111-6400015 AD A 0 5 1 3 4 2 BRL R 2026 AD **REPORT NO. 2028** 6 STUDY OF LINER COLLAPSE, JET FORMATION AND CHARACTERISTICS FROM IMPLOSIVE SHAPED CHARGE SYSTEMS. rept COPY 0 2\$28 Abdul R./Kiwan Alvin L. Arbuckle No C B 511 Nov C 161162AH43 16 MAR 17 1978 Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. ู้ไท B SBIE 18 75 USA ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND USA BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 050 750

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Secondary distribution of this report by originating or sponsoring activity is prohibited.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade names or monufacturers! names in this report does not constitute indoresment of any commercial product.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION	PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
BRI. Benort No. 2028		
· TITLE (and Subilite)		S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
STUDY OF LINER COLLAPSE. JET FORMA	TION AND	
CHARACTERISTICS FROM IMPLOSIVE SHA	Final	
SYSTEMS		· PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR(.)	·····	. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+)
Abdul R. Kiwan		
Alvin L. Arbuckle		
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT TASK
USA Ballistic Research Laboratory		AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland	21005	1
		1L161102AH43
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	adiness Command	12. REPORT DATE
5001 Eisonhower Avenue		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Alexandria, Virginia 22333		54
4. NONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(I differen	it tran Controlling Office)	18. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	,	
	/	184. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
		SCHEDULE
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		DDC
Approved for public release; distr	ribution unlimite	
		U) MAR 17 1978
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered	in Block 20, if different from	
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered	in Block 20, 11 different from	
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered	in Stock 20, 11 different fra	Report DEGUISU
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered	In Block 20, 11 different fra	Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered a. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	in Stook 20, 11 different fra	Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered	in Block 20, 11 different fra	Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered	in Block 20, 11 different fro	Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary ar	In Block 20, 11 different fra nd Identify by block number)	B,
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide If necessary and Shaped charges	in Block 20, 11 different from nd Identify by block number) Numerical at	n Report)
 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and Shaped charges Fluid mechanics Fluid mechanics 	In Block 20, 11 different from In Block 20, 11 different frow	n Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and Shaped charges Shaped charges Shaped charges Shap	In Block 20, 11 different from In Block 20, 11 different from Ind Identify by block number; Numerical an Implosion sy Jets Shock waves	n Report)
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and haped charges luid mechanics omputational methods etonation theory 	In Block 20, 11 different from In Block 20, 11 different from Ind Identify by block number) Numerical an Implosion sy Jets Shock waves	nalysis ystems
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde If necessary and Shaped charges Fluid mechanics Computational methods Netonation theory 2. SUBTRACT (Continue on reverse elde M mechanics in theory	In Block 20, 11 different from In Block 20, 11 different from Numerical an Implosion sy Jets Shock waves d identify by block number)	nalysis ystems
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary at Shaped charges Fluid mechanics Computational methods Detonation theory STRACT (Continue on poverse side if necessary at nechanics study of the detonation, 	In Block 20, 11 different from In Block 20, 11 different from Numerical an Implosion sy Jets Shock waves d identify by block number) collapse, jet for	nalysis ystems (hib) A computational fluid rmation, flight and
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and shaped charges luid mechanics computational methods etonation theory STRACT (Certhus an reverse side if mechanics repeated of the detonation, roperties of implosive shaped charged charges and shaped charges and shaped charges and shaped charges and shaped charges are stored at the detonation, roperties of implosive shaped charges and shaped charges and shaped charges and shaped charges are stored at the shaped charges and shaped charges are sha	In Block 20, 11 different from In Block 20, 11 different from Ind Identify by block number) Numerical an Implosion sy Jets Shock waves d identify by block number) collapse, jet for ge warhead system	(hib) A Computational fluid rmation, flight and ns. The study shows that a
 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and Shaped charges 11.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	In Block 20, 11 different from In Block 20, 11 different from In diantify by block number) Numerical an Implosion sy Jets Shock waves d identify by block number) collapse, jet for ge warhead syster occurs from the p	(hib) A computational fluid rmation, flight and ns. The study shows that a familiar one of linear and
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and Shaped charges siluid mechanics computational methods betonation theory STRACT (Centhus en reverse elde if mecessary and betonation theory STRACT (Centhus en reverse elde if mecessary and betonation theory STRACT (Centhus en reverse elde if mecessary and betonation theory STRACT (Centhus en reverse elde if mecessary and betonation theory STRACT (Centhus en reverse elde if mecessary and betonation theory Stract of the detonation, inoperties of implosive shaped char inferent liner collapse mechanism conical shaped charge systems. The deal conditions initially envision 	In Block 20, 11 different from In Block 20, 11 different from Ind Identify by block number) Numerical an Implosion sy Jets Shock waves d identify by block number) collapse, jet for ge warhead system occurs from the the collapse was al:	(hib) A computational fluid rmation, flight and ns. The study shows that a familiar one of linear and so seen to deviate from the one air form the
 PISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Shaped charges Fluid mechanics Computational methods Detonation theory STRACT (Centhus on reverse side H mechanics, properties of implosive shaped charge Ifferent liner collapse mechanism conical shaped charge systems. The Ideal conditions initially envision possess an inverse velocity ora 	In Block 20, 11 different from In Block 20, 11 different from Numerical an Implosion sy Jets Shock waves d identify by block number) collapse, jet for ge warhead system occurs from the je collapse was als ied. The resultin dient as in most	(hib) A Computational fluid rmation, flight and ns. The study shows that a familiar one of linear and so seen to deviate from the ng jet in some cases does classical cases. The jet
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elds II necessary at Shaped charges Fluid mechanics Computational methods Detonation theory 10. STRACT (Centhus en reverse elds II necessary at nechanics study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped char lifferent liner collapse mechanism conical shaped charge systems. The deal conditions initially envision not possess an inverse velocity gra n this case has distributions of m	In Block 20, 11 different from In Block 20, 11 different from Numerical an Implosion sy Jets Shock waves d Identify by block number) collapse, jet for ge warhead system occurs from the sy collapse was also ted. The resulting dient as in most wass, velocity, an	(hib) A Computational fluid rmation, flight and ns. The study shows that a familiar one of linear and so seen to deviate from the ng jet in some cases does classical cases. The jet nd energy along its length
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Supplementary notes KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary at Shaped charges Fluid mechanics Computational methods Detonation theory STRACT (Continue on reverse star if mechanism concells study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped char lifferent liner collapse mechanism conical shaped charge systems. The ideal conditions initially envision to possess an inverse velocity gra in this case has distributions of m 	In Block 20, 11 different from In Block 20, 11 different from Numerical an Implosion sy Jets Shock waves d identify by block number) collapse, jet for ge warhead system occurs from the sy collapse was also the resulting dient as in most wass, velocity, an	(hib) A computational fluid rmation, flight and ns. The study shows that a familiar one of linear and so seen to deviate from the ng jet in some cases does classical cases. The jet nd energy along its length
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II necessary and Shaped charges Fluid mechanics Computational methods Detonation theory 1. STRACT (Centhus en reverse elde II necessary and Detonation theory 1. STRACT (Centhus en reverse elde II necessary and Detonation theory 1. STRACT (Centhus en reverse elde II necessary and Detonation theory 1. STRACT (Centhus en reverse elde II necessary and Detonation theory 1. STRACT (Centhus en reverse elde II necessary and Detonation theory 1. Stract (Centhus en reverse elde II necessary and Detonation theory 1. Stract (Centhus en reverse elde II necessary and Detonation theory 1. Stract (Centhus en reverse elde II necessary and Detonation theory 1. Stract (Centhus en reverse elde II necessary and Detonation theory 1. Stract (Centhus en reverse elde II necessary and 1. Stract (Centhus en reverse elde II necessary (Centhus en reverse elde II necessary elde II	In Block 20, 11 different from In Block 20, 11 different from Numerical an Implosion sy Jets Shock waves d identify by block number) collapse, jet for ge warhead syster occurs from the t collapse was also ted. The resulting dient as in most hass, velocity, an LETE UNCLASS	(hib) A Computational fluid rmation, flight and ns. The study shows that a familiar one of linear and so seen to deviate from the ng jet in some cases does classical cases. The jet nd energy along its length SIFIED (cont)
 PISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Shaped charges Fluid mechanics Computational methods Detonation theory STRACT (Canthus on reverse elds H mechanism) Study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped charge Study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped charge Study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped charge Study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped charge Study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped charge Study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped charge Study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped charge Study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped charge Study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped charge Study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped charge Study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped charge Study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped charge Study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped charge Study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped charge Study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped charge Study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped charge Study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped charge Study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped charge Study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped charge Study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped charge Study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped charge Study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped charge Study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped charge Study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped charge Study of the detonation, properti	In Block 20, 11 different from In Block 20, 11 different from Numerical an Implosion sy Jets Shock waves d identify by block number) collapse, jet for ge warhead system occurs from the je collapse was als ied. The resultin dient as in most hass, velocity, an LETE UNCLASS SECUMITY CLA	A computational fluid malysis ystems (hib) A computational fluid rmation, flight and ns. The study shows that a familiar one of linear and so seen to deviate from the ng jet in some cases does classical cases. The jet nd energy along its length SIFIED (cont) SIFIED (cont)
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES REY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II necessary at Shaped charges Fluid mechanics Computational methods Detonation theory STRACT (Continue on reverse elde M messesary at mechanics study of the detonation, properties of implosive shaped char hifferent liner collapse mechanism conical shaped charge systems. The ideal conditions initially envision not possess an inverse velocity gra in this case has distributions of m TOTION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOM 	In Block 20, 11 different from In Block 20, 11 different from Numerical an Implosion sy Jets Shock waves d identify by block number) collapse, jet for ge warhead system occurs from the particular collapse was also ted. The resulting dient as in most hass, velocity, an LETE UNCLASS SECUMITY CLA	(hib) A Computational fluid rmation, flight and ns. The study shows that a familiar one of linear and so seen to deviate from the ng jet in some cases does classical cases. The jet nd energy along its length SIFIED (cont) SSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (From Dels Entere
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elds if necessary at thaped charges 'luid mechanics computational methods etonation theory STRACT (Centimus en reverse state if necessary at the detonation theory STRACT (Centimus en reverse state if necessary at the detonation theory STRACT (Centimus en reverse state if necessary at the detonation theory STRACT (Centimus en reverse state if necessary at the detonation theory STRACT (Centimus en reverse state if necessary at the detonation theory STRACT (Centimus en reverse state if necessary at the detonation theory at the detonation, properties of implosive shaped charge systems. The deal conditions initially envision of possess an inverse velocity gra n this case has distributions of m FORM 1473 EDITION OF 'NOV SE IS OBSOINT. 	In Block 20, 11 different from In Block 20, 11 different from Numerical an Implosion sy Jets Shock waves d identify by block number) collapse, jet for ge warhead system occurs from the first collapse was also ted. The resulting dient as in most lass, velocity, an LETE UNCLASS SECUMITY CLA	(hib) A computational fluid rmation, flight and ns. The study shows that a familiar one of linear and so seen to deviate from the ng jet in some cases does classical cases. The jet nd energy along its length SIFIED (cont) SIFIED (cont)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Apped charges luid mechanics omputational methods etonation theory STRACT (Continue on reverse of the H recessory are echanics study of the detonation, roperties of implosive shaped char ifferent liner collapse mechanism onical shaped charge systems. The deal conditions initially envision ot possess an inverse velocity gra n this case has distributions of m STRACT 1473 EDITION OF ! NOV 65 IS OBSOME	In Block 20, If different from In Block 20, If different from Numerical an Implosion sy Jets Shock waves d identify by block number) collapse, jet for ge warhead system occurs from the p collapse was also ted. The resulting dient as in most hass, velocity, an LETE UNCLASS SECUMITY CLA	nalysis malysis ystems (hib) A computational fluid rmation, flight and ms. The study shows that a familiar one of linear and so seen to deviate from the mg jet in some cases does classical cases. The jet nd energy along its length SIFIED (cont) SSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entere

. .

;

------ . .

,

•

. . .

UNCLASSIFIED

RECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

different from those of the classical cases. The different jet characteristics suggest a different type of target interaction than usual. Some of the novel features found numerically were confirmed experimentally. A comparative study of the properties of different types of shape charge warhead designs is also made.



INCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	5
I.	INTRODUCTION	7
11.	HYDRODYNAMIC CONSIDERATIONS	8
111.	COMPUTATIONAL SETUP AND SIMULATION	12
IV.	RESULTS AND EXPERIMENTAL COMPARISON	17
۷.	COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT DESIGN CONFIGURATIONS	33
VI.	CONCLUSION	49
	DISTRIBUTION LIST	51

ACCESSIO	N for				
NTIS		Y	• .	'len	
DDC		8 ∷		2.3	ШÌ
UNANNOU	(101D				13
JUSTINCA	(E3)	••••			
DV.]
by Distribu	TION/A	/AII AO	UIY	100	S
BY DISTRIBU Dist. /	TION/A	All AD	UTY Zor	(U)) SPic	S

3

- Alter Alter - Carl Cards - C

and an airson ar an artean an arain an airson ar a' than ar airson an airson thairson tha artean artean an arte

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
1.	Schematic of a Shape Charge Warhead	9
2.	Idealized Schematic of Imploded Hemisphere Collapse.	9
3.	A Schematic of the Computational Setup	10
4.	Configuration and Velocity Field Shortly After Detonation Wave Strikes Liner	13
5.	Pressure Field at an Early Stage	14
6.	Flow and Velocity Fields Shortly Before Jet Formation.	15
7.	Reduced Pressure Field Due to Nonconfinement	16
8.	High Pressure in Liner Due to Compression	19
9.	Early Stage of Jet Formation	20
10.	Early Stage of Jet Elongation	21
11.	Early Stage of Jet Flight	2.2
12.	Jet Elongation and Flight	23
13.	Late Stage of Jet Configuration	24
14.	History of Velocities of Tracer Particles Placed on Inner Liner Surface	25
15.	Velocity Versus Time of Tracers on Axis of Symmetry.	26
16.	Velocity Versus Time of Tracers 36° off Axis of Symmetry	27
17.	Velocity Versus Time of Tracers 72° off Axis of Symmetry	28
18.	Histories of Average Velocity Components of Copper Liner	29
19.	Histories of Liner Energies	30
20.	Jet Velocity vs. Cumulative Mass of Copper Liner	31

5

Preceding Page BLank -

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (CONTINUED)

Figure		Page
21.	View of Jet Being Struck by the Liner Equator at	. 32
22.	Various Charge Configurations Studied	. 35
23.	Initial Computational Setup, Charge 22(b)	. 36
24.	The Plane Detonation Wave Before Striking the Liner charge 22(b)	. 37
25.	Early Stage of Liner Collapse, charge 22(b)	. 38
26.	Late Stage of Liner Collapse, charge 22(b)	. 39
27.	Early Stage of Jet Formation, charge 22(b)	. 40
28.	Jet Formation, charge 22(b)	. 41
29.	Early Jet Elongation, charge 22(b)	. 42
30.	Jet Elongation and Flight, charge 22(b)	. 43
31.	Comparison of Experimental and Computational Results, charge 22(b)	. 44
32.	Jet and Liner Configuration, Charge 22(c)	. 45
33.	Flow Field Configuration, Charge 22(d)	. 46
34.	Jet and Liner Configuration, Charge 22(e)	. 47
35.	Flow Field Configuration, Charge 22(f)	. 48

I. INTRODUCTION

Shaped charge warheads are utilized in a large percentage of military amnunitions. The processes of warhead design, development and testing are rather lengthy, expensive and time consuming. Mathematical modelling and computer simulation of the performance of warhead designs can reduce considerably the amount of proof testing required and eliminate unnecessary development costs. Parametric studies of certain configurations or of proposed design changes can be performed quickly and economically once a basic model has been validated.

Most conventional shaped charge warheads consist of a metallic conical liner with a vertex angle of 30° to 90°, and a cylindrical charge which is molded around it and is point initiated at its end. The resulting detonation wave is approximately planar by the time it strikes the liner and causes the liner to collapse resulting in a low mass high speed jet moving forward and large mass low speed slug moving behind the jet. The mass partition of the different liner elements into jet and slug portions takes place at their respective stagnation points. The theory of jet formation for such warheads was first published in the open literature by Birkhoff, MacDougall, Pugh and Taylor¹ and was later extended by Pugh, Eichelberger and Rostoker². The whole theory was based on simulating the relative motion of the metallic liner during collapse with the steady flow of two jets of water impinging upon each other at the stagnation point. The application of the above theory necessitated assuming the collapse velocity of each liner element or measuring it. Kiwan and Wisnieski enhanced the above theory³ by calculating numerically the collapse velocities, jet and slug characteristics from the explosive properties and the assumed geometry. They demonstrated the procedure by calculating the properties of two wedge shaped charge liners.

Recent interest has been shown in exploiting other technologies to develop new shaped charge warhead designs for future weapons of the 1980s. In this report we shall investigate some designs of interest and study their characteristics through numerical simulation of their performance. The design shown in Figure 1 consists of a hemispherical metallic copper liner and a hemispherical charge

¹G. Birkhoff, D. P. MacDougall, E. M. Pugh, and Sir G. I. Taylor "Explosives with Lined Cavities" J. Appl. Phys. 19(1948) p. 563. ²E. M. Pugh, R. J. Eichelberger, and N. Rostoker "Theory of Jet Formation by Charges with Lined Conical Cavities" J. Appl Phys. 23, (1951) p. 532.

³A. R. Kiwan and H. Wisniewski, "Theory and Computations of Collapse and Jet Velocities of Metallic Shaped Charge Liners" BRL Report No. 1620.

together with an initiation package. The major portion of this study will deal with the hydrodynamic simulation of liner collapse, jet formation, flight and characteristics obtained from the charge shown in Figure 1. Figure 2 shows an idealized schematic of the anticipated liner collapse after being hit by a convergent deconation wave. A typical element E collapses towards the center C with velocity V_c after being hit by the convergent detonation wave. An observer at the pole P sees the element E collapsing towards him with velocity V_r . The liner continually get thicker while it is being compressed, until eventually it starts jetting. The dotted contour shows the actual liner configuration at that time. The equatorial section of the liner is elongating due to the expansion of the detonation products at the unconfined equator.

II. HYDRODYNAMIC CONSIDERATIONS

The hydrodynamic simulation of the collapse, jet formation and characteristics of the above referenced charge is made computationally on the generic charge shown in Figure 3 which does not contain the initiation package referenced in Figure 1. Only half of the charge is shown in Figure 3 due to it being axisymmetric. The HELP code⁴ was employed to simulate computationally the performance of the charge shown in Figure 3.

HELP is a two dimensional finite difference multi -material Eulerian code capable of treating compressible fluids and solids in the hydro and elastic plastic regimes. The conservation equations that are solved in HELP are:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \quad (\rho u_i) \tag{1}$$

$$\rho \frac{Du_{i}}{Dt} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \qquad (\sigma_{ij}) \qquad (2)$$

$$\int \frac{DE_{T}}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \quad (\sigma_{ij})$$
(3)

where x_i denotes the ith Coordinate of position, t the time, ρ denotes the density, u_i the ith velocity component, E_T the total energy. D stands for the total material derivative, σ_{ij} is the stress tensor Dt

⁴L. J. Hageman et al., "HELP A Multi -material Eulerian Program for Compressible Fluid and Elastic - Plastic Flows in Two Space Dimensions and Time" Systems, Science and Software Report 75-2654 (1975).

•



and add to the second second

Figure 1. Schematic of a Shape Charge Warhead





9



Figure 3. A Schematic of the Computational Setup

ช่อ

which can be separated into its hydrostatic stress component (pressure) - δ_{ij} and a stress deviator tensor S_{ij} , i.e.,

$$\sigma_{ij} = S_{ij} - \delta_{ij} p \qquad (4)$$

Equations (1), (2) and (3) are transformed into conservation form⁵ and then put into an equivalent integral form before applying finite difference methods to these equations. The equations that are therefore solved by finite difference methods for each cell of the computational mesh and every computational cycle are:

$$\Delta m = -\Delta t \int \rho u_{i} n_{i} dS \quad (5)$$

$$\Delta (m u_{j}) = \Delta t \int S_{ij} n_{i} dS - \Delta t \int p u_{j} dS - \Delta t \int \rho u_{i} u_{j} n_{i} dS \quad (6)$$

$$S \quad S \quad S \quad S$$

$$\Delta (m E_{T}) = \Delta t \int S_{ij} u_{j} n_{i} dS - \Delta t \int p u_{i} n_{i} dS - \Delta t \int \rho u_{i} E_{T} n_{i} dS. \quad (7)$$

n, denotes the unit vector normal to a surface element dS.

Equations (5) through (7) are supplemented by appropriate initial and boundary conditions to initiate the flow. Material properties are represented in HELP by the Tillotson Equation⁶ of state for inert materials, the ideal gas equation of state, and the JWL equation of state for explosion products. The code also contains a burn routine based on the JWL Equation of state. The above flow equations are integrated in three phases corresponding to the evaluation of the three different types of integrals occuring in these equations and called the SPHASE (Strength phase), the HPHASE (Hydro phase), and the TPHASE (Transport phase).

HELP contains a variety of options such as transmittive and reflective boundary conditions at various grid boundaries. It contains also an artificial viscosity option. Material interfaces are defined in Lagrangian manner and are identified by massless tracer particles. A slide line can also be introduced along a material interface separating two materials which permit them to slide against each other.

⁵P. D. Lax, "Weak Solutions of Nonlinear Hyperbolic Equations and Their Numerical Computation", Comm. on Pure and Applied Math, Vol III, p 159 - 193, (1954).

6J. H. Tillotson, "Metallic Equations of State for Hypervelocity Impact," General Atomic Report GA-3216 (1962).

Although HELP models the strength properties of materials and contains a yield criterion and a failure criterion our calculations will be restricted to the hydrodynamic phase, with only viscous effects incorporated. Our experience with calculations incorporating the SPHASE of the code is limited, and we have not investigated the validity of the model used in that part of the HELP code. Walsh⁷ reported that the results of his calculations using the HELP code in similar problems were not significantly affected when the strength effects were incorporated.

III. COMPUTATIONAL SET UP AND SIMULATION

The computational simulation of the performance of the charge shown in Figure 3 was made in a computational mesh of 50 x 90 cells. Each cell was 0.8 mm x 0.8 mm in the region containing the metallic liner whose thickness is 1.9 mm. The zones were gradually enlarged radially and axially to the end of the mesh. The choice of the mesh size had to be balanced between the desire for accuracy of the solution obtained and the speed of the computations. A coarse mesh size is detrimental to the accuracy of the calculations particularly so if any material region consisted of mixed cells only. A fine mosh enhances the computational accuracy but requires a larger mesh and reduces the magnitude of the time step and thus requires longer computational time to solve a given problem. Ten equally spaced latitude circles (initiation rings) were selected on the outer hemispherical surface of the charge shown in Figure 3. The simultaneous initiation of these rings was considered to simulate reasonably well the initiation process of the actual charge.

Figure 4 shows the liner configuration at t=1.92 µs together with the velocity field. The liner is seen starting to collapse after being hit by the almost convergent detonation wave about 0.46 µs earlier. The lack of confinement on the equatorial plane allows the detonation products to expand rapidly from that surface causing a departure from the idealized collapse depicted in Figure 2. The equatorial section of the liner starts to elongate at the explosive-metal-air-interface. As the equatorial rarefaction wave travels along the surface of the liner towards the polar region the pressures and velocities are reduced. Figure 5 shows the pressure in the flow field which is found to be large and rising in the collapsing liner where it has a maximum value of 0.328 Mbar. About that time (t=1.92 µs) the effect of the rarefaction from the nearest unconfined spherical surface of the charge starts to be felt at the liner surface and the pressure begins to decrease. Figure 6 shows the flow field at $t=5.8 \ \mu s$. The liner is observed to be getting thicker at the pole and elongating further in the equatorial region. The pressure field is seen in Figure 7 to have

⁷J. M. Walsh, private communication.





n i







a takin ku mu ng Viliti ting ng Ministri ng Ministri ng Ministri ng Ministri ng Ministri ng Ministri ng Ministr



15



ł

decreased significantly by that time. The maximum pressure in the liner material is found to be 0.15 Mbar. As the liner collapse advances, the pressure starts to increase again due to liner compression and reaches a maximum value of 0.603 Mbar, at t=9.2 µs as can be seen in Figure 8. The pressure decreases thereafter due to the influence of the equatorial rarefaction and the expansion of liner material arising from jet formation and elongation. The rarefaction wave emanating from the equatorial plane reaches the polar region about $t=7.2 \ \mu s$ and the jet becomes distinguishable after that time. Figures 9 and 10 show the early stages of jet formation. The short arrows show the direction of the local flow velocity in the different layers of liner material. Figures 11 through 13 show the late stages of jet formation and flight. A particularly remarkable feature in those Figures is that the equatorial section of the liner impacts the jet after its initial elongation. Several other features of these jets will be discussed more fully later. Figure 14 shows a plot of the velocity versus time for ten different tracer particles placed on the inside surface of the hemispherical liner, while figures 15 through 17 show plots of velocities versus time of four particles placed across the liner thickness at three different locations, one on the axis of symmetry and the others are placed at locations whose radii make angles of 36° and 72° respectively with the axis of symmetry.

IV. RESULTS AND EXPERIMENTAL COMPARISON

The primary advantage of mathematical modelling and computational simulation of physical problems is the wealth of information available once a successful model has been achieved. The values of the various calculated physical parameters of the problem are available throughout the region of computation in a permanent record form which can subsequently be retrieved and examined. Parametric studies can also be made quickly and sometimes economically. In this section we shall summarize our computational results and compare them with some of the available experimental data. Figure 18 shows a plot of the average velocity components of the metallic liner as a function of time, while Figure 19 shows the different liner energies as functions of time. The initial rarefaction wave arriving at the liner surface due to the nonconfinement of the spherical charge surface reduces the liner acceleration, while the equatorial rarefaction wave causes the jet to become distinguishable. The continued liner compression converts the liner radial momentum to axial momentum. The total liner energy increases rapidly at first and approaches an asymptotic value later on. Figure 20 shows a plot of jet velocity as a function of cumulative mass at various times. The jet mass continually increases as more metal is accelerated to jet velocities.

It was found numerically that about 18.7% of the hemispherical liner forms the jet (i.e., has velocity $\geq 2mm/\mu s$). Experimental measurements estimate the jet mass to be about 18% of the liner mass. The calculations indicate that about 19.9% of the explosive energy is delivered to the copper liner of which 11.8% is in kinetic energy form and 8.1% is in internal energy form. The kinetic energy of the jet is 41% of the total energy of the liner (69% of the kinetic energy of the liner). The jet tip velocity was found to be $6.42mm/\mu s$. Two experimental measurements of jet tip velocity were made at BRL of 7.07mm/ μs and 7.57mm/ μs . In the experimontal tests the hemispherical charge used was 0.151 kg of PBX and the initiation package contained 0.2685 kg of composition B-3. In the computations only the energy from the hemispherical charge was incorporated in the calculations.

If one adds the kinetic energy of the flier plate, in the initiation package, to the explosive energy of the charge then the resulting jet velocities will increase on the average by about 8%. The resultant jet tip velocity will increase to 6.92mm/µs. If in addition one considers the effect of the confinement provided by the flier plate and scale the jet tip velocity according to the values given in columns (a) and (e) of Table 1 of this report, the jet tip velocity will be found to be 7.35mm/µs which is within the range of experimental measurements.

Several important observations can be made from the computations, concerning the jet properties from such systems. No inverse velocity gradient was found in the jet produced by such systems as in the case of most conical systems. The lead particle in the jet has as a result of this a small amount of mass. Examination of Figures 9 and 10 suggests that the jet forms from the liner material located on the inside surface layer of the liner, while the remaining liner material ends up in the slug. This observation is confirmed from an examination of the velocity plots shown in Figures 14 through 17. Figure 14 shows that the entire inside surface layer of the liner reaches terminal jet velocities except for the equatorial portion of that layer. Figures 15 through 17 confirm this observation. The amount of jet mass and kinetic energy from such a system is similar to that obtained from conical systems (same order of magnitude), although their distributions along the jet length appear to be different. X-rays from early experimental tests showed a part of the jet to be missing. It was thought at the time that that part of the copper jet vaporized. The calculations shown in Figures 11 and 12 show the correct interpretation. It is clear from those figures that the equatorial portion of the hemispherical liner after initially stretching, moves inwards and pinches off the jet. X-rays of the jet at early times were then taken in subsequent test firings which confirmed the theoretical predictions. Figure 21 shows the jet obtained from one of the experimental test firings. The equatorial protion of the liner is seen in the process of pinching off the jet.

18







のないので、「「「「「「「」」」」





urtilitilihinnis a Anteu Militili









ĩ,









B Links



himming and the

ร้าง รากสารทางมากิจากกิจขางหรือสารที่มีการไม้มีร้างได้การที่มีหารีน้ำมีก็จะสำคัญสารที่สารและสารที่สารที่สารที่ส

Figure 18. Histories of Average Velocity Components of Copper Liner



£

Figure 19. Histories of Liner Energies







V. COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT DESIGN CONFIGURATIONS

The above numerical study dealt exclusively with the hemispherical liner collapse under implosion and the jet obtained from the shaped charge configuration shown in Figure 22(a). In this section we shall compare briefly the collapse and jet properties of the charges shown in Figures 22(b) through 22(f) with the above studied charge 22(a).

The charge shown in Figure 22(b) is cylindrical in shape, contains the same mass of explosive as the previous case, but is initiated at its end by a plane wave. Figure 23 shows the computational setup, while Figure 24 shows the plane detonation wave at $t = 2.2\mu s$ shortly before striking the liner. Figures 25 and 26 show the early stages of the liner collapse in this case. Figures 27 and 28 show the early stages of jet formation for this case, while Figures 29 and 30 show the late stage jet configurations.

It is apparent from examining Figures 23 through 30 and the rest of the computational plots (not shown) that the liner turns inside out in this case. The resulting jet from this shaped charge design, which is defined to be that part of the collapsed liner with velocities $\geq 2mm/\mu s$, is seen to be a short thick jet. Some instability is seen to occur near the base of the slug. The jet takes a longer time to form, its mass is about the same as the previous case 22(a) $(11.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{kg})$, but is considerably slower moving, the jet tip velocity being only (3.6mm/us). The total energy communicated to the liner is $(8.9 \times 10^4 J)$ which is about 52% of the energy communicated to the liner in the previous case 22(a). Figure 31, views (a) through (c), show the jet obtained experimentally from a copper hemisphere whose radius is 1.9 cms with a cylindrical charge which is point initiated at its end along the axis of symmetry. Figure 31(d) shows our computational prediction of the liner configuration at t = 28.7µs after initiation for the charge shown in Figure 22(b) with a plane wave as described above. The similarity between the experimental and computational results is encouraging and provides some validation of our computational model.

The charge shown in 22(c) is similar to 22(a) except that some of the explosive in the equatorial region was shaved off in the hope that the equatorial portion of the liner will take a longer time before it interrupts the jet. The interruption of the jet was delayed about 6μ s, but it was not possible to eliminate it. The jet obtained in this case was similar to that obtained from 22(a). Table 1 contains the characteristics of the jets obtained from charges 22(a) through 22(f), while Figures 32 through 35 show some profiles of the jets and liners obtained from charges 22(c) through 22(f) respectively at the times indicated on the Figures.

Tabl	.е	Ι.	Summary	of	the	Computati	lonal	Results.
------	----	----	---------	----	-----	-----------	-------	----------

CHARGES	GEOMETRY						
FIGURE 22	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d) *	(0)	(f)	
Jet Mass (Kg)							
x10 ³	11.7	11.6	11.8	9.6	12.6	18.3	
Jet Tip Velocity (mm/µs)	6.4	3.6	5.0	6.1	6.8	5.7	
Kinetic Energy of Jet (J) x10 ⁻⁴	6.36	3.72	5.81	5.74	8.35	9.83	
Total Liner Energy (J)							
x10 ⁻⁵	1.68	0.89	1.63	1.17	1.94	2.90	

The charge shown in Figure 22(d) has an iron flange 6.35 mm (0.25 in) thick to confine the expansion of the detonation products at the equatorial surface of the charge and delay the rarefaction emanating from that surface. The results of that computation are summarized in column 4 of Table 1, however those numbers listed are believed to be in error because parts of the jet flowed out of the computational mesh at the top transmittive boundary of the computational mesh before stablizing into a final state. Normally such a problem is avoided through constant monitoring of the calculations and a timely rezoning of the computational mesh. The numerical results given in column (d) should therefore be considered as lower bounds for this case. In the numerical simulation of the performance of this configuration, the detonation gases were not allowed to expand between the liner and the flange as it is the case in practice. It was observed in the computational model that the flange prevents the jet disruption by the liner's equator. Figure 33 shows the flow field configuration at $t = 13.49 \mu s$.

The charge shown in 22(e) is similar to 22(a) but has a confining case on the outer surface of the charge. The jet obtained in this case has 31% more kinetic energy than the unconfined charge 22(a). The jet has a larger mass and a faster jet tip velocity. A larger portion of the explosive energy is communicated to the liner in this case. The results of this computation are summarized in column 5

*The results reported in this column are inaccurate due to a computational oversight.







Figure 22. Various Charge Configurations Studied







is 1









in i S

















(c)

(d)

















of Table 1. Unfortunately the equatorial section of the liner interrupts the jet at an early stage of its formation. Figure 34 shows the liner configuration at $t = 12.85 \mu s$.

The charge shown in 22(f) has a 3.81 mm (0.150 in) thick liner which is double the thickness of the liners used in the previous cases. The charge thickness was also increased to 24.13 mm (0.95 in). The initiation points were distributed over a 120° sector of the charge surface instead of the 180° hemispherical surface. The calculations revealed that the equatorial portion of the liner interrupts the jet about 18µs after initiation. The jet has more mass, but the jet the velocity is slightly lower than case (a). A summary of the results in this case is given in column 6 of Table 1, and Figure 35 shows the flow field configuration in this case at t = 13.02µs.

VI. CONCLUSION

The above study explained the collapse and jet formation processes of a hemispherical liner with a hemispherical charge which is surface imploded. It is clear from the preceding study that the collapse and jet formation processes are fundamentally different from those of a cone. The various hemispherical liner elements converge towards a single point as they collapse. The jet forms due to the compression of liner material which squeezes out the jet materials as in an extrusion process. Conical liners collapse along a line. The study has shown that the jet originates from the inner surface layer of liner material. It has been shown that such jets have no inverse velocity gradients. The above study revealed the cause of the jet pinchoff as being due to the equatorial portion of the liner striking the jet. The design modifications discussed in Figures 22(c) and 22(d) alleviate this problem.

The second part of the study dealt with the effects of variations of the charge geometry and initiation mode as in charge 22(b) and dealt with the effects of confinement, and variations of the charge and liner thicknesses. The study of charge 22(b) revealed that the hemispherical liner turns inside out in this case and forms a short, thick, and slow moving jet which might be suitable for use against a certain class of targets.

In conclusion the above computational study has provided a wealth of quantitative values of various physical parameters most of which agree quite well with experimental measurements. This agreement in addition to the qualitative agreement of our computations with experimental results provides validation of our computational model and reveals the benefits of such studies as a design tool. In practice many experimental design parameters were based on similar hydrodynamic computations. Such computational studies can often be made quickly, economically and can result in considerable financial and time savings.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to acknowledge several helpful suggestions by Dr. Philip Howe and Dr. Clifford Aseltine who also provided some of the experimental data. Special thanks are due to Mr. V. Boyle for providing the photograph shown as Figure 21.

50

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies <u>Organization</u>

- 12 Commander Defense Documentation Center ATTN: DDC-TCA Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314
 - Director of Defense Research and Engineering ATTN: Tech Lib, Rm 3D-1039 Washington, DC 20301
 - 1 Director Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency ATTN: Dr. Ernest F. Blaze 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22209
- 1 Commander US Army Materiel Development and Readiness Command ATTN: DRCDMA-ST 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333
 - 1 Commander US Army Aviation Research and Development Command ATTN: DRSAV-E 12th and Spruce Streets St. Louis, MO 63166
 - 1 Director US Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory Ames Research Center Moffett Field, CA 94035
 - 1 Commander US Army Electronics Command ATTN: DRSEL-RD Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

No. of Copies

Organization

- 3 Commander US Army Missile Research and Development Command ATTN: DRDMI-R Mr. B. Cobb Mr. R. Masucci Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809
- 1 Commander US Army Tank Automotive Research & Dev Command ATTN: DRDTA-RWL Warren, MI 48090
- 2 Commander US Army Mobility Equipment Research & Development Command ATTN: Tech Docu Cen, Bldg. 315 DRSME-RZT Fort Belvoir, VA 22060
- 1 Commander US Army Armament Materiel Readiness Command ATTN: DRSAR-LEP-L (Tech Lib) Rock Island, IL 61201
- 6 Commander
 US Army Armament Research and Development Command
 ATTN: Dr. N. Clark
 Mr. G. Randers-Pehrson
 Mr. J. Pearson
 Mr. J. Hershkowitz
 Mr. A. Anzalone
 Tech Lib
 Dover, NJ 07801
- Commander US Army Harry Diamond Labs ATTN: DRXDO-TI 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

> 3 Commander US Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center ATTN: DRXMR-ATL, W. Woods DRXMR-T, J. Mescall Tech Lib Watertown, MA 02172

Organization

- 1 Director US Army TRADOC Systems Analysis Activity ATTN: ATAA-SL (Tech Lib) White Sands Missile Range NM 88002
- Assistant Secretary of The Army (R&D)
 ATTN: Asst for Research Washington, DC 20310
- 2 HQDA (DAMA-ZA; DAMA-AR) Washington, DC 20310
- 1 Commander US Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park NC 27709
- 2 Commander US Naval Air Systems Command ATTN: Code AIR-310 Code AIR-350 Washington, DC 20360
- 1 Commander US Naval Ordnance Sys Command 1 ATTN: Code ORD-0332 Washington, DC 20360

No. of

- <u>Copies</u> <u>Organization</u>
 - 2 Chief of Naval Research ATTN: Code 427 Code 470 Department of the Navy Washington, DC 20325
 - Commander US Naval Surface Weapons Center ATTN: Dr. H. Sternburg Dr. Walker Dr. J. Coughlin Code 730, Lib Silver Spring, MD 20910
 - 2 Commander US Naval Surface Weapons Center ATTN: Code DG-50, K.Bannister Tech Lib Dahlgren, VA 22448
 - 1 Commander US Naval Weapons Center ATTN: Code 45, Tech Lib China Lake, CA 93555
 - 1 Commander US Naval Research Laboratory Washington, DC 20375
 - 1 USAF/AFRDDA Washington, DC 20330
 - 1 AFSC/SDW Andrews AFB Washington, DC 20331
 - US Air Force Academy ATTN: Code FJS-RJ (NC) Tech Lib Colorado Springs, CO 80840
 - 1 AFATL/DLJW, Mr. Beech Eglin AFB, FL 32542

52

i ta 18 19 milio - Angli Charles, angli Charles 19 milio - Angli Charles, angli Charles 19 milio - Angli Charles, angli Charles

No. of	1
Copies	Organization
1	AFWL (SUL, LT Tennant) Kirtland AFB, NM 87116
1	AFAL/AVW Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
1	AFLC/MMWMC Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
1	Director US Bureau of Mines ATTN: Mr. R. Watson 4800 Forbes Street Pittsburgh, PA 15213
6	Director Lawrence Radiation Laboratory ATTN: Dr. M. Wilkins Dr. J. Kury E. D. Giroux Dr. E. Lee Dr. H. Hornig Tech Lib P. O. Box 808 Livermore, CA 94550
1	Director

- National Aeronautics and Space Administration Langley Research Center Langley Station Hampton, VA 23365
- 1 Director National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center 2100 Brookpark Road Cleveland, OH 44135

No. of

- Copies Organization
 - Lockheed Missiles and Space Company ATTN: Mr. J. E. May 55-80 Bldg. 57 P. O. Box 504 Sunnyvale, VA 94088
 - 2 Physics International Corp ATTN: Dr. C. Godfrey L. Berhaman 2700 Merced Street San Leandro, CA 94577
 - 2 Sandia Laboratories ATTN: Dr. W. Herrman Dr. L. Bertholf Albuquerque, NM 87115
 - Shock Hydrodynamics ATTN: Dr. L. Zernow 4710-4716 Vineland Avenue North Hollywood, CA 91602
 - Systems, Science & Software ATTN: Dr. R. Sedgwick
 P. O. Box 1620
 La Jolla, CA 92037
 - 2 Drexel Institute of Technology Wave Propagation Research Ctr ATTN: Prof. P. Chou Dr. J. Carleone 32nd & Chestnut Streets Philadelphia, PA 19104
 - 1 Stanford Research Institute ATTN: Dr. A. Florence Poulter Laboratories Menlo Park, CA 94025

53

15 2 17 **1** 18 **1**

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

Organization

- University of California
 Los Alamos Scientific Lab
 ATTN: Dr. R. Karpp
 Dr. J. Walsh
 Tech Lib
 P. O. Box 1663
 Los Alamos, NM 87545
- 1 Washington State University Department of Physics ATTN: Prof. G. Duvall Pullman, WA 99163

Aberdeen Proving Ground

Marine Corps Ln Ofc Dir, USAMSAA

54