



Federal Gobol Cobol Compiler Testing Service VALIDATION Summary Report





Department of the Navy (ADPESO)

> Washington, D.C.<sup>°</sup> 20376

A

# FORTRAN COMPILER VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT

6.

# VALIDATION NUMBER FCVS66-VSR265

D D C JAN 12 1978 -1 V

DISARBOTION STATISTICAT A

Prepared By:

FEDERAL COBOL COMPILER TESTING SERVICE DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20376

4-

BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA 1. Report No 19 FCC	75-)	2.	3.	Recipient's	Accession No.
SHEET FCVS66-VSR265				Report Date	
FORTHRAN COMPILER			1	Ho Dec	77
Validation Summary Report, # FCVS66-V	ISR265 (1	Assigned b	y Manager	Sp Dec	101
MVS FORTRAN IV G1	01	f Testing)		G	2) IMp.
7. Author(s)			8.	Performing No.	Organization Kep
Same as organization - see 9.					
Performing Organization Name and Address Federal COBOL Compiler Testing Servi	~~ /	'	10	D. Project/T.	ask/Work Unit No
ADP Selection Office	.ce ·		1	. Contract/(	irant No.
Department of the Navy					stunt tiot
Washington, D. C. 20376					
2. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address			1:		eport & Period
Automatic Data Processing Selection	Office			Covered	
Department of the Navy					
Washington, D. C. 20376			1,	4.	
15. Supplementary Notes					
6. Abstracts					
This Validation Summary Report (VSR)					FORTRA
Compiler Version (	MVS		sion <u>3.7</u> ,		_) provide:
a consolidated summary of the result					
compiler against the 1966 FORTRAN St					
					viou of
several sections showing the discrep					
the validation which lists all categ	ories of	discrepan	cies; a se	ection re	lating
the validation which lists all categ the categories of discrepancies to t	ories of he langua	discrepan age; and a	cies; a se detailed	ection re	lating
the validation which lists all categ	ories of he langua	discrepan age; and a	cies; a se detailed d.	ection re	lating
the validation which lists all categ the categories of discrepancies to t	ories of he langua	discrepan age; and a	cies; a se detailed	ection re	lating
the validation which lists all categ the categories of discrepancies to t	ories of he langua	discrepan age; and a	cies; a se detailed d.	ection re	lating
the validation which lists all categ the categories of discrepancies to t	ories of he langua	discrepan age; and a	cies; a se detailed d.	ection re	lating
the validation which lists all categ the categories of discrepancies to t discrepancies together with the test	ories of he langua s which w	discrepan age; and a	cies; a se detailed d.	ection re	lating
<ul> <li>the validation which lists all categories of discrepancies to t</li> <li>discrepancies together with the test</li> <li>7. Key Words and Document Analysis. 170. Descriptors</li> </ul>	ories of he langua s which w	discrepan age; and a	cies; a se detailed d.	ection re	lating
<ul> <li>the validation which lists all categories of discrepancies to t discrepancies to gether with the test</li> <li>7. Key Words and Document Analysis. 17a. Descriptors</li> <li>Programming Languages</li> </ul>	ories of he langua s which w	discrepan age; and a	cies; a se detailed d.	ection re	lating
<ul> <li>the validation which lists all categories of discrepancies to t discrepancies to gether with the test</li> <li>7. Key Words and Document Analysis. 17a. Descriptors Programming Languages Standards</li> </ul>	ories of he langua s which w	discrepan age; and a	cies; a se detailed d.	ection re	lating
<ul> <li>the validation which lists all categories of discrepancies to t discrepancies to gether with the test</li> <li>7. Key Words and Document Analysis. 17a. Descriptors</li> <li>Programming Languages</li> </ul>	ories of he langua s which w	discrepan age; and a	cies; a se detailed d.	ection re	lating
<ul> <li>the validation which lists all categories of discrepancies to t discrepancies together with the test</li> <li>17. Key Words and Document Analysis. 17a. Descriptors</li> <li>Programming Languages</li> <li>Standards</li> <li>Compilers</li> </ul>	ories of he langua s which w	discrepan age; and a	cies; a se detailed d.	ection re	lating
the validation which lists all categ the categories of discrepancies to t discrepancies together with the test 7. Key Words and Document Analysis. 17a. Descriptors Programming Languages Standards Compilers FORTRAN Verifying Proving Program Correctness	ories of he langua s which w	discrepan age; and a	cies; a se detailed d.	ection re	lating
<ul> <li>the validation which lists all categories of discrepancies to to the discrepancies together with the test</li> <li>7. Key Words and Document Analysis. 17a. Descriptors</li> <li>Programming Languages</li> <li>Standards</li> <li>Compilers</li> <li>FORTRAN</li> <li>Verifying</li> </ul>	ories of he langua s which w	discrepan age; and a	cies; a se detailed d.	ection re	lating
the validation which lists all categ the categories of discrepancies to t discrepancies together with the test	ories of he langua s which w	discrepan age; and a	cies; a se detailed d.	ection re	lating
the validation which lists all categ the categories of discrepancies to t discrepancies together with the test	ories of he langua s which w	discrepan age; and a	cies; a se detailed d.	ection re	lating
the validation which lists all categ the categories of discrepancies to t discrepancies together with the test 7. Key Words and Document Analysis. 17a. Descriptors Programming Languages Standards Compilers FORTRAN Verifying Proving Program Correctness Software Engineering:	ories of he langua s which w	discrepan age; and a	cies; a se detailed d.	ection re	lating
the validation which lists all categ the categories of discrepancies to t discrepancies together with the test 17. Key Words and Document Analysis. 17a. Descriptors Programming Languages Standards Compilers FORTRAN Verifying Proving Program Correctness Software Engineering:	ories of he langua s which w	discrepan age; and a	cies; a se detailed d.	ection re	lating
the validation which lists all categ the categories of discrepancies to t discrepancies together with the test 17. Key Words and Document Analysis. 17a. Descriptors Programming Languages Standards Compilers FORTRAN Verifying Proving Program Correctness Software Engineering: 17b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms	ories of he langua s which w	discrepan age; and a	cies; a se detailed d.	ection re	lating
the validation which lists all categ the categories of discrepancies to t discrepancies together with the test 17. Key Words and Document Analysis. 17a. Descriptors Programming Languages Standards Compilers FORTRAN Verifying Proving Program Correctness Software Engineering: 17b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms FCVS	ories of he langua s which w	discrepan age; and a	cies; a se detailed d.	ection re	lating
the validation which lists all categ the categories of discrepancies to t discrepancies together with the test 17. Key Words and Document Analysis. 17a. Descriptors Programming Languages Standards Compilers FORTRAN Verifying Proving Program Correctness Software Engineering: 17b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms FCVS	ories of he langua s which w	discrepan age; and a	cies; a se detailed d.	ection re	lating
the validation which lists all categ the categories of discrepancies to t discrepancies together with the test 17. Key Words and Document Analysis. 17a. Descriptors Programming Languages Standards Compilers FORTRAN Verifying Proving Program Correctness Software Engineering: 17b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms FCVS	ories of he langua s which w	discrepan age; and a	cies; a se detailed d.	ection re	lating
the validation which lists all categ the categories of discrepancies to t discrepancies together with the test	ories of he langua s which w	discrepan age; and a	cies; a se detailed d.	ection re	lating
the validation which lists all categories of discrepancies to the discrepancies together with the test discrepancies together with the test discrepancies together with the test of the discrepancies of the discrepancies of the discrepancies together with the test of the discrepancies of the discrepanci	ories of he langua s which w	discrepan age; and a vere faile	cies; a se detailed d.	ection re listing	lating of
the validation which lists all categories of discrepancies to the discrepancies together with the test discrepancies together with the test discrepancies together with the test of the discrepancies o	ories of he langua s which w	discrepan age; and a vere faile	cies; a se detailed d. X Security Class Report)	ection re listing	lating
the validation which lists all categories of discrepancies to the discrepancies together with the test discrepancies together with the test discrepancies together with the test of the discrepancies of the discrepancies of the discrepancies together with the test of the discrepancies of the discrepanci	ories of he langua s which w	discrepan age; and a vere faile	cies; a se detailed d. X Security Class	(This FIFD	lating of

# FORTRAN COMPILER VALIDATION

----- ----- -------

1. Validation NumberFCVS66-VSR2652. VendorInternational Business Machines3. MainframeIBM System 370/1684. Compiler IdentificationFORTRAN IV G1<br/>RELEASE 2.05. Operating System IdentificationMVS, Release 3.7, JES2

6. Compiler Validation System Version Number FCVS66 1.2

\*PLEASE NOTE. The Department of the Navy may make full and free public disclosure of the Validation Summary Report (VSR) in accordance with the "Freedom of Information Act" (5 U.S.C. #552). The results of this validadation are only for the purpose of satisfying United States Government requirements, and apply only to the Computer System, Operating System release, and compiler version identified in the VSR. The FORTRAN Compiler Validation System is used to determine, insofar as is practical, the degree to which the subject compiler conforms to American Standard FORTRAN, X3.9-1966. Thus, the VSR is necessarily discretionary and judgmental. The United States Government does not represent or warrant that the statements, or any one of them, set forth in the VSR are accurate or complete. The VSR is not meant to be used for the purpose of publicizing the findings summarized therein.

For information concerning this compiler you can contact the vendor's designated representative named below:

Jay Valentine Federal Support Center IBM Corporation 10401 Fernwood Road Bethesda, Maryland 20034



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1. INTRODUCTION

.

	1.1	Purpose of the Validation Summary Report	1
	1.2	Preparation of the VSR	1
	1.3	Organization of the VSR	1
	1.4	Use of the VSR	1
	1.5	Sources of Additional Information	2
SECTION 2.		DETAILED EVALUATION OF ERRORS	3
	2.1	Syntactical Errors	4
	2.2	Semantic Errors	4
SECTION	3.	SOFTWARE ENVIRONMENT	5
APPENDI	XA-	VALIDATION SUMMARY WORKING DOCUMENT	7

#### SECTION 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of the Validation Summary Report

The purpose of the Validation Summary Report (VSR) is to identify individual FORTRAN language elements whose implementation does not conform to the language specifications defined in American Standard FORTRAN, X3.9-1966.

### 1.2 Preparation of the VSR

The Validation Summary Report is prepared by analyzing the results of running the FORTRAN Compiler Validation System (FCVS). The FORTRAN Compiler Validation System consists of audit routines containing features of American Standard FORTRAN, their related data, and an Executive Routine which prepares the audit routines for compilation. Each audit routine is a FORTRAN program which includes many tests and supporting procedures indicating the result of the tests.

The testing of a compiler in a particular hardware/operating system environment is accomplished by compiling and executing each audit routine. The report produced by each routine tells whether the compiler passed or failed the tests in the routine. If the compiler rejects some language elements by terminating compilation, giving fatal diagnostic messages, or terminating execution abnormally, then the test containing the code the compiler was unable to process is deleted. The audit routine is compiled again and execution is repeated.

The compilation listings and the output reports of the audit routines constitute the raw data from which the members of the Federal COBOL Compiler Testing Service produce a Validation Summary Report.

#### 1.3 Organization of the VSR

The Validation Summary Report is made up of several sections whose contents are described below.

a. Section 2 summarizes the results of the compilation and execution of the programs comprising the FORTRAN Compiler Validation System. Section 2 is divided into a subsection describing the syntax errors encountered while compiling the FORTRAN audit routines, and a subsection describing the semantic errors which occurred during execution of the FORTRAN audit routines.

b. Section 3 contains information which describes the software environment in which the compiler was tested. This includes the name and version of the operating system and the logical unit/physical device assignments used in the programs comprising the FCVS. The options used with the compiler are also given, and if applicable, the use of compiler optimization features is explained.

c. Appendix A is the Validation Summary Working Document, a working paper resulting from the compilation and execution of the FCVS. The VSR is derived from Appendix A.

### 1.4. Use of the VSR

The Department of the Navy may make full and free public disclosure of the

Validation Summary Report (VSR) in accordance with the "Freedom of Information Act" (5 U.S.C. #552). The results of the validation are only for the purposes of satisfying United States Government requirements, and apply only to the computer system, operating system release, and compiler version identified in the VSR.

The FORTRAN Compiler Validation System is used to determine, insofar as is practical, the degree to which the subject compiler conforms to the FORTRAN Standard. Thus, the VSR is necessarily discretionary and judgmental. The United States Government does not represent or warrant that the statements, or any one of them, set forth in the VSR are accurate or complete. The VSR is not meant to be used for the purpose of publicizing the findings summarized therein.

1.5 Sources of Additional Information

The detailed FORTRAN language specifications are given in the publication "American Standard FORTRAN, X3.9-1966", available from the American National Standards Institute, 1430 Broadway, New York, New York 10018.

An explanation of the FORTRAN Compiler Validation System is contained in the FCVS User's Guide. This document explains how to run the compiler validation system. The User's Guide and a magnetic tape containing a copy of the FCVS programs are available from the National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia, 22151. (Ordering information can be obtained from the Federal COBOL Compiler Testing Service.)

### SECTION 2. DETAILED EVALUATION OF ERRORS.

This section summarizes the results of the compilation and execution of the programs comprising the FORTRAN Compiler Validation System (FCVS). The version of the FCVS used during this validation is shown inside the front cover of the VSR.

Section 2 is made up of two subsections. The first subsection describes each syntax error encountered during compilation of the audit routines, and the second subsection describes the semantic errors encountered during execution of the audit routines.

Each error or deviation noted in this section makes reference to a program contained in Appendix A (Validation Summary Working Document). This reference provides the documented results of an occurrence of errors/deviations detected during the running of the FCVS using the compiler within the environment identified in this document. The Validation Summary Working Document is presented in sequence by program number.

# 2.1 Syntactical Errors

2.1.1 The compiler would not accept a statement which uses 57 sets of nested parentheses in an arithmetic expression. See FM045.

X3.9-1966 References: Section 6.1, Arithmetic Expressions Section 7.1.1.1, Arithmetic Assignment Statement

2.2 Semantic Errors

No errors.

### SECTION 3. SOFTWARE ENVIRONMENT.

The compiler referenced in this document was validated using the software environment described in this section. When using a modification of the described environment, the compiler may or may not continue to conform to the Standard. It should be noted that during the validation process, an attempt is made to validate as many different options as possible.

The use of compiler options, logical unit/physical device assignments, and any form of optimization which is not described in this report could cause the compiler to produce a program that does not perform according to the specifications of Standard FORTRAN. Only the environment described in this document has been used with this compiler to satisfy the validation requirements of the Department of the Navy.

1. Options or parameters used on the processor call statement for the compiler.

**Options** specified:

a. Batch - Without Optimization.

//XEQFT EXEC FTG1CLG // PARM.FORT='BCD,LOAD,SOURCE'

With the 'BCD' option specified all conversion was performed successfully with the exception that the special characters "=+()" were not converted when contained within comment lines, within the Hollerith constants of data initialization statements, and within the Hollerith field descriptors of the FORMAT statements.

b. Batch - With Optimization.

This compiler does not have an optimization feature.

c. Time-sharing.

The time-sharing processing mode was not used to validate this compiler.

2. Logical Unit/Physical Device Assignments.

a. Batch Compiler Runs.

**Printer Destined Files:** 

Logical unit 06 was assigned to SYSOUT=\*

Tape Files:

Logical unit 08 was assigned to a tape file by using the following JCL card.

//FT08F001 DD UNIT=TAPEHI, VOL=SER=JBJ101, DSN=XXX

Sequential Mass-storage Files:

Logical unit 08 was assigned to a mass-storage file by using the following JCL card.

//FT08F001 DD DSN=&XXX,UNIT=V3330,SPACE=(CYL,5)

Card Input Files:

Logical unit 05 was assigned to the card reader. The following JCL card preceeds the audit routine when using the card reader.

//FT05F001 DD \*

b. Time-sharing Compiler Runs.

The time-sharing mode was not used to validate this compiler.

3. Optimization. The compiler may or may not have optimization features. If there was an optimization feature available, it was used during the validation process (during a separate execution of the Compiler Validation System) to determine if its use causes the compiler to produce a program which does not give the expected results. If the optimization is invoked through the compiler call statement then it is mentioned in paragraph 1 above. If it is invoked through the introduction of a compiler directing source program statement, it is shown below. Optimization which would require modification to source program statments is not considered in this report in that it is beyond the scope of the use of Standard FORTRAN and the validation process.

6

This compiler does not have an optimization feature.

4. Compiler.

FORTRAN IV G1, Release 2.0

5. Operating system.

MVS, Release 3.7, JES2

### APPENDIX A

### VALIDATION SUMMARY WORKING DOCUMENT

This appendix is a working paper produced during the validation and documents the results of the compilation and execution of each of the programs comprising the FCVS. The results contained herein are based on the use of the compiler within the Validation Environment identified in this appendix. This appendix (Validation Summary Working Document) is not part of the official Validation Summary Report (VSR), and it is not intended to reflect in any way the compiler's usefulness or degree of conformance to the language specifications.

The reader of this appendix should keep in mind that the same problem area may appear in more than one program but is considered only as a single discrepancy, and the problem is reflected only once in the body of the VSR. (The VSR will in turn only reference the first occurrence of the problem in the appendix.)

This appendix is divided into four parts. The first part describes the Validation Environment. The second part lists the Monitor Input Cards used in creating a job control stream for execution in the batch mode. The third part shows the control cards required to compile and execute an individual program. The fourth part of the document is divided into two categories of information: compilation results and execution results. Information items, such as compiler warning messages, are included in the summary of compilation and execution results.

The reference document for FORTRAN is American Standard FORTRAN, X3.9-1966.

### VALIDATION ENVIRONMENT

COMPILER IDENTIFICATION:

COMPUTER SYSTEM:

OPERATING SYSTEM:

FORTRAN IV G1, Release 2.0 IBM SYSTEM 370/168 MVS, Release 3.7, JES2

Each of the programs comprising the FCVS was compiled and executed in the batch mode. The programs which test I/0, FM100 through FM108, were run twice. These programs were first run with the output logical unit assigned to a tape device, and then rerun with the output logical unit assigned to a mass-storage device.

## FCVS EXECUTIVE ROUTINE CONTROL CARDS

The FCVS Executive Routine was used to prepare the FCVS programs for execution in the batch mode. The Control Cards used as input to the FCVS Executive Routine together with the job control stream for executing the Executive Routine are listed below:

//JBJ1GN00 JOB 3P0A069K00060J,'VALENTINE', // MSGLEVEL=(0,0),NOTIFY=JBJ1,MSGCLASS=A,CLASS=B //JOBLIB DD DSN=J1F.NAVYLINK,DISP=SHR //XEQEXEC EXEC PGM=AS001 //FT06F001 DD SYSOUT=\* //FT07F001 DD DSN=JBJ1.NAVYSOUR.CNTL,DISP=SHR //FT08F001 DD DSN=&&CUT,DISP=(,PASS),UNIT=V3330,SPACE=(CYL,(1,1)) //FT09F001 DD DSN=JBJ1.FM015INP,DISP=SHR //FT05F001 DD \*

(FORTRAN audit routine selection cards)

 $X - 060 \quad I06 = 8$ X-070 I07 = 8 X = 080 I08 = 8 $X - 090 \quad I09 = 8$ X - 100 I 10 = 8X-110 I11 = 8 X-120 I12 = 8 \*LIST U \*END-MONITOR **BEGIN-UPDATE** \*START, FM045 =04070C04120 \*END-UPDATE \*END-INPUT /# 11

# CONTROL CARDS FOR RUNNING FCVS PROGRAMS

10

The job control stream for an individual program consisted of the following control cards:

//JBJ1GNO0 JOB 3POA069K00060J,'VALENTINE', // MSGLEVEL=(0,0),NOTIFY=JBJ1,MSGCLASS=A,CLASS=B //JOBLIB DD DSN=J1F.NAVYLINK,DISP=SHR //XEQFT EXEC FTG1CLG, // PARM.FORT='BCD,LOAD,SOURCE' //FORT.SYSPRINT DD SYSOUT=\* //FORT.SYSIN DD DSN=&&OUT,DISP=(OLD,DELETE)

(audit routine source program)

//LKED.SYSPRINT DD SYSOUT=X
//GO.FT06F001 DD SYSOUT=#
//GO.FT08F001 DD DSN=XXX,UNIT=TAPEHI,VOL=SER=JBJ101
//GO.SYSIN DD #
0123456789ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ =+-\*/(),.\$
/#
//

### RUN SUMMARIES

FM001 through FM014

A. Compilation

No errors.

B. Execution

No errors.

### FM015

A. Compilation

With the 'BCD' option specified on the FORTRAN compiler call statement, four special characters '=+()' were not converted within the Hollerith constants of the data initialization statements and the Hollerith field descriptors of the FORMAT statements. In addition, the same special characters were not converted within Comment Lines.

B. Execution

FM015 executed correctly when the data being read from the system input device and the data to which it was compared within the program were consistent in format and actual physical representation (i.e., either both converted or both unconverted).

### FM016 through FM035

A. Compilation

No errors.

B. Execution

No errors.

#### FM036

A. Compilation

The message

**\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*** 01) IGI013I SYNTAX

was generated by the compiler when it encountered a blank source line. The compiler aborted with a severity code equal to 8. After deletion of the blank line the updated program compiled correctly. It is not clear in X3.9-1966 whether blank lines are permitted, so this is not a failure. However, ESR X3.9, the draft revision to X3.9-1966, states that lines with only blank characters in columns 1 through 72 are comment lines. B. Execution

No errors.

### FM037

A. Compilation

No errors.

B. Execution

No errors.

# FM038

A. Compilation

The message

### \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 01) IGI002I LABEL

### 02)IGI013I SYNTAX

was generated by the compiler when it encountered a blank source line. The compiler aborted with a severity code equal to 8. After deletion of the blank line the updated program compiled correctly. (See FM036.)

### FM039 through FM044

A. Compilation

No errors.

B. Execution

No errors.

### FM045

A. Compilation

The compiler discontinued compilation with the message

IGI0311 ROLL SIZE EXCEEDED COMPILATION TERMINATED.

This message was produced prior to the statement in Test 759 which uses 57 sets of nested parentheses in an arithmetic expression. The statement being tested was

B. Execution

Test 759 was deleted due to the compilation error in A. above. FM050 through FM062, FM080 through FM083, and FM097 through FM099

- A. Compilation
  - No errors.
- B. Execution

No errors.

- -

### FORTRAN I/O Routines - FM100 through FM108

These audit routines were executed with the output logical unit assigned to a tape device and rerun with the output logical unit assigned to a massstorage device.

FM100 through FM108

A. Compilation

No errors.

B. Execution

No errors.

### FM109

A. Compilation

With the 'BCD' option specified on the FORTRAN compiler call statement, the special character '+' (plus) was not converted when used with the Hollerith field descriptor of a FORMAT statement.

B. Execution

FM109 executed correctly when the plus (+) characters in the Hollerith field descriptors of the FORMAT statement were properly converted.