UNCLASSIFI		APPI	-90-42	3-200			-		-	-	NL	
OF AD 50479			Port Date		VELOCIONAL		PIENOSSIDE	CONSCIENCE CONSCI				
								NEW SCHOOL				
			anteriore anteri			Aller 1		and a		Arrist Bring	And	
					A Contraction of the second se		And					
END DATE FILMED 3 -78 DDC	3											
9												
DATE FILMED 3-78	3											



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ar . The balance of an actuary set instance fature and a set in the set of a set of the set of the

PAGE NUMBER

PREFACE	3
SUMMARY OF RESULTS	4
INTRODUCTION	6
INVENTORY DEVELOPMENT AND ADMINISTRATION	6
CAREER LADDER STRUCTURE	8
ANALYSIS OF DAFSC GROUPS	13
AFR 39-1 COMPARISON TO SURVEY DATA	19
ANALYSIS OF CONUS/OVERSEAS DIFFERENCES	20
ANALYSIS OF TASK DIFFICULTY	22
SUMMARY OF BACKGROUND INFORMATION	25
COMPARISON OF THE SPECIALTY TRAINING STANDARD (STS) TO THE SURVEY DATA	29
COMPARISON OF CURRENT SURVEY TO PREVIOUS SURVEY	30
DISCUSSION OF SURVEY FINDINGS	31
APPENDIX A	32

DESSION 1	
TIS	White Section
36	Buil Section D
MANHOUNCE	0
ESTIFICATI	04
BY DISTRIBUT	HON /AYAHABHLITY CODES
BY DISTRIBUT Riel.	TION AVAILABILITY CODES AVAIL BEG/M SPECIAL

PREFACE

This report presents the results of a detailed Air Force Occupational Survey of the Aircrew Egress Systems career ladder (AFSCs 42332, 42352, 42372, and 42396). This project was directed by USAF Program Technical Training, Volume 2, dated April 1976. Authority for conducting occupational surveys is contained in AFR 35-2. Computer outputs from which this report was produced are available for use by operating and training officials.

The survey instrument was developed by Mr. Jim Slovak, Inventory Development Specialist. Captain Jerry M. Barucky analyzed the survey data and wrote the final report. This report has been reviewed and approved by Major Walter F. Kasper, Chief, Airman Career Ladders Analysis Section, Occupational Survey Branch, USAF Occupational Measurement Center, Lackland AFB, Texas, 78236.

Computer programs for analyzing the occupational data ware designed by Dr. Raymond E. Christal, Occupational and Manpower Research Division, Air Force Human Resources Laboratory (AFHRL), and were written by the Project Analysis and Programming Branch, Computational Sciences Division, AFHRL.

Copies of this report are available to air staff sections, major commands, and other interested training and management personnel upon request to the USAF Occupational Measurement Center, attention of the Chief, Occupational Survey Branch (OMY), Lackland AFB, Texas 78236.

This report has been reviewed and is approved.

JAMES A. TURNER, JR., Col, USAF Commander USAF Occupational Measurement Center WALTER E. DRISKILL, Ph.D. Chief, Occupational Survey Branch USAF Occupational Measurement Center

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

1. <u>Survey Coverage</u>: Inventory booklets were administered to Aircrew Egress Systems career ladder incumbents during the period February through May 1977. Survey results are based on responses from 798 of the 1,410 incumbents holding DAFSCs 42332, 42352, 42372, and 42396. This represents 57 percent of all assigned personnel.

2. <u>Career Ladder Structure</u>: Analysis of the career ladder structure revealed 10 major groupings of jobs. A majority of the groupings are associated with specific aircraft egress systems. The various types of jobs within each major group are generally differentiated by experience and supervisory responsibilities.

3. <u>Career Ladder Progression</u>: In general, 5-, 7-, and 9-skill level respondents are distinguished by the percentage of supervisory tasks that they perform. Although 5-skill level personnel are involved in some first-line supervisory responsibilities, they primarily perform technical duties. The 7-skill level respondents perform a smaller percentage of technical tasks, with approximately 40 percent of their time being spent on supervisory responsibilities. In their positions as branch chiefs, most of the 9-skill level personnel spend about 90 percent of their time performing managerial or supervisory tasks.

4. <u>AFR 39-1 Evaluation</u>: Although the specialty job descriptions for all skill levels are generally accurate, the 5-skill level description could more clearly emphasize the control and handling of cartridges and other explosive devices. In addition, it should be made more apparent in the 7-skill level description that 7-skill level personnel perform many of the same technical tasks performed by 5-skill level respondents.

5. <u>STS Review</u>: Although the task statements in the STS are very broad, they appear to cover the types of tasks performed by members of the 423X2 career ladder. The coding of sections on the escape hatch system and the module system to a 1b proficiency level may merit reconsideration since no more than 11 percent of the first-term personnel perform any of the tasks specifically related to those systems.

6. Job Satisfaction: Forty-three percent of the survey respondents indicated that they found their job interesting and fifty-six percent indicated that their talents were being used at least fairly well. These figures are lower than the average for first-term airmen in 20 career ladders surveyed in 1976. However, a greater percentage of the 423X2 first-term respondents (87 percent) felt their training was being used at least fairly well than did the first-term respondents in the larger 1976 normative sample (79 percent).

7. <u>Reenlistment</u>: Fifty-four percent of the first-term 423X2 respondents indicated plans to reenlist, while forty-three percent of firstterm airmen in 20 career ladders surveyed in 1976 expressed the same intentions. The actual reenlistment rate for eligible, 423X2 first-term personnel was also higher (50 percent) than the Air Force-wide rate (39 percent) for eligible first-termers in FY 1977.

in the second state of state of the second o

And the second set found the second set is an average separation is an address the last found the second set is and set is reaction that is a second set of the second set is the second set is a both set is an average of the second set is the second set both set is an average of the second set is the second the both set is an average of the second set is the second the second set is a second set is the second the second the second set is a second set is the second the second second set is a second set is a second set is the second second set is a second set is the second the second second set is a second set is a second the second second set is a second set is a second the second second set is a second set is a second the second second second set is a second set is the second the second sec

the first set of the first of the first of the first set of the first set

OCCUPATIONAL SURVEY REPORT AIRCREW EGRESS SYSTEMS CAREER LADDER (AFSC's 42332, 42352, 42372, 42396)

INTRODUCTION

This is a report of an occupational survey of the Aircrew Egress Systems career ladder (AFSC 423X2) completed by the Occupational Survey Branch, USAF Occupational Measurement Center in December 1977. The previous occupational survey of this career ladder was published during February 1973.

Since the last occupational survey was completed, two classification changes have occurred. In April 1976, AFS 422X2 was converted to AFS 423X2, and the 42292 personnel combined with 9-skill level personnel from other career ladders to form the 42396 AFSC. Despite these changes, this present survey report reflects that the duties and tasks performed by the members of this career ladder have remained relatively stable over the past four years. An additional change of AFSC 42396 to 42399 occurred since the survey was administered and is not reflected in this report.

This report describes (1) development and administration of the survey instrument; (2) summaries of the tasks performed by airmen grouped by skill level and similarity of tasks performed; (3) comparisons with current career field structure documents; and (4) recommended actions for further study.

INVENTORY DEVELOPMENT AND ADMINISTRATION

The data collection instrument for this occupational survey was USAF Job Inventory AFPT 90-423-268, which was developed via a thorough validation and updating of the 1973 task list. The validation process included research of old survey data and write-in comments, examination of current publications and directives, personal interviews with 15 subject-matter-specialists at three bases, and written reviews from 49 experienced aircrew egress system incumbents. This process resulted in a current inventory of 422 tasks grouped under 12 duty headings.

During the period February through May 1977, consolidated base personnel offices in operational units worldwide administered the inventory booklets to job incumbents in the aircrew egress systems specialty. Table 1 reflects the percentage distribution, by major command, of

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

assigned personnel in the career ladder as of December 1976. Also reflected is the distribution, by major command, of incumbents in the final survey sample. The 798 respondents making up the final sample represent 57 percent of the 1,410 members assigned to the Aircrew Egress Systems career ladder. This sampling of incumbents is considered to be an adequate and representative sample of the overall population.

TABLE 1

COMMAND REPRESENTATION OF 423X2 SURVEY SAMPLE

COMMAND	PERCENT OF ASSIGNED	PERCENT OF SAMPLE
TAC	37	38 22
USAFE ATC	19 10	11
PACAF SAC	7 12	7 10
ADCOM AFSC	6	6
AAC	2	i i
AFLC OTHER	15	2

Total 423X2 Incumbents Assigned - 1,410 Total 423X2 Incumbents Sampled - 798 Percent of 423X2 Incumbents Sampled - 57%

7

Analise the period of the second through May 157. Created the under a second the second the second the second the second to be second to

CAREER LADDER STRUCTURE

The analysis of the 423X2 career ladder structure is designed to identify the major types of work being performed by job incumbents and includes an examination of both job descriptions and background data of each job group. This analysis is made possible by the Comprehensive Occupational Data Analysis Programs (CODAP), which generate a hierarchical clustering of all jobs based on the similarity of tasks performed and relative time-spent ratings.

Based on this task similarity, the most realistic division of jobs performed in the aircrew egress systems (423X2) career ladder is that illustrated in Figure 1. The major job groups identified were as follows:

- I. Supervisory Personnel (GRP057)
- II. F-4 Egress System Repairmen (GRP048)
- III. B-52 Egress System Repairmen (GRP078)
- IV. T-33, T-37, T-38, F-106 Egress System' Repairmen (GRP081)
- V. A-7, A-10 Egress System Repairmen (GRP108)
- VI. F-4 Egress System Inspectors (GRP050)
- VII. F-15, OV-10 Egress System Repairmen (GRP054)
- VIII. F-4 Egress System Flightline Specialists (GRP059)
- IX. F-lll Egress System Repairmen (GRP087)
 - X. Training Instructors (GRP021)

Ninety-three percent of the respondents in the sample were found to perform jobs roughly equivalent to those described in the ten major groupings listed. The remaining seven percent of the sample included members whose jobs were not distinctly associated with any one of these major groupings. These individuals were found to represent commands and AFSCs fairly equally and to share no single common characteristic beyond the performance of relatively few tasks.

Group Descriptions

The following paragraphs contain brief descriptions of the 10 major groups which constitute the Aircrew Egress Systems career ladder.



Complete summaries of background information and representative tasks for each of these groups and for subgroups (job types) within these major groups can be found in Appendix A. The GRP numbers used in conjunction with each group in both the narrative and Appendix A are references to computer printed information (EXTRACT) forwarded to some users for additional analysis in support of classification or training decisions.

I. <u>Supervisory Personnel (GRP057)</u>. The respondents in this group represent all commands and divide basically into two subgroups depending upon their level of supervision. The first subgroup consists primarily of 9-skill level supervisors who occupy positions as branch chiefs of the aerospace systems branch or aerospace ground equipment branch. Their jobs focus almost exclusively on supervisory tasks such as counseling personnel, evaluating work performance of subordinates, planning improved work methods, or assigning personnel to duty positions. Very few technical tasks are performed by these incumbents.

The other subgroup of supervisors, however, is made up primarily of NCOIC's or assistant NCOIC's in the egress shop. Although these 7-skill level personnel spend a majority of their time performing supervisory tasks such as preparing airman performance reports (APRs) or demonstrating maintenance methods or procedures, they also perform technical tasks as well. Approximately 40 percent of their time is spent on such tasks as performing quality inspections of egress systems maintenance, removing or installing ejection seats, or inspecting mechanical, gas-fired initiators.

F-4 Egress System Repairmen (GRP048). This group is the п. largest and most diverse of the major clusters that make up the 423X2 career ladder. Consisting of 402 members (50% of the sample), this group divides into subgroups primarily on the relative amount of supervision and experience indicated by the respondents and the number of tasks performed. Working on the most complex of the various egress systems, all F-4 respondents spend a large portion of their time removing or installing, inspecting, or adjusting and aligning the compo-nent parts of the ejection seat system or the unique air-operated canopy systems. As F-4 egress shops tend to be some of largest in the Air Force, a large percentage of these incumbents also have the opportunity to exercise first-level supervisory skills as team chiefs, production inspectors ("red-x" men), or shift supervisors. In these capacities, they perform tasks such as performing quality inspections on egress systems maintenance or supervising 3- or 5-skill level per-In addition, a portion of this F-4 group is made up of sonnel. NCOIC's of F-4 egress shops. These respondents tended to cluster together with the F-4 repairmen rather than with other NCOIC's in the supervisory cluster because NCOIC's of F-4 shops indicate involvement in a heavy concentration of F-4 system specific technical tasks.

III. <u>B-52 Egress System Repairmen (GRP078)</u>. Working primarily for SAC in relatively small egress shops, B-52 egress system repairmen are a small, fairly homogeneous group of E-3 and E-4 personnel. Working on the only major aircraft system that still employs escape hatches, these respondents differ from other egress sytem repair personnel in that they perform no work on canopy systems. Instead, members spend 18 percent of their time on tasks related to the hatch ejection system. Thus, although 51 percent of their time is still related to maintaining ejection seat systems, such tasks as remove or replace escape hatches, remove or install tail turret escape systems, or perform operational checks of downward ejection seats distinguish them from other groups.

IV. T-33, T-37, T-38, F-106 Egress System Repairmen (GRP081). Assigned primarily to ATC and ADCOM, the respondents in this group Of the 76 members in this group, 44 work on the T-37, 43 work on the T-38, 28 work on the T-33, and 20 work on the F-106. Eighteen personnel working on the F-106 also work on the T-33, and 36 members working on the T-37 also work on the T-38.

In general, the respondents of this overall group spend 49 percent of their time maintaining ejection seat systems and 20 percent of their time maintaining canopy systems. Some of the tasks which differentiate this group from the large F-4 egress system group are associated with ejection seat rotary actuators, ejection seat headrests, or ejection seat shoulder harnesses. Conversely, members of this group do not work on ejection seat buckets or main beams or on the airoperated canopy system that is associated with the F-4 egress system. In addition to a core of 5-skill level technicians that makes up the largest portion (35 percent) of this group, three other subgroups are identifiable based on supervisory tasks, experience level, or a specific combination of aircraft egress systems worked on.

V. <u>A-7, A-10 Egress System Repairmen (GRP108)</u>. This group of 5-skill level respondents is assigned primarily to TAC and is relatively junior in rank and experience. All but one of these airmen are still in their first enlistment. Sixty percent of their time is spent maintaining ejection seat systems and 13 percent is spent maintaining canopy systems. The tasks that seem to differentiate these incumbents from other groups are associated with the removal, installation, or inspection of seat/man separation bladders and escape system nitrogen bottles. Despite the fact that this group averages fewer tasks (68) than most of the other major aircraft specific groups, they express the greatest interest in their jobs.

VI. <u>F-4 Egress System Inspectors (GRP050)</u>. The five members of this group are differentiated from the main F-4 group because they do a very small number of tasks. A majority of these tasks deal with the inspection of various components of the ejection seat system. Although this group averages 32 months in the career field, three members had less than 10 months experience, the fourth was assigned

to a phase maintenance crew, and the fifth member had recently crosstrained into the F-4 egress system and had not yet attended the FTD course. Consequently, all five were oriented more to in-shop tasks and especially the inspection of ejection seat components.

VII. <u>F-15</u>, OV-10 Egress System Repairmen (GRP054). This group is made up of respondents who work exclusively on either the F-15 or OV-10 egress systems. Because the canopy systems on these two aircraft have no ballistics, very little time is spent on canopy ejection systems. The respondents of this group perform an average of only 36 tasks, with 73 percent of these tasks dealing with maintaining ejection seat systems. Tasks such as inspecting ejection seat survival kits, inspecting seat/man separation bladders, or removing or installing ejection seat aircrew personnel parachutes are typical for this group.

VIII. <u>F-4 Egress System Flightline Specialists (GRP059)</u>. The members of this group are relatively inexperienced personnel who perform the smallest average number of tasks (26) of any of the groups identified. With an average of only 11 months in the career field, these respondents spend 85 percent of their time maintaining ejection seat systems. Most of their tasks are involved with removing or installing parts of the ejection seat. Very little time is spent on the canopy system or on inspecting or adjusting and aligning parts of the egress system.

IX. F-lll Egress System Repairmen (GRP087). Assigned primarily to TAC and USAFE, the members in this group work on an advanced module egress system that clearly differentiates their job from those of other aircraft-specific groups. Fifty-four percent of their time is spent maintaining this module system, and, consequently, very little time is spent maintaining ejection seat or canopy systems. Tasks performed by these respondents include removing or installing module seats, inspecting module bilge pumps, inspecting shielded mild detonating cords (SMDC), or removing or installing pyrotechnic panels. This group is composed of two subgroups: one made up of first-line supervisors and one of regular technicians who do relatively few supervisory tasks. This subgroup of technicians perform fewer tasks (55) than do their counterparts in any other major aircraft-specific group except the F-15, OV-10 group and also report the lowest job interest, with 62 percent describing their job as dull.

X. Training Instructors (GRP021). Composed primarily of experienced 7-skill level personnel, this group is made up of respondents who are instructors at both the ATC resident technical training school and the field training detachments. As there is a large number of aircraft-specific FTD initial training courses, many of the technical tasks taught by each of these respondents are not very similar to those taught by other members of the group. However, members of this group spend 56 percent of their time on similar training-related tasks such as developing or updating training aids, writing or revising training materials, or administering or scoring tests.

ANALYSIS OF DAFSC GROUPS

The survey respondents of the Aircrew Egress Systems career ladder, as indicated by Table 2, spend a majority of their time on tasks related to maintaining ejection seat (40%) and canopy (15%) systems. Of the 50 most time-consuming tasks, 36 are related to removing or installing, adjusting or aligning, inspecting, or performing operational checks of various parts of ejection seat systems. Table 3 hists those tasks performed by 60 percent or more of all 423X2 personnel and verifies that the most commonly performed tasks are those just cited.

A comparison of the tasks performed by 5- and 7-skill level airmen reveals a distinct difference in supervisory responsibilities. Five-skill level respondents are occasionally engaged in some supervision as team chiefs, "red-x" men, or even shift supervisors. In these positions, their tasks include performing final or quality inspections, supervising 3-skill level incumbents, and conducting on-the-job training (OJT). However, a large majority of their time is devoted to technical tasks such as those outlined in Table 3. Seven-skill level respondents, on the other hand, occupy most of the egress shop NCOIC or assistant NCOIC positions and a majority of the training instructor and shift supervisor jobs. In these capacities, they are more heavily involved than the 5-skill level respondents in supervisory tasks such as evaluating work performance of subordinates, demonstrating maintenance methods or procedures, or supervising 5-skill level incumbents. Although 7-skill level personnel also perform technical tasks associated with removing, installing, or inspecting various parts of the ejection seat, canopy, hatch, or advanced module systems, the fact that they spend an equal amount of time in supervisory roles differentiates them from the 5-skill level respondents. Table 4 reflects this difference.

As shown in Table 5, 9-skill level respondents spend over 90 percent of their time preparing forms, records, or reports or performing such supervisory duties as planning and organizing, directing and implementing, evaluating, or training. They are usually assigned to higher level positions such as superintendent or NCOIC of an aerospace systems branch or a ground equipment branch. In these positions, they spend very little time on technical tasks, and, as illustrated in Table 5, it is this heavy concentration on supervisory tasks that differentiates the 9-skill level from the 7-skill level respondents.

Because of a rather large number of career ladders that combine at the 9-skill level, many of the 9-skill level respondents have no background in egress systems. Of the 92 survey respondents holding the 9-skill level, 30 reported that they had taken no courses related to egress systems repair. A closer examination of these 9-skill level members indicated that those who had completed egress systems courses spent approximately the same amount of time on the various egress system duties as did their 9-skill level counterparts who had completed no courses (see Table 6).

PERCENT TIME SPENT ON DUTIES BY 423X2 DAFSC GROUPS

E.	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=798)	42332 (N=50)	DAFSC 42352 (N=433)	DAFSC 42372 (N=200)	DAFSC 42396 (N=92)
PLANNING AND ORGANIZING	9	r	8	8	22
DIRECTING AND INPLANDATING	9	1	e	00	I
IVALUATING	4	•	1	9	14
	5	2	2	6	6
PREPARING FORMS, RECORDS, OR REPORTS	12	4	80	15	29
INSPECTING AND PERFONNING QUALITY CONTROL	2	1	8	m	5
MAINTAINING AIRCREW BORESS SYSTEMS	9	9	2	9	1
MAINTAINING CANOPY SYSTEMS	15	18	19	EL	1
HALINTAINING EJECTION SEAT SYSTEMS	40	64	50	29	2
HAINTAINING HATCH EJECTION SYSTEMS	1	•	1	1	•
HAINTAINING NODULE OR ADVANCED EGRESS SYSTEMS	e	2	4	~	•
NAINTAINING EXTRACTION SYSTEMS	•	1	1	•	•

14

TASKS PERFORMED BY 60% OR MORE OF ALL 423X2 PERSONNEL

		PERFORMING
8	REMOVE OR INSTALL EGRESS SYSTEMS BALLISTIC OR PNEUMATIC LINES, TUBES, OR HOSES	11
2	ARM OR DISARM EJECTION SEATS	11
11	REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEATS	75
11	REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT LAP BELTS	75
27	INSPECT EJECTION SEAT INERTIAL REELS. LINKAGES. OR CONTROLS	74
25	PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF SEAT INERTIAL REELS	
20	ADJUST OR ALIGN INTRUTIAL REELS. LINKAGES. OR CONTROLS	73
n	CLEAN OR LUBRICATE SEAT SYSTEMS	73
25	INSPECT BUBCTION SEAT LAP BELTS	73
2	REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT INERTIAL REELS. LINKAGES. OR CONTROLS	72
88	REPOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT ACTUATORS	69
75	REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT MECHANICAL GAS FIRED INITIATORS	99
22	INSPECT RJECTION SEAT FIRING LINKAGES	65
26	PERFORM TCTO MODIFICATIONS TO EJECTION SEAT SYSTEMS	65
33	INITIATE OR REVIEW REPARABLE ITEM PROCESSING TAG FORMS (AFTO FORM 350)	64
38	NANUALLY OPERATE CANOPY SYSTEMS	
17	INITIATE OR REVIEW MAINTENANCE DATA COLLECTION RECORD FORMS (AFTO FORM 349	62
18	REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT SURVIVAL KITS	62
14	INSPECT EJECTION SEAT ACTUATORS	62
133	3 INSPECT EJECTION SEAT SHOULDER HARNESSES	61
37	INSPECT NECHANICAL GAS FIRED INITIATORS	61

.

.

TASKS WHICH BEST DIFFERENTIATE BETWEEN 42352 AND 42372 PERSONNEL (PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING)

LASK		42352	42372	DIFFERENCE
	EL PERSONNEL ON PERSONAL OR MILITARY RELATED PROBLEMS	21	8	-63
CL3 PREPA	PREPARE AIRWAN PERFORMANCE REPORTS (APRs)	5	82	-62
	ATE WORK PERFORMANCE OF SUBORDINATES	20	62	-59
	VALUATE QUALIFICATIONS OF SUBORDINATES	12	20	-58
H	NITIATE OR ANNOTATE ON-THE-JOB TRAINING RECORD FORMS			
1	(AF FORM 623)	19	75	-56
AZ ADVIS	UDVISE MAINTENANCE OFFICER OR BRANCH CHIEF ON BGRESS SYSTEMS			
		14	68	-54
H	NITIATE OR REVIEW RECORD OF INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING FORMS	12	65	-53
D9 COUNS	OUNSEL INDIVIDUALS ON TRAINING PROGRESS	16	69	-53
	VALUATE COMPLIANCE WITH WORK STANDARDS	12	65	-53
S	UPERVISE AIRCREW EGRESS SYSTEMS MECHANICS (42252)			
	(NEW APSC 42352)	33	48	-51

TASKS WHICH BEST DIFFERENTIATE BETWEEN 42372 AND 42396 PERSONNEL (PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING)

LASK		DAFSC 42372	42396	DIFFERENCE
618	REMOVE OR INSTALL EGRESS SYSTEMS BALLISTIC OR PNEUMATIC LINES,			
	TUBLES, OR HOSES	86	10	76
152	PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF SEAT INERTIAL REELS	82	7	75
[27	INSPECT EJECTION SEAT INERTIAL REELS, LINKAGES, OR CONTROLS	86	п	75
[25	INSPECT EJECTION SEAT LAP BELTS	83	6	74
12	REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT LAP BELTS	78	4	74
170				: f
1. C. C.	OR COMPLEXIC	80	L	13
110	ADJUST OR ALIGN INERTIAL REELS, LINKAGES, OR CONTROLS	80	80	72
B 20	SUPERVISE MILITARY PERSONNEL WITH AFSC OTHER THAN 422X2			
	(NEW APSC 423X2)	23	74	-51
82	CONDUCT OR PARTICIPATE IN STAFF MEETINGS	37	84	-47
88	DRAFT OR EDIT CORRESPONDENCE	51	87	-36
833	INITIATE OR REVIEW WORK REQUEST FORMS (AF FORM 332)	31	67	-36
5	INPLANENT OR UPDATE MOBILITY PROGRAMS	25	59	-34
NI3	ESTABLISH ORGANIZATIONAL POLICIES, OFFICE INSTRUCTIONS			
	(OI) OR STANDING OPERATING PROCEDURES (SOP)	41	73	-22

COMPARISON OF PERCENT TIME SPENT ON DUTIES BY 42396 DAFSC PERSONNEL WHO HAD COMPLETED

DUTY	42396 PERSONNEL COMPLETING COURSES	42396 PERSONNEL WITH NO COURSES
PLANNING AND ORGANIZING DIRECTING AND INPLEMENTING	21 16	13
RVALUATING	E 0	15 8
	28 28	° 8 °
		.
MALMTAINING BJECTION SEAT SYSTEMS MALMTAINING HATCH EJECTION SYSTEMS MALMTAINING MODIFFE OF ADVANCED ECEDESS SYSTEMS	w a c	

AFR 39-1 COMPARISON TO SURVEY DATA

The survey results were compared to the AFR 39-1 job descriptions for each skill level. In general, the 5-, 7-, and 9-skill level descriptions reflect an accurate picture of the jobs performed by personnel in the 423X2 DAFSC's. However, the following observations might improve the accuracy of these documents:

a. Although the 5-skill level job description makes a general reference to "ballistic associated subsystems and components," the control and handling of cartridges and other explosive devices is such an important part of this career ladder that those responsibilities could be more clearly specified.

b. The 7-skill level job description accurately reflects the greater emphasis on a variety of supervisory tasks. It also indicates, in paragraph b, that 7-skill level personnel perform some of the more "difficult installation, repair, or removal of critical components." What it does not indicate, however, is that 7-skill levels also do many of the more basic tasks, such as removing or installing ejection seat buckets or lap belts, that are listed in the 5-skill level specialty description. Thus, the present 7-skill level description can give the erroneous impression that those personnel are involved only with supervisory tasks or difficult or critical technical tasks.

ANALYSIS OF CONUS/OVERSEAS DIFFERENCES

The analysis of differences in the types of tasks performed by 5-skill level respondents stationed within the CONUS and those stationed overseas reveals some basic aircraft-system-related differences. Table 7 illustrates the high percentage tasks performed by overseas personnel. These are primarily associated with the airoperated aircraft canopy system (Duty H) and ejection seat components (Duty I) that are unique to the F-4 egress system. Conversely, tasks performed by a higher percentage of CONUS personnel are associated with seat rotary actuators, headrests and other parts of ejection seats that are found in other aircraft systems. These differences are related generally to command-specific aircraft. Ninety percent of overseas personnel work on the F-4 aircrew egress system, while only 54 percent of the CONUS personnel perform tasks on the F-4 egress system.

3 5 4 W X

8

TASKS WHICH BEST DIFFERENTIATE BETWEEN CONUS AND OVERSEAS PERSONNEL HOLDING DAFSC 42352 (PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING)

	TASK	CONUS (N=282)	OVERSEAS (N=153)	DI FFERENCE
178	REPORT OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT ROTARY ACTUATORS	20	23	+27
ISI	INSPECT EJECTION SEAT ROTARY ACTUATORS	47	ន	+24
69I	REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT HEADRESTS	52	53	+23
124	INSPECT EJECTION SEAT HEADRESTS	56	37	+19
H59	REPOVE OR INSTALL BALLISTIC REMOVERS	31	14	+17
13	ADJUST OR ALIGN EJECTION SEAT ROTARY ACTUATORS	37	22	+15
H14	INSPECT AIRCRAFT CANOPY PNEUMATIC ACTUATORS	41	78	-37
I62	REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT COMMAND SELECTOR VALVES	45	82	-37
1	ADJUST OR ALIGN EJECTION SEAT BUCKETS	46	83	-37
123	INSPECT EJECTION SEAT GUILLOTINES	\$	80	-36
166	REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT EMERGENCY OXYGEN BOTTLES	4 8	2	-36
HSA	RENOVE OR INSTALL AIRCRAFT CANOPY SELECTOR VALVES	41	11	-36
H	REMOVE OR INSTALL AIRCRAFT CAMOPY DUNG VALVES	43	78	-35
173	RENOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT MAIN BEAMS	53	88	-35
6 9 H	REMOVE OR INSTALL AIRCRAFT CANOPY PNEUMATIC ACTUATORS	45	80	-35

ANALYSIS OF TASK DIFFICULTY

From a listing of senior enlisted incumbents for the 423X2 job survey, DAFSC 7- and 9-skill levels from various commands and locations were selected to rate task difficulty. Tasks were rated on a nine-point scale from extremely low to extremely high difficulty, with difficulty defined as the length of time it takes an average incumbent to learn to do the task. Interrater agreement among the 6l raters who returned booklets was .92. Ratings were adjusted so that tasks of average difficulty have ratings of 5.00.

Of the 422 tasks in the inventory booklet, 202 were rated above average in difficulty. Table 8 shows that 13 of these tasks were performed by 50 percent or more of these AFS 423X2 respondents. Generally, the technical tasks rated as most difficult were those related to the tail turret escape system, the aircraft canopy systems, and the removal or installation of components of the advanced module system. Although aircraft canopy system tasks were usually performed by 30-45 percent of the respondents, tasks in the other two systems were performed by less than 10 percent of the AFSC 423X2 career ladder.

Of the 219 tasks rated as less than average in difficulty, 17 were performed by 60 percent or more of the respondents (see Table 9). The technical tasks that were rated as least difficult included many of the inspecting tasks in various egress systems and particularly the inspection of ejection seat components. In addition, tasks associated with egress systems dollies, hoists, lap belts, and headrests also were rated relatively lower in difficulty.

TASKS RATED ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY WHICH ARE PERFORMED BY 50% OR MORE OF DAFSC 423X2 RESPONDENTS

SK		DIFFICULTY	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING
•	PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF EMERGENCY JETTISON SYSTEMS	7.10	50
N	PERFORM FLOW OR CONTINUITY CHECKS OF INSTALLED EGRESS SYSTEMS TUBING	6.44	56
9	SNO	6.35	65
-	ADJUST OR ALIGN EJECTION SEAT BUCKETS	6.17	51
-	LEAK-TEST PNEUMATIC EGRESS SYSTEM CONPONENTS	6.00	53
	ADJUST OR ALIGN EJECTION SEAT FIRING LINKAGES	5.96	99
155	PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF EJECTION SEAT SYSTEMS	5.82	57
9	ADJUST OR ALIGN INERTIAL REELS, LINKAGES, OR CONTROLS	5.80	73
5	PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF AIRCRAFT CANOPY SYSTEMS	5.64	2
3	REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT MAIN BEAMS	5.54	55
0	REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT INERTIAL REELS, LINKAGES, OR CONTROLS	5.48	72
2	INSTALL EJECTION SEAT P	5.25	57
0	INSPECT AIRCRAFT CANOPY EXTERNAL OR INTERNAL JETTISON CONTROLS	5.19	53

TASKS RATED BELOW AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY WHICH ARE PERFORMED BY 60% OR MORE OF DAFSC 423X2 RESPONDENTS

TASK		INDEX	PERFORMING
G18	REMOVE OR INSTALL EGRESS SYSTEMS BALLISTIC OR PNEUMATIC LINES, TUBES,		
	OR HOSES	4.86	11
158	REHOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT ACTUATORS	4.85	69
-	APH OR DISARM EJECTION SEATS	4.82	11
5	INSPECT EJECTION SEAT INERTIAL REELS, LINKAGES, OR CONTROLS	4.76	74
5	RENOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT MECHANICAL GAS-FIRED INITIATORS	4.60	99
122	INSPECT EJECTION SEAT FIRING LINKAGES	4.56	65
2	INITIATE OR REVIEW MAINTEMANCE DATA COLLECTION RECORD FORMS		
	(AFTO FORM 349)	4.30	62
2	PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF SEAT INERTIAL REELS	4.23	73
2	INSPECT MECHANICAL GAS-FIRED INITIATORS	4.17	61
-	INSPECT JETTISON SEAT ACTUATORS	4.11	62
2	INITIATE OR REVIEW REPARABLE ITEM PROCESSING TAG FORMS (AFTO FORM 350)	3.94	64
=	REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT SURVIVAL KITS	3.90	62
2	INSPECT EJECTION SEAT SHOULDER HARNESSES	3.77	61
11	REMOVE OR INSTALL BJECTION SEAT LAP BELTS	3.50	75
22	INSPECT EJECTION SEAT LAP BELTS	3.33	73
III	CLEAN OR LUBRICATE SEAT SYSTEMS	3.23	73
38		00 0	3

SUMMARY OF BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The background information section of each USAF Job Inventory gives the respondents the opportunity to report information about themselves and their job. This information, when compared to combined data from other ladders recently surveyed, can indicate the relative intentions or attitudes of aircrew egress systems incumbents about such factors as job interest, perceived utilization of talents and training, and reenlistment.

Job Interest/Utilization of Talents and Training

Table 10 summarizes the responses of 423X2 respondents in terms of their job interest and perceived utilization of talents and training. According to this table, 43 percent of all first-term 423X2 respondents indicated that they found their jobs interesting and 56 percent felt that their talents were being used fairly well or better. These figures are slightly lower than the combined data from 20 career ladders surveyed in 1976, which shows that 65 percent of the first-term airmen found their job interesting and 71 percent felt their talents were used at least fairly well.

This situation is reversed, however, in the perceived utilization of training. Eighty-seven percent of the first-term 423X2 respondents felt that their training was being used at least fairly well. Of the first termers in the larger 1976 normative sample, 79 percent indicated the same response. Thus, although fewer 423X2 first-term personnel find their job interesting or feel that their job utilizes their talents well, they seem slightly more satisfied than the respondents across 20 career fields that their training is being well utilized.

It may also be interesting to note one aspect when considering these three factors across the various AFMS groups. Generally, negative responses to job interest and perceived utilization of talents decrease among 423X2 respondents from first to later enlistments. However, the third enlistment group reverses this trend and records a slightly higher percentage of negative responses than do either the second or fourth enlistment groups. This increase in negative response is also apparent in the perceived utilization of training; for, in this area, the third enlistment group records the least satisfaction of any of the other AFMS groups.

Reenlistment Intent

Table 11 shows that 54 percent of all 423X2 first-term respondents indicated a desire to reenlist. Combined responses of first-term airmen in 22 studies completed in CY 1976 indicate that an average of 43 percent planned to reenlist.

Method of Assignment

An additional area in the background section details the methods by which 423X2 incumbents entered the career ladder. Table 12 shows that 64 percent of the respondents completed resident technical training.

EXPRESSIONS OF JOB INTEREST AND PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TALENTS AND TRAINING BY DAFSC 423X2 TOTAL SAMPLE AND AFMS GROUPS (PERCENT MEMBERS RESPONDING)

	TOTAL					
ESPONSE	SAMPLE	1-48	49-96	97-144	145-192	193+
"500 TON FIND YOUR JOB"						
- TIDA	22	26	23	25	6	13
S0-50	18	23	27	II	1	4
TATEREST TAG	52 8	4 80	4 4	25	"	11
"HOW DOES YOUR JOB UTILIZE YOUR TALENTS?"						
NOT AT ALL OR VERY LITTLE PAIRLY GELL TO VERY GELL	83	4:	56	33	4.0	90
EXCELLENTLY OR PERFECTLY	83	? n :	8-	r o .	8 4	3.8
	m	m	-	N	4.94) 9499	9
"SMININI NOL STITLD GOC NOL SON MOL						
NOT AT ALL OR VERY LITTLE PAIRLY WELL TO VERY WELL	35	2 %	35	19	4 4	2.62
EXCELLENTLY OR PERFECTLY	R	23	:=		18	3.8
NO REPLY	•	m	2	4	4	2

REENLISTMENT INTENTIONS OF 423X2 PERSONNEL (PERCENT MEMBERS RESPONDING)

RESPONSE	1ST TERM (N=324)	2ND TERM (N=121)	CAREER (N=269)
YES, OR UNCERTAIN PROBABLY YES	54	64	64
NO, OR UNCERTAIN PROBABLY NO	36	28	25
NO REPLY	10	8	11

TABLE 12 METHOD OF ASSIGNMENT TO 423X2 CAREER LADDER

ASSIGNMENT	PERCENT MEMBERS RESPONDING
COMPLETED RESIDENT TECHNICAL TRAINING	64
RECLASSIFIED WITHOUT COMPLETING TECHNICAL TRAINING OR OJT	1
DIRECTED DUTY ASSIGNMENT (DDA) FROM BASIC TRAINING TO OJT WITHOUT BYPASS TEST	4
DDA FROM BASIC TRAINING BY BYPASS TEST	0
CONVERTED FROM ANOTHER AF SPECIALTY WITHOUT TRAINING BY CLASSIFICATION BOARD ACTION	5
RETRAINED FROM ANOTHER SPECIALTY	10
REENLISTED AFTER PRIOR SERVICE IN USAF OR FROM ANOTHER BRANCH OF SERVICE	2
NOT ASSIGNED TO CAREER LADDER BY ANY OF THE ABOVE METHODS	9
NO REPLY	5

COMPARISON OF THE SPECIALTY TRAINING STANDARD (STS) TO THE SURVEY DATA

A review of STS 423X2 was made by comparing STS items to survey data. Assistance was provided by subject matter experts at the Technical Training School, who matched inventory tasks with STS tasks that pertain to 3-skill level personnel. In general, the STS seems to cover the tasks performed by the survey respondents. However, the extremely broad nature of the technical task statements in the STS inhibits a detailed analysis of this document.

One area that merits attention is the required proficiency level of the escape hatch and module systems sections in the STS. As indicated in the technical school's February 1976 Training Evaluation Report (paragraph lla), the training utilization rate for these STS sections (13c and d, and 14c and d) were between 16 and 22 percent members performing. This report stated that "although the utilization rate is low, these rates show a substantial increase over the previous report and the number of applicable aircraft in, and expected in, the field is great enough to continue training on these elements." The present occupational survey data shows that the percent members performing is now between four and nine percent for 19 tasks related to escape hatch systems and between two and ll percent for 53 tasks related to the module system. Thus, these low percentages may warrant reconsideration of the rationale for teaching these sections to the 1b proficiency level.

COMPARISON OF CURRENT SURVEY TO PREVIOUS SURVEY

The results of this survey were compared to those of Occupational Survey Report (OSR) AFPT 90-422-086, dated 1 February 1973. In general, the result of the career ladder structure analysis was the same, with clusters and job types being determined basically by the type of aircraft egress system. However, the small group of extraction system specialists reported in the previous survey were not identifiable as a distinct group in this report. The percentage of time spent working on tasks related to aircraft without extraction systems, such as the F-4 or F-111, made these personnel more readily identifiable as members of those larger groups. Also, in this report, 9-skill level personnel constitute a larger percentage of the respondents (II percent) than they did in the previous survey (one percent). Consequently, the tasks reported for this group are almost distinctly supervisory as opposed to the large percentage of technical tasks reported for 9-skill levels in the previous survey report.

DISCUSSION OF SURVEY FINDINGS

The overall analysis of the occupational survey data has shown that the aircrew egress systems career ladder is a relatively stable structure with few perceivable problems in classification or training. Although the structure analysis reveals 10 major job groups, there is a good deal of overlap among many of them in terms of the types of tasks performed. The differences among the groups are primarily related to supervisory functions or to particular aircraft-related egress systems. This structural breakout along aircraft-specific lines is very similar to that found in the previous survey and seems to support the present training strategy which provides channelization to aircraftspecific FTD courses after completion of a common ABR course.

The survey analysis indicates that 5-, 7-, and 9-skill level job descriptions that are found in AFR 39-1 are basically sound, and, with the exception of two minor additions to the 5- and 7-skill level descriptions, they very adequately relate to the jobs performed by 423X2 personnel.

The specialty training standard also seems to adequately cover the types of tasks performed by members of the career ladder. However, the subparagraphs dealing with the escape hatch system and the module system require training to a proficiency level (1b) which may not be justified by the relatively small percent members performing.

Despite this problem, the survey data indicate that the overall training program for 423X2 personnel seems to be well received. This finding is supported by the responses from career field incumbents in that 85 percent of the 423X2 survey respondents reported positively on the utilization of their training. This percentage is higher than the norm (79 percent) for respondents in 20 career iadders surveyed in 1976.

arrangeness and iteration - control states and a

计算法 计算机编码 计扩展系统

- 205. - B.28242 36 76 76 70 87

ALTINA (10000 (801), AVERSEAS (134), PO SERE) (21) MISE FOR CELEVITOR - 11212 (21) - 2272 (201), C2320 (263), M) EEVER (12)

SECTION IN CAREES FICTOR 170 ADDIT

CHERRY TOS EDITATES OF ANTI BOAR N.

and a structure to the second termination of a structure of state and structure of state and and a structure of

AND THE TA THE AN APPLICATION AND ADDRESS AND AN ADDRESS AND AND ADDRESS AND A

APPENDIX A

ien - Ale Ta Par do activit - Germani - A Bernstraum Devirebast Par - astrono au daley v bitan

E. Principal and the state of a state of the state of the

SATION AND TRANSPORT

STOC .

The second s

a fructure and an expense on reforms a runser of an expense a reaction and two recentlar forteratures electrick and assigns extraction tratues of tratues of that and the

Ranke said a constant

23/25.52

12 SVALLATY HOAK PTRECEMENTS OF SELECTORESISTS A CONTENT PERCANNER OF TEXANDER OF SULLARST RELATED FROM UNS 10 SEPTEMBER PERCONNEL WITH ARE OTHER FILSTED FROM UNS 14 SEPTEMBER FAILWARKS FILSELER, ENGINEERS, OR DESCRIPTING 14 STREAMS RANGE OF MERCHARKS, REQUERTERS, OR DESCRIPTING 14 STREAMS RANGE OF MERCHARKS, REQUERTERS, OF SPECIAL 15 STREAMS RANGE OF MERCHARKS, REQUERTERS, REQUERTERS, OF SPECIAL 15 STREAMS RANGE OF MERCHARKS, REQUERTERS, REPORTERS, OF SPECIAL 15 STREAMS RANGE OF MERCHARKS, REQUERTERS, REPORTERS, OF SPECIAL 15 STREAMS RANGE OF MERCHARKS, REQUERTERS, REPORTERS, OF SPECIAL 15 STREAMS RANGE OF MERCHARKS, REPORTERS, REPORTERS, OF SPECIAL 15 STREAMS RANGE OF MERCHARKS, REPORTERS, REPORT

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP057 - SUPERVISORY PERSONNEL NUMBER IN GROUP: 157 PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 20% MAJOR COMMAND DISTRIBUTION: SAC (31%), TAC (27%), ATC (13%), USAFE (12%), ADCOM (10%), PACAF (3%), OTHER (4%) LOCATION: CONUS (80%), OVERSEAS (18%), NO REPLY (2%) DAFSC DISTRIBUTION: 42352 (8%), 42372 (43%), 42396 (46%), NO REPLY (3%) AVERAGE GRADE: 6.7 AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD: 173 MONTHS AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE: 207 MONTHS PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT: 1% AMOUNT OF SUPERVISION: 90 PERCENT SUPERVISE AN AVERAGE OF EIGHT SUBORDINATES EXPRESSED JOB INTEREST: DULL (8%), SO-SO (8%), INTERESTING (73%), NO REPLY (11%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TALENTS: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (8%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (85%) NO REPLY (7%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TRAINING: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (7%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (85%) NO REPLY (8%) AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED: 120 TIME SPENT ON DUTIES : AVERAGE TIME SPENT DUTY BY ALL MEMBERS

25
16
12
12
11
9

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

C12 EVALUATE WORK PERFORMANCE OF SUBORDINATES B5 COUNSEL PERSONNEL ON PERSONAL OR MILITARY RELATED PROBLEMS B20 SUPERVISE MILITARY PERSONNEL WITH AFSC OTHER THAN 422X2 (NEW AFSC 423X2) B14 INTERPRET MAINTENANCE POLICIES, PROCEDURES, OR DIRECTIVES C11 EVALUATE USE OF WORKSPACE, EQUIPMENT, OR SUPPLIES

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP071 - FIELD MAINTENANCE SQUADRON BRANCH CHIEFS NUMBER IN GROUP: 76 PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 10% MAJOR COMMAND DISTRIBUTION: TAC (30%), SAC (26%), ATC (13%), USAFE (9%), ADCOM (8%), USAFE (5%), OTHERS (9%) LOCATION: CONUS (79%), OVERSEAS (20%), NO REPLY (1%) DAFSC DISTRIBUTION: 42352 (2%), 42372 (8%), 42396 (86%), NO REPLY (4%) AVERAGE GRADE: 7.5 AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD: 220 MONTHS AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE: 247 MONTHS PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT: NONE AMOUNT OF SUPERVISION: 96 PERCENT SUPERVISE AN AVERAGE OF NINE SUBORDINATES EXPRESSED JOB INTEREST: DULL (5%), SO-SO (0%), INTERESTING (80%), NO REPLY (15%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TALENTS: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (5%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (83%) NO REPLY (12%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TRAINING: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (7%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (82%) NO REPLY (11%) AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED: 81 TIME SPENT ON DUTIES: AVERAGE TIME SPENT DUTY BY ALL MEMBERS E PREPARING FORMS, RECORDS, OR REPORTS 31 22 A PLANNING AND ORGANIZING 16 B DIRECTING AND IMPLEMENTING

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

C EVALUATING

B8 DRAFT OR EDIT CORRESPONDENCE
B5 COUNSEL PERSONNEL ON PERSONAL OR MILITARY RELATED PROBLEMS
A3 ASSIGN PERSONNEL TO DUTY POSITIONS
B20 SUPERVISE MILITARY PERSONNEL WITH AFSC OTHER THAN 422X2 (NEW AFSC 423X2)
B14 INTERPRET MAINTENANCE POLICIES, PROCEDURES, OR DIRECTIVES

COLUMN REALLING SECONDER THE STRAND OF STRAND OF STRAND ON THE DESCRIPTION OF STRAND

14

THE PARTY

WILL PARAMETERS EAPSTLE DEATERS EID

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP101 - EGRESS SHOP NCOIC'S AND ASSISTANT NCOIC'S

NUMBER IN GROUP: 77

PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 10%

MAJOR COMMAND DISTRIBUTION: SAC (36%), TAC (26%), USAFE (14%), ADCOM (12%), ATC (10%), PACAF (2%)

LOCATION: CONUS (82%), OVERSEAS (17%), NO REPLY (1%)

DAFSC DISTRIBUTION: 42352 (12%), 42372 (78%), 42396 (9%), NO REPLY (1%)

AVERAGE GRADE: 6.0

AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD: 132 MONTHS

AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE: 173 MONTHS

PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT: 3%

AMOUNT OF SUPERVISION: 87 PERCENT SUPERVISE AN AVERAGE OF SIX SUBORDINATES

EXPRESSED JOB INTEREST: DULL (12%), SO-SO (16%), INTERESTING (63%), NO REPLY (9%)

PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TALENTS: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (9%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (88%) NO REPLY (3%)

PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TRAINING: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (7%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (88%) NO REPLY (5%)

AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED: 158

TIME SPENT ON DUTIES:

AVERAGE TIME SPENT BY ALL MEMBERS

102		an attacked to the state of the
I	MAINTAINING EJECTION SEAT SYSTEMS	23
E	PREPARING FORMS, RECORDS, OR REPORTS	20
A	PLANNING AND ORGANIZING	11
B	DIRECTING AND IMPLEMENTING	9
D	TRAINING	SELAT 8 TAIDE
С	EVALUATING	8

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS :

TASKS

DUTY

F6 PERFORM QUALITY INSPECTIONS ON EGRESS SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE C12 EVALUATE WORK PERFORMANCE OF SUBORDINATES D10 DEMONSTRATE MAINTENANCE METHODS OR PROCEDURES C13 PREPARE AIRMAN PERFORMANCE REPORTS B3 CONTROL HANDLING, SEGREGATION, OR STORAGE OF CARTRIDGE-ACTIVATED DEVICES
GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP048 - F-4 EGRESS SYSTEM REPAIRMEN NUMBER IN GROUP: 402 PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 50% MAJOR COMMAND DISTRIBUTION: TAC (46%), USAFE (33%), PACAF (12%), AAC (2%), OTHER (7%) LOCATION: CONUS (50%), OVERSEAS (50%) DAFSC DISTRIBUTION: 42332 (8%), 42352 (67%), 42372 (22%), NO REPLY (3%) AVERAGE GRADE: 4.0 AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD: 53 MONTHS AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE: 64 MONTHS PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT: 56% AMOUNT OF SUPERVISION: 38 PERCENT SUPERVISE AN AVERAGE OF FOUR SUBORDINATES EXPRESSED JOB INTEREST: DULL (27%), SO-SO (21%), INTERESTING (45%), NO REPLY (7%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TALENTS: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (38%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (59%) NO REPLY (3%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TRAINING: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (12%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (85%) NO REPLY (3%) AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED: 135

TIME SPENT ON DUTIES :

AVERAGE TIME SPENT BY ALL MEMBERS

CALLER GLASS COM COMPACT

MELTING THE CHERY DUCTING IS IN

52 23 7

I MAINTAINING EJECTION SEAT SYSTEMS H MAINTAINING CANOPY SYSTEMS E PREPARING FORMS, RECORDS, OR REPORTS G MAINTAINING AIRCREW EGRESS SYSTEMS

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

DUTY

160REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT BUCKETS181REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT SURVIVAL KITS128INSPECT EJECTION SEAT MAIN BEAMS136INSPECT EJECTION SEAT TIME RELEASE MECHANISMS (TRM)H50REMOVE OR INSTALL AIRCRAFT CANOPY PRESSURE OPERATED VALVES

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP415 - NCOIC'S AND ASSISTANT NCOIC'S, F-4 EGRESS SHOPS

NUMBER IN GROUP: 51

PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 6%

MAJOR COMMAND DISTRIBUTION: USAFE (49%), TAC (39%), PACAF (10%), OTHER (2%)

LOCATION: CONUS (39%), OVERSEAS (59%), NO REPLY (2%)

DAFSC DISTRIBUTION: 42352 (27%), 42372 (69%), 42396 (2%), NO REPLY (2%)

AVERAGE GRADE: 5.4

AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD: 114 MONTHS

AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE: 139 MONTHS

PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT: 10%

AMOUNT OF SUPERVISION: 82 PERCENT SUPERVISE AN AVERAGE OF SEVEN SUBORDINATES

EXPRESSED JOB INTEREST: DULL (18%), SO-SO (12%), INTERESTING (66%), NO REPLY (4%)

PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TALENTS: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (14%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (84%) NO REPLY (2%)

PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TRAINING: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (6%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (90%) NO REPLY (4%)

AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED: 223

TIME SPENT ON DUTIES:

AVERAGE TIME SPENT BY ALL MEMBERS

I MA	AINTAINING EJECTION SEAT SYSTEMS	32
H MA	AINTAINING CANOPY SYSTEMS	19
E PH	REPARING FORMS, RECORDS, OR REPORTS	14
A PI	LANNING AND ORGANIZING	7
B DI	IRECTING AND IMPLEMENTING	7
G M	AINTAINING AIRCREW EGRESS SYSTEMS	6

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS :

TASKS

DUTY

G11 PERFORM EGRESS SYSTEM FINAL INSPECTIONS B5 COUNSEL PERSONMEL ON PERSONAL OR MILITARY RELATED PROBLEMS B10 IMPLEMENT SECTION EXPLOSIVE SAFETY PROGRAMS B18 SUPERVISE AIRCREW EGRESS SYSTEMS REPAIR TECHNICIANS (42272) (NEW AFSC 42372) C2 DETERMINE ADEOUACY OF MAINTENANCE INSPECTIONS GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP404 - F-4/F-111 AIRCREW EGRESS MECHANICS NUMBER IN GROUP: 9 PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 1% MAJOR COMMAND DISTRIBUTION: TAC (56%), AFSC (22%), PACAF (11%), USAFE (11%) LOCATION: CONUS (78%), OVERSEAS (22%) DAFSC DISTRIBUTION: 42352 (89%), NO REPLY (11%) AVERAGE GRADE: 3.8 AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD: 40 MONTHS AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE: 42 MONTHS PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT: 78% AMOUNT OF SUPERVISION: 44 PERCENT SUPERVISE AN AVERAGE OF TWO SUBORDINATES EXPRESSED JOB INTEREST: DULL (11%), SO-SO (45%), INTERESTING (22%), NO REPLY (22%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TALENTS: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (45%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (33%) (22%) NO REPLY (0%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TRAINING: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (89%) NO REPLY (11%)AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED: 204 TIME SPENT ON DUTIES: AVERAGE TIME SPENT

DUTY	BY ALL MEMBERS
I MAINTAINING EJECTION SEAT SYSTEMS	41
H MAINTAINING CANOPY SYSTEMS	22
K MAINTAINING MODULE OR ADVANCED EGRESS SYSTEMS	16
E PREPARING FORMS, RECORDS, OR REPORTS	strand leineath 16 frithe ander

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

 160
 REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT BUCKETS

 121
 INSPECT EJECTION SEAT EMERGENCY OXYGEN BOTTLES

 H22
 INSPECT AIRCRAFT CANOPY SHUTTLE VALVES

 K12
 INSPECT EXPLOSIVE PANELS, PYROTECHNIC PANELS, OR ACCESS COVERS

 K34
 REMOVE OR INSTALL MODULE FLSC

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP175 - F-4 EGRESS SYSTEM MECHANICS NUMBER IN GROUP: 238 PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 30% MAJOR COMMAND DISTRIBUTION: TAC (49%), USAFE (31%), PACAF (14%), AAC (3), OTHERS (3%) LOCATION: CONUS (48%), OVERSEAS (50%), NO REPLY (2%) DAFSC DISTRIBUTION: 42332 (8%), 42352 (74%), 42372 (16%), NO REPLY (2%) AVERAGE GRADE: 3.9 AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD: 45 MONTHS AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE: 55 MONTHS PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT: 69% AMOUNT OF SUPERVISION: 34 PERCENT SUPERVISE AN AVERAGE OF THREE SUBORDINATES EXPRESSED JOB INTEREST: DULL (29%), SO-SO (23%), INTERESTING (41%), NO REPLY (7%) (38%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TALENTS: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (59%) NO REPLY (3%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TRAINING: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (12%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (85%) NO REPLY (3%) AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED: 130 TIME SPENT ON DUTIES: AVERAGE TIME SPENT DUTY BY ALL MEMBERS 54 I MAINTAINING EJECTION SEAT SYSTEMS 25 H MAINTAINING CANOPY SYSTEMS 6 G MAINTAINING AIRCREW EGRESS SYSTEMS

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

160 REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT BUCKETS 110 ADJUST OR ALIGN INERTIAL REELS, LINKAGES, OR CONTROLS H44 REMOVE OR INSTALL AIRCRAFT CANOPY DUMP VALVES H22 INSPECT AIRCRAFT CANOPY SHUTTLE VALVES 123 INSPECT EJECTION SEAT GUILLOTINES GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP153 - F-4 EGRESS SYSTEM FIRST LINE SUPERVISORS NUMBER IN GROUP: 10 PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 1% MAJOR COMMAND DISTRIBUTION: USAFE (60%), TAC (30%), PACAF (10%) LOCATION: CONUS (20%), OVERSEAS (80%) DAFSC DISTRIBUTION: 42352 (70%), 42372 (30%) AVERAGE GRADE: 5.0 AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD: 76 MONTHS AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE: 94 MONTHS PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT: NONE AMOUNT OF SUPERVISION: 100 PERCENT SUPERVISE AN AVERAGE OF FOUR SUBORDINATES EXPRESSED JOB INTEREST: DULL (30%), SO-SO (30%), INTERESTING (40%) (40%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TALENTS: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (60%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TRAINING: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (20%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (80%) AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED: 111

TIME SPENT ON DUTIES:

BY ALL MEMBERS
44
16
12
6

AVERAGE TIME SPENT

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

G11	PERFORM EGRESS SYSTEM FINAL INSPECTIONS
F6	PERFORM QUALITY INSPECTIONS ON EGRESS SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE
B17	SUPERVISE AIRCREW EGRESS SYSTEMS MECHANICS (42252) (NEW AFSC 42352)
G13	PERFORM TEAM CHIEF DUTIES ON EGRESS SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE
E32	INITIATE OR REVIEW UNSERVICEABLE (REPARABLE) TAG MATERIAL FORMS (DD FORM 1577-2)

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP092 - F-4 EGRESS SYSTEM SPECIALISTS

NUMBER IN GROUP: 67

PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 9%

MAJOR COMMAND DISTRIBUTION: TAC (60%), USAFE (28%), PACAF (6%), ATC (2%), AFSC (1%), NO REPLY (3%)

LOCATION: CONUS (60%), OVERSEAS (39%), NO REPLY (1%)

DAFSC DISTRIBUTION: 42332 (18%), 42352 (78%), NO REPLY (4%)

AVERAGE GRADE: 3.1

AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD: 24 MONTHS

AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE: 27 MONTHS

PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT: 90%

AMOUNT OF SUPERVISION: 5 PERCENT SUPERVISE AN AVERAGE OF THREE SUBORDINATES

EXPRESSED JOB INTEREST: DULL (31%), SO-SO (19%), INTERESTING (42%), NO REPLY (8%)

PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TALENTS:		
	FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER	the state of the second s
	NO REPLY	(2%)

PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TRAINING: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (16%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (82%) NO REPLY (2%)

AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED: 67

TIME SPENT ON DUTIES:

AVERAGE TIME SPENT BY ALL MEMBERS

LINE PROPERTY AND A TIME A SALES A DECIMA

I MAINTAINING EJECTIONS SEAT SYSTEMS H MAINTAINING CANOPY SYSTEMS

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

DUTY

 173
 REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT MAIN BEAMS

 161
 REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT CATAPULT GUNS

 171
 REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT LAP BELTS

 160
 REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT BUCKETS

 12
 ARM OR DISARM EJECTION SEATS

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP078 - B-52 EGRESS SYSTEM REPAIRMEN

NUMBER IN GROUP: 20

PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 3%

MAJOR COMMAND DISTRIBUTION: SAC (90%), ATC (10%)

LOCATION: CONUS (100%)

DAFSC DISTRIBUTION: 42352 (75%), 42372 (20%), NO REPLY (5%)

AVERAGE GRADE: 4.1

AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD: 58 MONTHS

AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE: 74 MONTHS

PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT: 55%

AMOUNT OF SUPERVISION: 15 PERCENT SUPERVISE AN AVERAGE OF TWO SUBORDINATES

EXPRESSED JOB INTEREST: DULL (30%), SO-SO (10%), INTERESTING (45%), NO REPLY (15%)

PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TALENTS: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (25%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (70%) NO REPLY (5%)

PERCEIVED	UTILIZATION	OF				
			FAIRLY	WELL OR	BETTER	(80%)
			NO REPI	LY		(5%)

AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED: 76

TIME SPENT ON DUTIES:

DUTY

AVERAGE TIME SPENT BY ALL MEMBERS

51

10 8

18

I MAINTAINING EJECTION SEAT SYSTEMS J MAINTAINING HATCH EJECTION SYSTEMS G MAINTAINING AIRCREW EGRESS SYSTEMS E PREPARING FORMS, RECORDS, OR REPORTS

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

J16REMOVE OR REPLACE ESCAPE HATCHESJ13PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF ESCAPE HATCH SYSTEMSJ15REMOVE OR REPLACE ESCAPE HATCH BALLISTIC COMPONENTSI77REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEATSI42PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF DOWNWARD EJECTION SEATS

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP081 - T-33, T-37, T-38, F-106 EGRESS SYSTEMS

REPAIRMEN

NUMBER IN GROUP: 76

PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 10%

MAJOR COMMAND DISTRIBUTION: ATC (54%), ADCOM (33%), SAC (7%), TAC (4%), AAC (2%)

LOCATION: CONUS (97%), OVERSEAS (3%)

DAFSC DISTRIBUTION: 42332 (8%), 42352 (74%), 42372 (17%), 42396 (1%)

AVERAGE GRADE: 3.9

AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD: 45 MONTHS

AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE: 59 MONTHS

PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT: 63%

AMOUNT OF SUPERVISION: 28 PERCENT SUPERVISE AN AVERAGE OF THREE SUBORDINATES

EXPRESSED JOB INTEREST: DULL (18%), SO-SO (21%), INTERESTING (56%), NO REPLY (5%)

PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TALENTS: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (25%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (72%) NO REPLY (3%)

PERCEIVED	UTILIZATION OF	TRAINING:	LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL	(7%)
			FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER	(92%)
			NO REPLY	(1%)

AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED: 79

TIME SPENT ON DUTIES:

AVERAGE TIME SPENT BY ALL MEMBERS

49

20

11

7

I MAINTAINING EJECTION SEAT SYSTEMS H MAINTAINING CANOPY SYSTEMS

E PREPARING FORMS, RECORDS, OR REPORTS

G MAINTAINING AIRCREW EGRESS SYSTEMS

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

DUTY

178REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT ROTARY ACTUATORS179REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT SHOULDER HARMESSES169REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT HEADRESTS124INSPECT EJECTION SEAT HEADRESTS131INSPECT EJECTION SEAT ROTARY ACTUATORS

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP130 - T-33, T-37, T-38, F-106 EGRESS SHOP FIRST-LEVEL SUPERVISORS NUMBER IN GROUP: 18 PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 2% MAJOR COMMAND DISTRIBUTION: ADCOM (39%), ATC (39%), AAC (11%), SAC (11%) LOCATION: CONUS (89%), OVERSEAS (11%) DAFSC DISTRIBUTION: 42332 (6%), 42352 (44%), 42372 (44%), 42396 (6%) AVERAGE GRADE: 4.9 AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD: 82 MONTHS CHICK ACC AND STREET AND A CHICK AND A COURT AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE: 111 MONTHS PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT: 17% AMOUNT OF SUPERVISION: 61 PERCENT SUPERVISE AN AVERAGE OF THREE SUBORDINATES EXPRESSED JOB INTEREST: DULL (11%), SO-SO (22%), INTERESTING (56%), NO REPLY (11%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TALENTS: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (17%) (77%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (6%) NO REPLY PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TRAINING: FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (94%) NO REPLY (6%) AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED: 117

TIME SPENT ON DUTIES:

AVERAGE	TIME	SPEN
BY AL	L MEM	BERS

14

Part of the second state of the

34 17 I MAINTAINING EJECTION SEAT SYSTEMS H MAINTAINING CANOPY SYSTEMS E PREPARING FORMS, RECORDS, OR REPORTS G MAINTAINING AIRCREW EGRESS SYSTEMS B DIRECTING AND IMPLEMENTING A PLANNING AND ORGANIZING

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS :

TASKS

DUTY

156 PERFORM TCTO MODIFICATIONS TO EJECTION SEAT SYSTEMS 178 REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT ROTARY ACTUATORS B3 CONTROL HANDLING, SEGREGATION, OR STORAGE OF CARTRIDGE-ACTIVATED DEVICES G13 PERFORM TEAM CHIEF DUTIES ON EGRESS SYSTEMS MAINTENGINCE F6 PERFORM QUALITY INSPECTIONS ON EGRESS SYSTEMS HAINTENANCE

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP234 - T-33, F-106 FIRST-LEVEL SUPERVISORS

NUMBER IN GROUP: 5

PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 1%

MAJOR COMMAND DISTRIBUTION: ADCOM (40%), SAC (40%), ATC (20%)

LOCATION: CONUS (100%)

DAFSC DISTRIBUTION: 42352 (60%), 42372 (40%)

AVERAGE GRADE: 4.6

AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD: 85 MONTHS

AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE: 128 MONTHS

PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT: 20%

AMOUNT OF SUPERVISION: 40 PERCENT SUPERVISE AN AVERAGE OF TWO SUBORDINATES

EXPRESSED JOB INTEREST: DULL (60%), INTERESTING (40%)

PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TALENTS: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (60%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (40%)

PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TRAINING: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (40%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (60%)

AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED: 98

TIME SPENT ON DUTIES:

DU	TY	AVERAGE TIME SPENT BY ALL MEMBERS
	MAINTAINING EJECTION SEAT SYSTEMS	44
	MAINTAINING CANOPY SYSTEMS PREPARING FORMS, RECORDS, OR REPORTS	16
G	MAINTAINING AIRCREW EGRESS SYSTEMS	8
B	DIRECTING AND IMPLEMENTING	strong ablance segment parts

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

12 ARM OR DISARN EJECTION SEATS 131 INSPECT EJECTION SEAT ROTARY ACTUATORS A26 SCHEDULE WORK ON EGRESS SYSTEMS F6 PERFORM QUALITY INSPECTIONS ON EGRESS SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE B17 SUPERVISE AIRCREW EGRESS SYSTEMS MECHANICS 42252 (NEW AFSC 42352)

LIS TELEVINE CANE CHIEFE ENERGIES ON BEFERE CONSISTER WATERCONTENT

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP239 - T-37, T-38 EGRESS SYSTEMS REPAIRMEN NUMBER IN GROUP: 27 PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 4% MAJOR COMMAND DISTRIBUTION: ATC (70%), ADCOM (15%), TAC (11%), SAC (4%) LOCATION: CONUS (100%) DAFSC DISTRIBUTION: 42332 (4%), 42352 (92%), 42372 (4%) AVERAGE GRADE: 3.3 AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD: 25 MONTHS AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE: 34 MONTHS PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT: 78% AMOUNT OF SUPERVISION: 22 PERCENT SUPERVISE AN AVERAGE OF TWO SUBORDINATES EXPRESSED JOB INTEREST: DULL (7%), SO-SO (26%), INTERESTING (67%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TALENTS: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (19%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (81%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TRAINING: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (7%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (93%) AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED: 74 TIME SPENT ON DUTIES: AVERAGE TIME SPENT DIFTY BY ATT MEMOROC

-	there are analysis	
I	MAINTAINING EJECTION SEAT SYSTEMS	55
H	MAINTAINING CANOPY SYSTEMS	22
E	PREPARING FORMS, RECORDS, OR REPORTS	10

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

158REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT ACTUATORS131INSPECT EJECTION SEAT ROTARY ACTUATORS124INSPECT EJECTION SEAT HEADRESTSH45REMOVE OR INSTALL AIRCRAFT CANOPY EXTERNAL OR INTERNAL JETTISON CONTROLS133INSPECT EJECTION SEAT SHOULDER HARMESSES

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP111 - T-33, T-37, T-38, F-106 EGRESS SYSTEMS MECHANICS

NUMBER IN GROUP: 17

PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 2%

MAJOR COMMAND DISTRIBUTION: ADCOM (59%), ATC (41%)

LOCATION: CONUS (100%)

DAFSC DISTRIBUTION: 42332 (18%), 42352 (82%)

AVERAGE GRADE: 3.4

AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD: 21 MONTHS

AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE: 24 MONTHS

PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT: 100%

AMOUNT OF SUPERVISION: NONE

EXPRESSED JOB INTEREST: DULL (29%), SO-SO (24%), INTERESTING (35%), NO REPLY (12%)

PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TALENTS:		
	FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER	
	NO REPLY	(6%)

PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TRAINING: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (6%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (94%)

AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED: 45

TIME SPENT ON DUTIES:

DUTY

BY	ALL	MEM	BERS
	388	CONTRACT OF	
	6.	10	

AVERAGE TIME SPENT

I MAINTAINING EJECTION SEAT SYSTEMS H MAINTAINING CANOPY SYSTEMS G MAINTAINING AIRCREW EGRESS SYSTEMS

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

125 INSPECT EJECTION SEAT LAP BELTS 124 INSPECT EJECTION SEAT HEADRESTS 179 REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT SHOULDER HARNESSES 178 REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT ROTARY ACTUATORS 111 CLEAN OR LUBRICATE SEAT SYSTEMS

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP108 - A-7, A-10 EGRESS SYSTEMS REPAIRMEN NUMBER IN GROUP: 20 PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 3% MAJOR COMMAND DISTRIBUTION: TAC (90%), ADCOM (10%) LOCATION: CONUS (100%) DAFSC DISTRIBUTION: 42332 (10%), 42352 (90%) AVERAGE GRADE: 3.2 AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD: 23 MONTHS AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE: 26 MONTHS PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT: 95% AMOUNT OF SUPERVISION: 10 PERCENT SUPERVISE AN AVERAGE OF ONE PERSON EXPRESSED JOB INTEREST: SO-SO (30%), INTERESTING (65%), NO REPLY (5%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TALENTS: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (30%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (70%) (90%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TRAINING: FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER NO REPLY (10%) AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED: 67 TIME SPENT ON DUTIES: AVERAGE TIME SPENT DUTY BY ALL MEMBERS

	MAINTAINING EJECTION SEAT SYSTEMS MAINTAINING CANOPY SYSTEMS	60 13
E	PREPARING FORMS, RECORDS, OR REPORTS MAINTAINING AIRCREW EGRESS SYSTEMS	
GR	OUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:	

TASKS

 139
 INSPECT SEAT/HAN SEPARATION BLADDERS

 187
 WEIGH ESCAPE SYSTEM NITROGEN BOTTLES

 185
 REMOVE OR INSTALL SEAT/HAN SEPARATION BLADDERS

 183
 REMOVE OR INSTALL ESCAPE SYSTEM NITROGEN BOTTLES

 120
 INSPECT EJECTION SEAT EMERGENCY HARMESS RELEASE MECHANISMS

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP050 - F-4 EGRESS SYSTEM INSPECTORS NUMBER IN GROUP: 5 PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 1% MAJOR COMMAND DISTRIBUTION: TAC (60%), USAFE (40%) LOCATION: CONUS (60%), OVERSEAS (20%), NO REPLY (20%) DAFSC DISTRIBUTION: 42332 (40%), 42352 (20%), 42372 (20%), NO REPLY (20%) AVERAGE GRADE: 3.2 AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD: 32 MONTHS AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE: 42 MONTHS PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT: 80% AMOUNT OF SUPERVISION: NONE EXPRESSED JOB INTEREST: DULL (40%), INTERESTING (40%), NO REPLY (20%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TALENTS: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (60%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (40%) (40%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TRAINING: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (40%) NO REPLY (20%) AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED: 43 TIME SPENT ON DUTIES: AVERAGE TIME SPENT DUTY BY ALL MEMBERS I MAINTAINING EJECTION SEAT SYSTEMS 64 13

8

H MAINTAINING CANOPY SYSTEMS G MAINTAINING ALRCREW EGRESS SYSTEMS

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

115 INSPECT EJECTION SEAT BUCKETS D5 ATTEND TRAINING CLASSES 123 INSPECT EJECTION SEAT GUILLOTINES 120 INSPECT EJECTION SEAT EMERGENCY HARNESS RELEASE MECHANISMS 121 INSPECT EJECTION SEAT ENERGENCY OXYGEN BOTTLES

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP054 - F-15, OV-10 EGRESS SYSTEMS REPAIRMEN NUMBER IN GROUP: 8 PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 1% MAJOR COMMAND DISTRIBUTION: TAC (75%), USAFE (25%) LOCATION: CONUS (75%), OVERSEAS (25%) DAFSC DISTRIBUTION: 42332 (13%), 42352 (87%) AVERAGE GRADE: 3.6 AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD: 44 MONTHS AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE: 55 MONTHS PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT: 63% AMOUNT OF SUPERVISION: 13 PERCENT SUPERVISE AN AVERAGE OF ONE PERSON EXPRESSED JOB INTEREST: DULL (25%), SO-SO (13%), INTERESTING (62%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TALENTS: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (50%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (50%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TRAINING: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (13%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (87%) AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED: 36

TIME SPENT ON DUTIES:

DUTY

I	MAINTAINING EJECTION SEAT SYSTEMS	73
	PREPARING FORMS, RECORDS, OR REPORTS MAINTAINING AIRCREW EGRESS SYSTEMS	7 7 7
GI	OUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:	

AVERAGE TIME SPENT

BY ALL MEMBERS

TASKS

135 INSPECT EJECTION SEAT SURVIVAL KITS
159 REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT AIRCREW PERSONNEL PARACHUTES
181 REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT SURVIVAL KITS
139 INSPECT SEAT/MAN SEPARATION BLADDERS
B12 IMPLEMENT TOOL KIT ACCOUNTABILITY OR INVENTORY POLICIES FOR DISARM
KITS OR SEAT REMOVAL KITS

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP059 - F-4 EGRESS SYSTEM FLIGHTLINE SPECIALISTS NUMBER IN GROUP: 7 PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 1% MAJOR COMMAND DISTRIBUTION: TAC (43%), USAFE (43%), PACAF (14%) LOCATION: CONUS (29%), OVERSEAS (71%) DAFSC DISTRIBUTION: 42332 (57%), 42352 (43%) AVERAGE GRADE: 2.9 AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD: 11 MONTHS AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE: 39 NONTHS PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT: 86% AMOUNT OF SUPERVISION: 14 PERCENT SUPERVISE AN AVERAGE OF ONE PERSON EXPRESSED JOB INTEREST: SO-SO (29%), INTERESTING (71%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TALENTS: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (29%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (71%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TRAINING: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (14%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (86%) AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED: 26 TIME SPENT ON DUTIES:

DUTY

AVERAGE TIME SPENT BY ALL MEMBERS

I MAINTAINING EJECTION SEAT SYSTEMS G MAINTAINING AIRCREW EGRESS SYSTEMS **84** 5

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

160 REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT BUCKETS 173 REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT MAIN BEAMS 111 CLEAN OR LUBRICATE SEAT SYSTEMS 171 REMOVE OR INSTALL EJECTION SEAT LAP BELTS 152 PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF SEAT INERTIAL REELS

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP087 - F-111 EGRESS SYSTEM REPAIRMEN NUMBER IN GROUP: 31 PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 4% MAJOR COMMAND DISTRIBUTION: TAC (61%), USAFE (29%), SAC (10%) LOCATION: CONUS (68%), OVERSEAS (32%) DAFSC DISTRIBUTION: 42332 (3%), 42352 (77%), 42372 (13%), NO REPLY (7%) AVERAGE GRADE: 3.7 AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD: 41 MONTHS AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE: 47 MONTHS PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT: 58% AMOUNT OF SUPERVISION: 45 PERCENT SUPERVISE AN AVERAGE OF THREE SUBORDINATES EXPRESSED JOB INTEREST: DULL (42%), SO-SO (23%), INTERESTING (32%), NO REPLY (3%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TALENTS: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (52%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (48%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TRAINING: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (23%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (77%) AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED: 77 TIME SPENT ON DUTIES: AVERAGE TIME SPENT DUTY BY ALL MEMBERS K MAINTAINING MODULE OR ADVANCED EGRESS SYSTEMS 54 E PREPARING FORMS, RECORDS, OR REPORTS 17 I MAINTAINING EJECTION SEAT SYSTEMS 6 A PLANNING AND ORGANIZING 4

4

4 CARLA SMIAD CINTER

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

B DIRECTING AND IMPLEMENTING

G MAINTAINING AIRCREW EGRESS SYSTEMS

TASKS

 K24
 REMOVE OR INSTALL CREW MODULE URT-27 OR URT-33 RADIO BEACONS

 K45
 REMOVE OR INSTALL MODULE SEATS

 K28
 REMOVE OR INSTALL MODULE BILGE PUMPS

 K17
 INSPECT SHIELDED MILD DETONATING CORDS (SMDC)

 K41
 REMOVE OR INSTALL PYROTECHNIC PAMELS

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP128 - F-111 EGRESS SYSTEM FIRST-LINE SUPERVISORS NUMBER IN GROUP: 13 PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 2% MAJOR COMMAND DISTRIBUTION: TAC (39%), USAFE (39%), SAC (22%) LOCATION: CONUS (62%), OVERSEAS (38%) DAFSC DISTRIBUTION: 42352 (69%), 42372 (31%) AVERAGE GRADE: 4.4 AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD: 60 MONTHS AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE: 69 MONTHS PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT: 30% AMOUNT OF SUPERVISION: 77 PERCENT SUPERVISE AN AVERAGE OF THREE SUBORDINATES EXPRESSED JOB INTEREST: DULL (23%), SO-SO (15%), INTERESTING (54%), NO REPLY (8%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TALENTS: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (31%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (69%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TRAINING: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (15%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (85%) AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED: 110

TIME SPENT ON DUTIES:

DUTY		BY ALL MEMBERS
K	MAINTAINING MODULE OR ADVANCED EGRESS SYSTEMS	39
E	PREPARING FORMS, RECORDS, OR REPORTS	22
	PLANNING AND ORGANIZING	7
	DIRECTING AND IMPLEMENTING	
D	TRAINING	6
G	MAINTAINING AIRCREW EGRESS SYSTEMS	5
	INSPECTING AND PERFORMING QUALITY CONTROL	5
		A STACK AND

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

 K18
 LEAK-TEST CREW MODULE FLOTATION SYSTEMS

 K42
 REMOVE OR INSTALL PYROTECHNIC TIME DELAYS

 K39
 REMOVE OR INSTALL NITROGEN FLOTATION BOTTLES

 B5
 COUNSEL PERSONNEL ON PERSONAL OR MILITARY RELATED PROBLEMS

 A2
 ADVISE MAINTEMANCE OFFICER OR BRANCH CHIEF ON EGRESS SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP198 - F-111 EGRESS SYSTEM MECHANICS NUMBER IN GROUP: 13 PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 2% MAJOR COMMAND DISTRIBUTION: TAC (85%), USAFE (15%) LOCATION: CONUS (77%), OVERSEAS (23%) DAFSC DISTRIBUTION: 42352 (85%), NO REPLY (15%) AVERAGE GRADE: 3.5 AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD: 29 MONTHS AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE: 33 MONTHS PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT: 85% AMOUNT OF SUPERVISION: 31 PERCENT SUPERVISE AN AVERAGE OF TWO SUBORDINATES EXPRESSED JOB INTEREST: DULL (62%), SO-SO (23%), INTERESTING (15%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TALENTS: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (69%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (31%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TRAINING: LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL (23%) FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (77%) AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED: 54 TIME SPENT ON DUTIES: AVERAGE TIME SPENT DUTY BY ALL MEMBERS K MAINTAINING MODULE OR ADVANCED EGRESS SYSTEMS 70 E PREPARING FORMS, RECORDS, OR REPORTS 14

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

I MAINTAINING EJECTION SEAT SYSTEMS

TASKS

K24REMOVE OR INSTALL CREW MODULE URT-27 OR URT-33 RADIO BEACONSK51REMOVE OR INSTALL SMDCK12INSPECT EXPLOSIVE PANELS, PYROTECHNIC PANELS, OR ACCESS COVERSK28REMOVE OR INSTALL MODULE BILGE PUMPSK20PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF MODULE HATCHES

5

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP021 - TRAINING INSTRUCTORS NUMBER IN GROUP: 14 PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 2% MAJOR COMMAND DISTRIBUTION: ATC (93%), USAF SO (7%) LOCATION: CONUS (86%), OVERSEAS (14%) DAFSC DISTRIBUTION: 42352 (14%), 42372 (72%), NO REPLY (14%) AVERAGE GRADE: 5.4 AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD: 97 MONTHS AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE: 127 MONTHS PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT: 21% AMOUNT OF SUPERVISION: 7 PERCENT SUPERVISE AN AVERAGE OF FOUR SUBORDINATES EXPRESSED JOB INTEREST: INTERESTING (86%), NO REPLY (14%) PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TALENTS: FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (93%) (7%) NO REPLY PERCEIVED UTILIZATION OF TRAINING: FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER (86%) (14%) NO REPLY AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED: 28 TIME SPENT ON DUTIES: AVERAGE TIME SPENT BY ALL MEMBERS DUTY

and a second second as

D	TRAINING	56
E	PREPARING FORMS, RECORDS, OR REPORTS	11
2	PLANNING AND ORGANIZING	7
H	MAINTAINING CANOPY SYSTEMS	6
B	DIRECTING AND IMPLEMENTING	6

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

D12 DEVELOP OR UPDATE TRAINING AIDS D1 ADMINISTER OR SCORE TESTS D20 WRITE OR REVISE TRAINING MATERIALS D9 COUNSEL INDIVIDUALS ON TRAINING PROGRESS D6 CONDUCT EGRESS SYSTEM COCKPIT FAMILARIZATION TRAINING