

AD-A048 772

CARNEGIE-MELLON UNIV PITTSBURGH PA DEPT OF METALLURG--ETC F/G 11/6  
SOME OBSERVATIONS ON THE STRUCTURE OF Ti-11.5MO-6ZR-4.5SN (BETA--ETC(U)  
MAR 77 J C WILLIAMS, F H FROES, C F YOLTON N00014-76-C-0409

UNCLASSIFIED

JWTR-2

NL

1 of 1  
AD  
A048772



END  
DATE  
FILMED  
2-78  
DDC

ADAU48772

ONR TECHNICAL REPORT

JWTR-2

"Some Observations on the Structure  
of Ti-11.5Mo-6Zr-4.5Sn (Beta III) as  
Affected by Processing History"

*N00014-76-C-0409*

Department of Metallurgy and Materials Science  
Carnegie-Mellon University  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

March 1977

*(See 1473)*

**DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A**  
Approved for public release;  
Distribution Unlimited

DDC  
RECEIVED  
JAN 25 1978  
RECEIVED  
A

ACCESSION for	
NTIS	White Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDC	Buff Section <input type="checkbox"/>
UNANNOUNCED	<input type="checkbox"/>
JUSTIFICATION	
BY	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
	SPECIAL

*A*

SOME OBSERVATIONS ON THE STRUCTURE OF Ti-11.5Mo-6Zr-4.5Sn  
(BETA III) AS AFFECTED BY PROCESSING HISTORY

J. C. Williams\*, F. H. Froes<sup>#</sup> and C. F. Yolton<sup>@</sup>

There have been a number of articles written on the structure and properties of the metastable beta titanium alloy Ti-11.5Mo-6Zr-4.5Sn (Beta III).<sup>1-11</sup> Several of these have made sound contributions to the understanding of the structure and properties of the alloy in the heat treated conditions studied. However, many have not addressed the questions of optimum processing and heat treatment as viewed from an application's standpoint. Accordingly, the alloy has been variously reported to have poorer tensile ductility,<sup>4,7</sup> lower stress corrosion resistance<sup>5</sup> and poorer toughness than is typical when proper processing conditions are used. Furthermore, in some cases the details of the microstructural analysis appear to be in conflict and in other cases the interpretation given to some of these results warrants closer scrutiny with regard to their correctness and general applicability.<sup>4,6,7</sup> For example, Ganesan, et al<sup>7</sup> suggest that  $\omega$ -phase precipitates in  $\beta$ -grain boundaries, yet many other studies have shown that  $\omega$ -phase only is uniformly nucleated whereas  $\alpha$ -phase is always the heterogeneous nucleation product.<sup>12,13</sup> Further, the effects that spontaneous relaxation in thin foils have on the apparent microstructure are now well-known and have been extensively documented.<sup>14,15</sup> Despite this, the latent effects of such thin foil artifacts have been described in length<sup>6</sup> even though there appears to be no correlation between these effects and bulk

\*Associate Professor, Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA

<sup>#</sup>Manager, Titanium Research & Development, Colt Industries/Crucible Materials Research Center, Pittsburgh, PA

<sup>@</sup>Staff Engineer, Colt Industries/Crucible Materials Research Center, Pittsburgh, PA

material behavior.

The purpose of this communication is to describe the optimum processing for Beta III, to demonstrate the effect of such processing on mechanical properties and to correct several erroneous notions regarding microstructural details of the alloy.

Since Beta III is generically known as a metastable beta alloy, many investigators have been tempted to start with the alloy in the fully metastable  $\beta$ -phase condition. This is achieved by solution treating the alloy above the beta transus followed by rapid cooling to room temperature. Subsequent aging of the alloy in this condition results in precipitation of the  $\omega$  or  $\alpha$  phases, depending on the aging temperature. Numerous investigations have examined the formation of omega phase in this and other alloys and these have shown that the  $\beta \rightleftharpoons \omega + \beta$  reaction occurs rapidly during aging at temperatures between 315°C (600°F) and 455°C (850°F).<sup>11,12,16</sup> It generally agreed that the formation of large volume fractions of  $\omega$ -phase leads to large increases in strength and drastic reductions in ductility. Under carefully controlled circumstances it has been shown that attractive properties can be achieved in the  $\beta + \omega$  condition.<sup>10,13</sup> However, the rapid kinetics of  $\omega$ -phase formation make the control of  $\omega$ -phase volume fraction difficult to the point of impracticality. Thus, means of minimizing or eliminating  $\omega$ -phase formation are desirable, and under no circumstances can processing to yield omega phase be considered as optimum processing as has been suggested elsewhere.<sup>7</sup> Aging at 480°C (900°F) and above leads to  $\alpha$ -phase precipitation and an attendant sizeable increase in strength with the retention of good ductility.<sup>1</sup> Representative properties for

these heat treated conditions are listed in Table I. The kinetics of  $\alpha$ -phase formation by uniform nucleation are much slower than those of  $\omega$ -phase formation.<sup>1</sup> As a result, the tendency for heterogeneous  $\alpha$ -phase nucleation is very pronounced.<sup>8</sup> This tendency leads to extensive  $\alpha$ -phase formation at  $\beta$ -phase grain boundaries (Figure 1) unless a suitable density of alternate nucleation sites are present. Such nucleation sites include dislocations and dislocation sub-boundaries, the density of which can be controlled by warm working\* the material prior to aging. The nucleation of  $\alpha$ -phase at sub-boundaries is shown in Figure 2. Under such conditions, nucleation of  $\alpha$ -phase at  $\beta$  grain boundaries can be minimized or suppressed.<sup>8</sup> Further, aging warm worked material which contains a high dislocation density can suppress  $\omega$ -phase during aging. This results from the marked acceleration in kinetics of  $\alpha$ -phase precipitation in the presence of heterogeneous nucleation sites. These observations tend to cast doubt on suggestions by other workers that  $\omega$ -phase can form at grain boundaries.<sup>7</sup> No evidence for heterogeneous nucleation of  $\omega$ -phase has been obtained in the investigations described herein.

Based on previous results<sup>10</sup> which suggest that  $\omega$ -phase formation is difficult to control because of the rapid reaction kinetics, we strongly recommend that any optimum processing sequence must result in a final microstructure which does not contain  $\omega$ -phase. Based on the earlier discussion, this requires warm working to provide sufficient  $\alpha$ -phase nucleation sites to ensure that  $\omega$ -phase formation is suppressed. Further, earlier discussion also showed that optimum processing results in suppression of grain boundary  $\alpha$ . This also can be achieved by warm working since a

---

\* We use "warm working" to describe working above room temperature but below the recrystallization temperature.

high density of intragranular nucleation  $\alpha$ -phase sites suppresses grain boundary  $\alpha$ -phase precipitation. Thus, our suggested optimum processing of  $\beta$ -III is a warm working operation, for example a 50% reduction in the temperature range 730<sup>0</sup>- 675<sup>0</sup>C, followed by an aging treatment the duration and temperature of which is selected to give the desired strength level. In this discussion we have considered that current increasing emphasis on fracture control in structural number places a practical upper strength limit in the neighborhood of 1240-1275 MPA (180-185 ksi) ultimate tensile strength and 1170-1210 MPA (170-175 ksi) yield strength. Such treatments as pre-aging in  $\omega$ -phase formation followed by a higher temperature aging treatment<sup>4</sup> lead to much higher strengths but these have very limited interest for structural applications. In this context, we suggest that this optimum processing provides improvements in toughness: strength, stress corrosion resistance and tensile ductility at any particular strength level when compared to non-optimum processed material. An example of this latter might be material which has been super transus solution treated, quenched and aged.

The uniformity of  $\alpha$ -phase heterogeneous nucleation sites (dislocations) depends to a significant extent on the deformation mode; planar slip or twinning are undesirable in this regard since they result in inhomogeneous deformation. It has been reported that Beta III exhibits a grain size dependent twin-slip transition during room temperature deformation.<sup>4</sup> We have examined this point and can find no evidence for such a transition. Samples with grain sizes ranging from 6 $\mu$ m to 95 $\mu$ m were deformed  $\sim$ 10% at room temperature and examined by transmission electron microscopy. In all cases twinning

was observed, an example of which is shown in Figure 3. Both electron diffraction and x-ray diffraction were used to verify that the lenticular deformation product shown above was twinning and not a strain-induced martensite as has been suggested and discussed elsewhere.<sup>7,17</sup> Only bcc reflections were obtained in the diffraction patterns which verified the product as twinning rather than martensite.

In summary, we have shown that warm working of Beta III so as to promote a high residual dislocation density has a marked influence on microstructure in the following regard.

1. The presence of a high dislocation density promotes transgranular nucleation of  $\alpha$ -phase and accelerates the kinetics of  $\alpha$ -phase formation. Both of these factors tend to suppress formation of detrimental grain boundary  $\alpha$ .
2. The presence of a high dislocation density promotes direct formation of the equilibrium  $\alpha$ -phase and thus suppresses formation of the undesirable transitional  $\omega$ -phase.
3. Deformation of Beta III at room temperature always results in twinning whereas elevated temperature deformation can occur by slip alone.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Experimental assistance of David J. Finniff, Dennis Gallagher and Ron Hohowski is gratefully acknowledged. One of us (JCW) also acknowledges the support of the Office of Naval Research under Contract N00014-76-C-0409.



## REFERENCES

1. V. C. Petersen, F. H. Froes and R. F. Malone, "Titanium Science and Technology," Jaffee and Burte, eds, Plenum Press 3, 1969, (1973).
2. F. H. Froes, J. M. Capenos and M. G. H. Wells, "Titanium Science and Technology," Jaffee and Burte, eds, Plenum Press, 3, 1621, (1973).
3. J. B. Guernsey, V. C. Petersen and F. H. Froes, Discussion of Reference 5, Met. Trans., 3, 339, (1972).
4. L. A. Rosales, A. W. Sommer and K. Ono, U. S. Patent #3,794,528, February 26, 1974.
5. J. A. Feeney and M. J. Blackburn, Met. Trans., 1, 3309, (1970).
6. H. J. Rack, D. Kalish and K. D. Fike, Mat. Sci. Engr., 6, 181, (1970).
7. P. Ganesan, R. H. DeAngelis and Gordon A. Sargent, J1. Less Comm, Metals, 34, 209, (1974).
8. J. C. Williams, F. H. Froes, C. F. Yolton and I. M. Bernstein, Proc. 4th Int. Conference on Strength of Metals and Alloys, 2, 639, (1976).
9. J. C. Williams, F. H. Froes and S. Fujishiro, Proc. of 3rd Int. Conference on Titanium Alloys, Moscow, USSR (1976) in press.
10. J. C. Williams, B. S. Hickman and H. L. Marcus, Met. Trans., 2, 1913, (1971).
11. B. S. Hickman, H. L. Marcus and J. C. Williams, Proc. Conf. on SCC of Titanium Alloys, Atlanta, GA, in press.
12. M. J. Blackburn and J. C. Williams, TMS-AIME, 242, 2461, (1968).
13. R. R. Boyer, R. Taggart and D. H. Polonis, Metallography 7, 241, (1974).
14. R. A. Spurling, C. G. Rhodes and J. C. Williams, Met. Trans.
15. M. J. Blackburn and J. C. Williams, TMS-AIME

16. B. S. Hickman, Jr. *Materials Science*, 4, 554, (1969).

17. J. C. Williams, "Titanium Science and Technology," Jaffee and Burte, eds, Plenum Press, 3, 1433, (1973).

LIST OF FIGURE CAPTIONS

- Figure 1. Bright field electron micrograph of Beta III solution treated above the beta transus and aged 8 h at 950<sup>0</sup>F (515<sup>0</sup>C), showing continuous layer of alpha phase at beta grain boundary and fine uniformly nucleated alpha phase within the beta grains.
- Figure 2. Bright field electron micrograph showing heterogeneously nucleated alpha phase precipitates at dislocation boundaries.
- Figure 3. Bright field electron micrograph showing twins in Beta III solution treated above the beta transus and deformed ~10% by cold rolling.

TABLE I

TYPICAL AGED PROPERTIES OF BETA III BAR, PLATE AND SHEET

<u>Product</u>	<u>Condition</u>	<u>Tensile Strength (ksi)</u>	<u>Yield Strength 0.2% Offset (ksi)</u>	<u>Elongation (%)</u>	<u>Reduction of Area (%)</u>
1" to 1½" dia. Bar	Sub-transus ST + 900F 8 hr	194	184	12	36
" "	" " 1000F 8 hr	172	164	14	52
1" Plate	Sub-transus ST + 900F 8 hr	190	177	3	11
" "	" " 1100F 8 hr	151	144	8	18
0.063" Sheet	Sub-transus ST + 950F 8 hr	190	178	7	25
" "	" " 1000F 8 hr	168	158	8	45

1 ksi = 6.89 MPa  
 °F = 1.8 x °C + 32  
 1 in. = 25.4 mm



Figure 1

1965  
1966  
1967  
1968  
1969  
1970  
1971  
1972  
1973  
1974  
1975  
1976  
1977  
1978  
1979  
1980  
1981  
1982  
1983  
1984  
1985  
1986  
1987  
1988  
1989  
1990  
1991  
1992  
1993  
1994  
1995  
1996  
1997  
1998  
1999  
2000  
2001  
2002  
2003  
2004  
2005  
2006  
2007  
2008  
2009  
2010  
2011  
2012  
2013  
2014  
2015  
2016  
2017  
2018  
2019  
2020  
2021  
2022  
2023  
2024  
2025

TABLE I  
SUMMARY OF DATA FOR THE YEAR 1965

TABLE I



Figure 2



Figure 3

Unclassified  
Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Carnegie-Mellon University	2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified
	2b. GROUP ----

3. REPORT TITLE  
6 Some Observations on the Structure of Ti-11.5Mo-6Zr-4.5Sn (Beta III) as Affected by Processing History

8. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)  
9 J.T.C. Technical Report

Author(s)  
Williams, F. H. / Froes C. F. / Volton C. F.

6. REPORT DATE 15 11 Mar 1977	7. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 12 16p. 11	7b. NO. OF REFS 17
----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-----------------------

8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. N00014-76-C-0409	9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 14 JWTR-2
b. PROJECT NO.	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report) -----
c.	
d.	

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES  
Unlimited

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES To be published in Met Trans	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Office of Naval Research
---------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

13. ABSTRACT  
beta

This paper describes the effect of processing history on properties of the metastable  $\beta$  titanium alloy Ti-11.5Mo-6Zr-4.5Sn. It is shown that numerous earlier published accounts of properties have corresponded to non-optimum processing. In addition, attempts to correlate microstructure with properties have often been based on questionable microstructural interpretation; this paper also attempts to correct several of these points. Finally, the paper describes what is considered to be closer to if not optimum processing of this alloy.

404459 *Jmc*



Unclassified

Security Classification

14. KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Titanium alloys, processing history, twinning, electron microscopy, microstructure						

INSTRUCTIONS

1. **ORIGINATING ACTIVITY:** Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (*corporate author*) issuing the report.

2a. **REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION:** Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. **GROUP:** Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. **REPORT TITLE:** Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. **DESCRIPTIVE NOTES:** If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. **AUTHOR(S):** Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. **REPORT DATE:** Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. **TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES:** The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. **NUMBER OF REFERENCES:** Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. **CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER:** If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. **PROJECT NUMBER:** Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. **ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S):** Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. **OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S):** If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (*either by the originator or by the sponsor*), also enter this number(s).

10. **AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES:** Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. **SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES:** Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. **SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY:** Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (*paying for*) the research and development. Include address.

13. **ABSTRACT:** Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. **KEY WORDS:** Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, roles, and weights is optional.