AD-	A051	719	COLOR	ADO UNI NARY GA 4 G F	V DENV S TRANS FILLEY	ER MED	DICAL CE		ION OF	VENTILA DADA1	TION AT 7-72-C-	F/G 6/1 REE1 2090 NL	16	
		OF ADA 0 51719	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •									<u>E</u>	E. mertingen	
			5				<u>K</u>	n - Half - Hagana - H					Formation of the second	
			nîn		UD.				A MARKA AND A MARKA	END DATE FILMED 4 - 78 DDC				
- Manuros														
									¥.					
	1													
1		14.												



AD A 051719

FINAL PROGRESS REPORT

1

PULMONARY GAS TRANSPORT AND THE REGULATION OF VENTILATION AT REST AND EXERCISE

GILES F. FILLEY, M.D.

APRIL 1974

Supported By

U.S. ARMY MEDICAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND Washington, D.C. 20314

Contract No. DADA 17-72-C-2090

University of Colorado Medical Center Denver, Colorado 80220

MAR 22 1978 D

DDC AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

EPORT NUMBER	TION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
1		S. TYPE OF REPORT & FEROD COVER
Pulmonary Gas Transport and th	ne Regulation of	Final Report.
Ventilation at Rest and Exerc:	ise /	1 January 2 30 June
T		. PERPORMING OR. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR		8. CONTRACT OF GRANT NUMBER(S)
Giles F. Filley M. D.		(15) 0
		DADA 17-72-C-2090
PERSONNE OPCANIZATION NAME AND AD	08555	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TAS
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND AD	URESS	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
University of Colorado Medical Denver, Colorado 80220	. Centerv	02110A 3416211048277 001034
benver, cororado sozzo		SATULITOAUL CO. 034
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	5	12 TROAT DATE
US Army Medical Research and I	evelopment Command	Apro 174
Washington, D. C. 20314		35 pages
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II o	different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
(12) 211		Unclassified
357p.		154. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING
		SCHEDULE
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract e	ntered in Block 20, 11 different fro	m Report)
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	arey and identify by block number)	
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if second		
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if neces Oxygen uptake blood oxyge	nation analysis	
. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde 11 neces Oxygen uptake blood oxyge Blood	nation analysis	
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde il neces xygen uptake blood oxyge lood entobarbital	nation analysis	

A CONTRACTOR AND

SUMMARY

- And

During this report period work concentrated During the last six months of this contract the emphasis has been on the completion of studies in progress for which continued support would not be available. The work has been concentrated in the following three areas; On the following topics:

i

1) A theoretical and experimental study of the O2 uptake by blood in the human lung and in the artificial membrane oxygenator. The rate of oxygen uptake by blood under various conditions is of physiological interest as well as of clinical importance. This work includes a study of blood oxygenation in a tubular membrane oxygenator under controlled conditions with the purpose of determining the parameters governing the oxygen transport under these conditions.

(2) An improved method for measuring the CO diffusing capacity (D_{CO}) was has been developed. Earlier methods have the shortcomings of requiring end-tidal gas samples or an arterial blood sample. A new steady state method which does not require end-tidal sampling or invasion of the subject is described. in this report

(3) Pentobarbital is a commonly used drug as a premedication for anesthesia and surgery. Hypoxia is always a danger during anesthesia and thus An investigation of the depression of the ventilatory drive mechanisms.is described in this report. It is anticipated that this work will better allow clinicians to predict the patients in whom administration of this drug would result in depression of hypoxic ventilatory drive.

NTUS BOC WNANNOUNC WISTIFICAT	White Section Area (1997)
57	TION/AVAILABILITY CODES
Bist.	AVAIL and/or SPECIAL

TABLE OF CONTENTS

*

page

ii

Α.	The O_2 Diffusing Capacity of Blood at Two O_2 Levels	1-16
	Theoretical Analysis The entrance region The fully developed region Experimental procedure Results Appendix	1 2 3 9 9 16
в.	Measurement of CO Diffusion Capacity Using a New Steady State Method	17-19
	Theoretical analysis Experimental results	17 19
c.	Effect of Pentobarbital on Hypoxic Ventilatory Drive	19-28
	Experimental methods Results	19 20
D.	References	29-30
E.	Distribution List	31

A. The O2 Diffusing Capacity of Blood at Two O2 Levels

Introduction: The rate of oxygen uptake by blood in the human lung as well as in the artificial membrane oxygenator is of physiological interest and of clinical importance. Recently, considerable attention has been paid to the characterization of blood $\mathbf{o}_{xygenators}$ (1,3,5,7,8). In the present work, the study of blood oxygenation in a tubular membrane oxygenator is undertaken at various controlled conditions. Since the diameter of the tube is much greater than the size of a red cell, it is reasonable to consider blood as a homogeneous fluid with uniformly distributed red cells acting as oxygen sinks. Flow is assumed to be laminar with parabolic velocity profile and the process to be diffusion limited with negligible wall resistance. The reaction of O2 with Hb is considered to be instantaneous; the P_{O_2} is considered to be the same in the red cell as in the plasma. The problem is investigated both theoretically and experimentally. In the former part, an integral method (4) is introduced to handle the non-linear diffusion equation. The main advantage of the integral method is that it changes the governing diffusion equation from a partial differential equation into an ordinary differential equation. The concept of this method is to define a diffusion boundary layer, δ , which is analogous to the hydrodynamic boundary layer (6). It is assumed that beyond the position δ there is no mass transfer and equilibrium exists. By integrating the governing differential equation with respect to the space variable over this layer, a first order ordinary differential equation can be obtained and its solution is usually tractable. In the experimental part, testing is done on human blood at different oxygen levels while varying the rate at which blood was pumped through the system. By comparing the theoretical and experimental results, both the overall diffusivity, D, and the diffusion capacity, D_{L} , can be determined. The D_{L} values are compared with the results from different approaches (2).

<u>Analysis</u>: Fully developed reduced blood of uniform plasma O_2 concentration, C₀, enters the membrane tubing at Z = 0 where the ambient O_2 pressure is kept at constant value P_s. The steady state diffusion of O_2 can be described by the following differential equation

$$D\left[\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r\frac{\partial C}{\partial r}\right)\right] = 2V_{m}\left(1+F_{1}\right)\left[1-\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{2}\right]\frac{\partial C}{\partial Z}$$
(1)

with $C = C_0$ at Z = 0 $C = C_s$ at r = R, Z > 0 $\frac{\partial C}{\partial r} = 0$ at r = 0, z > 0,

where D is the overall diffusivity, C represents the plasma O₂ concentration with C = α P, V_m is the laminar mean velocity, r and Z are the radial and axial coordinates F₁ represents a sink function from the combination of O₂ with Hb with a strength of F₁ = T₁(dS/dC), where T₁ is the oxygen capacity of the normal blood and S is the saturation.

Equation (1) can be written in dimensionless form by introducing $r^* = \frac{r}{R}$; $\varepsilon = \frac{\pi DZ}{2Q}$; $C^* = \frac{C - C_0}{C_s - C_0}$; $y = 1 - r^*$ yielding

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left[(1-y)\frac{\partial C^{*}}{\partial y}\right] = (1+F)y(2-y)(1-y)\frac{\partial C^{*}}{\partial \xi}$$
(2)

with $C^* = 0$ at $\xi = 0$ $C^* = 1$ at $y = 0, \xi > 0$ $\frac{\partial C^*}{\partial y} = 0$ at $y = 1, \xi > 0$.

where Q is the blood flow rate and

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{\mathbf{T}_{1}}{(\mathbf{C}_{s} - \mathbf{C}_{o})} \frac{\mathrm{dS}}{\mathrm{dC}^{*}} = \mathbf{T} \frac{\mathrm{dS}}{\mathrm{dC}^{*}} \, .$$

In order to solve equation (2) the entire flow is divided into two regions one being the entrance region in which the diffusion boundary layer develops and reaches the center line of the tube. This is followed by a fully developed diffusion-flow region in which the concentration at the center of the tube changes at different stations along the tube. Solutions for each region have to be sought separately.

(A) Entrance region: Let the concentration profile be expressed by a polynomial

$$C^{*} = 1 + a_{1} \left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right) + a_{2} \left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right)^{2} + a_{3} \left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right)^{3} \qquad 0 \le y \le \delta$$
(3)
$$C^{*} = 0 \qquad \qquad \delta < y < 1$$

where δ is the dimensionless diffusion boundary layer thickness. The coefficients a_1 , a_2 , and a_3 are functions of ξ which can be determined from the following conditions

$$\frac{\partial^2 C^*}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial C^*}{\partial y} \qquad \text{at } \frac{y}{\delta} = 0$$
$$C^* = 0, \frac{\partial C^*}{\partial y} = 0 \quad \text{at } \frac{y}{\delta} = 1$$

the first of which is obtained by evaluating equation (2) at the tube wall, yielding

$$a_1 = \frac{-6}{4+\delta}$$
 $a_2 = \frac{-3\delta}{4+\delta}$ $a_3 = \frac{2(1+\delta)}{4+\delta}$.

The only unknown is δ which can be solved from the integral procedure. The saturation curve S at pH = 7.4 and 37° C can be approximated by a polynomial

$$S = b_0 + b_1 C^* + b_2 C^{*2} + b_3 C^{*3} .$$
 (4)

Integrating equation (2) with respect to y and from y = 0 to $y = \delta$, and introducing equation (3) and equation (4) yields

$$6\xi = (1 + Tb_1) H_1(\delta) + Tb_2 H_2(\delta) + Tb_3 H_3(\delta) - \frac{(1 + Tb_1)}{70} H_4(\delta) - \frac{Tb_2}{420} H_5(\delta) - \frac{Tb_3}{20020} H_6(\delta) - \frac{Tb_3}{5005} H_7(\delta)$$
(5)

where the expression $H_i(\delta)$ for $i = 1, 2 \dots 7$ are given in Appendix A.

Equation (5) gives the relation between ξ and δ with b_1 , b_2 , b_3 as parameters. At the end of the entrance region $\xi = \xi_0$ where δ has its maximum value of unity, we have

$$\xi_{0} = \frac{0.2920939 (1 + Tb_{1}) + 0.175892 Tb_{2} + 0.118688 Tb_{3}}{6}$$
(6)

Based on equation (3) the mass transfer characteristics may now be evaluated. This requires consideration of three distinct kinds of averages: the mean value \overline{C}^* , bulk mean value \overline{C}^*_b , and the average value of C^* in each tube, \overline{C}^* . These are given as

$$\overline{C}^{*} = \frac{1}{A} \int C^{*} dA = \frac{30\delta + 2\delta^{2} - 3\delta^{3}}{10(4 + \delta)}$$
(7)

$$\overline{C}_{b}^{*} = \frac{\int V C^{*} dA}{\int V dA} = \frac{5\delta^{5} - 16\delta^{4} - 28\delta^{3} - 112\delta^{2}}{35(4 + \delta)}$$
(8)

$$\overline{\overline{c}}^* = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[\overline{c}^* d\xi = \overline{c}^* - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^{\delta} \varepsilon \left[\frac{120 + 16\delta - 34\delta^2 - 6\delta^3}{10(4 + \delta)^2} \right]$$
(9)

where A is the cross-sectional area and V is the laminar velocity. The saturation at the exit of the oxygenator can be obtained by utilizing equation (4).

(B) Fully developed region: In this region the diffusion boundary layer loses its meaning and the concentration profile is chosen simply as

$$C^* = f_0 - f_1 y - f_2 y^2 - f_3 y^3 \qquad 0 \le y \le 1$$
.

By utilizing the boundary conditions

$$C^{*} = 1 \text{ and } \frac{\partial^{2}C^{*}}{\partial y^{2}} = \frac{\partial C^{*}}{\partial y} \quad \text{at } y = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial C^{*}}{\partial y} = 0 \quad \text{at } y = 1$$

$$C^{*} = 1 - f_{1} \left[y + \frac{1}{2} y^{2} - \frac{2}{3} y^{3} \right]. \quad (10)$$

we have

Equation (10) shows the similarity in concentration distribution at different sections along the tube in this region. Integrating equation (2) with respect to y from y = 0 to y = 1 and by introducing equations (4) and (10) yields

$$\xi = -A \ln f_1 + Bf_1 - Ef_1^2 + G$$

$$A = \frac{17}{140} (1 + Tb_1) + \frac{17 Tb_2}{70} + \frac{51 Tb_3}{140}$$

$$B = \frac{101 Tb_2}{720} + \frac{101 Tb_3}{240}$$

 $E = \frac{15997 \text{ Tb}_3}{200200}$.

where

The integration constant G is obtained by using the continuity of solution from the entrance region to the fully developed region, that is

$$f_1 = a_1 = -\frac{6}{5}$$
 at $\xi = \xi_0$ and $\delta = 1$

yields

$$\xi - \xi_0 = -A \ln \frac{5f_1}{6} + B(f_1 - \frac{6}{5}) - E(f^2 - \frac{36}{25})$$
 (11)

Equations (10) and (11) give the complete solution for this region where f_1 has its maximum value of 6/5 at $\xi - \xi_0 = 0$ and it approaches to zero as $\xi - \xi_0 \rightarrow \infty$ or $C^* \rightarrow 1.0$. The corresponding expression for $\overline{C^*}$, $\overline{C_0^*}$, and $\overline{\overline{C^*}}$ are given as

$$\overline{C}^* = 1 - \frac{7}{20} f_1$$
 (12)

$$\overline{C}^* = 1 - \frac{17}{35} f_1$$
(13)

$$\overline{C}^* = \overline{C}^* - \frac{1}{\xi} \left\{ \int_0^1 \xi \left[\frac{120 + 16\delta}{10(4 + \delta)^2} - \frac{34\delta^2}{6\delta^3} \right] d\delta + \frac{7}{20} \int_{f_1}^{1.2} \xi df_1 \right\}. (14)$$

Figure 1 presents the results from equations (3), (4), (5), (10), and (11) which shows the dependence of saturation on both r/R and ξ . When $\xi < 1.6868$ the concentration profile is not fully developed, which corresponds to the entrance region. For $\xi > 1.6868$ the concentration at the center of the tube starts to increase, which corresponds to the solution from the fully developed region. Figure 2 depicts the bulk mean saturation, \overline{S}_b , and the saturation at the center line of the tube S_1 . The delay rising of S_1 is due to the finite interval required for O_2 to diffuse from the wall to the center of the tube. The dimensionless length ξ_0 for the entrance region is the measure of this delay.

Figures 3 and 4 show the predicted time course of blood P_{02} at two ambient oxygen levels. The pressure ratio Pb/Ps is plotted against the time ratio t/t_{98} , where t_{08} is the time taken for Pb to reach 98% P_s . It is clear that $t/t_{98} = 5/5_{98}$ from the definition of 5; hence the dimensionless time required for Pb/Ps to reach 98% is equal to 5_{98} . At the higher ambient O_2 level, a sharply increasing Pb/Ps is observed in the early part of the process, whereas Pb/Ps increases with a steadily decreasing slope at the lower ambient O_2 level. This effect depends on the range of the dissociation curve where the operation is taking place. At the higher ambient P_{02} , the plateau of the dissociation curve is reached early and the strength of the sink is much reduced. After the Hb is saturated, additional O_2 uptake will only contribute to raising the plasma P_{02} . However, at the lower ambient O_2 level, a large sink effect exists during the whole process and Pb/Ps then shows a steadily increasing trend.

The oxygen uptake in each membrane tubing is

$$V_{0_2} = \hat{g} \{ T(\bar{s}b - s_0) + 22.4 (C_s - C_0) \bar{c}_b^* \}$$
(15)

and the corresponding diffusing capacity becomes



Oxygen saturations in the blood at various radial positions and at 4 (dimensionless) stations along the tube.

i



Figure 2

Bulk mean 0_2 saturation and 0_2 saturation at the center of the tubing for $P_s = 111 \text{ mm Hg}$, $P_o = 40.4 \text{ mm Hg}$, pH = 7.4 and T = 370 C.



7

Figure 3

 P_{O_2} time course along the membrane tubing at different initial saturations. The value of t₉₈ is fixed in this figure; it is the time taken for Pb to reach 98% of P_s when S_o = 40%. Holding it fixed provides a reference value so that the curves for S_o > 40% can be compared. pH = 7.4, T = 37° C.



Figure 4

1

 P_{02} time course along the membrane tubing at different initial saturations in a lower ambient 0_2 level. pH = 7.4, T = 370 C.

. .

$$D_{L} = \frac{\dot{v}_{O_{2}}}{P_{s} - \overline{P}}$$

$$= \frac{\dot{q} \left\{ T (S_{b} - S_{0}) + 22.4 (C_{s} - C_{0}) \overline{C}_{b}^{*} \right\}}{P_{s} - \overline{P}} \cdot (16a)$$

9

By utilizing the relation that $Q = \pi DZ/2\xi$, then

$$D_{L} = \frac{\pi D Z \left\{ T(\overline{S}_{b} - S_{o}) + 22.4 (C_{s} - C_{o}) \overline{C}_{b}^{*} \right\}}{2\xi (P_{s} - \overline{P})} . \quad (16b)$$

At each value of ξ the appropriate values of \overline{S}_b , \overline{C}_b^* , and \overline{P} are all given. Z is the length of the tubing and D is determined by comparing the theoretical and experimental results. Equation (16a) can be written in a different form by introducing equation (4) into it, then

$$D_{L} = \frac{\dot{q}\left\{\overline{TC}_{b}^{*}\left(\frac{d\overline{S}_{b}}{d\overline{C}_{b}^{*}} - b_{2}\overline{C}_{b}^{*} - 2b_{3}\overline{C}_{b}^{*2}\right) + 22.4 (C_{s} - C_{o})\overline{C}_{b}^{*}\right\}}{P_{s} - \overline{P}} \qquad (16c)$$

In equation (16c), $d\overline{S}_b/d\overline{C}_b^*$ represents the strength of the sink effect, which is inversely proportional to the value of \overline{C}_b^* . The constant b_2 is the second derivative of the dissociation curve evaluated at the initial condition and is always a negative value. The quantity $(d\overline{S}_b/d\overline{C}_b^* - b_2\overline{C}_b^*)$ is by far the dominant term in the numerator. Therefore the diffusing capacity can be expressed as

$$D_{\rm L} \propto \dot{Q} \left[\frac{d\overline{S}_{\rm b}}{d\overline{C}_{\rm b}^{\rm c}} - b_2 \overline{C}_{\rm b}^{\rm c} \right]$$
.

The above expression indicates that D_L is mainly proportional to the blood flow rate and the binding of O_2 in the red cells, which in turn, can be expressed as a function of the initial blood condition and the sink strength of the blood as it emerges from the oxygenator.

Experimental Apparatus and Procedure: Figure 5 shows the experimental apparatus which includes an oxygenator, a lucite isothermal testing chamber, a Harvard precision pump and a 10 cc syringe with water jacket for blood sample. The oxygenator consists of 12 identical Silastic Medical Grade membrane tubings (0.012 in I.D. x 0.025 in 0.D. x 13.5 in long) with the entrance 1.t inch coated by impermeable epoxy to guarantee the full development of velocity profile. The chamber is continuously flushed with a gas mixture of desired PO₂ and 4.5% of CO₂ to maintain constant pH. Heparinized fresh human blood at 37° C is pumped through the oxygenator at steady rates from 4.12 cc/min to 0.103 cc/min. The oxygenator by a SEGM pH-PO₂-PCO₂ radiometer.

Results and Discussion: Figures 6 and 7 show the comparison between theoretical and experimental results. Each set of data is brought in close agreement with the analytical solution by finding a suitable value of D. The dependence of the bulk mean saturation S_b on the initial saturation S_o is







Figure 6

Comparison between experimental data ana analytical results at different initial saturations.





Comparison between experimental data and analytical results at different initial saturations at a lower ambient 02 level.

, 1

.

12

shown in these graphs. At two different ambient pressures $P_s = 116$ mm Hg and $P_s = 57$ mm Hg it is found that diffusivity is 5.5×10^{-4} cm²/min respectively. The difference between these values is beyond the range of measurement errors and suggests that O_2 transfer is enhanced at low O_2 levels.

Figure 8 shows that the diffusing capacity is a function of blood flow rate as predicted by equation (16a). The higher value of D_L at lower ambient P_{O_2} and lower initial saturation is probably due to the dependence of D_L on the slope of the dissociation curve, as predicted by equation (16c). Because of the larger value of dS/dC_D^{\star} in the lower part of the dissociation curve there is a strong sink effect associated with the lower value of P_S than with the higher P_S . Experimental results calculated both by the present model analysis as well as by the classical Bohr integration are shown in Figure 8. Close agreement between these two different methods is probably due to the insensitivity of the pressure gradient, $P_S - \bar{P}$ to changes in the value of \bar{P} .

The assumption of negligible wall resistance in the present system is tested by oxygen diffusion in plasma where no sink effect exists. In this case equation (2) is reduced to a linear differential equation and the integral method of solution can again be applied. The minimal dependence of O_2 transport on γ as shown in Figure 9 implies that the present assumption of negligible wall resistance γ is justified. The diffusion of oxygen in blood whose Hb is converted to met Hb is also studied and a substantial decrease of O_2 uptake is observed as compared to the normal blood.

<u>Conclusion</u>: The simplified model for blood oxygenation analysis presented here is qualitatively in agreement with the experimental data. This indicates that the assumptions of a diffusion limited process and parabolic velocity profile are reasonable. Owing to the flattening of the O₂ dissociation curve, the rate at which PO₂ increases along the capillary is dependent upon the ambient O₂ level. It is observed that the diffusing capacity is a function of the blood flow rate as well as the sink strength and the initial level of blood oxygenation. Therefore, the shape of the dissociation curve is important in determining the value of $D_{\rm L}$.

In the present study the size of the membrane tubing is much greater than the size of a red cell. For future work, in order to simulate pulmonary capillary blood flow, small tubing of physiological capillary diameter is proposed. In that case, the parabolic velocity profile is clearly not valid and modification would have to be made.



Figure 8

Diffusing capacities versus flow rates at two 0_2 levels.



Figure 9

Influence of membrane wall resistance γ on 0_2 transfer into plasma. The value of D is obtained from ref. 2.

APPENDIX A $H_{1}(\delta) = \frac{5\delta^{6} - 16\delta^{5} - 28\delta^{4} + 112\delta^{3}}{140}$ $H_{2}(\delta) = \frac{11\delta^{7} - 20\delta^{6} - 188\delta^{5} + 272\delta^{4} + 1176\delta^{3}}{840(4 + \delta)}$ $H_{3}(\delta) = \frac{265\delta^{8} - 24\delta^{7} - 7304\delta^{6} - 2504\delta^{5} + 78624\delta^{4} + 126880\delta^{3}}{40040(4 + \delta)^{2}}$ $H_{4}(\delta) = \frac{5}{6}\delta^{6} - \frac{26}{5}\delta^{5} + 11\delta^{4} - 40\delta^{3} + 704\left[\frac{1}{2}(\delta + 4)^{2} - 8(\delta + 4) + 24 + 16\ln\frac{\delta + 4}{4}\right]$ $H_{5}(\delta) = \frac{11}{6}\delta^{6} - \frac{86}{5}\delta^{5} + 71\delta^{4} - \frac{1000}{3}\delta^{3} + 2588\delta^{2} - 23056\left[\delta - 8\ln\frac{\delta + 4}{4}\right]$

$$I_{5}(\delta) = \frac{11}{6}\delta^{5} - \frac{60}{5}\delta^{5} + 71\delta^{4} - \frac{1000}{3}\delta^{3} + 2588\delta^{2} - 23056 \left[\delta - 8\ln\frac{6 + 4}{4} - \frac{16}{4 + \delta} + 4\right] - 82816 \left[\ln\frac{4 + \delta}{4} + \frac{4}{4 + \delta} - 1\right]$$

$$H_6(\delta) = \frac{265}{6}\delta^6 - \frac{2674\delta^5}{5} + 3004\delta^4 - \frac{49912\delta^3}{3} + 133464\delta^2 - 1292256\delta$$

$$H_7(\delta) = \frac{-767832\delta^2}{(4+\delta)^2} + 1535664 \ln \frac{4+\delta}{4} - \frac{5093568}{4+\delta} + \frac{12134400}{(4+\delta)^2} + 514992$$

B. Measurement of CO Diffusion Capacity Using a New Steady-State Method

Introduction: Current steady-state methods of measuring pulmonary carbon monoxide diffusing capacity while usually being reasonably accurate and appreciable have several disadvantages. The Filley method requires an arterial blood sample and the Bates and Henderson-Haggard methods require end-tidal sampling. The latter has two disadvantages. In normal people shallow and deep breathing cause falsely low and high estimates of D_{CO} respectively and, in people with abnormal lungs, end-tidal gas composition may not reflect that of mixed alveolar gas. This laboratory has recently developed a steady-state method of CO diffusing capacity measurement that does not require end-tidal sampling.

Theory: Symbols: x, x₁, x₂, x₃ = inspiratory CO, O₂, CO₂, N₂ fraction
y, y₁, y₂, y₃ = end-tidal CO, O₂, CO₂, N₂ fraction
z, z₁, z₂, z₃ = mixed expiratory CO, O₂, CO₂, N₂ fraction
V_D = Dead Space Vol. (BTPS)
V_T = Tidal Vol. (BTPS)
f = frequency (min⁻¹)
D_LCO = CO diffusing capacity = D/0.863 (ml CO-mm Hg⁻¹
-min⁻¹)
x' = x
$$\cdot z_3/x_3$$

From the Bohr relation:

$$y = \frac{V_{\rm T}z - V_{\rm D}x}{V_{\rm T} - V_{\rm D}} \tag{1}$$

The expression for CO uptake (BTPS) can be written:

$$\dot{V}_{CO} = f V_T (x - y)$$
 if $R = 1$ (2)

and

$$f V_T (x' - z) if R \neq 1$$
. (2a)

Filley et al have shown that (20)

$$D = f V_T \frac{(x - z)}{y} \quad \text{if } R = 1 \tag{3}$$

=
$$f V_T \frac{(x' - z)}{y}$$
 if $R \neq 1$. (3a)

Equations (1) and (3) and (1) and (3a) can be combined to give:

$$I = \frac{x}{x - z} = \frac{f' V_T}{D} + \frac{V_T}{V_T - V_D} \text{ if } R = 1$$
 (4)

where x/(x - z) = I or the "impediment" to CO gas transfer from inspired gas to pulmonary blood. This is approximately equal to the reciprocal of the fraction of CO removed from inspired gas.

If $R \neq 1$ the above becomes

$$I' = \frac{x}{x' - z} = \frac{f V_T}{D} + \frac{V_T}{V_T - V_D} \frac{x - z}{x' - z} .$$
 (4a)

If the subject then breathes at a constant tidal volume but at two different frequencies, the resulting simultaneous equations can be subtracted to give:

$$I_1 - I_2 = (f_1 - f_2) \frac{V_T}{D}$$
 if $R = 1$ (5)

with the last term "dropping out".

If $R \neq 1$ and one assumes that

$$\frac{x - z_{(1)}}{x'_{(1)} - z_{(1)}} - \frac{x - z_{(2)}}{x'_{(2)} - z_{(2)}} \approx 0$$
$$I_{1}' - I_{2}' = \frac{(f_{1} - f_{2}) V_{T}}{D}.$$

then

The above expressions can be solved for D easily.

As people exposed to environmental and/or experimental CO build up a significant blood CO concentration which equilibrates with their alveolar gas, the estimation of this CO "back pressure" becomes important.

If "alveolar back pressure" is measured

If "mixed expiratory back pressure" is measured

$$z$$
 "true" = z "measured" - z (back pressure) (7)

Thus the final formula becomes:

$$D_{L}CO = \frac{V_{T} (f_{1} - f_{2})}{0.863 \left[\frac{x}{x'(1)} - \frac{x}{z''true''(1)} - \frac{x}{x'(2)} - \frac{x}{z''true''(2)}\right]}$$

Supine subjects, their tidal volume kept constant by a metering device built into the spirometer, breathe 0.1% CO at a high frequency 18 - 20/minfor a 4 min rinse period to achieve a steady state. A 2-minute collection of mixed expiratory and end-tidal gas is then made. After a short interval a similar rinse and collection is made with the subject breathing at a low frequency (6-10/min) but the same tidal volume. All the above collected gas is then analyzed in a gas chromatograph (Beckman GC-5, Beckman Instruments, Fullerton, Calif.). CO back pressure is determined by the rebreathing method before the study, between the two runs, and after the second run to give an estimate of back pressure for each run.

Subject	DCOBates	% SD	# runs	D _{COIcorr}	% SD	# runs
JSK SS	43.3	9.9	8	39.3	7.5	8
ML	27.0°* 25.4*	20.0	9 9	33.1 24.0#	27.9	7 7

<u>Results</u>: To date three normal subjects have been measured. The precision of the impediment method compares favorably with that of the Bates method.

*Uncorrected for back pressure $f \approx 9$ *Uncorrected for back pressure $f \approx 19$ #Uncorrected for back pressure

Discussion: The method appears to be theoretically and practically sound, reasonably accurate and precise, and it does not require end-tidal sampling or invasion of the patient.

Its advantages are that this method may, in fact, give the "true D", a factor that may be especially important with people with pulmonary disease whose "true diffusing capacity" may well differ significantly from their measured diffusing capacity. This, however, remains to be measured in such patients.

Its disadvantages are that: 1) it requires two separate steady state runs, one of them at a level of hyperventilation that can be uncomfortable; 2) it requires CO back pressure estimation. Both of the above make the procedure time consuming for patient and technician; 3) it requires a fairly high degree of patient cooperation and therefore might not be applicable for ill subjects; 4) it requires fairly complex equipment i.e. tidal volume regulation; 5) the solution of the equations are laborious and are best done by a computer; and 6) it requires a fairly wide $\Delta f_1 - f_2$; otherwise $\Delta I_1 - I_2$ becomes a small number in which small errors are magnified into large errors in the final D.

C. Effect of Pentobarbital on Ventilatory Drive

Introduction: Although barbiturates are widely used as preoperative sedatives, little is known of their effects on the control of breathing. While it is widely believed that these agents do not depress the ventilatory response to hypoxia (15), good evidence on this point is lacking. In order to resolve this question, we studied the effect of pentobarbital on hypoxic ventilatory drive in normal human subjects.

<u>Methods</u>: Seven males and three females, all in good health between the ages of 24 and 42 years of age, were the subjects. All were born at low altitude and had been residing in Denver, Colorado (elevation 1600 meters) for months to years. All studies were performed in our laboratory in Denver. End-tidal oxygen and carbon dioxide tensions (PAO₂ and PACO₂) were continuously monitored. Subjects initially breathed 40% oxygen to which nitrogen was added such that the PAO₂ was gradually lowered to 40 torr. Changes in PACO₂ were prevented by the addition of carbon dioxide to the inspired air in

amounts sufficient to prevent the development of hypocapnia. Hypoxic ventilatory drive was evaluated as previously described (see progress report No. IV) and (14) by fitting the V_E - P_AO_2 data points to the equation:

$$V_{\rm E} = V_{\rm O} + \frac{A}{P_{\rm A}O_2 - 32}$$

where $V_{\rm E}$ is minute ventilation in liters per minute BTPS and $V_{\rm O}$ is the asymptote for ventilation obtained by extrapolation. The parameter A describes the shape of the curve such that the greater the hypoxic drive the higher the A value. Two control hypoxic drive studies were performed on each subject, after which pentobarbital 2 mg/kg was administered intramuscularly. Measurements of hypoxic drive were made 30, 40, 60, 75 and in some cases 105 minutes after injection. Resting ventilation was calculated as $V_{\rm E}$ at $P_{\rm AO2}$ = 120 mm Hg from the subjects A value.

Maximal oxygen uptake was measured by a modified Balke test. With the treadmill set at 3.5 mph, the subject walked for 10 minutes at a predetermined grade. Then the treadmill speed was increased to 7 mph and the subject ran at this predetermined grade. Every 2 minutes the treadmill grade was increased 2%. The test continued until the subject signaled his inability to continue. Expired gas was collected in bags during the last 30 seconds of each 2 minute work load and gas samples analyzed by chromatography.

To determine whether hypoxic ventilatory drive was altered in a given subject by pentobarbital, the two control values on each subject were compared to the four values on the same subject under the influence of the drug using an unpaired t-test (10). The significance level was taken as p < .1 for separation of the two groups. Maximal oxygen uptake in the two groups was compared using an unpaired t-test (10).

<u>Results</u>: Anthropomorphic data relating to the subjects are shown in Table I. All ten subjects exhibited drowsiness approximately 30 minutes after the pentobarbital injection which gradually dissipated by 105-120 minutes. Minute ventilation and end-tidal carbon dioxide were unaltered by the drug (Table II).

Hypoxic ventilatory drive was depressed by pentobarbital in five subjects (30-50% depression) and unchanged in the other 5 (Table III and Figure 10). Within the group showing depression of hypoxic drive, changes were first observed at 30 minutes and had become maximal by 60 minutes. In all but one subject, hypoxic drive had returned to normal by 120 minutes, as shown in Figure 11.

It appeared that this group of subjects might be comprised of 2 separate subgroups with different responses to pentobarbital. In these 2 subgroups ages were not statistically different nor were weights, resting ventilation, or P_ACO_2 . There were 3 females and 2 males in the depressed group and 5 males in the nondepressed group. Resting heart rates were lower (see Table III) 58 ± 3 as opposed to 71 ± 5 beats per minute (P<.05) in the group that showed no change in hypoxic ventilatory drive. In addition, maximal oxygen uptake was higher in this group, (49 ± 2.63 as opposed to 33 ± 2.05) (p<.001), (Table IV).

TABLE I. ANTHROPOMORPHIC DATA

•	Sex	Age (yrs.)	<u>Ht. (cm)</u>	Wt. (kg)
САН	F	29	155	53.6
REM	М	31	189	64.7
AT	М	26	174	70.0
ML	F	24	160	54.4
нс	М	37	170	57.1
JSK	М	24	180	58.9
ŕн	М	28	175	78.0
FIH	М	33	188	97.4
LL	М	41	175	82.5
вк	F	42	165	70.0
M	lean	31.5	173.1	68.7
SI	EM	2.09	3.50	4.45

HYPOXIC DRIVE BEFORE AND DURING PENTOBARDITAL 2/mg/kg

Time After Pentobarbital

0	Minutes			30 M	inutes				45 Minutes		
Resting Ventilation 1/min	PACO2 mm Hg	ĸ	vo	Resting Ventilation 1/min	PACO2 min Hg	K	>°	Resting Ventilation 1/ain	P4C02		°د
6.64	30	345	2.72	9.10	31	216	6.65	. 8.86	31	149	7.17
4.98	35	135	3.54	8.37	35	150	6.67	6.84	36	185	4.74
10.59	36	162	8.75	10.85	36	123	9.40	9.72	36	179	7.69
5.36	37	143	3.73	6.11	36	154	4.36	4.81	39	126	99
6.89	40	83	5.95	7.30	40	47 01	6.75	7.68	40	33	7.25
8.39	33	153	6.65	. 6.73	32	223	4.20	7.95	32	209	5.57
11.13	35	55	10.5	10.21	35	68	9.44	9.56	35	55	8.93
10.93	34	152	9.20	10.95	33	121	9.57	8.43	34	165	6.59
7.54	36	224	5.00	9.18	33	131	7.69	8.25	33	14.1	6.61
9.55	34	66	8.42	8.66	36	69	7.83	н.32	35 35	62	7.62
8.20	35	155.	1 6.45	8.75	35	130.8	3 7.26	8.05	35	131.3	6.53
.72	.72	25.	77 .85	.53	.92	18.0	68 .615	. 45	06.	18.92	. 23.

TABLE II. Cont'd.

60 MI	nut es			75	Minutes			120 Mi	nut e s		
Resting Ventilation 1/min	PACO2 mm lig	A	vo	Resting Ventilation 1/min	PACO2 mm lig	K	v _o	Resting Ventilation 1/min	PACOZ	cf.	>°
9.26	31	162	7.42	9.85	32	153	8.05	10.35	32	333	6.60
6.74	37	132	5.24	8.00	37	.911	6.65				
7.50	35	141	5.90	10.76	36	119	9.41				
5.71	39	96	4.60	5.72	. 32	98	4.61	5.95	37	165	4.07
6.79	41	63	6.07	6.75	41	73	5.92	5.39	41	125	4.44
6.65	32	166	4.76	8.04	31	141	6.44				
9.33	34	60	8.65	10.38	35	5 5	9.75				
13.43	33	143	11.8	9.41	35	144	7.77				
10.83	29	e	10.8	12.20	31	79	11.3	10.21	29	111	8.95
8.33	34	44	7.83	7.44	34	56	6.80	8.16	34	66	7.03
8.46	35	101.2	7.31	8.86	35	104.27	7.67	8.12	35	167.2	6.22.
.74	1.16	17.71	67.	.63	1.05	11.86	.63	. 98	2.06	42.92	, 99 , 99

. .

DEPRESSION Resting VO2 Max Heart Rate Time in min. after Pentobarbital Subject Beats/min ml/lig/min 0 30 45 60 75 120 CAII 358 331 216 149 162 158 333 80 32.3 63 34.6 135 151 154 154 98 126 ML 98 38 HC 76 37.2 81 85 48 63 73 128 80 35.6 199 250 131 144 3 79 111 LL 100 97 62 BK 55 25.5 69 44 56 99 33.4 Mean 70.8⁺ 123.6 103.8 178.8 74.0 92.8 167.2 SEM 30.18 5.03 2.05 48.21 22.62 26.82 17.63 42.9

P<.05+ P<.01+

NON DEPRESSION

	Resting	VO, Max		Hypoxic Ve Time in mi	ntilatory n. after P	Drive (A) entobarbi	os tal	
Subject	Beats/min	ml/kg/min	0	30	45	60	75	
REM	52 .	55.0	149 121	150	185	132	119	
АТ	52	48.8	157 166	128	179	141	119	
SK	54	54.0	175 130	223	209	166 .	151	
FHu	68	40.3	53 56	68	55	60	55	
FHei	63	47.6	166 133	121	166	143	144	
Mean SEM	57.8 ⁺ 3.25	49.1 ⁺ 2.63	131.4 19.59	138.0 25.16	158.8 26.87	128.4 18.00	115.6 16.04	

Paired T test was used to compare 2 control A values with 4 A values after Fentobarbital

TABLE 111. HYPOXIC DRIVE DURING PENTOPARBITAL IN 2 SUBGROUPS:

	Maximum 0 ₂ U	Jptake	ml/kg/n	nin
Depres	sed Group		Non-Depr	ressed Group
Subject	VO2 max.		Subject	VO2 max.
SK	54.0		CAH	32.3
REM	55.0		ML	34.6
AT	48.8		HC	37.2
F Hei	47.6		ВК	25.5
F Hu	40.3		LL	35.6
x	49.1 <u>+</u> 2.63		x	33.4 + 2.05

TABLE IV

:

p< .001







<u>Discussion</u>: In healthy subjects pentobarbital in amounts commonly used to produce mild sedation has no effect on resting ventilation or resting P_ACO_2 but did depress hypoxic ventilatory drive in half the subjects. Absence of alterations in resting ventilation is in agreement with Stephen et al (13) who found no hypoventilation with a comparable dose of secobarbital. Keats et al (12) found an increase in ventilation after 1.4 mg/kg pentobarbital but a decrease after 2.8 mg/kg. The most clear-cut evidence of a respiratory effect of pentobarbital was a depression of hypoxic drive in five of our subjects and no change in hypoxic drive in the remaining five. Little has been written regarding the effects of barbiturates on hypoxic ventilatory drive. In fact, the only previous study found (11) demonstrated in one subject that triple conventional doses of cyclobarbital resulted in some depression of ventilation during hypoxia. However, only very mild hypoxia was employed ($P_AO_2 = 60 \text{ mm Hg}$), which is insufficient to produce a well developed hypoxic response in normal man (14).

In half the subjects, there was no depression of hypoxic ventilatory drive with pentobarbital. There appeared to be two populations represented in our sample which differ with respect to the suppressibility of their hypoxic drive with pentobarbital. These subgroups also differ in their physical condition as measured by maximal oxygen uptake and resting heart rate. Subjects whose ventilatory drive was unaffected by pentobarbital and who were all involved in athletic activities on a regular basis had lower resting heart rates and higher maximal oxygen uptake than the subjects in the group that showed depression of hypoxic drive. Byrne-Quinn et al (9) found that hypoxic drive was lower in true athletes at rest than in nonathletes. While we found no significant differences in control levels of hypoxic ventilatory drive between the two groups, some other factor distinguishing athletes from nonathletes could account for the differences in response to the drug. Body weight was similar in the two groups and moreover the drug was administered on a weight basis.

However, it is possible that differences in lean body mass or in drug metabolism or redistribution could account for this difference. Unfortunately, we do not have barbiturate blood levels on any of our subjects and cannot exclude the possibility that differences in drug metabolism may have contributed to the different effects in the two groups. This study was not carried out with a double blind design because we believed that our subjects would all have been able to readily distinguish the difference between pentobarbital and placebo.

This study suggests that sedentary, less physically fit individuals are more likely to exhibit depression of hypoxic ventilatory drive where pentobarbital is administered.

D. References

- 1. Bartlett RH, Noyes BS Jr., and Drinker PA: A simple reliable membrane oxygenator for organ perfusion. J. <u>Appl. Physiol</u>. 29:758-759, 1970.
- 2. Briehl RW, and Fishman AP: Principles of the Bohr integration procedure and their application to measurement of diffusing capacity of the lung for oxygen. J. Appl. Physiol. 15:337-348, 1960.
- 3. Buckles RG, Merrill EW, and Gilliland ER: an analysis of oxygen absorption in a tubular membrane oxygenator. AIChE. J. 14:703-708, 1968.
- 4. Goodman RT: The heat balance integral and its application to problems involving a change of phase. Trans. ASME 80:335-346, 1958.
- 5. Hershey D: Blood Oxygenation. New York, Plenum Publication Corp., 1970.
- 6. Schlichting H: <u>Boundary Layer Theory</u>. English Translation by J. Kestin. New York, McGraw Hill Book Co., Inc., 1960.
- 7. Weissman MH, and Mockros LF: Oxygen transfer to blood flowing in round tubes. J. Engng. Mech. Div. Am. Soc. Civ. Engrs. 98:225-245, 1967.
- 8. Weissman MH, and Mockros LF: Oxygen and carbon dioxide transfer in membrane oxygenators. Med. and Biol. Engng. 7:169-184, 1969.
- 9. Byrne-Quinn E, Weil JV, Sodal IE, Filley GF, and Grover RF: Ventilatory control in the athlete. J. Appl. Physiol. 30:91-98, 1971.
- 10. Dixon WJ, and Massey FG: Introduction to Statistical Analysis. Third Edition, New York, McGraw Hill 1969, p. 109.
- 11. Harris EA, and Dawson KB: The respiratory effects of therapeutic doses of cyclobarbitone, triclofos and ethchloryvinol. <u>Brit. J. Pharmacol.</u> 24:214-222, 1965.
- Keats AS, Jorosu Y: Increased ventilation after pentobarbital in man. Fed. Proc. 16:311, 1957.
- Stephen GW, Banner MP, Wollman H, Smith TC: Respiratory pharmacology of mixtures of Scopolomine with Secobarbital and with Fentanyl. Anesthesiology 31:237-242, 1970.
- Weil JV, Byrne-Quinn E, Sodal IE, Friesen WO, Underhill B, Filley GF, and Grover RF: Hypoxic ventilatory drive in normal man. J. Clin. Invest. 49:1061-1071, 1970.
- 15. Wylie WD, and Churchill-Davidson HC: <u>A Practice of Anaesthesia</u>, Third Edition, Year Book Medical Publishers, Chicago, 1972, p. 92.
- 16. Branscomb BV, and Wright GW: Effects of controlled low oxygen breathing upon exchange of respiratory gases in humans. Federation Proc. 11:16, 1952.

- Hill JR: The oxygen consumption of new born and adult mammals. Its dependence on the oxygen tension in the inspired air and on the environmental temperature. J. Physiol. (London) 149:346-373, 1959.
- 18. Moore RE: Oxygen consumption and body temperature in newborns subjected to hypoxic and reoxygenation. J. Physiol. (London) 149 500-518, 1959.
- 19. Alpert NR, Kayne H, and Haslett W: Relationship among recovery oxygen, oxygen missed, lactate production and lactate removal during and following severe hypoxia in anesthetized dogs. Am. J. Physiol. 192:585-591, 1958.
- 20. Filley GF, Bigelow DB, Olson DE, and Lacquet LM: Pulmonary gas transport. A mathematical model of the lung. Am. Rev. Resp. Dis. 98:480-489, 1968.