

STATE OF STATE SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date) READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM (9) REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE 2, GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER PORT NUMBER AFOSR TR-7 8 -43 TITLE (and Subtitle) 5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED 4D A 0 5 0 3 0 3 MODIFIED DISPLACEMENT RANK AND SOME APPLICATIONS Interim reft. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER UTHOR(+) FRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(.) B./Friedlander, T./Kailath 🛲 M./Morf 4-620-74-C 68, NO \$\$14-7. 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TAS AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Stanford University 2304 A Dept. of Electrical Engineering 61102F Stanford, CA. 94305 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Dec Air Force Office of Scientific Research (NM) Bolling Air Force Base, Bldg. 410 13. NUMBER OF PAGE Washington, D.C. 20332 4 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of UNCLASSIFIED 154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Calenner Approved for public release; distribution unlimited FEB 23 1978 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Proc. 1977 IEEE Conference on Decision & Control, New Orleans, LA., December 1977. 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) **Recursive Algorithms** Linear Least Squares Estimation Problem Index of Nonstationarity Displacement Rank Constant-Coefficient State-Space Models 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Recursive Algorithms for linear least squares estimation problems have been based mainly on state-space models. Recently, some new recursive solutions were obtained for processes classified in terms of their crindex of nonstationarity" or equivalently--the displacement rank of their covariance functions. While this definition provides a natural explanation of the properties of constantcoefficient state-space models, it is not satisfactory for time-variant models. However a modified definition of the displacement rank makes it possible to imbed time-varying state-space models in the more general input-output framework. DD , FORM 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE UNCLASSIFIED 400 852 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) And Karpers &



AFOSR-TR- 78-0143

MODIFIED DISPLACEMENT RANK AND SOME APPLICATIONS

ort second column togetid and such

B. Friedlander,^{††} T. Kailath and M. Morf Information Systems Laboratory Stanford University, CA 94305

1.16

Abstract

Recursive algorithms for linear least squares estimation problems have been based mainly on state-space models. Recently, some new recursive solutions were obtained for processes classified in terms of their "index of nonstationarity" or equivalently -- the displacement rank of their covariance functions. While this definition provides a natural explanation of the properties of constant-coefficient state-space model's, it is not satisfactory for time-variant models. However a modified definition of the displacement rank makes it possible to imbed time-varying state-space models in the more general input-output framework. In so doing, we are able to show the mutual relationships of the Kalman filter Riccati equation, the time-varying Chandrasekhar equations and the Krein-Levinson equations.

I. Introduction

11.1514

In [1]-[5] we have developed recursive estimation algorithms using input-output models (e.g., covariance functions) instead of state-space models. A central idea in our approach was that of the <u>displacement rank</u> α (an "index of nonstationarity") of the covariance functions of the signal and observation processes. Using this notion certain Sobolev- and Krein-Levinson-type differential equations were developed for the optimal smoother and optimal filter, leading to computational algorithms whose complexity depends on the displacement rank α .

By imposing constant-parameter state-space structure on the covariance functions, we then showed in [1] how the Krein-Levinson equations led to the Chandrasekhar equations for the computation of the Kalman gain. The successful imbedding of the state-space case in the input-output framework was due to the fact that the covariance function of <u>constant</u> parameter statespace models has a (relatively) small displacement rank. However, processes associated with time varying models will not have small α and may, in fact, have infinite displacement ranks. This fact prevented us from treating time varying models in our input-output framework.

^TThis work was supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Air Force Systems Command, under Contract AF44-620-74-C-0068, and in part by the National Science Foundation under Contract NSF-Eng-75-18952 and the Joint Services Electronics Program under Contract N00014-75-C-0601.

¹⁷Now with Systems Control, Inc., Palo Alto, CA 94304.

In Section II we shall show that this difficulty can be circumvented by introducing a more general definition that (1) leads to small values of a both for time-varying and time-invariant state-space models, (ii) coincides with the previous definition of a in the time-invariant case. In Sec. III, we note briefly how the general results of [1]-[2] will be modified with the new definition of displacement rank-- the changes are minor. Then in Sec. IV we shall show how the imposition of state-space structure leads to the time-variant Chandrasekhar equations of [6]. A direct derivation of these equations was first given in [7]-[8] (see also [9]-[10]). Unfortunately, as noted in [8]-[9] the time-variant version is just a set of two-point boundary value equations, which is not especially easy to solve. In fact, a standard approach to two-point equations is via the Riccati equation, and we shall show that this can be done here as well. Of course, the Riccati equation could also have been directly obtained from the state-space models. (as in the usual Kalman filter). The contribution here is that we show how to deduce the Riccati equation from a more general set of equations applicable when no state-space models are available.

Most proofs are omitted in this short paper, but may be found in [11]; there we also indicate the analogous results for discrete-time estimation.

II. The displacement rank of covariance functions

The displacement rank of a kernel $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined in [1] as the smallest integer α such that we can write

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial s} K(t,s) = K(t,\tau) K(\tau,s) + D(t) \Lambda D'(s)$$
(1)

where K, D, and Λ are matrix functions with dimensions p x p, p x α and α x α respectively. The functions D and Λ need not be unique, though it will often be simplest to assume that Λ is diagonal.

The reasons for choosing this definition of the displacement rank and its application in solving Fredholm and Wiener-Hopf type integral equation are discussed in detail in [1], [2] and will not be repeated here.

Instead we shall focus on the special case of processes generated by lumped state-space models

$$x(t) = F(t)x(t) + G(t)u(t)$$
, $x(t) = x_{t}$

$$y(t) = H(t)x(t) + v(t), t \ge t$$

where x(.) is nxl, u(.) is mxl, and y(.) scalar,

E u(t)u'(s) = Q(t) δ (t-s), E v(t)v'(s) = I δ (t-s) E u(t)v'(s) = 0 = E u(t)x'_T, and

Approved for public release;

Att MAIstribution unlimited BL DELLED

$E x_{\tau} x_{\tau}^{*} = P(\tau)$, a given non matrix.

When the model parameters $\{F(\cdot), G(\cdot), H(\cdot), Q(\cdot)\}$ are <u>constant</u> then it was shown in [1] that

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial s})K(t,s) = K(t,\tau)K'(s,\tau) + He^{F(t-\tau)}$$

$$\cdot \dot{P}(\tau)e^{F'(s-\tau)}H' \qquad (2)$$

where

P(T) = F P(T) + P(T)F' - P(T)H'H P(T) + GQG'.

In this case, therefore, we see that

 α = rank $P(\tau)$. It is easy to verify that the processes $x(\cdot)$ and $y(\cdot)$ will be stationary for $t \ge \tau$ if F is stable and $P(\tau)$ obeys

$$F P(\tau) + P(\tau)F' + GQG' = 0$$
,

in which case $\alpha = 1$. For other initial conditions, α will generally be greater than 1, but in any case we shall always have $\alpha \leq n$. The significance of α is that $n(1+\alpha)$ is the . number of equations in the Chandrasekhar equations for finding the least-squares estimates of $x(\cdot)$, which is to be compared to the $n^2/2$ equations needed in the usual Riccati-equationbased Kalman filter solution. We refer to [1] for more details.

Our interest here is in the fact that when F(t), H(t), Q(t) are time-varying, applying the operator $(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial s})$ to the covariance function will lead to a rather involved expression. We can no longer claim that the displacement rank of $K(t,s;\tau)$ will be bounded by n and it may even be infinity. This raises the question of using a different definition of the displacement rank, one that will still yield an upper bound of n for the displace rank.

Let us re-define the displacement rank of $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ as the smallest integer α such that

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}K(t,s;\tau) = K(t,\tau)K(\tau,s) + D(t)\Lambda D'(s)$$
(3)

where K, D and A have dimensions pxp, pair and axa, respectively. To see why this particular definition was chosen note that in the constant parameter case the covariance K depends only on the difference $(t-\tau)$ and $(s-\tau)$, which can be symbolically written as $K(t,s;\tau) =$ $K(t-\tau, s-\tau)$. Therefore,

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} K(t-\tau, s-\tau) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right) K(t-\tau, s-\tau)$$
(4)

which indicates that the new definition (3) yields the same result in this case as the "old" definition (1). In the time-varying case it is no longer true that $K(t,s;\tau) = K(t-\tau, s-\tau)$, and the two definitions are really different.

However, when the new definition (3) is applied to the covariance function of the timevarying state-space model it can be shown that [14]

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} K(t,s) = K(t,\tau)K'(s,\tau) + H(t)\Phi(t,) \cdot \\ \cdot (\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} P(\tau))\Phi'(s,\tau)H'(s) \qquad (5)$$

where Φ is the state transition matrix of $F(\cdot, \cdot)$. It is obvious from (5) that the new displacement rank is upper bounded by n. Note also that even in problems where there is no dependence on T (say a K(t,s) defined for $0 \le t, s \le T$), the new definition can still be used by introducing T artificially, say by $K(t,s) = K(t-T, s-T)|_T = 0$, so that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} K(t-\tau, s-\tau) \Big|_{\tau=0} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right) K(t,s),$$

which coincides with the original definition.

III. The modified Sobolev- and Levinson-Krein-Deprestype equations at here on first page one.

Let us now consider the problem of estimating a stochastic process $x(\cdot)$ (n-dimensional) from observations of a related process $y(\cdot)$ (pdimensional), using the knowledge of their covariance functions,

$$E y(t)y'(s) = I \delta(t-s) + K(t,s), \tau \le s, t \le T$$
 (6)
E x(t)y'(s) = K_{m}(t,s) (7)

It is well known that the optimal smoother $H_{xy}(t,s)$ and optimal filter $h_{xy}(t,s)$ for the process $x(\cdot)$ can be obtained as the solution of certain integral equations. For example, $h_{xy}(t,s)$ obeys a Wiener-Hopf equation of the form

$$h_{xy}(t,s) + \int_{\tau}^{t} h_{xy}(t,\sigma) K(\sigma,s) d\sigma = K_{xy}(t,s);$$

$$\tau \leq s \leq t, \quad (8)$$

and H_{xy} obeys a Fredholm equation of the second kind. The notion of the displacement rank was useful in reducing the solution of such equations to that of the generalized Krein-Levinson equations (cf., [1], [2]). To do this, it was necessary to make the following structural assumption about the cross covariance function $K_{xy}(\cdot, \cdot)$,

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right) K_{xy}(t,s) = K_{xy}(t,\tau) K(\tau,s) + D_{xy}(t) \Lambda D'(s)$$
(9)

where D, Λ are as defined earlier, and D_{XY} is defined by the equation above. Using our new definition for the displacement rank we shall replace (9) by

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}K_{xy}(t,s) = K_{xy}(t,\tau)K(\tau,s) + D_{xy}(t)\Lambda D'(s).$$
(10)

It can be shown that with the new definitions, we can obtain a very similar set of equations for h_{XY} , H_{XY} to those presented in [1]. In fact, the only changes that have to be made are replac-

ing $(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial s})$ by $(-\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau})$ and using the new

values of $[D, D_{XY}, \Lambda, \alpha]$. We give here only a subset of the analogs of the equations of [1], in fact only those necessary for the analysis in Sec. IV:

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}h_{xy}(t,s) = B_{xy}(t;t)\Lambda B'(t;s)$$
(11)

ALL MATERIAL IN THIS SHOP WILL BE DELETED.

$$Bft;s) + \int_{T}^{T} K(s,\sigma) B(t;\sigma) d\sigma = D(s)$$
, (12a)

$$B_{xy}(t;s) + \int_{\tau}^{t} K_{xy}(s,\sigma)B(t;\sigma)d\sigma = D_{xy}(s)$$
(12b)

$$B_{xy}(t;t) = D_{xy}(t) - \int_{\tau}^{t} h_{xy}(t,\sigma) D(\sigma) d\sigma . \quad (12c)$$

Notice that the dependence of $h_{xy}(t,s)$ on τ is not explicitly shown.

IV. Imbedding the state-space case in the inputoutput framework.

Let us now introduce some further assumptions about the covariance functions K, K_{xy} , which will impose a state-space type structure on the processes $x(\cdot)$ and $y(\cdot)$. We assume that there exist functions $F(\cdot)$ (n x m) and $H(\cdot)$ (p x n) such that $K(t,s) = H(t)K_{xy}(t,s)$, and

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} K_{xy}(t,s) = F(t)K_{xy}(t,s)$. It can be shown [1] that under these assumptions the filtered estimate $\hat{x}(t)$ obeys the usual Kalman filter equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \hat{x}(t) = F(t)\hat{x}(t) + h_{xy}(t,t)(y(t) - H(t)\hat{x}(t)) ,$$

where $h_{xy}(t,t)$ can be identified as the Kalman gain. The significance of this fact is that, at least in the constant-parameter case, it was shown [1], [7] that the Chandrasekhar-type equations could be used to compute $h_{xy}(t,t)$, instead of having to compute $h_{xy}(t,s)$ for all $t \le s \le t$ as would be required when no statespace structure is available.

We shall now show what are the corresponding equations for the time-varying case. With the assumptions (13), we see from (12a,b) that we can identify

 $B(t;s) = H(s)B_{XY}(t;s)$

and therefore, we can write (11) as

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}h_{xy}(t,t) = B_{xy}(t;t)\Lambda B'_{xy}(t;t)H'(t)$$
(14)

With a little calculation (see [11] and [1]), it can also be shown that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} B_{xy}(t;t) = (F(t) - h_{xy}(t,t)H(t))B(t;t). \quad (15)$$

Equations (14), (15) are the time-varying version of the Chandrasekhar-type equations that were derived using a completely different approach in [8], [9]. Note that (14), (15) can not be solved directly since the time arguments "do not fit", that is, because of the opposite directions of evolution of these equations, at any intermediate point the values of $B_{XY}(\cdot; \cdot)$ needed to solve for $h_{XY}(\cdot, \cdot)$, will not be available. This difficulty is circumvented in the time-invariant model case, because now we can reverse the direction of time in (14) since in this case

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} h_{xy}(t,t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_{xy}(t,t)$$

In the time-variant case, the Chandrasekhar equations (first obtained in [8]) have to be regarded as a general set of two-point boundaryvalue equations, with all the attendant difficulties. It is wellknown that the Riccati equation enables us to replace the two-point Hamiltonian equations of control and estimation theory by an initial-value equation, and this can be done here as well.

Introduction of the Riccati Equation

This difficulty can be resolved by considering instead a different quantity $P(t, \tau)$, defined by

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}h_{xy}(t,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}P(t,\tau)H'(t) = B_{xy}(t;t)AB'_{xy}$$

$$\cdot (t;t)H'(t) , \qquad (16)$$

or rather, in its integrated form $h_{xy}(t,t) = P(t,\tau)H'(t)$. Differentation of (16) with respect to t and integration with respect to τ gives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P(t,\tau) = F(t)P(t,\tau) + P(t,\tau)F'(t) - P(t,\tau)H'(t) \cdot \\ \cdot H(t)P(t,\tau) + \tilde{Q}(t) , P(\tau,t) = P(\tau)$$
(17)

 $Q(\cdot)$ being the integration constant. This is an initial-value equation, solution of which will yield $P(t,\tau)$ and then $h_{xy}(t,t)$ via (16) and then $\hat{x}(t)$ via the Kalman filter equation.

In fact, we have now obtained the usual Kalman filter solution, except that we have not yet identified $\tilde{Q}(\cdot)$. In the case that $\mathbf{x}(\cdot)$ and $\mathbf{y}(\cdot)$ are related by the state-space model of Sec. II, it can be shown that $\tilde{Q}(\cdot) =$ $G(\cdot) Q(\cdot) G'(\cdot)$, as expected.

V. Concluding Remarks

We presented a new definition for the displacement rank of covariance functions that makes it possible to imbed both time-invariant and timevarying state-space models in a more general input-output framework. This approach provides insight into the relationship between various solutions to the estimation problem and clarifies the role of the state-space structure in simplifying the estimation algorithms. For processes with measure a of "distance from stationarity" recursive estimation algorithms of the Levinsontype can be derived [1], [4]. If additional (state space type) structure is added to the problem, alternative algorithms become available. In the time-varying state-space case the Kalmanfilter and the Riccati equation are naturally obtained, while in the constant-parameter case the more efficient Chandrasekhar equations may be used. Of course the general input-output recursions have to be used when state-space models are not readily available.

· References

an e it in wisaging

 T. Kailath, L. Ljung and M. Morf, "Recursive input-output and State-space solutions for continuous-time linear estimation problems", Proceedings of the 1976 Conference on Decision and Control, Clearwater, Florida. Also submitted for publication.

- [2] T. Kailath, L. Ljung and M. Morf, "A new approach to the determination of Fredholm Resolvents of nondisplacement Kernels".
- [3] B. Friedlander, M. Morf, T. Kailath and L. Ljung, "New inversion formulas for matrices classified in terms of their distance from Toeplitz matrices", submitted for publication.

And the state of a state of the state of the

cond column of text here on first p. . e only.

Trad to annehing the build

the state stagets take all entered

dout the confines function of Same , they

vill hepote a state-space tree structure on the processes att, and vill lie prome that the size hunching bill is an and B(.) for x of

ADDESSION for

WAX . 100GEB

PISTRIBOTION SYAILABILITY CODES

ATAIL and/er SPECIAL

TIS

194

ASTH AS THE

Through the state of the state

Hist.

and derived where a completed different movement in 181, 19, these that (14), (15) of he selved directly slace the time argume

manual to write for he C. . . will not be any diabate. This Biffibuity is discussed to the the first-investment sound come, because now ye

and inter the out that came the there and a set the evertions (fills abtained in 181) have to 191;

and the second of

And the set of the second

27/2

anas ales il

alterater (raterick)

White Section

mass

in the p

- [4] "Levinson- and Chandrasekhar-type equations for a general discrete-time linear estimation problem", to appear in the IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control.
- [5] B. Friedlander, "Scattering theory and linear least squares estimation", Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Elec. Eng., Stanford University, Stanford, California, August 1976.
- [6] T. Kailath, "Some new algorithms for recursive estimation in constant linear systems", IEEE Trans. on Information Theory, vol.
- IT-19, No. 6, pp. 750-760, November 1973. [7] T. Kailath and L. Ljung, "A scattering theory framework for fast, least squares algorithms", Proc. 4th Int'l Symposium on multiv. anal. Dayton, Ohio, June 1975.
- [8] L. Ljung, T. Kailath and B. Friedlander, "Scattering theory and linear least squares estimation, part I: continuous-time problems", Proc. IEEE, Vol. 64, No. 1, pp. 131-139, January 1976.
- [9] G.S. Sidhu, "A method of orthogonal directions, part I: Estimation algorithms of Chandrasekhar- and Cholesky-types for nonconstant models", Tech. Report, Dept. of Electrical Engineering, State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, 1975.
- [10] D.G. Lainiotis and K.S. Govindaraj, "A unifying approach to linear estimation via the partitioned algorithms, I: Continuous models", Proc. of the 1975 IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, pp. 651-657.
- [11] B. Friedlander, T. Kailath and M. Morf, "A modified displacement rank and some applications", submitted to the IEEE Trans. on 977. In the line of an annihilate Aut. Control, 1977.

AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (AFSC) NOTICE OF TRANSMITTAL TO DDC This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for public release IAW AFR 190-18 (7b) Distribution is unlimited. A. D. BLOSE

eith manante o of "distance from standards"

Technical Information Officer And a list of the source of Andrea a failed of (1)