for incapacities were broadened to include mental and moral unfitness. In general, disability provisions have applied to incapacities which currently exist, are expected to continue to exist and in some manner interfere with the capability to properly perform duties of the office or grade currently held. There is an actual or implied burden of proof in the sense that the existence of a disability must be satisfactorily established. Until 1949 the severity of the disability was pertinent only in relationship to the establishment of the fact that a disability existed and would continue to exist. Since 1949 severity and expected duration of a disability have entered into both the separation from active duty and compensation aspects of the disability system.

b.

Social and economic connotations are present in conjunction with disability legislation. These connotations are:

 Provable incapacitation warrants removal from active duty

- -- Compensation for such removal is justified for those incapacitated as a result of <u>war</u> or <u>national emergency</u>
- -- Self-caused incapacitation warrants no compensation
- -- The amount and manner of compensation should be related to the <u>duration of</u> <u>service</u>
- -- Should the incapacitation no longer exist, payment should cease
- -- Except in time of war, the costs of and total number of individuals receiving disability payments must be controlled.
- c. There are force management implications associated with the disability legislation. Specifically:
 - -- <u>Voluntary egress</u> from active duty of incapacitated individuals cannot be guaranteed
 - -- The "burden of proof" and "currently existing" aspects effectively prevent the use of the disability compensation system as a tool in addressing objectives other than the elimination of clearly incapacitated individuals.

2.6 In summary, the military disability system has historically been tied to a youth and vigor rationale in the sense that members who are and will remain (for more than a short

period of time) unfit to perform the duties of their office or grade are (voluntary or otherwise) removed from active duty. This practice appears to have been socially acceptable, as have provisions for compensating those so removed for reasons beyond their own control. From a management standpoint, the system accommodates the removal of "nonvigorous" individuals to the extent that lack of vigor equates to current, quantifiable disability (specifically physical disability).

Nondisability Legislation

2.7 The age, years of service, pay grade and other descriptors associated with voluntary and involuntary nondisability retirement statutory provisions for regular service members are listed in Tables 2.1 and 2.2. The key points are:

- a. The youth and vigor connotations of the voluntary and involuntary provisions are different.
 - -- Voluntary provisions have, in general, been based on years of service. Initially, <u>Presidential approval</u> was required prior to voluntary retirement. <u>Officer and enlisted</u> provisions have generally been <u>different</u>, with enlisted personnel (at least prior to 1935) able to retire voluntarily with 10 yr of service less than that required of officers. Among the services, officer provisions have varied (prior to 1948) by as much as 10 yr of service at any given time. The <u>Navy</u>, in particular, has on occasion linked age (e.g.,

TABLE	2.1

VOLUNTARY NONDISABILITY RETIREMENT PROVISIONS

Year	Applies to	Provisions
1776-1860	None	
1861	Officers:	40 years of service (YOS) (all services) or 62 years of age (YOA) (Navy only); Presidential approval required
1870	Officers:	30 YOS (Army); Presidential approval required
1873	Officers:	40 YOS and 62 YOA (Navy); Presidential approval required
1882	Officers:	40 YOS (Army); severance pay for those resigning with under 40 YOS
1885.	Enlisted:	30 YOS (Army/Marine Corps)
1889	Officers:	0-4 to C-6, no age or YOS requirement
	Enlisted:	30 YOS <u>and</u> 50 YOA (Navy)
1906	Officers:	O-7 and above, 64 YOA or 1 yr in grade (Army)
1907	Enlisted:	30 YOS (all services)
1908	Officers:	30 YOS (Navy)
1916	Enlisted:	20 YOS or 16 YOS <u>and</u> eligible for honor- able discharge (Navy/Marine Corps)
1925	Enlisted:	20 YOS (Navy/Marine Corps)
1935	Officers:	15 YOS (Army); 0-2, 14 YOS; 0-3, 21 YOS (Navy/Marine Corps)
1938	Officers:	20 YOS (Navy/Marine Corps)
1945	Enlisted:	20 YOS (Army)
1948	Officers:	20 YOS (Army)

TABLE 2.2

INVOLUNTARY NONDISABILITY RETIREMENT PROVISIONS

Year	Applies to	Provisions
1800	Officers and En- listed:	Convenience of the Government (COG) discharges; severance pay (Army)
1802	Officers:	COG, severance pay (Army)
1811	Enlisted:	COG, severance pay (Army) (used as a retention device)
1815	Officers:	COG, severance pay (Army)
1855	Officers:	Nonphysical disability (Navy)
1861	Officers:	45 years of service (YOS) or 62 years of age (YOA), Service Secretary Discretion (Navy)
1862	Officers:	Army/Marine Corps; same as 1861 Navy
1873	Officers:	45 YOS <u>and</u> 62 YOA, Service Secretary discre- tion (Navy)
1882	Officers:	64 YOA (Army)
1890	Officers:	Twice fail promotion, severance pay (Army)
1899	Officers:	Specified number of 0-3 to 0-6's if not enough voluntary retirements (Navy)
1916	Officers:	Nonselected 0-6, 56 YOA; 0-5, 50 YOA; 0-4, 45 YOA: any grade, 64 YOA (Navy)
1920	Officers:	Class "B" officers retired if over 10 YOS, discharged with severance pay if under 10 YOS (Army)
1922	Officers:	Reduction in commissioned strength, under 10 YOS discharged with severance pay, over 10 YOS retired (Army); non-Naval Academy officers exempt from age vs. grade limits (0-4 to 0-6), completion of specified time in grade re- quired (Navy)
1925	Officers:	If fail promotion: under 10 YOS, discharged with severance pay; over 10 YOS, retired as

TABLE 2.2 (cont'd.)

		follows: 0-6, 56 YOA; 0-5, 50 YOA; 0-1 to 0-4, 45 YOA (Marine Corps)
1926	Officers:	Navy age/grade changed to YOS/grade: 0-6, 35 YOS; 0-5, 28 YOS; 0-4, 21 YOS (Navy)
1931	Officers:	0-3's, 45 YOA <u>and</u> 20 YOS, if fail promotion exam (Navy)
1934	Officers:	Marine Corps provisions same as Navy
1935	Officers:	0-2, 14 YOS; 0-3, 21 YOS (Navy/Marine Corps)
1936	Officers:	0-4, 21 YOS; 0-5, 28 YOS; if not on promotion list (Marine Corps)
1938	Officers:	Promotion by selection rather than age or service in grade. 0-2 to 0-4: if fail se- lection twice, retired (over 20 YOS), dis- charged with severance pay (under 20 YOS); 0-5, 0-6: if fail selection twice, retired (Navy/Marine Corps)
1941	Officers:	Army Vitalization Act. Inefficient officers discharged (under 7 YOS), retired (over 7 YOS) (Army)
1947	Officers:	Officer Personnel Act. Army/Air Force: Major General, 62 YOA. All other 60 YOA or not se- lected for promotion and: 0-8, 35 YOS or 5 years in grade; 0-6, 0-7, 30 YOS or 5 years in grade; 0-5, 28 YOS; 0-4, 21 YOS; 0-3, 14 YOS (severance pay); 0-2, 7 YOS (severance pay). Navy/Marine Corps: 0-7, not recom- mended for continuation after twice consid- ered. All others, twice fail selection and 0-6, 30 YOS: 0-5, 26 YOS; 0-4, 20 YOS; 0-2, 0-3, severance pay.
1948	Officers:	Show cause board, retired if over 15 YOS, otherwise discharged with severance pay
1959	Officers:	0-4, 0-5 with least potential, retired early (Navy/Marine Corps)
1960	Officers:	0-5, 0-6 not reaching expected potential, retired early (Army/Air Force)

62 for officers; 50 for enlisted) or <u>pay</u> <u>grade</u> to the voluntary retirement provisions.

-- Prior to 1855, severance pay was used on occasion to compensate Army personnel discharged for the convenience of the government. In subsequent years severance pay has been used in lieu of retirement, particularly for officers in ·pay grades 0-4 and under or with less than 10 yr of service. Initially, involuntary retirement was based on years of service or age. Prior to 1882 officers could be compelled to retire (at the cognizant Service Secretary's discretion) but nothing mandated such retirement. Since 1890, officers falling into the following categories would, at one time or another, by one service or another, be forced to retire or be discharged with severance pay:

--- Twice failing promotion

- --- Nonselected/not promoted and reaching an <u>age or years of service for</u> grade
- --- Identified by board or other action as "<u>Class 'B</u>'"; <u>inefficient</u>, unable to show cause, having least <u>poten-</u> <u>tial</u> or not reaching expected potential.

These provisions have never been the same for all services. Note also that the involuntary statutory provisions have applied

only to officers (except for convenience of the government discharge severance pay in 1800 and 1811).

The social and economic connotations of the nondisability retirement/severance legislation are, based solely on a chronological review, not clear-cut. As discussed in more detail in Section III of this report, the timing of the legislation is generally associated with major changes (buildups or reductions) in force levels surrounding war. During such periods, liberalizing the voluntary retirement provisions by reducing the required years of service and eliminating certain individuals/groups of individuals not needed/wanted by the military would appear to have greater social acceptance than might be found during other periods, say 20 yr after a war with no war on the horizon. In any case, the implications are that voluntary retirement should be based on years of service, and that involuntary retirement/separation of officers should be justified in some manner related to their performance (e.g., after being identified as inefficient, etc., or failing promotion).

c.

b.

The management implications of the nondisability retirement legislation are:

-- Voluntary retirements will not, in themselves, provide the degree of management control desired. Some

form of mandatory egress must be present, particularly during a reduction in force.

-- The flexibility available in managing the enlisted force through the process of administratively refusing to allow reenlistments (and thereby "forcing" retirements) has not been present in officer management tools.

2.8 In summary, the history of nondisability retirement legislation has youth and vigor overtones in the sense that selfelimination from the active force (voluntary retirement) has been made available based principally on length of service, mandatory retirement of older (60-64 yr of age) officers has been established, and removal of nonvigorous officers has occurred to the extent that inefficiency, lack of potential, and failure to be promoted are measures of vigor. On the other hand, the lack of legislative action to mandatorily eliminate enlisted personnel, the differences between officer and enlisted voluntary retirement provisions, and the differences among the services relative to mandatory officer retirement provisions indicate that nondisability retirement serves management objectives that are much broader in scope than those normally associated with youth and vigor arguments.

Legislatively Implied Descriptors

2.9 From the legislative history of military retirement described above, the following composite list of descriptors/measures of youth and vigor arises:

<u>Descriptor</u> Age	Values Appearing in Legislation 50, 60, 62, 64
Age vs. grade	0-7 64; 0-6 56; 0-5 50; 0-1 to 0-4 45
Service vs grade	0-8 35; 0-6, 7 30; 0-5 28; 0-4 21, 20; 0-3 14; 0-2 7
Length of service	45, 40, 30, 20, 16, 15
Nonselected/promoted	Twice fail
Screened out	Inefficient, potential, Class "B," retired if over: 7, 10, 20 yr of service, otherwise severance pay
Disabled	Physical; mental/moral; permanent; percent/temporary

2.10 Any youth and vigor definition and/or quantification methodology based on historical information must be able to address, at a minimum, these descriptors over the range shown for each while simultaneously explaining the variations between officer and enlisted force subsets and among the various services.

AN ANALYTICAL APPROACH

2.11 The historical review of legislation provides some insight into potential descriptors and definitions of youth and vigor as applied to the armed forces. Additional insight may be achieved by addressing the issue in a more straightforward, analytical manner. Such an effort was undertaken by Presearch analysts; the results are described below. Note that many of the aspects of youth and vigor addressed through this approach do, in fact, appear in the historical analysis described above.

Context

2.12 The objective is to address youth and vigor in the context of its implications relative to the armed forces. For this reason, the definitions and quantification methodologies must be limited to those which potentially may enter into an evaluation of the ability of the armed forces to accomplish their required missions. The basic premise, then, is that youth and vigor and ability should be synonymous.

2.13 The ability to perform satisfactorily may be viewed at various levels of aggregation, such as total force, service, service component, officer/enlisted subset, occupational subset or individual. This ability may also be viewed relative to the type of attribute desired/required (e.g., physical, mental, moral) or in terms of the potential variances occurring, required, or desired over time (e.g., peacetime/wartime, present/future). Furthermore the ability may be addressed in terms of the duration it must be present (long-term/short-term, always/infrequently) or the environmental changes which might cause variations (e.g., stressful/ nonstressful situations, at-sea/ashore, under fire/in rear areas). The ability may be stated in terms of either the quantitative (e.g., time until reaching exhaustion, missions before rest required, etc.) or qualitative (e.g., correct decisions under stress, innovative solutions found, etc.) aspects. Still again, the ability can be perceived as potentially having either a thecretical or practical existence (based on factors such as motivation, willingness to perform, etc.). Finally the ability may be stated (as alluded to above) as either required or desired.

Parameter Identification

2.14 Based on the above list of dimensions of ability/youth and vigor, the following list of parameters was developed as an

initial attempt to identify potentially usable measures. (Note: combinations (e.g., age/years of service; age/grade, grade/time in grade) and various aggregations (e.g., individual, force/ service average, average officer/enlisted) are also desired.)

Parameter	Potentially Related to
Age	Physical or mental ability; stamina, endur- ance, health, flexibility, adaptability, productivity. Potentially best used rela- tive to a norm: as a percent of life ex- pectancy, compared to median age of popu- lation or population subset (e.g., working males), etc.
Years of service	Experience; qualitative or quantitative ability; potentially a proxy for age.
Grade	Experience; qualitative or quantitative ability; duration and environment ability required/desired.
Time in grade	Experience; motivation.
Promotion oppor- tunity	Motivation; willingness to perform.
Occupation	Environment; duration ability desired/ required; nature of ability (mental, moral, physical).

Use of the Parameters

2.15 After developing two lists of potentially usable parameters (one based on a historical review, the other through an analytical approach), two alternatives were available:

a. Systematically determine the manner in which ability/youth and vigor varies with each parameter or combination of parameters and identify or develop a methodology for quantifying required or desired levels of ability/youth and vigor. Develop a model to describe the degree to which the actual, historical, or hypothetical levels of youth and vigor (as described by the values of the parameters) match the desired/ required levels.

b. Assume that, as inferred by the legislative history, the threshold of youthful and vigorous/nonyouthful and not vigorous was approached and crossed at certain points in history by certain subsets of the force. Identify these times, quantify the values of the parameters at such times for the subset of concern, evaluate the results and thus "derive" the definitions of and quantification techniques for youth and vigor.

2.16 It should be apparent that the level of effort and time to accomplish Alternative (a) above would far exceed that available during the tenure of the current Commission. It might reasonably be asked if such a systematic approach could, in fact, ever be satisfactorily completed. Therefore Alternative (b) was pursued as described below.

SOURCES SEARCHED

2.17 The potential success in quantifying historical youth and vigor levels is clearly related to the existence, accuracy and completeness of data for use in quantifying the parameters previously identified. Accordingly, a comprehensive search was undertaken to locate such data. The major libraries searched were:

- Library of Congress
- National Archives

- Army Library (Pentagon)
- Department of Commerce
- Bureau of Census
- Department of Labor
- Civil Service Commission.

2.18 Major offices contacted and points of contact were:

- Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (OASD) (Manpower, Reserve Affairs and Logistics), DoD Actuary (K. DeSai)
- OASD (Comptroller), Management Information, Operations and Control Directorate (R. Haber)
- Department of the Army, Office of the Chief of Military History (H. Zeidlik)
- National Archives (S. Walker, Research Consultant; R. Wolfe, Military History Archivist; E. Coffee, Archivist)
- Defense Manpower Data Center (A. Sinaiko)
- Bureau of Naval Personnel, Personnel Statistics Branch (Pers 3C24a) (H. Demsko)
- Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps, Manpower Planning, Programming and Budget Branch (C. Fox)
- Congressional Research Service (R. Goldrich and A. Farlow (retired))
- House Armed Services Committee, Subcommittee on Military Personnel (W. Hogan)
- House Armed Services Committee, Military Compensation Subcommittee (R. Spence)

 Senate Armed Services Committee, Manpower and Personnel Subcommittee (G. Travers).

RESULTS

2.19 Certain pertinent information (e.g., number of military personnel on active duty (1789-1977), number of military personnel by pay grade (1958-1976), age distribution of military personnel (1948, 1950-1976), reenlistment rates (1950-1976)) is available in an aggregated form in Selected Manpower Statistics, published annually by OASD (Comptroller) (1969-1977) or OSD Directorate for Statistical Services (1958-1968). The DoD Actuary has chronological files containing much of this same information as well as information concerning pay rates, those personnel receiving retired pay, mortality data, etc., for the mid-1950s through the present. Examples of these data are shown in Section IV and Appendix B of this report. The Defense Manpower Data Center has ADP files (used, in part, to develop OASD (Comptroller) and DoD Actuary reports) constructed by aggregating information extracted from each Service's ADP system(s). Such files go back only to 1971.

2.20 Nonaggregated raw data are available in documents such as the <u>Army Register</u> (names, rank, date of enlistment of individual service members) or historical Department of Army Comptroller files (noncategorized, nonindexed files held by the National Archives) back to approximately 1900. Aggregating, standardizing and otherwise preparing such data for use would require considerable time and effort (conservatively estimated by one high official at hundreds of man-years).

2.21 Books, material submitted in conjunction with testimony at hearings concerning legislation, and previous studies/

commission reports contain sketchy data characterized as either nonpertinent to a youth and vigor argument (e.g., pay levels), point-in-time (e.g., 1 or 2 yr) or incomplete (e.g., address only certain pay grades, or one or two parameters). References to youth and vigor, when they appear, are in the form of statements (with little or no specific data provided as backup) or anecdotes. One such statement has been referenced in Section I. Others are:

a. In the hearings surrounding the Career Compensation Act of 1949, $\frac{2}{}$ Mr. Keith S. McHugh, member of the Advisory Commission on Service Pay, stated in part:

> ... In the Commission's opinion the purpose of meeting the superannuation problem is basic to sound thinking with respect to a retirement program. All the services have compulsory retirement at a fixed age. One can hardly disagree that the services must be kept alert and vigorous if they are to perform suc-cessfully in critical times. They can scarcely be kept alert and vigorous and provide the kind of leadership to win wars unless service personnel are compelled to retire from active service before they are too old; this, in turn, cannot be accomplished unless appropriate retirement benefits are immediately available.

John L. Hoen, executive secretary, Advisory Committee on Service Pay, testified:

^{2/} The Army Library, <u>Career Compensation Act 1949 Public Law</u> 351, 81st Congress, H. R. 5007, Legislative History, Vol. 3. United States Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1949.
Mr. Chairman, the Hook Commission believed that both the pay scales and the retirement system of any organization should be designed to promote the effective employment of all personnel in the organization. Combined they serve a single purpose and are justified by assuring active and vital uniformed forces. A further reason for retirement pay is justice to the individual. Because of the nature of military service the mass of personnel must, of necessity, be relatively young people. For this reason the Commission has recommended a more liberal factor for computing retirement pay and a lower retirement age than is generally found true in industry.

Commander Donald L. Martineau, USN, included in his remarks:

Throughout the entire history of warfare, positions of command responsibility have always required vigorous physical and mental capacity. It has also been proven highly detrimental in any military organization to retain any officer who prematurely reaches the limit of his abilities and can no longer progress, but who otherwise advances under the military system merely by seniority. Despite these facts, both the Army and the Navy have at various times in the past been seriously reduced in effectiveness by having promotion systems based upon seniority alone. Such a system not only encourages incompetence, but has invariably resulted in almost hopeless promotion stagnation, and a drastic shake-up when faced with actual war.

Those conditions that existed for many years led to the development of a promotion system based upon a selection process. By this means, officers are promoted only if recommended by a statutory selection board.

b. Mr. John J. Ford, staff director of the House of Representatives Committee on Armed Services, speaking before the committee on 10 July 1974, said in part:

> Now, all the problems that you are having nowadays with the question of when you retire, and how you pay retired pay to military people, started because at that time you had absolute seniority as a sole means of promotion, you had finite limits on the numbers of officers in each grade; you had absolutely no provision for getting rid of anybody except by dying.

When the Civil War started the junior captain in the U.S. Navy had 42 years of service, and the average captain in the Army was in his fifties. Many of the majors in the Army were in their sixties and seventies. In fact, the commanding officer of the Army at that time was Gen. Winfield Scott who was 82 years old and 325 pounds and used a block and tackle to get up on his horse. He was pretty heavy and pretty pompous but still a very good general, by the way.

c. The background papers to the report of the Third Quadrennial Review of Military Compensation $\frac{3}{}$ contain the following references:

The effect on the services of this lack of removal authority was pungently described shortly after the outbreak of the Civil War in a Senate debate on a military retirement bill:

MR. GRIMES: Mr. President, I think the Senate and the country have been taught a lesson within the past few months on

3/ DoD, op. cit.

this subject...The immense destruction of public property at Norfolk was occasioned more on account of the age and the weakness and the inability of the commanding officer who was upon your active list, than from any other reason; not that he has not been one of the most gallant and efficient officers of the naval service, but the time for his service has passed by, and the Government ought to have furnished a retired list on which he could have retired years ago, reputably and safely to himself and the country.

MR. WILSON:Why, sir, take the four regiments of artillery. Four of those colonels ought to be retired. Not one of those colonels, owing to their age or infirmities, or other causes, will be ordered into the field. Two of the lieutenant colonels are in the same condition, and some of the majors. Go to your other regiments and you will find worn out, sick, or disabled officers in high positions.

and:

Except for an 1855 statute that served a compulsory retirement purpose for Navy Officers, there were no provisions of law prior to 1861 that allowed either voluntary or involuntary retirement from active military service. The effect of this lack of retirement authority was described many years later in Congressional study of Army retirement:

The unsatisfactory personnel conditions in the Regular Army which prompted these repeated recommendations of the War Department that Congress provide some form of retirement for the Regular Army were emphasized during the extended field service required over the period 1812-1861. While the law provided a pension of one-half pay for disabled officers, there existed no provision for compulsory separation from active service of old and disabled officers; there was no limit to active service save by dismissal or resignation of the officer. Thus, an officer could remain on active duty until death, despite incapacity due to old age, physical disability, etc. In consequence, many junior officers exercised commands in the field beyond their rank, the old and disabled officers who should have exercised these commands being left behind--often on leave--whenever field service was performed.

A discussion of the 1949 Advisory Commission on Service Pay (Hook Commission) is presented in Appendix C.

2.22 Data relevant to the need for a youthful and vigorous combat force are addressed in Appendix D. Ginzberg $\frac{4}{}$ and Stouffer $\frac{5}{}$ address certain aspects of youth and vigor. For example, combat performance of individuals in various age groups is described. The cohorts addressed in these references are largely (or in the case of Ginzberg, entirely) composed of new inductees. Although the implications are that older men are less effective than younger individuals, the results appear most pertinent to mobilization planning rather than retirement issues. Ginzberg states for example:

> The Army might have decided to screen the overage group and to release only those who were clearly ineffective. But having decided that men over 37 were a liability, the Army found it easier to separate the entire group.

4/ E. Ginzberg, et al., <u>The Ineffective Soldier</u>, Columbia University Press, New York, 1959.

5/ S. A. Stouffer, <u>et al.</u>, <u>The American Soldier to Combat and</u> <u>Its Aftermath</u>, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1950.

The fact that an older soldier was performing satisfactorily in a clerical assignment in the Zone of Interior was surely no guarantee that he would have the stamina to cope with an overseas assignment, especially in or near combat. And the Army kept its eyes focused on its combat mission. No one who re-calls the "old man" of 40 in a barracks with 18- and 19-yr-olds will question the difficulties of mixing younger and older men in the same unit. The older the man, the more difficulty he encountered in meeting strenuous training and duty assignments. But if the Army had found it as necessary as our Allies did to conserve manpower, most of these men could have made the grade, despite their age, if appropriate adjustments had been made. It is conducive to neither individual nor group morale to have an 18-yr-old sergeant or a 20-yr-old lieutenant command a group composed of much older men. Effective group performance usually requires some limitation on the age range of its members and particularly in the age structure of superiors and subordinates.

SUMMARY

2.23 In summary, the net findings of the search for quantifiable data relative to youth and vigor were:

- a. "Hard" data relevant to youth and vigor have not generally accompanied legislation.
- b. Prior to 1948, such data were apparently not collected or, as a minimum, not aggregated in a usable manner. Attempts to reconstruct such data are unlikely to be successful.
- c. Post-1948 data exist. For years earlier than about 1955 the data are sketchy. For 1955-1971 the data are increasingly more complete. For 1971 and forward, data are available in automated systems. The routinely produced aggregations of post-1971 data do not, however, fully

describe all the parameters potentially usable as measures of youth and vigor.

IMPLICATIONS OF FINDINGS

2.24 The implications of these findings are that youth and vigor have been and currently are addressed in generally nonquantifiable, subjective terms. There is not, nor has there ever been, a formal definition or quantification of youth and vigor. The intuitive aspects of youth and vigor are identifiable in the legislative history; more substantive aspects are not.

III. HISTORICAL ANALYSIS

3.1 In this section military retirement/severance legislation is analyzed relative to the changes in the total force size occurring at the time the legislation was passed.

APPROACH

3.2 A plot of the total number of military personnel on active duty was developed for the period 1789-1977. The dates major nondisability retirement/severance laws were passed and the provisions of each law were noted on the plot and the resultant array analyzed to determine what patterns (if any) existed between force level changes and retirement/severance legislation.

Force Level Changes

3.3 The major changes in the number of military personnel on active duty are, naturally, associated with periods of war. The general pattern observed was:

- a. From a standing prewar active duty strength level of X, a war-related strength buildup to a peak, Y, occurs over a relatively short (2-4 yr) period. Y may be on the order of 3 to nearly 50 times X.
- b. From the war peak Y, the number of military personnel on active duty falls rapidly to a

postwar level of Z. The reduction occurs primarily in the first year or two after Y is reached and then at a slower pace throughout the remainder of the 4 years following the peak. Z may be on the order of 1.5 to nearly 6 times the prewar strength level X.

c. The postwar active duty strength remains stable at Z or exhibits a small (typically 3% per year) growth until the next major strength buildup for war occurs.

Grouping of Legislation

3.4 Based on the above pattern, legislation was grouped relative to the proximity of the date the legislation was passed to the year an active duty strength peak occurred. The years characterized as immediately prior to or during a strength buildup for war and the associated peak-strength year are displayed in Table 3.1. Nondisability retirement/severance legislation is grouped into five categories in Table 3.2, based on the period during which the legislation was passed: immediately prior to or during a strength buildup, 1-4 years after strength peak (i.e., demobilization), 5-10, 11-15, or 16 or more years after strength peak. The characteristics of each group of legislation are described below.

GROUP CHARACTERISTICS

3.5 During force level buildups for war, voluntary retirement provisions were relaxed. First, voluntary provisions were introduced for officers (1861) where no such provision had previously been in effect. During subsequent force level buildups,

TABLE 3.1

YEARS CHARACTERIZED AS "IMMEDIATELY PRIOR TO OR DURING STRENGTH BUILDUP" FOR WAR AND "PEAK STRENGTH" YEARS

War/Conflict	Immediately Prior to or During Strength Buildup	Peak Strength Year
War of 1812	1811-1814	1814
Mexican War	1845-1848	1848
Civil War	1861-1865	1865
Spanish-American War	1897-1898	1898
World War I	1916-1918	1918
World War II	1935-1945	1945
Korean Conflict	1950-1952	1952
Vietnam Conflict	1965-1968	1968

8.0

TABLE 3.2

NONDISABILITY RETIREMENT/SEVERANCE LEGISLATION GROUPED BY PROXIMITY TO STRENGTH PEAK

Year	Legislation Occurring Immediately Prior t	o or During Force Level Buildup for War
	Voluntary Retirement/Severance Provisions	Involuntary Retirement/Severance Provisions
1811	Severance pay for Army enlisted personnel with over 5 YOS (For retention purposes).	
1861	Officers: voluntary retirement at 40 YOS; Presidential discretion. Navy - 40 YOS or 62 yr of age (YOA) (first voluntary retirement law).	Navy officers: compulsory (Secretarial discretion) at 45 YOS or 60 YOA.
1862		Army/Marine Corps officers: same as 1861 Navy.
1916	Fleet reserve established for Navy/Marine Corps enlisted personnel; transfer at 16 YOS if eligible for honorary discharge, 20 YOS other- wise. (Previously 30 YOS and 50 YOA.)	Navy officers: 64 YOA or nonpromoted 0-6 (56 YOA), 0-5 (50 YOA), 0-4 (45 YOA).
58.61	Army officers: reduced to 15 YOS; Navy officer: reduced to 7 YOS for 0-2, 14 YOS for 0-3.	Navy officers: 0-2 (17 YOS), 0-3 (21 YOS).
1936		Marine Corps officers; 0-4 (21 YOS), 0-5 (28 YOS).
8661	Navy/Marine Corps officers: 20 YOS (previously 30 YOS); promotion by selection.	Navy/Marine Corps officers; retirement by selection: 0-5 and above; two fails retired; 0-2 to 0-4; 0-2 to 0-4; two fails retired 1f over 20 YOS; severance pay if under 20 YOS.
1941	1	Army Vitalization Act: officers with under 7 YOS discharged, over 7 YOS retired.
1945	Transfer of Army enlisted personnel to Enlisted Reserve Corps at 20 YOS (previously retire at 30 YOS).	
	Legislation Occurring 1-4 Yi	: After Strength Peak
1815		Severance pay for Army officers discharged at convenience of the government
1899	Navy officers: no age or YOS requirement for 0-4 to 0-6; Navy en- listed personnel - 30 YOS and 50 YOA (lst voluntary provision for Mavy enlisted).	Navy officers: up to specified number of 0-3 to 0-6 if not enough voluntary retirements.
1919	Army officers: disability retirees go on "unlimited" list-made space for more nondisability retirees.	Same as voluntary.
1920		Class "B" Army officers: retired if over 10 YOS, severance pay if under 10 YOS.
1922		Reduction in Army commissioned strength: under 10 YOS, severance pay, over 10 YOS retired.
1947	-	Officer Personnel Act: reduced mandatory to 60 YOA; set time in ser- vice versus grade points for those not selected for promotion.
1948	Army/Air Force officers: 20 YOS (previously 15 YOS).	Show Gause Board for Army/Air Force officers.

H-3 1-3 1-5

TABLE 3.2 (Cont)

Γ.	Legislation Occurring 5-10 Y	r After Strength Peak
lear	Voluntary Retirement/Severance Provisions	Involuntary Retirement/Severance Provisions
1855	-	Navy officers: removal for other than physical disabilities.
1870	Army officers: 30 YOS, Presidential discretion (previously 40 YOS).	Severance pay for Army officers honorably discharged.
1873	Navy officers: 40 YOS and 62 YOA (previously or 62 YOA).	Navy officers: minimum of 62 YOA nonphysical disability retirement.
1906	Navy officers: 0-7 and up: 64 YOA or 1 yr in grade.	-
1907	Enlisted personnel: 30 YOS (standardized - previously 30 YOS and 50 YOA for Navy).	
1908	Navy officers: 30 YOS (previously 40 YOS except 0-4 to 0-6 any time).	-
1925	Navy/Marine Corps enlisted: 20 YOS (for new enlistees. Previously 16 YOS).	
1926		Navy officers: age in grade changed to YOS in grade: 0-6 (35 YOS), 0-5 (28 YOS), 0-4 (21 YOS): Marine Corps officers; under 10 YOS, severance pay; over 10 YOS: 0-6 (56 YOA), 0-5 (50 YOA), 0-1 to 0-4 (45 YOA).
1959		Navy Hump Bill: early retirement of 0-4, 0-5s with least potential.
1960	-	Army/Air Force: early retirement of 0-5, 0-6s not reaching expected potential.
	Legislation Occurring 11-15	Yr After Strength Peak
1378	Army officers: "limited" retired list increased to 400.	Same as voluntary.
1691	-	Navy officers: 0-3 45 YOA and 20 YOS and fail exam.
	Legislation Occurring 16 Yr or	More After Strength Peak
1882	Army officers: 40 YOS (previously 30 YOS, Presidential discretion).	Army officers: 64 YOA (previously 60 YOA, Secretarial discretion) severance pay for "supernumararies."
1883	Army officers: number of 40 YOS/64 YOA retirees unlimited.	Same as voluntary.
1885	Army/Marine Corps enlisted: 30 YOS (first voluntary retirement for enlisted).	
1890	No pensions for any officer (short duration).	No pensions for any officer (short duration); severance pay for officers failing promotion.
1891	Army officers: "limited" list reduced to 350 but disability retirees shift to "unlimited" list at 64 YOA.	Same as voluntary.

the years of service required to retire voluntarily were progressively reduced for officer and/or enlisted personnel. During these same periods involuntary retirement provisions were introduced (1861, 1862) or refined to accommodate the removal of certain officers (e.g., those not selected for promotions (1916, 1935, 1936, 1938) or otherwise not deemed fit for continued service (1941)).

3.6 During the periods 1-4 yr after a strength peak, voluntary retirement provisions were modified to standardize the provisions among the services (1899, 1948) or to facilitate the retirement of officers (1899, 1919). Involuntary removal of officers, particularly Army officers, was accomplished through the use of various types of removal boards. Officers so removed with less than (typically) 10 yr of service were awarded severance pay.

3.7 The periods 5-10 yr after a strength peak were characterized by the standardization of voluntary retirement provisions and/or the modification of provisions to limit the premature retirement of certain groups of officers. During these periods, involuntary removal of excess/unwanted officers (primarily 0-4s and above) was often necessary.

3.8 The periods 11-15 yr after a strength peak contained too few legislative events to provide a definite characterization. During the period 16 yr and more after the Civil War strength peak (the only such period occurring since the first nondisability retirement/severance law was passed), the trend was toward more restrictive voluntary and involuntary retirement provisions for officers. The enactment of the first voluntary retirement provisions for enlisted personnel during this period is contrary to what otherwise would be considered a period of increasing social dissatisfaction with the military retirement system.

SUMMARY

3.9 In general, nondisability retirement/severance legislation correlates with overall military force level management objectives. Initial reductions in force after a war are accompanied by/accomplished in part through the use of involuntary retirement laws. Voluntary retirement provisions are standardized during the same period. Once the initial size of the force is reduced to the desired level, the officer inventory is readjusted through the use of "hump" legislation. After roughly 10 yr, changes are less frequent and tend (until a force level buildup commences) toward a less liberal system.

3.10 The liberalization of voluntary retirement provisions and removal of officers by involuntary retirement that has occurred during force level buildups is counter to the objective of increasing the size of the force which exists at the time, but is consistent with the objective of preparing the force for war. The need for such legislation, even though progressively more liberal voluntary retirement provisions and increasingly specific involuntary retirement provisions have been in existence prior to the enactment of the legislation, highlights the lack of flexibility that historically has been available for managing the officer forces.

IV. RECENT VARIATIONS IN YOUTH AND VIGOR DESCRIPTORS

4.1 In this section, recent variations in youth and vigor descriptors are described and the implication of these variations discussed.

DESCRIPTORS REVIEWED

4.2 The descriptors reviewed included age of the armed force as a whole, age by pay grade, experience of the force as a whole, and experience by pay grade. In general, the data reviewed were obtained from the DoD Actuary and cover (at most) the years from 1949 to the present. Not all data were available in an aggregated form for all years.

Age of the Force

4.3 The age distribution of male military personnel as of 30 June 1954 through 30 June 1976 is shown in Table 4.1. The percent over 40 yr of age for each year is plotted on Figure 4.1. Starting from a level of 3.5% in 1954, the percent of male military personnel over 40 reached a peak of 9.5% in 1961, dropped to 5.7% in 1968 and has averaged about 5.7% since 1971.

4.4 In general, those individuals over 40 may be characterized as either officers in pay grades 0-4 to 0-10 or enlisted personnel in pay grades E-6 to E-9. At any point in time, however, the age range encountered in a given pay grade may be quite broad. The number of military personnel on active duty 30 June

Attained	1 20 100	20 700	20 100	20 100	20 100	1 20 7.00	30 100	130 Tun	30 100	30 100	30 .700
Accalled	1954	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964
		1333	1,50	133.	1750	1333	1700	1701	1701	1,003	1704
17	1.9	2.8	3.0	2.3	2.0	1.9 /	2.5	2.2	1.7	1.8	1.8
18	4.5	6.4	7.0	6.5	5.4	5.5	5.7	6.5	5.6	5.1	4.6
19	7.3	9.4	10.0	10.2	9.1	8.4	8.8	9.7	9.5	8.8	7.8
20	11.1	10.4	11.0	10.8	10.1	9.2	9.3	9.5	9.7	10.7	9.8
				1. 1942. 18							1
21	15.7	11.8	10.0	9.4	8.5	8.0	7.7	7.6	8.2	8.8	10.7
22	12.8	9.8	9.1	9.3	7.4	6.9	6.3	5.7	6.7	6.6	8.3
			7.0								7 6
23	9.4	1.9	1.0	8.3	9.1	8.2	6.5	5.0	6.6	7.0	6.2
24	0./	0.1	5.2	4.9	0.3	1.2	0.9	0.4	0.0	7.0	0.2
		1.1				1.					
25	4.7	4.8	4.3	4.1	3.7	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.7	. 5.1	4.5
26	3.0	3.8	3.8	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.7	3.3	3.3
27	2.1	2.8	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.1	2.8	2.8
28	1.8	2.1	2.6	2.8	3.0	3.1	2.9	2.8	2.5	2.6	2.6
			•								
29	1.7	1.8	1.9	2.2	2.7	3.1	3.0	2.8	2.4	2.4	2.5
30	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.7	2.2	2.7	3.0	3.0	2.4	2.4	2.3
									20		
31	1.0	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.9	2.1	2.5	2.8	2.0	2.3	2.2
32	1.7	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.9	2.1	2.3	2.0	2.0	2.4
					11230						
33 '	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.9	2.2	2.3	2.5	2.4
34	1.6	1.8	1.9	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.9	2.1	2.4
35	1.5	1.7	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.9	2.1
36	1.3	1.5	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.5	1.6	1.8
								1	1.0		
37	1.0	1.4	1.5	1.7	1.9	2.0	1.8	1.8	1.0	1.5	1.5
30	0.9	1.2	1.2	1.4	1 4	1.0	1.9	1 1 0	1.4	1.3	1 3
39	0.7	1.0				4.0	1.5				
40 - 44							6.3	6.4	5.8	5.5	5.0
45 - 49	2/	a/	a/	a/	a/	a/	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5
50 and Over	=	=	-	2	-	=	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	
Over 40	3.5	4.3	5.2	5.9	7.2	8.2	9.4	9.5	9.0	8.8	8.4
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.0%	100.00	100.0%	100.00	100.00
			1.152-53								

TABLE 4.1

AGE DISTRIBUTION OF MALE MILITARY PERSONNEL* (percent)

a/ Source data incomplete for ages 40 and over.

* Department of Defense OASD (Comptroller), Directorate for Management Information Operations and Control, 6 April 1977, Table P25.2.

Attained	30 Jun	30 Jun										
Age	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974*	1975	1976
17	1.6	1.2	0.9	0.8	1.1	1.7	2.0	1.9	2.0	2.1	1.7	1.8
18	5.2	5.0	4.0	3.5	3.8	3.5	4.4	4.7	5.5	5.8	5.9	5.6
			1.0	5.5		3.5				5.0		
10	7		0.4	76					0 7	0.1		
19	1.2	11.4	9.4	1.0	0.5	8.4	8.2	8.2	8.7	9.1	9.4	9.4
20	9.0	12.8	16./	10.1	14.1	12.2	11.8	10.0	10.8	9.7	9.8	9.8
21	10.7	10.9	13.6	16.4	14.9	13.3	12.5	10.0	10.1	9.9	8.9	8.8
22	9.5	8.7	8.5	8.5	11.4	10.7	10.2	8.6	7.6	7.9	7.8	7.3
23	7.6	6.7	6.5	6.4	6.8	8.5	7.6	7.3	6.1	5.8	6.1	6.0
24	5.7	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.6	5.7	5.1	4.8	4.9	5.1
							1					
25	4.0	3.6	1 2 0	4.2	4 1	3.0	3.8	40	4 5	4 4	43	4 4
26	2 1	2.0	3.5	2 1	3 2	2.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	2.0	4.0	3.0
40	3.1	4.0	3.0	3.1	3.2	2.9	2.5	3.0	4.0	3.5	4.0	3.3
21	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.5	2.5	2.0	2.8	3.7	3.0	3.1
28	2.5	2.2	1.9	1.8	1.9	2.0	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.4	3.5	3.4
									1.1			12.5
29	2.5	2.1	1.9	1.7	1.6	1.7	1.9	2.5	2.3	2.3	2.3	3.4
30	2.3	2.1	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.8	2.1	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.3
31	2.2	2.0	1.8	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.8	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.3	2.2
32	2.2	2.0	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.4	2.2
33	2.3	2.0	1.7	1.8	1.6	1.7	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.4
34	2.4	2.0	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.2
15	25	21	17	17	16	1 1 7	20	2.2	2.2	22	2.2	2 2
36	2.2	2.1	1 0	1 0	1 6	1 7	1 0	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.2
30		2.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.9	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.2
						1.00					1. S. S. 194	
				1								
37	1.0	1.8	1.0	1.8	1.5	1./	1.8	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.0
38	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.9	1.9	2.0	1.9	1.8
39	1.2	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.5
			1.1									
40 - 44	4.6	3.9	3.2	2.9	3.3	4.2	3.9	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5
45 - 49	2.6	2.3	2.0	1.9	1.6	2.0	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.5	1.5
50 & Over	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.9	1.1	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.4
Total												
Over 40	8.2	7.1	6.0	5.7	5.8	7.3	6.2	7.1	6.9	6.8	6.5	6.4
Total	100.00	100.01	100.01	100.01	100.01	100.01	100.01	100.01	100.01	100.01	100.01	100.01

TABLE 4.1 (Cont)

 Revised based on an extract of Enlisted and Officer Master Personnel files provided by the Military Services.





1975 is broken out by pay grade and age in Table 4.2. The percent distributions for selected officer and enlisted pay grades (calculated from Table 4.2) are plotted in Figures 4.2 and 4.3. The range of ages encountered and percentile profiles for the plotted pay grades are displayed in Table 4.3.

Experience of the Force

4.5 The average years of Active Federal Military Service for military personnel on active duty as of 30 June for selected years (1949-1976) are displayed in Table 4.4 and plotted in Figure 4.4. For the years shown, the maximum average years of experience encountered for officers was 11.92 (1961) and the minimum 8.64 (1953), a difference of 3.28 yr of service. Similarly, for enlisted personnel the maximum encountered was 6.33 (1961) and the minimum 3.34 (1953), a difference of 2.99 yr of service. These variations have not been uniformly distributed by pay grade as may be seen in Table 4.5 (Average Years of Service for Pay Purposes for Military Personnel on Active Duty 30 June 1957-1973, by Pay Grade) and Figure 4.5, in which select pay grades are plotted using the data in Table 4.5.

4.6 Variations in the average pay grade attained for a given years of service have also occurred, as may be seen in Table 4.6 and Figures 4.6 and 4.7. For example, in the period 1957-1974, the average pay grade for commissioned officers with 10 yr of service for pay purposes varied from a low of 2.6 (1958) to a high of 3.5 (1971). Similarly, the average pay grade for enlisted personnel with 10 yr of service ranged from a low of 4.9 in 1964 to a high of 5.7 four years later. This type of variation may be further seen in reviewing the division points for promotion to pay grades E-2 to E-9, as shown in Table 4.7.

PRESEARCH INCORPORATED TABLE 4.2

NUMBER OF MILITARY PERSONNEL ON ACTIVE DUTY 30 JUNE 1975 BY PAY GRADE AND AGE*

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

	AND	TOTAL.					3 +	FIGE			AJES					
AGF	ENLISTED	OFFICERS	0-10	0-9	0-6	0-7	0-6			0-3	0-2	0-1	4-4			
18	68,810 175,966	1 2										ł				
20	214.339 205.422	31 234									1	157			12	23
22	179.835	10.019								2	439	4,955			52	131
25	96.615	15.014								639	10.446	4.295			13.	
26	89.402 41.257	17.603							14	9,909	18,598	2,388		2	473 522	127
28	74.469	19.005							617	13.444	3,105	1,625		27	491	196
30	46.484	13.589						1 5	1.278	10.281	1.018	496 331		35	335	143
32 33	48,385 48,186	13.458						15	2.477 3.481	9.413 7.311	522 459	253 174		56	516	286
36	44.530	10,809						144	4,628	4,519	337	120		561	662	162
16	44.225	10.726					3	432	6,467	2.245	240 213	93	29	577	676	101
38 19	60.896 34,799	9.744					11	1,553 2,978	5,585	1,433	128	21	68 73	522 519	452	59
	29.098	4.602				• • •	124		2.953	693	43	:	13	453	207	23
42	16.507	7.543				3.	513	4,354	1,787	295	14	2	129	31.7	115	
44	13, 573	5.004				i .	- 1,551	2.674	979	168	7		147	211	53	
46	11.826 9,287	4.357			1	28	1.938	2,888	753	128	2	1	153	156	59	2
		2.189		2	15	69	1.463	654	100	27	2		79	79	11	۱
50	2.723	1.567				98	479	374	93	2	1		39	26		
51 52	2.275	1.408	1	11	61 49	69 43	762	375 279	63 39	1.			38	13	5	
54	1. 366	756	ĩ	19	67	17	421	179	· 16	3	1		20	"	,	
55	693	495 352	;	14	43 28	13	278	111 91	6				21	:		
57 54	389 216	236	3	14	20	5	121	55	2	1			13	•	•	
59	162	93	2	1	,		36	18			1		10	•		
ALL	2.117.405	292.424	35	120	439	585	14.797	33,261	54.567	94 .638	41.920	34.876	1.624	5.108	4. 697	2.557
AVERI	26.5	* 33.1	56.1	54.8	52.7	49.3	\$7.3	42.4	36.9	38.7	26.6	24.7		39.4	33.0	38.2
	TOTAL.			ENL	ISTE	0 P A		ADES								
AGE							-									
	ENCISIEU	6-4	E-8	E-7	E-6	E-5	E-4	E-3	E-2	E-1						
17 18 19	64,419 68,809 175,964	[-4	E-8 1	E-7 1 1	E-6 1 7	E-5 284	F-4 7 1.208 12,571	E-3 103 5,305 46,009	E-2 579 28,187 78,2%	E-1 5,729 31,100 38,797						
17 18 19 28	6,419 68,889 175,964 214,088	,,	E-8	E-7 1 1 3 3	E-6 1 7 14	E-5 8 204 1,905	E-4 7 1.208 12,571 46,532 74,676	E-3 103 8,305 46,009 78,616 68,728	E-2 579 28,187 78,294 68,895 35,683	E-1 5,729 31,100 36,797 26,843 15,927						
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	6,419 68,809 175,964 214,008 205,188 175,967 146,440	2 2	E-8 1 1 2	E-7 1 3 3 12	E-6 1 7 14 46 158 868	E-5 8 284 1,985 10,203 21,252 29,521	E-4 7 1,208 12,571 46,532 76,676 84,219 72,489	E-3 103 8,305 46,009 78,616 68,728 45,430 26,934	E-2 579 28,187 78,294 68,895 35,683 19,697 11,167	E-1 5,729 31,100 36,797 26,843 15,927 9,322 5,445						
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	6,419 68,809 175,964 214,008 205,188 175,987 146,440 101,267	2 2	E-6 1 1 2	E-7 1 1 3 3 12 6	E-6 1 7 14 46 158 868 2,871	E-5 8 204 1,905 10,203 21,252 29,521 30,538	E-4 7 1,208 12,571 46,532 74,676 88,219 72,489 42,529	E-3 103 8,305 46,009 78,616 68,728 45,430 26,934 15,094	E-2 579 28,187 78,294 64,495 35,683 19,697 11,167 6,769	E-1 5,729 31,100 36,797 26,843 15,927 9,322 5,445 3,459						
17 18 19 20 21 23 23 25 25 25	6,419 68,889 175,964 214,088 205,188 175,987 146,448 101,267 88,814 71,799 62,671	2 2 1	E-8 1 1 2 1	E-7 1 1 3 3 8 12 6 30 8 77 77	E-6 1 7 14 46 158 868 2,871 5,634 8,708 11,461	E-5 8 204 1,905 10,203 21,252 29,521 30,538 32,607 34,230	E-4 7 1,208 12,571 46,532 74,676 84,219 72,489 42,529 27,064 18,668	E-3 103 8,305 46,009 78,616 68,728 45,430 26,934 15,094 9,172 6,088 4,200	E-2 579 28,187 76,29 64,495 35,683 19,697 11,167 6,769 4,359 2,675 2,875 2,864	E-1 5,729 31,100 38,797 26,043 15,927 9,322 5,445 3,459 1,947 1,153 772						
17 18 19 21 22 23 24 25 27 28 27 28 29	6.419 68.809 175.965 214.088 205.188 175.987 146.448 101.267 88.814 71.799 62.671 35.386	2 2 1	E-8 1 1 2 3	E-7 1 1 3 3 6 12 6 38 77 156 559 1,250	E-6 1 7 14 46 158 868 2,871 5,634 8,708 11,661 13,920 14,014	E-5 8 204 1,905 10,203 21,252 29,521 30,538 32,607 34,230 31,940 20,217 21,453	E-4 7 1.205 12,571 46,532 74,676 84,219 72,449 42,529 27,064 18,666 11,876 7,591 4,961	E-3 103 8,305 46,009 78,616 68,728 45,430 26,934 15,094 9,172 6,888 4,200 9,172 6,888 4,200 7,119	E-2 579 28,187 78,294 68,495 35,683 19,697 11,167 6,769 4,359 2,875 2,864 1,539 990	E-1 5,729 31,100 36,797 26,843 15,927 9,322 5,445 3,459 1,947 1,153 772 476 278						
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	6,419 64,609 175,964 214,008 205,184 175,967 146,440 101,267 80,814 71,799 62,671 145,334 44,666 32,895	2 2 1 1	E-6 1 1 2 3 13	E-7 1 1 3 3 6 12 6 38 77 156 559 1,250 1,903	E-6 1 7 14 56 868 2,671 5,634 8,708 11,661 13,929 14,014 12,103	E-5 8 204 1,905 10,203 21,252 29,521 30,538 32,607 34,238 31,940 20,217 21,853 13,927	E-4 7 1,208 12,571 46,532 74,676 88,219 72,449 42,529 27,064 13,666 11,476 7,591 4,961 3,633 7,633	E-3 103 8,305 46,009 78,616 68,728 45,430 26,934 15,094 9,172 6,008 4,208 3,079 2,119 1,146	E-2 579 28,187 76,29 64,895 35,643 19,697 11,167 6,769 4,359 2,875 2,875 2,875 2,854 1,539 990 568	E-1 5,729 31,100 36,797 26,843 15,927 9,322 5,459 1,947 1,153 772 476 270 201						
17 16 19 20 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	6,419 64,69 175,964 214,008 205,108 175,967 146,440 101,267 84,814 71,799 62,671 55,384 44,668 32,895 32,538 34,467	2 2 1	E-8 1 1 2 3 1 1 3 70 239 556	E-7 1 1 3 3 8 6 5 5 5 9 1, 250 1, 250 1, 250 1, 255 5, 555 5, 555	E-6 1 7 14 46 158 858 2,871 5,634 8,768 11,461 13,920 14,81 13,766 15,766	E-5 8 244 1,905 10,203 21,223 22,521 30,538 32,607 34,238 31,940 20,217 21,4538 13,927 12,409 10,638 4,769	E-4 7 1,206 84,571 46,532 74,676 84,512 72,646 13,665 11,479 13,633 2,800 1,438	E-3 103 5,305 46,039 78,616 66,726 45,439 26,934 15,094 9,172 6,086 4,200 3,079 2,119 2,1146 780 6,18 6,18 6,18 6,18 6,18 6,18 6,18 78 6,18 6,18 78 6,18 78 78 6,18 78 78,18 79,18 78,18 78,18 78,18 78,18 78,18 78,18 78,18 79,172 78,18 79,18 77,18 77,18 77,18 78,18 79,18 77,18 77,172 77,18 77,19 77,18 77,18 77,18 77,18 77,18 77,18 74,19,19,19,19,19,19,19,19,19,19,19,19,19,	E-2 579 28.187 78.23% 64.495 35.643 19.697 11.167 6.769 4.359 2.876 2.866 1.539 999 568 4.55 2.356 2.55 2.255 2.35	E-1 5,729 31,100 38,797 5,455 5,445 3,459 1,947 1,153 276 276 276 276 135 94 86						
17 18 19 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 5	6,419 6,419 6,029 175,964 214,086 205,184 175,964 175,987 146,44 151,257 84,814 71,799 62,671 151,257 84,814 71,799 52,513 32,354 32,355 32,355 35,779 33,721	2 2 1 1 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	E-8 1 1 2 3 3 13 70 239 546 1.029	E-7 1 1 3 3 8 12 5 6 77 156 9 1, 250 1, 903 3, 324 5, 515 7, 556 9, 239	E-6 1 7 14 46 156 860 2,871 3,634 8,708 11,661 13,920 14,814 12,103 13,766 16,038 17,406 15,407	E-5 8 284 1,985 21,252 29,521 32,538 32,607 34,238 31,946 26,217 21,453 13,927 12,009 10,638 4,769 6,565	E-4 7 1,206 12,571 46,532 74,676 48,219 72,489 42,529 72,649 42,529 72,664 14,676 7,591 3,633 2,680 1,438 2,680 1,438 2,680 1,439 2,680 2,680 2,680 2,680 2,680 2,680 2,680 2,680 2,680 2,680 2,680 2,680 2,680 2,690 2,	E-3 103 5,365 54,809 78,616 66,726 45,438 26,934 15,894 9,172 6,886 4,208 3,6729 2,119 1,146 748 610 478 5,000 1,146	E-2 579 28,187 78,294 64,495 35,643 19,697 11,167 6,769 4,359 2,875 2,875 2,464 1,539 990 568 655 234 235	E-1 5,729 31,100 31,100 31,100 70,322 5,445 3,459 3,459 3,459 1,947 1,947 1,947 278 278 201 135 278						
17 18 19 22 22 22 22 22 25 25 27 28 9 30 32 23 35 55 57	6+419 6+419 6+419 6+419 6+419 21+964 21+964 21+964 21+964 125,964 125,964 125,964 125,964 125,2671 37,799 62,671 37,799 62,671 37,799 32,955 32,535 33,535 33,577 33,772 33,772	2 2 1 1 	E-8 1 1 2 3 13 13 239 546 1.829 1.752 2,386	E-7 1 1 3 3 6 12 6 38 17 15 559 1,259 1,943 3,324 5,515 7,556 9,259 14,475 11,744	E-6 1 7 14 46 158 8,071 8,708 13,920 14,814 12,103 13,920 14,814 12,03 13,407 14,861 14,861 14,854 14,854 14,854 14,854 14,854 14,854 14,854 14,854 14,854 14,854 14,854 14,854 14,854 14,854 14,854 14,854 14,854 14,854 14,854 14,8555 14,8555 14,8555 14,85555 14,8555555555555555555555555	E-5 8 284 1,905 21,252 29,521 32,607 34,238 31,948 24,237 24,257 12,609 12,609 12,609 12,609 12,609 10,609 5,769 5,861 1,246	E-4 7 1,208 12,571 46,532 74,476 84,219 72,449 42,571 14,476 72,449 42,572 14,476 14,476 7,591 4,596 1,438 1,469 7,591 4,438 1,469 5,72 4,44	E-3 103 3,305 46,009 78,616 66,726 45,430 26,934 15,094 45,430 26,934 15,094 4,208 3,079 2,119 1,146 610 610 4,70 3,49 2,39 2,39 127	E-2 579 24.167 35.463 35.463 19.697 11.167 6.769 2.875 2.875 2.875 2.864 3.59 999 548 551 235 235 235 235 235 235 235 235 235 235	E-1 5,729 31.100 34.797 25,043 15,927 9,322 5,4459 3,459 1.947 1.153 75 27 2476 271 25,1459 275 275 275 275 261 135 75 5,545 261 261 261 261 261 265 265 265 265 265 265 265 265 265 265						
17 18 19 20 22 23 25 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	Enclaited 6,419 64,619 64,619 64,619 64,619 175,950 175,950 175,950 175,950 105,250	2 2 1 1 1 27 27 27 20 20 20 20 20 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	E-8 1 1 2 3 3 70 239 546 1.429 1.752 2.3482 3.475	E-7 1 1 3 3 6 12 15 6 3 8 12 15 9 23 14 475 12, 159 14, 475 12, 159 14, 515 14, 5	E-6 1 7 14 56 156 2,071 1,661 13,970 14,010 17,006 13,407 14,0861 14,08	E-5 8 284 10,985 10,283 24,627 29,521 31,252 29,521 31,252 21,453 13,946 20,275 12,4009 14,630 21,453 13,927 12,4009 14,630 5,461 5,461 5,461 2,413 2,415 2,	E-4 7 1,208 12,571 46,532 74,676 88,219 72,48 27,064 14,676 14,476 1,476 1,476 1,476 1,489 1,869 5,72 444 309 2,488 1,789 2,488 1,789 2,488 1,789 2,488 1,789 2,488 1,799 2,488 1,799 2,488 1,799 2,488 1,799 2,488 1,799 2,488 1,799 2,488 1,799 2,488 1,799 2,488 1,799 2,489 2,49	E-3 103 3,305 46,009 71,616 60,726 45,430 25,430 25,430 45,430 25,434 45,430 25,434 7,172 4,806 4,206 7,107 2,119 1,146 7,16 4,77 4,76 4,76 4,77 4,76 4,77 4,76 4,76 4,77 4,76 4,76 4,77 4,76 4,76 4,77 4,76 4,76 4,77 4,77 4,77 4,77 4,77 4,77 4,77 4,77 4,77 4,77 4,76 4,33 4,77 4,33	E-2 579 20.187 76.2% 66.495 55.663 19.697 1.1167 4.359 2.876 4.359 2.876 4.359 2.876 4.55 2.359 2.464 5.55 2.35 2.464 5.55 2.35 2.454 5.55 2.35 2.454 5.55 2.35 2.455 2.35 2.455 2.35 2.455	E-1 5,729 31.100 36,797 25,843 15,937 5,445 3,459 1,947 1,947 270 281 135 90 270 281 135 90 85 75 45 6 6 5						
17 18 19 20 22 23 24 25 27 28 27 28 27 28 27 28 27 28 33 35 35 35 35 36 37 38 39 40	Carlo 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 1 1 1 27 1 27 209 601 601 601 601 601 601 791	E-8 1 1 2 3 3 13 239 239 239 239 239 239 239 239 239 23	E-7 1 1 3 3 6 38 12 15 14 39 14 475 12, 626 12, 159 14, 475 12, 626 12, 159 14, 515 12, 626 12, 159 14, 515 12, 550 14, 555 14, 555	E-6 1 7 14 56 156 2,871 5,634 4,708 1,461 13,920 14,030 17,030 17,030 14,030 17,0407 14,661 13,407 14,669 13,669 8,797 6,323	E-5 8 284 1,985 10,283 29,521 38,538 32,607 34,238 31,946 20,217 21,453 13,927 12,095 5,565 5,861 4,268 2,818 2,863 1,493 2,818 2,918 2,917 2,918 2,91	E-4 7 1,208 12,571 46,532 74,676 84,219 72,649 42,529 27,064 14,668 14,658 14,658 14,658 14,658 14,658 1,438 1,438 1,438 1,539 2,571 5,72 46, 3,833 2,897 2,597 5,75 5,72 4,57 5,72 4,57 5,72 4,57 5,72 4,57 5,72 4,75 5,75 4,75 5,75 4,75 5,75	E-3 103 3,305 46,009 73,616 66,726 45,430 26,934 15,094 9,172 6,208 3,079 2,119 1,146 610 610 610 610 610 610 610 61	E-2 579 28,147 74,2% 66,445 19,697 11,167 6,769 4,359 2,475 2,464 1,559 990 546 4,55 2,464 1,359 2,475 2,464 1,359 2,475 2,464 1,359 2,465 1,359 2,464 1,359 2,465 1,359 2,464 1,359 2,465 1,359 2,465 1,369 2,475 2,464 1,359 2,475 2,464 1,359 2,475 2,464 1,359 2,475 2,464 1,359 2,475 2,464 1,359 2,475 2,464 1,359 2,475 2,464 1,359 2,475 2,464 1,359 2,475 2,464 1,359 2,475 2,464 1,359 2,475 2,464 1,369 2,475 2,465 1,36 2,475 2	E-1 5,729 31.100 36,797 25,443 15,322 5,455 3,459 1,947 1,153 772 26,455 276 281 135 276 281 135 6 6 6 6 5 5 4 5 1 5 5 1 5 5 1 5 5 1 5 5 1 5 5 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1						
1718 19 2012223 256 278 38 356 357 389 44 443	Carlo 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	2 2 1 1 1 27 27 27 287 287 287 287 287 287 287 28	E-8 1 1 2 3 3 70 2 39 546 1,829 1,752 2,354 1,829 3,475 3,475 3,475 2,474 2,757 8,2474 2,275	E-7 1 1 1 3 3 1 1 5 5 5 7 7 5 6 7 5 5 7 5 5 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	E-6 1 7 14 46 156 8563 2,871 5,634 8,708 13,970 14,814 14,914 14,914 14,914 14,914 14,914 14,914 14,914 14,914 14,914 14,914 14,914 14,914 14,914 14,914 15,914 14,915 14,	E-5 8 284 1,985 10,283 29,521 38,538 31,453 31,453 13,426 20,217 21,453 13,427 12,099 19,638 5,655 5,661 3,408 2,863 1,403 3,408 2,863 1,403 2,864 3,408 2,864 3,108 2,864 3,108 2,864 3,108 2,864 3,108 2,864 3,108 2,864 3,108 2,864 3,108 2,864 3,108 2,864 3,108 2,864 3,108 2,864 3,108 2,864 3,108 2,864 3,108 2,864 3,108 2,108 3,108 2,108 3,108 2,108 3,108 2,108 3,108 2,108 3,108 2,108 3,1	E-4 20 1.20 1.2,57 4.4,57 4.4,57 4.4,676 6.4,676 6.4,676 6.4,676 6.4,676 6.4,676 7.591 4.4,668 1.4,668 1.4,668 1.4,669 7.591 4.4,571 5.433 2.409 4.455 5.409 4.459 4.459 5.409 4.459 5.409 4.459 5.409 4.459 5.40	E-3 103 3,305 46,009 78,616 66,728 45,430 26,934 15,094 9,172 6,172 6,172 6,172 9,172 1,126 3,079 2,119 1,146 618 519 239 1,146 618 349 239 1,146 618 349 239 1,146 618 349 239 1,146 618 349 239 1,146 618 75 55 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57	E-2 579 24,147 74,2% 66,445 19,697 11,167 6,769 4,359 2,475 2,464 1,559 939 546 547 545 1,167 939 546 134 19 19 939 939 939 939 939 939	E-1 5,729 31.100 36,797 25,443 3,459 1,967 1,153 3,459 20,445 270 20,455 270 20,1 20,1 20,1 20,1 20,1 20,1 20,1 20,						
1789 22222 226 2267 289 3313234 444444	Carlo 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 2 77 1 62 33 64 6 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 75 1 6 2 75 1 1 2 77 2 77 1 1 2 77 2 1 1 1 2 77 2 1 1 1 2 77 2 1 1 1 2 77 2 1 1 1 1	E-8 1 1 2 3 70 239 546 1.829 1.752 2.346 1.829 3.475 2.730 2.474 2.275 1.955	E-7 1 1 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	E-6 1 7 14 46 156 2,871 3,634 8,708 11,661 13,766 13,766 13,766 13,407 14,835 13,407 14,855 13,685 14,879 8,797 6,323 4,449 2,588 1,164	E-5 8 24% 1,905 10,203 21,252 29,521 30,538 31,9%0 20,217 21,453 13,9%0 20,217 21,453 13,9%0 20,217 21,453 13,9%0 20,25 5,6%1 2,6%1,	E-4 7 1.206 12,571 44,532 77,47,476 84,219 77,49 42,529 27,064 11,470 11,460 11,470 1,4961 3,433 2,809 1,438 1,738 1,748 1,748 1,748 1,748 1,	E-3 103 3,305 44,009 78,616 66,726 45,430 45,450 45,45	E-2 579 24,147 74,25% 66,463 15,697 11,167 6,769 4,359 2,476 2,476 999 546 55,258 295 295 295 295 295 295 295 295 295 295	E-1 5,729 31,100 34,797 25,443 3,459 1,947 1,153 3,459 2,445 270 201 1,947 2,476 270 201 1,947 4,76 270 201 1,947 4,76 270 201 1,15 201 201 1,100 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201						
1789 221223 2227289 3312334 35657839 444244 466	Enclaide 6.419 6.419 6.419 6.419 6.419 6.419 6.419 21.40 6.419 175.964 115.964 115.964 115.964 115.964 115.9671 11.759 12.4195	2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 7 7 1 1 2 7 7 1 1 1 2 7 7 1 1 1 1	E-8 1 1 2 3 3 1 2 3 3 1 2 3 4 2 3 4 2 3 5 9 3 4 2 3 5 9 3 4 2 3 5 9 5 4 2 3 5 5 6 1 4 2 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	E-7 1 1 1 3 3 6 12 5 6 77 156 7 156 7 156 7 159 1,297 1,324 5,515 1,757 1,239 14,475 11,742 14,512 8,612 6,671 2,979 1,522 1,525 1,555 1,5	E-6 1 7 14 46 156 8,071 1,661 13,661 13,766 13,407 14,81 13,766 13,407 14,861 14,29 8,797 8,797 8,793 1,166 8,798 1,166 8,798 1,166 1,166 1,167 1,166 1,167 1,166 1,167 1,167 1,167 1,167 1,168	E-5 8 284 10,203 21,252 20,252 20,217 21,253 33,538 31,940 20,217 21,252 20,217 21,252 20,217 21,253 13,927 12,040 20,217 21,255 20,217 21,255 20,257 21,255 20,257 21,255 20,257 21,255 20,257 21,257	E-4 7 1.206 12,571 44,532 774,476 84,219 774,476 84,219 774,476 14,450 14,460 14,470 1,430 14,430 1,438 1,738 1,131 1	E-3 103 3,305 4,600 4,607 4,007	E-2 579 24.167 74.294 64.495 35.4697 11.167 6.769 4.3579 2.4549 990 5454 555 2.4549 990 5454 537 2.454 990 990 940 990 940 990 940 950 950 950 950 950 950 950 95	E-1 5,729 31,100 34,797 9,3425 1,947 1,153 3,459 1,947 1,153 9,455 276 201 1,947 1,153 9,459 201 1,150 276 201 1,150 201 1,100 201 1,100 201 1,100 201 201 201 201 201 201 201						
1789 221222 25 252789 38122336 5563389 444254 444444	Enclaise 6,419 64,419 64,419 64,419 175,964 214,966 214,966 125,966 125,966 125,966 125,966 125,966 124,976 134,2495 124,316 124,31	2 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	E-8 1 1 2 3 1 2 3 4 1 5 2 4 1 5 2 4 1 5 2 4 1 5 2 4 1 5 2 4 1 5 2 4 1 5 2 4 1 5 2 4 1 5 2 4 1 5 2 4 1 5 1 1 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	E-7 1 1 1 3 3 6 12 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	E-6 1 7 14 46 158 8,708 8,708 13,920 14,661 13,920 14,861 13,920 14,814 13,920 14,869 1,766 1,669 2,571 1,669 1,765 1,669 2,974 1,669 2,974 1,669 1,765 1,669 2,974 1,669 1,765 1,669 2,974 1,669 2,974 1,669 2,974 1,669 2,974 1,669 2,974 1,669 2,974 1,669 1,766	E-5 8 284 1,985 10,283 21,252 20,217 21,252 20,217 21,453 33,545 34,538 31,948 20,217 21,453 13,948 20,217 21,453 12,409 13,409 13,409 13,409 13,409 13,409 13,409 13,409 13,409 11,409 13,409 14	E-4 7 1.208 12,571 44,532 774,474 88,219 774,474 88,219 27,4646 14,4791 7,467 42,529 27,4646 14,4791 7,49 24,4649 1,439 3,469 1,459 2,469 1,73 131 131 131 131 131 131 131 1	E-3 183 8,385 4,689 4,6,489 4,788 6,188 4,788 6,188 4,788 6,188 4,788 6,188 4,788 6,188 4,788 6,188 4,788 6,188 4,788 6,188 4,788 6,188 4,788 4,788 4,788 4,788 4,788 4,788 4,788 4,788 4,399 1,277 4,33 3,499 1,277 4,33 3,499 1,277 4,33 3,69 1,49 1,59 4,33 3,69 1,49 1,59 4	E-2 579 24.147 74.25% 64.463 13.697 11.167 6.769 4.359 2.86% 4.359 2.95% 2.86% 1.36% 2.95% 2	E-1 5,729 31,100 34,797 9,322 5,459 1,947 1,153 1,355 276 281 135 55 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 1 3 2 1 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 2 1 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2						
1189 221222 226789 3313234 56789 844444 50 2212234 226789 3313234 56789 844234 546285 50	Enclaited 6,419 64,619 64,619 64,619 64,619 175,950 214,966 214,966 214,966 101,25,196 101,25,196 101,25,196 101,25,196 105,257 105,	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 2 2 9 1 2 2 9 9 1 1 2 2 9 9 1 1 2 2 9 9 1 1 2 2 9 9 1 1 2 2 9 9 1 1 2 7 7 1 2 9 9 7 7 1 1 2 9 9 7 7 1 1 2 9 9 7 7 7 1 2 9 9 7 7 1 1 2 9 9 7 7 1 1 2 9 9 7 7 1 1 2 9 9 7 7 1 1 2 9 9 7 7 1 1 2 9 9 7 7 1 1 2 9 9 7 7 1 1 2 9 9 7 1 1 2 9 9 7 1 1 2 9 9 7 1 1 2 9 9 7 1 1 2 9 9 7 1 1 2 9 9 7 1 1 2 9 9 7 1 1 2 9 9 7 1 1 2 9 9 1 1 2 9 9 7 1 1 2 9 9 1 1 2 9 9 1 1 2 9 9 1 1 2 9 9 1 1 2 9 9 1 1 2 9 9 1 1 1 2 9 9 1 1 1 1	E-8 1 1 2 1 3 1 5 5 1 5 5 1 5 5 1 5 5 1 5 5 5 1 5 5 5 1 5 5 5 1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	E-7 1 1 3 3 4 12 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	E-6 1 7 14 46 156 156 157 156 157 157 157 157 157 157 157 157	E-5 8 284 1,985 21,252 21,252 23,538 33,4238 34,247 34,257 34,241 34,257 34,241 34,257 34,257 34,241 36,257 37,188 3	E-4 7 1,208 12,571 46,527 46,526 88,249 47,574 47,574 47,574 47,574 47,574 47,574 47,574 14,666 14,667 14,677 131 131 14,677 131 131 131 131 131 131 131 1	E-3 143 3,345 4,464 4,474 4,746 4,746 4,746 4,746 4,746 4,746 4,746 4,746 4,746 4,746 4,746 4,747	E-2 579 24.147 74.25% 645.4653 13.4697 11.167 6.769 4.3697 2.656 2.656 2.656 2.656 2.357 2.3577 2.3577 2.3577 2.3577 2.35777 2.35777777777777777777777777777777777777	E-1 5,729 34,797 25,443 1,953 1,953 1,953 1,153 772 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 20						
1789 2212232 2267289 33132334 556789 4442344 546284 59522	Carlot 10 6. 419 6. 419 6. 419 175, 956 214, 956 214, 956 214, 956 214, 956 214, 956 175, 957 165, 166 175, 957 165, 166 48, 614 71, 759 96, 614 97, 986 164, 615 11, 266 9, 566 9, 566 1, 556 1, 556	2 2 3 1 1 1 2 2 3 1 1 2 2 9 9 1 1 2 8 9 9 1 1,2 9 9 1 1,2 9 9 1 1,2 9 9 1 1,2 9 9 1 1,2 9 9 1 1,2 9 9 9 1 1,2 9 9 9 1,2 9 9 9 9 1,2 9 9 9 9 1,2 9 9 9 9 1,2 9 9 9 9 1,2 9 9 9 9 1,2 9 9 9 9 1,2 9 9 9 9 1,2 9 9 9 9 1,2 9 9 9 9 1,2 9 9 9 1,2 9 9 9 1,2 9 9 9 1,2 9 9 9 1,2 9 9 9 1,2 9 9 9 1,2 9 9 9 1,2 9 9 9 1,2 9 9 1,2 9 9 1,2 9 9 1,2 9 9 9 1,2 9 9 1,2 9 9 1,2 1,2 9 1,2 9 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2	E-8 1 1 2 1 1 2 3 1 3 1 2 3 5 4 6 1 5 7 6 1 5 7 6 1 5 7 6 1 5 7 6 1 5 7 6 1 5 7 6 1 5 7 6 1 5 7 6 1 5 7 6 1 5 7 6 1 5 7 6 1 5 7 6 1 5 7 6 1 5 7 6 1 5 7 7 8 6 1 7 7 7 8 6 1 7 7 7 8 6 7 7 7 8 6 7 7 7 8 6 7 7 7 8 6 7 7 7 8 6 7 7 7 8 6 7 7 7 8 6 7 7 7 8 6 7 7 7 8 6 7 7 7 8 6 7 7 7 8 6 7 7 7 8 6 7 7 7 8 6 7 7 7 8 6 7 7 7 8 6 7 7 7 8 6 7 7 7 8 6 7 7 7 8 6 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 8 6 7 7 5 6 8 7 7 5 8 7 7 5 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	E-7 1 1 1 3 3 1 1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	E-6 1 7 14 46 156 2,671 5,674 1,788	E-5 8 284 1,985 10,223 32,528 24,252 24,252 24,252 24,252 24,257 24,5777 24,577 24,577 24,577 24,577 24,577 24,577 24,577 2	E-4 7 1,208 12,571 46,576 86,2199 44,576 27,4676 86,2199 44,576 27,4676 14,776 14,	E-3 143 3,345 4,646 4,647 4,636 4,647 4,637 4,636 4,637 4,768 4,337 4,768 4,33 4,33 4,577 4,33 4,577 4,33 4,577 4,33 4,577 4,33 4,577 4,33 4,577 4,578 4,33 4,577 4,578 4,33 4,577 4,578 4	E-2 579 24.147 7 74.29% 644.493 19.4697 11.16 6,749 990 544 55 23% 544 51 134 51 12 9 7 8 3 3 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	E-1 5,729 34,797 25,927 9,322 5,927 9,322 1,953 1,953 1,153 776 276 276 276 276 276 135 5 6 5 6 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 3 4 3 2 5 4 3 1 3 2 5 4 3 1 3 2 5 4 3 1 3 2 5 4 3 1 3 2 5 4 3 1 3 2 5 4 3 1 5 4 5 1 3 4 5 5 4 5 5 4 5 5 4 5 5 4 5 5 4 5 5 4 5 5 4 5 5 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5					* 0	A5D(M& R
1789 2212234 256789 3312335 5567389 444434 44444 555554	Enclaise 6. 610 6. 610 175,956 214.956 214.956 214.956 214.956 214.956 214.956 214.956 214.956 214.956 214.956 214.956 214.956 214.956 214.956 214.956 214.956 214.956 32.637 32.637 32.637 33.2495 33.2495 33.2495 33.2495 33.2495 33.2495 33.257 34.257 35.2577 35.2577 35.2577 35.2577 35.2577 35.2577 35.2577 35.2577 35.2577 35.2577 35.2577 35.2577 35.2577 35.2577 35.25777 35.25777 35.25777 35.257777 35.2577777 35.2577777777777777777777777777777777777	2 2 1 1 1 27 289 289 289 289 289 289 289 289 289 289	E-8 1 1 2 3 13 13 23 14 23 14 23 14 23 14 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	E-7 1 1 1 3 3 1 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	E-6 1 14 46 156 5,631 4,788 1,661 1,61 1,920 1,788 1,661 1,689 1,768 1,766 1,768 1,766 1,689 1,768 1,765 1,775 1,755 1,7	E-5 8 284 1,905 10,203 21,252 23,253 32,427 21,257 23,253 31,936 20,217 21,457 20,217 12,009 10,005 4,769 6,565 4,241 4,769 6,565 4,241 1,403 971 1,403 971 1,403 971 1,403 971 1,403 971 1,59 2,810 2,910 2,	E-4 7 1,208 12,571 46,571 46,576 87,249 42,464 14,666 17,591 4,951 1,869 1,869 1,869 1,869 1,869 1,869 1,869 2,571 1,869 2,591 4,951 1,869 2,591 1,972 2,591 1,911 1	E-3 143 3,305 4,6409 78,6166 66,724 4,936 4,936 13,079 2,119 1,146 4,789 1,146 4,147 1,146 4,789 1,279 1,146 4,789 1,279 1,146 4,789 1,279 1	E-2 579 24.147 74.25 64.463 19.467 19.467 4.767 4.759 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	E-1 5,729 34,797 25,927 9,322 5,927 9,322 1,953 1,953 1,957 276 276 276 276 276 135 65 6 1 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2					* 0.4 	ASD(M& R Etwary ay 10, 19
111 22222 222 311233 333333 44444 44444 55555 35	Enclaise 6. 610 6. 610 175,966 205.136 275,966 205.136 175,966 205.136 101.25 105.25	2 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 3 2 1 2 3 4 3 1 5 2 1 4 5 4 5 1 1 2 1 5 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	E-8 1 1 2 3 13 13 23 13 14 29 546 1.752 2.386 3.4579 3.4579 2.7386 2.7376 2.7578 2.777 2.777 2.77788 2.77788 2.77788 2	E-7 1 1 1 3 3 1 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	E-6 1 14 16 156 2,67 1,78 1,7	E-5 8 24% 1,905 10,203 21,252 20,251 33,530 34,240 34,240 3,769 5,861 1,493 3,000 21,557 3,000 1,493 3,000 21,557 3,000 1,493 3,000 21,559 3,000	E-4 29 1,205 12,57 46,57 46,57 46,57 47,57 46,57 47,57	E-3 103 3,305 4,609 78,616 4,70 4,637 4,638 4,70 4,638 4,70 1,172 6,886 4,70 3,879 2,119 1,146 4,76 4,76 4,78 4,78 4,78 4,886 4,78 4,898 4,934 1,879 2,117 1,146 4,78 4,78 4,78 4,78 4,886 4,78 4,934 1,172 4,886 4,786 4,886 4,786 4,934 4,934 4,934 4,934 4,934 4,936 4,937 4,937 4,937 4,937 4,936 4,9377 4,9377 4,9377 4,9377 4,93777 4,937777 4,9377777777777777	E-2 579 28,167 78,29 66,663 19,667 6,359 9 546 455 235 546 136 51 12 9 7 6 3 3 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	E-1 5,729 31,100 38,797 9,322 5,455 3,455 1,947 1,153 3,455 270 281 1,947 1,153 3,455 270 281 1,947 1,153 3,55 270 281 1,150 270 1,1047 1,150 270 281 1,1047 1,1057 1,1047 1,1057 1,1047 1,1057 1,1047 1,1057 1,1047 1,1057 1,1047 1,1057					*	ASD(M& R Stuary ay 10, 19 9894 V. 4
111 22222 22222 333333 333733 444444 44444 55555 556784	Carlot 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 3 3 4 1 5 3 4 5 1 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 1 1 2 7 5 1 5 5 7 5 1 5 7 5 1 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7	E-8 1 1 2 3 3 3 4 3 4 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	E-7 1 1 1 3 3 6 12 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	E-6 1 7 14 46 158 8,071 1,661 13,461 13,461 13,461 13,766 13,407 14,815 13,485 13,485 13,485 13,485 13,485 14,875 1,164 662 9363 291 165 655 51 155 555 51 155 555 5	E-5 8 284 1,985 14,203 21,252 23,538 31,948 28,217 21,453 13,927 12,453 13,927 12,453 13,927 12,453 13,927 12,638 3,648 2,468 2,663 1,493 3,068 2,663 1,493 3,068 2,663 1,493 3,068 2,663 1,493 3,068 2,663 1,493 3,068 2,663 1,497 5,665 5,665 1,408 2,663 3,07 5,665 5,665 1,408 2,663 3,07 5,665 5,665 1,408 2,663 3,07 5,665 5,665 1,408 2,663 3,07 5,665 5,665 1,408 2,663 3,07 5,665 5,665 1,408 2,675 5,665 1,408 2,675 3,075 1,408 2,675 3,075 1,408 2,675 3,075 1,408 2,675 3,075 1,408 2,675 3,075 1,408 2,675 3,075 1,408 2,675 3,075 1,408 2,675 3,075 1,408 2,675 3,075 1,408 2,675 3,075 1,408 2,675 3,075 1,408 2,675 3,075 1,407 3,075 1,407 3,075 1,407 1,408 2,675 3,075 1,407 3,075 1,407 3,075 1,407 3,075 1,407 3,075 1,407 3,075 1,407 3,075 1,407 3,075 1,407 3,075 1,407 3,075 1,407 3,075 1,407 3,075 1,407 3,075 1,407 3,075 1,407 3,075 1,407 3,075 1,407 3,075 1,407 1,407 3,075 1,407 1,407 3,075 1,407 1	E-4 7 1.208 12,571 44,532 774,474 88,219 774,474 88,219 27,0646 11,470 12,571 14,469 14,470 7,972 27,0646 14,470 7,977 27,0646 14,470 7,977 24,480 14,480 1,430 14,480 1,430 1,430 1,430 1,430 1,430 1,430 1,440 1,430 1,430 1,430 1,440	E-3 103 3,305 4,607 4,707	E-2 579 24.167 74.294 64.495 35.4697 11.167 6.769 9.357 2.854 2.357 2.854 2.357 2.854 2.357 2.854 2.3577 2.3577 2.35777777777777777777777777777777777777	E-1 5,729 31,100 34,797 9,345 1,947 1,153 4,76 276 201 1,153 4,76 278 201 1,153 4,76 278 201 1,158 4,76 278 201 1,1047 1,158 4,76 278 201 1,158 4,76 278 201 1,158 4,76 278 201 1,1047 1,158 4,76 278 201 1,158 4,76 278 201 1,158 4,77 201 1,158 4,77 201 1,158 4,77 201 1,158 4,77 201 1,158 4,77 201 1,158 4,77 201 1,158 4,77 201 1,158 4,77 201 1,158 4,77 201 1,158 4,77 201 1,158 4,77 201 1,158 4,77 201 1,158 1,15					* 0. A. M.	ASD(M& R Stukry ay 10, 19 9896 V. 4
189 2212232 2227229 301122336 3567889 444436 4464469 5612536 5567889 4	Carling Carlos C	2 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 2 3 2 1 1 2 2 3 2 3 4 4 5 7 5 1 5 2 7 5 1 5 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	E-8 1 1 2 3 1 2 3 4 2 3 5 4 2 3 4 2 5 5 4 2 5 5 4 2 5 5 4 7 5 5 5 4 7 5 5 6 2 4 7 5 5 6 1 7 5 6 2 4 7 5 6 1 7 5 6 1 7 5 6 6 2 5 7 5 6 6 2 5 7 5 6 6 2 5 7 5 6 6 2 5 7 5 6 6 7 7 5 6 6 7 7 7 5 6 6 7 7 7 5 6 6 7 7 7 5 6 6 7 7 7 5 6 6 7 7 7 7 5 6 6 7 7 7 7 5 6 6 7 7 7 7 5 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 5 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	E-7 1 1 1 3 3 6 1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	E-6 1 7 14 46 158 8,708 1,461 13,920 14,661 13,920 14,661 13,920 14,030 13,920 14,030 13,920 14,030 13,920 14,030 13,920 14,030 13,920 14,030 13,920 14,030 13,920 14,030 13,920 14,030 13,920 14,030 13,920 14,030 13,920 14,030 13,920 14,030 13,920 14,030 14,040 11,166 14,030 14,030 14,040 11,166 14,030 14,040 11,166 14,030 14,040 11,166 14,030 14,040 11,166 14,030 14,040 11,166 14,040 11,166 14,040 11,166 14,040 11,166 14,040 11,166 14,040 11,166 14,040 11,166 14,040 11,166 14,040 11,166 14,040 11,166 14,040 11,166 14,040 11,166 14,040 11,166 14,040 11,166 14,040	E-5 8 284 11,985 11,223 21,252 23,252 23,253 23,538 31,948 28,217 21,453 13,948 28,217 21,453 13,948 28,217 21,453 13,948 2,453 1,453 1,453 1,453 1,454 2,454 1,454 2,454 1,454 2,454 1,454 2,454 1,454 2,454 1,454 2,454 1,454 2,455 2,454 2,455 2,555 2,55	E-4 7 1.208 12,571 44,532 774,474 88,219 774,474 88,219 27,464 14,4791 1,469 1,439 2,469 1,439 3,469 1,439 2,469 1,439 2,469 1,439 2,469 1,439 2,469 1,439 2,469 1,449 2,469 2,469 1,459 2,469 2,57 2	E-3 183 8,385 44,089 78,616 66,728 45,430 2,119 2,119 1,146 678 579 2,119 1,146 678 579 349 127 555 3 36 19 127 55 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	E-2 579 24.167 74.29 664.495 35.6897 11.167 6.769 999 564 5769 2956 2956 2956 2956 2956 2956 136 51 12 9 9 7 7 8 8 3 3 2 1 1 3 1 1 1 51 1 2 3 3 2 1 3 3 1 1 3 51 1 3 3 2 1 3 3 3 1 3 51 51 51 51 51 5 5 5 5 5 5	E-1 5,729 31,100 34,797 9,322 1,947 1,153 1,355 276 281 1,35 55 5 5 5 1 3 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2					* 0. 	ASD(M& R trukry ay 10, 19 9891 V. 4
189 2212224 2267889 38132334 3567889 4412344 5462894 5512534 5567589 4444444	Enclaite 6, 419 64, 619 64, 619 64, 619 64, 619 21, 50 21, 50 21, 50 17, 95 21, 50 10, 25 10, 25	2 2 3 1 1 1 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4	E-8 1 1 2 1 2 3 1 3 3 5 46 1.4752 2.3842 3.4578 2.734 2.754 2.754 2.754 2.754 2.775 3.157 2.775 3.157 2.775 1.2827 3.157 3.157 2.756 1.2827 3.157	E-7 1 1 1 3 3 4 1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	E-6 1 7 14 46 156 156 157 14, 46 156 157 14, 46 13, 14, 13, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14	E-5 8 284 1,985 10,283 21,252 23,538 33,4607 34,258 23,457 13,457 21,457 23,457 13,457 23,457 12,457 12,457 12,457 12,457 12,457 12,457 12,457 12,457 12,457 12,457 12,457 12,457 12,457 12,457 12,457 12,457 12,457 12,457 13,457 14,457 1	E-4 7 1.208 12,571 44,532 27,464 88,219 77,474,476 88,219 27,4676 14,579 14,579 14,599 1,599	E-3 183 8,305 44,409 78,616 66,728 45,430 2,135,83% 4,209 2,119 1,168 616 678 2,119 1,167 788 616 678 2,19 1,178 616 678 617 617 616 617 617 617 617 617	E-2 579 24.147 74.25% 64.495 35.497 11.167 6.749 4.359 2.475 2.455 2.365 2.36 51 12 9 9 7 4.35 2.36 51 12 9 9 7 4.35 2.36 51 12 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	E-1 5,729 3,100 34,797 25,443 1,953 1,957 1,153 1,153 278 201 1,153 278 201 1,153 278 201 1,153 278 201 1,153 278 201 1,153 278 201 1,154 278 201 1,154 278 201 1,154 278 201 1,154 278 201 1,154 278 201 1,154 278 201 1,154 278 201 1,154 278 201 1,155 201 1,155 201 1,155 201 1,155 201 1,155 201 1,155 201 1,155 201 1,155 201 1,155 201 1,155 201 1,155 201 1,155 201 1,155 201 1,155 201 1,155 201 1,155 1,15	·				* o. 	ASD(M& R Stuary 9894 V. 4

76 -K-R

and in the





DISTRIBUTION OF ENLISTED MILITARY PERSONNEL ON ACTIVE DUTY BY AGE FOR SELECTED PAY GRADES, 30 JUNE 1975

Pay Grade	0-1	0-3	0-6	E-1	E - 4	E-6
Range (yr)	18-49	23-58	35-60+	17-48	17-55	17-60+
x ₁₀ =	21.9	26.5	42.5	17.3	19.6	26.3
x ₂₅ =	22.5	27.7	44.0	18.0	20.6	28.7
x ₅₀ =	23.5	29.6	46.0	18.9	21.9	32.5
x ₇₅ =	25.0	32.0	49.2	20.3	23.5	36.0
x ₉₀ =	27.5	34.8	52.4	22.0	25.7	38.8
$x_{90} - x_{10} =$	5.6	8.3	9.9	4.7	6.1	12.5
$x_{75} - x_{25} =$	2.5	4.3	5.2	2.3	2.9	7.3

TABLE 4.3AGE OF MILITARY PERSONNEL ON ACTIVE DUTY30 JUNE 1975 FOR SELECTED PAY GRADES

<u>Legend</u>: $X_{10} = 10$ th percentile (in years)

 X_{25} = lower quartile or 25th percentile

.

 X_{50} = median or 50th percentile

 X_{75} = upper quartile or 75th percentile

 $X_{90} = 90$ th percentile

.

TABLE 4.4

AVERAGE YEARS OF ACTIVE FEDERAL MILITARY SERVICE FOR MILITARY PERSONNEL ON ACTIVE DUTY 30 JUNE 1949-1976*

As of	Average M	Years of Active ilitary Service	e Federal
30 June	Officer	Enlisted	Age
1976	10.55	5.77	6.42
1975	10.37	5.81	6.44
1974	10.29	5.93	6.54
1973	10.06	5.95	6.54
1972	9.92	6.05	6.61
1971	9.41	5.54	6.07
1970	8.87	5.17	5.66
1969	9.02	4.70	5.23
1968	9.39	4.71	5.26
1967	9.76	4.93	5.49
1966	10.44	5.27	5.85
1965	10.65	6.27	6.83
1964	11.25	6.03	6.69
1963	11.02	6.07	6.69
1962	11.10	5.83	6.48
1961	11.92	6.33	7.04
1960	11.76	6.32	7.02
1958	10.64	5.22	5.90
1956	9.60	4.59	5.22
1955	9.23	4.15	4.76
1954	9.10	3.61	4.20
1953	8.64	3.34	3.90
1949	10.18	3.61	4.38

* Extracted from or computed based on OASD (M&RA) Actuary Data: "Estimated Number of Military Personnel on Active Duty, 30 June 19 by Year of Active Federal Military Service" for years shown.

AVERAGE YEARS OF ACTIVE FEDERAL MILITARY SERVICE FOR MILITARY PERSONNEL ON ACTIVE DUTY, 30 JUNE 1949-1976



PRESEARCH INCORPORATED

TABLE 4.5

AVERAGE YEARS OF SERVICE FOR PAY PURPOSES FOR MILITARY PERSONNEL ON ACTIVE DUTY, 30 JUNE 1957-1973, BY PAY GRADE*

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

444 90 44 - 2	1957	1954	1959	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967	1964	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	
+1P	19.92	29.99	30.00	30.00	38.68	39.00	29.99	29.96	29.83	29.94	29.95	29.97	29.92	29.94	29.97	30.00	29.91	
	29.69	29.85	29.96	29.82	29.69	29.66	29.42	29.46	29.55	29.66	29.71	29.76	29.83	29.82	29.90	29.91	29.88	
0-4	78.41	28.96	29.18	28.90	29.00	28.97	29.78	28.96	29.09	29.17	29.18	29.34	29.49	29.61	29.76	29.74	29.66	
6-7	26.78	27.27	27.39	27.43	27.58	27.56	27.34	27.79	27.92	27.96	28.89	28.27	28.59	28.51	28.78	28.63	28.44	
0-6	22.31	23.14	23.55	23.83	23.98	24.15	24.61	24.99	25.55	25.47	26.33	26.76	27.10	27.14	27.26	27.09	26.27	
0-5	18.48	19.44	20.05	20.62	21.05	21.37	22.87	22.86	22.96	23.06	22.82	22.47	22.13	21.05	28.96	20.92	28.70	
0-4	16.64	17.32	17.94	18.60	18.93	19.00	19.17	18.92	18.55	17.46	16.26	15.63	15.36	14.76	14.82	15.04	15.07	
e- 3	12.78	13.05	13.27	13.54	12.74	11.80	11.30	10.96	10.74	18.07	6.65	8.26	7.93	7.36	7.16	7.72	8.12	
9-2	5.10	5.20	5.35	5.84	5.36	5.37	5.19	4.49	4.27	4.04	3.91	3.86	3.41	3.20	3.22	3.68	4.13	
0-1	2.28	2.37	2.41	2.57	2.70	2.04	2.08	1.85	2.81	2.63	2.29	1.81	1.73	1.56	1.74	1.75	2.09	
04*7 OFC*85	10.96	11.60	12.19	12.75	12.64	12.14	12.14	11.86	11.74	11.56	10.72	10.19	18.07	9.78	10.63	18.65	18.74	
H=4	24.23	23.97	23.99	24.10	24.28	24.28	24.94	24.94	25.12	25.35	25.38	25.81	26.11	26.00	25.73	25.46	25.15	
H- 3	20.88	20.39	20.33	20.40	20.87	20.94	21.55	21.57	21.77	21.60	20.74	20.55	19.85	18.96	19.09	20.03	20.23	
· #-2	16.78	17.16	17.42	17.77	19.01	17.98	17.90	16.66	16.30	15. 29	14.53	12.94	12.67	13.28	14.27	14.45	13.91	
-1	13.87	14.44	15.29	15.17	13.99	12.88	12.97	11.47	9.56	12.91	11.64	10.83	6.62	4.92	6.48	5.44	9.43	
MANT OFCORS	17.92	18.20	18.50	* 1:90	19.21	18.99	19.51	18.88	18.73	18.32	16.15	14. 89	13.26	13.00	14.68	15.45	15.77	
ALL OFFICERS	11.39	12.84	12.59	13.13	13.01	12.55	12.50	12.20	12.03	11.89	11.04	10.50	10.30	9.94	18.34	10.93	11.07	
C-9	٥.	19.69	28.27	19.97	19.88	28.48	20.93	21.52	22.29	22.82	22.97	23.76	24.23	24.50	24.59	24.67	24.70	
E-4	0.	18.23	18.32	14.55	18.74	15.25	19.65	20.04	20.59	20.76	20.51	21.09	21.12	21.19	21.40	21.46	21.39	
E-7	:5.92	16.79	17.17	17.49	17.78	17.76	17.94	18.17	18.60	18.42	17.94	18.05	17.61	17.82	18.04	18.28	18.42	
E-6	11.99	12.82	13.57	14.12	14.62	14.50	14.65	14.80	15.05	14.54	13.71	13.64	13.29	13.28	13.79	14.05	14.31	
£-5	8.24	8.76	9.36	9.95	10.32	10.11	10.30	10.56	10.72	9.77	8.18	7.00	6.45	6.39	6.72	7.52	7.71	
6-4	4.13	4.71	5.06	5.37	5.52	5.19	5.19	5.17	5.12	4.18	3.66	2.75	2.64	2.58	2.66	2.88	3.21	
[- 3	2.42	2.62	2.75	2.73	2.56	2.44	2.27	2.29	2.28	1.89	1.63	1. 71	1.64	1.58	1.64	1.68	1.85	
6-5	1.43	1.54	1.42	1.34	1.16	1.10	1.20	1.08	1.18	0.88	1.05	0.86	0.91	8.94	8.94	1.00	0.98	
6-1	0.98	6.94	0.89	8.81	0.88	. 8.44	8.77	0.73	0.71	8.73	8.74	8.63	8.66	8.72	0.69	0.75	0.75	
ALL ENLISTED	5.10	5.77	6.15	6.39	6.55	6.24	6.29	6.33	6.47	5.55	5.11	4. 95	4.95	5.32	5.71	6.29	6.18	
ALL PERSTNEL	5.88	6.55	6.97	7.26	7.37	7.81	7.87	7.87	7.18	6.27	5.79	5.68	5.60	5.93	6.35	6.97	6.86	

• CANNOT 4E USED TO DETERMINE AVERAGE 3ASIC PAY. PERSONNEL WITH MORE THAN 30 YEARS OF SERVICE ARE COUNTED AS 38 IN DETERMINING THE AVERAGE.

> * OASD(M& RA) Actuarial Consultant May 12, 1975 (1,894)

• • •





and spectrum and a second of the second of the

TABLE 4.6

AVERAGE PAY GRADE FOR MILITARY ON ACTIVE DUTY 30 JUNE 1957-1974, BY YEARS OF SERVICE FOR PAY PURPOSES* Department of Defense

COMMISSIONED OFFICERS .

1974	1.1	1.1	1.6	1.9	2.5	2.8	2.9	2.9		3.2		3.3	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.8	4.1	4.2	F.4	4-4	4.6	4.4	5.0	5.1	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.3	9.5	5.6	5.9	3.2		tant	
1973	1.1	1.2	1.8	2.1	2.7	2.9	2.9	2.9				3.4	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.8	4.0	4.1	4.2	F . 4	4.5	4.6	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.1	5.4	5.5	5.5	5.9	3.2		& RA) I Consul	1975
1972	1.1	1.3	1.9	2.4	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.0		2.2		3.4			1.	3.8	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.8	6.4	4.8	4.8	6.4	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.6	6.0	3.2		OASD(M Actueria	May 21. (1.901)
141	1.1	1.4	2.1	2.5	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0		3.4		3.5	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.4	4-5	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.8	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.5	5.7	6.1	3.2	•	ĸ	
1970	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.1		3.4		3.4	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.9	3.9	4.1	4.2	£ • 4	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.9	5.0	5.1	5.4	5.6	5.5	6.1	3.1			
1969	1.0	1.4	2.0	2.4	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.1	2.2	3.3		3.4	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.2	£ · 4	E.4	E.4	4.4	4.7	4.8	6.4	5.1	5.4	5.4	5.6	6.1	3.1			
1968	1.1	1.3	1.9	2.4	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.0	1.5	3.2		3.3	3.4	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	F 3	4.5	4.6	1	5.0	5.3	5.3	5.5	5.6	6.1	3.0			
1961	1.1	1.3	1.9	2.3	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.8	9.4	3.1		3.2	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.7	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.1	E . 4	4.4	4.6	4.8	5.1	5.2	5.4	5.5	5.6	6.1	3.0			
1966	1.1	1.3	1.8	2.1	2.4	2.7	2.8	2.8	0.0	3.0		3.1	3.1	3.2	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.9	4.1	E.4	4.4	4.7	5.0	5.1	5.2	5.4	5.5	5.6	6.1	3.1			
1965	1.1	1.3	1.9	2.0	2.3	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.9		3.0	3.1	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.9	4.0	4.2	4.5	4.8	6.4	5.1	5.3	5.4	5.5	5.6	6.1	3.1			
1964	1.1	1.2	1.9	2.0	2.3	2.7	2.8	2.0		8.8		3.0	3.1	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.5	a.s	3.9	4.0	4.2	4.7	4.8	5.0	5.1	5.3	5.3	5.5	5.6	6.1	3.1			
1963	1.1	1.2	1.9	2.0	2.2	2.6	2.7	2.8	A. C	2.5		3.0	3.0	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.5	3.7	3.9	4.1	4.5	4.7	6.4	5.0	5.2	5.2	5.4	5.5	5.6	1.2	3.1			
1962	1.1	1.3	1.8	2.9	2.1	2.4	2.5	2.7		2.8		5.9	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.4	3.5	3.7	4.9	4.4	4.5	4.6	6.9	5.0	5.1	5.2	5.4	5.4	5.5	6.1	3.1			
1961	1.1	1.2	1.1	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.4	2.6	2.7	2.8		5.9	2.9	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.2	3.3	3.5	9 ° E	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.6	6.4	6.4	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.5	5.6	6.0	3.1			
1961	1.0	1.2	1.1	2.9	2.0	2.1	2.3	2.5		2.8		8.5	2.5	2.8	3.0	3.1	3.3	3.4	3.6	4.0	4.2	4.2	5.3	4.6	4.7	÷.4	4.9	0.0	5.2	5.3	5.2	5.4	3.1			
6561	1.1	1.2	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.7		2.7	2.7	8.5	3.0	3.2	3.3	3.6	6.4	4.1	4.1	E . 4	4.6	9.9	E.4	5.0	5.1	5.3	5.4	5.5	5.5	6.9	1.1			
1954	1.1	1.3	1.9	F.1	5.0	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.6	9.6		5.6	2.7	5.5	3.1		5.5	1.9	4.1	1.4	2.4	4.5	5.4	1.1	4.9	5.0	5.5	1.2	5.4	3.6	**S	6.A	3.0			
1957	1.2	1.3		1.9	2.1	1.5	2.4	2.5	5.0	2.6		2.7	2.5	3.0	A E	1.6	3.9	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.3	5.9	4.6	4.5	9.0	6.9	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.3	5.3	6.9	1.0			
YRS	•	-	~		*			-				10	=	12	13	:	15	91	11	-	19	20	21	22	23	54	52	56	27	.2	52	30+	ALL			

50

•

TABLE 4.6 (Cont)

AVERAGE PAY GRADE FOP MILITARY PERSONNEL ON ACTIVE DUTY JUNE 30, 1957-1974, BY YEARS OF SERVICE FOR PAY PURPOSES

DEPARTHENT OF DEFENSE

ENLISTED PERSONNEL

														_	_								_					-	_				
	1974	1.1	3.0	3.6	4.1	4.4	4.6	4.7	6.4	5.5	5.3	5.5	5.6	5.0	5.9	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.4	6.5	6.7	6.9	7.1	7.4	1.5	7.0	7.9	0.0	8.1	6.1	6.9	
	1973	1.8	2.8	3.8	4.1	4.4	4.7	4.7	5.0	5.2	5.4	5.5	5.7	5.8	5.9	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.7	6.9	1.1	7.2	1.5	1.1	7.8	6.1	1.9	1.9	0.0	•••
	1972	2.0	3.3	3.7	4.1	4.5	4.0	6.4	5.1	5.3	5.4	5.6	1.5	5.8	6.0	6.1	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.7	7.0	7.0	1.3	1.5	7.6	7.7	7.8	7.8	1.9	8.0	4.2
	1 37 1	2.0	3.4	3.9	E .4	4.6	4.8	6.4	5.2	5.3	5.5	5.6	2.2	5.9	6.0	6.0	6.1	6.1	6.3	6.4	6.4	6.8	6.9	1.1	7.3	1.5	7.5	7.6	1.7	7.8	1.9	8.0	4.1
	1970	2.1	3.4	4.0	4.2	4.6	4.8	5.0	5.2	5.4	5.4	5.6	5.8	5.9	5.9	6.0	6.0	6.2	6.3	6.3	6.6	6.7	7.0	1.1	7.3	1.3	7.5	. 7.5	1.1	1.9	6.1	1.1	4.1
	1969	1.9	3.4	3.9	4.2	4.5	4.8	5.0	5.1	5.3	5.5	5.7	5.7	5.8	5.9	5.9	6.0	6.2	6.2	6.5	6.5	6.8	7.0	1.1	7.2	1.3	7.4	7.6	1.1	2.8	1.9	1.5	3.9
	1968	1.9	3.5	3.8	4.0	4.4	4.7	4.9	5.0	5.2	5.4	5.5	5.6	5.6	5.7	5.8	6.5	6.0	6.3	5.3	6.5	6.7	6.9	1.0	7.1	1.2	7.4	1.6	1.7	7.8	7.8	1.4	3.8
	1967	2.2	3.3	3.7	4.0	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.6	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.4	5.5	5.7	5.8	5.8	6.1	6.2	6.4	6.5	6.7	6.8	6.9	7.1	1.2	7.4	7.6	7.6	1.1	1.1	1.5	3.8
	1966	1.9	5.9	3.5	3.7	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.1	5.2	5.4	5.5	5.6	6.9	6.9	6.2	6.3	4.9	6.5	6.7	6.8	7.0	1.2	7.4	7.5	7.5	1.6	7.6	1.6	3.7
-	1965	1.8	2.9	3.3	3.6	3.9	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.7	8.4	9.0	5.1	5.2	5.4	5.6	5.7	5.9	6.1	6.2	6.2	6.4	6.6	6.8	7.0	7.3	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.5	7.3	7.3	3.9
	1964	1.9	2.9	4° E	3.6	4.0	£.4	4.4	4.5	4.7	6.4	4.9	5.1	5.3	5.5	5.6	5.8	6.0	6.1	6.1	6.3	6.5	6.7	6.9	7.1	2.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.4	1.4	6.8	3.9
	1963	1.0	5.9	3.4	3.6	4.0	£.4	5.4	4.5	4.6	8.4	5.0	5.1	5.4	5.4	5.7	5.9	6.0	6.0	6.2	6.3	6.5	6.7	7.0	7.1	1.1	7.2	7.2	7.3	7.4	1.1	6.9	3.9
	1962	1.9	5.9	3.4	3.7	3.9	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.9	5.0	5.2	5.3	5.6	2.7	5.9	6.5	5.1	5.2	6.3	6.6	6.9	7.0	6.9	1.0	7.1	1.1	1.7	1.0	1.1	6.6	3.9
	1961	1.9	6.2	3°4	3.6	3.7	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.0	5.0	5.2	5.4	5.6	2.2	2.2	6.5	6.0	6.2	6.4	6.6	6.9	6.8	6.9	1.0	1.0	7.0	6.9	6.9	6.8	6.5	1.9
	1961	1.1	2.9	3.3	3.4	3.7	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.7	4.9	5.0	5.5	5.4	5.6	9.6	9.9	6.9	6.1	5.3	6.5	6.7	6.7	6.8	6.8	6.9	6.9	6.0	6.8		6.9	6.7	3.9
	1959	1.9	5.9	3.2	3.4	3.7	4.0	4.3	5.4	6.4	6.9	5.3	5.5	5.5	5.6	5.8	6.9	6.1	6.2	6.5	9.6	6.6	6.7	6.9	6.8	5.8	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.8	6.9	5.7	9.6
	1951	1.8	5.9	2.5	3.6	3.8	4.1	4.3	4.7	4.4	5.1	5.3	5.5	5.5	1.5	5.4	6.0	6.2	4.9	5.5	6.5	6.5	6.7	F.8	6.7	£.7	5.3	6.7	F.7	6.7	6.9	6.7	4.5
	1561	1.1	5.4	3.2	3.6	8°8	E	4.4	4.8	5.1	2.2	5.3	5.5	5.5	5.5	6.1	6.1	6.3	£ . 4	£.5	9.4	6.6	6.7	2.2	6.7	5.1	1.3	5.4	6.7	1.9	·		1.7
Sal	SVC	•	-	~	-	•	5	9	-	•	•	11	=	12	13	:	15	16	17	10	10	20	21	22	23	54	52	56	27	5	62	.46	111

.

2



AVERAGE PAY GRADE FOR MILITARY OFFICERS BY YEARS OF SERVICE FOR PAY PURPOSES, 1957-1974

52

,



AVERAGE PAY GRADE FOR ENLISTED MILITARY PERSONNEL BY YEARS OF SERVICE FOR PAY PURPOSES, 1957-1974

1. mining a north

TABLE 4.7

DIVISION POINTS FUR PROMOTION TO SPECIFIED ENLISTED GRADES, 1949-1969*

	٩	2		
	22			
•	t o			
f	2	5		
•	to	5		
-	+	2		
	50	5		
	Ē	3		
	Ş			
	d	2	1	
۱		•		

Prome	1								
tion	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
to Grade	June 1949	June 1953	June 1956	June 1958	June 1960	June 1962	June 1965	June 1967	June 1969
E-2	3.5 mc	ns. 4.6 mos.	4.6 mos.	4.2 mos.	5.0 mos.	3.3 mos.	4.9 mos.	3.3 mos.	5.3 mos.
E-3	17.71	15.9	15.3	13.6	15.0	12.2	13.7	9.8	10.5
t-∃	38.7	29.9	32.1	34.1	39.5	30.0	33.7	20:5	19.0
E-5	67.1	57.8	62.8	6.59	86.9	6.67	1.97	6.74	38.0
E-6	6.06	102.4	121.3	131.9	158.4	161.3	167.0	7.641	124.4
E-7	7.411	140.6	168.4	184.7	209.8	7.415	224.1	212.0	205.1
E-8	•	•	•	•	249.7	247.2	262.7	256.7	256.0
E-9		•	•		302.4	275.3	290.4	299.6	308.3
Defin	dition:	A division point	nt for promot	ion to a spe	cified grade	is a number	of months c	of active ser	vice

such that, for each and every person who had been promoted to this grade (or higher) and had less than this amcunt of service, there was another person on active duty with more than this amount of service who had not been promoted to this grade (or higher).

OASD(MERA) Actuarial Consultant December 15, 1970

IMPLICATIONS

4.7 If the levels of youth and vigor present in the armed forces over the past two and one half or three decades have been considered to be "satisfactory," then the definition of youth and vigor being applied must encompass a significant range of average experience, percent of personnel over 40, age in grade, years of service for grade and so forth. If such a case exists, then arguments in support of or opposed to changes in the military retirement/compensation systems based on the potential impact relative to the current values of youth and vigor descriptors are credible only if the expected change will result in values outside the ranges observed since the end of World War II.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 This section contains the conclusions drawn from the analyses and findings. Associated recommendations are made, as appropriate.

CONCLUSIONS

5.2 In Section I a quotation from the appendices of the <u>Report</u> of the First Quadrennial Review of Military Compensation was referenced. The quotation continues as follows: "This is not to say that youth and vigor is a panacea for the military organization or that the organization is optimally structured." $\frac{1}{}$ Our findings support this statement.

5.3 Neither the present military retirement system nor any specific change to it can be fully supported or opposed solely on the basis of a youth and vigor argument.

5.4 The relative importance of the need for youth and vigor as a factor in historical changes to the military retirement system cannot be formally quantified. Many of the effects of the changes (e.g., accommodating the removal, voluntarily or otherwise, of military personnel from active duty) may be

^{1/} Department of Defense, Modernizing Military Pay: Report of the First Quadrennial Review of Military Compensation, Volume V, The Military Estate Program (appendices), Appendix III, pp. III-4, 5, 15 January 1969.

addressed in terms of broader management objectives: specifically, control of the total size of the force.

5.5 The argument that the current military retirement system "works" is supportable in the sense that the system, together with the rest of the military compensation package, has conceivably (although not necessarily demonstrably) limited fluctuations in certain youth and vigor parameters. This is arguably a "self-fulfilling prophesy" effect since youth and vigor have never been formally defined (hence the force existing at any given time may arbitrarily be characterized as youthful and vigorous) and since force management objectives implicitly reflect the composition of the existing force, while such composition is in turn a result of previous force management actions.

5.6 The present military retirement and compensation systems have not "worked" in the sense that:

- Significant fluctuations in youth and vigor descriptors have occurred
- "Hump" legislation has been required
- Pertinent trends in the occupational composition of the force and in morbidity parameters have not been reflected in the systems
- The system has not been immune from economic and social attack.

5.7 The ability of the current military compensation and retirement systems to prevent the necessity for a "housecleaning" of the officer corps coincident with a full mobilization has not been tested. There is, however, the potential that such an action will be required/desired should full mobilization occur. This potential stems from the lame-duck provisions imbedded in the officer retirement rules. For example, officers are promoted to 0-5 at (typically) the 16-17 yr of service point. Once promoted, 0-5s may not be mandatorily removed from active duty (except for disability or factors such as gross negligence) until the 26-28 yr of service point, depending on the individual service. Similar provisions exist for other officer paygrades.

RECOMMENDATIONS

5.8 Additional flexibility should be incorporated into the military retirement and compensation package to:

- Accommodate removal of officers for good cause prior to the mandatory retirement point
- Accommodate the retention on active duty of officers who should be continued past the mandatory service in grade points notwithstanding the fact that they will not be promoted.

The manner in which officers falling into one of the above categories should be identified and the means by which their removal or continuation should be effected should be the subject of further study. One such aspect is the inferred contract issue and the use of a "grandfather clause" to exclude those presently in the system. This is discussed in Appendix E.

5.9 It should be anticipated that youth and vigor will continue to be an issue relative to force management objectives and associated retirement and compensation provisions. Accordingly, it is recommended that further study of youth and vigor be undertaken with the objectives of:

- Developing a serviceable definition of youth and vigor
- Developing methodologies to quantify youth and vigor levels and requirements
- Determining and evaluating the potential impact on youth and vigor levels and requirements of factors such as demographic changes in the population of the U.S. and the ability to recruit and retain individuals with the desired/ required characteristics
- Addressing youth and vigor as one of a number of interrelated management objectives and not as an isolated issue.