/	AD-A05	1 837 SIFIED	GENERA Some N JAN 78	UMERICA R J M CASD/L	AICS SAL SOLU	AN DIEG TIONS O	O CA CO F INVIS	NVAIR D	IV ISTEADY,	TRANSO NOOD14	NIC FL	F/G 20/ OWSET 0051 NL	4	
		DF AD A051837	11111 (FIRE	BŪR				All of the second secon				
				An						Control of the second s				
			T C		172	X								tation Ministr Gioslati
1	eritektik nadálálák lásokották davasíték	dittilin diditan Manjai	nisiiteenii Astaanaii			END DATE FILMED 5-78								
		- A PARTIC		Harven and the second sec										
														24
/														_



THE COPY AD A 051837

Final Report

SOME NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS OF INVISCID, UNSTEADY, TRANSONIC FLOWS OVER THE NLR 7301 AIRFOIL

R. J. Magnus

Convair Division of General Dynamics San Diego, California

January 1978

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

Approved for public Release Distribution Unlimited.



Sponsored by the OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH (Code 438) Department of the Navy

Contract No. N00014-77-C-0051 ONR Contract Authority No. NR 061-214

CASD/LVP 78-013

CENSSION	ter
1115	White Section
396	Butt Section
BRANNOUR	150 (33)
AUSTIFIC/1	108

WY	
01218180	HOR/AVAILABILITT GUDES
Dist.	AWAIL, and/or SPECIAL
0	
N	
11	
•	

Final Report

SOME NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS OF INVISCID, UNSTEADY, TRANSONIC FLOWS OVER THE NLR 7301 AIRFOIL

R. J. Magnus

Convair Division of General Dynamics San Diego, California

January 1978

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

Approved for public Release Distribution Unlimited.

Sponsored by the OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH (Code 438) Department of the Navy



Contract No. N00014-77-C-0051 ONR Contract Authority No. NR 061-214

FOREWORD

This research was undertaken by the Convair Division of General Dynamics, P. O. Box 80847, San Diego, California 92138. The work was done under the Office of Naval Research, Contract N00014-77-C-0051, ONR Contract Authority NR 061-214/10-21-76 (438). The ONR scientific officer was Mr. Ralph D. Cooper, Director, Fluid Dynamics Programs and the monitor of the technical effort was Mr. Morton Cooper. Dr. R. J. Magnus carried out the computer programming and the calculations. The assistance and cooperation of Dr. H. Lomax, Dr. W. Ballhaus, and Dr. Sanford Davis at NASA Ames Research Center are acknowledged. The cooperation of Dr. H. Tijdeman and others at the NLR in suggesting this project, in furnishing the airfoil description, and in furnishing reports on their experimental work for guidance in the conduct of this project are greatly appreciated.

ABSTRACT

Inviscid transonic flows over the NLR 7301 airfoil were calculated with a program based on the unsteady Euler equations. The blunt-nosed, 16.5 percent thick, aft-cambered section is of the type designed for shock-free flow under prescribed conditions. Steady flows were calculated for four Mach number-incidence combinations (0.500 @ 0.85° , 0.700 @ 3.00° , 0.721 @ 0.00° , 0.744 @ 0.85°) for the airfoil in an unrestricted stream; also at Mach 0.744 @ 0.85° incidence for the airfoil in a slotted-wall tunnel and in a free-jet. Quasi-steady behavior was checked by calculating steady flows at incidences $\pm 0.50^{\circ}$ from the basic incidence mentioned. Unsteady flows were calculated with the airfoil pitching $\pm 0.50^{\circ}$ about an axis at 0.40 chord at reduced frequencies ($k \equiv \omega C/2U_{\infty}$) on the order of 0.2; the actual frequency for each case was chosen to duplicate the 80 hertz maximum oscillation rate achieved in tests of this airfoil by Tijdeman.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
COMPUTATIONAL NOTES	4
CALCULATED EXAMPLES	7
DISCUSSION	13
REFERENCES	15
TABLES	17 - 19
FIGURES	20 - 32

Page

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Shape of the NLR 7301 Airfoil	20
2	Calculated Pressure Distribution, Mach 0.500, $\alpha = 0.85^{\circ}$, Unrestricted Stream	21
3	Calculated Pressure Distribution, Mach 0.700, $\alpha = 3.00^{\circ}$, Unrestricted Stream	22
4	Calculated Pressure Distribution, Mach 0.721, $\alpha = 0.00^{\circ}$, Unrestricted Stream	23
5	Calculated Pressure Distribution, Mach 0.744, $\alpha = 0.85^{\circ}$, Unrestricted Stream	24
6	Calculated Pressure Distribution, Mach 0.744, $\alpha = 0.85^{\circ}$, Slotted Tunnel Walls at y = ± 1.53 chords	25
7	Calculated Pressure Distribution, Mach 0.744, $\alpha = 0.85^{\circ}$, Free Jet Surfaces at y = ±1.53 chords	26
8	Pressure Excursions in Quasi-Steady Flow, Mach 0.500, $\bar{\alpha} = 0.85^{\circ}$, Unrestricted Stream	27
9	Pressure Excursions in Quasi-Steady Flow, Mach 0.700, $\bar{\alpha} = 3.00^{\circ}$, Unrestricted Stream	27
10	Pressure Excursions in Quasi-Steady Flow, Mach 0.721, $\bar{\alpha} = 0.00^{\circ}$, Unrestricted Stream	28
11	Pressure Excursions in Quasi-Steady Flow, Mach 0.744, $\bar{\alpha} = 0.85^{\circ}$, Unrestricted Stream	28
12	Pressure Excursions in Quasi-Steady Flow, Mach 0.744, $\bar{\alpha} = 0.85^{\circ}$, Slotted Tunnel Walls at y = ±1.53 chords	29
13	Pressure Excursions in Quasi-Steady Flow, Mach 0.744, $\bar{\alpha} = 0.85^{\circ}$, Free Jet Surfaces at y = ±1.53 chords	29

v

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

Figure		Page
14	First Harmonics of Unsteady Pressure Excursions, Mach 0.500, $\bar{\alpha} = 0.85^{\circ}$, k = 0.263, Unrestricted Stream	30
15	First Harmonics of Unsteady Pressure Excursions, Mach 0.700, $\bar{\alpha} = 3.00^{\circ}$, k = 0.192, Unrestricted Stream	30
16	First Harmonics of Unsteady Pressure Excursions, Mach 0.721, $\bar{\alpha} = 0.00^{\circ}$, k = 0.189, Unrestricted Stream	31
17	First Harmonics of Unsteady Pressure Excursions, Mach 0.744, $\bar{\alpha} = 0.85^{\circ}$, k = 0.181, Unrestricted Stream	31
18	First Harmonics of Unsteady Pressure Excursions, Mach 0.744, $\bar{\alpha} = 0.85^{\circ}$, k = 0.181, Slotted Tunnel Walls at y = ±1.53 chords	32
19	First Harmonics of Unsteady Pressure Excursions, Mach 0.744, $\bar{\alpha} = 0.85^{\circ}$, k = 0.181, Free Jet Surfaces at y = ±1.53 chords	32

LIST OF TABLES

Table

1	NLR 7301 Airfoil, Steady Forces and Moments, 0.5 Degree Perturbations About Nominal Angle-Of-Attack, Inviscid (Euler) Program	17
2	NLR 7301 Airfoil, Steady Forces and Moments, 0.5 Degree Perturbations About Nominal Angle-Of-Attack, Bauer-Korn (Potential Flow) Program	18
3	NLR 7301 Airfoil, Unsteady Forces and Moments in 0.5 Degree Oscillations About 0.4 Chord Axis, Inviscid (Euler) Program	19

vi

SECTION I

INTRODUCTION

The work of Tijdeman, References 1 - 7, on unsteady flow has, obviously, been the inspiration for a revival of interest in transonic unsteady flow over airfoils. There have been many methods proposed for calculating such flows and, by and large, they have been exercised on the problem of flow over the 64A006 with oscillating flap, a configuration tested by Tijdeman. This configuration appears ideal for testing the usefulness of some of the simpler analytic and numerical methods -- thin airfoil, small inclinations and low lift. Analogous calculations of the unsteady flow over the NLR 7301 supercritical airfoil, also tested by Tijdeman, have not appeared in the literature. The present work is an application of an inviscid numerical procedure to the analysis of unsteady flow over this 16.5 percent thick, blunt-nosed, highly-cambered section.

Because the quasi-steady lift curve slope, d $C_L/d\alpha$, for a supercritical section becomes large for conditions which result in shockless supercritical flow on the upper surface, the unsteady characteristics in pitching are of particular interest. Apprehensions that overly severe unsteady conditions might develop in oscillating an airfoil in pitch about a "shockless" state largely have been dispelled by Tijdeman's experiments and the calculations of Isogai, who studied a Bauer-Garabedian-Korn-Jameson section, Reference 8.

The difficulties in calculating transonic flows over modern supercritical sections and in comparing the results with wind-tunnel data were discussed by Kacprzynski, Reference 9. Some progress on handling the important viscous effects by boundary layer techniques has been reported by Bauer, et al, Reference 10, and by Melnik and Mead, Reference 11. Also, viscous effects being treated by use of the "Navier-Stokes" approach are reported, for example, by Walitt, King and Liu, Reference 12 and by Rose and Seginer, Reference 13. Thus, the present inviscid calculations should be regarded as a (possibly) severely approximate means of analysis of unsteady flows over real supercritical sections.

A number of features or characteristics of the present method might be regarded as favorable in analyzing the flow over a thick airfoil. The method uses an explicit Lax-Wendroff scheme to obtain numerical solutions to the unsteady Euler equations in conservative form. Discontinuities in solutions to these equations have the jump properties of ordinary gas dynamic shocks and the scheme captures these discontinuities. Thus, total head loss through stronger shocks is properly accounted for. The calculation method uses several mesh systems including fixed outer systems and local systems attached to the moving airfoil surface. Terms are added to the equations used with the local accelerating coordinates to maintain conservative form and the tangency boundary conditions are satisfied at nodes on the moving airfoil surface. If desired, tunnel wall boundary conditions can be satisfied at nodes in the fixed, outer coordinate systems.

Other features may be regarded unfavorably. There are no viscous terms in the equations, so decambering because of boundary layers near the trailing edge is not simulated and lift coefficients may be too large. Also, because interaction of shocks with boundary layers on the airfoil surfaces are not accounted for, the calculated shocks may be too strong, too far aft, and not move properly when incidence is varied. High resolution and low computing cost are antithetical; there is evidence that not enough mesh was used in the region of the airfoil nose in the present work. To maintain stability in the numerical process, numerical diffusion has been added which degrades the sharpness of flow gradients in the solutions. Usage of the four coupled equations throughout the flowfield is unnecessary; the flow which lies ahead of shocks or on lines which do not penetrate shocks ought to be describable by simpler equations. Solving the system with an explicit scheme causes most of

the computer usage to be expended in detailing the finer mesh parts of the flow (around the airfoil nose for example) and makes the program costly to run. This expense makes it unattractive to calculate low reduced frequency cases or to run large numbers of examples to establish trends of airfoil properties as Mach number, incidence, or motion frequency and amplitude parameters are varied.

SECTION II COMPUTATIONAL NOTES

The computer program used in the present work is a modification of the program used in previous calculations of unsteady flows over the NACA 64A006 airfoil, Reference 14, and is closely related to the program used in work on the NACA 64A410, Reference 15.

The coupled system of four unsteady Euler equations in conservation form is solved numerically using a two-step, Lax-Wendroff, explicit, finite-difference scheme. Diffusion was added to suppress ragged overshoot in the calculated output near shocks and was also found to be needed to control short-wavelength oscillations in parts of the flow which were near-sonic.

On the order of 5000 mesh nodes arranged in several distinct grid systems were used to cover the field around the airfoil. Fine mesh was used around the airfoil nose; the basic mesh around the airfoil was 0.04 chord squares, and stretched and coarser meshes were used to extend the coverage to outer boundaries several chords from the airfoil.

Local, airfoil-oriented, moving coordinate systems two or three cells deep were used to provide mesh nodes along the moving airfoil surface. The conservative form for the flow equations in these accelerating coordinates was provided by the method outlined by Viviand, Reference 16. Fixed underlying mesh was used to facilitate satisfying boundary conditions along lines representing wind-tunnel walls if desired. Exchanges of information between the developing solutions in the fixed and moving systems were made by interpolations. That the airfoil would make only small excursions (± 0.5 degree pitch) with respect to the fixed background was utilized to simplify the interpolation logic.

More fine mesh was needed to detail the flow around the blunt nose of the NLR 7301 than was used in calculating the relatively sharp-nosed NACA 64A006. Using fine mesh is costly when an explicit scheme is used because the allowable time step (limited by computational instabilities) is dependent on the mesh size. To prevent the program from becoming too costly to run, fine mesh was used more sparingly over some of the aft parts of the airfoil than had been used for calculating the 64A006. Inspection of the results of calculating flows over the NLR 7301 indicates that the mesh around the nose may have been too coarse to yield a good approximation to the "shockless" flow state.

To satisfy tangency boundary conditions along the airfoil surface, the flow at nodes on the moving surface is calculated by the method cataloged as "Euler Predictor, Simple Wave Corrector" in the survey by Abbett, Reference 17. By a similar process the upper and lower pressures and flow directions are matched along a line extending aft about 0.2 chord from the airfoil trailing edge. Further aft the wake discontinuity is allowed to become indistinct by numerical diffusion.

If the flow over the airfoil in an unrestricted stream was to be calculated the flow was held invariant at the field perimeter. On the examples calculated here, the upstream and downstream field boundaries were 7.0 chords distant from the airfoil midchord and the lateral boundaries were placed at 10.4 chords by use of stretched mesh. A flow pattern due to a doublet and a vortex (strength commensurate with airfoil mean lift) plus free stream was maintained on the perimeter.

If free-jet conditions were to be simulated, uniform free stream pressure was maintained along horizontal lines ± 1.53 chords from the airfoil and along the downstream boundary. At the upstream boundary the flow was specified to be uniform free stream.

For the cases simulating flow over the airfoil in a slotted wall tunnel, an empirically determined boundary condition on perturbation velocities

$u \pm 0.73v \pm 0.17v_{x} = 0$

was specified on horizontal lines ± 1.53 chords from the airfoil. The basis for this empirical relation is discussed in Reference 14.

The computer programs utilized are written in FORTRAN extended language and the calculations were run on a CDC 7600 computer. A relatively stable solution to a steady flow problem would be obtained in about 2400 passes through the field requiring 580 seconds of computation. Stationary solutions to the unsteady problems typically would be obtained after following the flow for about 3.5 cycles; this would require 2200 seconds of computing. Pressure fields at (typically) 48 steps in an oscillation cycle were recorded for further study.

SECTION III

CALCULATED EXAMPLES

1. Airfoil Shape

The NLR 7301 airfoil being studied is a 16.5 percent thick supercritical section designed for shockless operation. Experimentally, shockless flow occurs at a lift coefficient of about 0.46 at Mach 0.75, Reference 7. The general appearance of the airfoil and the coordinates, as listed in Reference 7, are shown in Figure 1.

2. Basic Steady Flows

Using the explicit finite difference program the steady flow over the NLR 7301 airfoil was calculated for six basic conditions, the integrated results are listed in Table 1.

At Mach 0.500 and 0.85 degrees angle of attack, Figure 2, the flow is subsonic over the entire surface. At Mach 0.700 and 3.00 degrees angleof-attack, Figure 3, the flow is supersonic over a considerable part of the upper surface and the supersonic region terminates at a strong shock near 0.63 chord. The Mach number ahead of the shock is about 1.48, considerably larger than what could be tolerated in a real flow without separating the boundary layer. At Mach 0.721 and zero angle of attack, Figure 4, the upper surface flow is supercritical but near a shockless state. The three basic cases mentioned above were all calculated assuming the airfoil to be immersed in an unrestricted stream.

At Mach 0.744 and 0.85 degrees angle-of-attack the steady flow was calculated assuming the airfoil to be immersed in an unrestricted stream, in a slotted wall tunnel, and in a free jet. The tunnel height and free-jet

height were both assumed to be 3.06 chords. In an unrestricted stream, Figure 5, there is a strong shock $(M_1 \doteq 1.5)$ near 0.71 chord on the upper surface. In the slotted wall tunnel and free jet environments, Figures 6 and 7, there is a strong compression or a supersonic-to-supersonic shock between 0.15 and 0.20 chord and a relatively weak supersonic-to-subsonic compression near 0.7 chord on the upper surface.

The upper surface flow patterns seen in these cases are fairly characteristic of the variety of patterns to be expected in inviscid flow over this airfoil. All steady flow cases having unrestricted stream outer boundary conditions described above were also calculated using a Bauer-Korn program, Reference 10, based upon numerical solutions of the potential flow equation; the non-conservative shock capturing scheme was utilized. Basic cases as calculated using the Bauer-Korn program are also shown in Figures 2 through 5. At Mach 0.500 the two methods of solution agree fairly well. However, a detailed comparison shows that the expansion of the flow along the upperside of the nose occurs too slowly in the solutions generated by the present program. This tendency is exaggerated in the solutions with higher lift and Mach number; see Figures 3 through 5.

Insufficient expansion of the flow along the upper part of the nose was noted early in the project and diagnosed as being due to use of too coarse a mesh in the nose region. The program was altered to provide more mesh but the tendency toward insufficient expansion was not completely overcome. Diagnostic checks were made whereby the surface pressures generated by the Bauer-Korn program were assigned as boundary conditions at points 2 to 9% chords aft along the nose but these modifications failed to change pressures calculated by the explicit-finite difference program for points further aft along the upper surface. It is surmised from this result that expansion waves emanating from the upper nose region probably are being attenuated by too coarse a mesh in some region outboard of the

surface. The distortions to upper surface pressure distributions occasioned by this shortcoming of the present program are most apparent for the case at Mach 0.721 for which the flow is near shockless, see Figure 4. It should be assumed here that the Bauer-Korn program provides a superior solution.

The Mach numbers selected for the calculations presented in Figures 2, 3, and 5 are the same as those in tests run by Tijdeman, Reference 7, and the incidences selected for the calculations match the geometric incidences set in Tijdeman's experiments. Because the calculations in Figures 2, 3 and 5 do not account for viscous effects or wind tunnel wall influence, they indicate much more lift than the correspondent basic experimental steady flews published by Tijdeman, Reference 7.

The inclusion of a homogeneous slotted wall boundary condition in the calculations at Mach 0.744, Figure 6, drops the lift to about half of the value calculated for the airfoil at the same geometric incidence in an unrestricted stream, Figure 5. The lift and the pressure distribution shown in Figure 6 indicate an effective incidence too low for a good match with Tijdeman's results, Reference 7. Of course, it should not be forgotten that the slotted wall boundary condition included in the calculation is speculative and not based upon measurements from Tijdeman's experiments.

3. Quasi-Steady Perturbations

The lifts and moments resulting from steady flow calculations at incidences of 0.5 degree above and below the basic incidences are also listed in Table 1. The results from comparable calculations using the Bauer-Korn program (with nonconservative shock capturing) are listed in Table 2. At Mach 0.500 and Mach 0.721, for which the shocks are either weak or absent, the lifts calculated by the two programs agree relatively well as to their changes in a one degree incidence range about the basic incidence. At Mach 0.744, however, the lift change for 1.0 degree incidence change is only about 0.88 of the lift change calculated by the Bauer-Korn program. At Mach 0.700 and 3.0 degrees incidence the present program shows a lift change due to 1.0 degree incidence change which is only about 0.75 of the change predicted by the Bauer-Korn program.

Certainly the two programs treat shocks differently; however, other mechanisms might account for the discrepancies between the calculated $\Delta C_{L}/\Delta \alpha$ values. The "tightness" of the numerical procedures by which the Kutta conditions are enforced in the two programs might differ; however, that the results from the programs agree quite well for cases with weak shocks makes this suggestion unlikely. For the problems at Mach 0.700 and 0.744 the Mach number just ahead of the shock is of the order of 1.5; the program based upon the Euler equations, therefore, calculates that the fluid washing the upper side of the trailing edge has suffered (roughly) seven percent loss of total head. The Bauer-Korn program, being based on the potential equation, would not account for altered properties for this stream. Possibly, because of the lowered total head of the upper surface stream the present program would allow more (concave upward) curvature on the dividing streamline than does the Bauer-Korn program.

Chordwise distributions of the normalized quasi-steady pressure loading are shown in Figures 8 through 13. Here ΔC_p is arbitrarily defined as:

$$\Delta C_{p} = 57.3 (C_{p+} - C_{p-})$$

where C_{p^+} is the pressure coefficient at the nominal incidence plus 0.5 degree and C_{p^-} is the pressure coefficient at nominal incidence minus 0.5 degrees.

For those cases having the airfoil in an unrestricted stream, Figures 8 through 11, quasi-steady pressure changes as calculated by the Bauer-Korn program are also presented. Differences between the results produced by the two methods may be noted. On the upper surface near the nose, the superior detailing of the flow expansion by the Bauer-Korn program is evident.

When a strong shock is present, Figures 9 and 11, it is evident that the differences in lift slope calculated by the two methods (noted earlier) are not caused solely by different pressures on the upper surface aft of the shock; the loadings on the lower surface and upper surface forward also are not in agreement.

In shape, these distributions bear some resemblance to the experimental results reported by Tijdeman, References 6 and 7. Since none of the basic, steady-flow, pressure distributions matches any of Tijdeman's, the changes due to ± 0.5 degree incidence do not match either. The spikes in loading due to shock movement are more intense for the inviscid calculations than for the experiments because the computations do not account for shock weakening by interaction with the boundary layer.

4. Unsteady Perturbations

Unsteady oscillatory flows for the six basic cases were calculated and the results are presented in Table 3 and Figures 14 through 19. The reduced frequencies chosen are those which would have prevailed in Tijdeman's experiments, References 6 and 7, if 80 hertz frequency were selected.

For these cases the airfoil was assumed to oscillate in pitch about an axis 0.40 chord aft of the airfoil nose and 0.017 chord below the airfoil reference chordline. Sinusoidal incidence variations of 0.5 degree around the basic incidences were assumed:

$$\alpha(t) = \alpha_0 + 0.5 \sin \omega t.$$

The reduced frequency and circular frequency are related as follows:

$$k = \omega C/2U_{\infty}$$
.

The oscillatory lifts, pitching moments, and pressures at selected locations along the airfoil surfaces were fitted with three harmonic representations.

$$F(t) = \overline{F} + \sum_{n=1}^{3} (R_n \sin n \omega t + I_n \cos n \omega t).$$

Mean values and the real and imaginary parts of the first harmonics of the lifts and pitching moments are listed in Table 3. For the cases calculated, the magnitudes of the second harmonics of the lift were all less than 3 percent of the magnitudes of the corresponding first harmonics. The second harmonics of the pitching moments ranged between 4 and 16 percent of the magnitudes of the fundamentals; 4 percent for the subsonic example at Mach 0.50 and 16 percent for the case at Mach 0.744 in a free jet.

The real and imaginary parts of the normalized first harmonics of the surface pressure excursions for the various cases calculated are shown in Figures 14 through 19. The responses presented are the magnitudes of the excursions of pressure coefficient per radian of pitch oscillation amplitude. Of course, the height and broadness of each pressure spike caused by shock motion only makes sense if it is recalled that the actual amplitudes of the pitch oscillations for the calculations was 0.50 degrees.

In general, the second harmonic of oscillatory pressure would be less than 10 percent of the magnitude of the corresponding fundamental. Exceptions to this rule occurred near or aft of a strong shock and on the forward part of the upper surface of the airfoil for the "shockless" case at Mach 0.721; the quasi-steady pressure changes for positive and negative incidence changes also would be unequal (non-linear) for the conditions mentioned.

SECTION IV

DISCUSSION

The present calculations of unsteady transonic flows over the NLR 7301 supercritical section have been motivated by the existence of Tijdeman's experimental work on this airfoil. The schedule of inviscid calculations also is patterned on Tijdeman's work:

- a. Select and calculate a number of basic cases which demonstrate a variety of upper surface flow patterns.
- b. Calculate steady flows at incidences ± 0.5 degrees on either side of the basic incidence to assess quasi-steady behavior.
- c. Calculate the unsteady flow for the airfoil oscillating sinusoidally in pitch ± 0.5 degree about an axis at 0.40 chord

Because the calculations do not include viscous effects and because wind-tunnel wall effects have been included for only one Mach number and incidence in a speculative manner, comparisons with Tijdeman's experimental data have not been emphasized. Note that the calculated flow patterns (as a collection of cases) have many of the features so aptly described by Tijdeman, Reference 7.

Compared to the experimental results, the calculated results may show some differences which can be expected on logical grounds:

- Larger pressure loading spikes on parts of the surface traversed by shocks because shock weakening by interaction with the boundary layer has not been included --
- b. Altered basic shock placement, shock movement with incidence changes, and altered pressure distributions on the airfoil surfaces aft of the shocks, also because the calculated shocks are too strong --
- c. Pressures on the aft lower surface of the airfoil more positive because the thickening of the boundary layer in the strong adverse pressure gradient ahead of the lower surface concavity has not been accounted for.

Assessing wind tunnel wall effects on the unsteady pressures, per se, was not accomplished. The changes in basic steady flow patterns due to changing from an unrestricted stream to a slotted-wall or a free-jet outer boundary were so drastic (C_L change from 0.81 to 0.41 or 0.33 respectively) that seeking any subtle differences in unsteady pressures on the airfoil due to different "returns" from the outer boundary would be useless.

REFERENCES

- 1. Tijdeman, H. and Bergh, H., "Analysis of Pressure Distributions Measured on a Wing With Oscillating Control Surface in Two-Dimensional High Subsonic and Transonic Flow" Rpt. NLR-TR F. 253, March 1967
- 2. Tijdeman, H. and Schippers, P., "Results of Pressure Measurements on an Airfoil with Oscillating Flap in Two-Dimensional High Subsonic and Transonic Flow (Zero Incidence and Zero Mean Flap Position)" Rpt. NLR TR 73078U, July 1973
- 3. Tijdeman, H. and Schippers, P., "Results of Pressure Measurements On a Lifting Airfoil with Oscillating Flap in Two-Dimensional High Subsonic and Transonic Flow" Rpt. NLR TR 73018L, November 1974
- Tijdeman, H., "On the Motion of Shock Waves on an Airfoil With Oscillating Flap in Two-Dimensional Transonic Flow" Rpt. NLR TR 75038U, March 1975
- 5. Tijdeman, H., "High Subsonic and Transonic Effects in Unsteady Aerodynamics" Rpt. NLR TR 75079U, May 1975
- Tijdeman, H., "On the Unsteady Aerodynamic Characteristics of Oscillating Airfoils in Two-Dimensional Transonic Flow" Rpt. NLR MP 76003U, March 1976
- 7. Tijdeman, H., "Investigations of the Transonic Flow Around Oscillating Airfoils," Rpt. NLR TR 77090U, October 1977
- Isogai, Koji, "Calculation of Unsteady Transonic Flow Over Oscillating Airfoils Using the Full Potential Equation" AIAA Paper 77-448, AIAA Dynamics Specialist Conference, San Diego, California March 24-25, 1977
- Kacprzynski, J. J., "Viscous Effects in Transonic Flow Past Airfoils" ICAS Paper No. 74-19, The Ninth Congress of the International Council of the Aeronautical Sciences, Haifa, Israel, August 25-30, 1974

- 10. Bauer, F., Garabedian, P., Korn, D. and Jameson, A. <u>Supercritical Wing</u> Sections II, Springer-Verlag, New York 1975
- Melnik, R. E. and Mead, H. R., "Theory of Viscous Transonic Flows Over Airfoils at High Reynolds Number," AIAA Paper 77-680, Albuquerque, June 1977
- 12. Walitt, L., King, L. S., and Liu, C. Y., "Computation of Viscous Transonic Flow About a Lifting Airfoil," AIAA Paper 77-679, Albuquerque, June 1977
- 13. Rose, W. C. and Seginer, A., "Calculation of Transonic Flow Over Supercritical Airfoil Sections," AIAA Paper 77-681, Albuquerque, June 1977
- 14. Magnus, R. J., "Calculations of Some Unsteady Transonic Flows About the NACA 64A006 and 64A010 Airfoils," AFFDL-TR-77-46, July 1977
- 15. Magnus, R. and Yoshihara, H. "Unsteady Transonic Flows Over an Airfoil," AIAA Journal, Vol. 13, No. 12, December 1975, pp. 1622-1628
- Viviand, Henri, "Formes Conservatives Des Équations De La Dynamique Des Gaz," La Recherche Aérospatiale, Ann. 1974, No. 1, January -February, pp. 65-68
- Abbett, M. J., "Boundary Condition Computational Procedures for Inviscid, Supersonic Steady Flow Field Calculations," NASA, CR-114446, November 1971

Table 1.NLR 7301 Airfoil, Steady Forces and Moments,0.5 Degree Perturbations About NominalAngle-Of-AttackInviscid (Euler) Program

Coefficients:

 c_{L} = Airfoil Lift/qC c_{m} = Airfoil nose-up moment about quarter chord/qC²

C = chord

q = Free stream dynamic pressure

 $\Delta \alpha = 0.5 \text{ degree}$

			Lift	: Coefficie	ıts	Pitching	Moment Co	oefficients
Outer Boundary Condition	Mach	с ₀	α0-00	αo	α ₀ +Δα	α0-Δα	αo	α0+Δα
Tinnactuicted Stream	0 500	0	1303	6169	5001	1000	1001	1098
		00.0	0001		1000.	C001 -	1701	
Unrestricted Stream	0.700	3.00	1.0828	1.1601	1.2354	1438	1528	1627
Unrestricted Stream	0.721	0.00	. 4335	.5499	.6891	1246	1230	1257
Unrestricted Stream	0.744	0.85	. 6982	. 8057	.9040	1529	1670	1822
								•
Homogeneous Slotted Walls @v = +1.53C	0.744	0.85	.3493	.4112	.4764	1268	1277	1284
Free Jet Surfaces @ v = ±1.53C	0.744	0.85	. 2825	. 3251	.3761	1132	1099	1077

Tat	ole 2. I	NLR 7301 A 0.5 Deg Bauer-	Airfoil, Stea ree Perturb Angle-(Korn (Pote	ady Forces ations Ab Of-Attack ntial Flow	s and Moments out Nominal) Program			
Coefficients:								
$c_{L} = Airfoil Lift/qC$ $c_{m} = Airfoil nose-up moment$	t about qu	larter chon	.d/qC ²		C = chord q = Free str Δα = 0.5 degr	eam dynami ee	c pressur	υ
Outer Boundary Condition	Mach	જ	Lif α ₀ -Δα	t Coefficie α ₀	ents α₀⁺∆α	Pitching] α ₀ -Δα	Moment C $lpha_0$	oefficients α ₀ +Δα
Unrestricted Stream	0.500	0.85	.4317	. 5068	. 5821	0963	0958	0951
Unrestricted Stream	0.700	3.00	1.0936	1.2002	1.2966	1467	1625	1787

-.1309

-. 1276

-.1267

. 6928

. 5665

.4465

0.00

0.721

Unrestricted Stream

-. 1913

-. 1718

-.1570

.9288

. 8091

. 6939

0.85

0.744

Unrestricted Stream

			Inviscio	ora (rama)	graun				
Angle of Attack:				Coeffic	ients:				
$\alpha(t) = \alpha_0 + 0.5^{\circ} \sin(\omega t)$				C	= Airfoil	Lift/qC			
ω = oscillation rate, rad	ian/time u	mit		ບ້	= Airfoil	nose-up mon	nent about qu	larter chord	1/qC ²
Reduced Frequency:				5	= Free st	ream dynami	c pressure		
$k = \frac{2}{2}U_{\infty}$				Tvpical	First Har	monic of Res	ponse Funct	ion:	
C = chord				D	(t) = Č +	Re sin (ut)	+ Im cos ((et)	
U _∞ = Free stream velocity				7	1	T	1		
				L	ift Coeffici	ents	Pitchin	ig Moment C	Coefficients
Outer Boundary Condition	Mach	k	α ⁰	ĞL	ReL	ImL	с́т	Rem	In m
Unrestricted Stream	0.500	0.263	0.85	0.5141	. 04691	00151	1017	00023	00379
Unrestricted Stream	0.700	0.192	3.00	1.1568	.04728	01427	1519	-• 00504	.00123
Unrestricted Stream	0.721	0.189	0.00	0.5536	. 04691	02211	1232	00266	00508
Unrestricted Stream	0.744	0.181	0.85	0.8050	.04450	01734	1671	00322	.00220
Homogeneous Slotted Walls @ y = ±1.53C	0.744	0.181	0.85	0.4148	. 05642	00822	1282	- 00019	00489
Free Jet Surfaces @ y = ±1.53C	0.744	0.181	0.85	0.3296	.05021	.00423	1106	. 00236	- 00900

NLR 7301 Airfoil, Unsteady Forces and Moments In 0.5 Degree Oscillations About 0.4 Chord Axis Table 3.



Coordinates of NLR 7301*

Up	per Surface	Lower	Surface
x/C	z/C	x/C	z/C
0.0000	0004		
. 0033	.0196	. 0018	0134
.0124	. 0369	. 0079	0247
. 0207	. 0454	.0180	0340
. 0299	. 0511	.0370	0437
. 0397	. 0554	.0650	0525
.0499	. 0590	.1000	0598
.0600	.0618	.1300	0643
.0748	. 0651	. 1649	0685
. 0998	. 0697	. 2000	0718
. 1300	. 0741	. 2499	0750
.1649	. 0781	. 2998	0767
. 1995	.0813	. 3499	0770
. 2498	. 0847	. 3999	0760
. 2998	. 0869	. 4497	0733
. 3497	. 0881	. 4998	0684
. 3993	.0883	. 5496	0613
. 4492	. 0876	. 5996	0526
. 4996	.0860	. 6393	0447
. 5493	.0832	. 6791	0361
. 5993	.0792	. 7193	0273
. 6493	.0736	.7597	0185
. 6993	. 0661	.7994	0104
.7494	. 0573	. 8377	0039
. 7982	.0475	. 8785	.0013
. 8385	.0388	.9188	.0043
. 8786	. 0297	.9487	.0047
.9184	. 0207	. 9781	. 0037
.9479	.0140		
.9784	. 0074		
1.0000	.0030		

*From Reference 7

Figure 1. Shape of the NLR 7301 Airfoil







 $\alpha = 3.00^{\circ}$, Unrestricted Stream







Figure 5. Calculated Pressure Distribution, Mach 0.744, $\alpha = 0.85^{\circ}$, Unrestricted Stream







Figure 7. Calculated Pressure Distribution, Mach 0.744, $\alpha = 0.85^{\circ}$, Free Jet Surfaces at $y = \pm 1.53$ Chords













DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR UNCLASSIFIED TECHNICAL REPORTS AND REPRINTS ISSUED UNDER RAGT X00014-77-6-0051 TASK NE 061-214 CONTRACT NOCOL4-T7-C-CC51

All addresses receive one copy unless otherwise specified

Ballistic Pesearch Laboratories Aberdeen Froving Ground, ND 21005 Technical Library Suilding 313

Dr. F. D. Benneth External Eathfuld Laboratory Salliatic Facesarch Laboratories Aberdeen Fronting Ground, ND 21005

Mr. C. C. Hudson Sanite Corporation Sendie Ease Albuquerque, TM 81115

Professor P. J. Reache Ecolynamics Pesearch P. O. Bex 8172

Albuquerque, NN 87109 Dr. J. D. Shreve, Jr. Sandia Corporation Carilla 5855

ilbuquerque, WY 81115

Defense Scoumentation Center Cameron Station, Building 5 Alexandria, VA 22314 Cibrery

12 Copies

Maval Academy 21402 Dr. G. H. Heilzeier

Director, Defense Advanced Pessarch Projects Agency 1400 Wilson Souleverd Arlington, VA 22209

Defense Advanced Research Projects Mr. R. A. Moore Deputy Director, Tectical Technology Cifice Wilson Bouleverd Arlington, VA 22209 l'ores.

Office of Naval Research Arlington, VA 22217 Code 411

Office of Naval Fesearch Arlington, VA 22217 Code 421

Office of Naval Research Code 438 Arlington, VA 22217 Office of Naval Research 1021P (ONFL)

6 Copies

Arlington, VA 22217

Dr. J. L. Potter Deruy Treetor, Technology von Karman Sas Dynamics Facility Arnold Air Force Station, TN 37389

Professor J. C. Wu Georgia Institute of Technology School of Aerospace Engineering Atlente, GA 30332

Aero/et-General Corporation 6352 North Irwindale Avenue Azusa, CA 91702 Librery

Saltimore/Washington International Airport NASA Scientific and Technical Information Facility P. O. Box 8757 Waryland 21240

University of California Department of Machanical Engineering Berkeley, CA 94720 Dr. S. A. Berger

University of California Department of Mathematics Berkeley, CA 94720 Professor A. J. Chorin

University of California Department of Mechanical Ingineering Berkeley, CA 94720 Professor M. Holt

University of California Department of Machanical Engineering Berkeley, CA 94720 Dr. L. Talbot

David W. Taylor Naval Ship Research and Development Center Dr. H. R. Cheplin Code 16

David W. Taylor Navel Ship Research and Development Center Sethesda, MD 20084 Bethesda, MD 20084 Code 1800

Code 5643

David W. Taylor Naval Ship Research and Development Center Bethesda, ND 20084

Dr. G. R. Inger Virginia Polytechnic Institute and Sta Polytersity and Starspace Engineering Slacksturg, VA 24061

Professor A. H. Nayfeh Virginie Folytechnic Institute and State University Department of Engineering Science and Mechanics Blacksburg, VA 24061

Indiana University School of Applied Mathematics Bloomington, IN 47401

Office of Maval Research Branch Office 195 Summer Street Bester. NA 02210 Tirector

Supervisor, Technical Library Section Triscol Nemical Orporation 'ssatch Division Prignam 210, UT 84302

Dr. G. Rall State University of New York at Buffalo State voi Engineering and Applied Science Fluid and Thermal, Sciences Laborstory

Buffelo, NY 14214

Mr. R. J. Widel Celspen Corporation Aerodymanics Fesearch Department P. C. Box 235

Buffalo, NY 14221

Massachusetts Institute of Technology Department of Mechanical Engineering Cambridge, MA 02139 Professor R. F. Probatein

Director

BEST Office of Neval Pesearch Branch Office 516 South Clark Street Chicago, IL 60605

Code 753

Navel Wespons Center Chine Leke, CA 93555

China Leke, CA 93555 Naval Wespons Center Mr. J. Marshall Code LC6

Professor F. T. Davis University of Cincinneti Dependient of Aerospace Engineering and Applied Nechanics Cincinnati, CH 15221

NASA Lewis Research Center 21000 Erookpark Road 44135 Library NS 60-3 Cleveland, OH Dr. J. D. Anderson, Jr. Chairman, Department of Aerospace College of Engineering University of Maryland College Fark, MD 20742 Engineering

COPY AVAILABLE

COPY BEST AVAILABLE

Dr. T. D. Teylor The Aerospace Corporation P. O. Box 92957 Los Angeles, CA 90009

Nevel Ordnance Station Louisville, KY 40214 Commanding Officer

Mr. B. E. Little, Jr. Lockheed-Deorgie Compary Depertment 72-74, Zone 369 Mariette, GA 30061

Dr. C. Cock Starford Research Institute Menio Fark, CA 94025

241 Mechanical Engineering Building Minneepolis, Nr 55455 Professor E. R. G. Eckert University of Minnesota

Librery Navel Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93940

Department of Mechanical Engineering Modill University Supersonic-Ges Dynamics Research Leboretory

Montreel 12, Quebec, Canada

Librarian Engineering Library, 127-223 Radio Corporation of America Morristown, NU 07960

Mielsen Engineering & Research, Inc. 510 Clyde Avenue Mountain Viev, CA 94043 Dr. S. S. Steters

Engineering Scoleties Library 345 East 47th Street New York, NY 10017

New York University Courant Institute of Mathematical Professor A. Jameson Ectences 251 Verser Street Mey York, 77 10012

Professor T. Cebeci Sciffonnia State University. Long Teach Mechanicol Ingineering Department Long Bacch, CA. 90840 Cambridge Eydrodynamics, Inc. Midwest Pesearch Institute 425 Volker Boulevard Kansas City, XO 64110 Dr. M. M. Mafez Flov Research, Inc. P. O. Box 5040 Kent, WA 96031 Dr. E. M. Murmen Flow Research, Inc. P. O. Bex 5040 Lexington, MA 02173 Dr. S. A. Crstag Kent, WA 98031 54 Beskir Road

Mr. J. L. Hess

Douglas Aircraft Company 3855 Lekevood Boulevard Long Beach, CA 90808

University Fark Department of Aerospace Engineering Los Angeles, CA 90007 University of Southern California, Dr. H. K. Cheng

Professor J. D. Cole University of California Mechanics and Structures Department School of Engineering and Applied Science

Engineering Library University of Southern California Box 77929 Los Angeles, CA 90024

Los Angeles, CA 90007

University of Southern California, University Park Department of Aerospace Engineering Los Arceles, CA 90007 Dr. C. -M. Ho

Professor A. Chapmann Chairman, Mechanical Engineering Library (MS 185) NASA Langley Research Center Langley Station

Ithece, NY

Cornell University Sibley School of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering Ithaca, NY 12853

Technical Documents Center Army Nobility Equipment R&D Center Building 315 Fort Belwdir, VA 22060

Professor W. L. Welnik

Library

Dr. S. Nadir

Cepartment

William M. Rice Institute Houston, TX 77001 Box 1892

Dr. F. Lane KLD Associates, Inc. 7 High Street Huntington, NY 11743

Technical Library Neval Ordnance Station Indian Head, MD 20640

Professor D. A. Ceughey Correll University Sibley School of Mechanical and Anrespace Engineering Ithace, NY 14653

Cornell University Sibley School of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering Ithaca, NY 14953 Professor E. L. Resler

Professor S. F. Shen

University of Maryland Dreathant of Acryspace Engineering Drenn 1. Warin Institute of Technology College Fark, MC 20742 Cepartment of Aerospace Engineering and Applied Mechanics Poute 110 Litrary, United Aircraft Corporation Frofessor S. G. Rubin Polyrechnic Institute of New York Long Island Center Technical Library AVCO-Everett Pesearch Laboratory 2335 Tevere Beach Parkway Everett, XA 02149 Chio State University Department of Aeronautical and Astronautical Engineering 131- Minnear Foad C. Jr. F. Mocre A Mayal Surface Weapons Center Tevel Surface Weapons Center Technical Library 2-51131 LTV Aerospace Corporation P. C. Box 5907 East Hartford, CT 06108 Research Laboratories Professor O. Burggraf Columbus, CF 13212 Canteren Laboratory Tahigren, Vi. 22443 Senigren Leboretory Senigren. VA 22448 Calles, TX 75222 Technical Library Silver 1076

Dr. W. R. Briley

Scientific Research Associates, Inc. P. O. Box 498 Glastonbury, CT 06033

Hampton, VA 23665

Northrop Corporation Aircraft Division 3901 West Broadway Hawthorme, CA 90250

Department of Aerospace Engineering Folytechnic Institute of New York Long Island Center and Applied Mechanics Frofessor G. Woretti

Farringdale, NY 11735

Farringdale, NY 11735

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Frofessor J. N. Ferniger Stanford University Defarment of Nechanical Engineering Department Librarian University of Mashington Department of Aeronsutics and Tr. G. Meiche Nevel Surface Wespons Center Mathematical Amalysis Branch Silver Spring, WD 20910 . Stanford, CA 91305

Librery The Rand Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, CA 90401

Sectie, WA 98105 Astroneutics

Dr. F. Z. Rubbert

Boeing Connervial Airylane Company P. O. Box 5707

Seattle, WA 98124 Mr. P. Seldbubn

Wevel Surface Weapons Center White Oek Latoratory Silver Spring, ND 20910

12 1181611

Nevel Surface Weapons Center Antre Sek Leboratory Silver Spring, ND 20910

Tr. J. W. Soloton Natal Surface Weapons Center White Cak Latoratory Silver Syring, ND 20910

Starford, CA 91305

Stanford University Jeparizent of Aeronautics and Professor K. Kerencheri Astronautios

lepartzent of Aaronautics and Astronautics Professor M. van Dyke Stanford University Stanford, CA P.305

Chief. Document Section Arry Missile Commend Pedstone Arsenel, Al 35809

Redondo Beach, CA 90278 Dr. P. K. Dei (R1/2178) TRW Systems Group, Inc. One Space Park

Redstone Scientific Information

enter

Research Triangle, NO 27709 U.S. Arry Fesearch Office P. C. Box 12211

Frofessor M. Lessen The University of Sochester Department of Machanical Engineering Fiver Campus Station Rochester, NY 14627

Editor, Applied Mechanics Review Southrest Pesearch Institute 8500 Culebra Road San Antonio, TX 78228

Library and Information Services General Dynamics-COUTAIR P. O. Box 1126 San Diego, CA 92112

Dr. P. Magnus

Veneral Dynamics-CONVAIR San Diego, CA 92136 Kearny Mesa Plant -F. O. Box 80647

Mr. T. Brundage Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency Research and Development Field Unit

APC 1146, Rex 271 Sen Francisco, CA 96246

Sen Francisco Area Critce 760 Market Street - Joom LLT San Francisco, CA 94102 Office of Revel Peseston

Mr. L. I. Chasen, MGR-MSD Lib. General Electric Company Missile and Space Division P. O. Box 3555 Philadelphia, PA 19101 Mr. P. Dodge

Airesearch Manufacturing Company of Arizona Division of Garrett Corporation LO2 South 36th Street Phoenix, AZ 85034

Technical Library

Naval Missile Center Point Mugu, CA 93042

Professor S. Bogdonoff Frinceton University Ges Zymanics Laboratory Department of Aerospace and Nectinical Sciences Frinceton, MJ 06540

Princetom University Department of Aerospace and Mechanical Sciences Princeton, NJ 08540

Aeronautical Pesearch Associates Dr. J. E. Yates

of Princeton, Inc. 50 Washington Roe Princeton, NJ 08540

Ivision of Engineering Srown University

Professor J. T. C. Liu Brown University

Professor L. Strovich Srown University

Division of Applied Mathematics Providence, RI 02912

Professor G. Willer New York Thiversity Constration: of Applied Science Co-36 Supresult Street New York, NY 10003

Office of Naval Fesearch New York Area Office 715 Srcalwey - 5th Floor New York, NY 10003

Dr. A. Veylfo-Leurin New York Infreesity Legentrech of Applied Seience 26-26 Stuyresant Street Cew York, NY 10003

Frofessor S. Weinhaum Fesearch Foundation of the City University of New York on behalf of the Tity College 35

Har York, TY 10018

Librarian, Aeronautical Library Mational Research Council Montreal Road Otteve 7, Canada Lockheed Wissiles and Space Company Technical Information Center 2011 Hanner Street Paio Alto. CA 94304

Director Conce of Naval Reserveh Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadene, CA 9106

California Institute of Technology Engineering Division Pasadena, CA 91109

Jet Propulsion Leboratory u600 Oak Grove Drive Pasadens, CA 91103

Frofessor K. Liepten Cestformin Instatue of Technology Department of Aeronautics Pesadera, CA 91109

Litrer

Division of Engineering

Providence, RI 02912

Professor S. I. Cheng

Professor J. H. Clarke

Providence, PI 02912

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Waval Research Laboratory Washington, DC 20375 Code 2627

Nevel Sea Systems Command Washington, DC 20362 SEA 03512

Maval Sea Systems Command Washington, DC 20362 SEA 0963

Dr. A. L. Slæfkosky Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps Weshington, DC 20390 Code AX)

Weapons Systems Evaluation Group Weshington, DC 20305 Director

2r. P. Parcnti General Applied Science Laboratories, Inc. Warrick and Stewart Avenues Westbury, 37 11590

Whippeny Reed Bell Leboratories

Missile Systems Division 201 Lovell Street Wilfington, MA 01287 Chief of Aerodynamics AVCO Corporation

AVCO Corporation Missile Systems Division Research Library

Wilmington, MA 01887 201 Lovell Street

AFAPL (APRC)

Wright Patterson, AFB, OH 45433

Dr. Donald J. Karney AFFD1/FX Wright Patterson AFB, OK 45423

Technical Library Division (AIR 604) Neval Air Systems Command Washington, DC 20361

Dr. S. M. Yen University of Illinois Coordinated Science Laboratory Urbana, IL 61801

Navel Air Development Center Warminster, PA 18974

Air Force Office of Scientific Research (SPEM) Building 1410, Boiling AFB Warhington, DC 20332

Chief of Research & Development Office of Chief of Staff

Library of Congress

Space Administration 600 Independence Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20546

Library

1800 G Street, NW Washington, DC 20550

Mr. W. Koven (AIR 03E) Naval Air Systems Command

Mr. R. Siewert (AIR 320D)

Professor W. P. Sears University of Arizona Aercopace and Mechanical Zngineering Tucson, AG 85721

Professor A. R. Seebass University of Arizona Department of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering Tueson, AZ 65721

McConnell Douglas Corporation Department 216, Building 101

Engineering Library

P. C. Box 516 St. Louis, NO 63166

Dr. R. J. Sakinen

Dr. K. T. Yen Code 3015

Systems and Research Division -

Dr. P. Feinisch

Honeyrell, Inc.

Aerospace Defense Group 2345 Walnut Street

St. Paul, MC 55113

36

McDonnell Douglas Corporation Department 222 7. 0. Box 516 St. Louis, MC 63166

Department of the Army Washington, DC 20310

Science and Technology Division Washington, DC 20540

Professor R. G. Stoner Arizona State University Lepartment of Physics Tenge, AL 85-21

Director of Research (Code RR) National Aeronautics and

1049 Carino Dos Rios P. O. Box 1095 Thousand Paks, CA 91360

Fockwell International P. C. Pox 1035

Science Center

Sockwell International Socience Center

Dr. N. Malmuth

National Bureau of Standards Washington, DC 20234

Mational Science Foundation Engineering Division

The Library University of Toronto Institute of Aerospace Studies Toronto 5, Canada

Thousand Caks, CA 91360

Weshington, DC 20361

Naval Air Systems Command Washington, DC 20361

REPURI DUCUMENTA	TION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION	I NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
None	2-2-1 A. 16-214-3	(b)
TITLE (and Subtitle)		TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Some Numerical Solutions of Inv	iscid Unsteady	Final Report
Transonic Flows over the NLB 5	301 Airfoil	
	- A	CASD/I VD-78-613
AUTHOR(s)	(L	CASD/ LVI-10-013
6)		
R. J./Magnus	G	5 NACA14-77-C 2051 new
	Ľ	IN DROCEAN ELEMENT PROJECT TASK
General Dynamics Convair Divis	sion	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
P. O. Box 80847		NR 061-214/10-21-76 (438)
San Diego, California 92138	-	
· CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRES	ss (To To
		// pan 18
		43 12 400 1
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II	different from Controlling Offi	ce) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
Office of Naval Research (Code	438)	Unclassified
Department of the Navy		154 DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
Arlington, Virginia 22217		SCHEDULE
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release, Di DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract	stribution Unlimited	nt from Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release, Di DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract N/A	stribution Unlimited	nt from Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release, Di DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract N/A SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	stribution Unlimited	nt from Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release, Di DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract N/A SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	stribution Unlimited	nt from Report)
Approved for Public Release, Di or Distribution statement (of the abetract N/A Supplementary notes None	stribution Unlimited	nt from Report)
Approved for Public Release, Di OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the aboutact DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the aboutact N/A Supplementary notes	stribution Unlimited	nt from Report)
Approved for Public Release, Di OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract N/A Supplementary notes None	stribution Unlimited	nt from Report)
Approved for Public Release, Di DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract N/A SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if nece	stribution Unlimited	nt from Report)
Approved for Public Release, Di OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotract DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotract N/A SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if nece Fransonic Airfoil Flow, Unstead	stribution Unlimited entered in Block 20, if differe every and identify by block nu y Flow, Finite Diffe	nt from Report) mber) rence
Approved for Public Release, Di OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abatract DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abatract N/A SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if nece Fransonic Airfoil Flow, Unstead	stribution Unlimited entered in Block 20, if differe esery and identify by block nu y Flow, Finite Diffe	nt from Report) mber) rence
Approved for Public Release, Di Approved for Public Release, Di OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract N/A Supplementary notes None KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if nece Fransonic Airfoil Flow, Unstead	stribution Unlimited entered in Block 20, if differe esery and identify by block nu y Flow, Finite Diffe	nt from Report) mber) rence
Approved for Public Release, Di DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotract DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotract N/A SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if neces Fransonic Airfoil Flow, Unstead ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if neces puisoid transonic flows over the	stribution Unlimited entered in Block 20, if differe escary and identify by block nu y Flow, Finite Diffe	nt from Report) mber) rence
Approved for Public Release, Di Approved for Public Release, Di DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract N/A SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if nece Transonic Airfoil Flow, Unstead ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if neces nviscid transonic flows over the pased on the unsteady Fular course	entered in Block 20, if differe entered in Block 20, if differe escary and identify by block nu y Flow, Finite Diffe NLR 7301 airfoil we tions. The six case	nt from Report) mber) rence her) ere calculated using a program es treated include a subsonic
Approved for Public Release, Di OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract N/A SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if nece Transonic Airfoil Flow, Unstead ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if neces inviscid transonic flows over the based on the unsteady Euler equa	entered in Block 20, if differe entered in Block 20, if differ	mber) rence mber) ere calculated using a program es treated include a subsonic supercritical flows with shocks
Approved for Public Release, Di DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract N/A SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necession Fransonic Airfoil Flow, Unstead ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necession inviscid transonic flows over the based on the unsteady Euler equation low, a near-shockless supercriting ageb case stordy flows and we	entered in Block 20, if differe entered in Block 20, if differe essary and identify by block nu y Flow, Finite Diffe NLR 7301 airfoil we tions. The six case ical flow and some a	nt from Report) mber) rence mber) ere calculated using a program es treated include a subsonic supercritical flows with shocks. ching oscillations with 0.5
Approved for Public Release, Di OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract N/A SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if nece Transonic Airfoil Flow, Unstead ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if nece inviscid transonic flows over the based on the unsteady Euler equa low, a near-shockless supercrit in each case, steady flows and un legree amplitude and reduced for	entered in Block 20, if differe entered in Block 20, if differe escary and identify by block nu y Flow, Finite Diffe NLR 7301 airfoil we tions. The six case cical flow and some a nsteady flows for pit	mber) rence mber) ere calculated using a program es treated include a subsonic supercritical flows with shocks. ching oscillations with 0.5
Approved for Public Release, Di OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract N/A SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if nece Transonic Airfoil Flow, Unstead ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if nece inviscid transonic flows over the based on the unsteady Euler equa flow, a near-shockless supercrift in each case, steady flows and un legree amplitude and reduced from relevalated a	entered in Block 20, if differe entered in Block 20, if differe seary and identify by block nu y Flow, Finite Diffe NLR 7301 airfoil we tions. The six case cical flow and some a nsteady flows for pit equency (k = w C/2U	mber) rence mber) ere calculated using a program es treated include a subsonic supercritical flows with shocks. ching oscillations with 0.5 co) of approximately 0.2 were
Approved for Public Release, Di DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract N/A SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if nece Transonic Airfoil Flow, Unstead Distract (Continue on reverse eide if nece Inviscid transonic flows over the based on the unsteady Euler equa low, a near-shockless supercrit in each case, steady flows and un degree amplitude and reduced from calculated.	entered in Block 20, if differe entered in Block 20, if differe entered in Block 20, if differe every and identify by block nu y Flow, Finite Diffe NLR 7301 airfoil we stions. The six case ical flow and some a isteady flows for pit equency (k = w C/2U	nt from Report) mber) rence mber) ere calculated using a program es treated include a subsonic supercritical flows with shocks. ching oscillations with 0.5 co) of approximately 0.2 were wh unfumly
Approved for Public Release, Di Approved for Public Release, Di DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract N/A SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if nece Transonic Airfoil Flow, Unstead ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if nece inviscid transonic flows over the based on the unsteady Euler equa low, a near-shockless supercrit in each case, steady flows and un legree amplitude and reduced fre calculated. FORM 1 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS	entered in Block 20, if differe entered in Block 20, if differe eseary and identify by block nu y Flow, Finite Diffe NLR 7301 airfoil we tions. The six case cical flow and some a isteady flows for pit equency (k = w C/2U	nt from Report) mber) rence her s treated using a program es treated include a subsonic supercritical flows with shocks. ching oscillations with 0.5 of approximately 0.2 were we withinky 747 (CCM
Approved for Public Release, Di Approved for Public Release, Di DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract N/A SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if nece Transonic Airfoil Flow, Unstead ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if nece inviscid transonic flows over the based on the unsteady Euler equa low, a near-shockless supercrift in each case, steady flows and un legree amplitude and reduced from calculated. FORM 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 12	entered in Block 20, if differe entered in Block 20, if differe y block nu y Flow, Finite Diffe its and identify by block num NLR 7301 airfoil wo tions. The six case its all flow and some a insteady flows for pit equency (k = ω C/2U entered soesolette	nt from Report) mber) rence mber) ere calculated using a program es treated include a subsonic supercritical flows with shocks. ching oscillations with 0.5 c) of approximately 0.2 were www. unfimuly <u>147650</u> CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered

