

AD-E000013 NRL Memorandum Report 3565 AD A 0 46804 Hydrophone Sensor Having a Cardioid Directivity Pattern. D. Hugus Standards Branch Underwater Sound Reference Division P.O. Box 8337, Orlando, Florida 32806 Final rept. 10 Apr 75-29 Mar 77, NRL-MR-3565 Jul ZF11121003 AD-E\$\$\$ (413, SBIE FILE COPY NOV 28 1977 B NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY Washington, D.C. Approved for public release; distribution unlimited 251 950

AD NUMBER: E000013 FIELDS AND GROUPS: 9/1, 17/1 ---- 1 um 0 --- 2 ---CATALOG CARD CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED 3 --4 -PRICES: -- 5 --CORPORATE AUTHOR: NAVAL RESEARCH LAB WASHINGTON DC UNCLASSIFIED TITLE: HYDROPHONE SENSOR HAVING ---- 6 ---A CARDINID DIRECTIVITY PATTERN. --- 7 ---CLASSIFIED TITLE: TITLE CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED in the second - 9 m DESCRIPTIVE NOTE: FINAL REPT. 10 APR 75-29 MAR 77; PERSONAL AUTHORS: HUGUS, G. DICKSON , III; REPORT DATE: JUL , 1977 21P PAGINATION: REPORT NUMBER: NRL-MR-3565 CONTRACT NUMBER: PROJECT NUMBER: F11121 --17 -. TASK NUMBER: ZF11121003 MONITOR ACRONYM: 0 MONITOR SERIES: -- 20 -REPORT CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE: --21 -0 LIMITATIONS (ALPHA): DISTRIBUTION OF DOCUMENT CONTROLLED BY NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY; ATTN: -CODE 2628; WASHINGTON; D.C. 20375. THIS DOCUMENT. -----IS NOT AVAILABLE FROM DEC. CATALOGING INFORMATION -SUPPLIED BY NRL. -DESCRIPTORS: *HYDROPHONES: *ELECTROACOUSTICS: 0 *DETECTORS, OMNIDIRECTIONAL, CONFIGURATIONS, --SENSITIVITY DESCRIPTOR CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED IDENTIFIERS: *HYDROPHONE SENSOR, *CARDIOID -- 24 ---25 --DIRECTIVITY PATTERN, USRU H73, PE62711N, WUS02-36 -IDENTIFIER CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED --- 26 ---- 27 -ABSTRACT: THE ELECTROACOUSTIC ANALYSIS IS -PRESENTED TOGETHER WITH ACTUAL ACOUSTIC PERFORMANCE OF A HYDROPHONE SENSOR CONFIGURATION ----0 THAT IS OMNIDIRECTIONAL BELOW ITS HORIZONTAL --PLANE AND HAVING REDUCED SENSITIVITY ABOVE THIS PLANE. THIS SENSOR IS INHERENTLY OMNIDIRECTIONAL -IN THE HORIZONTAL PLANE. THE SENSOR CONSISTS OF -AN AXIALLY POLLED PIEZO-CERAMIC TUBE WITH PISTONS -OF DIFFERENT MASSES CEMENTED TO EACH END. THIS -ASSEMBLE IS MOUNTED WITH AXIS VERTICAL INSIDE AN --AIR FILLED TUBULAR CERAMIC HOUSING SO THAT ONLY THE PISTON OUTSIDE FACES ARE EXPOSED TO THE SOUND ----PRESSURE. THE EQUATIONS ARE DERIVED FOR THE -THEORECTICAL VERTICAL DIRECTIVITY OF THE SENSOR AS A FUNCTION OF VERTICAL ANGLE, PISTON DYNAMIC -MASS RATIO, PISTON RADIUS, AND SENSOR AXIAL -LENGTH PARAMETERS. ----UNCLASSIFIED ABSTRACT CLASSIFICATION: --- 28 ------ 29 --INITIAL INVENTORY: XXXXX ANNOTATION: SPECIAL INDICATOR: REGRADE CATEGORY: -- 32 -1 21 LIMITATION CODES: -- 34 -SOURCE SERIES: F 251950 SOURCE CODE: -- 36 --DOCUMENT LOCATION: NIKL CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY: -- 37 --DECLASSIFICATION DATE: --- 38 --DOWNGRADING DATE: -- 39 --GEOPOLITICAL CODE: 1100

0

Outilitie	5 -	CORPORATE AUTHOR: NAVAL RESEARCH LAB WASHINGTON
		DC
		UNCLASSIFIED TITLE: HYDROPHONE SENSOR HAVING
		A CARDIOLD DIRECTIVITY PATTERN.
	- 7 -	CLASSIFIED TITLE:
	man R m	TITLE CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED
	9	DECONDITIVE NOTE: EINAL DEDT. 10 APR 75-09 MAR 77.
		DESCRIPTIVE NOTE FINAL PEPT. ID ARK 13-22 PIAK PF
		PERSONAL AUTHORS: HUGOSIG. DICKSON IIII
		REPORT DATE: JUL , 1977
	12	PAGINATION: 21P
		REPORT NUMBER: NRL-MR-3565
		CONTRACT NUMBER:
		PROJECT NUMBER: F11121
		TASK NUMBER: ZE1112.003
		MONITOP ACRONIVM.
	10	MONITOR ACTORNES.
		MUNITOR SERIES.
		REPORT CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED
		SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE:
1.00		LIMITATIONS (ALPHA): DISTRIBUTION OF DOCUMENT
		CONTROLLED BY NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY, ATTN:
63.		CODE 2628, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20375. THIS DOCUMENT
0	-	IS NOT AVAILABLE FROM DUC. CATALOGING INFORMATION
	-	SUPPLIED BY NRL.
		DESCRIPTORS: *HYDROPHONES: *FLECTROACOUSTICS:
8		*DETECTORS: OMNIDIRECTIONAL: CONFIGURATIONS.
		CENCITIVITY
		DECOTOTOD CLASSIFICATIONS INCLASSIFICE
		DESCRIPTOR CLASSIFICATION. ONCLASSIFIED
•		IDENTIFIERS. ANTORONOME SENSOR ACARDIDID
		DIRECTIVITY PATTERN, USRU MISI PEOZITINI WUSUZ-30
	26	IDENTIFIER CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED
		ABSTRACT: THE ELECTROACOUSTIC ANALYSIS IS
	-	PRESENTED TOGETHER WITH ACTUAL ACOUSTIC
		PERFORMANCE OF A HYDROPHONE SENSOR CONFIGURATION
		THAT IS OMNIDIRECTIONAL BELOW ITS HORIZONTAL
		PLANE AND HAVING REDUCED SENSITIVITY ABOVE THIS
		PLANE. THIS SENSOR IS INHERENTLY OMNIDIRECTIONAL
		IN THE HORIZONTAL PLANE. THE SENSOR CONSISTS OF
		AN AXIALLY POLLED PIEZO-CERAMIC TUBE WITH PISTONS
		OF DIFFERENT MASSES CEMENTED TO EACH END. THIS
		ASSEMBLE IS MOUNTED WITH AXIS VERTICAL INSIDE AN
	-	AIR FILLED TUBULAR CERAMIC HOUSING SO THAT UNLY
		THE PICTON OUTSIDE FACES ARE EXPOSED TO THE SOUND
-		PRESENTED THE SOLUTIONS ARE DEDIVED FOR THE
~		PRESSURE. THE EQUATIONS ARE DERIVED FOR THE
	-	THEORECTICAL VERTICAL DIRECTIVITY OF THE SENSOR
		AS A FUNCTION OF VERTICAL ANGLE. PISTON DYNAMIC
		MASS RATIO, PISTON RADIUS, AND SENSOR AXIAL
		LENGTH PARAMETERS.
	28	ABSTRACT CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED
		INITIAL INVENTORY: XXXXX
		ANNOTATION:
		SPECIAL INDICATOR:
		PEGRADE CATEGORY:
	- 52	I INTEATION COUPS! 1 21
		Entere concert t
		SOURCE SERIES - 251050
		DOCIMENT LOCATIONS
		DUCOMENT LUCATION. NRL
	37 -	CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY:
		DECLASSIFICATION DATE:
	39 -	DOWNGRADING DATE:
		GEOPOLITICAL CODE: 1100
		TYPE CODE: N
		TAC ACCESSION NUMBER:
		TAC DOCUMENT TYPE!
		TAC DUCUMENT TITE:
	and and Child and	TAC SUBUCCI ICHMO.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO NRL Memorandum Report 3565	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
TITLE (and Subtilie)	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE
HYDROPHONE SENSOR HAVING A CARDIOID	Final Report - 10 April 1975 to 29 March 1977
DIRECTIVITY PATTERN	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR(s)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+)
G. Dickson Hugus III	
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT. PROJECT. TASK
Naval Research Laboratory 🗸	NRL Problem SO2-36 and 31
Underwater Sound Reference Division P.O. Box 8337, Orlando, Florida 32806	62711N ZF-11-121-003
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
	July 1977
	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(It dillerent from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	Unclassified
	15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, if different in	an Report)
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number,	,
Hydrophone Sensor	
USRD type H73	
Cardioid directivity pattern	
Asstract (Continue on reverse elde II necessary and identify by block number) The electroacoustic analysis is presented together with act hydrophone sensor configuration that is omnidirectional below reduced sensitivity above this plane. This sensor is inherently plane. The sensor consists of an axially polled piezo-ceramic masses cemented to each end. This assembly is mounted with tubular ceramic housing so that only the piston outside faces	tual acoustic performance of a w its horizontal plane and having omnidirectional in the horizontal tube with pistons of different a axis vertical inside an air filled are exposed to the sound pressure.
FORM 1473	
D 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 55 IS OBSOLETE j	
SECURITY CLA	SSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Br

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Dete Entered)

20. Abstract (Continued)

TIS FDC FAANNO MICLE JUSTI-ICATION

BY

Dist.

DISTRIBUTION/AV

Ava

The equations are derived for the theoretical vertical directivity of the sensor as a function of vertical angle, piston dynamic mass ratio, piston radius, and sensor axial length parameters. A true cardioid directivity pattern with the maximum reduction in sensitivity above the horizontal plane for a given sensor length occurs only at a single frequency which can be calculated. The equations derived were verified from directivity measurements made on several hydrophone sensors. They were found to be valid for all sensor lengths evaluated, piston dynamic mass ratios up to 2.55, and piston radii up to .159 times the wavelength.

ii

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

Contents

pag	e
Introduction	
Scope and Approach	
Results	
Sensor Configuration	
Electromechanical Circuit Analysis	
Theoretical Directivity	
Experimental Directivity Comparison	
Conclusions and Recommendations	
Acknowledgments	
Appendix	

HYDROPHONE SENSOR HAVING A CARDIOID DIRECTIVITY PATTERN

Introduction

To meet the demands for specialized underwater acoustic measurements by the Navy, the Underwater Sound Reference Division (USRD) of the Naval Research Laboratory is continually evaluating new hydrophone sensor configurations. These measurements often require hydrophones with unique directivity requirements.

The USRD was asked to develop a hydrophone having a directivity pattern within a limited frequency range that is omnidirectional in the space below its horizontal plane and with reduced sensitivity in the space above this plane. This requirement results in a hydrophone that is less sensitive to the interference of water surface reflections and acoustic noise due to sea state conditions. The hydrophone was also required to be omnidirectional in its horizontal plane.

The purpose of this report is to present an electroacoustic analysis and comparative performance of a hydrophone sensor configuration designed to fulfill this specific directivity need. Also, a design procedure is shown to configure a sensor of this type.

Scope And Approach

The scope of this report is based on a request for the USRD to develop a hydrophone having constant sensitivity at any angle below the horizontal plane and being optimized to have minimum sensitivity above this plane in the frequency range of 14.5 to 19.5 kHz.

The experimental evaluation of a known hydrophone sensor configuration having this directivity was completed. Various sensors based on this configuration were also experimentally evaluated. A concurrent electroacoustic analysis was initiated, and the resulting theoretical directivity was compared to the experimental. This was done so that an accurate design procedure could be developed for this sensor configuration to be applied to developing a sensor fulfilling the directivity specifications.

Results

Sensor Configuration

The sensor element configuration¹ analyzed is shown in Figure 1. It consists of an axially-poled piezoceramic tube with a concentric

¹A. C. Tims and T. A. Henriquez, Patent No. 3,947,802, March 30, 1976. Note: Manuscript submitted July 13, 1977. circular piston cemented to each end. This assembly is sealed concentrically by O-rings inside a precision-bored, air-filled, tubular housing made of aluminum oxide. The O-rings provide a high-compliance suspension to the inner assembly to mechanically isolate and seal it inside the housing. The dielectric housing electrically insulates the pistons which act as electrodes for the piezoceramic tube. The housing also acoustically shields the inner assembly so that only the outside faces of the pistons are exposed to sound pressure. The sensor element in this hydrophone is mounted within an elastomer boot filled with castor oil.





Others 2,3,4 reported on hydrophone sensors of this configuration but did not treat the directivity aspect of this design in the vertical plane.

Electromechanical Circuit Analysis

The physical parameters of the sensor configuration described are shown in Figure 2. When the piston surfaces are exposed to a sound field, the inner assembly vibrates about a nodal plane perpendicular to the piezoceramic tube axis.

²A. A. Aman'eva, <u>Ceramic Acoustic Detectors</u> (English translation published by Consultants Bureau Enterprises, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1956), Chapter 4, pp. 80-83.

³H. A. J. Rijnja, Acustica 30, 20-29 (1974).

⁴H. A. J. Rujnja, Acustica 33, 1-9, (1975).



Fig. A solution of the physical parameters: m₁ is the small solution mass, m₂ the larger piston mass, and a_p the piston mass, for the piezoceramic tube, m_c is its mass, ℓ_c its length, a₁ its inside radius, and a₂ its outside radius; ℓ_1 is the distance from the lower mass piston to the nodal plane, ℓ_2 the distance from the higher mass piston to to the nodal plane, and ℓ_s the overall sensor length.

The electroacoustic equivalent circuit is shown in Figure 3, where the circuit parameters are identified, and is based on the following assumptions:

- . That it operate below electroacoustic resonance where the sensor sensitivity is constant with frequency;
- that the acoustic radiation and mechanical resistances are negligible;
- . that it operate at frequencies below the mechanical resonance of the pistons; and
- . that for the piezoceramic tube

$$\phi = (s_{33}^D \ell_c) / (d_{33}S_c),$$

$$C_{m1} = s_{33}^D \ell_1 / S_c \text{ and } C_{m2} = s_{33}^D \ell_2 / S_c$$
(1)

in m/N, and $C_e = \varepsilon_b S_c / \ell_c$ in F, where D_{33} = open circuit elastic compliance in m²/N, d_{33} = piezoelectric constant in m/V, $S_c = \pi (a_2^2 - a_1^2)$ in m², and ε_b = blocked permitivity in F/m.

. Also, if we assume that the mechanical resonance frequency of the two mechanical branches in the circuit of Figure 3 are equal, then

$$\omega_{0} = (1/C_{m1}m_{d1})^{\frac{1}{2}} = (1/C_{m2}m_{d2})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (2)

If

$$m_{d1} = m_1 + (m_c/3) (\ell_1/\ell_c),$$
 (3)

$$m_{d2} = m_2 + (m_c/3) (\ell_2/\ell_c),$$
 (4)

and

$$\ell_1 + \ell_2 = \ell_c,$$
 (5)

substituting Eqs. (1), (3), (4), and (5) into Eq. (2) results in

$$m_{d1} = m_1 + (\frac{m_c}{3}) (\frac{m_2 + m_c/3}{m_1 + m_2 + 2m_c/3})$$
 (6)

and

$$m_{d2} = m_2 + \left(\frac{m_c}{3}\right) \left(\frac{m_1 + m_c/3}{m_1 + m_2 2m_c/3}\right)$$
 (7)



Fig. 3. Sensor electroacoustic equivalent circuit: C_e and R_e are the blocked electrical capacitance and resistance, ϕ is the voltage/force transduction ratio, R_{m1} and R_{m2} and C_{m1} and C_{m2} are the mechanical resistances and compliances of ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 respectively, m_{d1} and m_{d2} are the dynamic masses on either side of the nodal plane, F_1 and F_2 are force generators, and E_0 is the open-circuit sensor voltage.

Figure 4 shows the electroacoustic equivalent circuit transformed to the equivalent electrical circuit and simplified by the foregoing assumptions. A network analysis by solving two simultaneous loop equations for Eo as a function of radian frequency ω gives

$$Eo = \phi F_{1} \left[\frac{C_{m1}(1-\omega^{2}C_{m2}m_{d2}) + C_{m2}(F_{2}/F_{1})(1-\omega^{2}C_{m1}m_{d1})}{C_{m1} + C_{m2} + \phi^{2}C_{e} - \omega^{2}C_{m1}C_{m2}(m_{d1} + m_{d2}) - \phi^{2}\omega^{2}C_{e}(C_{m2}m_{d2})} + C_{m1}m_{d1} - \omega^{2}C_{m1}C_{m2}m_{d1}m_{d2}) \right]$$
(8)

where $\omega = 2\pi f$ in rad/sec and f = frequency in Hz.



Fig. 4. Circuit of Fig. 3 transformed and simplified to give equivalent electrical circuit. Parameters same as Fig. 3

Theoretical Directivity

This hydrophone configuration is omnidirectional in the horizontal plane due to symmetry. F_1 and F_2 represent the amplitude of the pressure forces acting over the areas of pistons 1 and 2 caused by a plane acoustic wave traveling at angle 9 as shown in Figure 2. Appendix A shows the derivation of the equations for these forces. Substitution of Eqs. (A11) and (A17) of Appendix A into Eq. (8) gives the open circuit voltage sensitivity of the sensor element for small ka_p in the vertical plane (x-z):

$$\frac{Eo}{P} = \left(\frac{\phi C_m S_p [D_m + \cos (k \ell_s \cos \theta)]}{(C_m + \phi^2 C_e) (D_m + 1) - \phi^2 \omega^2 C_e C_m m_{d2}} \right) \left(\frac{2 J_1 (k \ell_a \sin \theta)}{k \ell_a \sin \theta} \right)$$
(9)

where $C_m = C_{m1} + C_{m2}$, $S_p = \pi a_p^2 \text{ in } m^2$, $k = \omega/c = 2\pi/\lambda$,

c = sound velocity in m/sec ,

 λ = wave length in m,

the dynamic mass ratio ${\rm D}_{\rm m}$ is given by

$$D_{\rm m} = \frac{m_{\rm d2}}{m_{\rm d1}} \quad , \tag{10}$$

and $0 \le \theta \le 90^\circ$.

 J_1 (ka_n sin θ) represents the first order Bessel function of ka_n sin θ .

Eq. (9) reduces, for small values of ka $_{\rm p}$ and ke $_{\rm s}$ to the familiar form

$$\frac{Eo}{P} = \frac{\phi C_m S_p}{C_m + \phi^2 C_e}.$$

The theoretical directivity of this sensor configuration in the vertical plane can be derived by taking the ratio of Eq. (9) evaluated at angle θ to that at $\theta = 0^\circ$. For $0 < \theta < 90^\circ$ one obtains

$$\Lambda_{\theta} = \left(\frac{D_{m} + \cos(k \lambda_{s} \cos \theta)}{D_{m} + \cos(k \lambda_{s})}\right) \left(\frac{2J_{1}(ka_{p} \sin \theta)}{ka_{p} \sin \theta}\right)$$
(11)

where M₀ is the sensitivity ratio for the space below the x-y plane relative to that on the -Z axis. For the space above this plane, $90 \le \theta \le 180^\circ$, one obtains

$$M_{\theta} = \left(\frac{D_{m} \cos \left[k \lambda_{s} \cos \left(180^{\circ} - \theta\right)\right] + 1}{D_{m} + \cos \left(k \lambda_{s}\right)}\right) \left(\frac{2J_{1} \left[k a_{p} \sin \left(180^{\circ} - \theta\right)\right]}{k a_{p} \sin \left(180^{\circ} - \theta\right)}\right). \quad (12)$$

From Eq. (12) it can be seen that for the maximum reduction in sensitivity above the x-y plane the numerator of the first term in brackets of Eq. (12) must be zero at $\theta = 180^{\circ}$. Then at this angle

 $D_{m} \cos (k\ell_{s}) + 1 = 0$,

and solving for this value of kl,

 $(k\ell_s)_0 = Arcos (-1/D_m).$

(13)

Examination of Eqs. (11) and (12) shows that the left term in brackets is a function only of D_m , k_s , and θ . The right term in brackets is a function only of ka and θ . Note that this term is identical to the directivity term^p for a plane piston. Eq. (11) shows that for large values of D_m and small values of ka both terms in brackets approach unity and the sensor element will approach the omnidirective requirement in the space below the x-y plane (Figure 2).

Figures 5 and 6 are computer generated plots using Eqs. (11) and (12) in dB, showing the effect of D_m and ka_p on the directivity from $0^\circ \le \theta \le 180^\circ$ (x-z plane of Figure 2). Figure 5 shows the directivity

for values for D_m of 1 and 2.55 where $ka_p = .787$. For this plot $(kl_s)_0$ is calculated from Eq. (13) for each D_m . Figure 6 shows the directivity for $D_m = 2.55$ and values of ka_p of 0.1 and 1.0. This plot shows that there is very little effect of ka_p for values up 1.0. When ka_p becomes much greater than 1.0, Eqs. (11) and (12) become invalid because of assumption that $\lambda > a_p$, for these equations (see Appendix A). The true cardioid directivity pattern occurs only at $(kl_s)_0$ as can be seen from these plots.



Fig. 5. X-Z directivity patterns of sensor element where $0^{\circ} \le 0 \le 180^{\circ}$ for $D_{\rm m} = 1$ (dashed line) and $D_{\rm m} = 2.55$ (solid line) calculated from Eqs. (11) and (12); kl_s = (kl_s)₀ calculated from Eq. (13) and ka_p = 0.787.



Fig. 6. X-Z directivity patterns of sensor element where $0^{\circ} \leq \phi \leq 180^{\circ}$ for $ka_p = 0.1$ (solid line) and $ka_p = 1.0$ (dashed line) calculated from Eqs. (11) and (12); $D_m = 2.55$ and $k\ell_s = (k\ell_s)_0$ calculated from Eq. (13).

These directivity patterns are shown only for $0^{\circ} \le \theta \le 180^{\circ}$ because for $180^{\circ} \le \theta \le 360^{\circ}$ the pattern is the mirror image of that shown. This is because the sensor is symmetrical in the x-z plane.

Consideration of Eq. (13) shows that $(k\ell_s)_0$ can have an infinite number of values but only the range of $\pi \leq (k\ell_s)_0 < \pi/2$ corresponding to $1 \leq D_m < \infty$ are of practical interest. Eq. (12) shows that the maximum reduction in sensitivity occurs at $\theta = 180^\circ$ for any value of D_m . Also the sensitivity at $\theta = 180^\circ$ increases as $k\ell_s$ changes in either direction from $(k\ell_s)_0$ within the above range. If $\theta = 180^\circ$ and for small values

of ka_p where $\frac{2J_1(ka_p)}{ka_p} \approx 1$, the values of $k\ell_s$ on either side of $(k\ell_s)_0$ can be found^p where $M_\theta \leq 0.5$ (-6 dB). For these conditions Eq. (12) becomes

$$M_{\theta} = \frac{D_{m} \cos k\ell_{s} + 1}{D_{m} + \cos k\ell_{s}} = \pm 0.5$$

Solving for ke for the two values of MA

$$(k_{s})_{1} = Arcos [(D_{m} - 2)/(2 D_{m} + 1)] and (14)$$

$$(k_{s})_{2} = \operatorname{Arcos} \left[-(D_{m} + 2)/(2 D_{m} + 1) \right].$$
 (15)

Figure 7 shows Eqs. (13), (14), and (15) plotted for comparison. The plot of $(k\ell_s)_0$ shows that it rapidly decreases from π radians $(\ell_s = \lambda/2)$ as D_m increases from 1.0 and approaches $\pi/2$ radians $(\ell_s = \lambda/4)$ asymptotically. The plots of $(k\ell_s)_1$ and $(k\ell_s)_2$ show the same trend except they approach $\pi/3$ radians $(\ell_s = \lambda/6)$ and $2\pi/3$ radians $(\ell_s = \lambda/3)$ respectively as D_m increases.



Fig. 7. Plots of Eqs. (13), (14), and (15). The area bounded by the curves for $(kl_S)_1$ and $(kl_S)_2$ contain all values for kl_S and D_m where $M_{\theta} \leq 0.5$ (-6 dB) at θ = 180°.

Experimental Directivity Comparison

The validity of the theoretical directivity developed for this sensor configuration was verified by computer generated directivity

patterns of the USRD type H56 hydrophone using Eqs. (11) and (12). Comparison of these theoretical plots with the actual directivity measured at the USRD Lake Facility is shown in Figures 8a thru c for three frequencies. The center frequency of 27 kHz was calculated from Eq. (13) where for this hydrophone D = 2.46, the sensor length ℓ_s = 17.45 mm, and a_p = 7.87 mm. The plots show good agreement between measured and calculated plots. The worst agreement shown was for a frequency of 30 kHz. This can be attributed to diffraction due to proximity of the hydrophone preamplifier housing at the top and the sensor frame ring at the bottom of the pattern and the fact that at this frequency ka_p is almost 1.0.







Fig. 8b



Figs. 8a-c. X-Z directivity patterns for USRD type H56 hydrophone where $0^{\circ} < \theta < 180^{\circ}$ for 3 frequencies shown; solid lines are measured patterns and dashed lines are patterns calculated from Eqs.(11) and (12).

Fig. 8c

After verification of this design analysis with the actual directivity of the H56 hydrophone, the USRD type H73 hydrophone sensor element was developed to fulfill the requested design requirements. Eleven sensor elements with values of D from 1.8 to 10.4 were evaluated. After comparison of the calculated directivity patterns with the measured patterns it was found that Eqs. (11) and (12) were inaccurate for values of D above 2.55. Figures 9a thru c show the type H73 sensor element that gave the best correlation between the calculated and measured directivity patterns. For this sensor $D_m = 2.55$, $\ell_s = 27.1$ mm, and $a_p = 11.1$

mm. It consists of an 8.51-mm OD x 5.02-mm ID x 12.70-mm long PZT-5 lead zirconate-titanate piezoelectric tube. The bottom piston made of type 6061-T6 aluminum alloy is 6.35-mm thick and has a mass of 6.11 g. The top piston, made of type 304 stainless steel, is 8.05-mm thick and has a mass of 23.05 g.



Fig. 9a-c. X-Z directivity patterns for USRD type H73 hydrophone where $0^{\circ} < \theta < 180^{\circ}$ for 3 frequencies shown; solid lines are measured patterns and dashed lines are patterns calculated from Eqs.(11) and (12).

The maximum reduction in sensitivity above the x-y plane occurred at 18 kHz. The calculated frequency for this to occur, from Eq. (13), was 17 kHz where $(k\ell_s)_0 = 1.97$. The agreement between calculated and measured directivity patterns is not as good as that for the type H56 hydrophone. The open-circuit free-field voltage sensitivity of the sensor in this frequency range was -182 dB re 1 V/µPa.

Conclusions And Recommendations

Based on the analysis verified in this report a hydrophone sensor, such as the USRD type H73 hydrophone, can be configured that is omnidirectional in the space below the sensor's horizontal plane and has reduced sensitivity in the space above this plane. Once the parameters are established for this configuration, its characteristic directivity pattern will approach a true cardioid shape at only one frequency. The desired reduction in sensitivity above the sensor's horizontal plane occurs in a limited frequency range.

The procedure in designing a sensor element of this configuration knowing its desired directivity, its frequency range, and its dynamic mass ratio D_m is as follows:

- 1) Using Eq. (13) or Figure 7 calculate $(kl_s)_0$ where the theoretical cardioid directivity pattern occurs.
- 2) Calculate the sensor length ℓ_s from $(k\ell_s)_0$ at the center of the desired frequency range.
- 3) Using Eqs. (14) and (15) or Figure 7 calculate $(k\ell_s)_1$ and $(k\ell_s)_2$.
- Using Eqs. (11) and (12) calculate the theoretical directivity patterns at other frequencies.

This procedure can easily be adapted to be carried out on a digital computer with the directivity patterns drawn by an x-y plotter. This analysis is valid for values of ka_p \leq 1.0 (a_p \leq .16 λ) and values of dynamic mass ratio D_m \leq 2.55.

The limitation of this analysis to the stated values of D should be investigated to determine the cause. This limitation is probably caused by the assumption to neglect the acoustic impedance.

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank Allan C. Tims of the USRD for his work in fabrication and design of the experimental hydrophones and Theodore A. Henriquez of the USRD for his help in the acoustic analysis.

APPENDIX: WAVE ANALYSIS

The forces F_1 and F_2 in Eq. (8) represent the force amplitudes caused by the sound pressure acting on pistons 1 and 2 (represented by masses m_1 and m_2 in Figure 2). The force on piston 1 for $\lambda >>a_p$ is given by the equation

$$F_1(\theta,t) = 4 \int_0^{a_p} p_1(x,\theta,t) y dx \qquad (A1)$$

where $p_1(x,\theta,t)$ is the sound pressure distribution on the piston and ydx is the differential area over which $p_1(x,\theta,t)$ acts at any coordinate x.

The plane wave equation on the Z' axis is

$$p(z',t) = p_n (\cos \omega t)(\cos kz')$$
(A2)

where p_p is the sound pressure amplitude, ω and k are as defined before, and z' is the distance from the origin. For any coordinate x on piston 1 the corresponding coordinate along the Z' axis (Figure 1A) is

$$z' = x \sin \theta.$$
 (A3)



Fig. 1A. Coordinate system for sensor looking at piston 1. Substituting Eq. (A3) in Eq. (A2) gives

 $p_1(x,\theta,t) = p_p(\cos \omega t) [\cos (k x \sin \theta)].$ (A4)

Looking at Figure 1A, it can be seen that

 $y = (a_p^2 - x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

and therefore

$$ydx = (a_p^2 - x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx.$$
 (A5)

Also note from Figure 1A

$$x = a_p \sin \beta$$
 and $dx = a_p \cos \beta d \beta$. (A6)

Substituting Eq. (A6) into Eqs. (A4) and (A5) gives

$$p_{1}(x,\theta,t) = p_{1}(\beta,\theta,t) = p_{p}(\cos \omega t)\cos[(ka_{p}\sin \theta)(\sin \beta)], \text{ and}$$

$$ydx = a_{p}^{2}(\cos \beta)^{2} d\beta.$$
(A7)

Substituting Eq. (A7) into Eq. (A1) gives the final transformation to polar form $F_1(\theta,t) = 4p_p a_p^2 \cos \omega t \int_0^{\pi/2} (\cos \beta)^2 \cos[(ka_p \sin \theta)(\sin \beta)] d\beta.$ (A8)

From Reference 5 the following definite integral expression for a Bessel Function of order n where $n > -\frac{1}{2}$ is given as

$$J_{n}(u) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi} \cdot \Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})} \left(\frac{u}{2}\right)^{n} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} (\cos \alpha)^{2n} \cos(u \sin \alpha) d\alpha.$$
(A9)

If n = 1, $\alpha = \beta$ and $u = ka_p \sin \theta$ and knowing that $\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2}) = \pi/2$ Eq. (A9) becomes

$$J_1(ka_p \sin \theta) = \frac{2ka_p \sin \theta}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} (\cos \beta)^2 \cos[(ka_p \sin \theta) (\sin \beta)] d\beta. (A10)$$

Substituting the term on the left side for the terms in the right side of Eq. (A10) contained in Eq. (A8) gives

$$F_{1}(\theta,t) = p_{p} S_{p} \cos \omega t \qquad \frac{2J_{1} (ka_{p} \sin \theta)}{ka_{p} \sin \theta}$$

⁵T. M. McRoberts, <u>Spherical Harmonics</u> (Dover Publications, Inc., New York, N.Y., 1947) Chap. 14, pp 255-257.

where $S_p = \pi a_p^2$, the piston area.

Finally, the amplitude of $F_1(\theta,t)$ is

$$F_{1} = p_{p} S_{p} \left[\frac{2J_{1} (ka_{p} \sin \theta)}{ka_{p} \sin \theta} \right].$$
(A11)

The force on piston 2, for $\lambda >> a_n$ is given by

$$F_{2}(\theta,t) = 4 \int_{0}^{a_{p}} p_{2}(x,\theta,t)ydx \qquad (A12)$$

where $p_2(x,\theta,t)$ is the sound pressure distribution on this piston and ydx is differential area over which $p_2(x,\theta,t)$ acts at any coordinate x. For any coordinate x in piston 2 the corresponding coordinate along the Z' axis (Figure 1A) is

$$z' = \ell_{e} \cos \theta + x \sin \theta.$$
 (A13)

Substituting Eq. (A13) in Eq. (A2) gives

$$p_{2}(x,\theta,t) = p_{n}(\cos \omega t) \cos[k(\ell_{s} \cos \theta + x \sin \theta)].$$
(A14)

Transforming Eq. (A14) and by substituting for x from Eq. (A6) gives

$$p_2(x,\theta,t) = p_2(\beta,\theta,t) = p_p(\cos \omega t)\cos\{k[\ell_s \cos \theta - a_p(\sin \theta)(\sin \beta)]\}.$$
 (A15)

Substituting Eq. (A15) and with the area ydx from Eq. (A7), Eq. (A12) becomes

$$F_2(\theta,t) = 4p_p a_p^2 \cos \omega t \int_0^{\pi/2} (\cos \beta)^2 \cos \{k \lfloor \ell_s \cos \theta + a_p(\sin \theta)(\sin \beta)\} d\beta.$$

This equation, using a trigonometric identity, becomes

$$F_{2}(\theta,t) = 4p_{p}a_{p}^{2}(\cos\omega t)[\cos(k\ell_{s}\cos\theta)] \int_{0}^{\pi/2} (\cos\beta)^{2}\cos[ka_{p}(\sin\theta)(\sin\beta)]d\beta$$

$$-4p_{p}a_{p}^{2}(\cos\omega t)[\sin(k\ell_{s}\cos\theta)]_{0}^{\pi/2}(\cos\theta)^{2}\sin[ka_{p}(\sin\theta)(\sin\beta)]d\theta.(A16)$$

The second term of Eq. (A16) when integrated is zero. Finally, the first

term in Eq. (A16), after rearranging to the form of Eq. (A10), gives

$$F_{2}(\theta,t) = p_{p} S_{p} (\cos \omega t) [\cos(k\ell_{s} \cos \theta)] \left[\frac{2J_{1} (ka_{p} \sin \theta)}{ka_{p} \sin \theta} \right]$$

and the amplitude of $F_2(\theta,t)$ is

$$F_{2} = p_{p} S_{p} \left[\cos \left(k \ell_{s} \cos \theta \right) \right] \left[\frac{\left(2 J_{1} \left(k a_{p} \sin \theta \right) \right)}{\left(k a_{p} \sin \theta \right)} \right].$$
(A17)

ŵ

ð

ä