





Definition 4.3. Let x, y ε X and let G be a closed subgroup of 0(n). The point x is



G-ORDERED FUNCTIONS IN STATISTICS

by

J. C. Conlon¹, R. Leon², F. Proschan¹, J. Sethuraman³

A ANTANA STATE STATE

Server 31

FSU Statistics Report M421 AFOSR Technical Report No. 71 USARO-D Technical Report No. 22

June, 1977

The Florida State University Department of Statistics Tallahassee, Florida 32306

¹Research sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, AFSC, USAF, under Grant AFOSR-74-2581C. The United States Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for governmental purposes.

²Research supported by National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences under Grant 1 T32 ES07011 at Florida State University.

³Research supported by the United States Army Research Office, Durham, under Grant No DAAG29.76-G-0238.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A



ABSTRACT

This is Part I of a two-part paper which generalizes and extends the work of Hollander, Proschan, and Sethuraman (to appear) for functions decreasing in transposition (DT). In Part I we introduce reflection ordering and Gordered functions. We develop many preservation properties for these functions. We note that G-ordered functions contain, as a special case, G-monotone functions (Eaton and Perlman (1976)) and we prove a preservation theorem for G-monotone functions under an integral transform. We extend the results of Hollander, Proschan, and Sethuraman (to appear) in the area of stochastic comparisons to a larger class of probability distributions. In Part II we present applications in statistics. In a forthcoming paper we will explore the notion of stochastic G-majorization as an extension of the work done in the area of stochastic majorization by Nevius, Proschan, and Sethuraman (1977).

1. Introduction and Summary.

In this two-part paper we introduce and develop the concept of reflection ordering and G-ordered functions. In Part I we explore some of the basic aspects of reflection ordering, G-ordered functions, and the preservation properties of these functions. In Part II we present applications in statistics. Our purpose is to continue the work of unifying the area of stochastic comparisons done by Proschan and Sethuraman (1977), Nevius, Proschan, and Sethuraman (1977), and Hollander, Proschan, and Sethuraman (to appear). SION for

In Section 2 we define reflection groups and enumerate some of their properties. We determine the fundamental regions for any finite reflection ICATION group and define for each distinct fundamental region a partial ordering

White Section Buff Section JOUNCED

DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES AVAIL. and/or SPECIAL

Dist.

on the group. The partial ordering associated with a distinct fundamental region is unique. We present a partial ordering on \mathbb{R}^n with respect to a fundamental region through the ordering defined on the group. The partial ordering on \mathbb{R}^n is useful in applications whereas the ordering on the group unifies the theory and contributes to mathematical elegance.

-

あい ひおうよう

1. . . .

Se.

See. 10

In Section 3 we define G-ordered functions for any finite reflection group acting on Rⁿ. We show that the G-ordered property is preserved under mixtures with respect to a positive measure and under composition with respect to a G-invariant measure. We establish that products of nonnegative G-ordered functions are G-ordered. Preservation under composition is particularly useful in further developing the properties of G-ordered functions.

In the context of new work by Eaton and Perlman (1976), we present in Section 4 a preservation theorem for G-monotone functions under an integral transform. It contains as special cases similar theorems for Schur functions of Proschan and Sethuraman (1977) and Hollander, Proschan, and Sethuraman (to appear). We show how our theorem extends results obtained by these authors to a larger class of probability distributions, in particular to the multivariate normal and the multivariate T. We relate the G-majorization ordering introduced by Eaton and Perlman (1976) to reflection ordering and we show that G-monotone functions are special cases of G-ordered functions.

In Section 5 we present a short example in the area of nonparametric statistics. We show that the Wilcoxon signed-rank statistic is a G-ordered function of the signed ranks. We determine conditions under which tests based on the Wilcoxon signed-rank statistic have power functions G-ordered with respect to F.

2. Fundamental Regions and Reflection Ordering.

here by

In this section we introduce the notion of reflection ordering. We use the notion of a fundamental region in Euclidean n-space with respect to a finite reflection group. (See Benson and Grove (1971), pp. 27-33.) Each fundamental region defines a partial ordering, called reflection ordering, on the elements of the group. We present a short summary of the derivation of reflection groups and fundamental regions.

Throughout this paper Rⁿ denotes Euclidean n-space. Elements of Rⁿ are represented by column vectors and the transpose of a vector z is denoted by z'. The unit ball in Rⁿ is denoted by S_n, i.e. S_n = {x $\in \mathbb{R}^n$: ||x|| = 1}, where $||x|| = \sqrt{x' x}$ is the usual Euclidean norm.

<u>Definition 2.1</u>. Let $r \in S_n$ and let I_n be the $n \times n$ identity matrix. The matrix, $M_r = I_n - 2rr'$, is called the <u>reflection</u> defined by r.

Geometrically, M_r reflects points across the (n-1)-dimensional subspace of R^n perpendicular to r. Clearly $M_r = M_{-r} = M_r' = M_r^{-1}$. In particular, we note that $M_r \in O(n)$, the group of n × n orthogonal matrices.

<u>Definition 2.2</u>. A closed subgroup G of 0(n) is called a <u>reflection group</u> if there exists a subset Δ_G^* of S_n such that G is the smallest closed subgroup of 0(n) containing the set of reflections {M_r: $r \in \Delta_G^*$ }.

We call Δ_G^* a generating system of G. A minimal generating system of G is called a set of fundamental roots of G.

Definition 2.3. The root system of G, denoted Δ_{G} , is the set {r $\in S_{n}$: $M_{r} \in G$ }.

For any given r $\in \Delta_G$ we partition Rⁿ into the following three subsets:

1. $H_{r}^{+} = \{x \in R^{n}: r'x > 0\},\$ 2. $H_{r}^{-} = \{x \in R^{n}: r'x < 0\},\$ 3. $H_{r}^{0} = \{x \in R^{n}: r'x = 0\}.\$

Since $M_r x = (I - 2rr')x = x - 2rr'x$, we note that $M_r x = x$ if and only if $x \in H_r^0$. Thus the set H_r^0 is invariant under the transformation defined by the reflection M_r .

Define the set $T_G = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^n : r \cdot t \neq 0 \text{ for each } r \in \Delta_G\}$. Thus T_G is the complement of the set $\cap H_r^0$. When there is no possibility for $r \in \Delta_G$.

ambiguity we will drop the subscript G in T_G . For a fixed t ϵ T, define the sets:

1. $\Delta_t^+ = \{ \mathbf{r} \in \Delta_G : \mathbf{r}' \mathbf{t} > 0 \},$ 2. $\Delta_e^- = \{ \mathbf{r} \in \Delta_G : \mathbf{r}' \mathbf{t} < 0 \}.$

Since $\mathbf{r} \in \Delta_{\mathbf{G}}$ if and only if $-\mathbf{r} \in \Delta_{\mathbf{G}}$, $\Delta_{\mathbf{t}}^{+}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{t}}^{-}$ partition $\Delta_{\mathbf{G}}$ into two sets of the same cardinality.

We call Δ_t^+ the set of t-positive roots and we note two useful properties relating to positive roots.

1. For every $g \in G$, $g \Delta_t^+ = \Delta_{ot}^+$.

2. The equality, $\Delta_{gt}^{+} = \Delta_{t}^{+}$, holds if and only if g is the identity matrix. For proofs of the above two statements, we refer the reader to Propositions 4.2.2 and 4.2.3 of Benson and Grove (1971).

We partition T into certain regions, termed fundamental regions, by means of the equivalence relation defined below. The equivalence relation is based on the set of positive roots.

<u>Definition 2.4</u>. Let t, s \in T. Then t is <u>equivalent</u> to s (t \sim s) if $\Delta_t^+ = \Delta_s^+$. <u>Definition 2.5</u>. Let t \in T. The fundamental region F defined by t is the set {s \in T: t \sim s}.

It is evident that for t, s ε T, if t is equivalent to s, then t and s define the same fundamental region. For any t ε T, gt defines a different fundamental region for each distinct g ε G. To see this, note that t is not equivalent to gt for I \neq g ε G. This is true since $\Delta_{gt}^{+} = \Delta_{t}^{+}$ if and only if g = I as claimed in statement 2 above. It is consequently of interest to note that the number of distinct fundamental regions and the number of elements of the group G are equal.

In light of the definition of fundamental regions and the above assertions, one can easily perceive the following properties of any fundamental region F. (See Benson and Grove (1971), p. 27.)

1. F is an open set in Rⁿ.

Star. A.

the second

2. F n gF = ϕ if g ϵ G is not the identity matrix.

3. $\mathbb{R}^n = \cup \{g\overline{F}: g \in G\}$, where \overline{F} is the closure of F in \mathbb{R}^n .

Thus the fundamental regions $\{gF: g \in G\}$ are the equivalence classes under the equivalence relation presented in Definition 2.4.

For any fixed finite reflection group G, we define a set of partial orderings on the elements of G. Each distinct fundamental region in \mathbb{R}^n defines a unique partial ordering. In order to define the ordering on the group G we present a partition of G.

For any fundamental region F, the set $\Delta_F^+ \subseteq \Delta_G$ is the set of <u>F-positive</u> roots, i.e. $\Delta_F^+ = \{r \in \Delta_G: r't > 0 \text{ for all } t \in F\}$. Fix a root $r \in \Delta_G$ and

let gF be a fundamental region. Then $r \in \Delta_{gF}^{+}$ or $r \in \Delta_{gF}^{-}$. For the given fixed r we partition G into the sets G_{r}^{+} and G_{r}^{-} , where G_{r}^{+} is the set {g \in G: $r \in \Delta_{gF}^{+}$ } and G_{F}^{-} is the set {g \in G: $r \in \Delta_{gF}^{-}$ }. Technically, G_{r}^{+} and G_{r}^{-} depend on the fundamental region F as well as the root r. We suppress reference to F unless ambiguity may result.

Ser.A.

しまいてん

Re-

<u>Definition 2.8.</u> Let $r \in S_n$ and let F be a fundamental region in \mathbb{R}^n . Then g is <u>r-larger</u> than $M_r g$, in symbols, $g \ge^r M_r g$ if and only if $g \in G_r^+$. Note that if $g \in G_r^-$, then g is r*-larger than $M_{r*}g$, where $r^* = -r$.

<u>Definition 2.9</u>. (Reflection Ordering). Let F be a fundamental region in \mathbb{R}^{n} and let $g_{1}, g_{2} \in \mathbb{G}$. If there exists a sequence $h_{0}, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{m}$ in G satisfying $g_{1} = h_{0} \geq^{r_{1}} h_{1} \geq^{r_{2}} \ldots \geq^{r_{m}} h_{m} = g_{2}$, where $r_{i} \in \Delta_{F}^{+}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, then g_{1} is <u>F-larger</u> than g_{2} ; in symbols, $g_{1} \geq^{\overline{F}} g_{2}$.

The G-orbit of a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the set $\{gx: g \in G\}$.

<u>Definition 2.10</u>. Suppose x_1 , $x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and they belong to each other's orbit, i.e. $x_2 = gx_1$ for some $g \in G$. Then there exists $x \in F$ such that $x_1 = g_1 x$ and $x_2 = g_2 x$ for some g_1 , $g_2 \in G$. We say that x_1 is <u>F-larger</u> than x_2 $(x_1 \ge \overline{F} x_2)$ if $g_1 \ge \overline{F} g_2$.

Definition 2.10 presents a partial ordering on the G-orbit of a point $x \in F$. We have presented our definition in terms of any fundamental region in \mathbb{R}^{n} . In most statistical examples the appropriate fundamental region will suggest itself naturally.

To illustrate the preceding concepts let us consider $G = P_n$, the group of all n! permutation matrices. A generating system of G, Δ_G^* , is the set

{r_i: i = 1, 2,...,n - 1}, where r'_i = (0,..., $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, 0,...,0) with $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ being the ith and (i+1)st coordinates of r_i respectively. A root system of G, A_G , is the set { tr_{ij} : 1 ≤ i < j ≤ n}, where r'_{ij} = (0,..., $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, 0,..., $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, 0,...,0) with $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ being the ith and jth coordinates of r_{ij} respectively. The G-orbit of a point x in Rⁿ is the set of all n! permutations of the coordinates of x.

Let us take our fundamental region F to be the set {x $\in \mathbb{R}^n$: $x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_n$ }. Since, for i < j, the j^{th} coordinate of x \in F is larger than the i^{th} coordinate, Δ_F^* is the set {+ r_{ij} : $1 \le i < j \le n$ }. The set $G_{r_{ij}}^+$, $r_{ij} \in \Delta_G$, contains any permutation matrix g such that for x \in F, the i^{th} coordinate of gx is less than the j^{th} coordinate. This is obvious, since r'_{ij} gx is the j^{th} coordinate of gx less the i^{th} coordinate. Now if $g \in G_{+}^+$, then M_r g $\in G_{r_{ij}}^-$. For x \in F, gx r_{ij} - larger than M_r gx means that the i^{th} coordinate of gx is smaller than the j^{th} coordinate. The point M_r gx is a permutation of the i_{ij}^{th} and j^{th} coordinates of gx. The reader will recognize reflection ordering of Hollander, Proschan, and Sethuraman (to appear).

3. G-ordered Functions.

E.c.s

In this section we define functions which are isotonic with respect to reflection ordering. We define functions on the group G, functions on \mathbb{R}^n , and functions on \mathbb{R}^{2n} which have a G-ordered property. Although the G-ordered property is essentially a property of functions on the group, it becomes more convenient for theoretical and practical applications to formulate the G-ordered property for functions on \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

G-ordered functions contain as a special case functions decreasing in transposition (DT). (See Hollander, Proschan, and Sethuraman (to appear).)

We establish some basic preservation properties for G-ordered functions. For example, we show that mixtures and compositions of G-ordered functions are G-ordered functions. We note that the G-ordered property is preserved under products of nonnegative G-ordered functions.

A. Charles

<u>Definition 3.1</u>. A function f from G into R¹ is G-ordered with respect to F if $g_1 \ge \overline{F} g_2$ implies $f(g_1) \ge f(g_2)$, for $g_1, g_2 \in G$.

Definition 3.2. Let X be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n . It is said to be <u>G-invariant</u> if $gX \subset X$ for all $g \in G$.

Unless otherwise specified, G will be a fixed finite reflection group acting on \mathbb{R}^n , although some of the results are true for arbitrary subgroups of O(n). The sets A and X, with or without subscripts, will denote G-invariant subsets of \mathbb{R}^n .

<u>Definition 3.3.</u> A function f from X into R¹ is G-ordered with respect to F on X if for every x $\in \overline{F} \cap X$ and for every pair g_1 , $g_2 \in G$ such that $g_1 \ge \overline{F} g_2$, we have $f(g_1x) \ge (g_2x)$.

For each x in some fundamental region F define the function $h_{\chi}(g) = f(gx)$. Note that h_{χ} is G-ordered with respect to F on G if f is G-ordered with respect to F on X.

<u>Definition 3.4</u>. A function K from $\Lambda \times X$ into R¹ is G-ordered if the following two conditions hold.

i. $K(g\lambda, gx) = K(\lambda, x)$ for all $g \in G$.

ii. For every fundamental region F when $\lambda \in \overline{F} \cap \Lambda$, $x \in \overline{F} \cap X$, and $g_1 \geq \overline{F} g_2$, then $K(\lambda, g_1 x) \geq K(\lambda, g_2 x)$.

<u>Remark 3.5</u>. The reader should note that condition (i) above can be replaced by: i*. $K(M_{\lambda}, M_{x}) = K(\lambda, x)$ for all r in a set of fundamental roots.

Lemma 3.6. Let $K(\lambda, x) = K(g\lambda, g)$ for all $g \in G$. Define

(a) $K(x, \lambda) = K(\lambda, x)$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $x \in X$.

(b) $f_{\lambda}(x) = K(\lambda, x)$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda, x \in X$.

(c) $h_{\lambda,x}(g) = K(\lambda, gx)$ for $\lambda \in \tilde{gF} \cap \Lambda$, for $x \in \tilde{gF} \cap X$, for all $g \in G$, and for some $\tilde{g} \in G$. Then the following are equivalent:

(1) K is G-ordered on $\Lambda \times X$.

(2) K is G-ordered on $X \times \Lambda$.

(3) f, is G-ordered with respect to F on X for each $\lambda \in \overline{F} \cap \Lambda$.

(4) $h_{\lambda,x}$ is G-ordered with respect to $\tilde{g}F$ on G for each $\lambda \in \tilde{g}\overline{F} \cap \Lambda$ and each $x \in \tilde{g}\overline{F} \cap X$.

The equivalence follows directly from the definitions of G-ordered functions on G, on X, and on $\Lambda \times X$.

We now present some preservation properties for G-ordered functions. The proofs of Propositions 3.7, 3.8, and 3.9 below parallel the proofs of corresponding results in Hollander, Proschan, and Sethuraman (to appear), so we omit them.

<u>Proposition 3.7</u>. Let $K(\lambda, x)$ be G-ordered on $\Lambda \times X$ and let f and h be nonnegative G-invariant functions on Λ and X respectively. Then $f(\lambda) K(\lambda, x) h(x)$ is G-ordered on $\Lambda \times X$.

<u>Proposition 3.8.</u> Let (Ω, F, v) be a measure space. Suppose $K_{\omega}(\lambda, x)$ is G-ordered on $\Lambda \times X$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$, and suppose that for all $(\lambda, x) \in \Lambda \times X$, $K_{\omega}(\lambda, x) \in L^{1}(\Omega, F, v)$. Then $\int_{\Omega} K_{\omega}(\lambda, x) dv(\omega)$ is G-ordered on $\Lambda \times X$.

A similar result for mixtures holds for functions G-ordered with respect to F on G and on X.

Consider any probability density $Q(\lambda, x)$ defined by $Q(\lambda, x) = k$ $c(\lambda) h(x) \exp(\sum_{i=1}^{k} Q_i(\lambda, x))$. Using Proposition 3.7, Proposition 3.8 with i=1 the counting measure, and the fact that increasing functions of G-ordered functions are G-ordered, we can show that $Q(\lambda, x)$ is G-ordered if c and h are G-invariant and Q_i , i = 1, 2,...,k, is G-ordered. Note that densities belonging to the multivariate exponential family are special cases of this form.

a strate to a second the second to a second

Note that if $K(\lambda, x)$ is G-ordered on $\Lambda \times X$, then $K(\lambda, x)$ is G-ordered on $\Lambda^* \times X^*$, where Λ^* and X^* are any G-invariant subsets of Λ and X respectively. Thus if $K(\lambda, x)$, a G-ordered function on $\Lambda \times X$, is the density of a random variable X and u is a G-invariant function on X, the conditional density of X given $u(X) = u_0$, $K_u(\lambda, x)$ is G-ordered on $\Lambda \times X_0$, where $X_0 = \{x \in X: u(x) = u_0\}$.

Proposition 3.9. The product of nonnegative G-ordered functions is G-ordered.

Definition 3.10. A measure μ on X is <u>G-invariant</u> if $\mu(A \cap X) = \mu(gA \cap X)$ for any $g \in G$ and any Borel set A in \mathbb{R}^{n} .

<u>Theorem 3.11</u>. Let K_1 be G-ordered on $X_1 \times X$ and let K_2 be G-ordered on $X \times X_2$. Let $K(x, z) = \int K_1(x, y) K_2(y, z) d\mu(y)$, where μ is a G-invariant measure. Then K is G-ordered on $X_1 \times X_2$.

<u>Proof.</u> (i). First we show K(gx, gz) = K(x, z) for all $g \in G$. Let $g \in G$. Then $K(gx, gz) = \int_{X} K_1(gx, y) K_2(y, gz) d\mu(y) = \int_{X} K_1(gx, gy) K_2(gy, gz) d\mu(gy)$ $= \int_{X} K_1(x, y) K_2(y, z) d\mu(y)$ [using the G-invariance of μ] = K(x, y), as desired. (ii). Now let $x \in \overline{F} \cap X_1$ and $z \in \overline{F} \cap X_2$. We need to show that $g_1 \geq^F g_2$ implies $K(x, g_1 z) \geq K(x, g_2 z)$. It suffices to show that $K(x, z) \geq$ $K(x, M_r z)$ for some $r \in \Delta_F^+$. Let $r \in \Delta_F^+$, then $K(x, z) - K(x, M_r z)$ $= \int K_1(x, y) [K_2(y, z) - K_2(y, M_r z)] d\mu(y)$ $= \int_{H_r^+} K_1(x, y) [K_2(y, z) - K_2(y, M_r z)] d\mu(y)$ $+ \int_{H_r^-} K_1(x, y) [K_2(y, z) - K_2(y, M_r z)] d\mu(y)$ $+ \int_{H_r^-} K_1(x, y) [K_2(y, z) - K_2(y, M_r z)] d\mu(y)$.

Since $K_2(y, z) - K_2(y, M_r z) = 0$ for all $y \in H_r^0$, we drop the third integral above. We use the transformation $y = M_r^0$ in the second integral above and by the G-invariance of μ we conclude that the second integral is equal to:

$$\int_{H_{r}} K_{1}(x, M_{r}u) [K_{2}(u, M_{r}z) - K_{2}(u, z)] d\mu(u).$$

We now combine the first and second integral and factor the integrand to obtain that $K(x, z) - K(x, M_r z) = \int_{H_r} [K_1(x, y) - K_1(x, M_r y)] [K_2(y, z) - K_2(y, M_r z)] d\mu(y)$. Both factors are nonnegative in the region $y \in H_r^+$, so $K(x, z) - K(x, M_r z) \ge 0$, as desired. ||

<u>Corollary 3.12</u>. Let $K(\lambda, x)$ be G-ordered on $\Lambda \times X$ and let f(x) be G-ordered with respect to F on X. Let $h(\lambda) = \int K(\lambda, x) f(x) d\mu(x)$, where μ is a G-invariant measure on X. Then $h(\lambda)$ is G-ordered with respect to F on Λ .

<u>Proof</u>. Let $\lambda^* \in \overline{F} \cap \Lambda$ and define the set $0_{\lambda^*} = \{g\lambda^*: g \in G\}$. Let $Q(x, g\lambda^*)$ $\overset{\text{def}}{=} f(g^{-1}x)$ and note that Q is G-ordered on $X \times 0_{\lambda^*}$. To see this, note that when $g = I_n$, Q is G-ordered with respect to F and that $Q(g_1x, g_1g\lambda^*) =$ $f((g_1 g)^{-1}g_1x) = f(g^{-1}g_1^{-1}g_1x) = f(g^{-1}x) = Q(x, g\lambda^*).$ By Theorem 3.11, $Q^*(\lambda, g\lambda^*) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int K(\lambda, x) Q(x, g\lambda^*) d\mu(x) \text{ is G-ordered on } \Lambda \times 0_{\lambda^*}.$ But for $g = I_n, Q^*(\lambda, I_n\lambda^*) = h(\lambda).$ Thus $h(\lambda)$ is G-ordered with respect to F on Λ , as desired. ||

Corollary 3.13. Let f_1 and f_2 be G-ordered with respect to F functions. Define $f(g) = \sum_{g_0 \in G} f_1(g^{-1}g_0) f_2(g_0)$. Then f is G-ordered with respect to F.

4. G-majorization, G-monotonicity, and the Preservation Theorem.

Same an interior

G-majorization is a partial ordering on Rⁿ introduced by Eaton and Perlman (1976) (EP (1976)). G-monotone functions are isotonic with respect to this ordering. In this section we relate reflection ordering to Gmajorization and show that G-monotone functions are a special case of G-ordered functions. We use the properties of G-ordered functions to obtain a preservation theorem for G-monotone functions under an integral transform. We supply a brief summary of relevant parts of the work of Eaton and Perlman.

Definition 4.1. Let x, $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $x_{[1]} \ge x_{[2]} \ge \dots \ge x_{[n]}$ and $y_{[1]} \ge y_{[2]} \ge \dots \ge y_{[n]}$ be decreasing rearrangements of the elements of x and y respectively. Then x is said to <u>majorize</u> $y(x \ge^{m} y)$ if $\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{[i]} \ge \sum_{i=1}^{k} y_{[i]}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$, with equality when k = n.

<u>Definition 4.2</u>. A function f defined on \mathbb{R}^n is Schur-convex (Schur-concave) if $x \ge^m y$ implies $f(x) \ge (\le) f(y)$.

Majorization induces a partial ordering on R^n and Schur-convex functions are order preserving with respect to majorization. The G-majorization ordering of EP (1976) includes majorization as a special case. <u>Definition 4.3</u>. Let x, y \in X and let G be a closed subgroup of O(n). The point x is said to <u>G-majorize</u> y(x²y) if y is an element of the convex hull of the G-orbit of x.

The term "G-majorization" and the notation " $x \ge^G y$ " are ours, but as mentioned before, the concept of the ordering belongs to Eaton and Perlman. When G=P_n, the group of permutation matrices, G-majorization coincides with the familiar majorization ordering of Definition 4.1. (See EP(1976).)

<u>Definition 4.4</u>. An extended real valued function f on X is <u>G-monotone increasing</u> (decreasing) if $x \ge^{G} y$ implies $f(x) \ge (\le) f(y)$.

Land Mr.

When $G=P_n$, the group of permutation matrices, the class of G-monotone increasing (decreasing) functions coincides with the class of Schur-convex (Schur-concave) functions.

Lemma 4.5. (EP(1976)). Let G be a finite reflection group. Suppose $x \ge^{G}y$, $x \ne y$. Then there exists a sequence of points z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_m such that $z_0 = y$, $z_m = x$, and $z_{j-1} = [\lambda_j I_n + (1-\lambda_j)M_r] z_j$, $1 \le j \le m$, where $r_j \le \Delta_G$, $0 \le \lambda_j \le 1$, and I_n is the n×n identity matrix. Note that $z_j \ge^{G} Z_{j-1}$ for all j.

Eaton and Perlman devote a significant part of their paper to establishing the above path lemma. It demonstrates the existence of a polygonal path between a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any point y in the convex hull of the G-orbit of x.

This lemma is a key tool in the study of G-majorization and G-monotone functions. For example, to show that a function f on \mathbb{R}^n is G-monotone increasing it suffices to show that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r \in \Delta_G$, $f(x) \ge f([\lambda I_n + (1-\lambda)M_r]x)$. The above procedure is only one method for determining G-monotone functions. We refer the reader to EP(1976) for their differential characterizations of smooth G-monotone functions.

Before we show the relationship between G-ordered functions and G-monotone functions we need to establish some technical lemmas. We will find the following notation useful in the remainder of the paper.

Lemma 4.6. Let $r \epsilon \Delta_G$ and let u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n be an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^n such that $u_1 = r$. Suppose x, $y \epsilon \mathbb{R}^n$ are such that $u_1' x = u_1' y, i = 2, 3, \ldots, n$. Then $x \geq^G y$ if and only if $|rx| \geq |ry|$. <u>Proof</u>. The point y is on the line which passes through the points x and $M_r x$. Consequently, y is in the convex hull of the G-orbit of x if and only if $|r'x| \geq |r'y|$. Lemma 4.7. Let F be a fundamental region in \mathbb{R}^n . Then $g \ge^F M_r g$ if and only if $(r'gx) \ge 0$ for all $x \in \overline{F}$.

<u>Proof.</u> By definition, $g \ge {}^{\overline{F}} M_{\overline{r}} g$ if and only if $g \in G_{\overline{r}}^{+}$. But $g \in G_{\overline{r}}^{+}$ if and only if $g \overline{F} \subset H_{\overline{r}}^{+} \cup H_{\overline{r}}^{0}$. N / gx $\in H_{\overline{r}}^{+} \cup H_{\overline{r}}^{0}$ if and only if $(r'gx) \ge 0$ and $g\overline{F} \subset H_{\overline{r}}^{+} \cup H_{\overline{r}}^{0}$ if and only if $gx \in H_{\overline{r}}^{+} \cup H_{\overline{r}}^{0}$ for all $x \in \overline{F}$. Thus the result is immediate. ||

<u>Lemma 4.8</u>. Let $\mathbf{r} \in \Delta_{\mathbf{G}}$ and let $\mathbf{x} \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}$. Then $\mathbf{g} \geq^{\overline{\mathbf{F}}} M_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{g}$ if and only if $\lambda + \mathbf{gx} \geq^{\overline{\mathbf{G}}} \lambda + M_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{gx}$ $(\lambda - M_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{gx} \geq \lambda - \mathbf{gx})$ for all $\lambda \in H_{\mathbf{r}}^{+} \cup H_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{0}}$.

town the state of

<u>Proof.</u> We will show that $g \geq \overline{F}_{n_{r}}^{F} g$ if and only if $\lambda + gx \geq^{G} \lambda + M_{r}gx$ for all $\lambda \in H_{r}^{+} \cup H_{r}^{O}$. The proof that $g \geq \overline{F}_{n_{r}}^{F} g$ if and only if $\lambda - M_{r}gx \geq^{G} \lambda - gx$ is analogous. Without loss we assume $g = I_{n}$ and we show that $\lambda + x \geq^{G} \lambda + M_{r}x$ if and only if $(r'x)(r'\lambda) \geq 0$. Let $u_{1}, u_{2}, \dots, u_{n}$ be an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^{n} such that $u_{1} = r$. Now $\lambda + x = ((r'\lambda + r'x)r + \sum_{\substack{i=2\\g = 2}}^{n} (u_{1}^{i}\lambda + u_{1}^{i}x)u_{1})$ and $\lambda + M_{r}x = ((r'\lambda - r'x)r + \sum_{\substack{i=2\\g = 2}}^{n} (u_{1}^{i}\lambda + u_{1}^{i}x)u_{1})$. So $\lambda + x \geq^{G} \lambda + M_{r}x$ if and only if $|r'\lambda + r'x| \geq |r'\lambda - r'x|$ as a consequence of Lemma 4.6. But $|r'\lambda + r'x| \geq |r'\lambda - r'x|$ if and only if $(r'\lambda)(r'x) \geq 0$. Thus $\lambda + x \geq^{G} \lambda + M_{r}x$ if and only if $(r'\lambda)(r'x) \geq 0$ for all $\lambda \in H_{r}^{+} \cup H_{r}^{O}$ and all $x \in \overline{F}$. In particular, $I_{n} \geq^{\overline{F}} M_{r}I_{n}$ if and only if $(r'\lambda)(r'x) \geq 0$ for all $\lambda \in H_{r}^{+} \cup H_{r}^{O}$. Thus $g \geq^{\overline{F}} M_{r}g$ if and only if $\lambda + gx \geq^{G} \lambda + M_{r}x$ for all $\lambda \in H_{r}^{+} \cup H_{r}^{O}$.

Lemma 4.9. Let $r \in S_n$ and let $z \in R^n$. Then for any α , $0 \le \alpha < 1$, there exist λ_{α} , $x_{\alpha} \in R^n$ such that $z = \lambda_{\alpha} + x_{\alpha}$, $(r'\lambda_{\alpha})(r'x_{\alpha}) \ge 0$, and $(\alpha I_n + (1 - \alpha)M_r)(\lambda_{\alpha} + x_{\alpha}) = \lambda_{\alpha} + M_r x_{\alpha}$.

<u>Proof.</u> Let $x_{\alpha} = (1 - \alpha)z$ and $\lambda_{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} x_{\alpha}$, then

hand in

(i)
$$\lambda_{\alpha} + x_{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} x_{\alpha} + x_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} x_{\alpha} = z$$

(ii) $(\mathbf{r}'\lambda_{\alpha})(\mathbf{r}'x_{\alpha}) = (\mathbf{r}' \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} x_{\alpha})(\mathbf{r}'x_{\alpha}) = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} (\mathbf{r}'x_{\alpha})^2 \ge 0.$
(iii) $(\alpha I_n + (1-\alpha)M_r)(\lambda_{\alpha} + x_{\alpha}) = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} x_{\alpha} + M_r x_{\alpha} = \lambda_{\alpha} + M_r x_{\alpha}.$ ||

<u>Theorem 4.10</u>. Let $K(\lambda, x)$ be of the form $f(\lambda + x)(f(\lambda - x))$. Then $K(\lambda, x)$ is G-ordered on \mathbb{R}^{2n} if and only if $f(\lambda + x)(f(\lambda - x))$ is G-monotone increasing (decreasing) on \mathbb{R}^{n} .

<u>Proof.</u> We show that $K(\lambda, x)$ is G-ordered if and only if $f(\lambda + x)$ is G-monotone increasing. The proof that $K(\lambda, x)$ is G-ordered if and only if $f(\lambda - x)$ is G-monotone decreasing is analogous.

(i) We show for all $g \in G$, $K(\lambda, x) = K(g\lambda, gx)$ if and only if $f(\lambda + x) = f(g(\lambda + x))$. Now $K(\lambda, x) = f(\lambda + x)$ and $K(g\lambda, gx) = f(g\lambda + gx) = f(g(\lambda + x))$. So $K(\lambda, x) = K(g\lambda, gx)$ if and only if $f(\lambda + x) = f(g(\lambda + x))$.

(iia) Suppose f is G-monotone increasing. Let $\lambda \in \overline{F} \cap \Lambda$, $x \in \overline{F} \cap X$, and $r \in \Lambda_t^+$ for every $t \in F$. Then $(r'\lambda)(r'x) \ge 0$ which implies $\lambda + x \ge^G \lambda + M_r x$ by Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8. Consequently $K(\lambda, x) - K(\lambda, M_r x) = f(\lambda + x) - f(\lambda + M_r x) \ge 0$.

(iib) Suppose K is G-ordered on $\Lambda \times X$ and let $z_1 \ge^G z_2$. Without loss assume $z_2 = (\alpha I_n + (1 - \alpha)M_r)z_1$ for any arbitrary α , $0 \le \alpha < 1$. By Lemma 4.9, there exist λ_{α} , x_{α} such that $z_1 = \lambda_{\alpha} + x_{\alpha}$, $z_2 = \lambda_{\alpha} + M_r x_{\alpha}$, and $(r'\lambda_{\alpha})(r'x_{\alpha}) \ge 0$. Consequently $f(z_1) - f(z_2) = f(\lambda_{\alpha} + x_{\alpha}) - f(\lambda_{\alpha} + M_r x_{\alpha}) =$ $K(\lambda_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha}) - K(\lambda_{\alpha}, M_r x_{\alpha}) \ge 0$ since $(r'\lambda_{\alpha})(r'x_{\alpha}) \ge 0$ implies $I_n \ge^{\overline{F}} M_r I_n$ by Lemma 4.7. ||

<u>Definition 4.11</u>. A measure μ on X is said to be translation invariant if $\lambda(A \cap X) = \mu((A + x) \cap X)$ for all Borel sets $A \subset R^n$ and all $x \in X$.

<u>Corollary 4.12</u>. The convolution of G-monotone decreasing functions on \mathbb{R}^n with respect to a translation and G-invariant measure μ is G-monotone decreasing.

<u>Proof.</u> Let f_1 , f_2 be G-monotone decreasing on \mathbb{R}^n and define $h(x) = \int f_1(x - y) f_2(y) d\mu(y)$. Then $h(x - y) = \int f_1(x - z - y) f_2(y) d\mu(y) = \int f_1(x - u) f_2(u - z) d\mu(u)$, using

the translation u = z + y. By Theorem 4.10, $f_1(x - u)$, $f_2(u - z)$ are G-ordered on \mathbb{R}^{2n} . By Theorem 3.11, h(x - z) is G-ordered on \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Thus by another application of Theorem 4.10, h is G-monotone decreasing on \mathbb{R}^n . ||

The convolution theorem (Theorem 5.1) of Eaton and Perlman (1976) is a stronger result than Corollary 4.12. They prove that the convolution of G-monotone decreasing functions is G-monotone decreasing for a general, not necessarily finite, reflection group.

<u>Remark</u>. For Corollary 4.12 it is not necessary that the functions be G-monotone decreasing on \mathbb{R}^n . Suppose X is a subset of \mathbb{R}^n such that the set U $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ {u $\in \mathbb{R}^n$: u = x + y, x, $y \in X$ } is G-invariant, then the convolution of G-monotone decreasing functions on X is G-monotone decreasing. The reader should note that the above condition is satisfied if X forms a semigroup under addition, for then $U \equiv X$.

Definition 4.13. Let Λ , $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ form semigroups under addition. A function $K(\lambda, x)$ on $\Lambda \times X$ is said to have the <u>G-ordered generalized semigroup property with</u> respect to a translation invariant measure μ if, for $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$ and $x \in X$, there exist

G-ordered functions $K^{(1)}(\lambda, x)$ and $K^{(2)}(\lambda, x)$ on $\Lambda \times X$ such that $K(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2, x) = \int K^{(1)}(\lambda_1, x - y) K^{(2)}(\lambda_2, y) d\mu(y)$.

<u>Theorem 4.14</u>. Let Λ , X be as in Definition 4.13 and let a function K on $\Lambda \times X$ have the G-ordered generalized semigroup property with respect to a G-invariant and translation invariant measure μ . Then $h(\lambda) = \int K(\lambda, x) f(x) d\mu(x)$ is G-monotone increasing (decreasing) on Λ if f(x) is G-monotone increasing (decreasing) on X.

<u>Proof.</u> We show that F is G-monotone increasing implies that h is G-monotone increasing. We will show that $h(\lambda + \lambda^*)$ is G-ordered on Λ^2 and conclude that h is G-monotone increasing on Λ using Theorem 4.10. Write

$$h(\lambda + \lambda^*) = \int_X K(\lambda + \lambda^*, x) f(x) d\mu(x)$$

= $\int_X \int_X K^{(1)}(\lambda, x - y) K^{(2)}(\lambda^*, y) d\mu(y) f(x) d\mu(x)$
= $\int_X K^{(2)}(\lambda^*, y) \int_X K(\lambda, x - y) f(x) d\mu(x) d\mu(y)$
= $\int_X K^{(2)}(\lambda^*, y) \int_{X_y} K^{(1)}(\lambda, z) f(y + z) d\mu(z) d\mu(y),$

where $X_y = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n : u = x - y, x, y \in X\}$. [We use the translation z = x - y.] Since X forms a semigroup under addition, $X_y \ge X$ for all $y \in X$. On the set $X_y - X$, $K^{(1)}(\lambda, \cdot)$ is zero, so we can replace X_y by X for the region of integration of the inside integral. Thus $h(\lambda + \lambda^*) = \int_X K^{(2)}(\lambda^*, y)$ $\int_X K^{(1)}(\lambda, z) f(y + z) d\mu(z) d\mu(y)$. By an application of Theorem 2.12, $\int_X K^{(1)}(\lambda, z) f(y + z) d\mu(z)$ is G-ordered. By a second application of Theorem 2.12, $h(\lambda + \lambda^*)$ is G-ordered on Λ^2 . Thus h is G-monotone increasing on Λ . To show f G-monotone decreasing implies h G-monotone decreasing, we need only consider -f which is G-monotone increasing and deduce that -h is G-monotone increasing. We give a simple example to illustrate the concepts and notation. The reader will see how Theorem 4.14 can be used to develop monotonicity properties for power functions in hypothesis testing. Applications of significance are given in Part II.

Example 4.15. Consider the group G generated by $\Delta_{G}^{*} = \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right]^{2}, \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right]^{2} \right\}$.

Let $K(\lambda, x)$ be the density of an exchangable bivariate normal random variable. It can be verified that K has the G-ordered generalized semigroup property. If we wish to test the hypothesis $H_0: \lambda = 0$ against the alternative $H_1: \lambda \neq 0$, then a test with critical region $Q_f = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2: f(x) > c\}$ where f is a G-monotone increasing function has a G-monotone increasing power function. As an example, consider $f(x) = |x_1| + |x_2|$. The power function, $h(\lambda) = \int K(\lambda, x) I_{Q_f}(x) dx$, is G-monotone increasing since $I_{Q_f}(x)$, the indicator function, is G-monotone increasing. Thus any test of H_0 versus H_1 with critical region Q_f is unbiased and has a power function which increases monotonically as either or both of the coordinates of λ increase in absolute value.

Theorem 4.14 is an extension and generalization of a similar preservation theorem under an integral transform (Theorem 3.7) of Hollander, Proschan, and Sethuraman (to appear). It yields their theorem as a special case when $G = P_n$, the group of permutation matrices, $K^{(1)} = K^{(2)} = K$, and Λ and Xare the positive reals or the positive integers.

Definition 4.16. Let Λ , X be as in Definition 4.13. A function $K(\lambda, x)$ on $\Lambda \times X$ is said to have the G-ordered conditional generalized semigroup property with respect to a translation invariant measure μ , if there exists a σ -finite measure space

 (Ω, F, v) and functions $K_{(\lambda, x)}$, $\omega \in \Omega$, such that:

i. $K(\lambda, x) = \int_{\Omega} K_{\omega}(\lambda, x) dv(\omega)$.

「お ち、「ちろうち、」、いちにも、ちにな

Trank by in the

ii. For each $\omega \in \Omega$, K_{ω} has the G-ordered generalized semigroup property with respect to $\mu.$

<u>Corollary 4.17</u>. The conclusion of Theorem 4.14 holds if $K(\lambda, x)$ has the G-ordered conditional generalized semigroup property.

<u>Proof.</u> Let $h_{\omega}(\lambda) = \int_{\chi} K_{\omega}(\lambda, x) f(x) d\mu(x)$. Then by Theorem 4.14, $h_{\omega}(\lambda)$ is G-monotone increasing (decreasing) on Λ for each $\omega \in \Omega$. Now

$$h(\lambda) = \int_{X} K(\lambda, x) f(x) d\mu(x)$$

= $\int_{X} \int_{\Omega} K_{\omega}(\lambda, x) dv(\omega) f(x) d\mu(x)$
= $\int_{\Omega} \int_{X} K_{\omega}(\lambda, x) f(x) d\mu(x) dv(\omega)$
= $\int_{\Omega} h_{\omega}(\lambda) dv(\omega).$

By the mixture result, Proposition 3.8, and Theorem 4.10 we conclude that $h(\lambda)$ is G-monotone increasing (decreasing).]]

It should be noted that in the case where $G = P_n$ we have relaxed the assumptions imposed on $K(\lambda, x)$, the kernel of the transform, by Hollander, Proschan, and Sethuraman (to appear). We conclude that all the results relating to statistical applications of their preservation theorem under an integral transform are applicable to a much larger class of probability distributions, in particular, to the distributions of exchangeable normal and t random variables.

Example 4.18. A bivariate T random variable can be expressed as $T = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\chi} U$, where χ^2 is a chi-square random variable with n degrees of freedom and U is an exchangeable bivariate normal variable. If λ is the mean of U, then $K(\lambda, x)$, the density of T, has the G-ordered conditional generalized semigroup property. Thus for the same test, with critical region Q_f , the power function has the monotonicity property described in Example 4.15.

5. A Sample Application.

Stand a Contractor

In this section we consider an application in the area of nonparametric statistics. We show that the Wilcoxon signed-rank statistic is a function G-ordered with respect to F of the signed ranks. Using results from previous sections we will determine under what conditions the frequency function of the signed ranks is G-ordered. We also present monotonicity properties for power functions of tests based on the Wilcoxon signed-rank statistic.

Let G be the group of all permutations and sign changes of the coordinates acting on the elements of Rⁿ. Given a set of real numbers $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$, let $r_i = 1 + \sum_{\substack{j \neq i \\ j \neq i}} L(|x_i|, |x_j|)$, i = 1, 2, ..., n, where L(a, b) = 1 if a > b, $\frac{1}{2}$ if a = b, and 0 if a < b. Let $v_i = (sgn x_i)r_i$, then $v = (v_1, v_2, ..., v_n)$ is the vector of signed ranks of the set of real numbers $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$. Define the function J(x, v) on R^{2n} as follows:

$$J(x, v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v_i = (\text{sgn } x_i)r_i, i = 1, 2, ..., n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It can be verified that J(x, v) is G-ordered for the group G of permutations and sign changes. The frequency function $g(\lambda, v)$ of the signed ranks v can be written as follows:

$$g(\lambda, v) = \int K(\lambda, x) J(x, v) d\mu(x).$$

If $K(\lambda, x)$ is G-ordered and μ is a G-invariant measure, then by the composition theorem (Theorem 3.11), $g(\lambda, v)$ is G-ordered. We note that both Lebesgue measure and counting measure are G-invariant for the group of permutations and sign changes.

Define the function f(x) on R^n in the following manner:

Room State and a Contractor

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i I(x_i > 0).$$

Then f is G-ordered with respect to F with F = {x $\in \mathbb{R}^n$: $x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_n$ }. Let $Q_f = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: f(v) > c_{\alpha}\}$. Since f is G-ordered with respect to F, the indicator function I(x $\in Q_f$) is G-ordered with respect to F. If K(λ , x) is G-ordered, then by the composition theorem for functions G-ordered with respect to F (Corollary 3.12), the power function, $h(\lambda) \stackrel{def}{=} \int K(\lambda, x) I(x \in Q_f) d\mu(x)$, of any test based upon the Wilcoxon signed-rank statistic is a function G-ordered with respect to F on the parameter space.

Actually a stronger result than this is true. Define the power function $h(\lambda)$ as $h(\lambda) = \int g(\lambda, v) I(f(v) > c_{\alpha}) d\mu(v)$. By Corollary 3.12, $h(\lambda)$ is G-ordered with respect to F if $g(\lambda, v)$ is G-ordered. Thus it is not necessary that $K(\lambda, x)$ be G-ordered. It suffices that the frequency function $g(\lambda, v)$ of the signed ranks be G-ordered.

REFERENCES

Benson, C. T. and Grove, L. C. (1971). Finite Reflection Groups. Bogden and Quigley, Inc., New York.

Eaton, M. L. and Perlman, M. D. (1976). Reflection groups, generalized Schur functions, and the geometry of majorization. University of Chicago Statistics Report No. 28. (Submitted for publication in Ann. Statist.).

Hollander, M., Proschan, F., and Sethuraman, J. (to appear). Functions decreasing in transposition and their applications in ranking problems. Ann. Statist.

「「、「、「「「、」、」、」、」、「」、」、

Len.

Nevius, S. E., Proschan, F., and Sethuraman, J. (1977). Schur functions in statistics. II: Stochastic majorization. Ann. Statist. 5 263-273.

Proschan, F. and Sethuraman, J. (1977). Schur functions in statistics. I: The preservation theorem. Ann. Statist. 5 256-262.

(9) REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
A TORT NUMBER	HAN HOLE THE TENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
13888.11-MX, TR-22 (18) AR	J. ARJDI
TITLE (and Sublidia)	Technical Party
G-Ordered Functions in Statistics	Olicemiter heperis
	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR(C)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+)
R. Leon	5 BAAG29-76-6-0238
F. Proschan	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
The Florida State University	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Department of Statistics V Tallahassee, Florida	
I. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	REPORT DATE
U. S. Army Research Office Post Office 12211	June 77
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709	26 p.
ESTI-STATISTICS-M421,	
TO MY APRCE	Unclassified
TR-TI-AFOSIC	SCHEDULE
8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
The findings in this report are not to be condepartment of the Army position, unless so a documents.	onstrued as an official designated by other authorized
. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block	c number)
Statistics Probabilit Functions(mathematics) Distributi	bon functions
Transposition Stochastic processes	
ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block	number)
hie is Part I of a two-part paper which genera	lizes and extends the work of Hollands
Proschan, and Sethuraman (to appear) Gfor funct	G-ordered functions, "We, develop many
Proschan, and Sethuraman (to appear) For funct n Part I we introduce reflection ordering and	In make that C and and C at the state
Proschan, and Sethuraman (to appear) For funct n Part I we introduce reflection ordering and preservation properties for these functions.	le note that G-ordered functions contain and Periman (1976)) and we prove a
Proschan, and Sethuraman (to appear) For funct n Part I we introduce reflection ordering and reservation properties for these functions. A s a special case, G-monotone functions (Eaton reservation theorem for G-monotone functions, u	Je note that G-ordered functions contained and Perlman (1976)) and we prove a under an integral transform. We extend
Proschan, and Sethuraman (to appear) For funct n Part I we introduce reflection ordering and preservation properties for these functions. A s a special case, G-monotone functions (Eaton preservation theorem for G-monotone functions, the results of Hollander, Proschan, and Sethura are extended	de note that G-ordered functions contain and Perlman (1976)) and we prove a mader an integral transform. We extend aman (to appear) in the area of stochas
Proschan, and Sethuraman (to appear) For funct n Part I we introduce reflection ordering and preservation properties for these functions. A s a special case, G-monotone functions (Eaton reservation theorem for G-monotone functions, the results of Hollander, Proschan, and Sethura are extended D 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE	e note that G-ordered functions contained and Perlman (1976)) and we prove a under an integral transform. We extend aman (to appear) in the area of stochas Unclassified

s 1/1.)

1.1.1.

and a the state that the state is the second of the second of the second of the second s

13888.11-MX

20. ABSTRACT CONTINUED

10. 10 T

いちょうい

Search in

State .

Comparisons to a larger class of probability distributions. In Part II we present applications in statistics. In a forthcoming paper we will explore the notion of stochastic G-majorization as an extension of the work done in the area of stochastic majorization by Nevius, Proschan, and Sethuraman (1977).

> Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)