ADA046257 AFAL-TR-77-75 30- A0K DESIGN, DEVELOPMENT, ANALYSIS, AND LABORATORY TEST RESULTS OF A KALMAN

LABORATORY TEST RESULTS OF A KALMAN FILTER SYSTEM-LEVEL IMU CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE

Richard A. Kitzerow Reference Systems Branch Reconnaissance and Weapon Delivery Division

June 1977

TECHNICAL REPORT AFAL-TR-77-75

Final Report for Period July 1973 to November 1975

Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

REPRODUCED BY NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE 0.5. DEFACEMENT OF COMMERCE SPERIGHELD VA. 22161

AIR FORCE AVIONICS LABORATORY AIR FORCE WRIGHT AERONAU/ICAL LABORATORIES AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OMIO 45433

动动的上的长行心心的服装着中国大学

NOTICE

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

This report has been reviewed by the Information Office (ASD/OIP) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS it will be available to the general public, including foreign nations.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

Rihais O. Kiferno

RICHARD A. KITZEROW Project Engineer

FOR THE COMMANDER

Vonce (3)

RONALD L. BINGO Chief, Reference Systems Branch Reconnaissance and Weapon Delivery Division

Copies of this report should not be returned unless return is required by security considerations, contractual obligations, or notice as a specific document.

ALM FILMCE/95780/7 October 2972 - 50

NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED FROM THE BEST COPY FURNISHED US BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY. ALTHOUGH IT IS RECOGNIZED THAT CERTAIN PORTIONS ARE ILLEGIBLE, IT IS BEING RELEASED IN THE INTEREST OF MAKING AVAILABLE AS MUCH INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE.

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL

UNCLASSIFIED

	TION PAGE	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
AFAL-TR-77-75		
P. TITLE (and Subtitle)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Design, Development, Analysis,	and Laboratory Test	Firal report.
Results of a Kalman Filter Sys [.]	July 1973 to November 1975	
Calibration Technique		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
		B CONTRACT OF GRANT NUMBER(*)
Dishand A. Kitaway		
Richard A. Kitzerow		
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND AC	DURESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
ir Force Avionics Laboratory		AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Iright-Patterson Air Force Base	e, Ohio 45433	60951508
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRES	is	12. REPORT DATE
Vir Force Avionics Laboratory		June 1977
right-Patterson Air Force Base	e, Ohio 45433	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS	different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (ut this report)
		UNCLASSIFIED
		SCHEDULE
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract	entered in Block 20, if different from	n Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract)	entered in Black 20, if different from	n Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract I/A 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	entered in 31ock 20, it different from	n Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract) I/A 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	entered in 31ock 20, if different from	n Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract) /A 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES /A	entered in 31ock 20, if different from	n Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract /A 9. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES /A KEY WORDL (Continue on reverse alde if tocca	entered in Block 20, if different from	n Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract) /A 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES /A N. KEY WORDL (Continue on reverse alde if Locas T-73 IMU Error Model	entered in Block 20, it different from seary and identify by block number) Error Model [Jeve	n Report) Jopment
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract /A B. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES /A KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse alds if second T-73 IMU Error Model MU Calibation	entered in Block 20, it different from many and identify by block number) Error Model Deve Laboratory Test	n Report) Topment Results
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract /A B. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES /A XEY WORDL (Continue on reverse alde if Lecea T-73 IMU Error Model MU Calibation alman Filter	entered in Block 20, it different from many and identify by block number) Error Model Deve Laboratory Test Gyros, Accelerom	lopment Results eters
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract /A 5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES /A T-73 IMU Error Model MU Calibation alman Filter MU Simulation	entered in Block 20, if different from many and identify by block number) Error Model Deve Laboratory Test Gyros, Accelerom IMU Maintenance	lopment Results eters and Acceptance Tests
A Supplementary notes A KEY WORDL (Continue on reverse alde if Locco T-73 IMU Error Model MU Calibation alman Filter MU Simulation avigation	entered in Block 20, it different from Heaty and Identify by block number) Error Model Deve Laboratory Test Gyros, Accelerom IMU Maintenance	lopment Results reters and Acceptance Tests
A Supplementary notes /A Supplementary notes /A MU Calibation alman Filter MU Simulation avigation MU Sates Air Force orce Base, Ohio, is presently avigation software development evelopment, analysis, and labo pror model development methodo est of inertial navigation sof	entered in Block 20, it different from Entered in Block 20, it different from Error Model Deve Laboratory Test Gyros, Accelerom IMU Maintenance erry enaidentify by block number) Avionics Laboratory conducting inhouse ad programs. This repo ratory test results 5 logy formulated to su tware and associated	lopment Results eters and Acceptance Tests at Wright-Patterson Air vanced aided-inertial it describes the design, f an IMU calibration and pport the design and Kalman Filters. The
A Supplementary notes A Supplementary notes /A A Key WORDL (Continue on reverse alde if the cont T-73 IMU Error Model MU Calibation alman Filter MU Simulation avigation ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse alde If ne cont The United States Air Force orce Base, Ohio, is presently avigation softwale development evelopment, analysis, and labo rror model development methodo est of inertial navigation sof WU error analysis methodology	entered in Block 20, it different from Error Model Deve Laboratory Test Gyros, Accelerom IMU Maintenance erry and Identify by block number) Avionics Laboratory conducting inhouse ad programs. This repo ratory test results o logy formulated to su tware and associated incorporates a 53-sta	lopment Results eters and Acceptance Tests at Wright-Patterson Air vanced aided-inertial it describes the design, f an IMU calibration and pport the design and Kalman Filters. The te Kalman Filter and uses
A A Supplementary notes A A A A A A A A A A A A A	entered in Block 20, it different from Error Model Deve Laboratory Test Gyros, Accelerom IMU Maintenance Avionics Laboratory conducting inhouse ad programs. This rupo ratory test results of logy formulated to su tware and associated incorporates a 53-sta	lopment Results reters and Acceptance Tests at Wright-Patterson Air vanced aided-inertial at describes the design, f an IMU calibration and pport the design and Kalman Filters. The te Kalman Filter and uses IFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Enturnd)

20. Abstract (Contd)

only system-level IMU velocity and gimbal angle measurements taken during a two and a half hour, twelve-platform attitude test to estimate IMU significant error sources relative to the inertial instrument random disturbances. The Kalman Filter incorporates a number of error model residual states which provide a measure of the degree to which the test article conforms to the assumed IMU error model. IMU error parameter estimation can be accomplished either on-line in real time or off-line using recorded IMU measurements. Results obtained from both a CDC-6600 computer simulation of the IMU calibration problem and a laboratory development program indicate that the technique is conceptually more comprehensive and accurate, and requires less specialized test equipment and test time than conventional calibration methods. The technique appears to be a likely candidate for IMU acceptance and intermediate and depot level maintenance tests.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

FOREWORD

An inhouse study was initiated in March 1973 to investigate static and dynamic tests and associated data reduction and analysis computer programs that could potentially assist the Reference Systems Software Group in accomplishing its mission: development and evaluation of aided-inertial navigation software. Study emphasis has been in the areas of error modeling to support the development of the navigation Kalman Filter and the definition of tests and development of data reduction and analysis computer programs that have the capability of separating hardware and real-time software errors and isolating these errors within their respective classes.

The IMU calibration technique reported herein is the first in a series of six tests defined in the study. Development of the calibration methodology, computer simulation, and a data reduction and analysis computer program was accomplished in the June to December 1973 time period. Development of the real-time test software, integration of the test equipment, and experimental verification of the calibration technique were accomplished during the September to December 1974 time period. Several refinements to the test software, equipment, and data analysis computer program were made in 1975.

The author acknowledges the assistance of Mr. D. Kaiser who was the Task Engineer responsible for the integration of the laboratory test equipment and development of the real-time test software. Capt P. Howe and Lt H. Vassar also contributed to the development of the real-time test software. Mr. R. Clark refined and assembled the equipment associated with the IMU gimbal angle measurements. TSgt E. Edgecomb conducted many of the calibration tests.

AFAL - TR - 77 - 75

TADEF OF CONTENT	LABL	NIENI	NIS
------------------	------	-------	-----

SECTIO)N		PAGE		
Ι	INTRO	DUCTION	۱		
	1.1	Background and Need	1		
	1.2	2			
	1.3 Report Overview				
ΙI	IMU (CALIBRATION METHODOLOGY	7		
	2.1	Ground Rules	7		
	2.2	Performance and Versatility Goals	8		
	2.3	Formulated Calibration Methodology	9		
III	ERROF	R EQUATION DEVELOPMENT	12		
	3.1	Introduction	12		
	3.2	Gimbal Synchro Angle Measurement Equations	13		
		3.2.1 Reference Frames and their Angular Velocities	13		
		3.2.2 Gyro Drift Model	17		
		3.2.3 Gimbal Angular Velocity Isolation	21		
		3.2.4 Linearized Error Equations	23		
	3.3	Velocity Measurement Equations	27		
		3.3.1 The Specific Force Equation	27		
		3.3.2 Accelerometer i rror Model	28		
		3.3.3 Linearized Error Equations	30		
ΙV	SEPAR	ATION OF IMU ERRORS	34		
	4.1	Conceptual Approach to Error Separation	34		
	4.2	IMU Calibration Parameters	35		
		4.2.1 Gimbal Angle Measurement Requirements	36		

Preceding page blank

1000

Achieve Lating

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cortd)

SECT1	ON		PAGE
	4.3	Platform Alignment Errors	39
	1.4	Inertial Instrument Random Disturbances	41
۷	KALM	AN FILTER	43
	5.1	Stochastic Estimation	43
	5.2	Kalman Filter Implementation	43
		5.2.1 Filter Equations	43
		5.2.2 Filter Vectors and Matrices	45
		5.2.3 Integration Algorithms	56
VI	THEO	RETICAL COMPUTER SIMULATION RESULTS	59
	6.1	Simulation Objectives	59
	6.2	Validation of Calibration Methodology and Assumptions	59
	6.3	IMU Calibration Accuracy Limitations	64
	5.4	Dynamic Error Estimation	67
	6.5	IMU Error Model Refinements	67
ΛΊΙ	IMU	LABORATORY TEST REQUIREMENTS	72
	7.1	Software Alternatives	72
		7.1.1 Kalman Filter Software Approach	72
		7.1.2 Real-Time Test Software Approach	74
		7.1.3 Recorded Pseudo Velocity Pulses	77
		7.1.4 Data Recording Problems	81
	7.2	Test Hardware Considerations	83
		7.2.1 IMU Case Alignment	83
		7.2.2 Gimbal Angle Measurements	84

AND A THE OWNER OF THE YES

A REAL PROPERTY OF

a a construction

TABLE OF COMTENTS (Contd)

1

SECTI	ON		PAGE	
VIII	JMU	ERROR MODEL REFINEMENTS	92	
	8.1	Initial Kalman Filter Estimation Results	92	
	8.2	Gyro Modeling Refinements	95	
		8.2.1 Gyro Quadrature G Error	95	
		8.2.2 Gyro G-Squared Error Correlation Studies	101	
		8.2.3 Heading Sensitivity Tests	103	
	8.3	Accelerometer Modeling Refinements	107	
		8.3.1 Accelerometer Non-Orthogonality Angles	108	
		8.3.2 Accelerometer Attitude Dependent Errors	111	
	8.4	Future Error Model Development Efforts	117	
ΙX	IMU CALIBRATION RESULTS			
	9.1	System-Level IMU Measurements	121	
	9.2	Composite Drift Rate Errors	125	
	9.3	Inertial Instrument Warm-Up Transients	125	
	9.4	Gyro Error Sources	134	
		9.4.1 Random Errors	134	
		9.4.2 Calibration Parameters	137	
	9.5	Accelerometer Error Sources	140	
		9.5.1 Random Errors	145	
		9.5.2 Calibration Parameters	145	
	9.6	Angular Error Sources	154	
		9.6.1 Inertial Instruments	154	
		9.6.2 Platform Attitude Related	162	

5

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Contd)

SECTION			PAGE
Х	CONCLUSIONS	AND RECOMMENDATIONS	169
	APPENDIX A:	IMU CALIBRATION CDC 6600 FORTRAN IV COMPUTER PROGRAM LISTING	171
	REFERENCES		241

. . . .

.

*

1	IST	0F	111	UST	RA	T	LONS
---	-----	----	-----	-----	----	---	------

FIGURE		PAGE
2-1.	Gimballed IMU Line Schematic]]
3-1.	Relationship Between Gyro (G) and Platform (P) Reference Frame Axes	14
3-2.	Gyro Axes and Mass Unbalance Orientations	19
4-1.	Platform Azimuth Misalignment Geometry	40
5-1.	Noise Distribution Matrix G	54
6-1.	Platform Drift and Velocity Uncertainty	61
6-2.	Gyro Bias Calibration	63
6-3.	Gyro Bias and Gyro Bias Plus Random Noise Calibration Accuracy	66
6-4.	Gyro Drift Rate Estimation	68
6-5.	Gyro Scale Factor Estimation	69
7-1.	IMU Calibration fest Equipment Configuration	75
7-2.	IMU Platform Test Attitudes and Corresponding Gimbal Angle Rotations	78
7-3.	Recordec .MU Pseudo Velocity Pulses	79-80
7-4.	Azimuth Synchro Scale Factor Calibration Results	89
7-5.	Azimuth Synchro Differential Precision About Zero Degrees	90
8-1.	Kalman Filter Escimates Contrasted A ainst KT-73 IMU Manufacturing Tolerances	94
8-2.	Gyro Error Model Residual Estimation Results (P(0) = 0.2 ² /Hr)	97
8-3.	Gyro Error Model Residual Estimation Results (P(0) = 0.005 [°] /Hr)	100
8-4.	Gyro Attitude Dependent Bias Estimation Results	102
8-5.	Heading Sensitive Drift Calibration Results	106

· ····

an an an an an an gr

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Contain

FIGURE		(
8-6.	Accelerometer Non-Orthogonality Angle Calibration Results	112
8-7.	Measurement Timing Error Calibration Results	·] [,
8-8.	Accelerometer Attitude Dependent Scale Factor Estimation Results	
9-1.	IMU Measurement State Estimation Accuracy	1.2.
9-2.	Differential Roll Gimbal Angle Estimation Revelop	124
9-3.	Composite Drift Rate Error Estimation Accurate	$\gamma_{1} \in$
9-4.	Platform Total Drift Rate Estimation Results	127
9-5.	Gyro Total Drift Rate Estimation Results	128
9-6.	Gyro Warm-Up Drift Rate Error	130
9-7.	Inertial Instrument Warm-Up Error Estimation Accuracy	131
9-8.	Inertial Instrument Estimated Thermal Transfers Magnitudes	133
9-9.	Gyro Random Error Estimation Accuracy	135
9-10.	Syro Altitude Dependent Drift Rate Lina. Estimation Results	i ste
9-11.	Gyro Bias and Scale Factor Error Estimation Accuracy	158
9-12.	Syno Bias and Scale Factor Error Magnitude Systimates	i , *
9-13,	Gyro Input and Spin Axes Mass Unbalance Leaders for a course of the second seco	;
9-14,	Gyro Input and Spin Axes Mass Unbalance Coefficient Magnitude Estimates	142
9-15.	Gyro Anisoelastic and Quadrature Gee Error Estimation Accuracy	143
9-16.	Gyno Anisoelastic and Quadrature Gee Ennor Coefficient Magnitude Estimates	144

х

11.55

T.C.A.

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Contd)

FIGURE		PAGE
9-17.	Accelerometer Random Error Estimation Accuracy	146
9-18.	Accelerometer Attitude Dependent Scale Factor Error Magnitude Estimates	147
9-19.	Measurement Timing Error Magnitude Estimates	148
9-20.	Accelerometer Bias Error Estimation Accuracy	149
9-21.	Accelerometer Bias Error Magnitude Estimates	150
9-22.	Accelerometer Scale Factor Error Estimation Accuracy	151
9-23.	Accelerometer Scale Factor Error Magnitude Estimates	152
9-24.	Instrument Mis-Alignment Angle Error Estimation Accuracy	155
9-25.	Instrument Mis-Alignment Angle Error Magnitude Estimates	156
9-26.	Instrument Non-Orthogonality Angle Error Estimation Accuracy	158
9-27.	Instrument Non-Orthogonality Angle Error Magnitude Estimates	159
9-28.	Accelerometer-to-Gyro Frame Mis-Alignment Angle Error Estimation Accuracy	160
9-29.	Accelerometer-to-Gyro Frame Mis-Aiignment Angle Error Magnitude Estimates	161
9-30.	Platform Orientation Error Component Estimation Accuracy	163
9-31.	Roll Synchro Angle Bias Magnitude Estimates	164
9-32.	IMU Case-to-Navigation Frame Mis-Alignment Angle Error Magnitude Estimates	165

TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
1-1.	Aided Inertial Navigation Software Development and Evaluation Tests	3
4-1.	Separation of IMU Calibration Parameters	37
5-1.	IMU Calibration Kalman Filter State Variables	47-51
9-1.	Inertial Instrument Thermal Transient Uncertainty at Seven Minutes after Power Turn-On	132

SECTION I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND AND NEED

As part of the Air Force Avionics Laboratory's Reconnaissance and Weapon Delivery Division, the Reference Systems Branch mission is concerned with conducting exploratory and advanced development of navigational reference subsystems, analyzing new concepts for reference subsystem data processing and software development, and developing new techniques for subsystem integration. The Branch's Reference Systems Software and Evaluation Groups support its mission by conducting in-house analysis and evaluation of navigation reference subsystems, with emphasis in the areas of inertial navigation software and subsystem integration via Kalman Filtering. The Evaluation Group maintains a laboracory and instrumented Greyhound bus for both static and dynamic hardware/software evaluation.

In accomplishing this mission, the Software and Evaluation Groups have and continue to encounter numerous mundane problems associated with the design, development, test, and evaluation of aided-inertial navigation software. Some of the more notable problems are: How is an inertial measurement unit (IMU) error model, suitable for Kalman Filter design and comprehensive subsystem convariance error analysis, developed and/or verified? Considering that inertial navigators are among the most precise of all electro-mechanical devices, how is it readily determined that the IMU is operating within its specification prior to conducting software evaluation tests? At the beginning of a reference subsystem test, what is the IMU platform alignment accuracy considering the wind buffet and personnel loading perturbating motion effects on the greyhound bus? How are the potential sources of aided-inertial navigation subsystem errors: hardware, alignment and software separated at the conclusion of an evaluation test? How can the various classes of software errors, coding, equations, inexact algorithms, etc., be distinguished so that software development projects can progress in

an orderly fashion? What is the best approach for establishing a position/ velocity/attitude reference for the aided-inertial navigation subsystem under evaluation: by an on-line real-time or by an off-line afterthe-fact data reduction/analysis computer program approach using recorded data?

1.2 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

From the preceding discussion, it is clear that aided-inertial navigation software projects can require extensive analyses, test procedures, and supporting data reduction and analyses computer programs if comprehensive development and evaluation efforts are desired. Recognizing this need, the Reference Systems Software Group undertook the development of a series of tests and supporting data reduction/ analyses computer programs to facilitate its software development and evaluation projects.

Table 1-1 indicates the types of tests that are currently planned and are under development. All tests have several major points in common. When a test is conducted, test data is stored on a magnetic tape for subsequent processing by a large off-line scientific computer. The concept of off-line non-real time data reduction/analyses computer programs introduces a time delay in obtaining test results but affords computer programs that are more comprehensive and easier to develop and change than those resultant from on-line real-time approaches.

The performance analysis, error diagnostic and evaluation concept for each data reduction/analysis program is a large dimensional Kalman Filter operating in parallel with deterministic logic and navigation software programs. This is a state-of-the-art approach that ensures the optimum use of the test data, minimum test time, and utmost error estimation accuracy. The extent to which Kalman Filters can be used for error source detection and isolation is a major finding to be determined by the study.

TABLE 1-1

AIDED-INERTIAL NAVIGATION SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION TESTS

	lest	Kalman Filter States	Test Location
(1)	IMU Calibration	68	SEL
(2)	MEL Suspension	35	MEL.
(3)	Scorsby Table Platform Alignment	39	SEL
(4)	Tilt Table Static Navigation	37+	SEL.
(5)	MEL Perturbed Base Alignment	39+	MEL
(6)	MEL Dynamic Navigation	43+	MEL

SEL - Software Evaluation Laboratory

MEL - Mobile Evaluation Laboratory

+ - Final Filter State Size Will Be Somewhat Larger

The major objectives of each of the tests are as follows. The IMU calibration test addresses the problems associated with: IMU error model development and validation, calibration of random bias type IMU hardware errors, estimation of time-varying error sources, and IMU hardware status assessment prior to software developmental testing.

The MEL Suspension Test utilizes three orthogonal strapdown accelerometers mounted in the greyhound bus (MEL) to obtain data relevant to the perturbed base platform alignment problem: MEL suspension physical characteristics including mass, spring and shock absorber data, IMU relative mounting data, manloading-engine-wind perturbating motion magnitudes, and statistical modeling of these disturbances.

The Scorsby Rate Table Platform Alignment Test is designed to evaluate Kalman Filter alignment software in an environment where the irrequency and magnitude of base motion perturbations are accurately controlled.

The Tilt Table Static Navigation Test addresses initial Kalman Filter/inertial navigation software and IMU/computer hardware integration problems in a highly controlled environment. Emphasis is placed on the ability of the data reduction/analysis computer program to detect and isolate the potential errors. Special tests such as determining navigation performance as a function of gyro heading sensitivity can be conducted.

The MEL Perturbed Base Alignment Test assesses the ability of the alignment software to both align the platform axes and estimate gyro drifts for multi-position platform alignment schemes in the presence of real world MEL base perturbations and inertial instrument temperature transients.

The MEL Dynamic Navigation Data Reduction/Analysis Program is largely a composite of the previous programs and utilizes much of the data generated by them. The overall objective is to assess aided-inertial navigation subsystem performance and separate the associated errors into their respective classes: hardware, alignment and software. Hardware and alignment errors are separated by the inherent operation of the Kalman Filter. Software errors are further separated into: coding, equation, inexact algorithm, inertial navigation and Kalman Filtering by "ideal inertial navigation equations" operating with logic in conjunction with the large dimensional Kalman Filter of the data reduction/analysis program.

Each data reduction/analysis computer program has been developed and exercised against a computer simulation of the appropriate test subsystem in its expected environment. Simulation results have been encouraging especially in the area of error detection and isolation. The IMU calibration data reduction/analysis computer program has additionally been exercised against real world IMU test data as collected in the Evaluation Group's Software Evaluation Laboratory (SEL). The experimental results obtained clearly indicate the value of the Kalman Filter as an effective tool for detecting, isolating, and accurately estimating the error sources in complex navigation subsystems.

1.3 REPORT OVERVIEW

This report is concerned with the design, development, analysis, and laboratory test results of the IMU calibration technique. The remaining five data reduction/analysis computer programs in the aidedinertial navigation software development and evaluation test series are to be reported after they are exercised against read-world data.

In Section 2, the ground rules and versatility and accuracy goals for the IMU calibration technique are discussed. The IMU calibration methodology formulated in response to the restrictions and goals is presented.

In Section 3, an error model for the IMU is given and the error equations governing its operation during the calibration test are derived.

Section 4 contains a discussion of the conceptual approach used for separation of the IMU error sources.

Section 5 contains a description of the Kalman Filter mechanized for estimation and calibration of the IMU error sources.

Section 6 presents and discusses theoretical results obtained from a CDC-6600 computer simulation of the IMU, Kalman Filter, and calibration test.

Section 7 discusses the laboratory hardware, computer software, and the test procedure used in testing the IMU.

Section 8 contains the "lessons learned" from the initial IMU calibration tests and the subsequent IMU error model refinements.

Section 9 presents a pictorial tabulation of the results obtained from the IMU calibration tests. IMU error source calibration accuracy, as obtained from the Kalman Filter's covariance matrix, is given in addition to the recovered error source magnitudes.

Section 10 points out several applications for which the developed IMU calibration technique appears to be well suited.

Appendix A contains the CDC-6600 computer FORTRAN IV program listing to assist those attempting to develop a Kalman Filter and associated algorithms for a similar application.

SECTION II

IMU CALIBRATION METHODOLOGY

2.1 GROUND RULES

The IMU used by the Reference Systems Software and Evaluation Groups in conducting aided-inertial navigation software development and evaluation tests is a Singer-Kearfott KT-73 four-gimbal, all-attitude unit which contains gyroscope and accelerometer inertial instruments and associated electronics. This IMU is also a part of the A-7D aircraft avionics suite and is calibrated at the operational bases by the Singer-Kearfott ASM-375 testset. The IMU is maintained by the Aerospace Guidance and Metrology Center (AGMC), Heath AFB, Newark, Ohio. AGMC employs numerous digital IMU (DIMU) testsets for calibrating the units.

Initially, serious consideration was given to periodically calibrating the IMU by one of the above testsets. This approach was subsequently discarded for several reasons. Funding was not available nor was it considered cost-effective, procurement costs of the ASM-375 testset were roughly one million dollars each, to buy and maintain a testset for the periodic calibration of one IMU. Although acceptable, turnaround times for having the IMU calibrated at Heath AFB were undesirable. Finally, the sixteen parameters calibrated by the testsets were considered insufficient for comprehensive IMU error modelling studies.

The ground rules established for developing the calibration technique were necessitated by the manpower skills and equipment available in the Evuluation Group and its Software Evaluation Laboratory. The available skills and equipment precluded disassembling the IMU for special testing. "No disassembly" implies that only easily accessible system-level IMU quantized velocity pulses and gimbal synchro angle output measurements could be used. No specialized AGE was to be required other than that already available in the laboratory: digital computers, tilt and scorsby tables, a Singer-Kearfott ASN/90(V) inertial navigation system

test equipment rack, etc. A final ground rule was that the solution to the calibration problem be practically implementable on a general purpose digital computer.

2.2 PERFORMANCE AND VERSATILITY GOALS

A major limitation of contemporary IMU calibration concepts is their limited diagnostic capabilities. Present test concepts assume a priori the major sources of IMU errors, usually ten to twenty, with the test being designed to sequentially determine the magnitudes of the assumed error sources. A deficiency of this approach is that the test results cannot provide any indication as to whether or not the test article conforms to the assumed error model. It is entirely possible for an IMU to contain significant error sources other than those assumed and for them to go undetected at the conclusion of the calibration test. Adequate Kalman Filter design and comprehensive navigation subsystem covariance error analysis are dependent upon having an accurate IMU error model. One goal of the study is therefore to devise an IMU calibration concept that affords a capability for IMU error model development and/or validation. Simply stated, the calibration test results should provide some indication of the degree that the test article conforms to the assumed error model.

It is desirable that the calibration concept be versatile in regards to both IMU mechanizations and data processing approaches. The present study is largely concerned with the gimballed KT-73 IMU although future Reference Systems Branch projects may involve a strapdown IMU. A versatile methodology will permit adaption to the strapdown mechanization with a minimum of modifications to the test procedures and data reduction/ analysis computer programs.

Off-line after-the-fact and on-line real-time data processing approaches are both desirable. An off-line approach to IMU calibration greatly facilitates the development of the Data Reduction/Analysis Computer Program since it can be written in a higher order language and is

not subject to the numerous real-time software development problems. Off-line data reduction/analysis programs hosted on large scientific computers can also be more sophisticated than on-line versions due to the large computer resources available. On-line real-time approaches offer the advantages of real-time IMU closed loop control and provide test results immediately upon conclusion of the test.

IMU calibration performance goals are to provide a comprehensive calibration with optimum accuracy and to minimize IMU test time. Since static IMU hardware error sources can be compensated for in the operational flight computer program, it is desirable to estimate each significant IMU error source to an accuracy such that the navigational accuracy acnievable with the IMU would be limited only by uncompensatable random disturbances. Total test time of a few hours or less is desirable to allow aided-inertial navigation software tests to be conducted on the same days as the calibration tests.

2.3 FORMULATED CALIBRATION METHODOLOGY

The performance and versatility goals dictate that a generalized IMU calibration methodology be formulated which is based only on the essential functions an IMU performs. These functions are to permit the establishment and maintenance of a reference platform coordinate frame and measurement of the instantaneous specific force vector. Noting that calibration is an error estimation process, the IMU error analysis methodology formulated can be understood with the following rationale. If the orientation of the platform of an error-free IMU were initially precisely known with respect to the physical earth rate and gravity vectors, then both the orientation of the platform with respect to these vectors and the platform coordinatized specific force vector could be deterministically calculated as a function of time. Any differences between the ideal time-dependent platform orientation and accelerometer outputs and those of a real IMU must be caused by either IMU error sources or an initial platform misalignment. This fact is true regardless of initial platform orientation and can be employed for the

derivation of system-level IMU error equations relating measurable IMU performance parameters to IMU and platform misalignment angle error quantities.

Application of the generalized methodology to a fixed-base gimballed IMU can be illustrated by the IMU line schematic of Figure 2-1. The fundamental function of the gyros, gimbals, and servo feedback control system is to maintain a reference platform coordinate frame relative to an inertial frame. If the gyro triad of an ideal IMU were commanded to precess in equality with the earth's angular rotation vector, then the angular velocity of the platform with respect to an earth fixed reference frame would be identically zero. This ideal IMU performance would result in a fixed angular orientation of the gimbals relative to each other and constant system-level synchro gimbal angle and accelerometer measurement outputs. The exact angular orientation of the platform frame with respect to the earth rate and gravity vectors must be known for a priori computation of the required gyro precession rate torquing magnitudes. In the real world, inexact initial platform attitude information and IMU error sources preclude the above ideal IMU performance and synchro gimbal angle and accelerometer measurements exhibit a time dependency.



۰.

Figure 2-1. Gimballed IMU Line Schematic

11

SECTION III

ERROR EQUATION DEVELOPMENT

3.1 INTRODUCTION

The essential task involved in developing the error equations is the derivation of analytical expressions relating system-level IMU gimbal synchro angle and velocity measurements to IMU and platform-tonavigation frame misalignment angle error quantities. These derivations are relatively straightforward and follow directly from the physical vector equations expressing the physical relationships implicitly implied by the generalized methodology.

A prerequisite for these derivations is an IMU model explicitly containing each IMU error source's functional dependency. As stated in Section 2.1, a Singer-Kearfott KT-73 IMU was chosen for the calibration methodology application largely because it is used in the MEL as part of the Reference Navigation System and requires periodic status validation and calibration. Reference 1 contains an error model for the IMU which reportedly contains all pertinent error sources.

To achieve the stated performance goals, the "finalized IMU error model" must contain all IMU error sources that are significant relative to the gyro and accelerometer inertial instrument random disturbances. The phrase "finalized IMU error model" is used to emphasize that the error model given in Reference 1 need not be strictly accurate in the sense that it contains all error sources that are significant relative to the inertial instrument random disturbances. The error model of Reference 1 needs only to represent a good starting point since a major objective of this study is to develop a conceptual approach to IMU error model development and validation.

The conceptual approach to IMU error model development and validation that will be pursued in the study contains two basic premises: (1) Estimation of the IMU error sources in a simultaneous or parallel fashion

rather than the sequential or serial manner of contemporary techniques will permit discrepancies between the assumed and actual error model to be detected. And, (2) by adding error model residuals or additional error sources possessing very broad functional dependencies to the assumed error model, it should be possible to determine specific functional dependencies through correlation analysis. The method of implementing this conceptual approach will be described in the sequel.

3.2 GIMBAL SYNCHRO ANGLE MEASUREMENT EQUATIONS

The gimbal synchro angle measurement equations express the time rates of change of the IMU gimbals relative to each other. The key point that must be recognized in order to derive these expressions is that the gimbals rotate in such a manner as to isolate the IMU's platform angular velocity from that of its case. Before these relationships can be determined it is however necessary to define: various reference frames, the relationship between their angular velocities, gyro torquing rates, and a gyro drift model.

3.2.1 Reference Frames and Their Angular Velocities

The platform frame is defined as the innermost IMU gimbal upon which the gyro and accelerometer inertial instruments are mounted. The inertial frame is considered as a frame that is nonrotating relative to the stars and has its origin at the earth's center. The angular velocity vector of the platform frame relative to the inertial frame coordinatized in platform frame coordinates is given as:

$$\overline{W}_{I,P}^{P} = c_{g}^{P} \overline{W}_{I,g}^{g}$$

where

 C_g^P is a small-angle nonorthogonal error transformation matrix that accounts for the physical misalignments of the gyro input axes from the platform reference frame axes. The elements of this matrix are shown in Figure 3-1.



Figure 3-1. Relationship Between Gyro (G) and Platform (P) Reference Frame Axes

$$c_{g}^{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\beta_{xz} & \beta_{xy} \\ \beta_{yz} & 1 & -\beta_{yx} \\ -\beta_{zy} & \beta_{zx} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\overline{W}_{I,g}^{g}$ is the angular velocity vector of the gyro frame relative to the inertial frame coordinatized in gyro frame coordinates.

 $\overline{W}_{I,g}$ is composed of two basic vectors. The first represents the angular velocity at which the gyros are commanded to precess relative to inertial space $\overline{W}_{I,C}$. The second represents gyro frame angular velocity relative to inertial space due to all gyro imperfections and is commonly referred to as gyro drift $\overline{W}_{I,D}$.

$$\overline{w}_{I,g}^{g} = \overline{w}_{I,C}^{g} + \overline{w}_{I,D}^{g}$$

To maintain the gyro frame stabilized at approximately a constant attitude with respect to the navigation frame, it is necessary to torque the gyros corresponding to the best a priori information of the coordinization of the earth rate vector in the gyro frame.

$$\overline{\tilde{w}}_{I,C}^{g} = \left[\kappa_{F}\right]\overline{PRF} = c_{PG}^{P} c_{RG}^{PG} c_{CASE}^{RG} \overline{\tilde{w}}_{I,N}^{N}$$

where

- $[K_F]$ is a 3x3 diagonal matrix of the loaded gyro scale factors. The true IMU gyro scale factors $[K_{FT}]$ differ from the scale factors loaded into the digital navigation computer $[K_F]$ by an error designated as $[\Delta K_F]$.
- PRF is a three-state vector of the gyro torquing pulse repetition frequencies.
- $\overline{W}_{I,N}^{N}$ is the angular velocity vector of the mavigation frame relative to inertial space coordinated in the mavigation frame.

$$\tilde{w}_{I,N}^{N} = \begin{bmatrix} \Omega & c(L) \\ 0 \\ \Omega & s(L) \end{bmatrix}$$

 Ω is the magnitude of the earth rate vector.

L is the latitude.

 $C(\cdot)$ and $S(\cdot)$ represent the trigonometric cosine and sine functions.

C^{RG}_{CASE} is the IMU case to roll (outer) gimbal frame coordinate transformation matrix.

$$c_{CASE}^{RG} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c(\theta_{x}) & s(\theta_{x}) \\ 0 & -s(\theta_{x}) & c(\theta_{x}) \end{bmatrix}$$

c^{PG} RG

is the roll gimbal to pitch (middle) gimbal frame coordinate transformation matrix.

$$c_{RG}^{PG} = \begin{bmatrix} c(\theta_{y}) & 0 & -s(\theta_{y}) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ s(\theta_{y}) & 0 & c(\theta_{y}) \end{bmatrix}$$

c^p_{PG}

is the pitch gimbal to azimuth (inner) gimbal or platform frame coordinate transformation matrix.

$$c_{PG}^{P} = \begin{bmatrix} c(\theta_z) & s(\theta_z) & o \\ -s(\theta_z) & c(\theta_z) & o \\ o & o & i \end{bmatrix}$$

 θ_x , θ_y , and θ_z are the nominal gimbal synchro angle readings which are obtained by subsequent rotations about the roll, pitch, and azimuth gimbal axes.

The KT-73 IMU is a four-gimbal unit with an outer to inner gimbal progression of outer roll, pitch, inner roll, and azimuth. The inner roll gimbal is not instrumented with a synchro device and is slaved to coincide with the outer roll gimbal by a reluctance E-bridge. The inner roll gimbal rotates out of coincidence with the outer roll gimbal to prevent gimbal-lock when the pitch gimbal exceeds ±70 degrees from its neutral position. The inner roll gimbal is not considered in the above gimbal frame coordinate transformations because its angular orientation cannot be measured at system-level. The only penalty associated with this approach is that the IMU cannot be tested with pitch gimbal more than ±70 degrees from its neutral position.

3.2.2 Gyro Drift Mudel

The gyro drift vector is an error quantity composed of all gyro error sources that are significant relative to and including the random disturbances or gyro noise.

$\overline{W}_{I,D}^{S} = \overline{W}_{A} + \overline{W}_{B} + \overline{W}_{N} \div \overline{W}_{O} + \overline{W}_{T} \div \overline{W}_{RL} + \overline{W}_{RS}$

 \overline{W}_A is the platform-to-IMU case attitude dependent gyro bias drift vector. This error source is not listed in Reference 1 by the IMU manufacturer, but has, however, been added, as discussed in Section 3.1, to account for the possibility of a significant discrepancy between the Reference 1 and the true gyro error model. Note that the error source has no specific functional dependency other than attitude relative to the IMU case.

 $\overline{W}_{\rm p}$ is the constant gyro bias drift vector.

 \overline{W}_{M} is the gyro mass unbalance drift vector.

$$\overline{W}_{M} = \begin{bmatrix} -M_{Sx} & 0 & M_{Ix} \\ 0 & -M_{Sy} & M_{Iy} \\ 0 & -M_{Iz} & M_{Sz} \end{bmatrix} \overline{f}_{s}^{g}$$

 M_{Sx} , M_{Sy} , and M_{Sz} are the gyro spin axes mass unbalance coefficients. M_{Ix} , M_{Iy} , and M_{Iz} are the gyro input axes mass unbalance coefficients. Gyro input, output and spin axes, and mass unbalance orientations used for deriving the mass unbalance matrix shown above are given in Figure 3-2. The vector equation equating the cross products of the mass unbalance position and specific force vectors to the gyro angular drift and angular momentum vectors establishes the sign convertions.

is the specific force courdinatized in the gyro frame.

 $\overline{f_s}^{g} = C_P^{g} C_{PG}^{P} C_{RG}^{PG} C_{CASE}^{RG} C_N^{CASE} \overline{f_s}^{N}$



Ŧ

is an orthogonal small angle navigation to IMU case frame coordinate transformation matrix.

$$\mathbf{C}_{N}^{CASE} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \boldsymbol{\eta}_{z} & -\boldsymbol{\eta}_{y} \\ -\boldsymbol{\eta}_{z} & \mathbf{i} & \boldsymbol{\eta}_{x} \\ \boldsymbol{\eta}_{y} & -\boldsymbol{\eta}_{x} & \mathbf{i} \end{bmatrix}$$

f^Ns

is the specific force coordinated in the navigation frame.

$$\overline{f}_{s}^{N} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\-9 \end{bmatrix}$$



AFAL - TR - 77 - 75

*

Figure 3-2. Gyro Axes and Mass Unbalance Orientations

- g is the magnitude of the earth's gravity vector.
- \overline{W}_{Ω} is the gyro anisoelastic drift vector.

$$\overline{W}_{0} = \begin{bmatrix} K_{0_{X}} \cdot f_{Sy}^{9} \cdot f_{Sz}^{9} \\ K_{0_{Y}} \cdot f_{Sx}^{9} \cdot f_{Sz}^{9} \\ K_{0_{Z}} \cdot f_{Sx}^{9} \cdot f_{Sz}^{9} \end{bmatrix}$$

 $K_{\mbox{ox}},\ K_{\mbox{oy}}$ and $K_{\mbox{oz}}$ are the anisoelasticity coefficients for the x, y, and z gyros.

 \overline{W}_{T} is the gyro thermal transient drift vector.

$$\overline{W}_{T} = \overline{W}_{T_{O}} e^{-\frac{(t - T_{o})}{\tau_{gt}}}$$

 \overline{W}_{T_0} is the initial gyro warm-up drift vector.

- T₀ is the time from power turn-on to the start of fine gyrocompass alignment.
- t is the time from power turn-on.
- $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\textrm{gt}}$ is the gyro warm-up drift time constant.
- \overline{W}_{RL} is the long correlation time exponentially correlated gyro random drift vector.
- \overline{W}_{RS} is the short correlation time exponentially correlated gyro random drift vector.

$$\overline{\dot{W}}_{R}(t) = -\frac{\overline{W}_{R}(t)}{r_{g}} + \sigma_{g} \sqrt{\frac{2}{r_{g}}} \overline{N}(t)$$

- $\tau_{g\ell}$ and τ_{gs} are the long and short gyro random noise correlation times.
- $\sigma_{\rm g\,\ell}$ and $\sigma_{\rm g\,s}$ are the long and short correlation time random drift standard deviations.
- N(t) is unity variance white noise.
- 3.2.3 Gimbal Angular Velocity Isolation

The foregoing equations for the IMU error sources, IMU case-tonavigation frame misalignment angles and gyro frame commanded angular velocity permit calculation of the angular velocity of the platform frame relative to an inertial frame. The IMU gimbals and closed-loop servocontrol mechanism function so that this angular velocity is isolated from that of the IMU case. Assuming that the IMU is rigidly mounted to a static test fixture, IMU case angular velocity is simply that of the rotating earth. To achieve IMU platform-to-case angular velocity isolation, the gimbals must rotate relative to one another in a manner dictated by the following equation.

 $\overline{W}_{I,P}^{P} = \overline{W}_{PG,P}^{P} + c_{PG}^{P} \left[\overline{W}_{RG,PG}^{PG} + c_{RG}^{PG} \left(\overline{W}_{CASE,RG}^{RG} + c_{CASE}^{RG} c_{N}^{CASE} \overline{W}_{I,N}^{N} \right) \right]$

where

 $\overline{W}_{CASE,RG}^{RG}$ is the angular velocity vector of the roll gimbal frame relative to the IMU case coordinatized in the roll gimbal frame.

$$\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{CASE,RG}^{RG} = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{gx} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\overline{W}_{RG,PG}^{PG}$ is the angular velocity vector of the pitch gimbal frame relative to the roll gimbal frame coordinatized in the pitch gimbal frame.

$$W_{RG,PG}^{PG} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ \dot{\theta}_{gy}\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\overline{W}_{PG,P}^{p}$ is the angular velocity vector of the platform frame relative to the pitch gimbal frame coordinated in the platform frame.

$$\overline{W}_{PG,P}^{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \dot{c}_{gz} \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\dot{\theta}_{gx}$, $\dot{\theta}_{gy}$, and $\dot{\theta}_{gz}$ are the time rates of change of the roll, pitch, and azimuth gimbal angles.

 C_{CASE}^{RG} , C_{RG}^{PG} , and C_{PG}^{P} are the three-roll, pitch, and azimuth gimbal frame coordinate transformation matrices as defined previously except that the trigonometric function arguments are now the θ_{gx} , θ_{gy} , and θ_{gz} gimbal angles rather than the nominal gimbal synchro angle readings.

The roll, pitch, and azimuth synchros provide a measurement of the corresponding gimbal angles relative to each other or the IMU case. The absolute accuracy of the KT-73 IMU synchro devices is characterized by a 6-arc-minute standard deviation. The synchros are, however, capable of 5-arc-second precision for sufficiently small deviations about any nominal gimbal angle. To fully utilize the synchro angle measurement information, each gimbal angle is subdivided into three components.

 $\theta_{a}(t) = \theta + \Delta \theta + d\theta(t)$
where

 $\theta_{a}(t)$ is the total time-varying gimbal angle.

- θ represents the nominal synchro gimbal angle used in orientating the platform relative to the IMU case. θ is considered fixed after initial platform orientation.
- △θ represents a six-arc-minute bias angular error inherent between θ and the actual gimbal orientation, due to synchro measurement accuracy limitations.

3.2.4 Linearized Error Equations

The foregoing system of equations given in Sections 3.2.1 through 3.2.3 can be manipulated to yield a set of three simultaneous nonlinear stochastic differential equations which relate IMU error sources and platform-to-navigation frame misalignment angles to system-level gimbal synchro angle measurable quantities. The nonlinear nature of the equations preclude a rigorous solution and for this reason a first-order linearization of the equations is assumed to be an accurate approximation. This is, of course, a major assumption that will be justified in the sequel. The manipulation and linearization of the system of equations by the use of partial derivatives is a straightforward process but is involved and tedious, and for these reasons is not illustrated here. The set of three simplified simultaneous stochastic differential equations that result from this process is given below for the differential roll, pitch, and azimuth gimbal angles.

ŋ

$$d^{\theta}_{x} = C(\theta_{z}) \Big[W_{Ax} + W_{Bx} + W_{Tx} + W_{RLx} + W_{RSx} \Big] / C(\theta_{y}) \\ - S_{1}(\theta_{z}) \Big[W_{Ay} + W_{By} + W_{Ty} + W_{RLy} + W_{RSy} \Big] / C(\theta_{y}) \\ + C(\theta_{z}) \Big[-g_{x} M_{Sx} + g_{z} M_{1x} \Big] / C(\theta_{y}) \\ + S(\theta_{z}) \Big[g_{y} M_{Sy} - g_{z} M_{1y} \Big] / C(\theta_{y}) \\ + C(\theta_{y}) g_{y} g_{z} K_{Ox} / C(\theta_{y}) - S(\theta_{z}) g_{x} g_{z} K_{Oy} / C(\theta_{y}) \\ + C(\theta_{z}) PRF_{x} \Delta K_{Fx} / C(\theta_{y}) - S(\theta_{z}) PRF_{y} \Delta K_{Fy} / C(\theta_{y}) \Big]$$

$$+ C(\theta_{z}) \Big[-W_{Cy} \beta_{xz} + W_{Cz} \beta_{xy} \Big] / C(\theta_{y}) \\+ S(\theta_{z}) \Big[-W_{Cx} \beta_{yz} + W_{Cz} \beta_{yx} \Big] / C(\theta_{y}) \\- \Omega T(\theta_{y}) S(\theta_{x}) \Big[S(L)(\eta_{x} + \Delta \theta_{x} + d\theta_{x}) - C(L)\eta_{z} \Big] \\+ \Omega \Big[T(\theta_{y}) C(\theta_{x}) C(L) + S(L) \Big] \eta_{y} \\+ \Big\{ T(\theta_{y}) \Big[C(x) W_{Cx} - S(\theta_{z}) W_{Cy} \Big] / C(\theta_{y}) \\+ \Omega C(\theta_{x}) S(L) / C^{2}(\theta_{y}) \Big\} \Big[\Delta \theta_{y} + d\theta_{y} \Big] \\- \Big[S(\theta_{z}) W_{Cx} + C(\theta_{z}) W_{Cy} \Big] \Big[\Delta \theta_{z} + d\theta_{z} \Big] / C(\theta_{y}) \Big]$$

$$d\theta_{y} = S(\theta_{z}) \Big[W_{Ax} + W_{Bx} + W_{Tx} + W_{RLx} + W_{RSx} \Big]$$

$$C(\theta_{z}) \Big[W_{Ay} + W_{By} + W_{Tx} + W_{RLx} + W_{RSx} \Big]$$

$$+ S(\theta_{z}) \Big[-g_{x} M_{Sx} + g_{z} M_{1x} \Big]$$

$$+ C(\theta_{z}) \Big[-g_{y} M_{Sy} + g_{z} M_{1y} \Big]$$

+
$$S(\theta_z) g_y g_z K_{Ox} + C(\theta_z) g_x g_z K_{Oy}$$

+ $S(\theta_z) PRF_x \Delta K_{Fx} + C(\theta_z) PRF_y \Delta K_{Fy}$
+ $S(\theta_z) [-W_{Cy} \beta_{xz} + W_{Cz} \beta_{xy}]$
+ $C(\theta_z) [W_{Cx} \beta_{xz} - W_{Cz} \beta_{yx}]$
- $\Omega C(\theta_x) [S(L)(\eta_x + \Delta \theta_x + d\theta_x) - C(L) \eta_z]$
- $\Omega S(\theta_x) C(L) \eta_x$
+ $[C(\theta_z) W_{Cx} - S(\theta_z) W_{Cy}] [\Delta \theta_z + d\theta_z]$

$$d\hat{\theta}_{z} = -T(\theta_{y})C(\theta_{z}) \Big[W_{Ax} + W_{Bx} + W_{Tx} + W_{RLx} + W_{RSx} \Big]$$

$$+ T(\theta_{y})S(\theta_{z}) \Big[W_{Ay} + W_{By} + W_{Ty} + W_{RLy} + W_{RSy} \Big]$$

$$+ \Big[W_{Az} + W_{Bz} + W_{Tz} + W_{RLz} + W_{RSz} \Big] + g_{z}M_{Sz} - g_{y}M_{1z}$$

$$+ T(\theta_{y})C(\theta_{z}) \Big[g_{x}M_{Sx} - g_{z}M_{1x} \Big]$$

$$+ T(\theta_{y})S(\theta_{z}) \Big[-g_{y}M_{Sy} + g_{z}M_{1y} \Big]$$

$$- T(\theta_{y})C(\theta_{z}) g_{y}g_{z}K_{Ox} + T(\theta_{y})S(\theta_{z}) g_{x}g_{z}K_{Oy}$$

$$+ g_{x}g_{y}K_{Oz} - T(\theta_{y})C(\theta_{z})PRF_{x}\Delta K_{Fx}$$

$$+ T(\theta_{y})S(\theta_{z})PRF_{y}\Delta K_{Fy} + PRF_{z}\Delta K_{Fz}$$

$$+ T(\theta_{y})C(\theta_{z}) \Big[W_{Cy}\beta_{xz} - W_{Cz}\beta_{xy} \Big]$$

۰.

+
$$T(\theta_y) S(\theta_z) \Big[w_{Cx} \beta_{yz} - w_{Cz} \beta_{yx} \Big]$$

- $w_{Cx} \beta_{zy} + w_{Cy} \beta_{zx}$
+ $\Omega S(\theta_x) \Big[S(L)(\eta_x + \Delta \theta_x + d\theta_x) - C(L) \eta_z \Big] / C(\theta_y)$
- $\Omega C(\theta_x) C(L) \eta_y / C(\theta_y)$
- $\Big\{ \Big[C(\theta_z) w_{Cx} - S(\theta_z) w_{Cy} \Big] / C^2(\theta_y)$
- $\Omega T(\theta_y) C(\theta_x) S(L) / C(\theta_y) \Big\} \Big[\Delta \theta_y + d\theta_y \Big]$
+ $T(\theta_y) \Big[S(\theta_z) w_{Cx} + C(\theta_z) w_{Cy} \Big] \Big[\Delta \theta_z + d\theta_z \Big]$

where S(·), C(·) and T(·) represent the trigonometric sine, cosine, and tangent functions.

$$g_{x} = g \Big[C(\theta_{x}) S(\theta_{y}) C(\theta_{z}) - S(\theta_{x}) S(\theta_{z}) \Big]$$

$$g_{y} = -g \Big[C(\theta_{x}) S(\theta_{y}) S(\theta_{z}) + S(\theta_{x}) C(\theta_{z}) \Big]$$

$$g_{z} = -g C(\theta_{x}) C(\theta_{y})$$

$$w_{Cx} = \Omega \Big\{ C(L) C(\theta_{z}) C(\theta_{y})$$

$$-S(L) \Big[C(\theta_{z}) S(\theta_{y}) C(\theta_{x}) - S(\theta_{z}) S(\theta_{x}) \Big]$$

$$w_{Cy} = \Omega \Big\{ -C(L) S(\theta_{z}) C(\theta_{y})$$

$$+S(L) \Big[S(\theta_{z}) S(\theta_{y}) C(\theta_{x}) + C(\theta_{z}) S(\theta_{x}) \Big] \Big\}$$

$$w_{Cz} = \Omega \Big[C(L) S(\theta_{y}) + S(L) C(\theta_{y}) C(\theta_{y}) \Big]$$

26

A REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY.

1.50

Sign conventions for the error equation development assume that the navigation frame x, y, and z axes are originated north, west, and up, respectively. The IMU roll, pitch, and azimuth gimbal neutral positions are coincident with the x_{z} y, and z navigation frame axes, respectively. All gimbal rotations have positive directions as specified by the right-hand rule.

3.3 VELOCITY MEASUREMENT EQUATIONS

3.3.1 The Specific Force Equation

Derivation of the equations relating system-level IMU velocity measurements to IMU error sources and platform-to-navigation frame misalignment angles follows directly from the specific force equation coordinatized to the accelerometer frame. Since the IMU's case will be rigidly fixed to the earth during calibration testing, the navigation frame is considered fixed to the earth and the appropriate specific force equation is given as follows.

$$\overline{f}_{s}^{A} = \overline{g}^{A} - \left(p_{N}^{2} \overline{R}_{NA} \right)^{A} - \left(2 \overline{W}_{IN} \times p_{N} \overline{R}_{NA} \right)^{A}$$

where

- $\overline{R}_{NA}^{}$ is the position vector from the navigation frame origin to the accelerometer test mass.
- P_N is the derivative with respect to time taken relative to the navigation frame.

The last two terms on the right-hand side of this equation represent tangential, centripetal, and coriolis acceleration specific force components produced by a finite accelerometer test mass displacement from the origin of the accelerometer frame and both an angular acceleration and velocity of this frame relative to the earth fixed navigation frame. These components can, however, be shown to be insignificant relative to the accelerometer inertial instrument random disturbances for the KT-73 TMU.

Under these conditions, the accelerometers provide a measure of the earth's gravity vector coordinatized in the accelerometer frame.

$$\overline{f}_{s}^{A} = c_{P}^{A} c_{PG}^{P} c_{RG}^{PG} c_{CASE}^{RG} c_{N}^{CASE} \overline{g}^{N}$$

where

C_PA

is the platform-to-ac elerometer frame non-orthogonal transformation matrix to account for physical misalignments of the accelerometer sensitive axes from the platform axes.

$$\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{A}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{\gamma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z}} & -\mathbf{\gamma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \\ -\mathbf{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{z}} & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}} \\ \mathbf{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}} & -\mathbf{\gamma}_{\mathbf{z}\mathbf{x}} & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}$$

Definitions for the elements of this matrix are similar to those given in Figure 3-1 for the gyrc-to-platform misalignment matrix. The first subscript refers to the misaligned axis and the second subscript refers to the axis about which a small angular rotation has occurred.

3.3.2 Accelerometer Error Model

In addition to ideally providing a measure of the specific force vector, the accelerometer outputs are corrupted by various hardware induced errors. The composite accelerometer output signals are not, however, measurable at system-level for the KT-73 IMU. In the hardware mechanization of this IMU, the accelerometer output signals are integrated in capacitive reser integrators which index velocity registers with delta velocity pulses. The accumulated velocity pulses are subsequently multiplied by airborne computer loaded accelerometer scale factors to obtain total velocity in the desired units.

The digitization of the accelerometer output signal into a train of pulses whose rate represents the magnitude of the acceleration int iduces a velocity quantization level which represents the change in velocity per pulse. Since analog velocity data is not continuously available at any

instant of time, the quantization process introduces an error. If the quantization effects are treated as errors in the system-level IMU velocity measurements, then the accelerometer output signals can be used to define an artificial time derivative velocity vector modeled as follows.

$$\overline{\dot{v}} = \left[\kappa_{A}\right] \left\{ \overline{f}_{S}^{A} + \overline{A}_{B} + \overline{A}_{R} + \overline{A}_{T} \right\}$$

 $[K_A]$ is a 3x3 diagonal matrix of the airborne computer loaded accelerometer scale factors. The true accelerometer scale factors $[K_{AT}]$ differ from the loaded ones by the sum of two errors, $[\Delta K_A]$ and $[\Delta K_{AA}]$. $[\Delta K_A]$ is considered as the nominal accelerometer scale factor error as specified in Reference 1. $[\Delta K_{AA}]$ is an additional error source showing a platform attitude dependency which has been added to the Reference 1 error model to account for potential discrepancies between the assumed and actual accelerometer error models. Since $[\Delta K_{AA}]$ can acquire new values each time the platform changes attitude with respect to the IMU case, other assumptions regarding the origin of this error model residual would be equally acceptable.

 \overline{A}_{g} is the accelerometer bias error vector.

 $\overline{A}_{\hat{R}}$ is the exponentially correlated accelerometer random noise vector.

$$\vec{A}_{R}(1) = - \frac{\overline{A}_{R}(1)}{\tau_{0}} + \sigma_{a} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\tau_{a}}} \vec{N}(1)$$

 $\tau_{\rm a}$ — is the random noise correlation time.

 $\sigma_{\rm a}$ — is the random noise standard deviation.

N(t) is unity variance white noise.

 \overline{A}_{T} is the accelerometer thermal warm-up bias vector.

$$\widetilde{A}_{T} = \widetilde{A}_{0} e^{-\frac{(1 \cdot T_{0})}{\widetilde{A}_{T}}}$$

A_____ is the initial accelerometer warm-up bias vector.

To is the time from power turn-on to the start of fine gyrocompass alignment.

t is the time from power turn-on.

 τ_{AT} — is the gyro warm-up drift time constant.

A special hardware feature of the KT-73 IMU is the availability of both high and low gain accelerometer scale factors. The high gain accelerometer scale factor of 100,000 pulses-per-second per "g" (pps/g) is used for fine platform alignment to redule velocity quantization errors and thereby shorten the necessary alignment limes. The low gain scale factor of 1,000 pps/g is used for navigation since high accelerations would saturate the high gain capacitive reset integrator's maximum oucput of 10,000 pfs. High gain scale factor modes are mechanized only as required on the two level-axis accelerometers and separate accelerometer bias errors are associated with the high and low gain scale factor modes. This INU mechanization results in four, two bias and two scale factor, additional accelerometer errors to be calibrated.

3.3.3 Linearized Error Equations

In contrast to the gimbal angle time rates of change, the accelerometer outputs do not have an error-free value of zero. A zero mean accelerometer error vector equation can, however, be defined as follows.

 $\vec{\Delta v} = \vec{v} - c_{PG}^{P} c_{RG}^{PG} c_{CASE}^{RG} \vec{g}^{N}$

All terms are as previously defined with the gimbal frame coordinate transformations being computed as functions of the initial θ_{χ} , θ_{γ} , and θ_{γ} synchro apple settings.

The system of equations given in 3.3.1 through 3.3.3 can be solved to yield a set of three simultaneous nonlinear stochastic differential equations which relate the IMU error sources and platform-to-navigation frame misalignment angles to system-level IMU velocity measurements. These equations are manipulated and linearized, in a manner similar to that for the gimbal synchro measurement equations, such that a solution can be obtained. The resultant error equations for the x, y and z platform axes velocity measurements are given below.

$$\begin{split} \Delta \dot{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{x}} &= -9 \Big[\mathbf{c}(\theta_{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{s}(\theta_{\mathbf{y}}) \mathbf{s}(\theta_{\mathbf{x}}) + \mathbf{s}(\theta_{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{c}(\theta_{\mathbf{x}}) \Big] \eta_{\mathbf{x}} \\ &+ 9 \Big[\mathbf{c}(\theta_{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{c}(\theta_{\mathbf{y}}) \Big] \eta_{\mathbf{y}} \\ &- 9 \Big[\mathbf{s}(\theta_{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{c}(\theta_{\mathbf{x}}) + \mathbf{c}(\theta_{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{s}(\theta_{\mathbf{y}}) \mathbf{s}(\theta_{\mathbf{x}}) \Big] \Big[\Delta \theta_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{d} \theta_{\mathbf{x}} \Big] \\ &+ 9 \Big[\mathbf{c}(\theta_{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{c}(\theta_{\mathbf{y}}) \mathbf{c}(\theta_{\mathbf{x}}) \Big] \Big[\Delta \theta_{\mathbf{y}} + \mathbf{d} \theta_{\mathbf{y}} \Big] \\ &- 9 \Big[\mathbf{s}(\theta_{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{s}(\theta_{\mathbf{y}}) \mathbf{c}(\theta_{\mathbf{x}}) + \mathbf{c}(\theta_{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{s}(\theta_{\mathbf{x}}) \Big] \Big[\Delta \theta_{\mathbf{z}} + \mathbf{d} \theta_{\mathbf{z}} \Big] \\ &+ 9 \Big[\mathbf{c}(\theta_{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{s}(\theta_{\mathbf{y}}) \mathbf{c}(\theta_{\mathbf{x}}) + \mathbf{c}(\theta_{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{s}(\theta_{\mathbf{x}}) \Big] \Big[\Delta \kappa_{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}} + \Delta \kappa_{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}} \Big] \\ &- 9 \Big[\mathbf{s}(\theta_{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{s}(\theta_{\mathbf{y}}) \mathbf{c}(\theta_{\mathbf{x}}) - \mathbf{s}(\theta_{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{s}(\theta_{\mathbf{x}}) \Big] \Big[\Delta \kappa_{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}} + \Delta \kappa_{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}} \Big] \\ &- 9 \Big[\mathbf{s}(\theta_{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{s}(\theta_{\mathbf{y}}) \mathbf{c}(\theta_{\mathbf{x}}) + \mathbf{c}(\theta_{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{s}(\theta_{\mathbf{x}}) \Big] \gamma_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z}} \\ &- 9 \Big[\mathbf{c}(\theta_{\mathbf{y}}) \mathbf{c}(\theta_{\mathbf{x}}) \Big] \gamma_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \\ & \div \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{T}\mathbf{x}} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \Delta \dot{v}_{y} &= g \Big[g \{\theta_{z}\} g (\theta_{y}) g (\theta_{x}) - C (\theta_{z}) C (\theta_{x}) \Big] \eta_{x} \\ &- g \Big[g (\theta_{z}) C (\theta_{y}) \Big] \eta_{y} \\ &+ g \Big[g (\theta_{z}) g (\theta_{y}) g (\theta_{x}) - C (\theta_{z}) C (\theta_{x}) \Big] \Big[\Delta \theta_{x} + d\theta_{x} \Big] \\ &- g \Big[g (\theta_{z}) C (\theta_{y}) C (\theta_{x}) \Big] \Big[\Delta \theta_{x} + d\theta_{x} \Big] \\ &+ g \Big[g (\theta_{z}) g (\theta_{x}) - C (\theta_{z}) g (\theta_{y}) C (\theta_{x}) \Big] \Big[\Delta \theta_{z} + d\theta_{z} \Big] \\ &- g \Big[C (\theta_{z}) g (\theta_{x}) + g (\theta_{z}) g (\theta_{y}) G (\theta_{x}) \Big] \Big[\Delta \kappa_{\dot{A}y} + \Delta \kappa_{\dot{A}Ay} \Big] \\ &+ g \Big[C (\theta_{z}) g (\theta_{y}) C (\theta_{x}) - g (\theta_{z}) g (\theta_{y}) G (\theta_{x}) \Big] \Big[\Delta \kappa_{\dot{A}y} + \Delta \kappa_{\dot{A}Ay} \Big] \\ &+ g \Big[C (\theta_{y}) g (\theta_{x}) - g (\theta_{y}) g (\theta_{x}) - g (\theta_{y}) g (\theta_{x}) \Big] \Big] \Big] \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \dot{\Delta v_{z}} &= g \left[c \left(\theta_{y} \right) S \left(\theta_{x} \right) \right] \eta_{x} \\ &+ g S \left(\theta_{y} \right) \eta_{y} \\ &+ g \left[c \left(\theta_{y} \right) S \left(\theta_{x} \right) \right] \left[\Delta \theta_{x} + d\theta_{x} \right] \\ &+ g \left[s \left(\theta_{y} \right) c \left(\theta_{x} \right) \right] \left[\Delta \theta_{y} + d\theta_{y} \right] \\ &- g \left[c \left(\theta_{y} \right) c \left(\theta_{x} \right) \right] \left[\Delta \kappa_{Az} + \Delta \kappa_{AAz} \right] \\ &+ g \left[c \left(\theta_{z} \right) S \left(\theta_{y} \right) c \left(\theta_{x} \right) - S \left(\theta_{z} \right) S \left(\theta_{x} \right) \right] \gamma_{zy} \\ &- g \left[S \left(\theta_{z} \right) S \left(\theta_{y} \right) c \left(\theta_{x} \right) + c \left(\theta_{z} \right) S \left(\theta_{x} \right) \right] \gamma_{zx} \\ &+ A_{Bz} + A_{Rz} + A_{Tz} \end{split}$$

The functional dependencies for the level-axes high and low gain accelerometer scale factors and corresponding biases are, of course, the same. In the above equations, only one error source is explicitly shown but is considered to represent either the high or low gain error, depending upon which mode is being used at a particular instant during the test.

Note that while the velocity error equations appear somewhat simpler than those for the corresponding gimbal angles in the above formulation, the velocity error equations are functions of the differential gimbal angles and, therefore, functions of all the IMU and platform alignment error sources.

SECTION IV

SEPARATION OF IMU ERRORS

4.1 CONCEPTUAL APPROACH TO ERROR SEPARATION

The preceding derivations have resulted in a set of six general simultaneous equations relating the six-system-level IMU measurable quantities to roughly sixty error sources. Although a maximum of six measurements and, hence, equations are available, IMU calibration tests could be conducted where only some of the measurements were utilized. If, for example, the gimbal synchro angle measurements were not made, then only the three-velocity-measurement equations could be employed to solve for the error sources. Since a set of six or fewer specific simultaneous stochastic differential equations cannot be solved for sixty unknowns, a means functionally separating the error quantities had to be formulated. In view of the stochastic nature of the equations and IMU measurement noise, stochastic estimation of the error quantities is the best solution possible.

The conceptual approach to error source separation is to generate multiple sets of specific simultaneous differential equations from the basic set of six general ones. If not all the available IMU measurements are to be used, then the basic set of general equations would be correspondingly reduced. By generating enough multiple sets of specific equations such that the total number of equations is equal to the number of unknowns, accurate stochastic estimation of IMU error sources should be possible.

The generation of the multiple sets of specific equations is accomplished by angularly orientating the platform at various attitudes relative to the earth rate and gravity vectors. Note that a given platform attitude relative to these vectors is obtained by a specific set of gimbal angle rotations. The specific gimbal angles used, when inserted into the set of general equations, results in a set of specific equations. This approach follows directly from the observation that the

measurable IMU parameters are functions of both the IMU error sources and the coordinization of the above vectors in the platform and inertial instrument reference frame.

In developing this approach, it is convenient to group the error quantities into three groups:

(1) The first group consists of the IMU error sources which are modeled as random biases and instrument thermal transients which are commonly denoted as calibration parameters.

(2) The second group consists of those angular misalignment errors associated with platform-to-navigation frame alignment.

(3) The third group consists of the stochastic processes modeling the inertial instrument random disturbances.

4.2 IMU CALIBRATION PARAMETERS

A necessary, but not necessarily sufficient, condition for separation of the IMU error sources is that the multiple sets of system-level IMU measurement equations must be solvable for the IMU calibration parameters after the equations are simplified to contain only the calibration error sources. This simplification is accomplished by omitting the error sources associated with the above second and third groups from the general error equations. Performing this simplification reduces the set of six simultaneous stochastic differential equations to a system of six simultaneous ordinary differential equations.

This system of ordinary differential equations can be indefinitely expanded by positioning the platform at new attitudes as pointed out Section 4.1. A deterministic solution to the multiple sets of the ordinary differential equations can be attempted by application of Laplace transforms and using the initial and final IMU measurements for each attitude.

To confirm the conceptual approach to error source separation and to determine the most relevant IMU platform test attitudes for conducting the calibration tests, a solution to the simplified system of equations was attempted as described above. To facilitate the analysis, several additional error sources were omitted. The gyro and accelerometer thermal transient warm-up errors are deleted since they decay rapidly with time. Only low gain accelerometer scale factor and bias errors were considered since the errors associated with the high gain mode could be separated in a similar model. By necessity, the gyro and accelerometer error model residuals, which had been added to allow for the possibility that the actual IMU error model is significantly different from that assumed, were deleted since they assume a new value for each new platform attitude used and would thus prevent solving the system of equations.

Table 4-1 illustrates how the IMU calibration error sources become separable as a function of the cumulative number of platform test attitudes employed. Both sets of velocity and gimbal synchro angle measurements were assumed to be available. The x's indicate the earliest point at which the generated multiple sets of equations could be deterministically solved for a particular error source. All of the 33 IMU calibration errors could be determined after 7 platform attitudes times 6 specific equations per attitude or 42 equations were generated. Since only 33 equations should ideally be required, not all new equations generated are independent of previous ones. The specific attitudes shown were determined by a combination judgment and trial-and-error process.

4.2.1 Gimbal Angle Measurement Requirements

The KT-73 IMU is mechanized with synchro control transmitters and transformers having an accuracy of 6 arc minutes standard deviation. Contemporary synchro-to-digital converters have a 12 to 13 bit conversion capability which results in a precision on the order of 1 to 3 arc minutes. Since the precision of the synchro-to-digital converter is greater than the accuracy of the synchro, the equipment combination is

AFAL - TR - 77 - 75

D

1

· 🖗 - 🤟

TABLE 1-1

SEPARATION OF IMU CALIBRATION PARAMETERS

Cumulative Platform Attitudes

	r		<u> </u>				
Nominal Gimbal Angle	-		<u>3</u> π/2		π/2	π/2	π/h
				172	0	ττ / lu	π/)
y-Piten		0	U	U			
$\theta_z - Azimuth$	0	0	0	11	71/2	U	
Calibration Farameter		v					
Bx							
ABy	ļ	X			r		
A _{Bz}	ļ	X				ļ	
^{ΛK} Ax	į				Х		
2.K _{Ay}			Х			[
ΔK _{A2}	1	X		1	·		
Y			Х				
Ŷ		х	, . [
лу Ү		i	5		х		
yz v		i x					
'yx	1				x	!	
² 7 y			v			; ; ;	
Y Zr			^			<u> </u>	
W _{Bx}				Х			
W _{By}				Χ			
W _{BL}				Χ			
M _{Sx}					Х		
M _{Tx}						Х	
M					х		
M _T			1			Х	
ly M			-		1	х	
Sz M				1	х		
on Fx				л	v		
^{20K} Py					-	.,	
ΔK_{TZ}						Å	
к хz				<u>x</u>		·	
β xv						Х	
β _{vz}				Х			
β _y						Х	
β				Х			
2.y β					х		
* 2.X K							y
"О х к							Ŷ
ີ O _l r							А
K _{Oz}							<u> </u>

generally suitable for most inertial navigation applications. To accurately measure platform drift rates, however, a synchro angle measurement precision on the order of the precision of the synchro device itself of 5 arc seconds is desirable. Since a special measurement technique would nave to be developed to achieve this precision, it would be desirable to calibrate the IMU by use of only the velocity measurements.

As discussed in Section 3.3.3, the velocity measurement equations contain the differential gimbal angle errors and thus all the IMU calibration error parameters. To address the question of the feasibility of calibrating the IMU by use of only the velocity measurements, the Laplace transform analysis was repeated using only these measurements. Results of this analysis showed that all calibration errors could be determined except the gyro spin axes mass unbalance errors.

The Laplace analysis results, however, raise a question as to the reason for the inseparability of the spin axes mass unbalance errors. Is the inseparability due to failure to select the required combination of platform test attitudes or is there a fundamental limitation inherent in the conceptual approach? To answer this question, the basic form of the combined velocity and gimbal synchro angle measurement equations was examined for linear independence. To facilitate the analysis, all error sources but the spin axes mass unbalance terms were omitted. One representation of the resultant set of three simultaneous equations is as follows:

$$\Delta \dot{V}_{x} = g^{2} A C(\theta_{y}) C(\theta_{y}) \left[M_{Sy} + M_{Sz} \right]$$
$$\Delta \dot{V}_{y} = g^{2} B C(\theta_{x}) C(\theta_{y}) \left[M_{Sx} + M_{Sz} \right]$$
$$\Delta \dot{V}_{z} = g^{2} A B \left[M_{Sy} - M_{Sx} \right]$$

where

$$A = \left[S(\theta_z) S(\theta_y) C(\theta_x) + C(\theta_z) S(\theta_x) \right]$$
$$B = \left[C(\theta_z) S(\theta_y) C(\theta_x) - S(\theta_z) S(\theta_x) \right]$$

These equations relate the rate of change of the specific force vector, as coordinatized in the accelerometer frame, to the spin axes mass unbalance error coefficients. The equations are not independent, as can be shown by combining the first two to yield an equation directly proportional to the third.

$$B\Delta \ddot{V}_{x} - A\Delta \ddot{V}_{y} = 2g^{2}AB \left[M_{Sy} - M_{Sx}\right]$$

Several conclusions can be reached as a result of this analysis. Without a gimbal synchro angle measurement or its equivalent, separation of the gyro spin axes mass unbalance errors is not possible. Only combinations of these errors

$$(M_{Sx} + M_{Sz})$$
 , $(M_{Sy} + M_{Sz})$ and $(M_{Sy} - M_{Sx})$

can be determined. A single piece of relevant information is required in addition to the velocity measurements to separate the spin axes mass unbalance errors. This information can, however, consist of one gimbal synchro angle measurement for a particular test attitude rather than require all three synchro gimbal angle measurements for all test attitudes.

4.3 PLATFORM ALIGNMENT ERRORS

The analyses of Section 4.2 showed that all IMU calibration parameters could be determined if the second and third groups of errors defined in Section 4.1 were omitted from the measurement equations. The first order effect of introducing the platform-to-navigation frame alignment errors of the second group into the solution for the separation of the IMU calibation parameters of the first group can be understood with reference to Figure 4-1. For a small platform-to-navigation frame misalignment, the



; ;



.

Figure 4-1. Platform Azimuth Misalignment Geometry

effect is precisely the classical problem of separating west gyro drift from the west platform axis commanded angular velocity error due to the azimuth misalignment angle ψ .

To null the angular velocity of an ideal IMU platform with respect to the navigation frame, the gyros must be commanded to precess at exactly the magnitude of the earth rate vector coordinatized in the gyro frame. Since ψ is a zero mean random variable whose magnitude is not known a priori, the west platform axis would not be commanded precess. For this condition, the angular velocity of the west platform axis with respect to the navigation axis is approximately given by:

$\mathbf{w}_{\mathsf{N},\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{y}}}\simeq\mathbf{w}_{\mathsf{I},\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{y}}}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}\,\mathsf{C}\left(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{L}}\right)\psi$

Given the standard deviation of the azimuth misalignment angle σ_{ψ} and a perfect measurement of $W_{N,Py}$, the standard deviation σ_{W} of the $W_{I,Dy}$ estimation error can be determined as:

 $\sigma_{W} = \sigma_{V} \Omega c(L)$

This inability to separate the west platform commanded angular velocity error from the composite west gyro drift does not permit separation of all the IMU calibration errors as predicted in Table 4-1. The error separation problem can, however, to alleviated by realizing that the north and up platform axes do not encounter this first order limitation due to a different commanded angular velocity attitude dependency. The solution is to add additional platform test attitudes until all IMU calibration parameters become separable. It was determined by the Laplace analysis that by adding three new test attitudes, similar to the first three in Table 4-1 except that the x and y platform axes were interchanged, the calibration parameters become separable.

4.4 INERTIAL INSTRUMENT RANDOM DISTURBANCES

Incorporating the inertial instrument random disturbances of the third group of IMU error sources into the system of measurement equations

changes the problem description from one of ordinary differential equations to stochastic differential equations. The nature of the stochastic equations and the presence of IMU measurement noise precludes a deterministic solution to the separation of IMU errors and dictates the use of some form of stochastic estimation if optimal results are to be obtained.

Separation of some of the IMU calibration parameters from the inertial instrument random disturbances will have to be made on the basis of time rather than platform attitude since it is the only difference in functional dependency exhibited.

AFAL ~TR - 77 - 75

SECTION V

KALMAN FILTER

5.1 STOCHASTIC ESTIMATION

Selection of the technique for estimating the IMU errors was made in consideration of several major criteria.

(1) The technique should be optimum in the minimum variance sense.

(2) The technique should provide a statistical measure of the accuracy to which the IMU hardware errors can be calibrated.

(3) The technique should provide a convenient means of validating or justifying the first order measurement equation linearizations and other simplifying assumptions.

(4) The technique should provide a comprehensive solution by evaluating all error sources in a parallel or simultaneous manner to enhance the possibility of detecting unmodeled IMU hardware errors.

(5) The technique should be capable of being readily modified to include additional error sources or facilitate conducting correlation studies.

Although many optimal stochastic estimation techniques are available, the Kalman Filter algorithm with its associated covariance matrix was considered to be the most applicable approach. Conversely, the formulated problem saturfies the linearity, a priori system dynamics, and Gauss-Markov stochastic process modeling restrictions under which the Kalman Filter algorithm was derived.

5.2 KALMAN FILTER IMPLEMENTATION

5.2.1 Filter Equations

The error equation development results of Section 3 are consistent with state space analysis notation which is a convenient means of

mathematically representing a physical system in the time domain. The description of a continuous linear system by state variables is done by means of n first-order simultaneous differential equations. By using vectors and matrices, the IMU calibration problem can be defined by the given and derived error equations formulated in a vector differential equation.

$$i(1) = F(1) \times (1) + G(1) \times (1)$$

where

- x(t) is the system n dimension state vector
- F(t) is the (nxn) dimension system dynamics matrix
- G(t) is the (nxp) noise distribution matrix
- w(t) is the p dimension disturbance vector

During the IMU calibration tests, a set of discrete measurements will be made at time t_n . The corresponding measurement process can be described as a vector algebraic equation; thus,

$$\mathbf{z}(\mathbf{1}_{n}) = \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{1}_{n}) \times (\mathbf{1}_{n}) + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{1}_{n})$$

where

 $z(t_n)$ is the m-dimension measurement vector

 $H(t_n)$ is the (mxn) measurement distribution matrix

 $v({\tt t}_n)$ is the m-dimension measurement noise vector

The mean and covariance of the assumed white noise processes w(t) and $v(t_n)$ are given as:

$$E\left[w(t)\right] = 0$$

$$E\left[w(t) \ w^{T}(t+\tau)\right] = Q(t) \partial(\tau)$$

$$E\left[v(t_{n})\right] = 0$$

$$E\left[v(t_{n})v^{Y}(t_{m})\right] = R(t_{n}) \partial(t_{n} - t_{m})$$

where

 $\vartheta(\tau)$ and $\vartheta(t_n^{-}t_m^{-})$ are dirac delta functions

- Q(t) is the (pxp) system noise matrix
- $R(t_n)$ is the (mxm) measurement noise matrix

The Kalman Filter, References 2 and 3, provides an optimal (minimum variance) estimate of x(t), which is denoted as $\hat{x}(t)$. The optimal estimate of the state vector $\hat{x}(t)$ and its (nxn) covariance matrix P(t) are propagated between measurements by the equations.

$$\hat{x}(t) = F(t) \hat{x}(t)$$

$$\dot{F}(t) = F(t) P(t) + F(t) F^{T}(t) + G(t) Q(t) G^{T}(t)$$

With the initial conditions at time zero t_{0+}

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t_{0}) = \mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{x}(t_{0})\right]$$
$$\mathbf{P}(t_{0}) = \mathbf{E}\left\{\left[\mathbf{x}(t_{0}) - \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t_{0})\right]\left[\mathbf{x}(t_{0}) - \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t_{0})\right]^{\mathsf{T}}\right\}$$

At measurement times t_n , the Kalman Gain $K(t_n)$ is determined and the state vector optimal estimate and its covariance matrix updated according to the expressions,

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{K}(\mathfrak{t}_{n}) = \mathsf{P}(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{-}) \mathsf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathfrak{t}_{n}) \Big[\mathsf{H}(\mathfrak{t}_{n}) \mathsf{F}(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{-}) \mathsf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathfrak{t}_{n}) + \mathsf{R}(\mathfrak{t}_{n}) \Big]^{-1} \\ & \hat{\mathsf{x}}(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{+}) = \hat{\mathsf{x}}(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{-}) + \mathsf{K}(\mathfrak{t}_{n}) \Big[\mathfrak{t}(\mathfrak{t}_{n}) - \mathsf{H}(\mathfrak{t}_{n}) \hat{\mathsf{x}}(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{-}) \Big] \\ & \mathsf{P}(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{+}) = \Big[\mathbb{I} - \mathsf{K}(\mathfrak{t}_{n}) \mathsf{H}(\mathfrak{t}_{n}) \Big] \mathsf{P}(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{-}) \Big[\mathbb{I} - \mathsf{K}(\mathfrak{t}_{n}) \mathsf{H}(\mathfrak{t}_{n}) \Big]^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathsf{K}(\mathfrak{t}_{n}) \mathsf{R}(\mathfrak{t}_{n}) \mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathfrak{t}_{n}) \end{split}$$

5.2.2 Filter Vectors and Matrices

Formulation of the error equations, given or derived in Section 3, in state variable vector and matrix notation is a straightforward procedure. Initially, both the gyro and accelerometer short correlation

time random disturbances were included in the Kalman Filter state vector. Subsequent computer simulation studies showed, however, that the filter could neither reduce their uncertainty nor estimate their magnitudes accurately due to their relatively short correlation times as compared to the measurement time intervals. These errors were, therefore, deleted from the state vector and incorporated as disturbances on the differential gimbal angle and velocity states. The mechanized Kaiman Filter 68 state vector is shown in Table 5-1. The location of individual states within the state vector has no particular significance and is partly a result of the iterative development process. The error sources associated with filter states 4 through 6 and 68 were not included in Section 3 because they were discovered during actual laboratory testing of the KT-73 IMU. These errors will be discussed further in Section 8.

The great majority of the nonzero 68 x 68 system matrix F elements are located in the F matrix rows corresponding to the system-level differential gimbal angle d0 and pseudo velocity ΔV measurement states. Due to the rather large dimensionality, this matrix is not readily pictorially illustrated. Specific F matrix elements are, however, readily identified from the linearized differential gimbal angle and velocity error equations tabulated in Sections 3.2.4 and 3.3.3.

With the exception of the three states' modeling IMU case-tonavigation frame misalignment angles, all state vector elements modeled as random constants are considered as IMU calibration parameters, and do not contribute any nonzero F matrix elements. The F matrix contains the negative reciprocals of the correlation times and time constants as diagonal elements in the rows corresponding to the states, modeled as exponentially correlated random noise and random constants with an exponential decay. The F maxtrix elements are independent of time but some do change in magnitude each time the IMU platform is re-oriented due to the nominal synchro angle dependency.

٠.

TABLE 5-1

IMU CALIBRATION KALMAN FILTER STATE VARIABLES

			THO CALIDIATION NACHAN FILTEN STATE VANIAD	
STAT	E			MODEL/ INITIAL 10 VALUE
<u>G-IN</u>	SENSIT	TVE	GYRO DRIFT	RANDOM CONSTANTS
1.	₩ _{Bx}	Х	Gyro Drift Rate	0.1°/hr
2.	₩ _{By}	Y	Gyro Drift Rate	0.1°/hr
3.	₩ _{Bz}	Ζ	Gyro Drift Rate	0.5°/hr
G-SEI	NSITIV	<u>/E G</u>	YRO DRIFT COEFFICIENTS	RANDUM CONSTANTS
4.	W _{Qx}	Х	Gyro Output Axis Quadrature G-Sensitivity	0.4°/hr/g
5.	₩ _{Qy}	Y	Gyro Output Axis Quadrature G-Sensitivity	0.4°/hr/g
6.	W _{Qz}	Ζ	Gyro Output Axis Quadrature G-Sensitivity	0.05°/hr/g
GYRO	LONG	COR	RELATION TIME RANDOM DRIFT	EXPONENTIALLY CORRELATED
7.	W _{RL×}	X	Gyro Random Drift Rate	0.005°/hr
8.	₩ _{RLy}	Y	Gyro Random Drift Rate	0.005°/hr
9.	W _{RLz}	Ζ	Gyro Random Drift Rate	0.005°/hr
<u>G-SEI</u>	VSITIV	'E G	YRO DRIFT COEFFICIENTS	RANDOM CONSTANTS
10.	M _{Sx}	Х	Gyro Spin Axis G-Sensitivity	1.0°/hr/g
11.	M _{Ix}	Х	Gyro Input Axis G-Sensitivity	0.01°/hr/g
12.	M _{Sy}	Ŷ	Gyro Spin Axis G-Sensitivity	l.O°/hr/g
13.	M _{Iy}	Y	Gyro Input Axis G-Sensitivity	0.01°/hr/g
14.	M _{Sz}	Z	Gyro Spin Axis G-Sensitivity	0.5°/hr/g
15.	M _{Iz}	Z	Gyro Input Axis G-Sensitivity	0.01°/hr/g

TABLE 5-1 (Contd)

G^2-SI	ENSITI	VE (GYRO DRIFT COEFFICIENTS		RANDOM CONSTANTS
16.	К _{Ох}	Х	Gyro Output-Spin Axes G^2 Sensitivity		0.01°/hr/g ²
17.	K _{Oy}	Y	Gyro Output-Spin Axes G^2 Sensitivity		0.01°/hr/g ²
18.	K _{Oz}	Ζ	Gyro Output-Spin Axes G^2 Sensitivity		0.01°/hr/g ²
GYRO	SCALE	FAG	CTOR ERRORS		RANDOM CONSTANTS
19.	∆K _{Fx}	Х	Gyro Scale Factor Error 2	2.8x10 ⁻³	arc sec/pulse
20.	ΔK _{Fv}	Y	Gyro Scale Factor Error 2	2.8x10 ⁻³	arc sec/pulse
21.	۵K _{Fz}	Z	Gyro Scale Factor Error 2	2.8x10 ⁻³	arc sec/pulse
GYRO	INPUT	AXE	ES MISALIGNMENTS		RANDOM CONSTANTS
22.	^β xz	Х	Gyro Input Axis Misalignment About z	Axis	1.0 arc min
23.	^β xy	Х	Gyro Input Axis Misalignment About y	Axis	1.0 arc min
24.	^β yz	Y	Gyro Input Axis Misalignment About z	Axis	1.0 arc min
25.	^β yz	Y	Gyro Input Axis Misalignment About x	Axis	1.0 arc min
26.	^β zy	Z	Gyro Input Axis Misalignment About y	Axis	1.0 arc min
27.	^β zx	Z	Gyro Input Axis Misalignment About x	Axis	1.0 arch min
IMU (CASE A	XES	MISALIGNMENTS		

28.	n _x	Case M	lisalignment	About	Х	Navigation	Axis	4.0	arc	min
29.	ⁿ y	Case M	lisalignment	About	y	Navigation	Axis	4.0	arc	min
30.	n ,	Case M	lisalignment	About	z	Navigation	Axis	4.0	arc	min

TABLE 5-1 (Contd)

DIFFERENTIAL GIMBAL ANGLE ERRORS

DYNAMIC

51.	dθx		Differential Roll Gimbal Angle Error	0.0 arc min
32.	dθy		Differential Pitch Gimbal Angle Error	0.0 arc min
33.	dθ _z		Differential Azimuth Gimbal Angle Error	0.0 arc min
ACCE	LEROME	TEP	LOW GAIN MODE BIAS	RANDOM CONSTANTS
34.	A _{Bx}	Х	Accelerometer Low Gain Bias	2,500 µg
35.	А _{Ву}	Y	Accelerometer Low Gain Bias	2,500 µg
36.	A _{Bz}	Z	Accelerometer Low Gain Bias	2,500 µg
ACCE	LEROME	TER	THERMAL WARM-UP TRANSIENTS	RANDOM CONSTANT EXPONENTIAL DECAY
37.	A _{Tx}	Х	Accelerometer Thermal Warm-Up Error	5.0 µg
38.	A _{Ty}	Y	Accelerometer Thermal Warm-Up Error	5.0 µg
39.	A _{Tz}	Z	Accelerometer Thermal Warm-Up Error	200 µg
ACCE	EROME	TER	LOW GAIN MUDE SCALE FACTOR ERRORS	RANDOM CONSTANTS
<u>ACCE</u> 40.	L <u>EROME</u> AK _{Ax}	TER X	LOW GAIN MODE SCALE FACTOR ERRORS Accelerometer Low Gain Scale Factor Error	RANDOM <u>CONSTANTS</u> 0.03 numeric
<u>ACCE</u> 40. 41.	L <u>EROME</u> AK _{AX} AK _{Ay}	<u>TER</u> X Y	LOW GAIN MODE SCALE FACTOR ERRORS Accelerometer Low Gain Scale Factor Error Accelerometer Low Gain Scale Factor Error	RANDOM <u>CONSTANTS</u> 0.03 numeric 0.03 numeric
<u>ACCE</u> 40. 41. 42.	L <u>EROME</u> ^{ΔK} Ax ^{ΔK} Ay ^{ΔK} Az	TER X Y Z	LOW GAIN MODE SCALE FACTOR ERRORS Accelerometer Low Gain Scale Factor Error Accelerometer Low Gain Scale Factor Error Accelerometer Low Gain Scale Factor Error	RANDOM <u>CONSTANTS</u> 0.03 numeric 0.03 numeric 0.03 numeric
ACCE 40. 41. 42. ACCE	L <u>EROME</u> ^{ΔK} Ax ^{ΔK} Ay ^{ΔK} Az LEROME	TER X Y Z TER	LOW GAIN MODE SCALE FACTOR ERRORS Accelerometer Low Gain Scale Factor Error Accelerometer Low Gain Scale Factor Error Accelerometer Low Gain Scale Factor Error INPUT AXES MISALIGNMENTS	RANDOM CONSTANTS 0.03 numeric 0.03 numeric 0.03 numeric PANDOM CONSTANTS
ACCE 40. 41. 42. ACCE 43.	LEROME ^{ΔK} Ax ^{ΔK} Ay ^{ΔK} Az LEROME ^Y xz	TER X Y Z TER X	LOW GAIN MODE SCALE FACTOR ERRORS Accelerometer Low Gain Scale Factor Error Accelerometer Low Gain Scale Factor Error Accelerometer Low Gain Scale Factor Error INPUT AXES MISALIGNMENTS Accelerometer Input Axis Misalignment Abou	RANDOM CONSTANTS 0.03 numeric 0.03 numeric 0.03 numeric RANDOM CONSTANTS nt z 1.0 arc min
ACCE 40. 41. 42. ACCE 43. 44.	L <u>EROME</u> ^{ΔK} Ax ^{ΔK} Ay ^{ΔK} Az LEROME ^Y xz ^Y xy	TER X Y Z TER X X	LOW GAIN MODE SCALE FACTOR ERRORS Accelerometer Low Gain Scale Factor Error Accelerometer Low Gain Scale Factor Error Accelerometer Low Gain Scale Factor Error INPUT AXES MISALIGNMENTS Accelerometer Input Axis Misalignment About Accelerometer Input Axis Misalignment About	RANDOM CONSTANTS 0.03 numeric 0.03 numeric 0.03 numeric 0.03 numeric PANDOM CONSTANTS et z 1.0 arc min at y 1.6 arc min
ACCE 40. 41. 42. ACCE 43. 44. 45.	LEROME ^{ΔK} Ax ^{ΔK} Ay ^{ΔK} Az LEROME ^Y xz ^Y xz ^Y xy ^Y yz	TER X Y Z TER X X Y	LOW GAIN MODE SCALE FACTOR ERRORS Accelerometer Low Gain Scale Factor Error Accelerometer Low Gain Scale Factor Error Accelerometer Low Gain Scale Factor Error INPUT AXES MISALIGNMENTS Accelerometer Input Axis Misalignment About Accelerometer Input Axis Misalignment About	RANDOM CONSTANTS 0.03 numeric 0.03 numeric 0.03 numeric 0.03 numeric RANDOM CONSTANTS nt z 1.0 arc min at y 1.6 arc min at z 1.0 arc min
ACCE 40. 41. 42. <u>ACCE</u> 43. 44. 45. 46.	LEROME ^{ΔK} Ax ^{ΔK} Ay ^{ΔK} Az LEROME ^Ŷ xz ^Ŷ xy ^Ŷ yz ^Ŷ yx	TER X Y Z TER X X Y Y	LOW GAIN MODE SCALE FACTOR ERRORS Accelerometer Low Gain Scale Factor Error Accelerometer Low Gain Scale Factor Error Accelerometer Low Gain Scale Factor Error INPUT AXES MISALIGNMENTS Accelerometer Input Axis Misalignment About Accelerometer Input Axis Misalignment About Accelerometer Input Axis Misalignment About Accelerometer Input Axis Misalignment About	RANDOM CONSTANTS 0.03 numeric 0.03 numeric 0.03 numeric 0.03 numeric RANDOM CONSTANTS et z 1.0 arc min et z 1.0 arc min et z 1.0 arc min
ACCE 40. 41. 42. <u>ACCE</u> 43. 44. 45. 46. 47.	LEROME ^{AK} Ax ^{AK} Ay ^{AK} Az LEROME ^Y xz ^Y xy ^Y yz ^Y yz ^Y yx ^Y yy ^Y yy	TER X Y Z TER X X Y Y Z	LOW GAIN MODE SCALE FACTOR ERRORS Accelerometer Low Gain Scale Factor Error Accelerometer Low Gain Scale Factor Error Accelerometer Low Gain Scale Factor Error INPUT AXES MISALIGNMENTS Accelerometer Input Axis Misalignment About Accelerometer Input Axis Misalignment About	RANDOM CONSTANTS 0.03 numeric 0.03 numeric 0.03 numeric RANDOM CONSTANTS et z 1.0 arc min et y 1.6 arc min et z 1.0 arc min et x 1.0 arc min et y 1.0 arc min

•

TABLE 5-1 (Contd)

PSEU	<u>DO VEL</u>	001	TY_ERRCRS	DYNAMIC
49.	۵V _×	х	Platform Axis Velocity Error	0.0 ft/sec
50.	۵Vy	у	Platform Axis Velocity Error	0.0 ft/sec
51.	ΔV	z	Platform Axis Velocity Error	0.0 ft/sec
<u>G-IN</u>	SENSIT	<u>I VE</u>	GYRO DRIFT	RANDOM CONSTANTS
52.	W _{A×}	Х	Gyro Attitude Dependent Gyro Drift Rate	0.005°/hr
53.	W _{Ay}	Y	Gyro Attitude Dependent Gyro Drift Rate	0.005°/hr
54.	WAz	Ζ	Gyro Attitude Dependent Gyro Drift Rate	0.005°/hr
GIMB	AL SYN	CHR	D ANGLE MEASUREMENT ERROR	RANDOM CONSTANTS
55.	٨A		Della Curebus Messurement Cine	
	Х ^и		ROTT Synchro Measurement Lias	6.0 arc min
56.	Δθ _y		Pitch Synchro Measurement Bias	6.0 arc min 6.0 arc min
56. 57.	Δθ _y Δθ _z		Pitch Synchro Measurement Bias Azimuth Synchro Measurement Bias	6.0 arc min 6.0 arc min 6.0 arc min
56. 57. <u>ACCE</u>	^{Δθ} y ^{Δθ} z LEROME	TER	Pitch Synchro Measurement Lias Azimuth Synchro Measurement Bias HIGH GAIN MODE BIAS	6.0 arc min 6.0 arc min 6.0 arc min RANDOM CONSTANTS
56. 57. <u>ACCE</u> 58.	Δθ _y Δθ _z LEROME	<u>TER</u> X	Roll Synchro Measurement Lias Pitch Synchro Measurement Bias Azimuth Synchro Measurement Bias <u>HIGH GAIN MODE BIAS</u> Accelerometer High Gain Bias	6.0 arc min 6.0 arc min 6.0 arc min RANDOM <u>CONSTANTS</u> 2,500 µg
56. 57. <u>ACCEI</u> 58. 59.	Δθ _y Δθ _z LEROME ^A BHx ^A BHy	TER X Y	Pitch Synchro Measurement Lias Azimuth Synchro Measurement Bias <u>HIGH GAIN MODE BIAS</u> Accelerometer High Gain Bias Accelerometer High Gain Bias	6.0 arc min 6.0 arc min 6.0 arc min RANDOM <u>CONSTANTS</u> 2,500 µg 2,500 µg

ACCELEROMETER HIGH GAIN MODE SCALE FACTOR ERRORS

60.	ΔK_{AHx}	Х	Accelerometer	High	Gain	Scale	Factor	Error	0.03	numeric
61.	∆K AHa	Y	Accelerometer	High	Gain	Scale	Factor	Error	0.03	numeric

07

TABLE 5-1 (Contd)

GYRC) THERMAL	WARM-UP DRIFT TRANSIENTS	RANDOM CONSTANT EXPONENTIAL DECAY
62.	W _{TX} X	Gyro Thermal Warm-Up Drift Rate	0.014°/hr
63.	W _{Ty} Y	Gyro Thermal Warm-Up Drift Rate	0.014°/hr
64.	W _{Tz} Z	Gyro Thermal Warm-Up Drift Rate	0.024°/hr
ACCE	LEROMETER	ATTITUDE DEPENDENT SCALE FACTOR ERRORS	RANDOM CONSTANTS
65.	∆K _{AA×} X	Accelerometer Attitude Dependent Scale Factor Error	10 ppm
66.	ΔΚ _{ΑΑΥ} Υ	Accelerometer Attitude Dependent Scale Factor Error	10 ppm
67.	ak _{aaz} z	Accelerometer Attitude Dependent Scale Factor Error	30 ppm
MEAS	UREMENT T	IMING ERROR	EXPONENTIALLY CORRELATED
68.	φ	Measurement Timing Error	1 ppm

F matrix elements corresponding to the gyro quadrature G drift coefficients and the measurement timing error discovered during the laboratory tests are given next for completeness.

$$F(31, 4) = \frac{\partial d\dot{\theta}_x}{\partial w_{Qx}} = g_y C(\theta_z)/C(\theta_y)$$

$$F(32, 4) = \frac{\partial d\dot{\theta}_y}{\partial w_{Qx}} = g_y S(\theta_z)$$

$$F(33, 4) = \partial \frac{d\dot{\theta}_z}{\partial w_{Qx}} = -g_y T(\theta_y) C(\theta_z)$$

$$F(31, 5) = \partial \frac{d\dot{\theta}_x}{\partial w_{Qy}} = -g_x S(\theta_z)/C(\theta_y)$$

$$F(32, 5) = \partial \frac{d\dot{\theta}_y}{\partial w_{Qy}} = g_x C(\theta_z)$$

$$F(33, 5) = \partial \frac{d\dot{\theta}_z}{\partial w_{Qy}} = g_x T(\theta_y) S(\theta_z)$$

$$F(33, 6) = \partial \frac{\partial \dot{\theta}_z}{\partial w_{Qz}} = g_x$$

$$F(49, 68) = \frac{\partial \dot{\Delta} v_x}{\partial \phi} = g[C(\theta_z) S(\theta_y) C(\theta_x) - S(\theta_z) S(\theta_x)]$$

$$F(50, 68) = \frac{\partial \dot{\Delta} v_y}{\partial \phi} = -g[C(\theta_z) S(\theta_x) + S(\theta_z) S(\theta_y) C(\theta_x)]$$

The 68x10 noise distribution matrix G is illustrated in Figure 5-1. This matrix contains ten nonzero elements, four of which are located in the rows corresponding to the long correlation time exponentially correlated random noise gyro drift rate and measurement error stochastic processes. These nonzero elements are readily identified from the stochastic differential equations, modeling these noise processes, given in Section 3.2.2. The remaining six nonzero elements are located in the rows corresponding to the dynamic gimbal angle, 31 through 33, and velocity, 49 through 51, measurement states. This modeling accounts for the uncertainty of the measurement states produced by the short correlation time gyro and accelerometer random noise errors. These errors were omitted from the Kalman Filter state vector due to the filter's inability to estimate them. The magnitude of these G matrix elements was determined such that the variance of the dynamic measurements states is the same as when the short correlation time random noise errors were included as stales in the filter.

The disturbance vector w(t) is a 10x1 input vector whose elements are zero m.an, unity variance white noise processes. These white noise processes are assumed to be uncorrelated with each other resulting in the 10x10 system noise matrix Q being an identity matrix.

The 6x68 measurement matrix contains up to a maximum of six nonzero elements of unity magnitude in the columns corresponding to the rows of the measurement states. If all three velocity and gimbal angle measurements are utilized, the measurement matrix requires six rows. The measurements eventually decided upon consist of the three-velocity but only the azimuth gimbal synchro angle measurement, requiring only four rows for the measurement matrix.

The measurement z and measurement noise v vectors require from four to six states, depending on whether the roll and pitch gimbal synchro angle measurements are used. The first one, or three, elements of the v vector are zero mean Gaussian white random variables, with 5-arc-second standard deviations, and model the differential gimbal synchro angle measurement noise. The last three elements have similar properties and a



Figure 5-1. Noise Distribution Matrix G

standard deviation representative of the accelerometer quantization process (quantization level/3.46) and model the artificial velocity measurement noise. The assumption that elements of the measurement noise vector are statistically independent of each other results in the 6x6 measurement noise matrix R being a diagonal matrix. Diagonal elements of the R matrix are variances of the corresponding measurement noise vector elements.

The 68x68 covariance matrix P is initially a diagonal matrix composed largely of the IMU error source variances. The initial uncertainty of all the 68 Kulman Filter states, in terms of their standard deviations as determined by taking the square root of the corresponding initial covariance matrix diagonal elements, is given in Table 5-1. The initial uncertainty of many of these error states is given in Reference 1. Since Reference 1 values correspond to inertial instrument biases and scale factors which have been trimmed for mavigation, while the Kalman Filter mechanization does not, these instrument errors were increased to their untrimmed volues. In those instances, gyro spin axis mass unbalance coefficients, where IMU laboratory calibration test results showed that the actual errors were significantly larger than those given in Reference 1 the initial uncertainties were increased to reflect the test results. The initial variances of the velocity and differential gimbal angle measurement states are identically zero due to the manner in which these states were defined. The initial variances of the IMU case-to-navigation frame misalignment angles depend on the laboratory test table or mounting fixture used and the manner in which the IMU case is aligned to the navigation frames. This topic is further discussed in Section 7.

A partial covariance matrix re-initialization is required each time the platform frame is re-or ented, for several reasons. The variances of the velocity and differential gimbal angle measurement states, having increased during testing at a given platform attitude, must be reset to zero. The gimbal synchro angle bias variances, having decreased over the previous test attitude, must be increased to the

nominal 6-arc-Minute uncertainty to reflect that new biases are being encountered. Covariance matrix elements associated with the gyro bias and accelerometer scale factor platform attitude dependent error model residual states are reset to reflect the attitude dependency. In addition to resetting the variances of these states, any covariances that have built up between other error states must be re-initialized to zero.

In addition to those error sources included in the Kalman Filter state vector, it is desirable to computer optimal estimates and estimation errors for several composite error quantities. Inertial navigation system parameters, such as total gyro and platform drift and platform and accelerometer-to-navigation frame misalignment angles, provide additional insight to the Kalman Filter's capability to separate the IMU calibration parameters and estimate them accurately. Optimal estimates for these composite parameters are computed as functions of the sum of appropriate state vector element's optimal estimates. Optimal estimation errors for these composite error quantities are computed by use of the expectation operator and corresponding elements of the covariance matrix.

5.2.3 Integration Algorithms

The majority of the storage and computational time computer resources required to implement and exercise the Kalman Filter algorithm on a dimital computer are associated with propagating the Kalman Filter state vector and covariance matrix. Computational time requirements can easily become burdensome, when we consider that the state vector and covariance matrix are propagated for time periods of one to two hours. The necessary computer resources can, however, be kept within reasonable limits by carefully selecting the integration algorithms required to propagate these quantities.

The final version of the INU calibration Kalman Filter was hosted on a CDC-6600 digital computer having a word length of 60 bits. The state vector was propagated with laboratory test data stored on a magnetic

tape corresponding to off-line non-real-time operation. Total IMU test time was 69 minutes with measurement: being nominally taken every 30 seconds. CDC-6600 computer resources required to execute the job were 900 seconds for compilation and execution time and 221,000 octal words for memory.

In developing the CDC-6600 Kalman Filter computer program, it was found that the most efficient operation of acceptable accuracy was accomplished by using relatively large integration step sizes accompanied by sophisticated integration algorithms. The covariance matrix is propagated in one step between the measurements, integration step size of 30 seconds, according to the fifth order Kutta-Mersion integration algorithm.

$$y_{0} = P(t)$$

$$y_{1} = y_{0} + \frac{\Delta T}{3} - \dot{P}(y_{0})$$

$$y_{2} = y_{0} + \frac{\Delta T}{6} \left[\dot{P}(y_{0}) + \dot{P}(y_{1})\right]$$

$$y_{3} = y_{0} + \frac{\Delta T}{8} \left[\dot{P}(y_{0}) + 3\dot{P}(y_{2})\right]$$

$$y_{4} = y_{0} + \frac{\Delta T}{2} \dot{P}(y_{0}) - \frac{3\Delta T}{2} \dot{P}(y_{2}) + 2\Delta T \dot{P}(y_{3})$$

$$P(t + \Delta T) = y_{0} + \frac{\Delta T}{6} \left[\dot{P}(y_{0}) + \dot{P}(y_{4})\right] + \frac{2\Delta T}{3} \dot{P}(y_{3})$$

where ΔT is the integration step size

Sec. 1

$$\dot{P}(t) = F(t)P(t) \div \left[F(t)P(t)\right]^{T} + G(t)Q(t)G(t)$$

The covariance matrix derivative $\dot{P}(t)$ is determined using the above expression. Due to the sparse nature of the system dynamics matrix F(t), the $\dot{P}(t)$ algorithm was coded in a manner that eliminated the bulk of the zero multiples.

Propagation of the Kalman Filter state vector $\hat{x}(t)$ requires substantially less computer resources than those necessary for propagation of the covariance matrix. Modified Euler integration is used to propagate the state vector with an integration step size of one second.

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}(1 + \Delta t) = \hat{\mathbf{x}}(1) + \frac{\Delta T}{2} \left[\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) + \hat{\mathbf{x}}(1 + \Delta t) \right]$$

where

$$\hat{\dot{x}}(t) = F(t) \hat{x}(t)$$
SECTION VI

THEORETICAL COMPUTER SIMULATION RESULTS

5.1 SIMULATION OBJECTIVES

A CPC-6600 computer simulation of the KT-73 IMU, Kalman Filter and laboratory calibration test was developed in consideration of several major objectives.

 To validate the concept of the premise postulated for separation of the IMB calibration parameters.

(2) To determine the accuracy to which the Kalman Filter can estimate the IMU calibration parameters, considering the effects of inertial instrument random disturbances, measurement noise, and limited test time.

(3) To perform limited analysis in regard to the power of the IMU calibration methodology and the usefulness of the Kalman Filter as a tool for conducting IMU error model development and validation studies.

(4) To justify the first-order equation linearizations made for facilitating a solution to the calibration equations.

(5) To assist in the preparation of a computer software specification and test procedure for the ensuing IMU calibration laboratory development program.

5.2 VALIDATION OF CALIBRATION METHODOLOGY AND ASSUMPTIONS

To obtain the highest level of confidence possible by the use of computer simulations, the concept validation philosophy of demonstrating strict convergence of the Kalman Filter optimal estimates to the error sources of a simulated KT-73 IMU was adopted. Strict sense convergence implies that the ensemble average of the simulated estimation errors conform to the zero mean gaussian processes having time-dependent variances given by the filter's covariance matrix.

In keeping with the concept validation philosophy, the developed KT-73 IMU simulation contains all the error sources given in Reference 1. The IMU simulation is based on the whole number, nonlinear equations developed above, and utilizes random number generators to simulate the random bias and stochastic process error sources. Digital gyro torquing and accelerometer quantization effects are included. The adequacy to which the Reference 1 error sources model the real KT-73 IMU will be addressed in the laboratory development program and also in conducting the aided-inertial navigation software development and evaluation tests in Table 1-1.

Figure 6-1 il!ustrates the Kalman Filter's ability to accurately estimate IMU platform drift rate and pseudo velocity errors in terms of the standard deviation of the estimation error. Platform drift rate is a composite error source containing up to eighteen error sources per axis for the platform and navigation frames approximately aligned situation shown in the figure. Similar remarks also apply to the pseudo velocity errors. Estimation error standard deviations for the pseudo velocity errors are obtained by taking the square root of the appropriate diagonal element of the filter's covariance matrix. Since total platform drift rate error is not a state variable in the Kalman Filter, corresponding estimation accuracies had to be obtained by utilizing the expectation operator in conjunction with on and off diagonal elements of the covariance matrix.

Each graph in Figure 6-1 contains two sets of data, corresponding to whether or not the system-level IMU velocity and gimbal angle measurements are taken. Velocity measurement errors corresponding to the low-gain accelerometer mode quantization levels were assumed. The x, y, and z platform axes symbols indicate the time instants the six simultaneous IMU measurements were taken and are uniformly spaced at thirty second intervals. The line segments between measurement points indicate how the estimation uncertainty propagates in time. The primary information conveyed by these graphs is that the Kalman Filter is capable of estimating composite system-level IMU errors to an accuracy essentially





PLATFORN DRIFT RATE UNCERTAINTY

VELOCITY UNCERTAINTY



Figure 6-1. Platform Drift and Velocity Uncertainty

limited by the inertial instrument random disturbances in a five to ten minute IMU test. The length of time required to achieve this level of accuracy is heavily influenced by the magnitude of the measurement errors.

Although the Kalman Filter can accurately estimate the composite error quantities during a single platform orientation test, it cannot separate or accurately observe the individual IMU calibration parameters. The filter can, however, during the single platform orientation test, heavily correlate certain groups of error sources from an initially uncorrelated condition. To calibrate the IMU, the error sources must be decorrelated and accurately observed. The means by which this is accomplished is by testing the IMU with its platform at numbrous orientations with respect to the earth rate and gravity vectors as discussed in Section IV.

Figure 6-2 is a graphic illustration of the error separation process. This figure shows an increasing Kalman Filter capability to estimate the y-gyro bias drift rate error component as additional platform test attitudes are introduced. Platform attitudes No. 1 through 5, 10, and 11 correspond to those of Table 4-1. Platform attitudes-No. 7 through 9 are the additional attitudes introduced to permit error source separation in the presence of the west-gyro-commanded angular velocity error due to the azimuth platform-to-navigation frame misalignment. Attitudes No. 6 and 12 are identical to No. 1 and illustrate that repeated use of the same test attitude does not significantly improve error source observation accuracy. These attitudes are synonomous with the navigation frame axes of north, west, and up.

The IMU is tested for five minutes per attitude as suggested by the estimation accuracy versus measurement time characteristic of Figure 6-1. Total IMU test time would, however, be somewhat longer than the one hour measurement time shown due to the several minutes' platform slew time required to periodically re-orient the platform. The sequence of platform test attitudes shown was selected such that the most significant IMU error sources are calibrated first and allow the option of omitting latter test attitudes in favor of reducing test time.







The upper and lower bounds superimposed about the simulated y-gyro bias error represent three standard deviations of the optimal estimation error stochastic process. The standard deviations used in determining these bounds were obtained by taking the square root of covariance matrix diagonal element corresponding to the y-gyro bias error as a function of time. Optimal estimation theory predicts that the Kalman Filter's optimal estimates should converge to the simulated y-gyro bias error to within these bounds a certain percentage of the time on an ensemble average basis. For Gaussian processes and three standard deviation bounds, this percentage is 99.5% of the time. Inspection of Figure 6-2 shows that all 120 or 100% of the filter's optimal estimates fall within the three standard deviation bounds, thus satisfying the criterion. Examination of the computer simulation results for convergence of the filter's optimal estimates to the other simulated IMU error sources showed that the criterion was met also.

Several conclusions can be reached as a result of the filter's optimal estimates converging to the simulated IMU error sources to within the accuracy predicted by optimal estimation theory. The major conclusion is that the gimballed IMU calibration problem, as formulated, can be adequately represented by a system of first-order linear equations. A second conclusion is that treatment of velocity quantization errors as measurement noise, omission of digital gyro pulse torquing effects, and other simplifying assumptions are justifiable. A final conclusion is that the Kalman Filter is a suitable analytical technique for calibrating IMUs.

6.3 IMU CALIBRATION ACCURACY LIMITATIONS

Examination of the estimation accuracy bounds depicted in Figure 6-2 shows that the estimation accuracy increases rapidly for the first four or five platform test attitudes. A slight increase in estimation accuracy occurs during the latter platform test attitudes due to the further separation of the y-gyro bias error from the other IMU calibration parameters modeled as random biases. The y-gyro bias estimation error does not, however, approach zero at the conclusion of the platform rest attitude sequence.

To determine the reasons for the limitation in IMU calibration accuracy, the analytical results shown in Figure 6-3 were computed by use of the Kalman Filter simulations. The upper graph shows the optimal estimation errors for all three gyro bias drift rate components. This representation contains the same estimation accuracy information as Figure 5-2 but is plotted as one standard deviation on an absolute scale. This data is obtained by taking the square root of the appropriate diagonal element of the updated covariance matrix.

The lower graph in Figure 6-3 shows the estimation accuracy for the random variables defined as the sum of the gyro bias and long correlation time random gyro drift rate error components. Comparison of the upper and lower graphs illustrate the gyro bias calibration accuracy limitations imposed by the gyro random noise components. Each gyro is modeled with two exponentially correlated disturbances having 1 and 3600 second correlation times and 0.003 and 0.005 degree per hour drift rates, respectively. Reference to the upper graph shows that the long correlation time disturbance imposes itself as an approximate lower bound on gyro bias calibration accuracy. The reason that the long correlation time random gyro disturbance acts as lower bound for the gyro bias error estimation accuracy is that time is the only difference in the functional dependency of these two error sources. Accurate measurements taken frequently over an approximate correlation time period are required to separate them. This condition is not satisfied during the calibration test due to those platform test attitudes where platform drift can only be inaccurately inferred from the differential gimbal angle measurements. This limitation is illustrated in the lower graph in Figure 6-3 by the filter's ability to estimate the sum of these two error sources to an accuracy now limited by the short correlation time disturbance.

Estimation of the IMU calibration parameters to an accuracy limited by the inertial instrument random disturbances is the desired result from the navigational accuracy standpoint. Conceptually, this result implies that the IMU calibration error sources could be software compensated for with the pure inertial navigation accuracy achievable with the IMU being essentially limited by the inertial instrument random disturbances.

65



Figure 6-3. Gyro Bias and Gyro Bias Plus Random Noise Calibration Accuracy

۲R-77-75 الم

6.4 DYNAMIC ERROR ESTIMATION

The Kalman Filter's ability to estimate a dynamic composite 1MU error as opposed to the static IMU calibration parameters is illustrated by the total gyro drift rate characteristic shown in Figure 6-4. Total gyro drift is defined as a random variable composed of the sum of the long and short correlation time disturbances, thermal warm-up, constant and attitude-dependent biases, input and spin axis mass unbalance, and anisoelastic drift rate error components. Total platform drift would additionally contain the gyro scale factor, gyro-to-platform misalignment angle, and commanded angular velocity error sources.

The simulated x-gyro drift rate is plotted with straight line segments connecting the 30-second measurement intervals and does not show the fine grain structure due to the random disturbances. Convergence of the Kalman Filter's optimal estimates to the simulated gyro drift rate error is illustrated along with the one standard deviation estimation error upper and lower bounds. Assuming gaussian statistics, 68% of the filter's optimal estimates should fall within these boundaries. Optimal estimation errors appear consistent with this criterion.

6.5 IMU ERROR MODEL REFINEMENTS

A major objective of the IMU calibration study is to develop a methodology and companion analytical tool that affords some capability for conducting IMU error model development and validation efforts. An essential feature on any such approach is the ability to start with a functionally correct IMU error model, but with incorrect statistical information regarding the magnitudes of the individual error sources, and through iterative testing determine the correct error scurce magnitudes. The problem of refining a functionally incorrect IMU error model is addressed in Section 8.

To address the problem of refining the a priori IMU-error-model statistical magnitude data, the analysis depicted in Figure 6-5 was conducted. The upper graph shows that the nominal computer loaded







68

A CARLEN AND A CARL COMPANY

*****(我,)":

٩١

 $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}$



Figure 6-5. Gyro Scale Factor Entimation

gyro scale factor errors do not contribute significant platform drift rate components. Since these error sources do not contribute significant platform drift rate components relative to the gyro disturbances, the filter is precluded from reducing the uncertainty associated with them. Gyro scale factor errors are shown in terms of the platform drift rate error components they produce to emphasize the calibration accuracy limitations imposed by the gyro random noise errors. The lower graph shows the filter's performance against a simulated IMU containing a x-gyro scale factor error an order-of-magnitude larger than assumed in the initial covariance matrix.

The optimal estimates for two simulation runs are plotted in the lower graph. The plus symbols correspond to the first run and an initial covariance matrix based on the nominal a priori error model data. The nominal x-gyro scale factor error assumed for the initial covariance matrix is a factor of ten less than that simulated in the IMU. The filter begins to estimate an abnormally large error, optimal estimates compared with the estimation error standard deviation, during the fourth platform attitude test. It is interesting to note that the Kalman Filter begins to estimate the abnormally large scale factor error during the same platform test attitude that the error source separation data of Table 4-1 predicts. The filter's optimal estimates do not, however, strictly converge to the simulated error in accordance with the three sigma bounds. This failure of the filter's optimal estimates to converge to the simulated error is due to the non-conformance of the initial covariance matrix with the simulated error.

A major consideration at the end of the first simulation run is the behavior of the Kalman Filter's estimates for all the other IMU calibration parameters. If the filter estimates abnormally large errors for some of the other calibration parameters, this might preclude isolation of the problem to its true source. Examination of filter's estimates for all other error sources indicates, however, that these estimates converge to the simulated errors to within the accuracy predicted by the filter's convariance matrix.

The second simulation run was made to verify that filter convergence rould be obtained by increasing the initial covariance matrix in accordance with the x-gyro scale factor optimal estimates obtained from the first run. Specifically, the initial x-gyro scale factor variance was increased from that corresponding to a platform drift rate component of 0.0035 to 0.024 degrees per hour as indicated by the filter's final first run estimate. The small circle symbols represent the second run optimal estimates and show a convergence which is consistent with the estimation uncertainty obtained from the first run. This result indicates that calibration accuracy is essentially determined by the inertial instrument random disturbances and is relatively insensitive to the initial covariance matrix.

SECTION VII

IMU LABORATORY TEST REQUIREMENTS

7.1 SOFTWARE ALTERNATIVES

Digital computer computational, storage, and associated programming requirements for implementing the IMU calibration tests and estimating the error sources can vary greatly in accordance with the specific application and software approach. All software approaches must, however, satisfy three functional requirements.

(1) The platform must be commanded to precess at earth rate during each IMU test sequence.

(2) System level IMU pseudo velocity and gimbal angle measurements must be taken and recorded.

(3) IMU error source estimates must be computed.

The first two requirements involve on-line in real-time software and can be met in a similar manner for various approaches with software complexity being a function of the desired automation. The manner of accomplishing the third function can be either on-line in real-time or off-line after-the-fact and greatly distinguishes the software approaches.

7.1.1 Kalman Filter Software Approach

Two approaches to the Kalman Filter error source estimation software were considered for implementation of the laboratory INU tests. The first approach consisted of an off-line parameter estimation scheme in which the error source estimates were computed after the IMU test was completed. The Kalman Filter mechanization and IMU test procedure formulated purposely omitted real-time closed-loop platform control in favor of the off-line software flexibility. In this approach, the IMU test would be conducted with use of a small general purpose digital computer(s) with the IMU measurements being recorded on a magnetic tape.

The recorded IMU measurements would serve as input data to the Kalman Filter software program hosted on a large scientific digital computer. This approach permits use of the Kalman Filter software program developed for the CDC-6600 computer simulation studies.

The second Kalman Filter software approach considered utilized a small general purpose digital computer and stored Kalman gain matrices to estimate the error sources on-line in real-time. This technique is possible because the filter's covariance matrix can be propagated and updated independently of the IMU measurements. This allows prior computation of the covariance and related Kalman gain matrices on a large digital computer. The precomputed Kalman gain matrices, corresponding to specified IMU measurement times, would be stored on a magnetic tape and read by the small test computer as required during the test. Estimation of the error sources is well within a small digital computer's capabilities and is accomplished by multiplying the measurement vector by the Kalman gain matrix after each IMU measurement and propagating the optimal estimates between measurements. The software program could be written in assembly or a higher order language depending on the support software available for the small digital computer.

The first approach of off-line error sources estimation was selected for the initial IMU calibration tests for several reasons. Real-time software development was required only for recording data and not additionally for error source estimation as in the second approach. The ease of modifying the CDC-6600 Fortran IV Kalman Filter computer program and the ability to exercise the modified versions against the mag tape stored IMU measurement data without continuously repeating the IMU tests was expected to greatly facilitate the development effort. This consideration was especially important in view of the IMU error model development and validation objective of the study.

The major disadvantage of the selected approach is the turn-around time associated with the CDC-6600 computer system. It was thought that if aided-inertial navigation software development efforts demonstrated

a need for having IMU calibration test results prior to conducting the software development tests, the real-time error estimation scheme could be implemented for the production calibration tests. This need has not, however, materialized.

7.1.2 Real Time Test Software Approach

To keep the real-time software development effort to a minimum, maximum utilization was made of the Singer-Kearfott AN/ASN-90 Inertial Measurement Set and associated equipment, including the SKC-2000 digital computer and the operational computer program (OCP) documented in Reference 4. A functional block diagram of the hardware used in conducting the IMU calibration tests is given in Figure 7-1. The Singer equipment constitutes a self-contained inertial navigation capability including IMU ground alignment and a two-position north and west gyro autocalibration.

The philosophy for obtaining the necessary real-time test software was to utilize applicable portions of the Singer OCP with minor software modifications being made as required. References to Singer's OCP development specification indicated that this approach was feasible and would entail a modification of the ground align and gyro autocalibration software routines.

Two versions of the OCP real-time test software were developed. The first version retained the 15 sequence ground align and gyro auto-cal software routines so that: (1) The IMU case could be properly aligned to the navigation frame, and (2) The gyro turn-on biases recovered by the OCP could be compared to those estimated by the Kalman Filter. Compatibility between the OCP and Kalman Filter gyrc turn-on bias estimates was ensured by conducting the 12 IMU calibration platform attitude test sequences immediately after the 15 OCP ground align and gyro auto-cal test sequences. Comparison of the OCP-recovered gyro biases, as well as the high and low gain accelerometer bias and scale factor and gyro scale factor ASM-375-test-set calibrated errors, with those recovered by the Kalman Filter, was considered essential in the development of the calibration technique.





After the development of the calibration technique was well underway, the second version of the real-time test software was developed to eliminate a scheduling problem inherent in the above versions. The 15-sequence ground align and gyro auto-cal routines required 24 minutes to execute and thus prohibited obtaining the IMU measurements necessary to estimate the inertial instrument warm-up transients corresponding to the A-7D aircraft fine ground alignment time interval of 7 to 10 minutes after power turn-on. The second version was similar to the first, except that the 15-sequence ground alignment to the navigation frame was obtained by use of the original OCP if realignment was made necessary due to dismounting and remounting the IMU on the tilt table, as discussed in Section 7.2.1.

Initiating and terminating the different IMU platform test sequences and recording the IMU measurements on a magnetic tape could not readily be accomplished with the Singer AN/ASN-90 and associated equipment. Referring to Figure 7-1, the teletype and PDP-11/45 computers were added for these purposes. The PDP-11/45 computer and its peripheral equipment is used largely for bookkeeping purposes. Nominal earth rate torquing values are stored and sent to the SKC-2000 computer at the start of each new platform test sequence. IMU measurements are transferred from the SKC-2000 computer and recorded on magnetic tape. Although the initiation of new platform test sequences is controlled from the teletype, the exact instants of time the IMU measurements are taken is determined by the SKC-2000 computer's internal clock and associated real-time software.

Input/output data precision and IMU measurement timing accuracy requirements were determined such that errors in these quantities do not contribute any significant IMU calibration errors. This criterion implies that the errors are on the same order as the accelerometer and gyro random disturbances. For gyro torquing, the net gyro torquing PRF required to command the platform at earth rate must be entered into the SKC-2000 computer with an accuracy of 50 ppm. The magnitude of the time

interval between IMU pseudo velocity measurements is not critical; nominally, both 10 and 30 seconds are used. This time interval must be constant to simplify execution of the Kalman Filter algorithm and precisely known to 0.1 msec. The total number of pseudo velocity pulses generated each measurement time interval are recorded with the least significant bit representing 0.0322 and 0.000322 ft/sec for the low and high gain accelerometer scale factor modes, respectively. Gimbal angle measurements are discussed in Section 7.2.2, but are not automatically recorded via the real-time software.

7.1.3 Recorded Pseudo Velocity Pulses

The finalized IMU platform test attitudes used in conjunction with the second version of the OCP real-time software are shown in Figure 7-2. A sample of the total IMU pseudo velocity pulses recorded by the real-time software during a calibration test is illustrated in Figure 7-3. In this figure, the left hand column represents time in seconds and from left to right the three columns per test attitude represent the total number of accelerometer pulses generated per measurement time interval.

Data recording for IMU platform test attitude No. 1 begins seven minutes after power turn-on and continues for ten minutes, with velocity pulses being recorded every 10 seconds. The x and y level axis accelerometers are employed in the high gain mode (the z accelerometer does not have a high gain mode), in an attempt to accurately estimate the gyro and accelerometer warm-up transients.

Data recording periods are nominally five minutes long for platform test attitudes No. 2 through 12, with measurements being recorded every 30 seconds. The low gain navigation accelerometer scale factor mode is used for the first five minutes of testing for each of these test sequences. Accelerometer scale factors are switched from the low to the high gain mode at the end of the five minutes for test sequences No. 3 and 10, with testing being continued for an additional one and a half minutes. This approach permits the Kalman Filter to accurately observe the high gain coelerometer scale factor and bias errors without adding two



Figure 7-2. IMU Platform Test Attitudes and Corresponding Gimbal Angle Rotations

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				•	. 60 ກິງງງ£ +ງ1	•				**************************************	£ .		tetabooass's letal. (Clr.	•				AL PERSON L. ILITICETT.			"Titl'ussa's" lituussisa":	•	•	•						• •		•	•	. r	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· ·				•	, ·	· .	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		۲ •	,•
	(α) () () () () () () () () () (• 0				т с Э с			× · · · · ·	-2-3322000	• •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•			• •					· ·		•		• •	•	• •		r 	* ((• •	• •• r	•		•••	• u	•		•	ε.)	•	• • •	•	• • •	•	r	•	• •	۲. ۲.
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	20+3000700°5+	-2,55203aF+37	-4.55]]1-1+11						-3.5510000	5 5 4 1 5 5 5 F 1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5							- 4.55477 45 474	F1+1000F46+E+		-3.542]015.77	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- 4 - 5 4 2 - 7 + 5 4	-9.543777577 -0.543377677			1. + 2. [[[5 75 * 5 -	- 3* 3¢ 30 12 + 34	-a-stagt+3£					- 4- 2733,0275-		- 6.5433 355 11	-3.54442556 + 33		54 - 3 - 1	- 0. 21 - 0. 0	1 0*1433356 *14	ト・キロのののまた。 さー	Fr + U = C = 70 + 5 +		-9.5487245437	10+3L26075*0-	- 3.54303 nr + 3.2	10.000 m 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	- 3.543]]]r+73
			2. 610325424	2	1, 155.130.14.24 8.96969696		7. TL61317+74	2. *5033c+C3	2.24200232242	7.7207306 477	Entited If 2							1.9557755473	1.1473057446.1	She initate T	1.3000020.1	4			7.43000168°1					S+L2C.278					2.+30005+33	1.7910305+33	1.7430005473	2 * 7 7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1.7673357491	1.741-906 + 6 1	1.7530r3r+3 -	1. 7653035+3 X	1.778030F+04		1. *73339EE+33	1	1.7289955+53	1.6979325+33		1.5573335433
		1. 13.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.1	-3.09:0006+01		1911年1月1日には1911年1月1日日		-4,5855555433	-4-2053254-							くろう みかくせいひょせい せんせんいんいがく オリ			-2, 33 FAIRE 4 7 F			- 4 - 6 4 4 5 5 5 4 4 5							-4.5542365+1	-4.0683C3F+73	-4.06.032F+13					60+1000+60+4+	-4 - 035 300F + C 7	-4.0410004 - 54	トバナムロバシングショント・シートオークシングシング		2 - 4 0 0 4 4 6 0 • 9 -	- 1 、 - いいひだいがつ。 オー・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・			2 小中国の10日上山村・21日日 - 1日日 - 1日 - 1日日 - 11日 - 11 -		-4.103006.53		11.11年前の日本では、11.11年末日本では、11.11年末日本では、11.11年末日本では、11.11年末日本では、11.11年末日本では、11.11年末日本では、11.11年末日本では、11.11		- ^ - 1000 - 11 - 4 -
2.0316+21		0.000 0.000000	7.0005+91	5	4.0745.35			1.3005+02	20+June 12	1.5010:07			5 . 9 7 7 . 1 2 . 4 2 . 4 2 . 4 2 . 4 2 . 4 2 . 4 2 . 4 2 . 4 2 . 4 2 . 4 2 . 4 2 . 4 2 . 4 2 . 4 2 . 4 2 . 4 2			CHARTER C	2.2965.52	2.14 314 2.2	2.5005+32	5 8 2 - 2 - 2	2.700F+J?	26.1.1.1.2.2			3.300F+02	1 4 C J E 4 D A	3.5305+32	3. FAAF + 32	3.7005+32	50410014.V				4.3305 .32	4.405+32	4.500E+22	4.5035.402	6.700 ⁶ +92		6.9005.02		5.17.05.45 12.37.37.45	3.CTUT+UC 5.TAOF.AG				5.4034402 1 1024402	5.7505+32 5.8545255	01010100 8 0101403	378 - 10F + F

Figure 7-3. Recordet !WU Pseudo Velocity Pulses

AFAL 18-77-75

•

ŧ

1 1 <th><pre>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>></pre></th> <th>2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.</th> <th><pre>-2.2567335474 -2.22677335474 -2.22677335474 -2.23530395474 -2.23530395474 -2.23530395474 -2.23530395474 -2.235307095491 -5.45095491 -5.450709540000000000000000000000</pre></th>	<pre>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>></pre>	2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.	<pre>-2.2567335474 -2.22677335474 -2.22677335474 -2.23530395474 -2.23530395474 -2.23530395474 -2.23530395474 -2.235307095491 -5.45095491 -5.450709540000000000000000000000</pre>

έμεινοφείς μαι έςθυσει με μείτο βυίςμες Γείνος Fuderas

AFAL-TR-77-75

.

6*

additional test sequences specifically for this purpose. Platform tilts are limited to approximately six degrees to prevent saturation of the capacitive reset integrators (10,000 pulses per second maximum) when in the high gain mode. As can be seen from Figure 7-3, IMU calibration does not require an abnormally large quantity of measurements to be taken.

7.1.4 Data Recording Problems

Two data recording problems were encountered during the IMU calibration testing. Eventually one problem was attributed to the IMU hardware and the other to the OCP r 1-time test software. Both of these problems caused improper operation of the Kalman Filter and were only correctly isolated as data recording problems after scanning the raw data lists as depicted by Figure 7-3. The recorded velocity pulse raw data computer printouts proved to be extremely valuable during the development cycle, particularly when the data was massaged to show the number of accelerometer pulses generated in each measurement interval, as in Figure 7-3, rather than the cumulative time total which constitutes the Kalman Filter measurements.

The data recording anomaly attributed to the IMU hardware was termed an accelerometer dropout problem. Anomalous data was found to exist on 2 of the total 24 IMU calibration tests conducted and took the form of a zero accelerometer pulse count for one to two minutes of the total 68 minutes IMU measurement time. Only one dropout time interval was found to occur for each of the two calibration tests that the problem manifested itself. Although this aromalous behavior was never verified as being caused by the IMU hardware, discussions with personnel at the Aerospace Guidance and Metrology Center (AGMC) indicated they had observed similar problems with the KT-73 IMUs. AGMC personnel thought the problem was due to burnt or corroded slip rings. Valid Kalman Filter estimates for the IMU calibration parameter could still be obtained by smoothing over the zero pulse count anomalous recordings with interpolated data.

The second data recording problem was traced to a timina instabilit in the real-time OCP software. Instead of timina instabilit pseudo velocity pulses to the required accuracy of 0.1 msec, errors as large as 15 msec were found to exist. The effect of these timing errors on IMU calibration accuracy is discussed in Section 8.

The timing instability problem was also isolated by scanning the raw velocity pulse data as depicted in Figure 7-3. For the accelerometer random noise magniture given by the IMU error model of Reference 1 and a timing accuracy of 0.1 msec, the difference in the number of velocity pulses generated over a reasurement interval should never exceed one when an accelerometer is oriented parallel to the gravity vector. The difference in velocity pulse counts was found to be as high as 15 pulses for adjacent measurement intervals. For a low gain accelerometer scale factor of 1,000 pulses per second per g, 15 pulses implies a 15 msec measurement timing error. Longer term timing variations of greater than 15 msec were also found to exist over the entire 5-minute test interval

The cause of the timing errors was traced to the "interrupt' priorities of, and the failure to remove all unnecessary software routines from, the OUP real-time software program. The timing instablicity problem was never completely solved but the instabilities vere reduled to roughly one msec in magnitude. Since a timing error of this sugnitude is not negligible relative to the inertial instrument random disturbances, modifications of the Kalman filter had to be made to account for its presence. The short term uncorrelated timing instability was accounted for by adding a disturbance to the affected or pseudo velocity error states. The longer term correlated liming instability was accounted for in a first order manner by adding an additional clock (pseudo accelerometer scale factor) error to the Kalman Filter state vector. Error modeling of the clock error state is characterized by a standard deviation of one ppm and a correlation time of 250 seconds. The net result of these timing errors is a very slight decrease in the accuracy to which accelerometer errors can be calibrated. Gyro calibration accuracy is virtually unaffected.

7.2 TEST HARDWARE CONSIDERATIONS

In addition to the general hardware capabilities provided by the Singer AN/ASN-90 inertial measurement set and associated system test rack equipment, two additional hardware capabilities are required to conduct the IMU calibration tests. These hardware considerations involve accurately aligning the IMU case to the navigation frame and precisely measuring gimbal angle movements.

7.2.1 IMU Case Alignment

The methodology developed for IMU calibration assumes that the attitude of the platform relative to the navigation frame is accurately known. The IMU case frame was defined synonymous with the gimbal neutral frame defined by coincidence of the neutral IMU roll, pitch, and azimuth gimbal axes with the x (north), y (west), and z (up) navigation frame axes. Rotating the navigation frame through the [n] coordinate transformation produces the IMU case, and gimbal angle [0] coordinates transformation produces the platform frame.

If given that the IMU case is aligned to the navigation frame, the twelve relative platform-to-navigation frame angular orientations required for separation of the IMU error sources shown in Figure 7-2 can be obtained by gimbal angle rotations, thus obviating any need to rotate the IMU case. There are, however, several advantages to mounting the IMU on a rotating tilting precision table. (1) It assists in aligning the case to the navigation frame. (2) It allows for an independent calibration of the gimbal synchro angle measuring devices for comparison with the Kalman Filter estimates. And (3) additional special testing including heading sensitivity can readily be conducted. For these reasons, an IMTCO rotary tilting table was used throughout all IMU calibration testing.

Aligning the IMU case to the navigation frame is a straightforward procedure. The IMU case is mounted on the tilt table with use of the Singer mounting bracket. The necessary three angular degrees of freedom are provided by this arrangement, about the gimbal neutral IMU pitch and azimuth axes by the tilt table and about the gimbal neutral roll axis by the mounting bracket. The IMU is brought up and Singer's OCP software routines are executed through ground alignment. This aligns the x, y, and z platform frame with the north, west, and up navigation frame. The gimbal neutral and hence IMU case frame is then aligned to the navigation frame by nulling the synchro angle readouts using tilt table and mounting bracket angular rotations. The IMU case alignment accuracy is essentially the accuracy of the synchro measuring devices or 6 arc minutes. Assuming that tilt table and mounting brackets are unperturbed or can be accurately returned to their original position, the IMU can be installed for additional testing without repeating the alignment process.

7.2.2 Gimbal Angle Measurements

As discussed in Section 4.2.1, a gimbal angle measurement or its equivalent is required for separation of the gyro spin axes mass unbalance error sources. Although the gimbal synchro angle measuring devices are capable of measuring small differential gimbal angular positions to 5 arc seconds, no hardware capability existed in the Singer AN/ASN-90 IMS or system test rack equipment to obtain measurements to this precision. Initially, low precision manual gimbal angle measurements were taken with the visual readout indicators in the Singer test rack equipment to support the IMU calibration development. Two high precision synchro measurement technique developments were undertaken that would have afforded the capability of automatic data recording. These developments were never completed. A high precision, manual synchro measurement technique was eventually perfected for use in the final calibration tests. These topics are discussed in the sequel.

The Singer system test rack equipment contains roll, pitch, and azimuth gimbal synchro angle readouts having 1 arc minute graduations. These meters can be read to roughly 0.2 arc minute precision. Repeated IMU testing showed that the azimuth gimbal angle drifted about 0.3 arc minutes in ten minutes when torqued at earth rate in test attitude No. 1. This data implies a vertical or z-axis platform drift rate angular velocity of 0.03 degrees per hour.

Knowledge of the actual z-axis platform drift rate error is sufficient additional information to enable the Kalman Filter to separate the gyro mass unbalance error sources. To utilize this data in the Kalman Filter, it must be recognized that z-gyro bias and soin axis mass unbalance error sources completely dominate z-axis platform drift rate error when the axis is oriented vertically. This fact implies that these two error sources are statistically correlated such that the sum of the platform drift rates they produce has an initial uncertainty equal to that determined by the above measurements. This information is utilized by the Kalman Filter in the form of an initial covariance between the error sources having a magnitude given by:

 $P(W_{Bz}, M_{Sz}) = \left[P(W_{Bz}, W_{Bz}) + g^2 P(M_{Sz}, M_{Sz}) - (0.03)^2\right]/2g$ $P(M_{Sz}, W_{Bz}) = P(W_{Bz}, M_{Sz})$

Entering these off-diagonal covariances into the Kalman Filter's initial covariance matrix permits the filter to estimate each of the three gyro spin axes mass unbalance errors to an accuracy determined by the z-axis platform drift rate magnitude or roughly 0.03 degrees per hour per g. This technique of separating the gyro spin axes mass unbalance errors worked quite well resulting in a reduction of 30 of the initial uncertainty of 1.0 degrees per hour per g. Since this technique does, however, have the disadvantages of the estimation accuracy being limited by z-axis platform drift rate and the measurements not being automatically recorded via the real-time software, additional gimbal angle measurement techniques were investigated.

The first attempt at achieving high precision automatic gimbal angle measurements involved a modification of the Singer-Kearfott synchro-to-digital converter obtained from the TRW INI program. This converter contained three synchro channels with a 12 bit plus sign conversion capability resulting in a measurement precision of 50 arc seconds. The philosophy for upgrading this converter to a measurement precision of approximately one arc second was to increase the precision of the device at the expense of dynamic range. This was achieved by inserting a variable voltage source in series with the synchro demodulator's output to define a nominal operating point of zero volts. Amplification of this voltage by a factor of 50 immediately prior to analog-to-digital conversion increased the precision to the desired one arc second. The dynamic range of the gimbal angle measurements about the nominal operating point was, however, reduced from 180 degrees to 69 arc minutes. This dynamic range is about an order of magnitude larger than required for the calibration tests.

The Singer synchro-to-digital converter was modified to include the required electronic circuitry. Laboratory tests were conducted with a synchro standard which verified the one arc second measurement precision. Integration testing with the IMU was being conducted to determine the magnitude of potential noise problems when the converter failed. The Singer converter was a specialty item employing non-standard parts which required redesigning a failed printed circuit board and fabricating a new one to keep the device in service. After a second failure, a management decision was made that the converter could not be practically maintained and the measurement scheme abandoned.

The second attempt at achieving high precision automatic gimbal angle measurements involved the use of a production Burr-Brown analog-tofrequency electronic converter. The operation of this circuitry is analogous to those used to quantize analog accelerometer outputs into velocity pulses. A second synchro was used in conjunction with the IMU's synchro to complete the control transmitter and transformer pair.

Unfortunately, this measurement scheme was also abandoned when it became obvious that the I/O interface electronics and real-time data collection software could not be completed in accordance with the management schedule for completing the IMU calibration development effort.

The final attempt at obtaining high precision gimbal angle measurements was successful and is depicted in Figure 7-1. The measurements were manually recorded with synchronization between the measurements and the velocity pulses being accomplished with a buzzer tied into the teletype. The measurement scheme consisted of a serial arrangement of the IMU synchro control transmitter, scott tee transformer, electronic demodulator, and a Leeds and Northrop bridge.

A parametric covariance analysis study conducted with use of the IMU calibration Kalman Filter showed that only the azimuth gimbal angle measurement was required during the first platform test attitude for accurate estimation of the gyro spin axes mass unbalance error coefficients. Employment of the roll and pitch and even the azimuth gimbal angle measurements during platform test attitudes 2 through 12 did not significantly increase the filter's estimation accuracy. Actual gimbal angle measurements taken during the IMU calibration tests were consistent with these findings.

Calibration of the azimuth gimbal angle measurement equipment's scale factor and verification of its high resolution capability was accomplished with the IMU calibration Kalman Filter. Referring to Figure 7-2, the platform orientation in test attitudes No. 5 and No. 12 is such that the Kalman Filter is capable of accurately estimating the differential azimuth gimbal angle about the same operating point as test attitude No. 1 (zero degrees) by virture of the measurements obtained from the level accelerometers. By normalizing the manually recorded Leeds and Northrup data to the corresponding Kalman Filter estimates for the differential azimuth gimbal angles, a scale factor calibration is obtained from the same data used for calibrating the IMU.

A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OF THE

Calibration data for the azimuth gimbal angle measurement technique's scale factor covering the last eight IMU calibration tests is shown in Figure 7-4. The tests were conducted by positioning the azimuth gimbal synchro readout indicator located in the system test rack slightly below the nominal setting used in test attitude No. 1 and then allowing the platform to drift through this nominal setting. This technique ensures that the scale factor derived from the No. 5 or No. 12 platform test attitude corresponds to the nominal operating point used in test attitude No. 1. Scale factor determination accuracy is a function of both the Kalman Filter differential azimuth gimbal angle estimation accuracy and the angular excursion of the azimuth gimbal during the test sequence. Nominal estimation accuracies of 1.4 arc seconds' one sigma and azimuth gimbal angle excursions of 3 arc minutes results in a scale factor calibration error of roughly one percent.

The calibration data of Figure 7-4 shows that the scale factor differs somewhat from day-to-day tests and also slightly during the nominal one hour time period between the No. 5 and No. 12 platform test attitude calibrations. The causes for these scale factor variations are not completely understood. Replacement of 400 Hz demodulator line voltage reference by a precision Hewlett Packard oscillator, component electrostatic shielding and circuit filtering were found to be helpful in reducing the scale factor variations from those initially encountered. Scale factor variations for the No. 5 and No. 12 test attitudes are seen to vary only a few percent for the same IMU calibration test over the last six tests conducted. The average of the No. 5 and No. 12 test sequence scale factors is used in conjunction with the No. 1 test sequence azimuth gimbal angle measurement for the formal Kalman Filter measurement. Assuming that this average scale factor differs from the true one for test attitude No. 1 by this same small percentage, the scale-factor-induced measurement error is on the order of two arc seconds and well within the 5 arc second noise assumed for this measurement.

The precision of the azimuth gimbal angle measurement scheme around the zero degree operating point is shown in Figure 7-5. This data was



i) V

Figure 7-4. Azimuth Synchro Scale Factor Calibration Results



Figure 7-5. Azimuth Synchro Differential Precision About Zero Degrees

plotted by normalizing the Leeds and Northrup bridge data to the first and last Kalman Filter differential azimuth gimbal angle estimates. The Kalman Filter estimates at the interior data points serve as a reference with an accuracy of 1.4 arc seconds one sigma. This data shows that the precision of measurement technique is consistent with the assumed 5-arcsecond-measurement noise.

SECTION VIII

IMU ERROR MODEL REFINEMENTS

As stated in Section 2.2, a major goal of this study is to devise and demonstrate an IMU calibration methodology that affords a significant capability for conducting IMU error model development and validation efforts. The approach taken to achieve this goal has been to formulate a methodology employing an optimal estimation technique that estimates all IMU error sources in a simultaneous rather than the sequential manner used in contemporary IMU calibration methods. It is anticipated that simultaneous estimation of all IMU errors sources will permit IMU error model inadequacies to be readily detected. Correlation analyses conducted in conjunction with IMU error model residual Kalman Filter states, added to the assumed IMU error model to account for potential error model omissions, should permit determining the functional dependency of detected unmodeled IMU errors. By adding the detected and modeled error source to the initial IMU error model and iterating the process, development of a complete and functionally correct error model appears feasible.

Kalman Filter processing of the system level IMU measurement data generated during the laboratory calibration tests resulted in the detection of both an unmodeled gyro quadrature gee and accelerometer attitude dependent scale factor error. Neither of these error sources had been included in the Reference 1 error model developed by the IMU manufacturer. The detection and modeling of these error sources was accomplished as indicated above and is the subject of this section.

8.1 INITIAL KALMAN FILTER ESTIMATION RESULTS

Examination of the initial Kalman Filter 1MU error estimation results requires a judgment in regard to the adequacy of the IMU error model incorporated by the Kalman Filter. Two approaches were found useful in arriving at this judgment. The first approach involved processing the IMU measurements with the Kalman Filter, embodying only those IMU error

sources thought to exist The degree of inconsistency between the Kalman Filter estimates and known hardware manufacturing tolerances for the IMU error sources comprised the criterion for the judgment. The second approach involves iterative use of the assumed IMU error model residual states to determine the magnitude of the discrepancies. This approach is well suited to both detecting and isolating unmodeled errors and is the topic of Section 8.2.

Two examples of the inconsistencies obtained between the Kalman Filter estimates and the known manufacturing tolerances for the KT-73 IMU error sources are shown in Figure 8-1. The left and right-hand portions of this figure contrast the Kalman Filter estimates against the β_{yz} gyro frame misalignment angle and gyro long time constant correlated random drift rate manufacturing tolerances. Manufacturing tolerances are indicated by the dashed horizontal lines. In addition to those shown, many other similar inconsistencies were observed.

KT-73 IMU manufacturing methods are such that the alignment errors for the six gyro-to-platform misalignment angles are nominally held to one arc minute. Figure 8-1 illustrates, however, that as soon as the IMU has been tested with its platform oriented at enough different attitudes to permit the Kalman Filter to separate the misalignment angles from the other error sources, recovered estimates for these angular errors are a factor of twenty times the manufacturing tolerances. Similar results were obtained for the correlated gyro random drift rate error with recovered values exceeding production tolerances by an order of magnitude.

The conclusion to be drawn as a result of the Kalman Filter estimates for many of the IMU errors exceeding their manufacturing tolerances by an order of magnitude or more is that the IMU contains significant errors other than those modeled in the filter. This judgment was particularly easy to make since many different IMU errors exhibiting different functional dependencies were estimated as being abnormally large. As discussed in Section 6.5, had only one error source been recovered as abnormally large, there would be a strong likelihood that a hardware anomaly existed.



/4

Finure coll reliver Estimates Contrasted Addingt KT-73
[Wo Manufacturing Tolenances
AFAL TR. 77 24

2.1 AVRO MODELING REFINEMENTS

3.2.1 Gyro Quadrature G Error

Having made the judgment that a significant IMU error model discrepancy(5) existed, it becomes necessary to determine both the magnitude of the omitted error source and its functional dependency. Successfully accomplishing this task will, of course, confirm the initial IMU error model deficiency judgment. The manner of accomplishing this task is by the employment of IMU error model residual Kalman Filter states in conjunction with iterative processing of the same recorded IMU measurement data.

The initial objective of iterative Kalman Filter processing of the IMD measurement data is to obtain consistency between the initial uncertainties assumed for the filter's initial covariance matrix and the filter's estimates of the corresponding error source. Consistency implies that the Kalman Filter estimates for the random bias error states are within three standard deviations of the uncertainties assumed for the initial covariance matrix. Three standard deviations is a rule-of-thumb used in conjunction with the Gaussian distribution and includes roughly 99.5- of all experiment trials. Consistency is sought for filter estimates corresponding to each platform test attitude as well as final filter estimates corresponding to the final test sequence.

Obtaining consistency between the Kalman Filter's estimates and its initial covariance matrix is required for proper or accurate estimation by the Kalman filter algorithm. Without consistency, the filter's estimation accuracy is not given by its covariance matrix and estimation errors are unknown. By adding the IMU error model residual states to the Kalman Filter state vector and determining, by iteration, their uncertainties for insertion in the initial covariance matrix, consistency can be obtained. Having obtained consistency, Kalman Filter error source estimation errors are now accurately known and given by the filter's covariance matrix. Consistency permits the Kalman Filter estimation results to be used with a high degree of confidence in conjunction with correlation studies to determine the magnitude and functional dependency of unmodeled IMU errors.

An illustration of obtaining Kalman Filter optimal estimate consistency through the use of error model residual states is shown in Figure 8-2. The left and right-hand portions of this figure contain similar data for the x and y-gyros, respectively. Three gyro error model residual states were included in the Kalman Filter state vector, a drift rate error for each gyro. These drift rate errors were modeled as random biases and were reset to their assumed initial uncertainty at the start of each platform test sequence. In effect, this resulted in a 3 errors per test sequence times 12 test sequences or 36 additional error sources for the Kalman Filter to estimate. These additional 36 error sources do not, of course, permit the Kalman Filter to separate and accurately estimate the other IMU error sources when the assumed initial uncertainties of the residual states are large compared with the other errors.

The error model residual states depicted in Figure 3-2 could also be termed as gyro-attitude-dependent bias errors, since they are modeled as being constant for a given platform attitude relative to the IMU case but assume a new value anytime the platform's orientation is changed. The Kalman Filter's estimates for these attitude-dependent biases is shown for each of the twelve platform test orientations. The upper and lower brackets about each estimate represent the standard deviation of the filter's estimation error as obtained from the covariance matrix at the end of each platform test sequence. Since filter consistency or proper convergence has been obtained, these estimation errors are accurate.

Filter consistency was obtained by iteratively increasing the initial uncertainty of the attitude-dependent bias error states in conjunction with reprocessing of the same recorded IMU measurement data until the filter's estimates for these errors were within three standard deviations of their initial uncertainty. Initial trial values were chosen to be the same as the correlated gyro random noise of 0.005 degree per hour with about two iterations being required to reach the consistency value of 0.2 degree per hour shown in Figure 8-2. New trial values were taken to be the maximum of the Kalman Filter's estimates for the attitudedependent biases on the previous trial. Figure 8-2 shows that maximum



*



ł

97

1.1

Kalman Filter estimates for the x and y-gyro attitude-dependent biases are roughly 0.4 degree per hour, which is within two standard deviations of the initial uncertainty of 0.2 degree per hour. Two standard deviations include about 95% of the trial outcomes for Gaussian statistics.

Examination of the Kalman Filter's estimation errors for the other IMU error sources at the end of the twelfth platform test sequence indicates that the filter is unable to accurately calibrate all of them due to the presence of the attitude-dependent biases with large initial uncertainties. In effect, a solution is precluded because, although each platform test sequence results in three additional equations for separation of the IMU calibration parameters, three additional unknowns are added also. The filter's estimates for all IMU error sources are, however, within three standard deviations of their initial uncertainties. This consistency is obtainable only through the use of error model residual states.

In addition to a desire to upgrade the KT-73 IMU error model, the exact functional dependency of the errors producing the 0.4 degree per hour gyro drift rate for some platform attitudes must be determined so that it can be explicitly included in the Kalman Filter system dynamics matrix to enable the filter to accurately calibrate the other IMU errors. Determining the exact functional dependency of error model residual states amounts to performing a trial and error analysis to determine the parameter, if any, which varies in magnitude or vector direction with changing platform attitude with which the gyro drift rate error is correlated. The results of this analysis are shown in Figure 8-2 and indicate a high degree of correlation between gyro drift rate magnitude and the orientation of the gyro output axis relative to the specific force vector. The specific force vector is essentially the gravity vector for the fixed IMU base situation and has been assumed to be oriented parallel with the y-axis of the graph in Figure 8-2. Note in this figure that the correlation appears to be especially high for those platform test sequences where estimation accuracy is high, as indicated by closely spaced brackets.

To confirm the apparent error model residual gyro output axis specific force dependency, three additional states were added to the Kalman Filter explicitly containing this functional dependency and an initial uncertainty of 0.4 degree per hour per g. The initial uncertainty of the error model residual states was reduced to the gyro random noise level of 0.005 degree per hour and the recorded IMU measurement data reprocessed by the modified Kalman Filter. Kalman Filter estimation results for the error model residual or gyro attitude-dependent bias states are shown in Figure 8.3. Brackets about the filter's estimates indicate the initial uncertainty of 0.005 degrees per hour and illustrates that no estimates were obtained greater than this value. These results confirm the existence of a gyro output axis specific force dependent error source. The results of Figure 8-3 along with the now obtained accurate calibration of the other gyro and related error sources showing consistency with their initial uncertainties also indicate the absences of any additional gyrorelated errors of magnitude appreciably larger than the 0.005 degree per hour error model residuals.

The physics of why a specific force acting along the output axis of the KT-73 gyroflex gyros produced a drift rate error were initially perplexing. It is well known that a mass unbalance in conjunction with a specific force acting along a gyro input or spin axis can produce a drift rate error. However, the vector relationships are such that it is impossible for this to occur when it concerns the output axis. Mr. Bud Bleichroth of AGMC eventually isolated the cause. The gyroflex gyro is a two-degree-of-freedom, dry flexure joint suspended, free rotor gyro. Its unique feature is a special rotating flexure suspension on one end of a shaft, supporting and pivoting the wheel. The other shaft end is driven by a synchronous hysteresis motor. If the flexure suspension joint is not machined in a completely symmetrical manner, then a specific force acting along the output axis acts in conjunction with the flexure asymmetry to yield a torque which produces the drift rate error. This error source has been termed a gyro quadrature g error by the Singer engineers and has been specified at 1.0 degree per hour per g for the SRAM KT-76 IMU.



AFAL-18-77-75

1**0**0

All Provide and the

8.2.2 Gyro G-Squared Error Correlation Studies

Having found a rather major omission in the Reference 1 IMU error model raises the question as to the possible omission of additional gyro error sources that are not strictly negligible relative to the inertial instrument random disturbances. This concern seems particularly justified for specific force related gyro errors since the Reference 1 IMU error model was formulated for navigational error analyses where accelerations are generally of short time durations. Since the IMU calibration tests are conducted with the platform at numerous attitudes relative to the specific for cevector for extended periods of time, gyro error sources insignificant for navigational accuracy computations may not be negligible in consideration of Kalman Filter IMU calibration accuracy constraints. For these reasons, it was decided to conduct correlation studies on the next most logical gyro specific force related errors omitted from the Reference 1 IMU error model which are additional g-squared gyro errors.

The Reference 1 gyro error model contains an anisoelastic drift rate error having a magnitude proportional to the product of specific forces acting along the output and spin axes of the gyro. Taking possible combinations of three things, input-spin-output axes specific forces, taken two at a time, leaves five additional g-squared gyro error sources to be evaluated.

The philosophy for evaluating the magnitude of the gyro g-squared error sources can be understood with the aid of Figure 8-4. The recorded IMU measurement data is processed by two versions of the IHU calibration Kalman Filter. The first is the nominal or baseline version while the second version has been modified to include three additional g-squared gyro drift rate error states. There is one error state per gyro with each g-squared error having the same gyro axes specific force dependency. The initial uncertainty of these error sources is found by iteration such that the final Kalman Filter g-squared error estimate is within one to two standard deviations of the initial uncertainty. Generally, only one iteration was required and all initial uncertainties were found to be between 0.003 and 0.005 degrees per hour per g-squared.





Figure ${\rm de4}_{\rm e}$ Gyre Attitude Dependent Bias Estimation Sesults

The evaluation criteria were both the Kalman Filter's estimate of the g-squared error source and the degree to which the addition of this error source to the IMU error model reduced the filter's estimate for the error model residual or gyro attitude-dependent bias states. The relative reduction in gyro attitude dependent bias filter estimates is shown in Figure 8-4 for the addition of gyro output axis g-squared error states to the Kalman Filter state vector. The Kalman Filter's estimate for these g-squared errors were 0.004 and 0.003 degree per hour per g-squared for the x and z-gyros, respectively. Initial uncertainties were 0.003 and 0.004 degrees per hour per g-squared. Substantial reductions in the z-gyro attitude-dependent biases are seen to occur while little improvement is obtained by adding an output axis g-squared error source to the x-gyro error model.

Of the five sets of three g-squared error sources evaluated, those showing an output axis g-squared functional dependency rated highest in terms of the above criteria. Since: (1) the filter's estimates for all the g-squared error sources were less than the correlated gyro random drift rate magnitudes, (2) only a marginal reduction in the error model residual states was obtained, and (3) inclusion of the g-squared errors in the Kalman Filter state vector did not significantly affect the filter's estimates for the IMU error sources, it was concluded that the g-squared errors could be neglected.

8.2.3 Heading Sensitivity Tests

Prior to actually trying the IMU calibration technique in the laboratory and demonstrating its feasibility, there was a high degree of concern that potential inertial instrument heating and magnetic errors induced by gyro slewing, and variations in cooling air and magnetic fields with relative platform-to-iMU case orientations, might mask or induce significant errors in the determination of the IMU calibration parameters. Several special tests were conducted to confirm or negate this hypothesis. The first series of tests were modifications of the basic IMU calibration test and the second series constituted heading sensitivity tests.

In the first series, a test was conducted to determine if rapidly torquing the platform from one test sequence to another would result in significant gyro heating and an associated thermal drift rate error. Usually, a calibration test was conducted by slewing the platform to new test attitudes, using the analog torquing mode with a 30 (x, y-gyro) and 90 (z-gyro) degree per minute slew rate, and immediately recording the IMU measurement data. A special test was conducted by allowing the gyros a fifteen minute cooling down period between their time of arrival at a new test attitude and the time data recording commenced. Two tests were conducted, one using five and the other using ten minute measurement time intervals per test sequence. Kalman Filter IMU error source estimates obtained from these tests agreed with those obtained for the nominal tests to within the accuracy predicted by the filter's covariance matrix. These results indicate that gyro heating due to rapid torquing is not a significant source of error for the KT-73 IMU gyros.

In another special test, some of the platform test attitudes were changed to alternate ones to determine if the different magnetic fields encountered by the inertial instruments were sufficient to cause a shift in the recovered IMU calibration parameters. The results of this test were negative.

Another special test was conducted to demonstrate that the gyro drift rate errors could be software compensated. Test results showed a reduction in platform total drift rate from about 1.0 to 0.01 degree per hour for many of the test attitudes. Gyro spin and input axes mass unbalance, quadrature g, scale factor, misalignment angles, anisoelastic and bias error sources were compensated for by modification of the gyro earth rate torquing magnitudes. IMU calibration parameter values recovered from this test were also consistent with those obtained from the baseline calibration tests.

The second series of special tests were conducted to determine heading sensitivities. The term heading sensitivity is used to denote gyro drift rate errors produced by cooling air, temperature, and magnetic field variations associated with changes in platform-to-IMU case azimuth

orientations for the situation of both platform and IMU case being approximately level. To conduct the heading sensitivity test in the laboratory, the IMU case was maintained in alignment with 'he navigation frame while the platform was indexed one complete revolution in azimuth in 30-degree increments. The platform was stabilized relative to the navigation frame by application of earth rate torquing. IMU measurements were recorded at 30-second intervals for a duration of ten minutes at each test attitude.

Kalman Filter heading sensitivity estimation results are shown in Figure 8-5. Four drift rate errors are depicted to illustrate the filter's ability to separate the various error sources. Gyro attitude-dependent drift rate error was modeled as a random bias and reset to its initial uncertainty of 0.005 degree per hour at the start of each new platform test sequence. These three Kalman Filter error states are the same as those discussed in previous sections and reflect the gyro heading sensitive drift rate errors at each platform orientation. Gyro total drift rate error is a composite error composed of all gyro errors considered internal to the instrument. Platform gyro-dependent drift rate error is a composite error composed of gyro total drift rate plus gyro scale factor and gyro-to-platform misalignment angle errors. Platform total drift rate plus IMU case-to-navigation frame misalignment angle, gimbal synchro bias, and differential angle errors.

The estimates for the composite errors were computed by summing the appropriate Kalman Filter estimates for the individual component error states. Estimation errors for these composite errors were computed by using the expectation operator and appropriate on and off diagonal covariance matrix elements. Estimation error standard deviations for all x-gyro and x-axis platform error quantities plotted in Figure 8-5 fall between 0.003 and 0.006 degrees per hour.

Platform total and gyro-dependent drift rate error estimates show similar characteristics. The seemingly abnormal -0.01 degree per hour platform total drift rate error at a 90 degree platform heading wis



Figure 8-5. Heading Sensitive Drift Calibration Results

produced by an unusually large error in positioning the platform via the synchro angle readouts at this particular attitude. Both composite platform drift rate error characteristics tend to indicate a heading sensitivity; however, comparison of these characteristics to that for total gyro drift rate error and examination of other Kalman Filter estimation results shows the apparent heading sensitivity to be due to an x-gyro scale factor error. Except for one point, all x-gyro attitude-dependent bias estimates are less than the KT-73 IMU heading sensitive drift rate error specification of 0.004 degree per hour.

The conclusion to be drawn from these special and heading sensitive test results is that thermal and magnetic field variations over the different platform-to-IMU case test orientations used are on the order of the gyro random disturbances and do not significantly affect gyro error source calibration accuracy.

8.3 ACCELEROMETER MODELING REFINEMENTS

Initial Kalman Filter estimation results for the accelerometer error sources were reasonably consistent with those expected. Three error model residual states, modeled as accelerometer attitude-dependent scale factor errors, had been included in the accelerometer error model to account or allow for possible error model discrepancies. Functional modeling of the residual states is not critical and attitude-dependent bias errors would have served equally well. With the initial variances of these error model residual states set at relatively small values, the only detected inconsistency of the estimation results was a non-repeatibility of the accelerometer bias and scale factor error estimates to within the accuracy predicted by the filter's covariance matrix. This problem could be ameliorated, however, by sufficiently increasing the initial variance of the error model residual states. Increasing the initial uncertainty of the error model residual states results in corresponding increases in estimation error, as predicted by the filter's covariance matrix, but does not substantially affect the error state estimates.

The above procedure of adjusting the error model residual state initial uncertainties to obtain error state estimate test-to-test repeatibility to within the filter's predicted calibration error was considered unsatisfactory because it did not answer the basic questions. Are there thermal and/or magnetic field variations present at the various platform test attitudes that perturb the nominal accelerometer scale factor and bias errors? How accurately should test-to-test calibration results repeat, considering normal IMU hardware turn-on variations? In an attempt to answer these questions, it was decided to examine in detail the repeatibility of the accelerometer misalignment angle errors, since these error sources are essentially static and not subject to hardware turn-on variations.

8.3.1 Accelerometer Non-Orthogonality Angles

An examination of the filter's covariance matrix elements corresponding to the platform-to-accelerometer frame misalignment angle errors indicates that the filter is capable of reducing the initial manufacturing tolerance uncertainty of 1.0 arc minutes to only 0.7 arc minutes. In contrast to the accelerometer bias and scale factor error estimates, the platform-to-accelerometer misalignment angle error estimates did repeat to within the accuracy predicted by the filter's covariance matrix. As such, the error state estimates and estimation errors for the accelerometer misalignment angles as defined in Section 3.3.2 are unsuitable for a detailed study of the accelerometer error model as encisioned in the previous section. A reformulation of the platform-to-accelerometer misalignment angles, that results in estimation accuracies limited largely by the accelerometer random disturbances, is necessary to conduct this study.

The limitation encountered by the Kalman Filter in attempting to accurately estimate the angular misalignments of the accelerometers from the platform frame by use of only the accelerometers measurements is that these measurements indicate a misalignment of the accelerometer's electrical null axis from an assumed orientation relative to the gravity vector. The misalignment of the accelerometer's electrical null axis from the

AFAL - 18 - 77 - 75

Tactor, random noise, accelerometer to-platform and synchrolymbal bias angular misalignment error components. Introduction of new synchrogimbal angle bias errors each time the platform is re-oriented precludes the filter from accurately separating the accelerometer-to-platform frame misalignment angle errors from the composite accelerometer electrical sull axis with errors. Separation of this error from the composite tilt error requires in accurate measure of the platform's angular attitude which is not available. Note that the KT-73 IMO synchros are precise to 5 ard seconds but accurate to only 6 ard minutes and, therefore, too inaccurate for this measurement.

From the above discussion, it can be concluded that accurate estimation of some type of accelerometer misalignment angles can only be achieved by eliminating to first order the gimbal synchro angle bias errors from the determination process. This will require, however, that a measurement reference on its equivalent other than the gravity vector be used. The most logical choice for an accelerometer measurement reference other than the gravity vector is another accelerometer measurement and this include that accelerometer misalignments from an orthogonal set is the quantity to be estimated. To illustrate the concept, consider the No. 4 platter: test sequence shown in Figure 7-2. Assume that the y and z-accelerometer time varying outputs are given to first order by:

$$a_y(1) = -gK_{Ay} \cos(\theta_{gx}(1)) + A_{By} + A_{Ry}(1)$$

$$a_{z}(t) = -gK_{Az}\cos\left[90^{\circ}-(\theta_{gx}(t)+a_{zx})\right] + A_{Bz} + A_{Rz}(t)$$

where

is the non-orthogonality error angle of the z-accelerometer input axis with respect to the y-accelerometer input axis. $\frac{1}{z_x}$ is produced by rotating the z-accelerometer about the x-axis.

These expressions can be solved for α_{zx} by using a trigonometric formula and a small angle approximation to yield:

$$a_{zx} = \frac{\kappa_{Ay}}{\kappa_{Az}} \left[\frac{a_{z}(t) - A_{Bz} - A_{Rz}(t)}{a_{y}(t) - A_{By} - A_{Ry}(t)} \right] - \left[\frac{g^{2}\kappa_{Ay}^{2}}{\left[c_{y}(t) - A_{By} - A_{Ry}(t) \right]^{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

This expression demonstrates that accelerometer non-orthogonality error angles can be determined by simultaneously taking pairs of accelerometer measurements and to first order, the solution is independent of the gimbal synchro angle bias errors, which is the desired result. Estimation of the non-orthogonality error angles by the Kalman Filter requires that these errors be expressed in terms of the platform-toaccelerometer misalignment angle error states. These expressions can be derived by use of coordinate transformations that "square up" the accelerometer frame and then rotate it into the platform frame.

An orthogonal accelerometer frame can be defined in the following manner. Rotate the y-accelerometer, in the plane defined by the x and y-accelerometer input axes, by the small angle α_{yz} into orthogonality with the x-accelerometer. Rotate the z-accelerometer by the small angle α_{zx} about the x-accelerometer axis into orthogonality with the y-accelerometer. And finally, rotate the z-accelerometer by the small angle α_{zy} about the y-accelerometer axis into orthogonality with the x-accelerometer. All rotations are done in accordance with the right-hand rule. The coordinate transformation rotating the orthogonal accelerometer frame into the frame defined by the physical orientation of the accelerometer input axes is given by C_{OA}^{A} .

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{A}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ -\alpha_{yz} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \alpha_{zy} & -\alpha_{zx} & \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix}$$

To relate these non-orthogonality error angles to the platform-to-accelerometer misalignment angles defined in Section 3.3.2 requires definition of a platform-to-orthogonal accelerometer frame orthogonal small angle coordinate transformation matrix C_p^{OA}

$$C_{p}^{OA} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \epsilon_{z} & -\epsilon_{y} \\ -\epsilon_{z} & 1 & \epsilon_{x} \\ \epsilon_{y} & -\epsilon_{x} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Equating the platform-to-accelerometer frame coordinate transformations yields:

$$C_{P}^{A} = C_{OA}^{A} \quad C_{P}^{OA}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & \gamma_{xz} & \gamma_{xy} \\ -\gamma_{yz} & I & \gamma_{yx} \\ \gamma_{zy} & \gamma_{zx} & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & 0 \\ -\alpha_{yz} & I & 0 \\ \alpha_{zy} & -\alpha_{zx} & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & \epsilon_{z} & -\epsilon_{y} \\ -\epsilon_{z} & I & \epsilon_{x} \\ \epsilon_{y} & -\epsilon_{x} & I \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix equation can be solved for the expressions relating the three accelerometer non-orthogonality to the six platform-to-accelerometer misalignment angle errors.

$$a_{yz} = \gamma_{yz} - \gamma_{xz}$$

$$a_{zy} = \gamma_{zy} - \gamma_{xy}$$

$$a_{zx} = \gamma_{zx} - \gamma_{yx}$$

8.3.2 Accelerometer Attitude Dependent Errors

Kalman Filter estimation results for the α_{yz} accelerometer non-orthogonality error angle are shown in Figure 8-6. Calibration results are depicted in three sets of five IMU tests and illustrate the history of improvements made in estimating this error source by refining the IMU error model. For each series of five IMU tests, the brackets or

2.0 1.5 1.0 CALIBRATED ERROR MAGNITUDE (ARC MINITES) 0.5 _ -----------0.0 -0.5 -1.0 1-5 10-14 20-24 IMU CALIBRATION TESTS -1.5 -2.03



AFAL-TR-77-75

boundaries superimposed about either side of the calibrated average estimate (average estimate not shown) represent a standard deviation of the estimation error. Total spacing between the boundaries is, therefore, two standard deviations. This data was computed using Kalman Filter estimation results.

Optimal Estimate:

$$\hat{\alpha}_{yz} = \hat{\gamma}_{yz} - \hat{\gamma}_{xz}$$

Estimation Error:

$$P(\alpha_{yz}, \alpha_{yz}) = E\left[(\gamma_{yz} - \gamma_{xz})(\gamma_{yz} - \gamma_{xz})\right]$$
$$= P(\gamma_{yz}, \gamma_{yz}) + P(\gamma_{xz}, \gamma_{xz}) - 2P(\gamma_{yz}, \gamma_{xz})$$

The estimation error shown for the first five calibration tests was computed using the accelerometer error modeling given in Reference 1. This error modeling resulted in an estimation error standard deviation of 0.7 arc seconds and was essentially determined by the accelerometer random disturbances. Gaussian statistics imply that 68% of the estimates should fall between the estimation error bounds on an ensemble average basis with virtually all estimates falling within three standard deviations of the five test estimation average. Figure 8-6 clearly shows that the estimation results obtained for the first five tests are grossly inconsistent with these statistical averages and suggests the presence of unmodeled error sources.

As discussed in Section 7.1.4, the real-time data recording software suffered from a measurement timing instability of 15.0 msec. This instability was eventually reduced to around 1.0 msec by the fifteenth calibration test. It was necessary to modify the Kalman Filter by adding one error state to the state vector and three disturbances to the velocity error states to account for this error since it was somewhat larger than the permissible 0.1 msec timing error. The longer term correlated timing error was modeled as a pseudo accelerometer scale factor error, one error affecting all three accelerometer outputs, having an initial uncertainty of one ppm and a 250 second correlation time.

The shorter term disturbances on the velocity error states was modeled as being proportional to the amount of gravity measured by an accelerometer. Disturbance modeling is such that it produced a velocity uncertainty corresponding to one CAPRI pulse in a measurement interval when an accelerometer experiences full gravity along its input axis.

The estimation results shown in Figure 8-6 for the second series of IMU calibration tests illustrate, tests 10-14 compared with 1-5, how a reduction in timing instability from 15.0 to 1.0 msec and a modification of the Kalman Filter to account for the residual timing errors improves the non-orthogonality error angle estimates. Figure 8-7 shows the filter's estimates for the clock error state at the end of each platform test sequence for IMU calibration Test No. 24. This error state is reset to its initial uncertainty at the beginning of each test sequence.

Figure 8-6 also shows, however, that the spread in accelerometer non-orthogonality error angle estimates is still inconsistent with Gaussian distribution ensemble averages for IMU calibration Tests 10-14. This inconsistency again indicates the presence of unmodeled accelerometer errors and a special test was designed to determine the presence of accelerometer attitude dependent errors due to thermal and magnetic field variations with changes in platform-to-IMU case orientations. Test philosophy was to monitor the number of velocity pulses generated by an accelerometer when its input axis was parallel to the gravity vector and its case axis was at different azimuth attitudes relative to the IMU case. Accelerometer error modeling of Reference 1 and the alignment accuracy of the accelerometer input axis to the gravity vector by use of the gimbal synchro angle devices predict no more than one velocity pulse variation over a 30 second measurement interval. To eliminate the possibility of the real-time software timing errors affecting the data, velocity pulses were accurately summed by Aztec electronic counters via Singer system test rack connectors.

Tests were conducted for accelerometer-tc-IMU case orientations similar to those used during the IMU calibration tests. Referring to Figure 7-2, platform test sequences No. 1 with No. 3 and No. 10 with No. 11 were used for collecting z-axis velocity pulse data in both the





Figure 8-7. Measurement Timing Error Calibration Results

up and down orientations after leveling the x and y-accelerometers in the No. 3 and No. 10 sequences. Test sequences No. 5 and 6 were used to collect data for the y-accelerometer in the up orientation. The y-accelerometer data similar to that collected by the Aztec electronic counters is shown in Figure 7-3 for test sequences No. 5 and 6.

The x and y-platform axes of the KT-73 IMU are instrumented by a two-axis horizontal accelerometer employing a force rebalance design. Test results indicated that the number of velocity pulses generated by this accelerometer changed by only a fraction of a pulse, out of a nominal thirty thousand pulses generated in a thirty second measurement interval, for the various platform attitudes. The z-axis accelerometer is a single axis device also of the force rebalance type. Test results showed, however, that the total velocity pulse count varied between one and four pulses out of a total of thirty thousand for the various test attitudes. Test results were consistent on a day-to-day basis for specific test attitudes. Test results also appeared independent of test time with the total number of pulses generated being identical for the first and last measurement intervals of a particular test sequence. This last test result rules out a thermal sensitivity problem.

Three accelerometer error model residual states had previously been included in the Kalman Filter state vector to account for a potential attitude dependency. These states had been modeled as random bias accelerometer scale factor errors which were reset to their initial uncertainty at the beginning of each platform test sequence. These assumptions are consistent with the above test results and the only modification being required is an increase in the initial uncertainty. The above data suggests attitude-dependent scale factor errors of 10 and 30 ppm for the horizontal and vertical accelerometers, respectively.

Increasing the initial uncertainty of accelerometer attitudedependent scale factor error states to the above values resulted in the non-orthogonality error angle estimates depicted by IMU calibration tests 20-24 shown in Figure 8-6. These estimation results are totally consistent with ensemble averages predicted by a Gaussian distribution. The standard

deviation of the estimation error for the α_{yz} non-orthogonality error angle predicted by the filter's covariance matrix is 4.3 arc seconds. Accelerometer attitude-dependent scale factor estimates are shown in Figure 8-8. This data depicts the maximum of the x, y, or z-accelerometer error for each platform test attitude.

Modifying the Kalman Filter to account for both the measurement timing and accelerometer attitude-dependent scale factor errors also improved the repeatability of the accelerometer high and low gain mode bias and scale factor error estimates. The Kalman Filter estimates of these error sources were found to be consistent with those determined via the ASM-375 test set. The repeatability of the estimates was also found to be consistent with those predicted by the Singer error model.

8.4 FUTURE ERROR MODEL DEVELOPMENT EFFORTS

The rationale used for validating both the IMU calibration Kalman Filter and error model has largely been to obtain consistency of the estimation results with the known KT-73 IMU manufacturing tolerances and the estimation error ensemble averages predicted by a Gaussian distribution. Additional confidence has been obtained by cross-checking the filter's optimal estimates for certain IMU error sources with the calibration results obtained via Singer's OCP Auto-Cal software routines and the ASM-375 test set. The IMU error source software compensation, heading sensitivity, and thermal and magnetic field variation special tests have provided justification for some of the major assumptions made while cross-checking baseline IMU calibration test results.

The present status of the IMU calibration Kalman Filter and error model is such that there is no known deficiency or inconsistency in the calibration results presently being obtained. This does not imply that undetected IMU error sources cannot exist or might not become evident under more exhaustive validation testing. There are a number of error model assumptions (inertial instrument random disturbances modeling is a prime example) of fundamental importance in the IMU calibration methodology that have not been adequately verified. Although additional studies and



Figure 8-8. Accelerometer Attitude Dependent Scale Factor Estimation Results

tests are considered necessar / for a more complete validation and refinement of the IMU calibration technique, to date they have not been conducted largely because they are more logically and conveniently performed in conjunction with the aided-inertial navigation software development and evaluation tests discussed in Section 1.2.

The aided-inertial navigation software development and evaluation tests 4, 5, and 6 shown in Table 1-1, are expected to provide a crosscheck of many of the IMU error source magnitudes while providing a basis for conducting various special tests. Gyro scale factor and misalignment angle error sources can be accurately estimated by the Kalman Filter formulated for the tilt table static navigation tests. This test and associated filter also provide a good vehicle for studying the inertial instrument random disturbances since their effects can be continuously observed over several hours. The MEL perturbed base alignment test and associated Kalman Filter will provide an alternate evaluation of the inertial instrument thermal warm-up transients. Finally, the MEL dynamic navigation tests and associated real-time navigation software can be used to demonstrate the software compensation of calibrated IMU hardware errors and achievement of navigational accuracy which will be limited only by alignment and system random disturbance errors. The data reduction/ reference system Kalman Filter formulated for the MEL navigation tests will be capable of cross-checking some of the specific force dependent gyro errors.

SECTION IX

IMU CALIBRATION RESULTS

This section presents the major IMU calibration results obtained during the study. These results are twofold: the theoretical estimation errors as obtained from the Kalman Filter's covariance matrix, and the filter's estimates for the magnitudes of the IMU and associated error sources. These results are conveyed in a pictorial rather than tabular or summary manner for three basic reasons:

(1) This type of presentation greatly enhances one's understanding of the calibration methodology and associated Kalman Filter's operation. It also brings out many subtle points not discussed in the text.

(2) It gives the reader an opportunity to view the consistency obtained between the Kalman Filter's estimates and their predicted uncertainty thus giving credence to large dimensional Kalman Filters as viable mathematical tools.

(3) It can be a valuable basis for debugging and validating a Kalman Filter computer program for a similar application, in spite of its characteristic of seeming to be repetitious

Estimation accuracies obtained from the filter's updated covariance matrix are shown for each IMU measurement taken and plotted as a function of time. Platform test orientations are superimposed on these plots to facilitate one's understanding of the error source separation process. Kalman Filter estimates of the same error source are plotted in groups of five and correspond to the last five (20 through 24) IMU calibration tests conducted. Five data points were selected as a compromise between sufficient data demonstrating estimation consistency and data reduction complexity.

9.1 SYSTEM-LEVEL IMU MEASUREMENTS

Estimation accuracy for the system-level IMU differential gimbal angle and pseudo velocity error measurement states is shown in Figure 9-1. A typical record of recorded pseudo velocity pulses was shown in Figure 7-3. Platform test sequences and data recording timing instances and intervals are the same in both figures. Total measurement time during a calibration test is 68 minutes. The platform test sequences have been arranged to minimize the time required to manually torque the platform from one test orientation to another. Depending on the test sequence number, the 30 and 90 degree per minute x-y and z-gyro slew rates result in up to several minutes being required to re-orientate the platform. Total time required to conduct the IMU calibration test, including a seven minute warm-up period before any measurements are taken, is nominally two to two and a half hours. The platform test attitudes shown across the top of these figures are the same as those depicted in Figure 7-2 with the first attitude representing the north, west, and up navigation frame.

The initial uncertainty of both the differential gimbal angle and pseudo velocity error is zero at the start of each test sequence due to the manner in which these error states were defined. The Calcomp plotter logic used in generating the semi-log graphs was to omit plotting a 30 second propagated uncertainty segment when its initial value was less than the minimum value indicated on the ordinate axis. This logic, necessitated by the semi-log plots, prevented plotting the initial steep rise from the zero initial uncertainty.

To distinguish the different sets of data in these figures, alphabetic characters are plotted in the center of the dead time between the platform test sequences. The vertical positioning of these characters is determined by the final value of the updated covariance matrix for the particular test sequences. Referring to the differential gimbal angle plot in Figure 9-1, only one differential synchro angle measurement is taken during the entire test. This measurement corresponds to the azimuth gimbal axis at the end of the first test sequence. Estimation

12 i

A STATE OF THE STA

AFAL - TR - 77 - 75



accuracy for the differential azimuth gimbal angle immediately after the measurement is taken is essentially that of the synchro device's precision of 5 arc seconds as indicated in the figure. Estimation of the differential gimbal angles for all instances other than the above measurement is accomplished by the Kalman Filter on the basis of the pseudo velocity measurements.

In contrast to the gimbal angle measurements, three axis pseudo velocity measurements are utilized by the Kalman Filter at each measurement interval. The accuracy of these measurements is determined by the velocity pulse quantization level which is governed by the accelerometers scale factor. The accelerometer low gain navigation scale factor is nominally used throughout the test. The high gain alignment scale factor is, however, used by the x and y-axis accelerometers for the entire first and last minute and a half of the third and tenth test sequence. Pseudo velocity measurement accuracy is two orders of magnitude greater for the high gain rather than the low gain mode. A thirty second measurement interval is used throughout the test except during the first test sequence where it is ten seconds.

Differential roll gimbal angle magnitude estimation results are shown in Figure 9-2. This data corresponds to the total roll gimbal angular excursion occurring during each of the twelve platform test sequences and was obtained as the final Kalman Filter estimate or this error state for each test sequence. Superimposed about the average estimate for each test sequence, not shown, are one standard deviation estimation error brackets for a total bracket separation of two standard deviations. These estimation error standard deviations are the same as those corresponding to the end of a test sequence shown in Figure 9-1. Figure 9-2 shows that the roll gimbal angle excursions for the last five calibration tests conducted are highly consistent, repeating to almost the accuracy of the estimate. Note that test-to-test variations are due largely to gyro bias, spin axis mass unbalance and quadrature g turn-on and platform positioning variations and are not required to obey the ensemble averages predicted by the Gaussian distribution for the estimation error.

AFAL 19.27-75





9.2 COMPOSITE DRIFT RATE ERRORS

Platform and gyro total drift rate error estimation accuracy is shown in Figure 9-3 and the corresponding estimated magnitudes are shown in Figures 9-4 and 9-5, respectively. These quantities are composite error sources and are estimated, and the estimation uncertainty is determined as described in Section 8.2.3. Gyro total drift rate error contains all the error sources composing the platform total drift rate error except the gyro scale factor and misalignment angle, IMU case-to-navigation frame misalignment angle and the gimbal differential and synchro angle bias errors. Both the absolute value and estimation accuracy of these composite error sources are of general interest in the development of Kalman Filter IMU calibration computer programs.

Estimation of platform drift rate can be inferred directly from the accelerometer measurements, except when an axis is orientated near vertical, resulting in the relatively high estimation accuracy shown at the completion of a test sequence. Final test sequence estimation accuracy is roughly 0.006 degrees per hour and could be increased to the limiting short correlation time gyro random discurbance magnitude of 0.003 degree per hour by doubling the measurement time to ten minutes per test sequence. Gyro total drift rate estimation accuracy is somewhat less than that for the platform due to the incomplete separation of this composite error source from some of the total platform drift rate related error sources. Examination of the estimated magnitudes for these composite errors depicted in Figures 9-4 and 9-5 shows that they are repeatable to roughly the estimation error.

9.3 INERTIAL INSTRUMENT WARM-UP TRANSIENTS

Inertial instrument warm-up transients are not generally considered as calibration parameters and were not included in the first version of the Kalman Filter. During the course of the development effort, excessive navigational errors encountered with the inertial navigation systems on the A-7D aircraft were postulated as caused by an incomplete alignment due to instrument thermal warm-up transients. For this reason



.



Figure 9-4. Platform Total Drift Rate Estimation Results

127

AFAL-18-77-75

.



Figure 9-5. Gyro Total Drift Rate Estimation Results

i,

and in preparation for a demonstration program with AGMC, instrument warm-up error states were included in the second version of the Kalman Filter.

Gyro thermal drift rate error modeling and fine gyro-compass alignment timing considerations are shown in Figure 9-6. The gyro warm-up characteristic consists of an exponentially decaying bias term which can be assumed to be the envelope of a complicated transient function of the ambient temperature during the rapid warm-up period. The nominal data depicted in Figure 9-6 corresponds to a 0° C ambient temperature. A similar characteristic is obtained for a 35° C ambient temperature except that the transient magnitude over the fine alignment time interval is greatly reduced. To have a negligible effect on navigation accuracy, instrument thermal transients must die out by the end of the fine alignment time interval.

The inertial instrument exponentially decaying bias modeling has been described in Section 3. It was also necessary to model the fine grain structure of the thermal transient to ensure proper filter performance. It was assumed that the fine grain component of the thermal transient could be modeled as a random bias error over two short ten second measurement intervals. The gyro error model residual states were used to implement this modeling and their uncertainty reset to its initial value every twenty seconds.

Inertial instrument exponentially decaying bias thermal transient estimation accuracy is shown in Figure 9-7. These transient errors decay rapidly to insignificant levels and need not be considered after the first test sequence. The uncertainty of these error states decreases by virtue of both the measurements made and the exponential time decay of their magnitudes. The decrease in the x, y-gyro drift rate error uncertainty is a factor 3.04 and 1.7 as a result of the exponential decay and measurements taken respectively at the end of the ten minute test sequence. Corresponding data for the accelerometers are exponential decay and measurement factors of 54.5 and only 1.24 for the x,y-accelerometers.



Figure 9-6. Gyro Warm-Up Drift Rate Error




Inertial instrument estimated thermal transient magnitudes are shown in Figure 9-8. This data corresponds to a time instant seven minutes after power turn-on which is the start of the fine gyro-compass alignment time interval. This data was obtained by referring the Kalman Filter estimates obtained at seventeen minutes after power turn-on, seven minutes warm-up plus ten minutes measurement time, backwards in time ten minutes. The brackets superimposed about the average estimates correspond to warm-up transient uncertainty at seven minutes after power turn-on. These uncertainties were obtained by referring the seventeen minutes after power turn-on Kalman Filter estimation errors backwards in time. Table 9-1 lists the seven-minute after-power turn-on thermal warm-up transient-uncertainty reduction attributable to the Kalman Filter.

TABLE 9-1

INERTIAL INSTRUMENT THERMAL TRANSIENT UNCERTAINTY AT SEVEN MINUTES AFTER POWER TURN ON

Inertial Instrument	Standard Deviation of Thermal Transient Uncertainty	
	Initial	After Kalman Filtering
x, y-Gyro	0.014°/hr	0.0084°/hr
z-Gyro	0.024°/hr	0.024°/hr
x, y-Accelerometer	5.0 µg	4.0 μg
z-Accelerometer	200.0 µg	2.4 µg

Table 9-1 shows that the Kalman Filter is capable of making a dramatic initial uncertainty reduction for only the z-acclerometer inertial instrument. This is possible because the warm-up transient is the only error source that gives rise to the z-accelerometer's output showing a time dependency when oriented vertically. Recovered transient magnitudes of -114, -42, -339, -414, and -42 μ g indicate a rather large turn-on variation for this instrument.

Z - GYRO1 1 ļ GYRO THERMAL DRIFT CALIBRATION RESULTS 1 1 Y-GYRO 1 I X-GYRO I 1 1 0.03 -0.03 ן יר י TT 7 (7 -0.02 0.02 0.00 -0.01 0.01 САLІВКАТЕО ЕККОК МАСИІТИОЕ (ОЕС/НК) ACCELEROMETER WARM-UP TRANSIENT CALIBRATION RESULTS Y-ACC 1 1 1 X-ACC I 1 -6.0 -8,01 8.9 1 4. 0. 1111 2.0 11 -2.0] ΠT 11 6.0 0.0 -4.0 CALIBRATED ERROR MAGNITUDE (MICRO GEE)

Figure 9-8. Inertial Instrument Estimated Thermal Transient Magnitudes

AFAL-TR-77-75

A conclusion drawn from the inertial instrument warm-up transient estimation results is that the magnitudes of these errors decay to roughly the instrument noise level at the end of the fine gyro-compass alignment time interval and should not, therefore, have a significant effect on alignment accuracy. This conclusion applies only to the particular KT-73 IMU tested and an ambient temperature of 23°C. While of general interest, no tests were conducted at other than with a 23°C ambient temperature.

9.4 GYRO ERROR SOURCES

Gyro error sources are considered in two groups: (1) the random disturbance errors which ultimately limit achievable navigation accuracy, and (2) the errors commonly termed calibration parameters whose effects can be software compensated for in the operational flight computer program. Gyro instrument angular alignment errors are discussed in Section 9.6.1.

9.4.1 Random Errors

Gyro random error estimation accuracy is shown in Figure 9-9. The platform test orientations and measurement times have been formulated so that the Kalman Filter estimates the gyro calibration parameters to an accuracy approaching the limit imposed by these random errors. No attempt is made to estimate these random errors by increasing the IMU measurement time. Although the filter does not significantly reduce the uncertainty of these random errors, inclusion of them in the filter is required for accurate magnitude estimation and estimation accuracy results.

Gyro attitude dependent bias drift rate error magnitude estimates are shown in Figure 9-10 for each of the platform test orientations. The estimates for these error model residual states are similar to those shown in Figure 8-3 except for being plotted to a different scale. Modeling of the discovered quadrature g error source in the Kalman Filter state vector resulted in a reduction of the magnitude estimates for these errors to the heading sensitive drift rate error hardware specification of 0.004 degree per hour.





Figure () - byro Atlitude-Dependent Driff Pate Libor Estimation Results

1.51

9.4.2 Calibration Parameters

Gyro bias drift rate and scale factor error estimation accuracies are shown in Figure 9-11 and corresponding magnitude estimates are given in Figure 9-12. The initial uncertainty of the error sources given in Reference 1 were not always found to be consistent with those recovered from the IMU tests. In some instances, these inconsistencies were due to discrepancies in the definition of the error sources. A good example of this is z-gyro bias, to which Reference 1 assigns an uncertainty of 0.02 degrees per hour, implying a turn-on variation. The actual hardware configuration is such that the z-gyro bias is adjusted to offset the drift rate error produced by the spin axis mass unbalance when the z-gyro input axis is oriented vertically. This procedure requires that the bias error magnitude be approximately that of the spin axis mass imbalance drift rate error in a one g field or 0.5 degree per hour.

Regardless of the reason for the discrepancies between the initial uncertainties given by Reference 1 and those implied by the Kalman Filter error magnitude estimates, the initial covariance matrix was adjusted so that its initial variances were consistent with the end-of-test Kalman Filter magnitude estimates. This procedure worked well with no apparent pitfalls; however, it should be understood that the initial IMU error source electrainties shown by the estimation accuracy plots of this section correspond to the particular KT-73 IMU tested and do not represent an IMU error model derived by ensemble IMU testing.

Reference to the trends of and final estimation accuracies shown in Figure 9-11 indicates that estimation accuracies could be further reduced by increasing the length of the test. A covariance analysis showed that the gyro bias drift rate estimation errors could be further reduced to the limiting 0.005 degree per hour by adding a couple of additional platform test attitudes and doubling the measurement time. This was felt to be unnecessary since the calibration accuracy obtained by the present test schedule is consistent with the one to three NM inertial navigation performance of the KT-73 IMU. Note that the turn-on

137





*

139

gyro bias drift rate error variations shown in Figure 9-12 are consistent with the 0.01 degree per hour gyro performance claimed for the system.

Specific force dependent gyro drift rate error estimation accuracies are shown in Figures 9-13 and 9-15 and corresponding magnitude estimates shown in Figures 9-14 and 9-16. The input axis mass unbalance errors appears to be insignificant error sources for the IMU while the recovered spin axis mass unbalance errors were found to be a factor of three larger than given in Reference 1. The g-squared gyro anisoelastic error also appears to be insignificant while the discovered quadrature g errors can be significant for high velocity and dynamic flight profiles. Note that all the specific force-dependent gyro drift rate errors are repeatable to within the estimation accuracy of the Kalman Filter. No significant shift in any of these errors was observed in over 400 hours of IMU operation accumulated in a year's time. Software compensation for these error sources would be a straightforward procedure.

The symmetry of construction between the x and y axes of the twodegree-of-freedom x, y-gyro provides a ready means for cross checking some of the Kalman Filter estimates. Since the x and y channels of this gyro share the same spin axis, mass unbalance of this axis should produce identical mass unbalance drift rate error coefficients for these channels. Unsymmetrical machining of the rotating flexure suspension joint should also give rise to equal quadrature g drift rate error coefficients for these channels. Reference to Figures 9-14 and 9-16 shows that the filter has estimated these error sources to be of the same magnitude for each channel to within the estimation accuracy. Inclusion of the high degree of correlation in the initial covariance matrix does not, however, substantially increase the estimation accuracy for these error states.

9.5 ACCELEROMETER ERROR SOURCES

The accelerometer error sources are covered in a similar manner to those for the gyro. They are grouped into random disturbances and the









*



commonly denoted calibration parameters with the accelerometer instrument angular misalignments being treated in Section 9.6.1.

9.5.1 Random Errors

Accelerometer random error estimation accuracy is shown in Figure 9-17 and the corresponding magnitude estimates depicted in Figures 9-18 and 9-19. The attitude-dependent scale factor errors represent the accelerometer error model residual states and are the major random errors limiting accelerometer parameter calibration accuracy. These errors are thought to be related to magnetic field variations with platform-to-IMU case attitude changes, since they do not show a time dependency as would be the case if they were temperature related. The clock stability error refers to the real-time software measurement timing error and is modeled as a scale factor error which affects all three accelerometers. Although the filter is unable to significantly reduce the uncertainty of these errors, inclusion of their effects in the filter algorithm is essential to obtaining proper estimation results.

The attitude-dependent scale factor error magnitude estimates shown in Figure 9-18 represent that set having the largest recovered value for a particular test attitude. Comparing these estimates with the platform test attitudes shown in Figure 7-2 shows that discrepancies appear only after a particular accelerometer's input axis has been oriented at or near vertical for the second time, orientations both up or down, as would be expected. Comparing the measurement timing with the attitude-dependent scale factor error magnitude estimates shows them to be of generally reduced magnitudes. Since the real-time software timing errors do not appreciably affect IMU calibration accuracy, as compared to the attitude-dependent scale factor errors, efforts to improve the real-time data collection software were suspended.

9.5.2 Calibration Parameters

Accelerometer low and high gain mode bias and scale factor error estimation accuracies are shown in Figure 9-20 and 9-22 and the corresponding magnitude estimates shown in Figures 9-21 and 9-23. ÷.,



Figure 9-17. Accelerometer Random Error Estimation Accuracy

*





Figure 9-18. Accelerometer Attitude Dependent Scale Factor Error Magnitude Estimates AFAL - TR - 77 - 75

**

. . .













Figure 9-27. Accelerometer Bias Error Magnitude Estimates

AFAL-TR-77-75





AFAL - TR- 77-75



152

Low gain mode bias and scale factor errors can be separated and accurately observed by the Kalman Filter after an accelerometer's input axis has been oriented up and down in the gravity field. Error source separation is possible using these attitudes because no other IMU errors, except the low level random disturbances, have a first-order effect on the accelerometer's output when its input axis is parallel to the gravity vector.

The above technique cannot be used to separate the alignment high gain mode bias and scale factor errors because the capacitive reset integrators (CAPRIS) saturate at a pulse repetition frequency of ten thousand pulses per second, which occurs when an accelerometer's input axis is tilted about six degrees off horizontal. Calibration of the high gain mode bias and scale factor errors, therefore, requires extremely accurate accelerometer attitude information, including the angular drift over the measurement interval. The philosophy used in calibrating the high gain mode errors is to use two platform test attitudes where the accelerometer's input axes are oriented both up and down roughly five degrees off horizontal. To allow both an accurate accelerometer attitude and attitude rate determination, the Kalman Filter employs low gain accelerometer measurements over the first five minutes of the platform test sequences, which are No. 3 and No. 10. The accelerometer mode is then switched from low to high gain and high gain measurements made for an additional minute after a thirty second switching delay. Complete separation of the high gain errors must, however, wait until the filter is able to separate the low gain errors during test sequence No. 12. This is an efficient procedure for estimating the high gain errors because the two test attitudes used were perturbations of existing ones and not additionally added.

Examination of the bias and scale factor error magnitude estimates shown in Figures 9-21 and 9-23 indicates that the repeatability of the estimate is on the order of the estimation error. For example, xaccelerometer low gain bias estimates fluctuate about 20 to 25 μ g about the average estimate, which is a factor of 3 to 4 times the estimation

error standard deviation. The y-accelerometer estimate variations are somewhat less, being only 1 to 2 times the estimation error standard deviation. Both variations are well within the manufacturer's Reference 1 value of 67 μ g. Whether these variations are due to instrument turn-on fluctuations or measurement error or combinations of both is not known. Estimation repeatability on the order of 10 μ g was only obtained after adding the random errors of Section 9.5.1 to the Kalman Filter state vector.

9.6 ANGULAR ERROR SOURCES

Angular error sources estimated by the Kalman Filter fall into two classes: inertial instrument-to-platform frame misalignment errors, and angular errors associated with orienting the platform relative to the navigation frame.

9.6.1 Inertial Instruments

Accelerometer and gyro-to-platform frame misalignment angle estimation accuracy is shown in Figure 9-24 and the corresponding magnitude estimates given in Figure 9-25. As discussed in Section 8.3, inertial instrument misalignment angle errors relative to the navigation frame are the quantities of fundamental importance in the calibration methodology. The inertial instrument-to-navigation frame misalignment angles are composite error sources composed of inertial instrument-toplatform, synchro differential and bias and IMU case-to-navigation frame angle errors. The Kalman Filter is precluded from accurately estimating the inertial instrument-to-platform misalignment angle errors because it cannot separate them from the synchro angle bias errors which assume new values each time the platform is reoriented.

In an attempt to overcome this estimation accuracy limitation, gyro and accelerometer misalignment angle errors have been reformulated to represent instrument misalignments from orthogonal sets and the misalignment of the orthogonal instrument sets from each other rather than AFAL-18 77-75



AFAL -TR-77-75

.



Figure 9-25. Instrument Mis-Alignment Angle Error Magnitude Estimates

the platform. The motivation for this approach is to let the inertial instruments serve as relative references rather than to use the gravity vector as an absolute reference.

Accelerometer and gyro instrument set non-orthogonality angle error estimation accuracies are shown in Figure 9-26 and the corresponding magnitude estimates given in Figure 9-27. The estimation accuracy of the misalignment between the "squared-up" accelerometer and gyro instrument sets is shown in Figure 9-28 and the corresponding magnitude estimates given in Figure 9-29. The misalignment of the instrument sets from each other is approximated by a small angle orthogonal coordinate transformation matrix.

Figure 9-26 shows that the Kalman Filter is capable of calibrating the accelerometer non-orthogonality error angles with an error of only a few arc seconds by comparing accelerometer outputs against each other. Since the gyros do not have an output analogous to the accelerometers, the filter has to relay on the equivalent platform drift rate errors produced by the non-orthogonality angle errors to separate these angular errors from the other gyro error sources. The equivalent drift rate errors produced by the one arc minute instrument misalignments are, however, only on the order of the short and long time constant gyro random disturbance of 0.003 and 0.005 degree per hour, which prevents the filter from reducing the uncertainties associated with these misalignments. This is an acceptable filter performance, since the one arc minute gyro misalignments do not contribute significantly to KT-73 IMU navigational errors.

Figure 9-27 illustrates that the accelerometer non-orthogonality angle error magnitude estimates are consistent with Gaussian distribution ensemble averages. The estimation accuracy for the β_{yz} angle error component is somewhat greater than the other two because it is not affected by the larger attitude-dependent scale factor errors associated with the vertical accelerometer. Accurate calibration of this error



.







4FAL - TR - 77 - 75

۴,



A MARCH



Figure 9-29. Accelerometer-to-Gyro Frame Mis-Alignment Angle Error Magnitude Estimates

AFAL-TR-77-75

angle can be important to navigation accuracy since, if software compensated for, it can ensure that the x and y-accelerometers are orthogonal in the horizontal plane.

9.6.2 Platform Actitude Related

The accuracy with which the platform can be oriented at the start of each test sequence is determined by two major error sources: the misalignment errors of the IMU case relative to the navigation frame, and the errors in positioning the gimbals (termed the synchro angle bias errors). The estimation accuracy for these angular errors is given in Figure 9-30 and the corresponding magnitude estimates shown in Figures 9-31 and 9-32.

The initial uncertainty of the roll, pitch, and azimuth control transmitter and transformer synchro devices is six arc minutes and is reset to this value in the covariance matrix at the start of each platform test sequence. Figure 9-30 shows that the filter can reduce this uncertainty to about one arc minute with the first pseudo velocity error measurement for the latter test sequences. End-of-test one arc minute estimation accuracy is also obtained for the IMU case-to-navigation frame misalignment angle errors. The Kalman Filter reduces the uncertainty associated with these error sources and the inertial instrument-to-platform misalignment angle errors by statistical averaging rather than by separating and accurately observing them. The separation process is, as previously discussed, precluced by the introduction of new synchro angle bias errors each time the platform is reoriented.

Recovered roll synchro angle bias magnitude estimates shown in Figure 9-31 conform to Gaussian distribution ensemble averages. To cross-check the Kalman Filter's estimates of these errors, the synchro devices were calibrated by making large angle IMU case rotations relative to the navigation frame and using the rotary tilt table with the IMU in the inertial navigational mode. Calibration results for the rotary

AFAL - TR - 77 - 75



•



Figure 9-31 - Rations metric Angle Bras Faunitude Estimates

men e s



Figure 9-32. IMU Case-to-Navigation Frame Mis-Alignment Angle Error Magnitude Estimates

tilt table and Kalman Filter methods were consistent to the estimation accuracy predicted by the filter. As an example, the roll synchro angle bias for the tenth test sequence was determined as -10.2 arc minutes using the rotary tilt table.
SECTION X

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The objective of this study has been the design, development, analysis and laboratory demonstration of a system-level IMU calibration technique. In addition to the calibration function, it was desirable that the formulated methodology afford some capability for conducting IMU error model development and validation efforts. Major study ground rules were that: only system-level IMU measurements be used such that the IMU would not have to be disassembled, no AGE other than available laboratory equipment be required, and the solution be implementable on a general purpose digital computer.

A calibration methodology was formulated on the basis of the essential functions an IMU performs: realization of a reference platform coordinate frame and measurement of the instantaneous specific force vector. Physical vector equations were derived which embodied the methodology premises. The physical vector equations were manipulated to yield a system of 68 simultaneous stochastic differential equations. A 68-state Kalman Filter was implemented for the solution of this system of equations and optimal estimation of the IMU calibration parameters. A computer simulation of the IMU, Kalman Filter, and laboratory test procedure indicated the approach to be sound.

Technical feasibility of the methodology was demonstrated through laboratory cesting and the actual calibration of a KT-73 IMU, using an off-line data processing computer program. The suitability of the methodology and associated large dimensional Kalman Filter for conducting IMU error model development and validation efforts was demonstrated by the detection, isolation, and identification of previously unmodeled IMU error sources. The experimental calibration results show complete consistency with the statistical ensemble averages predicted by the Kalman Filter's covariance matrix and previous calibration parameter values obtained via the ASM-375 test set.

It is concluded that the developed IMU calibration technique is ideally suited to aid the Reference Systems Software Group in the development of aided-inertial navigation real-time software. Periodic calibration of the IMU will provide a high degree of confidence that the hardware is operating properly. Software compensation for the static IMU error sources in the operation flight computer program will enhance the navigational accuracy of the system while effectively removing many of these error sources from consideration in the design of the suboptimal navigation Kalman Filter. And finally, refinement and validation of the IMU's error model by use of the calibration Kalman Filter and associated methodology will provide assurance that the "to be developed" navigation Kalman Filter solves the real problem at hand.

DOD agencies have observed that advanced avionics research projects sometimes reveal techniques that could result in significant operational improvements and cost reductions. Based on the results of the IMU calibration tests conducted, it is concluded that the developed technique falls into this category. Major areas of potential usefulness to the services are thought to be in the areas of quality assurance and IMU cost-of-ownership reductions.

Increased quality assurance could be achieved by incorporating the developed alibration technique into the services IMU acceptance tests. The technique is well suited to acceptance testing because it requires a minimal amount of age and test time and is accurate and comprehensive. Pure inertial navigational accuracy projections could be made by covariance analysis simulations using the results of the IMU error model development effort and calibration test results.

As a result of contract F33615-73-C-1146 with AFAL, The Analytic Sciences Corporation have identified the need for improved diagnostic methods and more comprehensive IMU tests in the field of service maintenance. The performance, test and repair history of the A-7D AN/ASN-90(V) IMS, using the KT-73 IMU, was studied and the results are highlighted in Reference 5. The problems are thought to be an

inadequate intermediate level maintenance ability to identify out-of-spec (MUs, inconsistencies between and the failure of depot level tests to verify the results obtained at the intermediate level, and iterative depot testing resulting in a minimum of thirty plus hours test time on an IMU to gain confidence that it is operating properly. AGE acquisition and ownership costs also contribute significantly to IMU cost-of-ownership. It is anticipated that adoption of the developed calibration technique at both the intermediate and depot level will ameliorate these problems while resulting in significantly lower IMU ownership costs.

Based on the above, it is recommended that a test program be undertaken at the Aerospace Guidance and Metrology Center (AGMC), Heath AFB to demonstrate the advantages of the large dimensional Kalman Filter calibration technique as opposed to the contemporary approaches presently being employed. A study portion of the program would attempt to quantify the advantages of employing the technique for IMU acceptance tests and at the intermediate maintenance level.

APPENDIX A: COMPUTER PROGRAM LISTING

Preceding page blank

APPENDIX A

IMU CALIBRATION CDC-6600 FORTRAN IV COMPUTER PROGRAM LISTING

This appendix contains a complete listing of the computer program used to calibrate the KT-73 IMU off-line by using recorded measurement data. The program is entered on a CDC-6600 computer and requires 900 seconds and 221,000 octal words memory for execution. A "production" version of this computer program would reduce both the execution time and memory requirements to a small fraction of those above by eliminating the covariance matrix computations and associated large dimensional matrices. This would be accomplished by storing the time-dependent Kalman gain matrices on a magnetic tape.

The objective of depicting this listing is to provide a reference for those attempting to develop a Kalman Filter algorithm for a similar application. There is a multitude of data, algorithms, and logic associated with the initialization, resetting, and execution of the IMU calibration Kalman Filter that could not be adequately portrayed in the text of the report. This listing provides an accurate definition and description of these details.

IMU calibration results are shown at the end of the program listing. These results are the filter's estimate of the error sources and its predicted estimation accuracy immediately before and after a measurement is used to update the filter. Data is shown for the start of the test, at the end of each platform test sequence, and for the instances in the third and tenth test sequences, where the accelerometer modes are switched. Units for the output data are consistent with the estimation results figures given in Section IX in degrees per hour, arc minutes, micro g, feet per second, and numeric values.

	PROGRAM MAIN ALA	74 OPT=5	FIN 4.5+414	12175150	.ù•t+•!T	م¥ يار	* '
•	H H469051	AIN(INPUT, JUTPUT, T4054=[NPUT, T4256=0;	12344, HONUSC, TUEIL	¥	r		
	HENSHIL	PP(68,591,PU(68,681,X3*661,XS*59),		•	~		
	57 (6 R + 12) +	(1?.121,02(58,68).F(58,581.		¥			
		<pre>(6,6),6T(12,6P;,0(12,53)</pre>		¥	ſ		
v		- CH9(3+7),		¥	ر ۲		
				• :	•		
				¥ ;	•		
				* `	ۍ ج		
e: •		. (Fleng. (Flipe, (Floce, (Floce, (Floce,)		, .	· •		
	C.X(65.5) -	[]LTO(3).PTU(7).PTP(3)		· ¥	• •		
	NOISHEHIL			: ¥	- P		
	NOISNEWIC	<pre>L POC(3], PPO(7], PPU(3], TAT(5],</pre>		¥			
	5-X(4,4}.T	¥ { # * #] * 2 2 4 8 9 4 9 4 9 4 9 4 9 4 9 4 9 4 9 4 9 4		¥	15		
ţ	C-3PP(6),T	APULE 1		¥	15		
	NO IONULI I	t PGTA(31,		¥	4 F		
	d 153aiba3	0 T U (3)		¥	•		
	NOISNEHIL	1 0 PX (2), 0 PY (21		¥	13		
	NOISNBHIL	<pre>i WT=(20,3),6RA TP(2",3),POF TP(2",3),</pre>	+ WCP (21 + 3) + PUM(20 + 3)	¥	L C		
23	NOISNEHIC	<pre>6 CAP(12,6C,3),CAPD(3)</pre>		~	21		
	CEAL KET			¥	22		
	JEAL KAT(31		~	23		
				¥	54		
		2/0.0016/.SIG 2/2.00-35/		v	25		
52		6L 7560. 7. TAU 55/1.0/		~	25		
		1.980.07815/,FF/7.29217-05/		•	10		
		1 • 1 • 1 5 d 5 5 5 5 5 7	ſ	¥	H C		
	ATA XII ATA XII A	1.4.946-06/		•	6.1		
5				• ,	5		
•				~ `			
				~ `	. F		
	STARATE STUDIES	TO DESCRIPTION CONCERNMENT FOR A STATE OF A		~ `			
				* \$	• u		
۲. ح	LOWPUTS DEGREES	STHOUR TO REDIENS/SECOND CONVERSION FU	10108	< v	4 4		
	TUTES=FUT	R/3621.0		· •			
	CALL FIL	INT(00, FAT2, FAT25, GRAV, 4, 0, 5, 9)			4 H		
	COMPUTE INU NEA	SURGHENT ATTITUGE REFERENCE SYNCHON -	145165	•	52		
	1=1 CS UL		•	· •			
[]	7 4 2 1 1 3 9 T			v ۲			
	- C - C - C - C -	0		~			
	53 TAP(I)=0.	0		¥	4 7		
	TRR (1) = 0.	6		¥	54		
				¥	45		
4.5	1 d= ({ }) c d .	./*6.0		¥	ፋጥ		
		. / 4 .		¥	47		
	1 = (1) 1) Har 1	Y + D × / 35		¥	4 7		
				~	67		
Ĺ		- C		¥	5		
4		PT/ 56.0		* '	5.		
	- 1 × 0 × 1 × 0 × 1 × 0 × 1 × 0 × 1 × 0 × 1 × 0 × 1 × 0 × 1 × 0 × 1 × 0 × 1 × 0 × 1 × 0 × 1 × 0 × 1 × 0 × 1 × 0 × 1 × 0 × 0			~ '	ر بر د		
				~ `			
				~ \	3 U 2 U		
ע. ת	c=[0]}cd.	- · · 25. · ·		< ¥			
	* DC [] 3] = -	- PY/36.g		~ ~	2.5		
	8 * * 7 1 2 6 6 *	5 * 1 St * 5		' Y	er L'		

7

....

A.,

 Construction (Construction) Construction (Constructi	<pre>10</pre>		States and States State	1112 Ac.	1.44.3	۲۵
<pre>10 Transmission Transmissi</pre>	 TURNING TO THE TANK TO THAT TANK			、	, L	
<pre>1. The second seco</pre>	 Torrent M. M.			~ `	•	
<pre>1</pre>	<pre>1 2000111112020114 10 10 100000000000000</pre>			١r	5.0	
<pre>var()==v/2,2; ya(v)==v/2,2; ya(v)==v/2,2; ya(v)==v/2,2; ya(v)==v/2,2; ya(v)==v/2,2; ya(v)==v/2,2; ya(v)==v/2,2; ya(v)==v/2,2; ya(v)==v/2,2; ya(v)==v/2,2; ya(v)==v/2,2; ya(v)==v/2,2; ya(v)=v/2,2;</pre>	<pre>2 Match = MY 2, 2, 2 Match = MY 2, 2 My 2, My</pre>	5		¥	51	
<pre>2990F The ANGLAD YULCTY OF Yee WATERITY FAME RELATIVE TAME RELATIVE TAME ACTIVE TAME</pre>	<pre>2 PPOUT TOTAL</pre>		* AR (3) = - PY / 2.3	¥	62	
<pre>2)POINT THE AND THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE</pre>	<pre>2 Partir Tre include "Eq. Try Tree Tree Try Tree Tree Try Tree Tree</pre>		T 4 0 (10) = -5 V /2.0		5.1	
<pre>6</pre>	<pre>6</pre>		T OF SULFFUE PRACE MOTIFIER AND TO ATTOCAR CHIMNE SUL OTHORIC		-	
0. 0.000, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100	 V. VANCI ANN MANULATIN FEAT COMPLIANCE AND COMPLIANCE AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND		A CONTRACTION A SOCIETY OF THE SOCIE	× 51-XV	0 t	
<pre>6 UNTIFIERSTRUCTURY 7 COMPUT GAUTY SETSECTION 7 CONTINENT FOR THE TAY TO FORT TAY 7 CONTINENT TO THE TAY TO THE TAY TO THAT TAY 8 CATCH TAY TO THE TAY TO THAT TAY TO THAT TAY 8 CATCH TAY TO THAT TAY 9 CONTINENT TAY 9 CONTINENT TAY TAY 9 CONTINENT TAY 9 CONTINENT 9 CO</pre>	<pre>0 4 Millierse Costlary 1 Millierse Suffair 2 0 4 Divise 2 0 0 0 Divise 2 0 0 Divise</pre>		NIM SALANIGACOM ANANIGATINA NUTANANA SALANA SALANANA SALANANA SALANANA SALANANANA SALANANANA SALANANANA SALANAN	¥	ላዓ	
<pre>7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7</pre>	<pre>73 COMPUTERSYSTATION 74 CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR (CONTRACTOR): 1 A 4* 75 CONTRACTOR (CONTRACTOR): 1 A 4* 76 CONTRACTOR (CONTRACTOR): 1 A 4* 77 CONTRACTOR (CONTRACTOR): 1 A 4* 78 CONTRACTOR (CONTRACTOR):</pre>	65	¥IN(1)=E4+605(7LA*)	¥	6.C	
2) COMBUT GRATTANIN 2) CARDIT GRATTANIN 2) CARDIT GRATTANIN 2) CARDITANIN	20 COMUNT SERVICIAN 21 CONTRETERRONC IN THE OWEN PERFERENCE INTO OWEN		M 1 N 2 2 1 = 0.		•	
<pre>Cynthier Stretcher Iven Green ere serg: FIANE Contraction Stretcher Stretcher Iven Green ere serg: FIANE Contraction Stretcher Stretcher Stretcher Stretcher Contraction Stretcher St</pre>	<pre>Comput Tanitive Structure tree pre-srug: LIAN: Contract Tanitive Structure tree tree pre-srug: LIAN: Contract Tanitive Structure tree tree pre-srug: LIAN: Contract Tanitive Structure tree tree tree tree tree tree contract Tanitive Structure tree tree tree tree tree tree contract Tanitive Structure tree tree tree tree tree contract Tanitive Structure tree tree tree tree tree contract Tanitive Structure tree tree tree tree contract Tanitive Structure tree tree tree tree contract Tanitive Structure tree tree tree tree contract Tanitive Structure tree tree tree tree tree tree contract Tanitive Structure tree tree tree tree tree tree contract Tanitive Structure tree tree tree tree tree tree tree</pre>			,	, r	
73 COMPUT GENERATION SEFERATION THE GRANT SETURE FAME 7 74 COMPUT GENERATION 7 75 COMPUT GENERATION 7 74 COMPUT GENERATION 7 75 COMPUT GENERATION 7	73 COMPUT GANITY SEFERENCE IN THE GRAN SFEERENCE LANS 73 74 CONTRATES LANS 74 74 CONTRATES LANS 74 74 CONTRATES LANS 74 74 CONTRATES LANS 74 75 CONTRATES LANS 74 74 CONTRATES LANS 74 75 CONTRATES LANS 74 74 CONTRATES LANS 74 75 CONTRATES LANS <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>~</td><td>5 в</td><td></td></td<>			~	5 в	
71 7(2):5. 7(2):5. 73 9(5):5. 7 74 9(5):5. 7 75 7(2):5. 7 75 7(2):5. 7 75 75 7 75 75 7 75 7 7	7 7 7 7 7 9 45 9 45 9 45 9 45 7 9 45 9 45 7 9 45 9 45 7 9 45 10 45 7 9 45 10 45 7 9 413 46 7 7 9 101 46 7 7 9 101 46 7 7 9 101 46 7 7 9 101 46 7 7 9 101 46 7 7 9 101 46 7 7 9 101 46 7 7 9 101 46 7 7 9 101 46 7 7 9 101 47 7 7 101 101 46 7 7 101 101 101 46 7 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101		COMPUT: GRAVITY PERFERCE IN THE GRAV GERISENCE FUNCT	×	f J	
20 000000000000000000000000000000000000	73 7000000000000000000000000000000000000					
5 000000000000000000000000000000000000	<pre>13 04(1):004 14 04(1):004 15 04(1):004 16 04(1):004 17 04(1):004 10 04(1):00</pre>	5		· :		
<pre>15 Control Contro</pre>	<pre>59 46 JETEN 19 10 JETEN</pre>	7		¥	C	
10 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 <td>55 90.6 (21:1) 0.0 (21:1) 0.0 (21:1) 64(1):0004:41(1) 10.1 (40:1) 0.0 (21:1) 0.0 (21:1) 15:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (40:1) 0.0 (21:1) 15:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 15:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10:1 10:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10:1 10:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10:1 10:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10:1 10:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10:1 10:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10:1 10:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10:1 10:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10:1 10:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10:1 10:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10:1 10.1 (21:1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>¥</td> <td>c.></td> <td></td>	55 90.6 (21:1) 0.0 (21:1) 0.0 (21:1) 64(1):0004:41(1) 10.1 (40:1) 0.0 (21:1) 0.0 (21:1) 15:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (40:1) 0.0 (21:1) 15:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 15:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10:1 10:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10:1 10:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10:1 10:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10:1 10:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10:1 10:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10:1 10:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10:1 10:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10:1 10:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10:1 10:1 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10.1 (21:1) 10:1 10.1 (21:1			¥	c.>	
<pre>64 46 (1) 0 0 0 0 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</pre>	<pre>5 4 401010000000000000000000000000000000</pre>					
55 Control	5 Contribution Contribution 101 Contribution Contribution 101 Matter Contribution 101 Matter Contribution 101 Matter Contribution 101 Contribution Contribution 101 Contribution <td></td> <td></td> <td>ŕ</td> <td></td> <td></td>			ŕ		
55 	57 (11) ANELLIN 10 (11) ANELNIN 11 (11) ANELNIN 12 (11) ANELNIN 13 (11) ANELNIN 14 (11) ANELNIN 15 (11) ANELNIN 16 (11) ANELNIN 17 (11) ANELNIN 18 (11) ANELNIN 19 (11) ANELNIN 10 (11) ANELNIN 11 (11) ANELNIN 12 (11) ANELNIN 13 (11) ANELNIN 14 (11) ANELNIN 15 (11) ANELNIN 16 (11) ANELNIN 17 (11) ANELNIN 18 (11) ANELNIN 19 (11) ANELNIN		45 KAR (J) HGKAV AGG JUF	¥	7.2	
5	<pre>7 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *</pre>		X 2 (1) = X 2 1 0 0	•	7.5	
0 0.0001131 0.0000148FF FR44K5T00401104 0.0000148FF 0 0.011131 0.011131 0.0000148FF FR44K5T00401 0 0.011131 0.011131 0.0000148FF FR44K5T00401 0 0.011131 0.0000148FF FR44K5T00401 0.0000148FF 0 0.011131 0.0000148FF FR44K5T00401 0.0000148FF 0 0.011131 0.0000148FF FR44K5T00401 0.0000148FF 0 0.011131 0.0000148FF FR44K5T00401 0.0000148F 0 0.011131 0.0000144FF FR44K5T00401 0.0000144FF 0 0.011131 0.0000144FF FR44K5T00401 0.0000144FF 0 0.011111 0.0000144FF FR44K5T00401 0.0000144FF 0 0.011111 0.0000144FF FR44K5T00401 0.0000144FF 0 0.011111	NI CONTRACTOR 10 103 WELLIZ 10 1003 WELLIZ 10 10 1003 WELLIZ	U		• :	~ 1	
1) 1) <td< td=""><td>MICH = CAT(1) ************************************</td><td>r</td><td></td><td>2.</td><td>۲.</td><td></td></td<>	MICH = CAT(1) ************************************	r		2.	۲.	
01101 51101 9011 5101 901 01011 51014 8011 8011 8011 8011 01011 51013 9011 8011 8011 8011 01011 51014 8011 8011 8011 8011 8011 01011 5101 9011 8011	01 10		X A (Z) = X A T (S)	¥		
Control = 1000 Wartie Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 Control = 1000 <td>3) 0.001 MELL2 3) 3) 0.011 MEL 200001WAF FRAMENDMATION WATER CHA 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.0111 4 0 0.0111 4 0 0.0111 4 0 0.0111 4 0 0.0111 4 0 0.0111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.011111 4 0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>70</td> <td></td>	3) 0.001 MELL2 3) 3) 0.011 MEL 200001WAF FRAMENDMATION WATER CHA 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.0111 4 0 0.0111 4 0 0.0111 4 0 0.0111 4 0 0.0111 4 0 0.0111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.01111 4 0 0.011111 4 0			•	70	
390017 370017 370011 370011 40111 40111 40111 4011	3MDUF STRAL BOL. MAG. SODOJAME FRAMEDOMINAL MATER CAR AMDUF STRAL BOL. STRUCTURE AMDUF STRAL BOL. MAG. SODOJAME FRAMEDOMINE CAR AMDUF STRAL STRUCTURE AMDUF STRAL STRUCTURE AMDUF STRAL STRUCTURE AMDUF STRAL STRUCTURE AMDUF STRUCTURE			,		
COMPUT CONDUT	Control FriedL ANGLE COOPDINATE TRANSFORMETING NATE (CHA ************************************			¥	52	
01	<pre>A1 cre(1:):: cre(1:):: cre(1:):: cre(1:):: cre(1:):: cre(1:):: cre(1:):: cre(1:):: cre(1:):: cre(1:):: cre(1:):: cre(1:):: cre(1:):: cre(1:):: cre(1:):: cre(1:): cre</pre>		COMPUTE STRAAL ROLL ANGLE CO02DINATE TRANSFOOMSTION HATELE CHO	¥	۲ ۳	
0 0	01 000000000000000000000000000000000000			< ,		
1 1	1 1	-			1 r	
0.08(11)=0. 0.08(11)=0. 0.08(11)=0. 0.08(11)=0. 0.08(11)=0. 0.08(11)=0. 0.08(11)=0.0905(FPR(W1)) 0.08(11)=0. 0.08(11)=0. 0.08(11)=0.0905(FPR(W1)) 0.08(11)=0. 0.08(11)=0. 0.08(11)=0.0905(VATE TPANSFORATION KATOLY CRO 0.12 0.08(11)=0.055(FOR(W1)) 0.0805(VATE TPANSFORATION KATOLY CRO 0.12 0.08(11)=0.055(FOR(W1)) 0.0805(VATE TPANSFORATION VATOLY CRO 0.12 0.08(11)=0.055(FOR(W1)) 0.0805(VATE TPANSFORATION VATOLY CRO 0.12 0.08(11)=0.055(FATE TPANSFORATION VATOLY CRO 0.12 0.12 0.0805(VATE TPANSFORMATION VATOLY CRO 0.12 0.12 0.0805(FATE TPANSFORMATION VATOLY CRO 0.12 0.12 0.0815(FATE TPANSFORMATION VATOLY CRO 0.12 0.12 0.0815(FATE TPANSFORMATION VATOLY CRO 0.12 0.12 0.0815(FATE TPANSFORMATION VATOLY CRO 0.12 0.12	1 1		. Hr. (1 + 7) = 6.	¥	3,7	
00011:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0	5 790(2) = 0. 780(2) = 0.0000000000000000000000000000000000		0 HR (1 • 3) = 0 -	Ļ	5 8	
10 10 <td< td=""><td>1 -146(2): = COSCIPR(144) 5 5 1 -146(2): = COPR(144) 5 5 1 -146(2): = COPR(144) 5 5 1 -146(2): = COPR(144) 5 5 1 -146(2): = COPR(141) 5 5 1 -146(2): = COPR(141) 5 5 1 -146(1): = CSCICPO(441) 5 5 5 1 -146(1): = CSCICPO(441) 5 5 5 1 -146(1): = CSCICPO(441) 5 5 5 1 -150(1): = CSWILAR(440) 5 5 5 1 -151(1): = CSCIRRE(441) 5 5 5 1 -111(1): = CSCIRRE(441) 5 5 5 1 -111(1): = CSCIRRE(441) 5 5 5 1 -111(1): = CSCIRRE(441) 5<</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	1 -146(2): = COSCIPR(144) 5 5 1 -146(2): = COPR(144) 5 5 1 -146(2): = COPR(144) 5 5 1 -146(2): = COPR(144) 5 5 1 -146(2): = COPR(141) 5 5 1 -146(2): = COPR(141) 5 5 1 -146(1): = CSCICPO(441) 5 5 5 1 -146(1): = CSCICPO(441) 5 5 5 1 -146(1): = CSCICPO(441) 5 5 5 1 -150(1): = CSWILAR(440) 5 5 5 1 -151(1): = CSCIRRE(441) 5 5 5 1 -111(1): = CSCIRRE(441) 5 5 5 1 -111(1): = CSCIRRE(441) 5 5 5 1 -111(1): = CSCIRRE(441) 5<					
65 -140(2,1)=605(78(144)) 65 91 -140(2,1)=605(78(144)) 69 92 -140(2,1)=605(78(144)) 69 93 -140(2,1)=605(78(144)) 69 94 -140(2,1)=605(78(144)) 69 94 -140(2,1)=605(78(144)) 69 95 -140(2,1)=605(78(144)) 69 96 -111=605(78(144)) 69 97 -204(2,1)=0 69 98 -204(2,1)=0 69 99 -204(2,1)=0 69 99 -204(2,1)=0 69 99 -204(2,1)=0 69 99 -204(2,1)=0 69 99 -204(2,1)=0 69 99 -204(2,1)=0 69 99 -204(2,1)=0 69 99 -204(2,1)=0 69 99 -204(2,1)=0 69 90 -204(2,1)=0 69 91 -204(2,1)=0 79 91 -204(2,1)=0 79 91 -204(2,1)=0 79 91 -204(2,1)=0 7	65			v	3 C	
64 - 1012_11 SINITRO(TW1) 64 91 - 1012_11 - 1012_11 92 - 1012_11 - 1012_11 93 - 1012_11 - 1012_11 94 - 1012_11 - 1012_11 92 - 1012_11 - 1012_11 93 - 1012_11 - 1012_11 94 - 1012_11 - 1012_11 95 - 1012_11 - 1012_11 96 - 1012_11 - 1012_11 97 - 1012_11 - 1012_11 98 - 1012_11 - 1012_11 98 - 1012_11 - 1012_11 98 - 1012_11 - 1012_11 98 - 1012_11 - 1012_11 98 - 1012_11 - 1012_11 98 - 1012_11 - 1012_11 98 - 1012_11 - 1012_11 98 - 1012_11 - 1012_11 98 - 1012_11 - 1012_11 98 - 1012_11 - 1012_11 98 - 1012_11 - 1012_11 98 - 1012_11 - 1012_11 98 - 1012_11 - 1012_11 98 - 1012_11 - 1012_11 98 - 1012_11 - 1012_11 98 - 101	64		CHR (2, 2) = COS(TRR (MV))	¥	u' a:	
99 0.0000114 (2.2) = 0.04014 (2.4) 0.0000141 (2.4) 0.0000141 (2.4) 99 0.0000114 (2.4) 0.0000141 (2.4) 0.0000141 (2.4) 0.0000141 (2.4) 99 0.0000114 (2.4) 0.0000141 (2.4) 0.0000141 (2.4) 0.0000141 (2.4) 99 0.0000114 (2.4) 0.0000141 (2.4) 0.0000141 (2.4) 0.0000141 (2.4) 99 0.0000114 (2.4) 0.0000144 (2.4) 0.0000144 (2.4) 0.0000144 (2.4) 99 0.0000114 (2.4) 0.0000144 (2.4) 0.0000144 (2.4) 0.0000144 (2.4) 99 0.0000114 (2.4) 0.0000144 (2.4) 0.0000144 (2.4) 0.0000144 (2.4) 99 0.0000141 (2.4) 0.0000144 (2.4) 0.0000144 (2.4) 0.0000144 (2.4) 90 0.0000141 (2.4) 0.0000144 (2.4) 0.0000144 (2.4) 0.0000144 (2.4) 90 0.0000141 (2.4) 0.0000144 (2.4) 0.0000144 (2.4) 0.0000144 (2.4) 90 0.0000144 (2.4) 0.0000144 (2.4) 0.0000144 (2.4) 0.0000144 (2.4) 90 0.0000144 (2.4) 0.0000144 (2.4) 0.0000144 (2.4) 0.0000144 (2.4) 90 0.0000144 (2.4) 0.00000144 (2.4) 0.0000144 (2.4) </td <td>91 COMPUT: GLANL PITCH ANGLE SCOROLVATE TPANSENPARITON WATELY GREAT 91 92 COMPUT: GLANL PITCH ANGLE SCOROLVATE TPANSENPARITON WATELY GREAT 91 93 COMPUT: GLANL PITCH ANGLE SCOROLVATE TPANSENPARITON WATELY GREAT 91 94 CPRILIDE-STUTTRE(WN) 94 95 CPRILIDE-STUTTRE(WN) 94 94 CPRILIDE-STUTTRE(WN) 94 95 CPRILIDE-STUTTRE(WN) 94 96 CPRILIDE-STUTTRE(WN) 94 97 CPRILIDE-STUTTRE(WN) 94 98 CPRILIDE-STUTRE(WN) 94 98 CPRILIDE-STUTRE(WN) 94 99 CPRILIDE-STUTRE(WN) 94 90 CPRILIDE-STUTRE(WN) 94 91 CPRILIDE-STUTRE(WN) 94 94 CPRILIDE-STUTRE(WN) 94 94 CPRILIDE-STUTRE(WN) 94 94 CPRILIDE-STUTRE(WN) 94</td> <td>U.</td> <td></td> <td>``</td> <td></td> <td></td>	91 COMPUT: GLANL PITCH ANGLE SCOROLVATE TPANSENPARITON WATELY GREAT 91 92 COMPUT: GLANL PITCH ANGLE SCOROLVATE TPANSENPARITON WATELY GREAT 91 93 COMPUT: GLANL PITCH ANGLE SCOROLVATE TPANSENPARITON WATELY GREAT 91 94 CPRILIDE-STUTTRE(WN) 94 95 CPRILIDE-STUTTRE(WN) 94 94 CPRILIDE-STUTTRE(WN) 94 95 CPRILIDE-STUTTRE(WN) 94 96 CPRILIDE-STUTTRE(WN) 94 97 CPRILIDE-STUTTRE(WN) 94 98 CPRILIDE-STUTRE(WN) 94 98 CPRILIDE-STUTRE(WN) 94 99 CPRILIDE-STUTRE(WN) 94 90 CPRILIDE-STUTRE(WN) 94 91 CPRILIDE-STUTRE(WN) 94 94 CPRILIDE-STUTRE(WN) 94 94 CPRILIDE-STUTRE(WN) 94 94 CPRILIDE-STUTRE(WN) 94	U.		``		
91 COMPUT G (148.1. FICH CARL) CONDUT G (148.1. FICH CARL) CONDUT G (148.1. FICH CARL) 91 CP (1) = CONCORTANIA CONDUT G (148.1. FICH CARL) CONDUT G (148.1. FICH CARL) 92 CP (1) = CONCORTANIA CONDUT G (148.1. FICH CARL) CONDUT CONCORTANIA 92 CP (1) = CONCORTANIA CONDUT CONCORTANIA CONDUT CONCORTANIA 93 CP (1) = CONCORTANIA CONDUT CONCORTANIA CONDUT CONCORTANIA 93 CP (1) = CONCORTANIA CONDUT CONCORTANIA CONDUT CONCORTANIA 94 CP (1) = CONCORTANIA CONDUT CONCORTANIA CONDUT CONCORTANIA 94 CP (1) = CONCORTANIA CONDUT CONCORTANIA CONDUT CONCORTANIA 95 CP (1) = CONCORTANIA CONDUT CONCORTANIA CONDUT CONCORTANIA 95 CP (1) = CONCORTANIA CONDUT CONCORTANIA CONCORTANIA 95 CONDUT CONCORTANIA CONCORTANIA CONCORTANIA CONCORTANIA 95 CONCORTANIA CONCORTANIA CONCORTANIA CONCORTANIA CONCORTANIA 95 CONCORTANIA CONCORTANIA CONCORTANIA CONCORTANIA CONCORTANIA 95 CONCORTANIA	9) 7000000000000000000000000000000000000			~ `		
93 746 (X,1) = 540(2, x) 5 5 93 720 (1,1) = 0.5 (100 (441) 75 (1, 1) = 0.5 (100 (441) 5 720 (1, 1) = 0.5 (100 (441) 75 (1, 1) = 0.5 (100 (441) 5 5 720 (1, 1) = 0.5 (100 (441) 76 (1, 1) = 0.5 (100 (441) 5 5 5 720 (1, 1) = 0.5 (100 (441) 76 (1, 1) = 0.5 (100 (1, 1) = 0.5 (100 (441) 5 5 5 720 (1, 1) = 0.5 (100 (1, 1) = 0.5 (100 (1, 1) = 0.5 (100 (1, 1) = 0.5 (1, 1)) 7 5 5 5 720 (1, 1) = 0.5 (100 (1, 1) = 0.5 (1, 1)) 7 7 5	91 746 (1,2) == CHR(2,2) 5 5 92 729 (1,2) == CHR(2,2) 5 5 93 729 (1,2) == CSR(2,2) 5 5 94 729 (1,2) == CSR(2,2) 5 5 95 720 (1,2) == CSR(2,2) 5 5 94 720 (1,2) == CSR(1,2) 5 5 95 720 (1,2) == CSR(1,3) 5 5 96 720 (1,2) == CSR(1,3) 5 5 97 720 (1,2) == CSR(1,3) 5 5 98 720 (1,2) == CSR(1,3) 5 5 99 720 (1,2) == CSR(1,3) 5 5 99 720 (1,2) == CSR(1,3) 5 5 99 720 (1,2) == CSR(1,3) 5 5 91 720 (1,2) == CSR(1,3) 5 5 91 720 (1,2) == CSR(1,3) 5 7 92 720 (1,2) == CSR(1,3) 7 7 93 720 (1,2) == CSR(1,3) 7 7 94 720 (1,2) == CSR(1,3) 7 7 94 7 7 7 7<			~	5	
90 COMPUT 6148L PTCH ANGLE CORRUPT FEANSFREAKTON KATELX GRE 10 91 CPP(1:1)=059(TOP(TW)) ANGLE CORRUPANCE 10 720(1:2)=0. CPP(1:1)=059(TOP(TW)) 60 91 720(1:2)=0. CPP(1:1)=059(TOP(TW)) 74 91 720(1:2)=0. CPP(1:1)=0. 74 95 720(1:2)=0. CPP(1:2)=0. 74 95 720(1:2)=0. CPP(1:1) 74 95 720(1:2)=0. CPP(1:1) 74 95 720(1:1)=0. CPP(1:1) 74 95 721(1:1)=0. CPP(1:1) 74 101 724(1:1)=0. CPP(1:1) 74 105 724(1:1)=0. CPP(1:1) 74 105 724(1:1)=0. CPP(1:1) 74 101 724(1:1)=0. CPP(1:1) 75 105 724(1:1)=0. CPP(93 COMPUT GIABAL PITH ANGLE CORRUNTE FRANSFRMAFIJY WATMIN KATMIN KAT		CHR (3 + 2) = - GHP (2 + 3)	v	9 B	
9) COMPUT GIMBL PITCH ANGLE COORDINATE TEANSFORMATION MATOLX GRE 1 9) CRE(1,1) = -STW(TPR(MN)) 1 1 7) CRE(1,1) = -STW(TPR(MN)) 1 1 7) CPC(1,2) = 0. 1 1 1 7) CPC(1,2) = 0. 1 1 1 1 7) CPC(1,2) = 0. 1 1 1 1 7) CPC(1,2) = 0. 1 1 1 1 1 7) CPC(1,1) 1	9) COMPUT GIMBL FITCH ANGLE COORDINATE TEANSFORMATION MATPLY GRE 0 9) CPR(1,1) = -STW (TPR(NU)) 0 0 2) CPR(2,1) = 0 0 0 0 0 2) CPR(2,1) = 0 0 0 0 0 0 2) CPR(2,1) = 0 0 0 0 0 0 2) CPR(1,1) =0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2) CPR(1,1) =0 0<		248 (3, 3) = CHR (2, 2)	¥	6 A	
91	91 720(1:1)=0.5(Froo(W1)) 91 920(1:2)=51W(FPR(NN)) 92 920(1:2)=51W(FPR(NN)) 92 920(1:2)=51W(FPR(NN)) 92 920(1:2)=51W(FPR(NN)) 92 920(1:2)=51W(FPR(NN)) 92 920(1:2)=51W(FPR(NN)) 93 920(1:2)=67W(1:3) 93 920(1:2)=67W(1:1) 93 920(1:2)=67W(1:1) 93 920(1:2)=67W(1:1) 93 920(1:2)=67W(1:2) 93 920WUT THE G14BL WULFSPAL TO G1H9AL REFERSION MATEIN MATEIN MATEIN MATEIN 920WUT THE G14BL WULFSPAL TO G1H9AL REFERSION		COMPUTE CIARAL DITCH ANGLE COORDINATE LOANSCODAALION KATOIX COO	``		
31 31 32 522(12):50. 34 522(12):51. 35 522(12):51. 36 522(12):51. 37 522(12):51. 36 531. 37 522(12):51. 38 522(12):51. 39 522(12):51. 39 522(12):51. 30 513.51. 31 522(11):51. 32 514.11.55. 33 50001415.51.51.11.51.51.11.51.51.11.51.51.11.51.5	9 9 9 9 9 9	ć		e.		
79 70 70 <td< td=""><td>797(1,3)=-51W(TPR(M1)) 79 79 79 79 790(2,3)=-51W(TPR(M1)) 79 95 95 790(2,3)=-51W(TPR(M1)) 79 95 95 790(2,3)=0 79 95 95 95 790(2,3)=0 90 95 95 95 95 790(3,3)=0 790(3,3)=0 94 94 94 94 790(3,3)=0 790(3,3)=0 10 94 94 94 790(3,3)=0 790(3,3)=0 10 94 94 94 790(1,1)=0 79 79 10 94 94 94 790(1,1)=0 95 79 79 10 94 94 791(1,1)=0 70 10 10 10 10 10 10 794(1,1)=0 70 70 10</td><td>7</td><td></td><td>~</td><td>14</td><td></td></td<>	797(1,3)=-51W(TPR(M1)) 79 79 79 79 790(2,3)=-51W(TPR(M1)) 79 95 95 790(2,3)=-51W(TPR(M1)) 79 95 95 790(2,3)=0 79 95 95 95 790(2,3)=0 90 95 95 95 95 790(3,3)=0 790(3,3)=0 94 94 94 94 790(3,3)=0 790(3,3)=0 10 94 94 94 790(3,3)=0 790(3,3)=0 10 94 94 94 790(1,1)=0 79 79 10 94 94 94 790(1,1)=0 95 79 79 10 94 94 791(1,1)=0 70 10 10 10 10 10 10 794(1,1)=0 70 70 10	7		~	14	
39 792(1,3) = 51W(TPR(MN)) 94 94 392(2,2) = 0. 794(2,2) = 0. 95 95 797(2,2) = 0. 797(3,2) = 0. 95 95 797(3,2) = 0. 797(3,2) = 0. 97 97 797(3,2) = 0. 797(3,2) = 0. 97 97 797(3,2) = 0. 797(3,2) = 0. 97 97 797(3,2) = 0. 797(3,2) = 0. 97 97 797(3,2) = 0. 797(2,2) = 0. 97 97 794(2,2) = 0. 794(2,2) = 0. 100 100 794(2,2) = 0. 794(2,2) = 0. 100 100 794(2,2) = 0. 794(2,2) = 0. 100 100 794(2,2) = 0. 794(2,2) = 0. 100 100 794(2,2) = 0. 794(2,2) = 0. 100 100 794(2,2) = 0. 704(2,2) = 0. 100 100 794(2,2) = 0. 704(2,2) = 0. 100 100 794(2,2) = 0. 704(2,2) = 0. 100 100 794(2,2) = 0. 704(2,2) = 0. 704(2,2) = 0. 100 704(2,2) = 0. 704(2,2) = 0. 704(2,2)	39 70 70 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>¥</td><td>ср С</td><td></td></td<>			¥	ср С	
39 799(2,1)=0. 96 96 799(3,2)=2. 96 95 96 799(3,2)=0. 98 97 97 799(3,2)=0. 98 97 97 799(3,2)=0. 98 97 97 799(3,2)=0. 98 97 97 799(3,2)=0. 98 97 97 799(3,2)=0. 98 97 97 799(3,1)=0. 98 97 97 799(1,1)=0. 98 97 97 794(1,1)=0. 704(1,2)=0 704(1,2) 93 794(2,1)=0. 704(1,2)=0 704(1,2) 704(1,2) 794(2,1)=0. 704(1,2)=0 107 107 794(2,1)=0. 704(1,2)=0 107 107 794(2,1)=0. 704(1,2)=0 107 107 794(2,1)=0. 704(1,2)=0 107 107 794(2,1)=0. 704(2,1)=0 107 107 794(2,1)=0. 700 704(2,1)=0 107 794(2,1)=0. 700 700 107 794(2,1)=0.	35 790(27)1.0 95 95 790(37)1-0 790(37)1-0 95 95 790(37)1-0 790(37)1-0 95 95 790(37)1-0 790(37)1-0 95 95 790(37)1-0 790(37)1-0 93 93 790(37)1-0 790(37)1 93 93 790(37)1-0 790(1,1) 93 93 790(41,2)10 700(1,1)1 93 93 790(41,2)10 701(1,2)10 701(1,2)10 701(1,2)10 791(1,2)10 701(1,3)10 701(1,3)10 701(1,3)10 791(3,3)10 701(1,3)10 701(1,3)10 701(1,3)10 791(31)10 701(1,3)10 701(1,3)10 701(1,3)10 791(31)10 701(1,3)10 701(1,3)10 701(1,3)10 791(31)10 701(1,3)10 701(1,3)10 701(1,3)10 791(31)10 701(1,3)10 701(1,3)10 701(1,3)10 791(31)10 701(1,3)10 701(1,3)10 701(1,3)10 791(31)10 701(1,3)10 701(1,3)10 701(1,3)10 791(31)10 701(1,3)10 701(1,3)10			`	, C	
35 700(2,5)=0. 95 95 700(2,5)=0. 97 97 97 700(3,7)=0. 97 97 97 700(3,7)=0. 97 97 97 700(3,7)=0. 97 97 97 700(3,7)=0. 97 97 97 700(1,1)=0.55(100(41) 97 97 97 701(1,1)=0.55(100(41) 97 97 97 701(1,1)=0.55(100(41) 700(10(1)) 70 101 701(1,1)=0.55(100(41) 700(10(1)) 70 101 701(1,3)=0. 701(1,3)=0. 101 70 101 701(1,3)=0. 701(1,3)=0. 101 70 101 701(1,3)=0. 701(1,3)=0. 101 70 101 701(1,3)=0. 700(1,3)=0. 101 101 105 701(1,3)=0. 701(1,3)=0. 101 101 101 701(1,3)=0. 700(1,3)=0. 101 101 105 701(1,3)=0. 700(1,3)=0. 700(1,3)=0. 101 101 701(1,2)=0. 700(1,3)=0. <td>37 79 79 37 700(2,3) = 0. 95 700(2,3) = 0. 97 97 700(2,3) = 0. 98 97 700(2,3) = 0. 700(1,3) = 0. 97 700(2,3) = 0. 700(1,1) = 0. 97 700(1,1) = 0. 700(1,1) = 0. 97 700(1,1) = 0. 700(1,2) = 0. 97 700(1,1) = 0. 700(1,2) = 0. 70 700(1,2) = 0. 700(1,2) = 0. 70 700(1,2) = 0. 700(1,2) = 0. 70 700(1,2) = 0. 70 70 70 700(1,2) = 0. 70 70 70 700(1,2) = 0. 70 70 70 700(1,2) = 0. 70 70 70 700(1,2) = 0. 70 70 70 700(1,2) = 0. 70 70 70 700(1,2) = 0. 70 70 70 700(1,2) = 0. 70 70 70 700(1,2) = 0. 70 70 70 700(1,2) = 0. 70 70 70 700(1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>, ,</td> <td>r i</td> <td></td>	37 79 79 37 700(2,3) = 0. 95 700(2,3) = 0. 97 97 700(2,3) = 0. 98 97 700(2,3) = 0. 700(1,3) = 0. 97 700(2,3) = 0. 700(1,1) = 0. 97 700(1,1) = 0. 700(1,1) = 0. 97 700(1,1) = 0. 700(1,2) = 0. 97 700(1,1) = 0. 700(1,2) = 0. 70 700(1,2) = 0. 700(1,2) = 0. 70 700(1,2) = 0. 700(1,2) = 0. 70 700(1,2) = 0. 70 70 70 700(1,2) = 0. 70 70 70 700(1,2) = 0. 70 70 70 700(1,2) = 0. 70 70 70 700(1,2) = 0. 70 70 70 700(1,2) = 0. 70 70 70 700(1,2) = 0. 70 70 70 700(1,2) = 0. 70 70 70 700(1,2) = 0. 70 70 70 700(1,2) = 0. 70 70 70 700(1			, ,	r i	
35 7001(2,2)=1. 95 7001(2,3)=0 97 97 7001(3,3)=5001(1,3) 93 93 7001(1,3)=0 93 93 7011 514014 45L5 5000014415 19405190441100 M01714 104 93 7011 7011(1,3)=0 93 7011 701(1,3)=0 70 7011 701(1,3)=0 70 7011 701(1,3)=0 70 7011 701(1,3)=0 70 7011 701(1,3)=0 70 7011 701(1,3)=0 70 7011 701(1,3)=0 70 7011 701(1,3)=0 70 7011 701(1,3)=0 70 7011 701(1,3)=0 70 7011 701(1,3)=0 70 7011 70 70 7011 70 70 7011 70 70 7011 70 70 7011 70 70 7011 70 70 7011 70 70 7000011010101 7000010010000000	35 700(2.2)=1. 95 700(2.3)=0. 97 97 700(2.3)=0. 93 97 700(2.3)=0. 93 97 700(2.3)=0. 93 97 700(2.3)=0. 93 93 700(2.3)=0. 93 93 700(2.10) 700(11)=0.05(14(12)) 7 701(2.10) 701(1.3)=0. 101 701(1.3)=0. 7 103 701(1.3)=0. 7 103 701(2.3)=10. 7 103 701(2.3)=10. 7 103 701(2.3)=10. 7 103 701(2.3)=10. 7 103 701(2.3)=10. 7 103 701(2.3)=10. 7 103 701(2.3)=10. 7 103 701(2.3)=10. 7 103 701(2.3)=10. 7 103 701(2.3)=10. 7 103 701(2.3)=10. 7 103 701(2.3)=10. 7 103 701(2.3)=10. 7 103 701(2.3)=10			~	45	
35 79P(2,3)=0. 95 97 79P(17,1)=CR0(1,3) 98 97 79P(17,1)=CR0(1,1) 98 97 79P(17,1)=CP0(1,1) 98 97 79P(17,1)=CP0(1,1) 98 97 79P(17,1)=CP1(11,1) 98 97 79P(1,2)=CP1(1,1) 79 71 79P(1,2)=0 71 72 79P(1,2)=0 71 72 79P(1,2)=0 71 72 79P(1,2)=0 71 72 79P(1,2)=0 72 72 79P(35 75P(2,3)=0. 95 97 75P(1,1)=-CPC(1,1) 75P(1,1)=0. 93 75P(1,1)=C5S(TAR(M1)) 75P(1,1)=0. 93 75P(1,2)=S(M(TAR(M1))) 79(1,2)=S(M(TAR(M1))) 79 75P(1,2)=S(M(TAR(M1))) 79 93 75P(1,2)=S(M(TAR(M1))) 70 93 75P(1,2)=S(M(TAR(M1))) 70 101 75P(1,2)=S(M(TAR(M1))) 70 101 79(1,2)=S(M(TAR(M1))) 71 101 71 71 71 101 71 71 71 101 71 71 71 101 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 11 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 74 71			У	ເຕ	
05 0.000017 0.1111 0.000014 0.0000000000 0.0	00 0000017 0514014 000001415 190 90 90 90 0000017 0514014 ANSLE 000001415 190 90 90 90 0000017 0514014 ANSLE 000001415 190 90 90 90 0000017 0514014 ANSLE 000001415 190 90 90 90 01000017 0411,219 00001412 000001415 190 100 100 0111 1000001412 000001415 190 100 100 100 100 0111 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	35	CPP (2, 3) = 0.	٢	36	
00 0040473160 0040475160 004041620 0040475160 0040416200 0040416200 0040416200	00 07 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>ć ·</td><td>n (</td><td></td></td<>			ć ·	n (
00 00 <td< td=""><td>00 79P(3,7) = 0. 98 79P(3,3) = COP(1,1) 79P(13,3) = COP(1,1) 93 79P(1,2) = SIN(TAR(MAL)) 79(1,2) = COS(TAR(MAL)) 101 79A(1,2) = SIN(TAR(MAL)) 70(1,2) = (70) 101 79A(1,2) = SIN(TAR(MAL)) 70(1,2) = (70) 101 79A(1,2) = (70) 70(1,2) = (70) 101 79A(1,2) = (70) 70(1,2) = (70) 101 79A(2,1) = (70) 700 700 79A(2,1) = (70) 700 700 79A(2,1) = (70) 700 700 70A(1,2) = (70) 700 101 70A(1,2) = (70) 700 101 70A(1,2) = (70) 700 100 70A(1,2) = (70) 700 700 70A(1,2) = (70) 700 700</td><td></td><td></td><td>¥</td><td>, 7</td><td></td></td<>	00 79P(3,7) = 0. 98 79P(3,3) = COP(1,1) 79P(13,3) = COP(1,1) 93 79P(1,2) = SIN(TAR(MAL)) 79(1,2) = COS(TAR(MAL)) 101 79A(1,2) = SIN(TAR(MAL)) 70(1,2) = (70) 101 79A(1,2) = SIN(TAR(MAL)) 70(1,2) = (70) 101 79A(1,2) = (70) 70(1,2) = (70) 101 79A(1,2) = (70) 70(1,2) = (70) 101 79A(2,1) = (70) 700 700 79A(2,1) = (70) 700 700 79A(2,1) = (70) 700 700 70A(1,2) = (70) 700 101 70A(1,2) = (70) 700 101 70A(1,2) = (70) 700 100 70A(1,2) = (70) 700 700			¥	, 7	
79P(1,3)=CPR(1,1) 93 70PUT 611,10 70P1(1,2)=C75(TAR(M1)) 7 70P1(1,2)=C75(TAR(M1)) 7 70P1(1,2)=C75(TAR(M1)) 7 70P1(1,2)=C75(TAR(M1)) 7 70P1(1,2)=C75(TAR(M1)) 7 70P1(1,2)=0 7 7	72P (1,1) 93 00 72P (1,1) 72P (1,1) 72P (1,1)=CDS(TAR(MN)) 701121 101 72D (1,1)=CDS(TAR(MN)) 701121 101 72D (1,2)=CDS(TAR(MN)) 701121 101 72D (1,3)=0 101 7101 101 72D (1,3)=0 101 710 101 72D (1,3)=0 101 710 101 72D (1,3)=0 101 710 710 72D (1,3)=0 101 710 710 72D (2,2)=CPA(1,1) 710 710 710 72D (2,1)=0 710 710 7		.0 = (3 * 5) = 0 .	v	9.9	
00 00+047 51404 445LE DODROIMATE TRANSFORMATION MATOIX FOR 4 10 01 70414.10 551148(441) 50 10 10 70414.10 70414.10 55 5414.10 10 10 70414.10 70414.10 55 5414.10 10 10 70414.10 70414.10 7 50 10 10 70414.10 70 10 7 10 10 70414.10 70 7 10 10 10 70414.10 70 7 10 10 10 70414.10 70 7 10 10 10 70417.10 7 7 10 10 10 70417.11 7 7 10 10 10 10 70417.11 7 7 10 10 10 10 10 70417.11 7 7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 </td <td>00 COMPUTI GINGRL AZIWUTH ANGLE DOORDIWATE TRANSFORMATION MATOIX FOLA 101 01 CPA(1,2) = COSTRAR(MH) 101 02 CPA(1,2) = COSTRAR(MH) 101 03 CPA(1,2) = CPA(1,2) 101 04 CPA(1,2) = CPA(1,2) 101 05 CPA(1,2) = CPA(1,1) 103 06 CPA(1,2) = CPA(1,1) 103 07 CPA(1,2) = CPA(1,1) 103 08 CPA(1,2) = CPA(1,1) 103 09 CPA(2,1) = 0 103 09 CPA(2,1) = 0 103 09 CPA(1,1) = 0 103 00 CPA(1,1) = 0 103<!--</td--><td></td><td>C 20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2</td><td>`</td><td></td><td></td></td>	00 COMPUTI GINGRL AZIWUTH ANGLE DOORDIWATE TRANSFORMATION MATOIX FOLA 101 01 CPA(1,2) = COSTRAR(MH) 101 02 CPA(1,2) = COSTRAR(MH) 101 03 CPA(1,2) = CPA(1,2) 101 04 CPA(1,2) = CPA(1,2) 101 05 CPA(1,2) = CPA(1,1) 103 06 CPA(1,2) = CPA(1,1) 103 07 CPA(1,2) = CPA(1,1) 103 08 CPA(1,2) = CPA(1,1) 103 09 CPA(2,1) = 0 103 09 CPA(2,1) = 0 103 09 CPA(1,1) = 0 103 00 CPA(1,1) = 0 103 </td <td></td> <td>C 20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2</td> <td>`</td> <td></td> <td></td>		C 20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	`		
00 00 <td< td=""><td>00 01 02 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>,</td><td>r</td><td></td></td<></td></td<>	00 01 02 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>,</td><td>r</td><td></td></td<>			,	r	
00	00		CONTRACT ALIMATE ALIMATE COURTLANTE LEANSE THANKIN MATTER FOR	¥	101	
C5 CPA(1,2) = SIN(TAR(WV)) CPA(1,2) = CPA(1,1) CPA(2,1) = CPA(1,1) C5 CPA(2,3) = CPA(1,1) C9A(2,3) = CPA(1,1) C9A(1,2) = C C9A(1,2) = 0. C9A(1,2) = 0	CPA(1,2) = SIN(TAR(WV)) CPA(1,2) = CPA(1,2) CPA(1,3) = 0. CPA(1,2) CPA(2,1) = CPA(1,1) CPA(2,1) = CPA(1,1) CPA(2,1) = 0. CPA(2,1) = 0. CPA(2,1) = 0. CPA(2,1) = 0. CPA(2,1) = 0. CPA(2,1) = 0. CPA(2,1) = 0. CPA(1,1) CPA(1,1) = 0. CPA(1,1) COPPUT THE GI4BAL NEUTRAL TO GTH9AL REFERENCE FRAME COPPUT THE MAT MULCEPUT. TO GTH9AL REFERENCE FRAME COPPUT THE MAT MULCEPUT. TO GTH9AL REFERENCE	00	7 P & (1, 1) = C 3 S (1 A R (MM))	¥	• • •	
COAPUT THE GRATT COAT COAT <td>Computer Contraction Structure (13) = 0.000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Computer Contraction Structure (13) = 0.000					
C5 C24PUT THE GT411.2) C5 C24PUT THE GT411.2) C5 C24PUT THE GT411.1) C6 C25 C24PUT THE GT411.1) C04C1.2)=0. C7 C24PUT THE GT48AL NEUTRAL TO GT49AL REFERENCE FRAME C04PUT THE GT48AL NEUTRAL TO GT49AL REFERENCE FRAME C04PUT THE GT48AL NEUTRAL TO GT49AL REFERENCE FRAME C04PUT THE NULL MAT MULICRAFT, SCH 3, SCH 11 C04PUT THE NOMINAL C04PUT SCH 11 C04PUT THE SCH 11 C04PU	C5 C4(1,3)=0. C 103 C4(1,2)=CP4(1,1) C 104 104 C42(2,1)=0. C 105 104 C42(1,1)=0. C 105 104 C42(1,1)=0. C 105 105 C42(1,1)=0. C 105 105 C42(1,2)=0. C 104 105 C42(1,2)=0. C 105 105 C41(1,2)=0. C 105 105 C41(1,2) C C 115 C41(1,2) <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Y</td><td>2.1</td><td></td></t<>			Y	2.1	
C5 794(2,1)=-CP4(1,2) 794(2,3)=0 794(2,3)=0 794(2,3)=0 794(1,2)=0 794(1,2)=0 794(1,2)=0 794(1,2)=0 794(1,2)=0 794(1,2)=0 704(17,1)=0 704(17,1)=0 704(17,1)=0 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 71	C5 C24PUT CPA(1,2) C4 (2,2) = CPA(1,1) C4 (2,2) = CPA(1,1) C4 (2,2) = C C4 (1,2) = 0. C4 (2,2) C4 (1,2) = 0. C4 (1,2) = 0. C4 (1,2) = 0. C4 (1,2) C4 (1,2) = 0. C4 (1,2) = 0. C4 (1,2) = 0. C4 (1,2) = 0. C4 (1,2) = 0. C4 (1,2) = 0. C4 (1,2) = 0. C4 (1,2) = 0. C4 (1			÷	101	
C5 C24PUT THE GT41:11 C5 C24PUT THE GT41:11 C04C1:41=0. C4 125 C4	C5 C24C1:=CP4(1,1) C5 C24C1:=0. C4 C25:=0. C4 C25:=				([,] [,]	
C5 794(7,2)=CP4(1,1) 794(7,3)=0. 794(7,3)=0. 794(7,2)=0. 794(7,2)=0. 794(7,2)=0. 794(7,2)=0. 794(7,2)=0. 794(7,2)=0. 794(7,2)=0. 794(7,3)=1. 795(700000000000000000000000000000000000	C5 794(2,2)=CP4(1,1) 74(3,2)=0. 105 74(3,1)=0. 107 794(3,2)=0. 107 794(3,2)=1. 107 704(3,3)=1. 107 704(17)=1. 107 704(17)=1. 107 71L Mat MUL(CPP,3,1,0,94,1,0,50R) 71L Mat MUL(CPP,3,1,0,94,1,0,50R) 71L Mat MUL(CPP,3,1,0,94,1,0,50R) 71L Mat MUL(CPP,3,1,0,94,1,0,50R) 71L Mat MUL(CPP,3,1,0,94,1,0,50R) 70 PMPUL THE NOMMAL COMMANDER SYRCE FORM SYRCE FOR FORM SYRCE FORM SYRCE FORM SYRCE FOR FORM SYRCE FORM SYRCE FOR FORM SYRCE FOR FOR SYRCE FOR FORM SYRCE FORM SYRCE FOR FOR SYRCE FOR SYRCE FOR SYRCE FOR FOR SYRCE FOR SY			¥	104	
C5 794(2,3)=0. 175 C94(1,2)=0. 7 175 C94(1,2)=0. 7 175 C94(1,2)=1. 175 175 C94(1,2)=1. 175 175 C94(1,2)=1. 175 175 C04PUT THE GT48AF COMPATION HATPIX 55 50491 750491 10 C0300141E TRANSFORMATION HATPIX 55041 5110 11 20491 75043 50431 11 20491 75043 51041 11 20491 75043 51043 204901 74 7043 75043 204901 74 7043 75043 113 204901 7403 75043 204901 74 7043 75043 204901 74 7043 75043 204901 74 7043 7114 204901 74 7043 75043 204901 74 7043 75043 204401 74 7043 75043 204401 74 7414 7414	C5 7P4(2+3)=0. 105 7P4(1+2)=0. 107 7P4(1+2)=1. 10 7P4(1+2)=1. 10 7P4(1+2)=1. 11 7P4(1		7 DA (2,2) = C PA (1,1)	•	1, S	
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 12 10 10 10 14 10 10 10 14 10 10 10 14 10 10 10 14 10 10 10 14 10 10 10 14 10 10 10 14 10 10 10 14 10 10 10 14 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 11	տ Տ	DA12 31-0			
10 004017 1100 100 10 0040017 116 100 100 10 0040017 116 110 110 10 0040017 116 110 110 11 110 0040017 110 110 11 111 111 111 111 11 111 111 111 111 11 111 111 111 111 11 111 111 111 111 11 111 111 111 111 11 110 111 111 111 11 111 111 111 111 11 111 111 111 111 11 111 111 111 111 11 111 111 111 111 11 111 111 111 111 11 111 111 111 111	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 11 10 10 12 10 10 11 10 10 11 10 10 11 10 10 11 10 11 11 10 11 11 10 11 11 10 11 11 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	2		¥	135	
COMPUT THE GIMBAL WUTAL TO GIMBAL REFERENCE FRAME K 104 COMPUT THE GIMBAL WUTAL TO GIMBAL REFERENCE FRAME K 114 COMPUT THE GIMBAL WUTERAL TO GIMBAL REFERENCE FRAME K 111 COMPUT THE GIMBAL WUTERAL TO GIMBAL REFERENCE FRAME K 111 COMPUT THE GIMBAL WULERAL TO GIMBAL REFERENCE FRAME K 111 COMPUT THE NUMEL COMMATION MALPIN SUCH TO SUCH AND LEAD AND	COMPUT FFR3.53 = 1. COMPUT FFR3.50 = 1.0 COMPUT FFR3.50 = 0.05 FFR3.50 = 0.05			¥	2:5	
COMPUT THE GIMBAL NEUTRAL TO GIMBAL REFERRANCE FRAME COMPUT THE GIMBAL NEUTRAL TO GIMBAL REFERRANCE FRAME COROLIVE THAT MULCERFILL AND WATRY SONG ALL MAT MULCERFILL SOUNDI CALL MAT WULCERFILL SOUNDI CALL MAT WULCERFILL COMPUT THE NOMINAL CONTINUES COMPUT THE NUMER CONTINUES CONTINUE	COMPUT THE GIMBAL NEUTRAL TO GIMBAL REFERENCE FORME 113 COMPUT THE GIMBAL NEUTRAL TO GIMBAL REFERENCE FORME 113 COORDIVATE TRANSFORMATION MATPIX 50NR COORDIVATE TRANSFORMATION MATPIX 50NR COORDIVATE TRANSFORMATION MATPIX 50NR COORDIVATE TRANSFORMATION MATPIX 50NR COORDIVATE TRANSFORMATION 50NR COORDIVATE TRANSFORMATION 50NR COURDIVATE TRANSFORMATION 50NR COURDIVATE 50NR COURDIVATE 50NR COURDIVATE 50NR COURDIVATION 50NR		CPA (3,2)=0.			
<pre>13 23 23 COMPUT THE GIMBAL NEUTRAL TO STHDAL REFERIANCE FRAME 23 COORDINATE TRANSFOOMATION MATRIX SSNR 24LL MAT MUL(CPP, 3, 3, 9UM1, 7, 0SNR 24LL MAT MUL(SPA, 3, 3, 9UM1, 7, 0SNR) 20MPUT THE NOMINAL COMMANDER SNR ANGLAR VELITITY WELATIVE IN INFRITAL K 20MPUT THE NOMINAL COMMANDER SNR ANGLAR VELITITY WELATIVE IN INFRITAL K 20MPUT THE NOMINAL COMPANDER SNR ANGLAR VELITITY WELATIVE IN INFRITAL K 20MPUT THE NOMINAL COMPANDER SNR ANGLAR VELITITY WELATIVE IN INFRITAL K 20MPUT THE NOMINAL COMPANDER SNR ANGLAR VELITITY WELATIVE IN INFRITAL K 20MPUT THE NOMINAL SNR ANGLAR SNR ANGLAR VELITY WELATIVE IN INFRITAL K 20MPUT THE NUML SNR ANGLAR SNR ANGLAR VELITY WELATIVE IN INFRITAL K 20MPUT THE NUML SNR ANGLAR SNR ANGLAR VELITY WELATIVE IN INFRITAL K 20MPUT THE NUML SNR ANGLAR SNR ANGLAR VELITY WELATIVE IN INFRITAL K 2000000000000000000000000000000000000</pre>	<pre>13 COMPUT THE GIMBAL NEUTRAL TO STMBAL REFERENCE FRAME 13 CORRIVATE TRANSFORMATION MATRIX SGNR 14 CORRULATE TRANSFORMATION MATRIX SGNR 14 CORRULATE TRANSFORMATION MATRIX SGNR 15 CALL MAT MULLERPIA, 3, 00H1, 3, 00H1</pre>					
COMPUT THE STHBAL VEUTAL TO STHBAL REFERENCE FRAMF 10 COORDIVATE TRANSFORMATION HATPIX SONG 11 K 112 X 112 X 112 X 113 COMPUT THE NOMINAL COMMUNITY SOUNT STERNAL 114 COMPUT THE NOMINAL COMMUNIC SYNG ANGULAR VELATIVE TO INFRITAL K 114 COMPUT THE NOMINAL COMPUSED SYNG ANGULAR VELATIVE TO INFRITAL K 114 COMPUT THE NOMINAL COMPUSED SYNG ANGULAR VELATIVE TO INFRITAL K 114 COMPUT THE NOMINAL COMPUSED SYNG ANGULAR VELATIVE TO INFRITAL K 114 COMPUT THE NOMINAL COMPUSED SYNG ANGULAR VELATIVE TO INFRITAL K 114 COMPUT THE NOMINAL COMPUSED SYNG ANGULAR VELATIVE TO INFRITAL K 114 COMPUSED STRAINED SYNG SYNG ANGULAR VELATIVE VELATIVE TO INFRITAL K 114 COMPUSED STRAINED SYNG SYNG ANGULAR VELATIVE STRAINED STRAIN	COMPUT THE STHBAL NEUTRL. TO STHBAL REFERENCE FRAMF CONCURATE TRANSFOOMATION HATFIL COORDINATE TRANSFOOMATION HATFIL CONRECTANT K 111 COURTINAT MULICRPH, 3, 5, 50%, 3, 50%, 3, 50%, 3, 50%, 1, 50%, 20%, 1, 50%, 20%, 1, 50%, 20%, 1, 50%, 20%, 1, 50%, 20%, 1, 50%, 20%, 20%, 20%, 20%, 20%, 20%, 20%, 2			**	7	
10 COORDINATE TRANSFOOMATION MATPIX JSNR [ALL MAT MUL(CPP, 3, 3, 904); 1, 549, 3, 5041) [ALL MAT MUL(CPA, 3, 3, 904); 1, 50642] [ALL MAT MUL(CPA, 3, 50642]	13 COORDIVATE TRANSFORMATION MATPIX JGNR CALL MAT MULCEPRATION MATPIX JGNR CALL MAT MULCEPRATA, STOUMLT CALL MAT MULLEDRATA, STOUMLT COMPUTT THE NOMINAL COMMANDER SYRRO ANJULAP VELITITY SELATIVE IN INFRITAL K 114 COMPUTT THE NOMINAL COMMANDER SYRRO ANJULAP VELITITY SELATIVE IN INFRITAL K 115 COMPUTT THE NOMINAL COMMANDER SYRRO ANJULAP VELITITY SELATIVE IN INFRITAL K 115 COMPUTT THE NOMINAL COMMANDER SYRRO ANJULAP VELITITY SELATIVE IN INFRITAL K 115 COMPUTT THE NOMINAL COMPANIES WICE COMPUTT THE NOMINAL COMPANIES WICE FOR STOURD FOR THE NOMINAL COMPANIES WICE COMPUTT THE NOMINAL COMPANIES WICE FOR THE NOMINAL COMPANIES WICE COMPUTT THE NOMINAL COMPANIES WICE FOR THE NOMINAL COMPANIES WICE COMPUTT THE NOMINAL COMPANIES WICE FOR THE NOMINAL FOR THE NOMINAL COMPANIES WICE FOR THE NOMINAL FOR THE NO		COMPUT THE SIMBAL VEUTRAL TO SIMPAL REFERENCE FRAME	¥	113	
TALL MAT MULICPP,34,509M1,34,504M1) TALL MAT MULICPA,34,509M1,34,6042) COMPUT THE NOMINAL COMMANDER SYRO ANGLER VELITITY WELATIVE IN INFRIJAL K 114 COMPUT THE NOMINAL COMPONENCE OF STREET	CALL MAT MUL(CPP.3,1,CMP.3,3,DUM1) CALL MAT MUL(CPA.3,3,DUM1,3,GCA3) CALL MAT MUL(CPA.3,3,0UM1,3,GCA3) COMPUT COMP		COORDIVATE TRANSFORMATION MATPIX JGNR	¥	111	
CALL MI WULSPAIS.3.00411.3.00421 COMPUTING ON MULSPAIS.3.00411.3.00421 COMPUTING ON MULSPAIS.2.0042145 VELITIY WELATIVE IN INFRIJAL & 114 COMPUTING ON MULSPAIS.2.0042000 00000000000000000000000000000	CALL MAT WOLFGPA, 31.3.90% 4.9% 4.9% 4.9% 4.1% 4.1% 4.1% 4.1% 4.1% 4.1% 4.1% 4.1		TALE RAT WITCHERS AND AN AND AN AND AN AND AN	. 7	• • •	
THE MAL POLICYAISSOUND STORY) Somedifi the Nominal Commanaet Syra angulas velintity selative in infrital K 114 Computed to solve to solve to solve to solve to infritable K 114	A 113 COMPUT THE NOTIFYAISTRONDER STORMS AND AN AND AN AND AND AND AND AND AND A			ć	217	
COMPUT THE NOMINAL COMMANDED SYROLANDED VELOTITY WELATIVE IN INFRITAL A 114	COMPUT THE NOMINAL COMMANDER SYRO ANGULAP VELITIY HELATIYE IN INERTIAL K 114 C frage In Syno perepender foam: coominates wic		TALE HAI MULEOPA, 3, 3, 0041, 3, 06631	~	113	
	G LEAR LA FALL STATES CARACINATES CONTRACTS A LA STATES A L		ST OF USEIN THE ATTOR OF A UNA COAD CHEVENEN INCO 111 111 110000	DTTA V		
	C PRECE IN GYRO PEFERENCE FORM COOPHINATES MIC K 115		ビュー・・・トロードは「レーロー」(「・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・		114	

.

174

:

	PROGRAMMAIN 74/74 OPTEL GLOBAL MAIN	-1110123 +	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	* 22
115	へいにす。アドネ・ビ・ロ・クスロションのモーション・コンタレ	~	+ + -	
	TITE INSMOOTS A SHEAR SCHERE RESERVED FOR SUCCESSION TRUNCT	חני אונוניי א	11	
	COORDIVATE TRANSFOOMATION MATAIY CNOR	~	2 1 9	
	TALL VIT MULTIGNA, 3, 2, 64, 500 CO	×	513	
	COMPARING THE HOMENAL REPORTED FOR A DESCRIPTION FUELD FOR ACT		121	
100		¥ `	121	
		×		
		4 3		
		2		
125		~ `	4 0 U	
	A CONTRACT AND CONTRACT	~ ~		
	JOMPUTT STATE FQUATION SYSTEM HATPIX F(T)	< ×	- 2	
	1911 - SVI MAILE, 1924, 11 BI, 48, 109, 100, 100,		001	
		<	- P	
		~ ~		
		< ¥	+ 	
	いまで、1111~1111~1111~1111~1111~111~111~111~1	· У	8- 	
		¥	1 7 4	
	3411 TO245(6,68,23,51)	•		
÷ 15	PALL MAT MUL(2,12,57,57,58,3)	• •	. प 	
	2466 MAY MULIG+64,122,0464,000	. Y	1 <u>1</u> 1	
	10 41 I=1+68		9 14 1	
	- 0 = (1 + 3 + 3 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2	¥	521	
	70:1.32)≠0.	¥	5	
143	-0=(12)ne	~~	16.1	
	JU(1.49) = 0.	¥	142	
	-U(I+50)=0.	¥	P 1	
	• j=(1•41)=j.	¥	144	
1		۷	145	
14.7		~ `	1 4 5	
		• •		
		¥ `	r	
		¥ `	97 C	
150		~ >		
	DE (33.1) = 0.	~		
		<	101	
	PU(51,11=C.	• •	155	
155	7 U (51 , T) = 0 .	¥	155	
	□U(52,1)=P.0	¥	:57	
		7.	159	
		sr.	153	
		У	161	
1 C C C		~ :	151	
		¥ 1	152	
		~ `	- 01	
		~ ~	• 5 • •	
2		~	155	
		٧	167	
		¥	16.	
		~	5 ° ° °	
		v '	 	
•		~ ~	1/1	
		×*	1.1	

3	ひょうそう・ク・アン・ こうしょう ひょう マンノマト マンドサーズ マンド・マン		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		
			• • •	a ,	•
		2	• •		
		• `			
	t a diama (d) to the second	1.67	\$ - -		
ۍ ۲	计单元 人名英格兰 经单位公司 一部分 化化合物 化合物 化合物 医含化学学 化分子		176		
		¥	177		
	$\partial (f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_{i}) = \mathbf{u}_{i}) = \mathbf{u}_{i} - \mathbf{v}_{i}$	Ŷ	а ^г .,		
	计分子变形 化合合物 化合合物 计计分子 化合合物 化合合物	¥	179		
	1 - 1 4 4 4 7 4 4 5 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	¥			
۲ تلا,		1903	ç		
		¥	192		
	L. JUNTINUE	×	е. Т		
	UN SETUMITSE TAMITER EQUICEN PROFESSION PROF	~	3		
		< 5			
L' A		rs			
		~ >	r		
		~ .			
		-			
		~	193		
		~	191		
		¥	ct]		
	Y (3 %) + C * C * C * C * C * C * C * C * C * C	¥	193		
		¥	194		
		~	135		
٩5 ۲		· •	195		
		¥	197		
	<pre><</pre>	~	501		
	C + C + C + C + C + C + C + C + C + C +	~	601		
		< <u>></u>	, U C		
0		<	10 C		
		× 3	5 J		
		¢ .			
		· •	- u - v		
ų.		~			
		~ ``			
		< 3			
		~ `			
		~ >			
e		2 `	17		
			112		
		~ >			
		د 2	241		
15			0 - 1 0 - 1 0 - 1		
		~ `			
		· `s			
		2 🗸			
	1961.453k ≠0.0		662		
. (2 U T T + 5 4 1 × 7 4 2	¥	221		
		¥	552		
		۲	5:2		
		¥	524		
ц С		~	275,		
		÷,	~ 1		
		~ •			
		<i></i> .	F 2 2		
		~			

AFAL-TR-7:575

ŝ

.

Alion Land Description Description Description 1	5 300 0°51,577 77772 0 805	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	大 人 大 大 大 大 い い い 、 い で の と ≪ ユ で の と ≪ ユ	 <	ν. γ γ γ γ ν. γ γ γ γ γ. γ γ γ γ	× × X X × × , 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Α Α Α Α Α Α Α Α Α Α Α Α Α Α Α Α Α Α Α	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	እ እ እ እ በ በ በ በ በ በ በ በ
	POCKATH ALL ALL COLLEGE	アウイちょりゃら。 メロイちなりゃら。 シロージョーロンドではないと、 アイキャントは、ビディーバアイイト、ちょもいで、ひゃちっかた、りょキキビ)	ТПСКИ ПО, К. С. К. ОЛ, МИ, ПО, К. О. С. 10, К. 10, К. С. 10, К. 10, К	「「「「「「「」」」、「「」」、「「」」、「」、「」、「」」、「」」、「」、「」							

•

s v vu estettit excentes	ト er σ er er er R. N. P 	х Х СФО СФО	K 292 K 243	294		298		× × ×		K 306	× × × × ×			34 E		4 517 K 318	313	252 2	124 22		< 3?4	325	۲. <u>۲. ۲. ۲. ۲. ۲. ۲. ۲. ۲. ۲. ۲. ۲. ۲. ۲. ۲</u>		333	خ 317	, Х. (1.1.4.5 Мал	5.5 5 335	255 2	2 N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	X	ст. М. М. М. А.	
FOC FL. 7	いってい キレイチャット いってい アンドレイン		マード・シート (1997) - 「マーマン・マン・マン・マン・マン・マン・マン・マン・マン・マン・マン・マン・マン・マ			いったい ひったまた たっし しゅうしゅう しょうせい ひょうしょう しょうせいしょう しょうしょう しょう		the control of the state of th			しきょうしょう かんしょう スロート・ション	มาระสายเป็นขามามาระกับชาติมีการเราสุขารถึงและเหตุ เป็นการเป็นขายกระการเราการเราสุขารถึงเป็นสุขารถึงเป็นสายเป็น		11 1-1 11 10 TUT 1 17 12 10 TUT						z = z = z = z = z = z = z = z = z = z =				(f)hA-ff)ledvJaff)tyx(ff), t,	r			1 E (MAT: 1+1 - 725) - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2			「「「」」」 「「」」」 「」」 「」」 「」」 「」」 「」」 「」」 「」		
<u>ц</u>		100			j≹c					4												176		- 1 2				5 × 2			1.1.1		

*-

	きょうえいし こうしゅう しゅうしゅう ひょうち こうしょう しんしゅう ふいはすうすい いいりゅう	47.4 ST 5 ST 5 47.5 47.5	53'64'27	-5 V G	•
	1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,	x v	4 4 4 4 6 4		
	2011年1月1日 1月1日 1月1日 1月1日 1月1日 1月1日 1月1日 1月	Y Y Y	19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1		
	and the second se	~ ~ ~	ዱ ሥ ሥ ዓ ዓ ነ ዓ. ር. የ		
2	「ちゃんだい」」、「いいか」「いいだい」」、「・・・・・」」「「・・・・・・・」」、「・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・	с. СУ У У У	Э.С. ч		
	・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・	* * *	19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1		
531	い「およりと」とし、「よっ」。<) 「月」「「「」」と「」」と「「「」」。、」」、「」、 「A」」」、「」」、「」、「」、」、」、、」、、、、、、、、、、	~ ~ ~ ~	 		
	キャー・サイト クリー・マング・マスティング・マング・ション マー・マー・マー・マー・マン・マング・マスティング・マング・マング・マング・マング・マング・マング・マング・マング・マング・マ	ΥY Y	с . С. 65 К. 65		
		~ * *	351 352 354		
÷.,+	トレークト・クレート・コーク・アファイクター・ファイ・ファイレートトレート くじ 一日子 ざいにくてき いちょう アオレビアト・アオレビア	~ ~ `	ት ርጉ ብ ት ርጉ ብ		
	「「「「「「」」」」「「「「」」」」」」「「「」」」」」」「「」」」」」」」」	/ ¥ V V			
	・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・	7 7 7 7 7 7	373 373 374 374 374 374 374 374 374 374		
4 4 6		• • • • • •	(
•		v ~ v ~ v	97 9		
ur F		* * * * * *	2 P B 7 C P B		
		¥ ¥ ¥ ¥ ¥			
i Ç		••••	1999 - E.		

• •

AFAL-TR-77-75

4

	NISH HVYYCH	74/74 087=:	51N 4"0+454	L1/2.12.	: 143. 3	L. (5) 41 2	~
6 D †		3040X=-S4€CP+31X+C4+∪1¥		¥	50 ti		
	-	210+22=20+210-42=20+20		~	201		
		10H0K=SIH0X+X0(65)		~	434		
	- •			¥	1. 1.		
1		-UMBZ=SUMBZ4X9(64)		¥ :	405		
6 J 4				¥	1 6 7		
		- UT (2) = 5 UTU 7 		~ `	er (Child		
		2 mm c = 1 c 1 c 1 c 2 mm c = 1 c 1 c 1 c 2 mm c = 1 c 1 c 2 mm c = 1		~ `	7 c 7 v		
	·			~ >			
415				× 2	4 T T		
		10 73 T=1.66		c ~			
	•	FF(1.61.27.AND.1.11.52) 50 10 73 23		<	4 1 5 4 1 6		
		FF(I GT 54 AND I LT 52) 63 13 73		~~	ក ហេ ក ភ្ន		
	•	TTX=DTX+F(31,1)*Xn([)		v	4 - 5		
415		1TY=9TY+Ff(32,1)*Y9(1)		*	4.1.7		
]TZ=^FZ+F(33+I)*X0(I)		~	τ, 1 π. Γ		
		DUTINUE		¥	5.17		
				¥	4.5.7		
		2014074-SAFCP491X+CAF317		~	4.24		
				¥	t 5.2		
				5			
	- '			¥ '	1 1		
		2010 (31 = 20H JZ 21 4 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5		~ `	ר ו יו (
				~ ·	1 1 1 2 1 2		
4 7 P	·			•	1 C S		
	·			• :			
		10 10 101104 Feat ft 23 and 1 it coal fo to be		x >			
		TTILGI NG ANULLELINGI 60 13 99 Tert et ey ann tit ey en ty gi		~ 7	 t .		
527				~ \	4 21		
? r	-	□TY=1TY+F(32.T)=X0(T)		~~			
		772=972+F[33,1)+X9([)			1 3 4		
		CONTINUE		• •	6.45		
		cU4GX=Co+C4 ≠UTX+S3+JfY		~	5-5		
51.7		Υμησγ = − S A = C P = 0 T X + C A = 0 T Y		~	4 2 4		
		Z1U+X1D2dS=ZOHA);		*	4 2 4		
		201011)=20WDX		~	4 39		
		7010(2) = SUMDY		¥	443		
r		Parto[3] = SUMD?		• •	-14 -1- -1-		
2		JU IDEA ICTIAN TEATE ED DI CO YO YO DI		~ `	 		
				~ `			
				~ `	さ い さ こ て		
	12			 S 			
445				r 🗸	111, 667		
	74			~ ~	. + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +		
				/ 5	6 T T		
				~ ~	, U		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(f. 1)Ua=(f. 1)		· `x			
450	75	- ONTINUE		• •	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		
		C * B = X X J		¥	451		
		5.6=3.4U		¥	11 U 1		
				¥	4 5 3		
7.60				¥	ະ 1. ປ. -		
				* 7	i nu te		
					t u u		

180

	NIVK FYd9aad	1-140 DE-14	FTN 4. 3+414	21/20/20	17.49.22	ບ ນີ້ ນ ີດ	σ
	+- + (<pre>~(1.61.18.44)3.1.41.52) 50 1) 25 F(1.61.54.440.1.41.52) 50 13 75 </pre>		¥ ¥ 3	4 5 3 4 6 3 4 6 3		
t. G	► ← ((ት ታ ት ታ ች በ ርጉ በ ርጉ በ ማ ርጉ ት ታ ች ማ ርጉ ት ታ ት ታ ማ ርጉ በ በ ርጉ ት ርጉ ት ርጉ በ በ ርጉ ት ርጉ ት ግ ት ርጉ ት ርጉ ት ርጉ ት ርጉ ት ርጉ ት ት ግ ት ት ት ት ት ት ት ት ት ት ት ት ት ት ት ት ት		
465	ט (זו כורי עימי פרא	ZZ=SZZ+F(3,,Y)+F(3,,)+C(7,J) XY=S2V+F(21,T)+F(72,J)+C(T,J) ANTSMSF ANTSMUF DATTNUF		¥ ¥ ¥ ¥ V	ታ ር ድ ድ ድ ማር ማር ማ		
0 K J	r € 11 ₩ 0 C	7739452X449357743652Y4241244 2739452X4672Y43352X424116416 27386552X46724675XY424116416 Filo+29*29 50 TO 81 DP41-252X		.	1 1 6 M A 11 N M M M M M N M M M M M M M N M M M M M		
ಲ ಕಿ. ವ	2 E 11 (2) E 6 80	100-110-110 201-110-110 201-11-110 201-11-110 201-11-110		* * * * * *	0 × er 0° 0° • × × × × er 0 3 3 1 3 3 -		
	ריני (ג סג פר	2015 - 2015 2011 - 2015 2014 - 2015 2014 - 2015 2014 - 2015 2015 -		~ * ¥ ¥ ¥ ¥ *	ት ታ ታ ታ ታ ታ ር ድ ድ ድ ድ ቤ 4 		
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100		2/2-1.5 2/2-1.5 2/2-1.5 2/2-1.5 2/1.61.27.4MAD.1.41.52) 50 53 77 51.61.54.4MD.1.41.52) 50 53 77 71.61.54.4MD.1.41.52) 50 73 73 79 3π1+64		7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 ~	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		
10 17 17	אייינערערערער מי איי	F(d.6T.54.AVD.J.LT.57) 50 TO 79 XX=SXX+F(31.LT.56(1.J) *C(T.J) Y=SYY+F(31.T)*C(22,J)*C(T.J) X=SZ2+F(32.T)*C(22,J)*C(T.J) Z7=SZ2+F(31.T)*C(22,J)*C(T.J) X7=SX2+F(31.L)*C(22,J)*C(T,J) X7=SXZ+F(31.L)*F(13,J)*C(T,J)		*****	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9		
۲. ۱۳	 M	ОИТТИИС СХЕЗЬЧЕХСКАР?ЕҮҮ+Р3#SX¥+2.115-16 СХЕЗЬЧЕХСКАР?ЕУҮ+Р3#145-16 Г1546#SXX+527+87*SKY+2.116-16 F102650.27 67 73 87		7 7 V V Y .			
ሆ የ ሆ	ы 17 (С 1941 Ф.	×		~ ~ ¥ ¥ ~ ^	ちままま (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)		
0 ₩	נָר ד / ס מ	PU(2) = SCY PU(2) = SCZ ANTIN ^S ANTIN ^S		 Y Y Y Y 	- የ ም ዓ ም - ም ም ም ም - የ ም ም ም ም - የ ም ም ም ም		

	TELAS TZZAŁ NIGW KVESSEG	1-11-1-N_L	2412-10-	c * 67 * 4 ;		
ນ. ທ			×	ις ι 		
	3*6=AX.		< x	ະ ອີ 		
	C* C = Z X _		¥	5 5		
	7943=1 28 Lu		~	527		
	FF(L.GT.73.AV).L.LT.5?) 50 TO 93		*	571		
226	TE(I.GT.57.AND.I.LI.67.40 T) 93		*	500		
			¥	523		
			¥	524		
			•	5,5		
u Cu	([*])) * * (Y	5-5		
C 7 C	(1 * 1) (1 + (7 * 20) + + (1 * 20) + + + + / (1 + +) 		¥	227		
	(^'I)))+(^'V)+(I'')+(''))+('')))/////////////////////////////////		Ŷ	534		
	(つきごとにたいつかい)シュモ(いきごと) エキトバナリトバー・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・		Ý	524		
	A 4 4 5 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 5 4 5 5 4 5 5 4 5		¥	53)		
			~ :	5 7 7		
•	いた、 いたた そうりんせんどうひょうかけいたい しん		¥	с х с		
	요즘 비가 가슴 물 시 비가 지 않는 것 이 가 있다. 같은 것 이 가 있는 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 것 이 바람이 가 있다. 것 이 바람이 가 있다.		¥	2 X X		
	一位当日34年(11月24年11月)、11月1日(11月1日)、11月1日(11日))、11月1日(11日)、11月1日(11日)、11月1日(11日)、11日)、11日)、11日)、11日)、11日)、1		~	ر ب		
	クロート ゴイ・クライクション・マン・コン・コン・コン・コン・コン・コン・コン・コン・コン・コン・コン・コン・コン		~	5 35		
			~	575		
r -			¥	537		
			~	534		
	2 JS 2 2 2 0 1 1 1			5 2 3		
			¥	54.2		
	ge 2 nutt Nijf		×	541		
545			~	542		
	JUTUTE: SCY		¥	543		
	2010(3)=SC2		~	544		
	30 JONIING 96		7.	545		
	TX(1,1]=C(2,8,7%)		~	545		
с . 5	TY(1,1)=C(29,29)		¥	547		
	₹_1)=C(30,71)		¥	544		
	TX(1,2)=3(28,71)		~	543		
	[4] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2		' ¥			
	TZ(1,2)=0€36,33)		Ŷ	1 L L L		
្ត ភូមិ ភូមិ	TX(1.3)=C(29+55)		· ¥	5.5.5		
	7×(1,3)=5(29,56)		· •	551		
	TZ(1,3)=C(30,57)		• •			
	Tx(2,2)=0(71,31)		· 5			
	***2,2!=0[32,32]					
553	125 23 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2			1.01		
	TX(2,3)=r131,55)		~ ~	2 U		
	'Y(2,3)=Ω[32, αδ)		< `			
	7 (2,3) = 5 (33,57)		́ъ			
	™¥(3,3)=0(55,55)		- 5			
561	TV13, 3) = 0,556,553		~ ~	101		
	× Z(3,3)=C(57,57)		× >	5.5		
	1 1005 11=1.3		¥ ′	5.5.5		
	10 10(51,52,57),IT		< \			
	51 TA1=3, `		• •	565		
ი ი ი ი				567		
				5.5		
			¥	56.2		
			v	573		
5 1	///11/////////////////////////////////		¥	571		
 F			¥	5 4 3		

AFAI - TR-77-75

182

رد ب ا = (×	578	
81=1.C 2=46		¥ S	574	
-A2=+1.C		~ ~	575	
/ 4 = 7 3 = 4 - 1 - 1		¥ :	575	
10 13 51		x ~	r 04 1 1	
しょうしょう		· ¥	5 8 3	
		¥	1, e. f.	
N 1911 N 191		* '	2 6 5	
 		• •		
1.1-25.00		ý	1 U U	
CONTINUE		· v	545	
TX(1,4)≂0(?8,K1))*521	v	5 9.7	
TY(1.4)=0[29,K2)*F42	~	4 9 9	
T7(1.4)=C(76,K3) # 513 X	>	t e a	
TX(2+4)=C(21+K1	• e L =	•	5.25	
TY(2,4)=5(32,K2		×	- t u	
		N i	542	
		У	7 4 3	
·*(S.4)=0(55.52 23.22 // 0103 /2		~		
		Ŷ	5.5	
		¥ .	5.5	
77(4,4)=0(K3,K3] # (F12 5 * # 2)	~ ``	- 6 - 1	
TTX40.1		×,-	5	
_ 1 A = C * J		¥	<u>ب</u>	
_ LZ = 0 • 5		¥	۲. ۲.	
10 51 11 14 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50		~	r)	
10 61 J≠I,4		¥	5-3	
TY-CTVLO CATVE		¥ '	ר זי ריים עריים	
		¥ *		
		~ ~	.	
10 19 61		×	- 	
SUNTINUE		÷	4	
STX=STX+TX(I.J)		~	F13	
`TY=STY+TY(I,J)		*	611	
TTZ=572+72(I,J)		¥	513	
UNITAUC ST CO		* '	5	
	1 0. 0 //////////////////////////////////	~ 3	51	
5-131-531+0155-5		~		
C* (59, 59) / (5RAV*		<		
1PY (IC) = STY+(2.	0/6P44)*(C(29,59)+	~		
C-132+581+C156+5	8] - C (4 t + 5 k) 1 +		613	
C- (58,53) / (684V*	(č.	¥	521	
CONTINUE		¥	521	
TELL'EQ.1.AVD.	IT.E1.1) OTP(1)=STX	¥	623	
THE TO THE TANK	IT.E.T.[] PTP(2)=STY	¥	528	
15110-503-1540 75470-50 2 AVO	11.4.1.3.1.9 PT19(5)5513 TT 4.3 51 DT16(5-6TV	•	4 9 V	
TELLC.FO. 2. AND.	1.40× 1× 110121-01× 11.63.41 01120-4144	v° h	500	
TFUIS EQ.2. ANT.	II.5.1.1 PTU(T)=51	, .	1.03	
F(IC.F2.1.ANG.	X15=(7)adV1 (C(3*11	~ 🗸	5 v 2 v 2 v	
TELTS.FO.1. AND.	IT.Fl. TAOP(2)=STV		6 2 3	

F.

183

ele a setter a sette

	TE(IS.E0.1.440.IT.C1.1 F100(1)=ST2 *StTS=E0.2.4400 TT.C1 × 1 TYDDA0.1 + ST2	× 2	631	
5	<pre>F(IC.EC.2.AVG.LT.EC.2) TAOU(2) YTEL(2)UC 10.2.2.4VG.LT.EC.2) TAOU(2)=577 F(IC.EC.2.2.2VG.LT.FC.2) TAOU(1)=577</pre>	× × •		
	TE(I2.82.1.4M).IT.52.1) TAPP(6)=5TV TE(I2.69.1.4N9.IT.62.3) TAPP(5)=5TV	~~~	5 44 5 44 5 45	
6-3	TF(IS.E9.1.4∨9.IT.E3.3) TAP9(3)≤477 TF(IS.29.2.4∨∩.IT.53.3) TAP4(5)=51×	· • ¥	5 4 5 5 4 5 5 4 5	
	TE(IC, C, 2, 440, IT, 20, 1) TAPU(5)=STV TETTO 2 2 440 IT, 20, 1) TAPU(5)=STV	(N	533	
	LANDER CONTINUE LOCK DONLING	хy	643 643	
547	1104 - 204 - 204 - 204 - 204 - 204 - 204 - 204 - 204 - 204 - 204 - 204 - 204 - 204 - 204 - 204 - 204 - 204 - 20	×, 7	5 4 1	
	TLTT(2) = x9(23) + x2(32) + x2(56)	< ¥	5 t 4	
	ILTJ1?)=)(32)+*((33)+*((57)	¥	544	
	**************************************	¥ ¥	5 5 7 7	
645	T49(3)=TTLT0(7)-Y7(45)	 Y 	619	
	「たり(た)=丁丁二丁の「1)+ゲッ(15) 「オのグニューエエエーエククユー」(2))	v	۴.'4	
	A DEADER AND AND A DEADER AND A D	~ :	650 19	
		~ ~	51.5 51	
្លាក	Aでとジメ(85まし×+13)(3」=しょし	 Y 	657 657	
		¥	5.5°	
	31L,0,Ρ13,57Ρ,Ρ33,ΡΒ2,ΡΩ3,	¥	5.54	
		~ `	6555 6755	
ំដំ ទ			5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	
	100 - PATINUS	< ~	6 1 3 6 1 3	
	1053 JOHIINUT	Ň	tuj	
	14 (12,±13,2) 50 10 1002 33 - ¥N+1 10	~ '	66,	
643		* `	551 2 2 2 2	
	IIC([)=HIR(WN,T]	~ ~	. L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	
	194 59(I)=58Å TR(48,1)	Ŷ¥	654	
	orf(I)=00F To(MV+I)	¥	665	
565	A MATTER AND	×	655	
		~ `	1 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	
		~ ~		
	-114=J.		673	
		¥	671	
, ,	TT 275 JEL+21 TEXT FT 3 AV3 T 1 3 40 40 40 40	¥	677	
		•	573	
		• 3	+ + + +	
	(1)+×+(1+2)+2)+2)+	× ¥	575 775	
675	273 TONTINUE	 	577	
	42P(4N,1)==03S(12P(4N))+623S(14P))+73×2×2	~	579	
	U DE SANTEN ANTENNA ANT	¥ '	673	
		~ `		
599	210 + 10 + ((N+) add) + 210	~~~	5.9.2	
		×	647	
	274 / JPMAT((JU1) 274 / JPMAT((JU1)	~ ;	ים וו מי מ ע' ו	
	25 72 72 12	× 1	1. 1. 1. 1.	

184

- K.-

ALC: NOT THE OWNER OF THE OWNER O

	ΝΙΥΚ Ατεύδια	72172	0PT=1	14	44/20/20	17-49-02	DA SE	۲٠ ۲
ر ا	275 275	49115(5,275) 700441(146,3) 700111007	(WTR(MV,I),I=1+3),{M^PP(4v,U),}=1+3) (:PFI0,3+5+5X),12×3112×12,7+5X))		~ ~ ¥	ብን የርጉ የርጉ የርጉ		
ድ. ታ ሆ	er fri Gu Her Krig Fri Ming Fri Ming H	70 333 [=1,1] 40115(7,31) 704115(7,31) 70411015 70411015 70411405 70411405	2 rwtqtf,J+J-1+3; 13.6+7%})		~~ <u>~</u> ~~~~	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		
695		72 MAT(1441) 76 36 1=1,20 1=-3+(1-5)+65 71 20 1=15			(XYYVY	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200		
	с., Р:	CAP (2, J, L) = 0 CEP (2, K, L) = 0 CONTINUF 17/L= -1 17/L= -1 20 9/4 4/4=1.5	60 (2. K. L)					
Г 	С. И Ф	151=1151+2 1152=1151+1 43116(5+372) 109411(1H-443	[[5]+[[5] 34,[2,FI4,12]		. X X X X X X	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		
		1 1 1 1 2 2 3 7 2 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7	ГР=61-1 а ТЧЕ=ТЧЕ/3,Г ТНЕ=ТЧЕ/3,Г		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	22222		
נזי דו וי	Y መቀ ም.እና () ም. ም. () ም. ም. ()	ALL PLAD		_	хххх	715 717 718 719		

CAPA NR. SEVEDITY BETAILS TEAGNOSTS DE SAGREM

5,37

+1.7

SCFICE VE THAN & 34 & ROANDH SOMPUTER OF FOR THE STATEMENT. AN LE STATEMENT MAY DE 4325 -

AFAL-TR-77-75

*

185

and the second

kS .atilia6ac∩s	i=ldu mlntl .VnS	\$`\$\$\$\$.*\$`\$L	ととくと、 シニー	1 ° ¢ ° 4 °	L. V. ₹	?
v	ιμοφημετίες χνειμάχιξε, ςκώτι άτιματιμα, του μπου, του μημο. Ο 1.εθμείος τεάζι, τεάμους μης)		¥ v	102		
	DIMPASION F(AR,6A)		×.	ic.2		
			~ `			
			e v	1 2 2		
			Ŷ	501		
			•			
• • •			~ ~	C . 2		
	(INW) cullNIS=A.		Ý¥			
	(INM) dullS(J=>_			111		
	A 5 Z X S ± A .		×	232		
L	1 E (A 3 (5 7) . [.] . [.] .] .] .] .] .] .]		v	7 8 8		
u* * 1			×	4-22		
	・ * **********************************		¥ \	10 i i		
	・ うくく こうかい しいい ・ ゴー・ コー・ かんし かいせい いいしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しゅうしょう しょうしん しょうしん しょうしん ひかんしょう		~ ~	5 5 J		
			~ `	α 		
0	TE(13S(TV), LE.1, 21 - 26) TV=C.		Ý¥	6 2 L		
	"L=SIN(TLAT)		· •	74.5		
	"L=C)S(TLAT)		×	741		
	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		¥	747		
			¥	743		
7 5			×	744		
			~	745		
			¥	745		
			~ `	747		
1,	······································		~ ,	74.5		
				- 12 - 2		
				751		
	- (33, 21 = TY* S7		< x	752		
	- (33,3) = 1 ·		Ŷ¥	753		
15	r f 31, 4) = C7 + G(2) / CY		< ¥	754		
	-132,41 = 52*6(?)		~ •	755		
	{c]}±23*tt]=−x××±25±0;		~ ~	7=5		
	J(137+2)=−23+2i1)/J×		¥	757		
	[25 ⁴ 5] = 02 = 011		*	en L'F		
ה נ			~	F57		
			~	2.92		
			¥ ·	761		
			~ `	, C, ,		
45	×2/25-=(2+T_);		~ `	2 G 2		
	- (32 * 81 = CZ		Ŷ¥	755		
			Y	755		
	·[:34.9]		*	7 6 7		
			¥	759		
			У.	757		
			~			
	1 - T + T 2 2 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		Ŷ	111		
			~ 5			
5.5	C(31.12) = 6(2) + - 7/3×		~ ~	774		
	といましたり いてりゅうてきい し		×	775		
	25+2+2675==-26655		¥	775		

186

COMPANY CONTRACTOR

	•	F 7 2 6 1 F		2	u	
	1 (11・11)		۲			
	(12+15) =6 (3) #67		~~	778		
F. 1						
			~ `			
			~ `			
			~ `			
			·· ·			
i v	しんしょう ひんしん しょうひょう しょうしん しょうしん しょうしん しんしょう しんしょう しょうしょ しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう		~ :			
			¥	144		
			~	78.5		
			¥	7.RG		
	(33*11)=C(1)+C(3)+14+C2		¥	787		
	7(33+18)=5(1)+6(2)		7	7 B B		
	* 511. 13) = D D D D D A C A C A C A C A C A C A C A		~	783		
	「【ZZ,19)→P?F(1) + SZ		¥	191		
	7 (37.4±9) =+000 (1) +74 €37		¥	162		
	7 (31,42])=−0 RF (2) * S2 XCV		¥	797		
	ε (33°52) =mob(s)+25		· ¥	101		
75	1(33,2C) ≍Dof(2)¥T∀+53		. •	734		
	7 (33, 21)=03F(*)		· >	7.05		
	7 (31,22)=-HC(2)=C2/3Y		· •	204		
	[[22, 22] = HC(2) # 57		~ `	7.07		
			·			
C ar			× 3	555		
1			•			
	一次のうちからしました。 ション・ション・ション・ション・ション・ション・ション・ション・ション・ション・		¥			
			¥	н. Н		
	())) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()		¥	A ت A		
;	(32°54) =MC(1)+C3		~	۲. ۲ ۲		
r T	[33,24] = WC(1) # 1 Y # 52		¥	8 14		
	r (31+25) = MC (31+57/04		У	8.5		
	7[32,25]=+WC[3]FC7		•	8`G		
	r(33+25)=-WC(2)#TY#52		¥	807		
	「(33,261=-₩C(1)		¥			
ŕ. T	1 (33*27) = H (())		~	2.2		
	י נ31, 291 =−ERPTY=STT					
	-{32*29)≡-rR+sL		~	8 1 1		
	1(33,24)=52X+SL/SL/SL		 Y 			
	1 1 3 - 50) = Lot (1 4 - 1 + 4 - 1)		<			
С. С			~ `			
			~ `			
			~ `	317		
101			<i>c</i>			
9			c :			
			¥ 1			
	くらう ちょうしょう しょう ひんしつ ロー・ビング アンド・シング しつ ビンド・メント コイイ キャンプ 東口 シング・シング コーク キャンプ 東口 シング・シング アンド・シング アンド・シング		* '	176		
	シャーシュ メコク・ドレット・シャース へんかりそう おとりかり トロイン おとりかい シュート かけり ナオケイ ひひまえ しまえ とまゆし ゴムスしまえ ビスタイ イルビー しまたび ゴイキャビ語 タビリー キリイムビーン とうし		Y 3			
u r	シャンチョンドート ない さない おないたい したい おいという とうじょう トレース しんかい アインクログログ アイド かびきまた たたりまた たんりまた たいりょう たいた		× ·			
	いった うちょう コンド・コロント ココント しょう しゅう しゅう ちゅうしょう しょうしょう しょう パーション マイトワイト ひょうちょう しょうかい		¥ Y	876		
	・ ・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・		¥ 3	 		
	こう シューション コード・ション・ション・ション・ション・ション・ション・ション・ション しゅうしょう アンチングキング 手上 いきまい チョー しゅうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう		4 3			
			~ •			
<i>€.</i> •**			• •			
•				 		
	(12)・22) エーバオモンフォン・					
	1621 Sa) = CM + CA					
	「おじゅんじゅんじゅんじゅんし) まんじょう しょうしょう (いい) マン・シュー		· ¥	~ ~ ~		

187

SUBROUT INC. SY	242 74/74 CPT=1	FIN 4.5+414	22122167	1.49.00	-545	кт •
115	(50+31)=6M+(72+5Y+5X+72+12) (51+31)=6M+5Y+5X 163+32)=64+57+57+57+57		¥ ¥ \	20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21		
120	7 (54, 32) =-6M*S2*CY*3, 7 (54, 32) =-6M*S2*CY*3, 7 (54, 32) =-6M*S2*CY*3, 1 (59, 33) ==(34*6S2*SY*5,*CX*5,*CX) 7 (49, 34) ==(4*6, 52*SX*5,*CX*5,*CX)		~ × ¥ Y Y Y	с 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8		
125	- (53,35)=1. - (51,35)=1. - (42,42)=64*62*57*52*52*57*52 - (51,41)=64*57*52*57*52*57*52* - (51,42)=-64*57*52*		(0 ዊ 8 0 0 0 0 0 ታ ዓ ዓ ዓ ዓ ዓ - 1 0 0 - 1 0 0		
C) P1 11	F(49,43) ==-6M ^a (SZ*SY*CX+CZ*SX) T(49,44) ==-6M ^a (CZ*SY*2X+CZ*CZ T(50,45) =6M ^a (CZ*SY*2X-SZ*SX) T(51,47) =6M ^a (CZ*SY*CZ*SZ*SY) T(51,48) ==6M ^a (SZ*SY*CZ*SZ*SZ*)		~ ~ ¥ • ~ ¥	80 80 80 80 80 7 90 90 90 7 90 90 90 7 90 90 7 90 90 7 90 7		
1 15	70 7 I=31,23 (1,52)=6(1,1) 6(1,52)=6(1,1) 7(1,54)=6(1,3) 7(1,55)=6(1,31)		*****	ស្រាល់ ស្រាល់ស្រា ស្រាល់ស្រាក		
5 4 4	<pre>(1,55)=F(1,52) 7 (1,51)=F(1,52) 7 0 10 11 = F(1,51) 7 (1,55)=F(1,51) 7 (1,55)=F(1,52) 7 (1,55)=F(1,52) 7 (1,55)=F(1,52) 7 (1,55)=F(1,52) 7 (1,55)=F(1,52) 7 (1,55)=F(1,52) 7 (1,55)=F(1,55) 7 (1,55) 7 (1,55)=F(1,55) 7 (1,55) 7 (1,55)=F(1,55) 7 (1,55) 7 (1,55)=F(1,55) 7 (1,55)</pre>		~ ¥ ¥ V Y	88 88 88 88 88 89 90 90 90 80 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90		
145 150	7 (1,7 7) = -1, 2 (7 4) 7 (3,5 37) = -1, 2 (7 4) 7 (39, 39) = -1, 2 (7 4) 7 (52, 52) = -1, 2 (7 4) 7 (54, 54) = -1, 2 (7 4) 7 (50, 39) = 1, 0 7 (51, 39) = 1, 0 7 (51, 39) = 1, 0		X X ^ ^ X X A ^ ^ X Y	4 6 6 9 9 6 6 9 9 6 6 9 9 6 6 9 9 6 6 9 9 6 6 7 6 7		
155	<pre>- (31,62) = F (31,1) F (32,62) = F (31,1) T (33,62) = F (31,1) T (31,63) = F (31,2) T (32,63) = F (31,2) T (32,63) = F (32,2)</pre>		~~~~~~~			
160	142462111422441 143564111725040 1495681117225040 1495681117225040		*	ອະດີອີການປັດປະ ກັດເອດອາດ ຄົດເອດອາດຊະຊວຍ		
1 6 5	r(49,55) = r(49,40) r(50,66) = r(50,41) r(51,67) - r(51,42) r0 5 1 = 31,33 r0 5 1 = 1,43		* * * * * *	ν 31 (ρ. (ρ. Ν. α π. ατ. ατ. στ. στ. στ. στ. στ. στ. στ.		
170	TE(ASS(F(I+U)).LE.1.JF-13C) F(I+U)='. 5 PONTINUE		. X Y	000 000 000 000		

188



*

- 44 j

.

10: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1:					
1 1 <td></td> <td>DURROUTINE WOT MATICA.ISTED)</td> <td>¥</td> <td>9 5 9</td> <td></td>		DURROUTINE WOT MATICA.ISTED)	¥	9 5 9	
11:1 1:1:1		VINTASION D (54+69)+IC(IV)	¥	897	
11 10 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>¥</td><td>8 39</td><td></td></td<>			¥	8 39	
7. 70 M (11, 3, 3, 1), 1755 91 10. 7944 (141, 490514)5 E 9Jahl DN (74174 41) 17 K f1)) 91 20. 75 914 (141, 464, 3441) 175 20. 75 914 (141, 464, 3441) 175 20. 75 914 (141, 464, 3441) 175 20. 75 914 (141, 464, 3441) 175 20. 75 914 (141, 464, 3441) 175 20. 75 914 (141, 464, 3441) 175 20. 75 914 (141, 464, 3441) 176 20. 75 914 (141, 474, 794100) 94 (157, 7) 11 20. 75 914 (141, 474, 794100) 94 (157, 7) 11 20. 75 914 (141, 474, 794100) 94 (157, 7) 1-1 20. 75 914 (141, 474, 79410) 174 (141, 141, 474, 714) 20. 75 914 (141, 474, 79410) 174 (141, 141, 474, 714) 20. 75 914 (141, 474, 794-114) 174 20. 75 914 (141, 474, 794-114) 174 20. 75 914 (141, 474, 794-114) 174 20. 75 914 (141, 474, 794-114) 174 20. 75 914 (141, 474, 794-114) 174 20. 75 914 (141, 474, 794-114) 174 20. 75 914 (141, 474, 794-114) 174 20. 75 914 (141, 474, 794-114) 174 20. 75 914 (141, 474, 794-114) 174			¥	8 G J	
10 Control 0 0 11 Control 0 0 12 Control 0 0 13 Control 0 0 14 Control 0 0 15 Control 0 0 16 Control 0 0 17 Control 0 0 18 Control 0 0 19 Control 0 0 10 Control 0 0 11 Control 0 0 11 Control 0 0 11 Control 0 0 11 Control		59 T9 (1,2,3,4),I3T5P	¥	JJ7	
10 0915 (6:100) 0915 (6:100) 2 0915 (6:100) 0915 (6:100) 11 0915 (6:100) 0916 (0.000) 12 0915 (6:100) 0916 (0.000) 13 0915 (6:100) 0916 (0.000) 14 0916 (0.000) 0916 (0.000) 15 0918 (0.000) 0918 (0.000) 10 0918 (0.000) 0918 (0.000) 10 0918 (0.000) 0918 (0.000) 11 0918 (0.000) 0918 (0.000) 12 0918 (0.000) 0918 (0.000) 13 0918 (0.000) 0918 (0.000) 14 0918 (0.000) 0918 (0.000) 15 0918 (0.000) 0918 (0.000) 16 0918 (0.000) 0918 (0.000) 10 0918 (0.000) 0918 (0.000) 11 0918 (0.000) 0918 (0.000) 11 0918 (0.000) 0918 (0.000) 11 0918 (0.000) 0918 (0.000) 11 0918 (0.000) 0918 (0.000) 11 0918 (0.000) 0918 (0.000) 11 0918 (0.000) 0918 (0.000)	•	JOZ I XNUE	¥	1:6	
10 303 Statilitie kt. webuState Egulation System 4101k E(1)) 90 2 2015 (6.106) 2010 (6.106) 90 2 2015 (6.106) 90 90 2 2015 (6.106) 90 90 2 2015 (6.106) 90 90 2 2015 (6.106) 90 90 2 2015 (6.106) 90 90 2 2016 (6.106) 90 91 2 2016 (6.106) 90 91 2 2017 (6.106) 90 91 2 2017 (6.106) 91 91 2 2016 (6.106) 91 91 2 2017 (6.106) 91 91 2 2017 (6.106) 91 91 3 91 91 91 4 91 91 91 91 5 91 91 91 91 10 91 91 91 91 10 91 91 91 91 110 91 91		40IIC(6+100)	Ŷ		
2 2	101	1924AI(144,47X,494SIAIS 62UAIIAN SYSTSH MAIAIX F(1))	¥	500	
<pre>2 2011/UF 2 2011/UF 1 2 2011/UF 2 2 70 5 100 1 2 2 2011/UF 2 2 100 2 2 2011/UF 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2</pre>		7.0 T.0 5	~	416	
15 7900 T(141,46K,3944IN(T)AL COMPLEACE MATRIX P(D/1)1 919 17 17 17 17 17 17 18 19 17 19 19 19 17 19 19 19 17 19 19 19 17 19 19 19 17 19 19 19 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 <t< td=""><td>•)</td><td></td><td>×</td><td>915</td><td></td></t<>	•)		×	915	
106 Condition 0 001100 0 0 0011000 0 0 0011000 0 0 0011000 0 0		2011:(6,10f)	~	5 L C	
7 7 7 7 7 <	106	-38Maf(141,46X,384~~~INITIAL 00VA016N06 4AIQIX 0(6/)))	×	1-0	
010 0110		10 TO 5	· .	ی م	
10 10 <td< td=""><td>~</td><td></td><td>~ `</td><td>010</td><td></td></td<>	~		~ `	010	
<pre>12 * 75*Mifilit_4**,*9*==09B4CAFF _ 704A=14Y5 * 4174[Y ====================================</pre>		40115 (6.107)			
<pre></pre>	6 6 4			- -	
<pre>4 73011405 5 73011405 5 73011405 5 73011405 5 73011405 5 73011405 5 73011405 5 73011405 7 73014114011744 7 7311140 7 731140 7 731</pre>	, ,	シーニュー・オート かんてく キャンシュート トレック ゴング アイション シンシュティー エロ・スリル システィー・シート ロート ロート ロート ロート ロート ロート ロート ロート ロート ロ	4		
4 9115 (4,103) 4 9115 (4,103) 915 15 0 MATT(1414.677, 354U00ATT) COVATINGT 41101 915 10 10 144.77, 354U00ATT) COVATINGT 41101 915 10 11 144.77, 354	•		ç		
<pre>15 \$79MKT[H14674,3544U00AFT) CONTRAFE *TP[Y P[1]) 5 \$99KT[H14674,3544U00AFT) CONTRAFE *TP[Y P[1]) 5 \$99KT[H14674] 5 5 51] 10 [5 5451] 10 [5 5451] 10 [5 5451] 10 [5 5451] 10 [5 5451] 10 [5 5451] 10 [5 5451] 10 [5 5451] 10 [5 5451] 10 [5 5451] 10 [5 5451] 10 [5 5451] 11</pre>	,		~	116	
<pre>5 000000000000000000000000000000000000</pre>			۰.	315	
5 7000000000000000000000000000000000000		(DALE) ALASSA CONTUTION CONTUTION ALIGN ALIG	v	ο, Γ	
10 WEITT(6::01) 91 10 FTENMI(100:374) 91 10 FTENT 91 11 FTENT 91 12 FORMUTH 110(11) 13 FORMUTH 110(11) 14 FENT 91 15 FORMUTH 110(11) 13 FORMUTH 110(11) 14 FENT 91 15 FORMUTH 110(11) 16 FONT 91 16 FONT 91 17 FONT 91 18 FONT 91	-		¥	¢;e	
101 FOMAT(CL98,144 F F A71,144		4 BITT (0° 101)	~	317	
0:1 0:1 0:1 0	101		×	a . C	
0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 1.1 0			× :::	t ;6	
7:6 [= 1:13 92 7:11 = L 92 6 [= 1:13 92 7:11 = L 92 6 [= 1:13 92 7:11 = L 92 7:2 = 91 92 7:2 = 91 92 7:3 = 1:13 1:11 7:3 = 1:13 1:11 8 70 9:10 92 9:10 91 9:11 92 9:12 92 9:13 101 9:14 11 101 1:1 101 1:1 101 1:1 101 1:1 101 1:1 101 1:1 101 1:1 101 1:1 101 1:1 101 1:1 101 1:1 101 1:1 101			¥	ret	
<pre> Totll=L</pre>			~	366	
<pre> F L=L+3 F C = L+3 F C = L+3 F C = C = C = C = C = C = C = C = C</pre>		7.5 (I) ±L	¥	4,2	
YF(K,EQ,4) (G) TO ? 975 YO TO ? 973	ч.	r ± € ♦ 3	¥	603	
#0[F(5,102) ([2(1), [=1,13)) 07 102 FOPMAT(1, _13(Ax,70)) 07 70 T0 (1 1, [=1,12)) 07 70 T0 (1 1 = 1 5, 12) 07 70 T0 (1 1 = 1, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11,		YF(K.EQ.4) 60 TO 2	~	7 c t	
<pre>IC2 F99MMT(1H .13(Ax, T2))</pre>		40IF5(5,1322) (I3(I),1=1,13)	• •	200	
<pre>C1 T2 4 C1 T2 4 C2 T2 4 C2 T2 4 C2 C</pre>	102	506M41(1, 13(8x,72))	· 2	300	
7 'ONTINUT 8 'OTTE(F.133) (TOTT).[=1.12) 8 'S OF ATTE(F.133) (TOTT).[=1.12) 8 'S OF ATTER (F.133) (TOTT).[= 1.12) 9 'S OF ATTER (F.14), M=1.12) 9 'S OF ATTER (F.14, OF ATTER (F.14), M=1.12) 9 'S OF ATTER (F.14, OF ATTER (F.14, OF ATTER (F.14), M=1.12) 9 'S OF ATTER (F.14, OF ATTER (F.14, OF ATTER (F.14, OF ATTER (F.14), M=1.12)) 9 'S OF ATTER (F.14, OF ATT			· }		
WOTTE(6,103) (10(1), [=1,12) 97 1.3 SGMAT(1H, 12(8x,12)) 97 1.3 SGMAT(1H, 12(8x,12)) 97 1.1 SGMAT(1H, 12(8x,12)) 97 1.1 SGMAT(1H, 12(10,1), M=1,13) 97 1.1 SGMAT(1H, 13(11x,13(11x,13)) 97 1.1 SGMAT(1H, 13(11x,13)) 97 1.1 SGMAT(1H, 13(111)) 97 1.1 SGMAT(1H, 13(11)) 97 1.1 SGMAT(1H, 13(11)) 97 1.1 SGMAT(1H, 13(11)) 97 1.1 SGMAT(6		< \		
1.3 F3CWAT(14 17(54)) 8 F0WTENUE 7 00WTENUE 7 01 21 1=1 F1 7 01 20 1=1 F1 7 01 20 1=1 F1 7 01 20 1=1 F1 7 01 20 1=1 F1 7 01 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		4011546 - 1011 (1041) (1041)	~ `		
5 70NTRUE 5 5 19 13 15 5 19 13 15 5 19 13 15 5 19 13 15 5 19 13 15 5 19 13 15 5 19 13 15 15 10 13 15 16 10 13 15 16 15 15 16 17 15 15 16 17 15 16 17 16 15 16 17 16 15 16 17 16 15 16 17 17 15 17 17 17 15 17 17 17 15 17 17 17 15 17 17 17 15 17 17 17 15 17 17 17 15 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>~ ·</td><td>1</td><td></td></td<>			~ ·	1	
0.1111111 0.1111111 0.11111111 0.111111 1.111111111111 0.111111 1.11111111111111111111111111111111111	· 04		× ·	-	
37 37 71 10 71 11 71 11 71 11 11 11 12 7 12 10 12 10 12 10 12 10 12 10 12 10 12 10 14 11 15 10 16 10 17 10 10 10 10 10 11 10 12 10 13 10 14 11 15 10 16 10 17 10 18 10 19 10 10 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 10 10	,		¥	5.5	
F(K+5)(4) (5) T0 a 938 Joint (6, (04) [r(1, (7(4)), W=1, 13) 938 Joint (1, 1) (1, 1) (1, 1) (1, 1) 938 Joint (1, 1) (1, 1) (1, 1) (1, 1) 938 Joint (1, 1) (1, 1) (1, 1) (1, 1) 938 Joint (1, 1) (1, 1) (1, 1) (1, 1) 938 Joint (1, 1) (1, 1) (1, 1) (1, 1) 938 Joint (1, 1) (1, 1) (1, 1) (1, 1) (1, 1) 949 Joint (1, 1) (1, 1) (1, 1) (1, 1) (1, 1) (1, 1) 949 Joint (1, 1) (¥	137	
Joury (5,104) I(4) Joury (5,104)		F(K, E2, 4) G1 40 a	~	1 7 F	
ICF 70*#FT(LH + IS+1X+13F1X+53, X1) 0.45 70 T7 12 0.45 70 T7 11 15.1X+13F1X+53, X1) 70 T7 12 0.47 71 12 14.11 71 15 14.11 71 15 14.11 71 15 14.11 70 17 14.11 71 16 17.12 71 16 17.12 71 17 17.12 72 17 17.12 74 17 17.12 75 17 17.12 76 7 74 77 17 17.12 76 7 74 76 7 74 77 10 17.12 76 7 74 77 10 17.14 76 7 74		4011: 42+5C4) [*4241.4(M)] *H=1*13]	¥	175	
CONTINUE A DARTNUE A	101	(12 *65 *X1321 *X1 *11 * 14 × 15 × 14 × 14 × 14 × 14 × 14 × 14 ×	¥	9 25	
P TONTINUE P TONTINUE			¥	376	
・1111(6・155) 1・19(1・10(1)・イ・1~1~1~) 155 つる日本では、・11・1×12(1×10)・3)。 11 つくビアは、・11・1×12(1×10)・3)。 11 つくビアは、0 ・10 11 つくビアは、0 ・10	57		v	126	
165 795MAT(14 +17+12(1×+59.*)) 11 104TTMJF		121 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	¥	939	
			•	639	
	11		••	6.70	
	•				

۰.

1

	(E'V'S'+'XY'Sag'eg'neliki lig ghianagh	YYV	5 C 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	
		r v s	ቴ. ግ ቴ. ቴ ግ ፒ	
	「その一方に、「たち」、「たち、「まち」、「たち」、「たち」、「たち」、「たち」、「たち」、「たち」、「たち」、「た	< 74	11	
<i>7711111111111111111111111111111111111</i>			965	
2000-2-5:0	iz)SIS*(2)NFL*(6)_(1, 6)_CI A61Shimi	~ 🗸	945	
<i></i>	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	· ¥	947	
		~	94.8	
[- ; - ; ; ;		×	610	
		×	951	
2 4 7 1 2 C	۲ <u>-</u>	У	355	
<u> </u>	d · · · · · ·	~	35.2	
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	ال ر. • • •	•	953	
· · · · ·	F(1, E2, - 7) J≠J+27	¥	727	
		¥	953	
		¥	355	
		~	95.7	
•		¥	95.2	
	(2111月1)(211日、夏水米、米はエイト・人村門工作で、「たい」」、ションドトドロス、エルトルドレビュー)	¥	L 26	
-	┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙	~ :	, AF	
	***************************************	Y	361	
		¥	962	
		¥	967	
. ;		~	5 ° 1 ° 1	
		~	95°	
		¥	965	
		~	9.4.7	
. i		¥	954	
-	a de la companya de la	•	963	
ř		¥	910	
· · ·		¢.	326	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.	c 1 t	
f	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	¥ '	~	
,		•	1.40	
		Ŷ	5.46	
i		N ¹	915	
c		~	× • 0	
,		¥	۳ ۲	
		¥	126	
		÷	. 66	
,		¥	147	
		¥	685	
		¥	927	
		¥	7 8 5	
		¥	5 6 5	
		~	9 4 5	
		~	447	
		¥	6 H B	
		¥	949	
		¥	- t.6	
	「「「」」「「」」」「」」」「」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」	Ŷ	166	
Ē		¥ '	666	
		¥	106	
		•		
:		~ `		
		~ `		
-			1 F T	

State State State State

a Sect

1.001.00		- 15 5 T	2412 16	5 t. J	्रे. स
	<i>ċ</i> ★★★★\$/\$cd\$t.*0}=(TT+TT)∩.		¥	1007	
	U(12,12)=PU(1C,1∩)		~	16.1	
	7U(13,13)=PU(11,11)		*	1.1.2	
	[₩Ĵ@#₩S]/(2*2)Nc=(1; '17)Nc		2	1073	
	7U(15,15) ~ DU(11,11)		¥	オンレギ	
	TU(10.12' PU(10.10) FS.5		¥	1005	
			•	1006	
	70{16,16)±{		¥	101 101	
	JU(17,1)±PU(16,16)		¥	er ::;	
	74(13,13)=00(15,15)		¥	0000	
	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		¥	1311	
	7U(23,20)=PU(19,19)		¥	1011	
	10(57*51)=n0(ta+10)		¥	c 1 .	
	0 H (5) - 3) H (5 ° 0 E) + 4 (5 ° 0 E)				
			~ `		
				5 - 1	
			~	1015	
			У	1 15	
	10(2a+23)=D0(28+,8)		v	1117	
			v	91.1	
	○○(199×24) { ○ " に + \ 3 + 1 3 + 1 3 + 2 5 + 2		· x		
	211 75 . 35 1 = 011 76 . 363		۲ Y		
	キャー・ション しょうしゅう しゅうしょう しゅうしょう しゅうしょう しゅうしょう		~		
	0 (22 + 28) = A (1 × 4 + 24)		~	1021	
			v	1000	
	211 (41 - 41) H C (2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2		. 7	16.1	
			רי		
			¥	1 . C4	
			•	1025	
	121(1+1) = DU(22+22)		~	1976	
			•	10-1	
			ć	· · · ·	
			¥	1 2 3	
	29(57 , 57) ≈0(65,56)		v	62.1	
			¥		
	1167.631=001552.55		· 2	7 F	
			< 1		
			¥	1.30	
			Ŷ	1013	
	JU(59,59)=0U(35,25)		•	1076	
	PU(60.490)=PU(40.440)		- 14		
			•		
			¥	10.55	
			~	1037	
	JU(57,63)=DU(52,52)		×	123	
			~	F c o 1	
			Y.	ŗ	
			У	1041	
			v	1642	
	7U(65.65)=(1.16-35)**?				
	11166.561=011666.661		• •		
			2		
			1007	ď	
	`U(54+0B)=(1.)E-06)**?		¥	1545	
	COMPUT MEASURENT NOISE COVAPIANCE MATRIY S		~	1:47	
	ן 14 I=1, F		~	1.49	
	10 14 J=1.5		·		
	14 D(1,1)=f.		<		
			¢ ?		
			¥,		
			¥	1052	
			¥	1051	
	- [+++]=1+0:-10/([2+0+12+0+12+0+1]		¥	1054	
			¥	1.055	
	、 (0+0)=0 (7 + 1)		`	1 7 5 6	
			~		

NCARNS	T=LOG 38434 FFIJIE TFIL	FTN 4.5+414	2112120	17.49.02	5 4 35	12
115	□ (6, 6) = ⊂ (4, 4) 40TTE (5, 405)		¥ .	1057		
	135 SOPMATILHO		~ >	1659		
	HALTE (6, 10 5)		< ¥	1053		
	LUG FORMAT (140,45%,4044,24500,47%, VOLSE / Bottore Apon	(1)A XIYIX _UNVICANU	¥	1051		
* <u>-</u> *			¥	1052		
			¥	1963		
	BOTTORS AND STORAGE AND		¥	1654		
	A DESTRUCTION AND A DESTRUCTUA AND A		¥	1655		
1.75	ALL DIFFICULT ADJACKALCED		¥	1065		
	and the state of t		¥	1057		
	A PERSONAL AND A		¥	1065		
	A CONTRACT A LOCATOR DATE OF LOCATOR AND A CONTRACT AND A CONTRACT A		~	1069		
	A A LEAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A		¥	1079		
- 1 +			¥	1071		
•			*	1672		
			¥	1073		
			*	1074		
			~	1075		
4 75			~	1075		
			¥	1527		
			¥	1 - 7 R		
			¥	10 TG		
	Let a support the state of the second state of	I HURDLY FLEH HIGH HIGH	*	C e 2 i		
, 7 ,			~	1041		
,			*	1:42		
			•	1 H I		
			¥	1744		
	and the second of the second o		Y	1335		
145	- 「「「」」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「		¥	1695		
			¥	1347		
	LANDAR REAL AND A REAL AND AND A REAL AND A		~	1099		
	O PONTENIC CONTRACT AND A CALMAN OF CONTRACT		¥	1343		
			¥	163.		
			¥	1691		

AFAL-79-77-75

いってあ

4 1 2

(Cost of

AFAL-TR-77-75

r, 5 22 ** ** 23

1100 1100 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 r . 2-12: *****

11N 4.5+124

cuponutive Thans(A, 4, 4, 4, 4)
)Imension A(4, 4), 4)
(Image A(4, 4), 4)
(Image A(4, 4), 4)
(Image A(4, 4), 4)
(Image A(4, 5), 4)
(Image A(4

ß

۰.

1417 - 2012

Erest Pritcaus

.

.

11.10

194

THE OWNER

Ň ه۲ (د 02/07/77 17.49.02 ******* FTN 4.5+414 SURPUTINE MAT MIL(A,M,N,S,L,AS) "IEENSTON A (4,N),S(4,L),AS(4,L) TO 1 [=1,M TO 1 J=1,L TO 1 J=1,L TA([,J)=2(1,3) TA([,J)=3(1,K)*P((X,J)+AS(1,J)) TA([,J)=2(1,3) TA([,J)=2(1,3)) TA([,J)=2(1,3) TA([,J)=2(1,3)) TA([,J) 1=100 411+1 SUMPBUTINE MATMUL . **4**4

٢

1

.

1

. . .

.1

AFAL - TR- 77- 75

.

*

AFAL-TR-77-75∵V. . . 40. 27 धी है। की छिंदी से मान ही है। ते रे हैंदि सी मान ही मान सी सी सी मान सी मान सी मान सी सी मान सी मान सी मान 2212-12: * * * * * * * * FTN ..5+414 TUBRJUTINE VFS MUL(Δ.M.V.X.A.) TIMFYSIOV A (4,N).X(V).AX(V) T. I II.4.4 T.(I) = 2.4 T.(I) = 2.4 T.(I) = 2.4 T.(I) = 4.1.4 T.(I) = 4.1 1=140 52772 SURPOUTINE VECAR •-1

ſ

......

ţ

s,

36. Sec. 1.

۴ ·•• •

na and a structure

 \mathbf{y}_{i}).



۰.

.

ţ

"Jurnuff Terk Merkala," "Jurnuff Merkala," "Link Statistics		20011146 MTVV(4+3+1)	Ŷ	1124	
<pre>wirely bug subsolutive wirely bug subsolutive stilling subsolutive stilling subsolutive stilling subsolutive stilling subsolutive stilling subsolutive stilling subsolutive stilling subsolutive stilling subsolutive stilling subsolutive subsolution subsol</pre>				•	
A WARTER TARGET STATE 100 ALTE ALTER STATE 100 ALTER STATE 100 <td></td> <td></td> <td>~</td> <td>1125</td> <td></td>			~	1125	
LLING SENDERG **LLING SENDERG **LLING SENDERG **LLING SENDERG **Start Note **********************************		SNIIDDELS NOTED A SUBSCREAMENT AND A SUBSCREAMENT	~	1:25	
		LINS SEAUFWCE	¥	1127	
TLL HIGH CALL TLL HIGH CALL 112 ALL HIGH CALL ALT INTERPORT NOT A TAT INTERPORT 112 ALL HIGT CALL ALT INTERPORT NOT A TAT INTERPORT 112 ALL HIGT CALL ALT INTERPORT NOT A TAT INTERPORT 112 ALL HIGT CALL ALT INTERPORT NOT A TAT INTERPORT 112 ALL HIGT CALL ALT INTERPORT NOT A TAT INTERPORT 112 ALL HIGT CALL ALT INTERPORT 112 ALL HIGT CALL 112 112 ALL HIGT CALL 112 112 ALT INTERPORT 112 112 ALL HIGT CALL 112 112 ALL HIGT CALL 112 112 ALL HIGT CALL 112 112 ALT INTERPORT 112 112 ALL INTERPORT 112 112 ALT INTERPORT		!	¥	1129	
# 15 The There wards fineware on a ward interval of a ward		L MINU(9,8,N)	¥	1129	
3 M.D. WARTING, W.M. M. TOWATING, W.M. M. 3 M.D. WARTING, W.M. M. TOWATING, W.M. M. 3 M.D. WARTING, W.M. M. TOWATING, W.M. M. 3016 M.M. WARTING, W.M. M. TOWATING, W.M. M. 3017 M.M. WARTING, W.M. M. TOWATING, W.M. M. 3018 M.M. WARTING, W.M. M. TOWATING, W.M. M. 3018 M.M. WARTING, M.M. M. 3018 M.M. WARTING, M.M. M. 3018 M.M. M. 3019 M.M. 3019 M.M	s / ; /	the state of the second state of the state of the second state of	¥	1131	
 			~	11.31	
011 11 11 11 11 011 11 11 11 11 11 011 11 11 11 11 11 011 11 11 11 11 11 11 011 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 011 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 011 11 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>¥</td> <td>11 73</td> <td></td>			¥	11 73	
OFF OFF OFF OFF S10567 FLA.M.H. (W.M.L.195, PC11.1) OFF S10567 FLA.M.H. OFF S1057 FLA.M.H. OFF S1051 FLA.M. OFF	ù (N TO THE DIMENSION OF A AND 3	Ŷ	1233	
0.01.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.			•	1134	
ALMUST HE MATRY A IN ALCOLUMY INTO WHOLE 1000000000000000000000000000000000000		•••	¥	1135	
5.00357 W.F. MATPY A. F. R. G.E. M.F. T. (WEPNE, C. 11, 1) 1111 1111 1114 SEC. 1114 SEC. 1111 1114 1114 SEC. 1114 SEC. 1111 1114 1114 SEC. 1114 SEC. 1114 1114 1114 SEC. 1114 SEC. 1114 1114 1114 SEC. 1114 SEC. 1114 1114 1114 SEC. 1111 1111 1114 1114 1114 SEC. 1111 1111 1114 1114 1114 SEC. 1111 1111 1111 1114 1114 1111 SEC. 1111 1111 1111 1111 1114 1111 SEC. 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 SEC. 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 SEC. 11111 1111 11111		L WINY(A+A+N)	¥	1135	
0.904E PAFCTEQN (1,1,1,1,0,1,1,1,0,1,1,1,2,0,1,1,1) 110 0.904E PAFCTEQN (1,1,1,1,0,1,1,1,0,1,1,1,1,1,1,1) 110 0.904E PAFCTEQN (1,1,1,1,0,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1	r.,	CAUSES THE MATPIN A TO RE PERLAGOR AN ITS TAURDE.	~	7 7 7 7	
3 000LF PSF75(00 ((1,1),100,1)PF,PS,PC,1(1)) 114 117 STORM (10) 114 STORM (10) 114 118 STORM (10) 114 1400 (10) 119 STORM (10) 114 1400 (10) 119 STORM (10) 114 1400 (10) 110 STORM (10) 114 1400 (10) 111 STORM (10) 1100 (11) 1100 (11) 111 STORM (10) 1	¢.		~	87 1 1	
0 0.000LF 0.000LF <t< td=""><td>c</td><td></td><td>¥</td><td>02.1</td><td></td></t<>	c		¥	02.1	
1 1148 1148 1148 1 1148 1148 1 1148 <td>INC.</td> <td>$BE = PCECISION = A(A,N) + A(A,N) + IPE^{A},PC^{A},PC^{A},PC^{A};P;PC^{A};P;P;P;P;P;P;P;$</td> <td>Y</td> <td>1 1 1</td> <td></td>	INC.	$BE = PCECISION = A(A,N) + A(A,N) + IPE^{A},PC^{A},PC^{A},PC^{A};P;PC^{A};P;P;P;P;P;P;P;$	Y	1 1 1	
101A 194KY LCCY 101A 101A 194KY LCCY 104 101A 104 101A 104 <td>ΣIC</td> <td>MANION X (1001</td> <td>~ ~</td> <td></td> <td></td>	ΣIC	MANION X (1001	~ ~		
0 Wew Wew 11 F (WW WWY) !(147.		· 、		
VINN VINN 1: F (NN-NEW) [[].1] 1: F (NN-NEW) [[].1] 1: 10:	¢,		~ >		
<pre>F (NN-NMER) !(.1.1.0.)</pre>	1-07	2	. ``		
11. F (NN) 55.5(1,1,1,1,1) 12. 7 (1) = 1, NN 10. 3) 3 = 1, NN 10. 3) 3 = 1, NN 10. 10. 1 = 1, NN 10. 1 = 1,			¢.	1164	
1. 7. 7. 1. MU = 1. MU 1. 1. MU = 1. MU 1. 1. MU 1. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7.	L (~	1.445	
2. 7()=1 7. 7()=1 7. 7()=1(+1) 7. 7()=1(+	+ - , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		~	1145	
X: Y(1)=1 Y: Y: Y: Y: Y:	CC	70 I=1 •NN	*	1147	
31 0.0.000000000000000000000000000000000	11 >) = T	¥	1163	
36 7(1,J)=A(1,J) 7 15 7=30, T=1,NN 7=30, T=1,NN 15 7=50, T=1,T 7 15 7=50, T=1,T 7 15 7=50, T=1,T 7 15 7=50, T=1,T 7 15 7=60, T=1,T 7 15 7=70, T=1,T 7	C r		~	67	
7 330 F11.00 7 7 7 7 115 7 7 115 115 7 7 115 115 7 7 110 110 115 7 7 110 110 115 7 7 110 110 115 7 7 110 110 115 7 7 110 110 115 7 7 110 110 110 7 7 110 110 110 110 7 7 110 110 110 110 7 7 110 110 110 110 7 110 110 110 110 110 7 110 110 110 110 110 7 110 110 110 110 110 7 110 110 110 110 110 7 110 110 110 110 </td <td>31 711</td> <td></td> <td>- `</td> <td></td> <td></td>	31 711		- `		
1:2					
y=0.000 y=0.000 y=0.000 y=0.000 y=0.000			¥ '		
The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State interval The State i	1 - (¥	1157	
1154 1154 1154 120 120 110 110 1154 120 120 120 110 1154 120 120 120 120 1154 120 120 120 120 1154 120 120 120 120 1154 120 120 120 120 1154 120 120 120 120 1154 120 120 120 1264 1156 120 120 120 1156 1156 120 120 120 1156 1156 120 120 120 1156 1156 120 120 120 1156 1156 120 120 120 126 1156 120 120 120 126 1156 120 120 120 126 1156 120 120 120 120 1156 120 120 120 120 1156 <			~	F 11 1 1	
11:1 0.0547(1,1) 1155 12:1 0.0105(FE)-0105(FE)) 100,100,12 1155 12:1 0.0110 115 1153 12:1 0.0110 125 1151 13:1 0.0110 125 1151 13:1 0.0110 125 1151 14:1 170 125 1151 15:1 0.170 125 1151 15:1 0.170 125 1151 15:1 0.170 125 1151 15:1 0.170 125 1151 17:1 170 1151 1151 17:1 170 1151 1151 17:1 110 110 1151 17:1 110 110 1151 11:1 110 110 1151 11:1 110 110 110 11:1 110 110 110 11:1 110 110 110 11:1 110 110 110 11:1 110 110 1			、 ·	1154	
1:1 7=00BS(FE)-0105(T051) 100,100.17 115 1:2 7=00 115 1:5 7 115 1:5 7 115 1:5 7 115 1:5 7 115 1:5 7 115 1:5 7 115 1:5 7 115 1:5 7 115 1:5 7 115 1:5 7 115 1:5 7 115 1:5 115 115 1:5 115 115 1:5 115 115 1:5 115 115 1:5 115 115 1:5 115 115 1:5 115 115 1:5 115 117 1:5 115 117 1:5 117 117 1:5 117 117 1:5 117 117 1:5 1117 117 1:5 1117			¥	1:55	
11.3 7 C = TPE 11.5 12.7 70 = TH 11.5 13.7 17 17.5 15.7 17.1 17.5 15.7 17.1 17.5 15.7 17.1 15.5 15.7 17.1 15.5 15.7 17.1 15.5 15.7 17.1 15.5 15.7 17.1 15.5 15.7 17.1 15.5 15.7 17.1 15.5 15.7 17.1 15.5 15.7 17.1 15.5 15.7 17.1 15.5 15.7 17.1 17.5 15.7 17.1 17.5 15.7 17.1 17.5 15.7 17.1 17.7 15.7 17.7 17.7 15.7 17.1 17.7 15.7 17.7 17.7 15.7 17.1 17.7 15.7 17.1 17.7 15.7 17.1 17.7 17.7 17.7		D4BS(PE)-01PS(TPE)) 198,139,12°	~	1155	
12 To=ft 115 15 TF (25) 15:55(*16° 115 15 TT (J-1) = B(TP,J) PE 115 15 T(J-1) = B(TP,J) PE 115 16 T(M) = 1, VPE 115 17 T(J-1) = B(TP,J) PE 115 17 T(J-1) = B(TP,J) PE 115 16 T(M) = 1, VPE 115 17 T=J-T 115 2-5 T=J+KN 1155 2-5 T=J+KN 1155 7-7 T=J+L 1156 7-7 T=J+L 1156 7-7 T=J+L 117 7-7 T=J+L 117 7-7 T=J+L 117 7-7 T=J+L 117 7-7 T11, MIL 1177 7-7 T11, MIL <td>100 001</td> <td>ToE</td> <td>v</td> <td>1157</td> <td></td>	100 001	ToE	v	1157	
12 70NTINU 115 15 125,01 115 15 125,01 125,01 15 125,01 125,01 15 125,01 125,01 15 125,01 125,01 15 125,01 155,01 17 125,01 155,01 17 115,01 155,01 15 125,01 115,01 15 110,01 156,01 15 110,01 156,01 15 110,01 110,01 15 111,01 260,255,26.1 15 111,01 260,255,26.1 16 115,00 1177 175 111,01 1177 117 1177 1177 117 1177 1177 117 1177 1177 117 1177 1177 117 1177 1177 117 1177 1177 117 1177 1177 117 1177 1177 117	H C L	It	¥	5 10 7	
F 75 155.56(1,167 155 115 157 71.170 J=2.4N1 115 177 7(M)=1, APE 115 115 7(M)=1, APE 7 115 115 7(M)=1, APE 7 115 7(M)=1, APE 7 115 70 10 11, M1 70 10 11, M1 71 71 11 71 71 11 71 71 11 71 71 11 71 7 11 71 7 11 71 7 11 71 7 11 7 7 11 7 7 11 7 7 11 7 7 11 7 7 11 7 7 11 7 7 11 7 7 11 7 7 11 7 7 11	125 TIN			1153	
15: 70 170 J=2.00 1151 17: 7(J-1)=8([P_J)/P= 1151 7(N)=1.07E 1154 7:1-J-12 1155 7:1-J-12 1177 7:1-J-15 1177	íl r		r ,		
175 171 171 175 1151 175 101 10 10 1154 101 10 10 11 155 101 10 10 11 155 101 10 10 11 155 101 10 10 11 155 101 11 11 11 1155 111 11 11 11 11 111 11 11 11 11 111 11 11 11 11 111 11 11 11 11 111 11 11 11 11 111 11 11 11 11 111 11 11 11 11 111 11 11 11 11 111 11 11 11 11 111 11 11 11 11 111 11 11 11 11 111 11	- (¥	IIF'	
100 100 100 100 100 100 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 10 11 10			~	1151	
7(NN)=1. * / * E 7(NN)=1. * / * E 7= ((1) 7= ((1) 7= ((1)) = 1. + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +			х	1167	
To=K(TP) To=K(TP) 7:2 1:15 7:1-1? 1:15 7:1-1? 1:15 7:1-1? 1:15 7:1:1.1 1:15 7:1:1.1 1:15 7:1:1.1 1:15 7:1:1.1 1:15 7:1:1.1 1:15 7:1:1.1 1:15 7:1:1.1 1:15 7:1:1.1 1:15 7:1:1.1 1:17 7:1:1.1 1:17 7:1:1.1 1:17 7:1:1.1 1:17 7:1:1.1 1:17 7:1:1.1 1:17 7:1:1.1 1:17 7:1:1.1 1:17 7:1:1.1 1:17 7:1:1.1 1:17 7:1:1.1 1:17 7:1:1.1 1:17 7:1:1.1 1:17 7:1:1.1 1:17 7:1:1.1 1:17 7:1:1.1 1:17 7:1:1.1 1:17 7:1:1.1 1:17 7:1:1.1 1:17 7:1:17 7:		N) ±1.°/0E	¥	1162	
72 72 710 710 710 710 710 710 710 715 70 710 710 710 710 710 715 710 710 710 710 710 715 715 710 710 710 710 710 715 715 70 73 710 710 710 711 711 70 70 73 711 711 711 711 70 70 330 127 711 1177 1177 70 70 330 127 711 1176 1176 71 70 730 127 711 1176 1176 70 711 70 711 711 1176 1176 70 711 711 711 711 1176 1176 70 711 711 711 711 711 1176 71 711 711 711 711 711 711	10+	×(ID)			
710 710 J=1.NN 1167 711 110 260.750.260 1167 711 4(1) 260.250.260 1167 711 4(1) 260.250.260 1177 70 70 70 1177 70 70 70 1177 70 70 1127 1177 70 70 1127 1177 70 70 1127 1177 70 70 1127 1177 70 70 1127 1177 70 70 1127 1177 71 70 70 1177 71 70 70 1177 71 70 70 1177 71 70 1177 1177 71 70 1177 1177 70 70 1177 1177 70 70 1177 1177 70 70 1177 1177 70 70 1177 1177 <	- 2 -		•		
19 19 19 19 16 19 19 19 16 16 19 19 19 16 16 11 11 16 11 16 16 11 17 16 11 16 11 16 11 17 17 11 11 17 11 17 11	1 1 1		-	4411	
IIII IIII IIII IIIIII IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	1 7 •		¥	1165	
<pre>> (11)=x(J) 252 *** 17(1)=r(J)=rD) 260,252,263 252 *** 260 ************************************</pre>	11 T		¥	1167	
7 1	2112	1) = x ()	¥	1153	
255 73-1 73 73 312 73 73 312 73 73 312 73 73 312 75 80 J=2,VV 75 90 J=2,VV 76 117 74 1178 71 74 71 74 71 74 71 74 72 71 74 72 71 74 73 70 J=1,V1 73 70 J=1,V1 73 70 VN =1 P 74 1178 75 7174 77 777777777777777777777777777777777	¥+	(X(1)-TP) 260+252,264	Ŷ	1169	
7.3 T3 31C 7.3 T3 31C 1171 7.6 T05 - 80(3.4) 7.5 - 80(3.4) 4.177 7.1 3.2 U15 2/W 7.1 1 = 0(3.4) 4.1 1 = 7 350 71(1, U1-11) = 7(1, 3) 7.1 1 = 7(1, 3) 4.1 1 = 7 7.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1	255 13=	•••	¥	1173	
260 TDE = -B(J,t) 1172 70 30 J1 = 2, VN 70 30 J1 = 2, VN 30 7(11, J1 - 1) = n(J, J1) + TOF * O(J1 - 1) K 11 11 VN = TDE * VN 71 7(11, J1 - 1) = n(J, J1) + TOF * O(J1 - 1) K 11 11 VN = TDE * VN 12 7(11, J1 - 1) = n(J, J1) + TDE * O(J1 - 1) K 13 7(11, VN) = TDE * VN 14 7(11, VN) = TDE * VN 17 7(11, VN) = TDE * VN 32 m(N, J) = D(J) 11 7(11, VN) = TDE * VN	С° С	13 316	· 、	4 4 4 4	
70 30 J=2,4V 117 30 J=2,4V 117 31 T(1,11-1)=n(J,J1)+T05*0(J1-1) 117 71 T(1,11)=TPE*0(NN) 117 71 T(1,11)=TDE 117 72 T(1,11)=TDE 1175 73 T(1,11)=TDE 1175 73 T(1,11)=TDE 1175 74 T(1,11)=TDE 1175 75 T(1,11)=TDE 1175	26.0 105				
302 7(1,41-1)=7(4,41)+70F*0(4)-1) 7(1,NN)=TPE*P(NN) 7(1,NN)=TPE*P(NN) 7(1,NN)=TPE*P(NN) 7(1,175) 7(1,175) 7	G c		•		
310 711,1NN)=TPE*P(NN) 1115 1115 711 711 711 711 72 72 1175 72 711 72 72 711 72 73 70 711 73 70 711 73 70 711	110 UL		23		
310 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711			¥	1174	
1175 1175			¥	1175	
2.5 Γ. (N.) = 1 - 2.5 Γ. (1.) 2.5 Γ. (1.			¥	1176	
230 ×(NN) = 101 × 11/2 × 11/2			~	1177	
			¥		
	212 100		¥	1173	

198

A CONTRACTOR OF THE R.

• • a5¥a Z**J *64 ***⊥T 2212:120 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * 70 430 J=1,MM 1=KUJ 4CC 7[1]=A(T_J) 4LT 7[1]=P(J) 4LT 7[1,J]=P(J) 4LT 7[1,J]=P(J) 5C2 091MT 1670, NN 5C2 091MT 1670, NN 5C2 091MT 1071 1.0 70RMAT (AHEN=J12,37H IS INCORAGOT FOP SJ200UTINE HTVV) FTN 4.9+414 1=140 71141 SUBROUTINE MINU ĥ 53 65

AFAL-TR-77-75

n,

.....

.

1	°cůd*Ace*CSJ*Nk*WI*GX*An*ed)⊽A icM 3kIiAc88A.	~	1104	
	, 1914, 919, 919, 929, 929, 929, 929, 929, 929	Y	1135	
	0180,140PU.		1196	
	Cruto.Pute.Pute.	¥	1:97	
r	(JAC⁺ UXC⁺ XaC t	¥	1193	
		۲	1133	
	0140(5),TIPP(5),TIPU(5),	~	1200	
	(2)00(1)00(2)*00(2)*00(2)	¥	1231	
	CTTLTO(S),PTU(3),PTO(2),	¥	1232	
1	0,34 (53,04),70 (55,59),20 (59),	¥	1223	
	11100(3;*E0U(0)*E00(2)*	~~~	1204	
	C1110(3),P01P(2),P01J(3),	¥	1235	
	00 PX (23 + 0 PY (2) +	v	1235	
	CT (6A), U (64), X (68),	¥	12.1	
۲. ۲	(2°2) A (2) MO (2) MO (2) MO (2) A (2)			
	□ 4 1 4	• •	0001	
			+ 7 + 4	
	MITOR CAL VIEW LOOK AND TAIL AND	I ECTTURYTEC V	1212	
<i>د</i> د	CONTRACTOR ADSTRUCT THE CONTRACTOR ADVICTOR ADVICTOR ADVICTOR		+ 0 + +	
		× 3	1212	
		2	1011	
	A CONTRACTOR OFFICE AND ALCONTRACTOR ALTERNOOD A	~ `	5 2 2 4	
		~ ~	1 2 1 2	
.,		- 1	. 1 7 1	
	The second s		0121	
	DATE DEVENTION			
	THE REPORT OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP		1221	
וי			2231	
•		~ ~	1221	
	ISATE TRATZECE CONDERT. HALTEMOED ET	~ `	+ 0 - +	
	いっし いってい ちょうし しゅうしょう スページ ひょうしゅ しゅうしょう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしょう たいしょう ひんしょう ひんしょ ひんしょう ひんしょ ひんしょ ひんしょ ひんしょ ひんしょ ひんしょ ひんしょ ひんしょ	~ 7	1 C C T	
		¥ 1		
15		, 1		
		· ·		
		Y `	6221	
		Y 1		
		¥ `	1621	
		~		
7		¥,	1211	
		¥ '	1 1 2 3 4	
		~ ·	ct 5 1	
		Y	12.55	
6.5		* :	1237	
		4 1		
		•	1233	
	一下,一下,一下,一下,有一下,有一下,有一下,有一下,有一下,有一下,一下,一下,一下,一下,一下,一下,一下,一下,一下,一下,一下,一下,一	¥ 1		
			1/41	
ť		<u> </u>		
	14774PLC4 (F3 4 7 1 C74 57 10)11	× x	1 2 4 5	
	7 F (7.67.59 AUT-1.15.54) F (7.57.54)	Y 3		
	TE(I.Gr. 55.440.I.Lr.57) FOT=E24	< \	1245	
	<pre>F(I.65.48.4V).I.L2.59) FCT=5CT5</pre>	~ •	1247	
ر ب ا	TF(I.G.).2.2.4.4.1.1.1.5.6.0.7.5.0.15.0.1.5.0.1.5.0.1.5.0.1.5.0.1.5.0.1.5.0.1.5.0.1.5.0.1.5.0.1.5.0.1.5.0.1.5.0.1.5.0.1.5.0.1.5.0.1.5.0.1.5.0.15.0.1.5.0.150.0.15.0.00000000	×		
	1) = 20 = 1 (1 · 1) + 20 ± 2 ± 2 ± 2 ± 2 ± 2 ± 2 ± 2 ± 2 ± 2	<i>с</i>	1 2 4 3	
		~ >		

AFAL - 18-77-75

200

5 39 **V**o 17.49.73 1251 1252 1253 1255 1255 1255 1255 11/22/23 ¥ FTN 4.5+414 % "DNILMO: % "DNILMO: % "DNILMO: 109446(6,101) P(1),U(1),Y(1) % "DTE(5,102) P(2),U(2),X(7) 103 "D944(114 +10×,64Y-aXI5,20×,3(5×,19F10,3)) 4) "PTE(5,440) P(3),U(7),X(3) % "DTE(5,440) P(3),U(7),X(3) % "DTE(5,440) P(3),U(53),X(53) % "DTE(5,440) P(53),J(52),X(53) % "DTE(5,440) P(53),J(54),X(53) % "DTE(5,400) P(53),J(54),X(53) % "DTE(5,400) P(53),J(54),X(53) % "DTE(5,101) P(52),J(52),X(53) % "DTE(5,101) P(52),J(52),X(53) % "DTE(5,101) P(52),J(52),X(53) % "DTE(5,101) P(52),J(53),X(53) % "DTE(5,101) P(50),J(53),X(53) % "DTE(5,101) P(5),J(7),X(1) % "DTE(5,101) P(5),J(7),X(1) % "DTE(5,101) P(1),J(7),X(1) % "DTE(5,11) P(1),J(7),X(1) % "DTE(5,11) P(1),J(7),X(1) % "DTE(5,11) P(1),J(1),X(1) % "DTE(5,1 FF(L_EQ.1) FWG=-2.0 ^*(I)=X0(M(I.1))+X0(M(I.2)) ^F(I.NE.1) G7 TG 263 ^*(I)=X0(M(I.1))-X7(M(I.2)) יז 254 [=1+3 ישף([]=5297(סאף([))*6574 l≃idù Y (I) = XO (I) * FOT 74174 **JUNITNO** - MC=2. SUPROUTIVE WRIVA ٣ 203 202 c u ሆ ጉ ŕ 5 ം ar a 5 ŝ 100 1.55

AFAL-TR-77-75

*

		1.1.1.1.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
115	→ M (I) = 2.3 PT (2.4 U (I)) # 5 C I 4	У	1 7 2 9	
		¥	1303	
		¥	1313	
	YPITE(6,205) PMP(1),PMU(1),J4(1)	Ý	1311	
	2.5 PORMAT(1H .10X+7Hx5x-MC4.10X,316X,10-1'.4))	¥	1712	
121	(L)PC,(S)UPPO,(S)944 (ASS(6)31194	¥	1313	
	236 TORMAT(14 .104,74MSX+4S7,19%,3(5%,10+1°.7)	¥	1214	
	(z)wb*(z)NWc*(ž)dWa (z02*9)3ilan			
	227 TOPHAI(14 - 13%,744584457,19%,2(5%,1951)			
	C THINKS STATEMENT SHALL STATEMENT S	~ `		
ۍ ک ا		~ `		
N / A	THE TOTAL STRUCTURE STRUCTURE STATES IN THE STRUCTURE S	, r ,		
		.	T 	
		~		
	PALIN (P+122) P(14), J(16), Y(16)	¥	1321	
	Jaire (4,162) P(17), J(17), X(17)	~	1322	
- M - 1	49ITE(6,127) 7(14),J(18),X(13)	×	* * * *	
	ist all the second	`	1 274	
	The second second states and the second s	7	4 4 2 1	
	17 26 T=1 - 7			
	and the second sec			
. 35		~ >		
		, ·	·	
		¥	E.c. 2 1	
		¥	(£ z 7	
	Jalie (5,101) POP(1), DU(1), GDA(1)	、·	1 2 2 1	
	12)([])([])([])([])([])([])([])([])([])([]	×	C121	
	(2)6(5°(2)0cc*(2)due (2);*))ile.	· .	* * * *	
	PTTE (E. 11)			
	A CARACTER CONTRACTER A CARACTER	, ·	t / /	
		~	1335	
		¥	: 335	
L		~	282.	
C 1 -	(12)X'(12)'''(12)''''''''''''''''''''''''''''	~	440	
		~	£333	
	(Stiller InternetIdelle Contents - HI) Internet - H	¥	1343	
	42IT=(6,12?) 0(22),J(22),X(23)	~	1341	
	12UUM41(im *1)X*3H8X2*54X°1(UX*io_1)*	÷	1 3 4 2	
- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	451154 (23) 4 (23) 4 (23) 4 (23) 4 (23)	~	1 7 1. 7	
	123 TOPMAT[14 .1 * 343KY.23K. KEX.19.11 .31]	• •		
	45[TS (6,124) P(24) J(24) X(24)	< .		
	124 FDRMSTEIN 1 X 34877 237 3157 10.1 311	~ `		
	APTECTS APEN DIDEL LOED VIDEL	e :		
ហ ហ •	A THE REPORT AND A REPORT OF A THE ADDREED AND A THE ADDREED AND A THE ADDREED	¥ •		
	AD A THE PARTY AND	· ·		
	・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・	e r	· + · ·	
	とたい しいろうせいとう きといきの何づかり たいちょうしつきゃんべいだいようし いうしょうすい シンサイ ひょうせい ひょうせい くくうせい かくうせい くくうせい	¥		
	The state of the s	У	1351	
	or of the state of the set of the state of	~	1352	
		~	1353	
		~	1354	
		¥	1355	
		•	1355	
		~	101	
		¥	1 30 4	
		¥	C 5 2 1	
		~ `	1.5.1	
	AT TOTAL TARABLE ATTACK AVENUAL ATTACK	~ :		
1 2 .		¥ 3		
	CANCE TEAMOR (C)AGE (C)A STATES	¥ 3		
		¥	1.554	

AFAL-TR-77-75

5

202

Company of the

1.1

	**************************************	*	1365	
		¥	1355	
	(IH())10H01 22	¥	1367	
175	42IT5(6,409)	. 7	1 7 6 3	
	435 TOPMAT(1H .2446YP1 NON-DPTHOGONALITY ANG_TRI	• •	115.0	
	_grr φνG Cukiao'an''κ0'Cb'Ch'Cu''Co	· •	L 7 7 1	
	374++1.5+22+1.1	: `	- 5	
	4PITE(K,124) 6P,5U,3∩	· •	1 3 7 3	
1.4.1	511 AVG CIVEPP, PU, X9, GP, GU, 59.	<		
	C.6.1.0.231.01	• •		
	49IF66.126) 6P.6U.30	~ >	オトウィ	
	CALL AVE COLEAD OF ALL COLE	c :		
		¥	1376	
u a		¥	1377	
		~	1779	
	4a1 + (2, 12)	¥	1379	
	12 FORMATICAL, 27440USING MISALIGNMENT ANGLESI	¥	139.7	
	43IT3(\sigut) P(28),J(28),X(24)	>	1 2 8 1	
	waltf(6.102; 2(29),J(20),Y(29)	• >	101	
		<i>c</i> ·		
	entropy and the state of the st	¥	1334	
		¥	1335	
	JP215 (5+101) P (55), J (55), X (55)	Ŷ	1395	
	42ITT (€+122) P (56)+J (56)+X (56)	Ŷ	197 1	
15	45112(8,163) b(57), J(97), X(57)			
		· `		
	17 NORMATINE ATTACADATOR AND			
		*	(62;	
		¥	1-2-1	
	1.215(3,162) P(321,J(721,X(32)	v	-t.2 l	
	1211 (2,123) D(33), J(11,123)	¥	2 4:2 2	
		¥	762.	
	52 C1 51	¥	1 2 2 1	
		~ \	707 F	
5.5	20 JOANAT(14 JOANATAAA)AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA			
		s' 1	5 T S	
		~	662 F	
		~	1433	
		¥	1431	
4		¥	1402	
	PTU(I)=PTU(I)	•	1 4 7 3	
	Kžj≤(I)=11(I)=111.		4 14 - 14	
	27 TONTINUE	()		
	40115(6,111) 010(1) 011121 1111 011	< :		
		~	14.11	
ŭ	ANDERT AND	~	14.7	
· •		¥	14.4	
		`	1419	
	49]Tr (6, 25)	¥	1 4 1 7	
	35 FORMATCIH , 224PLATE3RM TJTAL NATETI	<		
	2°T=1 52 LL	~ `		
, r u	JTTP(1) = Sn2T(PDTP(1)) = £CTJ	• •		
	1111111 = 504 T(PUTUTUTUTUTUTE	•		
		¥ '	1414	
		~	1440	
		~	1416	
20	(i) Cleating and an and a clean an	¥	1417	
Ċ.	44II.(6+13?) Prip(2),POTU(2),PNID(2)	¥	1414	
	{_}_\\circ\$(_)(_)(_)(_) = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 =	×.,	[,7]	
	10][[(-,.]4]			

.

نا المبد

AF4L-18-77-75

6

1. 1 ...

)

*
11-1-aut)/	N- 487V6 24/74 OPTE1	FTN 4.5+4]4	32102117	23 *64* 21	- ' ¥c
6 1	JPITT(6,101) P(34),J(34),Y(74) JPITT(6,162) P(35),J(35),X(35) JPITC(6,163) P(36),J(36),X(35) TF(M4,23,1) 36 TO 4		¥ ¥ ¥ ¥	14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 1	
41 14 17 -	<pre>valre(6.6) c lowal(14 , 2⁻⁴bCC arts (HIGH GAIN)) c lowal(14 , 2⁻⁴bCC arts (HIGH GAIN)) c lowalve(122) o(53),J(59),Y(53) c lowalve(122) o(53),J(59),Y(53)</pre>		~ * * <i>* *</i> *	サイト 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	
ну Э С	JOINT (6,50) 5.2 FIRMAT(14, 2)MACS #5.0M-UP TOANS[FNT] 20175(6,121) P(37),4(37),4(37) 40175(6,122) P(39),4(33),4(33) 20175(6,123) P(30),4(30),4(33) 20175(6,153)		× • ¥ ¥ ¥ •	1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	
د ۲	<pre>4* Inputs(14 +2644G05L30MFT50 55AL3 F4GT09) 4*2TT(5+121) 0(40),J445),Y(4*) 4*2TT(5+122) 0(42),U(41),X(41) 4*2TT(5+123) 0(42),J(42),Y(42) 1*T(4+153) 0(42),J(42),Y(42) 1*T(44,53+1) 50 T2 23</pre>		~ * ~ ~ *	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
с С	<pre>45TT(6,39) 45TT(4,294)CC TALE 54GTR (4TG4 S110) 45TTF(6,191) 0(610,0(65),X(61) 45TTF(6,192) 0(610,0(61),X(61) 49TT(6,7)</pre>		* ~ ~ * *	4 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 6 4 4 4 4 4 6 4 4 4 4	
4 1 1	TOFMATLIN , 204AGC AIT DEP SCALF FACTOD) JAITT(6,101) 0(65), J(65), X(65) JAITT(6,102) 0(66), J(65), X(65) JAITT(6,103) 0(67), J(67), X(67) JAITT(6,103) 0(67), J(67), X(57) JAITT(4,15)		~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	1111111 1212 1212 1212 12111 121 12111 12111 121111 1211 121111 12111 121111 121111 12111111	
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<pre>21111(6,34) *10(68) *0(58) *0(59) 4011-0(5,34) 7(68) *0(58) *0(59) 74 *78M4T(14 *24*3(5%,10°10,7)) 27 *02M1T(14 *294ACC/P_ATE094 *15ALICN AVGLT0) 401TF(6,122) 2(43) J(45) *0(49) 471TF(6,122) 2(43) J(45) *0(49) 471TF(6,123) 2(44) J(45) *0(49) 471TF(6,123) 2(44) J(45) *0(45) 471TF(6,125) 2(45) *0(45) *0(45) 471TF(6,125) 2(46) *0(45) *0(45) 471TF(6,125) 2(46) *0(45) *0(45) 471TF(6,125) 2(46) *0(45) *0(45) 471TF(6,125) 2(46) *0(45) *0(45) 471TF(6,125) 2(45) *0(45) *0(45) 471TF(6,125) 2(45) *0(45) *0(45) *0(45) 471TF(6,125) 2(45) *0(45) *0(45) *0(45) 471TF(6,125) 2(45) *0(45) *</pre>		, X /		
с, К С			~ ~ * * ~ ~	ተተተተተተ ተ ዓ ዓ ዓ ዓ ዓ ዓ ዓ የ- እ- ዓ ቡ ው በ	
1.7 9 1			~ ¥ V V V Y	11111111111111111111111111111111111111	
a C	0.84-14-2.466+14-31 19112564-1291 504504-51 1911484-50-411 50 70 402 1911484-50-441 50 70 402 4.4 100441424 40,44507	_	****	1673 1474 1475 1475 12775	
6 6 7	ις, το στο το το αστάς της του		~	1474	

â

1

•

264

-

.

- ----

~

4917766,1513 7.44(1.54.5) 7.44(1.54.5) 7.44(1.54.5) 7.44(1.54.5) 1.171 7.41176 1021 7.41176 1021 7.41176 1021 7.41176 1.171 7.41176 1.171 7.41176 1.171 7.41176 1.171 7.41176 1.171 7.41176 1.171 7.41176 1.171 7.4117 1.171 7.4117 1.171 7.4117 1.171 7.4117 1.171 7.4117 1.171 7.4117 1.171 7.4117 1.171 7.4117 1.171 7.4117 1.171 7.4117 1.171 7.4117 1.171 7.4117 1.171		~	1479	
TALL ANG COV(201) TALL ANG COV(201) TALL ANG COV(201) ALL ANG COV(201) TALL A	0+32	¥	1443	
4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.	3*X3+6#+313+50*	¥	1481	
		¥	1482	
CT CT (1.21 - 1.22 - 1.22 - 2.22 -		¥ '	1483	
		•	14.44	
011 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101		x :	1485	
		¥ 1	1485	
	;	¥	2643	
3 DATTACE		¥	1484	
		¥	1489	
		¥	1493	
3 * T = 1 2 2 1 4		~	1491	
dd]]]a[s=(])ad].	([))	¥	1492	
14211995≈(I)±4291	(1)	¥	1492	
J+(I)dcWJ=(I)dd71	天 合	~	1494	
T & P U f I = T & P U f } = F	10		1495	
H¢3 m (1) 0 ⊊ 1 = (1) 0 ⊽ 1		×	3671	
		• •	197	
48II5(6,33)		· 、		
355452 B3318Wacu 12	The state resture resture and the Address	- -		
		- 3		
		<i>4</i>		
JULICIE 1241 IUDO		~ `	1.7.1 • E 7.9	
LOTTERA 1951 TLDD	ALL TADUEL TAAL	~ >		
ddyl (x65"y)sliar		<u>×</u> 3	1555	
		x :	1001	
		¥ '	1545	
		、 ·	1505	
	(CINNER FREE FINE)	~	1507	
[]Ka0].a09=[]Ka4		¥	1504	
2) X d L) 1 d C S = [c] X d L		*	1509	
1146112855=11148L		¥	1510	
ε) λας) ταύ S= (ε) λας		¥	1511	
2*I=I 0+7 LL		5	1512	
hcs=(I)Xd0=(I)Xdv		~	1513	
Hàdaíl)AdC≃(l)Adc		v	1514	
STRAINS STR		¥	1515	
H ALL & C Y C P D X C		~	1516	
* OJ * C & C = C & C		¥	1517	
721151 (101 * 1) 21167	11.0PX121.0X0	~	1518	
Lat (coltable)	046,121,340	Y	1519	
31 DOMIINUF		¥	1529	
(6; °;)); ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;		· ~	10.71	
13 TJEMAI(14 ,194PLA	TEDOM VELOCITIES	· `	1522	
a this since		<	1702	
157 a (2); 4); 11; 4		<	10.0	
			15/4	
가지 않는 것 같은 것 같	נולז %, (ול) נ.י	¥	1525	

8*

205

1.00

58.

.,		*		
		*	1529	
	THUBLE PARTIERON 12 (64 4)	¥	1521	
	JIMENSION PP(58+53), PU(54+58), 40 (59+59), 7 (68+54),	7	1533	
ഗ	□'*{\$\$.\$\$\$.\$\$\$.\$\$\$.\$	~	1571	
	CT1(63+61,×C0(54),2(6),HX(5),O1(104),EVC(63,63),	¥	1532	
	C = ¥ 3 (5 8+ 5 2) - D = ₹ F 8, + 5 € (5 + 5 8) + X 0 1 T (2 8)	~	1255	
	1141 ×(66+00) +130/004 04	· •	1574	
	JATA NYONY, NYON	· •	15.35	
•	COMPUTE THE POOPAGATED STATE COVARTANCE HETER POLYNELLEN NYN		15.35	
	J1=33.0	<	1527	
	7 (M. ED. 1) - 1 = 50.0	. 、	4 C 4 B	
		- ,		
		• •		
L		27	1,4,4	
r		~	1541	
		¥	1547	
		¥	1543	
		¥	1544	
		*	1545	
o		¥	1 - 45	
	13 136 L≃1+1	¥	1247	
	(NJUI+ad+5JJ+ad+1db+c+1db+c); J175.	×	1111	
		¥	1543	
		· ¥	1 N N N	
5	1112(T*1)5(T*1)42(T*1)42(T*1)5			
	2411 0301135,30,51,004,004,105N)	~ ``	15.51	
		~~		
		~ >	1551	
	6 D5(1, 1) = 01(1, 1) + (EYA(1, 1) + 02(1, 1) + 01E	<i>с</i> 5		
0		<		
		~ `	10.0	
		<		
	arian (1, 1) ditating (1, 1) the	()	1553	
ľ		~ 5	1001	
		< >	1012	
	- Tr([.])=01([.])+FY2([.])+01(2)	• >		
	C-04(1-1)+0132+EV3(1-1)+112	~		
		~ 3		
ſ		2 3	((()))	
		~ `	1567	
	י הענדיות (T·T) המהנדית און אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אי	· ~		
	C+FY3(T, J)FY777	< >		
		< \		
5		~ `		
		~ `		
		~ ~		
	DOMPUT PROPAGATED STATE VICTOD RO(K/K-1).V	~~~		
			15,75	
	15 (MM.53.1) IDX=10	¥ Y	1576	
		~	1577	
	JALL VIC HOLL(F+X+X+X)		15,79	
		*	1573	
,	JALL VEC MULIC+N+VIJS+XDDT)	¥	1.5.8.7	
v		¥	1 5 a 1	
		v	1592	
		7		

.

.

*

	2424C+3 NI4	rr/ 13/23	17.64.71	u∿⊽o	ti M
	SOMPLE MERICAL A SUBJECT OF A				•
	241 VID WELCH.M.N.XS.2)	~			
5	JOAPUT THE KACKASK GAIN MATULY YERE		10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		
	CALL RAARSEN. N. HT	÷			
	Call Mar Wylind, V. M. Mr. V.				
		< \			
		• ;	1583		
5.5		¥	1549		
		¥	1541		
		~	1591		
	22 J2 (1+1) H(1+1) t0+(1+1) +0 (1+1) J2 J2 (1+1)	×	1592		
		•	1537		
	CALL MINVED2+23+61	· ¥			
		• •			
		 A 			
		< :	1545		
		Y	1631		
		¥	1595		
5		¥	1593		
	CONTROL OF LARE FILTERED OF STITEFT XO (KIK) - C	¥	169.		
		¥	1601		
		ъ	15.0		
	ALL VEC MULIK+N+M+Z+DM	¥	16.33		
•	comments bett Vec Addixn,03+V+1+2+43+431	¥	16.74		
	COMPOL UPDATED STATE COVARIANCE MATOIX PULKIXES UV	×	5.91		
		~	16.6		
		~	16.7		
		~	1679		
5		¥	16.3		
		*	141,		
		~	1611		
	ALL (XANS(D4+N+N+D5)	¥	1612		
	TALL MAT MULTO4, N, N, PO, PUI	7			
	ALL MAT HULFPU.N.N.DS.N.DS	¥	1644		
,	ALL MAI BULCAANAX + X + X + X + X + X + X + X + X + X +	*	1615		
	DALL TRANS(K.N.S. Or)	~	1615		
	-414 MAT MUL(01, N, H, 05, V, 75,	¥	1647		
	ALL MAT AND (DE +DS - X - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C	· •	• • • •		
		` ¥	1614		
		¥	1631		
			1.001		

207

ς,

DIAGNOSIS OF PROPERTY CAPO NR. SEVERITY DETAILS £

ш

لفلاف للإستاجة للمعدم للسللا مستاعات لمرمه

S . 195

.

AFAL - TR-77-75

۰<u>۲</u> 19**4**0 11.43. --2212.12 ******** 88 .5+414 によい npT -1 74174 TODE INTIUCARUS •••• st. ς, ي. ج 2 in c ŕ ir F

AFAL-TR-77-75

•

.

....

.

4

 SPANNIC PARTY DAY TO STATE



e. 8. 110. 11

.

ĉ U. TENT L' LILLULL ***** 2244-0-4 -ijnqnuffyr &wq Ggw(Pa,pu,x.53,fl,f), -ijnqnuffyr &wq Ggw(Pa,pu,x.53,fl,f), -1.1.57,57 -1.1.45 -1.1.45 -1.1.411,400(12,11)+5.42,400(11,17) -1.1.500(11,11)+00(12,17)+2,0*61*5*0U(11,17) -1.1.500(150)*5*4 -1. 74/74 ADTEL SUGARCHINE ANDOR e +-• տ

AFAL -TR-77-75

.

and the second secon

Ň

	ANGES AND BRITH	ואר זיגדאמדיה רטי היארמקטאראי ד	KERSTED AGATUS F NJMBER 3	L IMU SIMULITY	
・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・	STANDA-0 -0024687E0-	05VIATIAN			-EST 5230- STO 354
CADE CONSIDER DES					
	10-1001-1	1.00001	• 5		
STIE INJUNICAS SCOTTIN DOAS	10-400000	10-1001-4	• 6		
	5.1005-03	1.6335-31			
51×8×4	5.COCF-3₹	5.0005-03	•••		
	5335-33	2°6012-02			
Laide alltrage call					
	8014000°.	1.401-23			
	· · · 35 - 52	:2~s00 q •T	•		
	5-1-1-2-	20-32(7°.	J.		
	7,494F-01 7,104F-04	3.096F-J1	•••		
	10+/16/**0		•		
City (Sabel) Construction			• 7		
	5.35-155.5 5	27-3693-33			
Slxt-A	5.9636-03	5-0031-5	•		
Z-AXIS	5.0108-03	5.0031-03	• •		
SYAR MASS UNRALANDE					
XVX XVX	9.43×5-ù1	3.985F-51	•		
X I H	ק,ב96£-1₹	9.946°-0*			
	3.896F-31	9.9ª6-31	.0		
	9.3455-33	9.9361-03	ч.		
	4.2936-31	10-1266.4			
	6-196r-5	9.9955-03	•		
	5. Jaco .		c		
	1.000F-30		•		
SIXU-2	1.3006-32	1.0005-00			-
SYDO INTAL DOTET					
X-BXIS X-BXIS	1.2185-01	1.0195-31	.0		
2 I X P - 4	1.135-01	1.0195-01	•		
2-4475	7.276F-01	7.C745-01			
SYRD STALT FLOTO					
	1.3585-08	1.35%5-09	.		
	1.558E+08 . VESE-08	577917777777777777777777777777777777777	•		
GYPD MISALIGNMENT AUGLES			•		
B×7	9.369£-61	9.9595-01	. 0		
	9,369E-01	9.9695-31	0		
BV7	9.363F-C1	9.954r-01	С		
	9.363E-01	9.9535-01			
3 C C	10-3744.5	9.959F-01			
PLATENDW SYRO DEPENDENT DUTET	10-3506.5	10-1505.5	•		
SIX9-X	1.333F-01	1.3005-01	- u		
Y-AXTS	1.019F-J1	1.195-01			
SIXE 2	7.1085-01	7.1095-01	• 0		
GTAC VORTUVEHUGENALLIV ANGLES DVV					
	1.4135+03 * **06*an	1.4135403	°,		
×2e	0010073***				
	``F B 7 T + * T	1 + 41] . + 0]	•		

AFAL-18-77-75

211

4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4				1.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4
ARAL ANGLARY A ANGLARY ANGLARY ANGLARY A ANGLARY A ANGLARY A ANGLARY A ANGLARY A ANGLA	СССТОИТТЕЦ ПОКОДАТО СССТОИТТЕЦ ПОКОДАТО СОСТОИТО ССС	17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	C.L."POMITER CALS FECTOR X-AKTS X-AKTS X-BATS Z-AKTS Z-AKTS C.PLATSPH MISALT,N. ANGUES BY BY BY AZY C-11AL-J-ZHALITY ANGUES	STARTS STARTS

 0.2000
 4.0200
 4.0200
 0.000

 0.2000
 4.0200
 4.0200
 0.000

 0.2000
 6.0000
 4.0000
 0.000

 0.0000
 6.0000
 6.0000
 0.000

 0.0000
 6.0000
 6.0000
 0.0000

 0.0000
 6.0000
 6.0000
 0.0000

 0.0000
 6.0000
 6.0000
 0.0000

 0.0000
 6.0000
 6.0000
 0.0000

 0.0000
 7.0000
 0.0000
 0.0000

 0.0000
 7.0000
 0.0000
 0.0000

 0.0000
 7.0000
 0.0000
 0.0000

 0.0000
 7.0000
 0.0000
 0.0000

 0.0000
 7.0000
 0.0000
 0.0000

 0.0000
 7.0000
 0.0000
 0.0000

 0.0000
 0.0000
 0.0000
 0.0000

 0.0000
 0.0000
 0.0000
 0.0000

 0.0000
 0.0000
 0.0000
 0.0000

 0.0000
 0.0000
 0.0000
 0.0000

 0.0000
 0.0000
 0.0000
 0.0000

وَعَوْهُ وَعَوْهُ مَعْنُو وَعَوْهُ مَعْنَا وَعَوْهُ مَعْنَا مَعْنَا مَعْنَا وَعَوْهُ وَعَوْهُ وَعَوْ

AFAL-TR-77-75

а 1

STATE VOTAR ELEVENT		DEVIATION	7JI3 IdC- 22114712	 -601 £5806-
SARA CYNSTANT RIAS				
X-AKIS	6.400E-32	6.40GE+02	1.072E-01	
ZIX Z-A	3.167E-22	3.005E-32	-5.749E-02	
ZYIG INDERDENZER Syig Inderdenzer	3.823E-01	3.554E-D1	5.993E-02	
	20-3736 7			
		4.5765-U1	-/.65/55-34 -2 0045-34	
Z-AKIS			-2-1255-05	
GYPO MAR4-UP ORIET	2 2 2			
X – B X I S	2.7865-33	2,7646-03	-1.6845-43	
SIXTA	4.1995-23	2.7645-03	~2.463E-03	
2-4XIS	7.899E-03	7.8985-03	1.628E-05	
GARO DA G SENSITIVE				
S I X I X I X	3.994E-01	3 . 9945-01	. 5	
SIX	3.9946-11	3.9945-61	Э.	
	4,493E-02	4 .9 93F-82	.0	
61×0 1				
		4.9325-93	2.745E-34	
	03110550.1 11 10000 1		7. 399E-05	
GVRO 4455 URBALANCE	2. B 2 C C - C 3	5 U-1010 - C	5.522E-06	
	9.3865-01	9.046.5 - 11	e	
XIH	3.3565-03	0 0000 DT	-1.0315-33	
ASH	9.386E-01	9.9955-01		
HIY	9.3415-93	9.9435-01	3.780E-04	
427 4	3.417E-01	3.5495-01	-5.9945-07	
M17	9.385E-D3	9-986-0'		
GYRO ANISOFLASTIC CONSTANTS				
X-AY STAR	1.000E-32	1.030E-02	• 0	
T-AXIS	1.0005-02	1.003F-02		
Z-AXIS	1.000E-C2	1.00002	.0	
GYRO TOTAL DRIFI				
	5.4048-02	6.4015-02	3.7395-12	
	2,4536+02	2.8545-02	-6.532E-02	
CART TLLIT TARA	2+305E-01	7 • 0645 - 02	1.1995-^1	
	-0-134 c +			
Y-BKTS		20-1700. T	1•141t+"5	
Z-AXIS	1.3535-08	1 - 3000 - 10	U. 2 1275 15	
GYPO MISALIGNMENT ANGLES			61-326-7-5	
BKZ	9.959E-91	963F-31	.0	
	9.367E-01	9.9675-01	2.789E-12	
872	9.964E-01	9.9645-91	-1.9345-02	
	9.366F-01	9.965F-31	1.611E-02	
176 176	9.369E-01 2.2222	9.969F-01	-5.0115-04	
PLATE DOW GYRO DEPENJENT DUJET	10-3606-6	10-1696.6	• •	
X-AXIS	1.5565-02	1.6395-02	1.5446-01	
SIXE	2.332E-02	2.6265-D2	-6.295E-02	
C- USIN STITUTION TO NOT OGAJ	2.852E-01	2.2155-62	1.210E-01	
DITUTING THUS CHARTER AND THUS				
2.5		1.410 ⁶ +03	1.934E-02 2.2027 22	
97X		1 1401 1407		
r 2)	00FU07777		-1.5115-07	

The rest of the low star in the board of the

ा म्यू ह

AFAL-TR-77-75

61

213

	+03 3.7475+03 -4.3235+11	+83 3.7535+81 -1.5535+33	+33 3*382±+0, -1*1*26+1	+30 2*5#3±+33 -1*****33	+00 5.05Ac+D: -3.7177+00	+B0 5+8881+00 +7+9000Hut				+00 E*C+30 =€*5002E+30	+30 5.503E+01 -5.474E+03	+35 7.0245+07 3.8595-31			+03 2.495°+03 0.	+33 2.496E+03 0.	+C3 2.488F+03 3.110E+62		-32 7.3735-02 2.1575-02		-32 3.0005+02 D.	-C2 3.000F-02 0.	-03 2.4926-03 -4.495E-02	-11 9.933F-01 U. -21 9.933F-01 1.2937F-21		-J1 9.930f-01 -6.7?4f-32	+D1 9,959E-01 0.	-UI 9.9594-01 3.	+33 1.4125+03 6.	+3: 1*#52E+0) 1*532E-61	+00 1.407F+15 6.729F+32		1001-00700-00 000-0070-000-000-000-000-000-0	101 D. D. D. T. T. T. D. T.				3 J Z I Z I 3 I 3 I 3 I 3 I 3 I 3 I 3 I 3 I	-03 1.5175-07 -6.2115+09			-us I.therr -I.therr -34 I.ther-04 -3.6786-01
SEJSAR INGRASIRS AND ASIS	X-AXIS X-AXE+01	Y-4XIS 3.750F+0:	2-4XIS 7-4XIS 7-437E+01			Lankin Charle Contraction	ייון אייא אייי אייי אייי אייי אייי אייי		FERVETIT ANGLES	r-4x15 5.49tr+0	Y-4XIS 5.303F+0!	Z-AKIS 7.517540		fragments all starts	X-BYIS X-BYIS	Y-8XIS 24964-2	Z-AXIS Z-AXIS	HARM-UP TRANSIERT		L-POMETER SCALE FACTOR	X-4XIS SIX+X		2-4926-0 2-4926-0 2-4926-0		0-303F .0	10-302-3-3302-31		VON-DETHOGONALITY ANGLES	B*7 1.4410F+3	82Y 1.407F+3	или болно нісаналії. В 1945 — 1	The state of the test of t			94X 51114 0	67Y 61.543641	87 X 2974E+1	PIZMAV FRAME MISAL ANTLES	x+4x15 2+0:		ATTACTU ATTACTU ATTACTU ATTACTU	SIXT-1 SIXT-2 SI

*

214

	Cenchals	N01181V-C	-3PT FTLT		-0000 100-
	- 697 34 GATED-	0=11Can	3128-152-	-SEMULIFED-	STD JEV
SVIN INVISUON DAY					
	0.390E-02 0.0000 00	6.395F-32	9.813E-92		
	001124000400 10111011		+>+/>0E-02		
ZTATI) Yoo attiinde degewient riss	5.03.07-01	3.5395-01	-5.7435-34		
	5 1105408	20-3000 v			
		1 - 30 30 - 31 1 - 30 30 - 31			
			67 11 1 4 0 M 1		
VP: MADM-UP DETST	- - 				
SIX+X	1.5366+03	1.5455-03	-3°2745-07		
SIXE-X	1.5956-23	1.5845-03	-1.4105-03		
2-4%15	4+530E+03	4.5285-33	-1.1905-04		
LALISHIG 0 1 CONSTICUT					
	10-3966-1	1°-3966-11	. Е		
	2 * 2 * 5 E = 1 3	5.C15F-D7	-3,1145-31		
	4.397E-32	4,9965-32	2.7025-23		
		1 	1		
	いいしんかい。		2,3455-94		
			7.42.45.45.4		
STATES STATES	5 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	· / - /	1.6535-95		
XSW	1.2675-19	1 8565-01	1.1775100		
XII	9.3555173	0.0565-02			
#S#	3.14861.05	8.698F-35			
1 L L	10-10-10 0	9. 36.15 P	5.5485-05		
25*	3.516E+71	3.5155-01	-1.1385-01		
214	9.396F-C3	9.9865-03	.6		
この オード いて	8.698E-01	A.694F-01	5.845-01		
	5.310E+C1	5.0075-01	1.0A3E+90		
	9.525E-01	9.6245-01	4.745E-n1		
THE BUISDELBSTIC CONSIDERT					
	1.3305-02	1.0005-02			
0	* 31 UCP * *	<pre>> 6 - 3 + 6 + 6 + 6 + 6 + 6 + 6 + 6 + 6 + 6 +</pre>	1.5785-34		
VOT TATA! DOTET	2 n = 3 n n = 1	1.900E-37	• •		
		1002 -			
V-4XTS		10-3/20 T			
SIX9-2		2 050E-01	12-3445-11 7 7035-03		
YRP FGALE FACTOR					
X-AXIS	1,36 4E-CA	1.0535-09	1.1335-09		
	1.3585-39	1.358E-38	• 0		
APR. MISSIFEMERY AUCTOR	1.346E+39	: <u>3455-08</u>	1.6332-09		
7×0	9.469E-01 9.367E-11	3.959F-01 9.957F-01	1. 2 2025-42		
573	9.954E-01	9.9545-01	-1-6495-02		
5 Y X	9.355F-C1	9.9555-01	2.4765-02		
BZY	9.369E-C1	3.9595-01	1.5556-03		
	9.363E-31	9.959F-31			
THE AUNTURAL COMPLEX PARTIES					
A26		1.547014.1	1.643E-32		
2 X X	うつい うちりゅう	197777 1 1			
	3 1 2 7 2 1 4 4				

AFAL - TR - 77 - 75

ŝ

215

2008 a

1

Sime

COLUMN PROPERTY OF A		
	3.56.F+5.	1.56nc+1'
$\zeta I \times q = \lambda$	3.304E+00	3.3895+00
51×9-2	3.985E+20	3.9865+03
Stie Jong Chonse Stat		
	5.135F+30	5.1855+00
	3.4536410 6.5055	3 .953 5+31 5 5545+31
U. SAT CETUAS TTIANGUIDD		
X-AXTS	0.360E-03	9.0475-71
SIXE-A	3.3586-20	2635-92
2-AKTS	1-2795+30	1.2795+03
ATTOPH TOTAL DELET		
X-BXJS	1.2925-31	1.2835-31
Y-AKIS	7.1775-37	6.935°-03
Z-AXTS	2.554F-01	2.8525-01
ις] συνκετεία ΒΙςΟ		
X + A X T S	2.147454.53	2.4795+03
Y-AKIS	1 + 7 3 5 5 + 3 3	1.7335493
		2.2275433
		10.LLLL
		1.555.4U
	5 - + 46,2 C + T	1.4.74.1
	5 346C C	101010 1010
	00110000.0 M.14300.0	0-1015-6 0-0101-0
5 1 1 X 2 1	5.1776-2	5.0475.02
CLINDELSE SCALE FARTON	3 2 2	
5 1 F W - X	3.6455-33	3.6455-23
Y - 6 X I <	₹+3J0F-52	3.0355-02
7-4xIS	2.23155.5	2.2154.9
` ≜T* ŋ_P SCALF F13T39		
5 5 7 7 7 7	1.3076-75	1.60)6-05
5 I X G - X	1.3006-75	1.0035-35
	203E-32	3.6396-35
	9.2765-67	9.967F 17
SUISNO NEITARIM MEGITAL		
2 H 2	a.169r-31	3.96aF-01
	9.3256-31	3°-3868-6
	3.452F-101	9.952F-C1
	T/1_10T4.6	10-1015-5
A Lo	10-010-10 0-0000-0	10 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
SUISHA ALIJANOSOHIEU NUM S		1r - 1606 • c
947	1.4095+03	1.4095+33
974	1.43054.1	1.4075+03
×۲۵	1.40554-30	1.4755+33
CZURADO REAMO MISALIGN AVGLER V	•	
	1.4365+00	1.405 ^c +0)
	1.4075410	1.4075407
STEDDA VELOCITI-S	1 • • 1 3 + 4 C	1.4135437
	1.1795-22	8.4335-93
51×5-X	1 - F C	10-3184.0 20-3848.0
2-4x75		
		•

-2.431E-33 3. 7.7425-09 0. 1.2365-51 -3.4785-52 -3.7575-02 -3.7575-02 2.5516+32 0. -1.5525+90 -1.2116+90 -3.1246-01 -2.059E+00 1.495E+50 1.6925+00 7.2326+38 9.954E-31 5.4625+30 1.3196+80 1.9146-71 7.2355-92 2,1985,3 1,6525,3 8,4455,401 -2.8236493 1.3586493 16-9636-03 -9-9526-03 -9-9526-03 -2.2426-32 3. +4.5335-32 -1.2245+C1 -1.456E+31 3. 1.0615+02 1.9365+01 3.0535+02 3.4785-32 1.4915-31 9.7675-02 2.3435-3* •••

AFAL-TR-77-75

٠.

was no o

	LANCES AND OPTI Set Attitude =		NTRASTED AGAINS It NJM9F?= 10	LINU SIMULAND	N RESULTS
STATE VERTOO ELEVENT	TANCDPD -PROPAGATEN-	0FVIATION	-JPT FILT		-531 20200- 210 354
GYEG CONSTANT BIAS					
	3.516E-32	3.8085-02	8.659E+02		
	2.581E-02 7 cccr 2.	2 .8805-03	-5.733E-22		
Skai Stiltude Deorgenetars	1 0 4 3 3 5 4 C T	10- 1007 * 6	5.484t-JC		
SIXE-X	4.3915-03	4°941¢-04	-6.796E-15		
V-4KIS	4.3995E-53	4.995E-33	-2 •4 76E - 14		
Z-AXTS	5.50AE-01	5.000E-03	-1.557E-35		
	9.7965-04	0 1000-00	-5 3675-36		
	20 - 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	40-1001 0			
	2.548F-C	50-1855-0	-7.000000000000000000000000000000000000		
SYPC PA 5 SENSITIVE					
X-AXIS X-AXIS	3.5145-01	3.6135-01	-3.756E-02		
Y-AKIS	4.73F-J2	4.7035-02	-3-1595-01		
Z-AXIS	4.377F - C?	4.9775-02	1.042E-03		
UTEC [
	5 1 H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	10-322-11 - 2811 01	1.357E-04		
	0 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		20401-20 		
TARTS AAAA GAYS	• 0 - 40 a 2 • r	5 7 - 10 10 - 1 2	40-3880-T		
	1.9496-11	1.9496-01	1.1385+00		
M.1 X	9.945F-C	9.9455-03	-9.4455-04		
×51	f2-3061.A	10-282.9	1.2436+00		
AIW	3.3406-33	9.940E-03	7.1095-06		
NS7	3.477E-21	3.4775-01	-9.482E-92		
21W	9.3 85F-JT	9.9855-07	9 * t J C E - j P		
LONA + LONA	6.531F-01	6.49AF-01	-4.475E-92		
	4.951E-J1	4.9515-01	1.1135+30		
A REALDINGS OFFICE SOAN SOAN	10-104+0	1.447E-01	1.1595+50		
		4.99975-03	2.3395-05		
			#0 UM + M		
SYED LITAL DELET		5 n + 5 n n a • T			
	2.511F-02	2.4395-02	-2.1415-62		
SIX W-A	5.5896-02	5.5856-02	-2.0556-11		
SIX V -Z	7.3685-02	7.067F-32	1.192E-01		
GYPO STALE PACTOR					
7. TRATE	6.500E-09	6.588E-03	1.296E-C8		
	1.007E-58	1.005E-09	-1.0355-04		
A TOUR TANANA ANA ANA ANA ANA ANA ANA ANA ANA	1.5375-05	1.55/E-09	7.697E-10		
BXZ	9.3625-01	9.06.76-01	1 2010-02		
BYY	9.3665-01	9.4665-01	2.095F-D2		
248	9.363E-01	9.9665-01	1.4555-02		
XAB	3.365E-01	9.0655 - 01	2.6425-32		
٨ ٧ ٤	9636-01	3.959°-01	+2.039E-23		
XZa	9.969E-C1	9.969£-31	-1.923E-93		
7.6		1.44095400 1.6436400	-6.1595-03 -2 2385-03		
X 20	······································				
	>		-0-140-90-		

HOUSENS HUSPELINE AND AND STATES	1.26654.01	1 242-41
	3*33aE+0C	3.0396+05
Z-AKTS	00+3606°≥	3.9776 + 02
STREAL SYNCHAO ANGL' PIAS		
	4.223F+00	4.2235+00
	4,3525433 5,00461433	4.3621.55 5.3571.55
V. TUNY (CHUNAS TVIIN) V. TUNY (CHUNAS TVIIN)	[r - 11 2 - * c	.f+_174+c
	5.332F-32	5 * 5 7 7 5 - 32
Y - AX] S	₹.291E+32	2.6715-02
	1.46155-31	1.4615-01
	5 J C J C J C	5 37 30 9
		0.01010100 0.01010100
ACCELERONETEU BIAN		
S I X S I X	1.1395+33	1.1095473
Y-4XIS	153A52.	1.5095+33
21 × - 2	?.195F+3≭	2.136E+33
CALLER CHICK CHICA	1	
	N [+ L] N [N] N] N] N] N] N] N] N] N	1.5315+33
		1
	1 2221-21	10-10-112 ·
		1.3536+37
2-452		
strike strice allement. They		
	2,44,45-1,7	1.8435-37
	1.9615-33	1 . R.E.1 ° - 3 °
	2.555 + 3.3	2.2030-33
ACC ATT CEF SCALE FACTOR		
x - 4 x T - X	1.3335435	1.003c-05
SIX W-A	1.5005-05	1.0055-33
	7.3F3F-55	2°-3696°-02
	T	
S-IONG NEITESIK WADITTIGZOUW		
2 X 8	3.359F-31	3.9695-01
	9.875F-51	3.8755-01
		9.9435-31
→ <u>2</u> 0		3.4427-01 2.8285-01
X23	3.9956-61	10-2002 F
ACC NOT-ORTHUSONALITY ANGUES	4 3 ///////////////////////////////////	
BY 7	1.4556433	1.429°+07
72B	1.3596+03	1.3495+33
XYU DIR HUN RUN XYU XYU	1.3996+00	1.3995+30
POCKATAN PARAN JULAN DINA DINA DINA DINA DINA DINA DINA DI	1.4455411	1 . LEF 5 C + D ²
2-4×15	1.4336+30	1.4297+33
PLATERSH VELOCITIES		
	1.456F-22	3.7425-33
	1.4556-32	3.7295-53
	9.1435-33	5.8965 - J3

4+3:3:+94 -1+9317-93 -1+3435-91

+2.6467×52 +8.87294.23 +4.64784.23

-1.1275-32 2.6326-32 2.6326-31 -4.8726-32 -5.9316-32 1.4356-32 1.1376-31

5 • 9 55E - J7

5.353E-02 4.097E-02 -5.3715-32

-8.6435-72 -2.9315-91 -1.9655-82

-6.153F+01 3.294F+01 4.3195+02

-4.2525-92 -2.5355-91 1.1745-11

-2.8835433 6.7335452 2.9155452

-1.2856+80 1.9875+81 7.0176-71

AFAL-TR-77-75

- E -

A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OF THE

•

*'

$ \begin{array}{c} 1.25 - 3.55 (1 M 1 + 1) \\ 1.25 - 3.55 (1 M 1 + 1) \\ 2.45 (2 + 1) \\ 2.45 ($		CSTANDAP9	NCIIVIAEG	-3PT =111		-ECT roduc-
M1111109 M1444 M14444 M1444 M14444		-υσεςοάςλτεη	100001	-ESTIMATI	つくしょう シブラ シン・	-~ ABL L13
Mark 1111 105 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 1111 105 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Mark 2011 Ma	くって 1 一番をつかざつ しょうし					
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		3 - 1 4 4 F - 1 C 1 2 - 8 2 F F - 2 2	5 # 7 # 7 # 7 # 7 # 7 # 7 # 7 # 7 # 7 #	/./92E-22 _E B09E-33		
Production of the constraint of	2-415		2 PEDU 01			
Years: Constraint Constraint<	SADU ATTITUDE JENENSUNGAT GUTTAT GASE					
7.4.117 7.4.917 4.965-11 4.965-11 4.965-11 4.965-10 7.4.117 7.4.117 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.117 7.4.117 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.117 7.4.117 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.117 7.4.117 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.117 7.4.117 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.117 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.117 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.117 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.117 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.117 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.117 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.117 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.117 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.117 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.117 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 7.4.77-01 <td>SIX-X</td> <td>4.390E-33</td> <td>50-3066.4</td> <td>-9.1975-75</td> <td></td> <td></td>	SIX-X	4.390E-33	5 0- 3066.4	-9.1975-75		
VEC Addition Contents Contents Contents Contents VEC MANUNCS Contents Contents Contents Contents VEC VEXTS Contents Contents Contents Contents VEC Contents Contents Co	5 I X V - A	4.395E-J3	4 .9955 - 03	-2.3155-94		
XVC MODE X-1009-104 X-1009-104 X-1009-104 X-1011 Y-1011 X-1009-104 X-1009-104 X-1001-104 Y-1011 Y-1011 X-1009-104 X-1001-104 X-1001-104 Y-1011 Y-1011 X-1001-104 X-1001-104 X-1001-104 Y-1011 Y-1011 X-1001-104 X-1001-104 X-1011-104 Y-1011 Y-1011 X-1001-104 X-1011-104 Y-1011-104 Y-1011 Y-1011 Y-1011-104 Y-1011-104 Y-1011-104 Y-1011 Y-1011-104 Y-1011-104 Y-1011-104 Y-1011-104	5IX V +2	5.000F-03	5,000E+33	1.443E-04		
7100 1000	SYPC WARM-UP DETET					
7.9 7	X-AXIS	7.539F-54	7.6776-04	-2.5535-34		
700 7.1345-01 7.1345-01 -1.5546-0 700 7.001 7.001 7.001 7.001 700 7.001 7.001 7.001 7.001 700 7.001 7.001 7.001 7.001 700 7.001 7.001 7.001 7.001 700 7.001 7.001 7.001 7.001 700 7.001 7.001 7.001 7.001 700 7.001 7.001 7.001 7.001 700 7.001 7.001 7.001 7.001 700 7.001 7.001 7.001 7.001 700 7.001 7.001 7.001 7.001 700 7.001 7.001 7.001 7.001 700 7.001 7.001 7.001 7.001 700 7.001 7.001 7.001 7.001 700 7.001 7.001 7.001 7.001 700 7.001 7.001 7.001 7.001 700 7.001 7.001 7.001 7.001 700 7.001 7.001 7.001 7.001 700 7.001 7.001 7.001 7.001	SIXT-X	7.599F-04	7.6775-34	-7.5145-54		
7000000000000000000000000000000000000	Z-AXIS	2.1995-03	1995 - 03	-1.5345-n4		
Press T-ATTS T-Street Lother Lother <thlo< th=""> <thlother< th=""> Lother</thlother<></thlo<>	YPC DA 5 SENSIT'VE					
Francis Construction Construction Construction Construction CVC Long		3.5375-31	3.6356-31	-5.103E-02		
VPC L. 4775-02 L. 4775-02 L. 4775-02 L. 4775-05 VPC L. 1155 L. 4015-01 L. 4015-01 L. 4015-01 VPC MEX L. 4015-01 L. 4015-01 L. 4015-01 VPC MEX L. 4015-01 L. 4015-01 L. 4015-01 VPC MEX L. 4005-01 L. 4015-01 L. 4015-01 VPC MEX L. 4015-01 L. 4015-01 L 4015-01	SIXT-X	4.6335-02	4.6035-07	-3.1345-01		
YFC 1.4.5.5 2.4.615-01 4.4.615-01 1.4.615-01 1.4.615-01 YFF 1.4.15 5.2.05-01 4.4.615-01 1.4.615-01 1.4.615-01 YFF 1.4.15 5.2.05-01 4.4.615-01 1.4.615-01 1.4.615-01 YFF 1.4.615-01 1.4.615-01 1.4.615-01 1.4.615-01 1.4.615-01 YFF YFF 1.4.615-01 1.4.615-01 1.4.615-01 1.4.615-01 YFF YFF YFF 1.4.015-01 1.4.615-01 1.4.615-01 YFF YFF YFF YFF 1.4.615-01 1.4.615-01 YFF YFF YFF <	21X4-2	4.3775-02	20-3226.4	2.3726-03		
Notice Notice Notice Notice Notice Notice SYC MGRLANT SCCCC-31 SCCCC-31 SCCCC-31 SCCCC-31 SCCCC-31 SYC MGRLANT SCCCC-31 SCCCC-31 SCCCC-31 SCCCC-31 SCCCC-31 SYC MC SCCCC-31 SCCCC-31 SCCCC-31 SCCCC-31 SCCCC-31 SYC SCCCC-31 SCCCC-31 SCCCC-31 SCCCC-31 SCCCC-31 SYC SCCCCC-31 SCCCCC-31 SCCCCC-31 SCCCCC-31 SYC SCCCCC-31 SCCCCC-31 SCCCCC-31 SCCCCC-31 SYC SCCCCC-31 SCCCCC-31 SCCCCC-31 SCCCCC-31 SYC SCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	5790 L.T.S. 33007LATER					
YED VALUE VALUE VALUE VALUE VALUE VALUE YED MASS VASS		4.3856-03	4.9838-01	-5.3275-24		
KF0 4455 UNMLANCE 5.0565-01 5.0565-01 1.4405-01 1.4756-01 KK KK KK 1.4405-01 1.4055-01 1.4055-01 KK KK KK 1.4055-01 1.4055-01 1.4055-01 KK KK 1.4055-01 1.4055-01 KK <	Y-AXIS	4.396E-03	4.9515-03	3.8536-04		
7767 4455 U044LANC 1.440F-01 1.440F-01 1.246F-01 717 717 7.426F-01 9.445F-01 1.7775-01 1.7775-01 717 717 7.715-01 1.7775-01 7.7755-01 7.7755-01 717 717 7.715-01 7.775-01 7.7755-01 7.7755-01 717 7.715 7.775-01 7.775-01 7.7755-01 7.7755-01 717 7.715 7.4765-01 7.4756-01 7.775-01 7.775-01 717 7.415 7.4245-01 7.4245-01 1.11056-00 9.995-07 717 7.415 7.4245-01 7.4245-01 1.11056-00 9.995-07 717 7.415 7.4245-01 7.4245-01 1.11056-00 1.11056-00 717 7.415 7.4245-01 1.11056-00 1.11056-00 1.11056-00 717 7.415 7.4245-01 1.11056-00 1.11056-00 1.11056-00 717 7.411 7.4245-01 1.11056-00 1.11056-00 1.11056-00 717 717 7.4245-01 7.4245-01 1.2567-01 <	Z-ANIS	5.3006-0 5	5 + 50 25 - 03	1.337E-05		
SX 1.465F-01 1.465F-01 1.465F-01 1.465F-01 1.775F-01 FX SX 5.465F-01 5.455F-01 5.455F-01 1.775F-01 1.775F-01 FX SX SX 5.455F-01 5.475F-01 5.475F-01 1.775F-01 FX SX SX 5.475F-01 5.475F-01 5.475F-01 1.775F-01 FX SX SX 5.475F-01 5.475F-01 1.775F-01 1.775F-01 FX SX 5.475F-01 5.475F-01 1.456F-07 5.456F0 FX SX SX 5.475F-01 1.136F-07 5.456F0 FX SX SX SX 5.456F0 1.005F-07 5.457F0 FX SX SX SX SX 1.456F0 1.456F0 1.456F0 FX SX SX SX SX 1.456F0 1.475F0 1.475F0 FX SX FX SX SX SX SX SX SX	TYPO MAGS UNBALANCE			•		
Non-optimization 9,99,55-01 9,99,55-01 1,0,0,55-01 9,99,55-01 1,0,0,55-01 1,1,3,0,5-01 1,1,3,5,5-01 1,1,3,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,	HSX	1.5405-01	1.4405-01	1.2146+30		
YF 1.4756-01 6.535-01 1.7056-01 1.7056-01 YS YS 9356-03 9.4956-03 9.4956-03 YS YS 9.356-01 1.4756-01 7.0426-03 YS YS 9.356-01 1.4756-01 7.0426-03 YS YS 9.356-01 1.4756-01 7.0426-03 YS YS 9.356-01 1.4756-01 1.1366-01 YS YS 9.356-01 1.4756-01 1.1366-01 YS YS YS 9.995-01 1.1366-01 YS YS YS 9.995-01 1.1366-01 YS YS YS 9.995-01 1.1366-01 YS YS YS 9.995-02 1.5056-01 YS YS YS YS YS YS YS YS YS Y	HTK	9.445F-n3	9.945F-07	-1.605E-04		
41x 5.336E-01 9.336E-01 9.336E-01 7.422E-01 7.422E-01 7.425E-01 872 west 822 west 9.365E-01 9.455E-01 4.455E-01 4.455E-01 872 west 822 west 9.365E-01 9.455E-01 4.455E-01 4.455E-01 872 west 9.365E-01 4.455E-01 4.455E-01 4.455E-01 4.455E-01 872 west 9.365E-01 7.424E-01 7.424E-01 7.425E-01 4.455E-01 872 west 9.395E-01 7.424E-01 7.424E-01 1.1366-00 872 west 9.395E-01 7.424E-01 1.1366-01 872 west 9.395E-01 7.424E-01 1.136E-01 1.305E-05 870 cut 9.395E-01 1.305E-02 1.305E-01 1.317E-03 870 cut 9.395E-01 1.317E-03 1.317E-03 1.317E-03 870 cut 9.355E-01	AU1	6.356E-01	6.353c-01	1.7.75+09		
*12 3.4756-01 3.4756-01 -7.425-07 *12 9.566-01 9.475-01 9.4756-01 -4.456-07 *12 9.3516-01 -4.456-01 -4.456-01 -4.456-01 #SX+#57 9.366-01 -4.955-01 1.406-09 -4.556-01 WEXES 9.3996-07 -4.955-01 1.406-09 -4.556-01 WEXES 9.3996-07 1.4066-07 -4.506-09 -4.506-09 WEXES 9.3996-07 1.0056-02 1.0056-07 -4.506-09 WEXES 2.4115 1.476-07 1.456-07 -2.266-11 WEXES 2.456-01 1.3156-09 1.3156-09 -4.456-01 WEXES 2.456-01 1.3756-01 1.3756-01 1.476-02 WEXES 2.456-01 1.3756-01 1.476-02 1.476-02 WEXES 2.4566-01 1.476-01 1.476-02 1.4	*1*	9+336E-03	3.9395+83	7.2325-34		
W12 9,965E-03 9,965E-01 6.435E-01 6.435E-01 W12 W135EASTE 6.435E-01 6.435E-01 1.135E+00 W125EASTE YALS 7.424E-01 7.424E-01 1.135E+00 W125EASTE YALS 7.424E-01 7.424E-01 1.135E+00 W125EASTE YALS 9.995E-01 1.135E+00 1.135E+00 YALSE YALSE 9.995E-01 1.135E+00 1.556E-01 YALSE YALSE 9.995E-02 1.055E-05 9.995E-01 YALSE YALSE 1.005E-02 1.005E-02 1.555E-01 YALSE YALSE 1.005E-02 1.005E-02 1.555E-01 YALSE YALSE 1.005E-02 1.375E-03 1.375E-03 YALSE YALSE 1.075F-02 1.375E-03 1.375E-03 YALSE YALSE 1.075F-02 1.375E-03 1.375E-03 YALSE YALSE 1.375E-03 1.375E-03 1.375E-03 YALSE YALSE 1.375E-03 1.375E-03 1.375E-03 YALSE YALSE 1.375E-03 1.3755E-03 1.375	2 S #	3.476F-31	3.4756-01	-7.9425-02		
XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		9.3865-03	9,985E- 0 3	-5.159€-05		
XFF MISSELATT 4.955-01 1.1554.00 XFX+FS7 Y-4215 7.424E-01 1.1564.00 Y-4215 Y-4215 3.106-05 3.106-05 Y-4215 Y-4215 3.9945-07 3.106-05 X-4215 Y-4215 3.9945-07 3.106-05 X-4215 Y-4215 3.9945-07 3.106-05 X-4215 Y-4215 1.0005-02 4.5016-06 X-415 Y-4215 3.9945-07 4.5016-05 X-415 Y-4215 3.9945-07 4.5016-02 X-415 Y-4215 3.9945-07 4.5016-02 X-415 Y-415 1.0005-02 4.5016-02 X-415 Y-415 1.0005-02 4.5016-01 X-415 Y-415 1.0005-02 4.5016-01 X-415 Y-415 1.0005-02 4.5056-01 X-415 Y-415 1.0005-02 4.5056-01 X-415 Y-415 1.4156-02 1.3556-01 X-415 Y-415 1.4156-02 1.4156-02 X-415 Y-4156-01 1.4156-02 1.4156-02 X-415 Y-4556-01 1.4156-02 1.4156-02 X-415 Y-4556-01 1.4156-02 1.4156-02 X-415 Y-4565		5.4735-01	6.4715-01	4.AJSE-03		
GFF ANISSIGNOS 1.130E+00 GFF ANISSIGNEST 1.130E+00 SVPC TOTAL STE 3.994E-01 TAXES 3.994E-02 TAXES 3.994E-02 TAXES 3.994E-01 TAXES 3.994E-01 TAXES 3.994E-02 TAXES 3.95E-03 TAXES 3.95E-03 TAXES 1.312E-03 TAXES 1.312E-03 TAXES 1.375E-03 TAXE 1.477E-02		4.351E-C1	4.9535-01	1.135E+00		
X-KXIS 3.99696-07 3.1705-05 X-KXIS -axxis 3.9966-07 3.1705-05 Y-KXIS -axxis 3.9966-07 1.6406-04 Y-KXIS -axxis 1.0006-02 4.5036-07 1.6406-04 Y-KXIS -axxis 1.0006-02 4.5036-07 4.5036-07 Y-KXIS Y-KXIS 1.0006-02 4.5036-07 4.5036-01 Y-KXIS Y-KXIS 1.0006-02 4.5036-07 -2.0366-01 Y-KXIS Y-AXIS 7.0236-02 5.566-02 -2.0366-01 Y-AXIS Y-AXIS 7.0236-02 1.5376-03 1.3126-03 Y-AXIS Y-AXIS 1.3376-03 1.3376-03 1.3376-03 Y-AXIS Y-AXIS 1.3376-03 1.3376-03 1.3366-02 Y-AXIS Y-AXIS 1.4056-02 1.3376-03 1.3166-02 Y-AXIS Y-AXIS 1.3376-03 1.3376-03 1.3166-02 Y-AXIS Y-AXIS 1.4056-01 1.3166-02 1.416-03 YY Y Y-AXIS 1.4056-01 1.416-02 YY YY YY	STURING START START	1 - 3 + 7 + 4	1.4215-01	1.130E+20		
7+5415 7-3495-05 3-9495-05 5-1495-05 5+547 9-995-07 5-1475-02 5-1475-02 7-1415 7-1415 7-1005-02 4-5035-04 7-1415 7-1475-02 2-4475-02 3-9995-07 7-1415 7-1475-02 2-4475-02 3-9955-01 7-1415 7-175 7-175-02 2-4475-02 7-1415 7-175 7-175-02 1-3755-01 7-1415 7-173 1-3125-03 1-3125-03 7-1415 1-1375-01 1-3125-03 1-3125-03 7-1415 1-375-01 1-3125-03 1-3125-03 7-1515 1-3755-01 1-3125-03 1-3455-01 7-1515 1-3755-01 1-3125-03 1-3455-02 842 1-3555-01 1-3125-03 1-3455-02 842 1-3555-01 1-3555-01 1-3555-02 842 1-3555-01 1-3555-01 1-3555-02 8455-01 1-3555-01 1-3455-02 1-4455-02 8455-01 1-3555-01 1-4555-02 1-4455-02 8455-01 1-3555-01 1-4555-01 <						
5 VEO 7 - NTS			2 1 - 166°6	5.1/35-J5		
5YP0 Tytal Tubuse using Tubuse using Tubuse using 5YP0 Tables Tubuse using T			30-3466.F	1.540E-74		
X-BYIS 7.4735-62 2.4475-02 -3.2935-02 X-BXIS 7.9746-02 5.5545-02 -3.095-01 X-BXIS 7.9706-02 5.5545-02 -2.0196-01 X-BXIS 7.9706-02 5.5545-02 -2.0196-01 X-BXIS 7.9706-02 5.5545-02 -2.0196-01 X-BXIS 7.9706-02 5.5545-02 -2.0196-01 X-BXIS 5.4656-03 5.4556-03 1.0016-03 X-AXIS 1.0016-03 1.0116-03 1.3126-03 X-AXIS 1.0016-03 1.0116-03 1.3156-03 X-AXIS 1.0016-03 1.0116-03 1.1156-02 X-AXIS 1.0016-01 1.116476-02 2.4556-01 X-X 3.3566-01 3.9556-01 1.4566-02 XX 3.3566-01 3.9556-01 1.4566-02 XX 3.3566-01 3.9556-01 2.4556-02 XY 3.3566-01 3.9556-01 2.4556-02 XY 3.3566-01 3.9556-01 2.4556-02 XY 3.9556-01 3.9556-01 2.4556-02 XY 3.9556-01 3.9556-01	TTTT I TTTT UTT		1 • 0 0 J E - 0 C	4 36554 - 4		
Y-AXIS Y-Y-YSSS Y-YSSS Y-YSSS Y-YSSS Y-YSSS Y-YSSS Y-YSSS Y-YSSSS Y-YSSSSS Y-YSSSS Y-YSSSS Y-YSSSSS Y-YSSSSSS Y-YSSSSS Y-YSSSSSSSS		- 4736-00	0 1315 0	7505 Y.		
Z-BXI5 Z-BX15	Y-BX IS	5 - 1 2 C E - 2 2				
5YPQ CALF FACTOD 5.335F-01 1.312E-03 Y-AKTS Y-AKTS 5.335F-01 1.312E-03 Y-AKTS 1.052FFFA 1.052FFFA 1.015F-03 SYPO MTSALIGNMENT ANGLES 9.465E-01 3.337E-03 1.315E-03 BXZ BXZ 9.465E-01 3.455F-01 1.337E-03 1.335E-03 SYPO MTSALIGNMENT ANGLES 9.465E-01 3.952F-01 1.475E-02 SYPO MTSALIGNMENT ANGLES 9.465E-01 3.952F-01 1.475E-02 SYPO MTSALIGNMENT ANGLES 9.465E-01 3.952F-01 1.475E-02 SYPO SYPO 9.465E-01 3.956F-01 1.477E-02 SYPO SYPO 9.455F-01 3.956F-01 2.152E-02 SYPO SYPO 9.455F-01 2.152E-02 SYPO 9.455F-01 2.155E-02 2.152E-02 SYPO SYPO 3.956F-01 2.155E-02 SYPO SYPO 3.956F-01 2.155E-02 SYPO SYPO 3.956F-01 2.155E-02 SYPO SYPO 3.956F-01 2.477F-02 SYPO SYPO 3.956F-01 3.957F-02 SYPO SYPO 3.956F-01 3.957F-02 SYPO<	7-8×15		2 0 3 2 C 0 2			
X-AXIS 5.4656-09 5.3356-01 1.3126-09 Y-AXIS 1.0225-04 1.0116-03 -3.4116-03 Z-AXIS 1.0025-04 1.0126-03 1.3176-03 Z-AXIS 1.0126-03 1.3376-03 1.3176-03 Z-AXIS 1.0025-04 1.0126-03 1.3176-03 Z-AXIS 1.0126-03 1.3756-03 1.3156-03 RXX 3.4566-01 3.4557-02 1.4756-02 RXX 3.4566-01 3.4557-02 1.4756-02 RXX 3.4566-01 3.4557-02 1.1456-02 RXX 3.4566-01 3.4557-02 1.4756-02 RXX 3.4556-01 3.4557-02 1.4756-02 RXX 9.4556-01 3.4557-02 1.4756-02 RXX 9.4556-01 3.4557-02 1.4756-02 RXX 9.4556-01 3.4557-02 1.4756-02 RXX 9.4556-01 1.4756-02 2.4147-02 RXX 1.41116-01 2.4147-02 2.4147-02 RXX 1.41116-01 2.4147-02 2.4147-02 RXX 1.41116-01 2.41477-02 2.	SYPO SCALE FACTOR					
Y-AKIS 1.002FFCA 1.001F-03 -3.401E-03 Z-AKIS 2-AKIS 1.337E-03 1.337E-03 1.337E-03 KZ 2-AKIS 1.337E-03 1.337E-03 1.337E-03 KZ 3.952F-01 3.952F-01 1.155E-02 RX 3.952F-01 3.952F-01 1.155E-02 RX 3.952F-01 3.952F-01 1.455E-02 RX 3.950F-01 1.455E-02 2.4504E-02 RX 9.950F-01 3.955F-01 2.435E-02 RX 9.950F-01 9.955F-01 2.435E-02 RX 9.950F-01 9.955F-01 2.435E-02 RX 9.950F-01 9.955F-01 2.435E-02 RX 9.950F-01 9.955F-01 2.435E-02 RX 9.955F-01 9.955F-01 2.435E-02 RX 9.955F-01 9.955F-01 2.435E-02 RX 9.955F-01 9.955F-01 2.435F-02 RX 9.955F-01 9.955F-01 2.435F-02 RX 9.955F-01 9.955F-01 2.435F-02 RX 9.955F-01 9.955F-01	SIXD-X	5.4C5E-09	6.335r-01	1.3125-04		
Z-MXIS 1.3376-04 1.3756-09 5Y20 WISALIGNMENT ANGLES 1.3376-01 1.3376-02 5Y2 9.9556-01 1.4566-02 1.4566-02 7Y2 9.9566-01 1.4566-02 1.4566-02 8Y2 9.9566-01 1.4566-02 1.4566-02 8Y2 9.3566-01 1.4566-01 1.4566-02 8Y2 9.3566-01 1.4566-01 2.4566-02 8Y2 9.3566-01 1.4656-01 2.4566-02 8Y2 9.3566-01 9.4566-01 2.4567-02 8Y2 9.3566-01 1.4676-02 9.4567-02 8Y2 9.3566-01 1.41760 4.3567-04 8Y2 1.41760 1.41760 4.45676-04 8Y2 1.41760 1.41760 4.45676-04	SIXE-X	1.3.25-13	1.0.15-64	-3.4.1E-13		
w15ALIGNMENT ANGLES 9.455E-01 9.455E-01 1.135E-02 BX2 8X2 9.455E-01 9.455E-01 1.457E-02 BX2 8X2 9.455E-01 9.455E-01 1.457E-02 BY2 9.455E-01 9.455E-01 2.455E-02 BY2 9.455E-01 9.455E-01 2.152E-02 BY2 9.456E-01 9.455E-01 2.152E-02 BY2 9.456E-01 9.455E-01 2.152E-02 BY2 9.456E-01 9.455E-01 1.472E-02 BY2 9.456E-01 9.455E-01 1.472E-02 BY2 9.456E-01 1.412E+02 4.4257E-04 BY2 1.412E+02 1.412E+02 2.844E-02 BY2 1.412E+02 1.412E+02 2.844E-02	51XV-2	1.337E-09	1.3375-05	1.375F-J3		
BxZ 9.9526-01 9.9526-01 1.1356-02 FxY 9.3556-01 1.477-02 BxX 9.3556-01 1.477-02 BxX 9.3556-01 1.477-02 Bxx 9.3556-01 2.477-02 Bxx 9.3556-01 2.475-02 Bxx 9.3556-01 2.455-02 Bxx 9.3556-01 2.455-02 Bxx 9.3556-01 2.4556-02 Bxx 1.44156-02 2.440-07 Bxx 1.44156-02 2.440-07	SAND MISELIGNMENT ANGLES					
0.4 0.4 <td>228</td> <td>9.9525-01</td> <td>3.952F-01</td> <td>1.1396-02</td> <td></td> <td></td>	228	9.9525-01	3.952F-01	1.1396-02		
ики али али али али али али али али али ал		4.365E-01	9.9555-01	1.9475-22		
027 027 04.455-01 7.5545-02 027 027 04.455-01 2.1325-02 028 04.4561 1.4555-01 2.1325-02 04.4561 01 3.4565-01 1.4575-02 04.425 1.410540 1.410540 04.405 1.410540 2.415540 04.405 1.410540 2.415540 04.405 1.410540 2.415540 04.405 1.410540 2.415540		9.45JE-61	9.950E-01	1.1455-32		
аст а7 645С мом-9ятнобочацтту дилбаяной 9.665-01 9.955-01 1.6375-02 642С мом-9ятнобочацтту дилст 647 827 1.4018401 1.4018401 -0.8440-03 827 1.4018401 1.4018401 -0.8440-03 827 1.4018401 1.4018401 -0.8440-03 828 1.4018401 -0.8440-03 828 1.4018401 -0.8440-03 828 1.4018401 -0.8440-03 828 1.4018401 -0.8440-03 828 1.4018401 -0.8440-03 828 1.4018401 -0.8440000000000000000000000000000000000		4. 4 F 5 E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	3.9655-01	2.45945-12		
778C NOK-DRTHOGOMALTTY ANTLES (************************************		4.4546-01 2.2507-25	9.9635-31 2.2555 2.	2.1325-32		
4×2 4×2 5×1 5×1 5×1 5×1 5×1 5×1 5×1 5×1	THE NOR-ORTHOCOMPACE AND	10-3646.0	36-3536 ° 6	1.9375-32		
	EVZ	0.135.5°.	1 4135401	-6 3676 36		
	- Z 2 3		1 . 41 7 4 M 7			
	A Z d					

ж,

219

374563

115

Sulfary In sadilasia ori nur			
K-BKIG	てょうらんをもらり	1.214 4.37	-2.5667+20
	2.3145+30	20+3474 *2	-6.233E-92
USER SURV COMPANY SURVEY	7.365F.F	3.95655407	2.955f-J1
	5.496F+JJ	3 , A a 6, c + 3 °	-9.9275-31
X-BX 7	4+2075+07	4.2250401	1.6195+00
Line of the first states of the second states of th	5 - 9 L 6F + 3 9		1.4495-31
	7.4.74F-02	21216-72	1 675CA00
	3.4977-23	2.2527-92	3 - F 3 7 F - D1
7-RYIC	1.7925-51	1.7907-01	9.5 205-31
JLATTYON TOTAL SULFT			
した 実有 - 14	6.532F+C3	4 7419-33	-5.7535-02
S I R C + A	5.351E-33	4.5595-77	-2.4925-91
SIXU-Z	21125-15	2.814F-07	1.2546-01
		1 - 2022 - 1	
	0. 1. 2. C. 1. C.	2.1455483	6 + 7 1 0 1 + 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
ACC TIES (HIGH GAIN)	•		
X-AKIS	1.3255+33	1.1355+73	53%E+03
T-AXIC	1.7915+33	1.3915+07	7.2545412
INSTRACT DEFENSE COT			
SIXW-X	46-3114-2	7.403°-34	10-2225-51
Y-4X75	7.412F-34	2 -1 50-15	-7.4185-74
2-4×15	4-4065-34	4.4096-04	-7, ¹ 59E-92
SOFFLERGHENER SCALT FACTON			
	1.576F-13	1.×755-3.	-7.6565-92
/	1.49086403	1.9795-32	-3.4315-03
ALE CONTRENTED THEFT CATES	2+1306+03	2.1935-12	-4-4725-02
TA THE LETTER TO STATE THAT A DEST	5 B 2 B 5		
		20 - 10 - 1 	
ACC AIT DID SCALF FACTOR	26 - 34 - 6 • 1		0713504.51
X+AKTS	1.0075-95	1.037.35	-1-5355-53
SIXT-A	1.300F-35	1.0015-05	-1.0455-09
7±AXIS	2.3615-05	2.961c+05	
CLOGK STARILIY	1		
STATISTICS NOT ISSEM MODIFIED	4°-357c-6	3.9476-77	7.3365-37
	10-303C C		
7 X Y		2 8765-51	
248	10,10,10,00	9635-01	20-12270-7-
2 Y Y	9.858E-01	9.699F-01	20-0364-5-
×48	9.~136-31	9.813°-U1	1.7695-11
A 7 A	9.9 <i>77E</i> -C1	~, R77E-01	1.5355-11
ICT MARTGRENOSONALTER ANGLES OCT			
			6.4.335-32
12 K	000 H L L C C C A H		
PROXOXAG FRAME HI ALLAN ANGLES	3 3 3 4	3 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
STX WAX	1-4345+09	1.4545407	-1.17AE-32
	1.443E+03	1.4035405	+T,1385-31
	0043005*1	1.4095+0a	-2.6965-72
いいにました ひたみやくる	10000000000000000000000000000000000000		
	totuday o	1011111101 10111101	
	1 . + 1GEG*2	2 • 50 · · · 0 · · 2	5.555563

η σειστη μετάταμα. Νατευρία	ZAPIANGES 4MR ⊐PTI* 4 TEST ATTITU95 =	NGMERDSVEM ⁴ – † OS Selvkjis i TVI	ЧГРАЅТ≓О 4541V° Н NJM8=0= 11	UIISTENI⊂ EHI J	Incia N
STATE V:2103 35.945NT		NELIVIASC	-397 FILT		-EST E8808-
CYPD CONSTANT ATAS		UPJATE G		-cistellevis-	Nac 010
	2.361F-02	7.8505-02	5.0766.33		
Y-AXTS	2.0-3042-5	2.740E-J?	-6-8730-92		
Z-AXIS	3.410E-01	3.4035-31	7.8535-92		
GUPC ATTITUDE DEPENDENT BIAS					
	1, 0,000 1	t - 3666 - t	-1348-04		
	5 001E - 33	5.0005-03	-2.9675-05		
	9 * C G E E + G S	5.0F95-05	5-1441-29		
	10-2122 4				
			-1-4504-04		
Z – AX T S					
SAPO JA S SENSITIVE					
SIXW-X	2-4545-12	2.4355-572	2.2040-11		
SIX#-X	10 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110	2,0710,02			
Z-AXTS	50-3446-35	4.9745-02	3.57-75.5.6		
RYPR L.T.C. CORPELATED					
	4.382E-03	20-3243*5	+2-2128-24		
Y-4XI'	4.383E-03	4.9935-93	3.552E-J4		
7-AXI'S	5.0005-03	5 0- 3003*5	1.4615-75		
SYPC MASS UNBALANCE					
	1.3296-01	1.8275-01	1.2256+03		
	9.3455-03	9.9455-03	-6-3606-9%		
	1-4016-01	1.3975-01	1.175E+00		
	6.3395-03	4.935-03	7.2CBE-04		
	3 . 4 3 3 5 - 9 2	3.4325-01	-5.1285-02		
715	6 - 3 - 3 - 8 - 6 - 6	50-3486-6	-4.129E-55		
	1.1356-01	1.1346-01	4.535E-32		
		10-3425.4	1.1736+00		
GYRO ANTSOFLASTIC CONSTANTS		10-1427.4	1.124E+JJ		
		9.9435-05 0.0085-11			
SIXU-Z	* . DONE - 00				
GVAD TOTAL DOIFT	4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2				
X-AXIS	3+3026-22	3.2/65-02	-1.77NF-31		
Y-AXIS	1.3416-31	1.039-01	7,6257-31		
SIXE-2	1+1445-01	1.143F-01	1.1475-01		
GYRO STALE FACTOR					
7.5 M H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	5.0036-03	5.6025-03	1.751E-J8		
	9 + 5 9 8 E + 3 9	5.397F-03	-3-5285-19		
GARD MISALTRAMFIA	- 2 C 2 E - 0 Q	1.375E-C9	01-30c6·5		
	9.3536-01	0 0505-0	5 OCCC 23		
A X a	9. 366F - C1	3 - 36 56 - 01			
342	9.9576-01	19-1220-6	1.2175-33		
BYX	4.465F-01	3.96.5E - 01	2.7275-37		
728 28	9.3695-01	9.959F-01	1.8395-02		
874	3.369E-31	9696-11	1.733E-02		
CTRO NON-DETTHOGONALITY ANGLES					
	00+000+0	1.4096403	2 + 7 4 8 5 - 9 5		
01.V	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	1.4199+03	3.5J5E-03		
24.8	1.4301E400	1.4105+63	-1.0245-02		

HOUSING MISALIGNMET, ATGLER		,
	ショナムアナロ * V	.,
	∟.;991E < 90 X. Aa5F + 64	n: M
GIMPAL SYNCHRO 446L" BIAS		0
SIX#+X	3.594E+30	1 0
SIX - A	4.3735400	t.
CHERTORAL STATES	4.967E+33	t
	3.2495-22	^
r-AXIS	5.158F-C1	1 i r
SIXE+2	5.1285-01	ۍ.
PLATEURU DRIFT Vieves		•
	5.33F-33	ur ·
		·
ACCELERONETER BIAS	10-1010-1	-1
X-4XIS	9.315F+32	a,
SIXE-X	5°449E+35	æ
SIXU-1 SIXU-1	1.333E+03	-1
TYCH THE THIGH CHERT	5 C . JL	•
AGC HARM-UP TRANSTELL		4
X-AKTS	1.002E-04	1
	1.0,26-04	-1
SIXMAN SIXMAN	5.3635-05	n
・ アークオレートはそうかいかいでした。 そうかい しまつ ちょうかい ひとうかん アイアイト		
SIXE	1.4435455 1.3515-1.3	e-i e-
7-AXIS		• •
ACC SCALE FACTOR (HIGH GATN)		4
X - D X I S	1.6655-32	•`
ACC AIT DEP SCALE FACTOR	1.512E-32	**
	1.0005-35	•
SIXT-A	1.0336-35	•
Z-~XIS	3.0.05-05	• ~
CLOCK STABILITY		
ACC/PLATFORM MISALIGN ANGUES	9. J76E- 27	œ
BXZ	9.323E-01	σ
	9.871F1	σ
545	9.325E-J1	Ť
	3.371E-01	ጥ
52X	1.7357-JI 9.7255-C+	60 M
ACC NON-ORTHOGONALITY ANG'TS		r
872 272	1.402E+00	, A
171 874	1.3975+97	*1
ACCARTER FRAME MISALIGN ANGLES	25424242	-
X-4XIS	1.432F+03	F
01X7 - A	1.403F+07	
SIXMAX SIXMAX	1.4]6E+00	Ţ
	1 1415-03	Ċ
	4 - 4 - 9 - 4 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2	} a ,
Z-AKIS	1 1 7 4 F - 0 2	. o
	5 0 L 1 L 2 1 4 7	r

-2.02964.03 -9.3956-31 5.5455-31	8+1,4,8,8,4,4,4 8+1,6,8,6,4,4,4 9.0.+1,10,4,6,4,4 9.1.+1,10,4,6,4,4 9.1.+1,10,4,6,4,4	-4.1595-01 3.7426+00 5.8395-11	-7,99956-32 7,49956-32 1,1516-31	-2.9316+33 1.4516+33 2.9316+32	-3.5655+03 9.2375+02	2.410E-75 -14.0566-04 -9.2730-04	-2.6399-22 -1.7265-22 +4.49855-12	-1.562E-02 -9.4015-03	₫. -2.939£-33 -2.332£-38	80-36-3-6-	5.3944-12 2.7754-12 2.77554-12 13.75554-12 13.75554-12 14.755554-12 14.755554-12 14.755554-12 14.755554-12 14.755554-12 14.755554-12 14.755554-12 14.755554-12 14.7555555555555555555555555555555555555	10,000 10,00000000	-1,2455-01 -2,9255-01 2,4295-02	-4-0320 1-3757 3-3757 3-3457 3-3457
2 + 64 95 + 60 2 + 591 5 + 60 3 + 8855 + 80	3 + 594E + 03 4 - 9735 + 63 4 - 9577 + 63	2.3695+02 5.1435-01 5.1?55-01	5.7575-03 1.0385-01 1.0182-01	9.315F+02 9.449F+02 1.9835+93	1.3275+67 1.3515+03	1.0025-34 1.0025-04 5.9636-05	1 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	; .65,56-0? 1.6125-0?	1.0009-05 1.0009-05 3.0009-05	4 Û - 22 56 * 6	9.9255-01 9.8715-01 9.9255-01 9.8715-01 9.7855-01 9.7855-01	i.4n26+83 1.3875433 1.3795+33	1+637+3) 1+637+3) 1+635+33	9.4275-03 9.4275-03 9.4275-03
49E + 08 91E + 08 85E + 88	34E+80 735488 57E+88	0 + - - - - - - - - - - - - -	338-03 418-01 188-91	155+02 496+02 836+03	27E + 33 51E + 23	0.261-04 0.266+04 5.36+04	+85+03 516-03 376-03	55-32 125-32	008-05 008-05 008-05	75-37	208-01 208-01 208-01 208-01 208-01 308-01 308-01	226+00 376+00 90+00)26+09)36+0]]56+00	648-02 *88-02 *88-02

			-101 F111	
	-POJAGATEG-	UP3ATE9		 210 054
GARG TONSIANT GIAS				
	2.533E-CC	2.6316-02	3.230E-72	
	2.728E+C2	2.728F-0?	-7-1905-22	
CTATIC ATTINCT OF ALLS	2.368E-UZ	2.6526-82	-5.097E~01	
	4.772E-93	4,7595-03	1,9335-14	
SIXELA	5.0005-03	5.000F-03	1.4225-05	
2.8415	4.393F-03	20-2665.4	-1.272F-04	
SYRC 44PH-UP DPIFF				
S I X M - X	2.522E-34	2+5225-04	-7.9345-05	
	2.526F-04	2.5235-14	-2.4955-24	
ZTRALS Cyan na r sensitive	r.236F=04	7.2355-04	-2.4445-05	
	5.1755.1	1 . 8005-02	1 2285-04	
SIXE-A	3.3796-62	3.8795-02	-3-4144-01	
SIXX-Z	4.973E-32	60-3240° t	-9°-9486-94	
GYRC L.T.C. COPPELATED				
X T X A X	1.385E-C3	4.9955-03	-6.3345-04	
Y-AZTS	4.3865-33	4.9355-03	3。2545-04	
SIXE-Z	5.0006-03	5_0005-03	-6.653E-n5	
TRO VESS BURGELANCE				
	1.J54F+31	1.0545-01	9.6925-31	
	9.325E-03	9°2895-33	-3 -1 495-25	
		1.5335F=0.	9.4915-91 5 2225	
	4.454F-US		1-2254	
275E	7.507F-02	7.4975-02	-6.3195-21	
		9.981F-03	-5-3515-04	
	10-1011-1	10-1011-1	21155-12	
いたことでは、「いい」のでは、「いい」のでは、「いい」のでは、「いい」ので、「いい」ので、「いい」ので、「いい」ので、「いい」ので、「いい」ので、「いい」ので、「いい」ので、「いい」ので、「いい」ので、	A 5.515.45.40	1 . 3 . 3 U . 0 . 1 7 C . 0 3	3.175E-U1	
GYPD ANISOFLASTIC CONSTAN'S				
X-AXIS	9.0666-33	9-2125-D1	25 F 95 F + 04	
SIXEA	9.39AF-13			
Z-AXIS	1.000E-J2	1.000E-02	3.7515-06	
SY40 TOTAL DRIFT				
	3.387E-92	3 - 6525 - 60	-2-9151-01	
	5.525F-02	2*223=35	5. ⁷ 41,E-C1	
CTERTS CAND	2.539L-32	2.6115-02	-5.1056-01	
	1, 5 10C-00			
Y-AXIS	5.130F-09	5 1302-03		
Z-AXIS	1.3325-35	1.3375-03	2.0195-03	
GYRD "ISALIGNMENT AVGLES				
8×2	9.358E-C1	9.9595-01	5.5365-32	
<u>AXY</u>	9.319E-91	9.9175-61	-1.3336-33	
242	9.357E-01	9.357°-01	1,9356-02	
84.8	3.365F-C1	3.955E-01	2.7975-22	
824	9.969E-01	10-3695-6	2.5535-32	
SZA Syon nemajotunggaality ahiirt	10-3696°E	10-3636*6	2.3975-33	
A THE TITLE ADDRESS FOR THE AD				
729		1 • 4 5 4 5 4 5 1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5.5955-72 2.6055-03	
57X			1.0 FOUNT - 1.	
5.35	1+++01++7	1 • 4 1 / 1 • 0 0	10.0000111.0	

ð

AFAL-TR-77-75

HOUSING THEN BALL ANGLIS		
	2.700F+CU	2.704
	1,+4000+40 3,3696+30	93 7 . 2
GINBAL SYNCHRO ANGL: PIAS		
X+BXIS	2°+17E+16	2,917
Y-6XIS	63*306 6 *60	5.359
Z-BXIS DICEEDENTIAL SAVANDA LANG	3.172E+EG	3.171
THE CARTER STREAM AND THE STREAM	2 81.05-03	, , , ,
	2.5386+01	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
SIX V-Z	3.3475-35	
PLATFORM TOTAL DRIFT	•	
X-AXIS	6.375F+33	5.595
Y-AXIS	5,2475-02	5.244
SIXP-Z	5.331F+63	5 . 7 4 7
	7 36754-07	
	8.4746+32	
ACC RIAS (HIGH GAIN)		•
X-AXIS X-AXIS	1.2545403	1.265
Y+AXTS	10+10+1	C 2 2 7 I
	1.3565-05	1 - 253
	1.3565-05	1.355
ACTIL PORTER SUBLE ELACTS		100.2
	21-2626-1	1.262
2 - 4x I S I X + 4	7.9535-34	7.358
2-8x15	0.3515+34	000 000 7
ACC STALE FACTOR (HIGH GATU		
	1,31,5-02	1.514
SIX477	1.557E-22	1 557
TOUR TOUR DATE TRADES	0000 -	
	2000-1000 2000-100	
Z-4XTS	2.9225555 2.92355	
CLOCK STABILITY		נ נ י
	9.3756-07	9.957
RECOVER TROPPED AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN		
2×0 7YP		550 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
2 A 8	5 - 10 4L - 0 4	01.0.0
XAG	9.5096-01	0.05.6
RZY	9.7225-01	с., г. С
82X	7.477E-01	9.477
ACT NUN-DATHOGOMALITY ANG TS		
	1.3975+UG	1 (C) 7 (C)
B2X	1.2905+00	500 F F
ACC/GYPO FRAME MISALIGN ANGLES		
X-AKIS	1.5776+93	112.1
	1.385E+CJ	460.1
PLATEDPH VELOSITICS	1+4024+30	20 5 • 1
x - 4 x i S	1.4616-32	3 J T . E
しエメローム	9.UJF+37	5.4.3
SIXY-Z	1.7915-02	7,42°F

-2.4905+39 -1.5935+60 1.25555+03 +3,8736+09 5,1586-31 3,8475+30 -8.978F-21 4.153E+20 -2.713E+00 -1.7575-01 8.2345-01 -5.5396-01 -3-2076+03 1-6946+03 7-2-3646+04 3.7945+05 +1.46555+05 +1.3005+03 -2,736-02 -1,599E-)? -4,5 ;35-02 -2-5339+64 -6-8828+64 -6-88269+04 -6-9269+32 -3,733E+03 7,875E+03 50-1000 - 1-50-1000 - 1a. 6.53£E-^8 a. -1.3495-91 3.5955-91 2.1515-91 -4.7435-33

•

.

AFAL-TR-77-75

224

* 1

.

26 Q. . .

*

	IANGES AND OPTI ST ATTIUDE =	416 ESTIMATES 00 •	NIRASTED AGAINS	UIICHAR'S SWI I	N 2550 TG+
INDESTS AUGTOR JIVIS++	STANDA29 - PQD2464150-		-3PT FTLT -FSTT46TT		- EST ERQOP- - STE PEV-
GYRO CONSTANT PLAS					
SIXY-X	2.301E-02	2.2925-07	8.770E-03		
SIX - A	2:546E-92	2 • 6445 - 32	-6.1725-52		
CASO ATTITUDE DEPENDENT 3115 GVPD ATTITUDE DEPENDENT 3115	2.363E-02	2+5535-02	-5.19AE-01		
	4.8775-03	4.8735-63	-:-2835-23		
Y-AXIS	5.000E-03	5.0005-03	-1.0955-05		
ZIXE-Z	4.393E-03	19-2585-4	2.334E-04		
	1 - 4 4 00 - 7 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	1.44451.04	10 - 10 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1		
2-#X1S	4.152E-04	6 - 1250 - 04	-1.4665-04 +1.913F-05		
GVRO JA G SENSITIVE					
SIXE-X	1.799E-02	1.7976-02	3.3315-71		
SIXT-A	3.859F-32	3.8595-02	-3.457E+01		
	4.369E-92	4.969°-02	1.958E-93		
BING L	4.3875-02	4 0865-01	. E 7496.01		
	4.338E-23		3.7635-04		
Z-AXIS	×0-366€.4	10-3666 M	-3.1135-05		
GYFD MASS UNBALANCE					
HSK	7.3145-92	7.90 <u>95</u> 95 - 02	8.947E+31		
	3.2835-03	9.293E-03	8.5555-95		
AST	4.3566-02	4.8555-02	3. 542E-01		
	9.939E-13	5°-3626°5	6.1356-04		
255	5.896E-02	3,060F-07	-5,9665-01		
737-73 7	2 - J12 - 7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	9.441F-03	-4.8216-04		
	5.4536+32 r 3raf 22	8.4400-02	-5.9435-02		
	5.432E-02	0 - 7416 - 0.7	2.331E-01		
GYRN ANTSOFLASTIC COMSTANTS					
X-4XTS	5.999E-A	8.999FF-03	-4.415-94		
Y-AXIS	9-3866-6	9.994F-01	1.8415-04		
Z-AXIS	1.0305-02	1.00JF-02	4.355E-06		
GYRO TATAL OPIFT					
S I X H + X	2.505F-n2	2.4965-02	-3.2725-01		
Y-AXIS	5.427E-32	5.425F-02	6. 939E-01		
SIXE-Z	4.262F-02	4.2575-02	-5.201E-J1		
	0,137,51	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
		5. [166 - 03	14 2 8 0 F 1 0 6		
SIX#-Z	5.F89F-D9	5.6745-03			
GYPO MTSALIGNMENT A4GLES					
2×8	9.353E-01	9 . 9535-D1	1.3305-02		
A X E	9.365E-ŭ1	4.8535-01	-1.531E-91		
	9.357E-01	6.9574-01	2.5566-32		
	9.765F-C1	3.955 <u>5</u> -01	2.5525-02		
77 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	9.36%5+01 0.3606-01	9,9595 - 31 2,953-2,55	3.710E-02 T 7755 53		
SYPC WON-ORTHOGONALITY ANTL'S	19-3636-61	10-16-6-6	5.1255-61		
ZAH	1.4086+30	1.4036+32	-1.2565-12		
ЪZЬ	1.4C2E+00	1.402E+0]	2.0.2E+01		
BZX	1.410F+09	1.4135+02	-1.989E-72		

OF IONA TARANGI IMATA ONTROPON			
	2.544F+30	2 * 2445 + 0;	N 1
	2,1975+00	2.187F+03	1
GIMBAL SYNCHRO ANGLE SIAS	5.147E+89	3.175F+0]	1
SIXT-X	3.236E+09	3.2365+02	i
Y-AXIS	4.5415+00	4.6315+05	ې ۲
7-AXIS Diffessential synches and to	3.917E+30	3.817F+0)	N
	4.1516-02	3.2725-02	1
Y-AXIS	2.621E-11	2.6215-01	1.5
Z-WXIS	4.23UE-22	3.310E-32	7
FLATTING TOTAL UFIL	C 771 07		(
STATA		201111111 2011111	N I
		• raar - U -	n .
ACCELFOMETEP BIAS			t I
X-AXTS	6.593F+12	6,543r+ 0 2	ĩ
Y-AXIS	7,300E+C2	7.3005+07	-
SIXV-2	7.596F+92	7.596F+8°	ň
BCC "IAS (HIGH GAIN)			
	1.2435403	1.53PF+35	ř
TUTTER CONTROL OF THE TOTE	1 • 3 2 4 + 4 3 A	1.3275+33	er.
X-AXIS	1 3 356 - 36	10 Tuling 6 .	2
Y-AXIS		1.8369.15	÷ ï
2-4415	40-3260+1	1.025-25	4
AGFFLEPHMETER SCALE FACTTE			1
	£u-ju6j"}	1.6355-03	Ň
	7.9.1.F-J4	7.311°-04	Ť
Z-AXIS BOC SCALE EACTOR JUTCH CANNY	7.553F-J4	7.6635-04	
	1-1215-03	1 1/201-02	ç
SIXU-A	1.5376-02	1.5375-02	1 1
ACC ATT DEP SCALE FACTOP			ר ר
X-AXIS	1.0035-35	1.0035-05	6
Y-AKIS	7,115E-05	7.1135-05	•
ZIXE ZIZE	3.000E+05	3,0306-05	сі С
CLUCK STABLEIT			
ACC/PLATFORM MISALTON ANDLES	7 C = 1 T / F * 6	9.9555-07	-
BXZ	9.932F-01	4.8325-45	-
PXP	9.7365-01	9.735E-D1	-
2 4 8	9.3245-01	9.9245-01	m
	3.2705-01	9.2695-01	ñ
BZX	4-304+10 0 -366-04	9.659F-01	Ň
ACC NON-DRIHDSONALITY ANGLES			î
372	1.336E+30	1.396F+ŋ]	- -
BZY	1.361E+JO	1.3515+03	
ACCALADA FRANK ATOM AND	1.2555400	1.2555.+30	
A LEAST A LEAST AND A LEAST AN	1 2645406		•
SIXE-X	1.3796400	10111111111111111111111111111111111111	i
Z-AXIS	1.400E+33	1.4005+00	-
PLATEOPH VELOCITIES			
	1.457E-32	3.7576-23	m.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.024F-07	. .
517 L L	·	4.544535	7

-2.3436+99 -2.5546+09 -1.7566+00	-1.917E+03 -6.552E+93 2.7195+50	-1.5745+00 4.2195+00 -1.1995+00	-2.29495-31 8.4235-01 -4.8325-01	+3+51448+53 1+95694+33 +3+5586+33	-3 - 3625 + 13 8 - 5425 + 12	4.5165-07 -1.3245-55 -1.8275-55	-2.7365-12 -1.5695-32 -4.5525-32	-2.79662 -3.2436-33	0. 7.5385-n5 0.	1°42d£-51	1.2206-71 1.8216-01 3.4696-01 3.4696-01 -3.8995-01 2.2756-01 -5.8536-02	-1.2545-01 4.0965-31 4.435-31	-4.1335-01 -1.9325-92 1.9375-92	-3.5/9E+91 1.7995+92 -1.7915+31
2 5645 + 0 7 2 • 1576 + 03 3 • 1766 + 03	3.2355402 4.6315400 3.8175403	3+2725+02 2+6215+01 3+3105+32	5.6719-53 5.2576-02 1.6726-02	6.5435+0? 7.3605+0? 7.5965+0?	1•3275+33 13275+33	1,*8*5°+0° 1,*8346*05 1,*°°28+35	1.0305-03 7.311 ⁶ -04 7.663 <u>6</u> +04	1.4265-02 1.5375-02	1.0035-05 7.1135-05 3.0706-05	9.455° - 17	4.8328-01 9.7358-01 9.9244-01 9.6695-01 9.6695-01	1.396F+03 1.361C+03 1.2555+30	1.351F+03 1.3795+03 1.4005+03	3.7576+33 6.8246-07 9.6696+03
44E+30 87E+80 37E+83	36E+09 41E+03 17E+38	51E-02 21E-91 30E-02	37E+03 52E+12 93E-12	936412 866412 956482	436403 276403	356-36 346-36 326-35	966-03 116-36 536+34	215-22 376-02	115-175 166+05 306+05	2TE-32	32854 - 01 2466 - 01 2466 - 01 205 - 01 205 - 01 366 - 01 366 - 01	86E+30 51E+30 556+03	51E+35 •95+50 00E+33	57E-32 19E-33 23E-92

.

226

STATE VICTOR ELEMENT	CGAGNATZ	JEVIATION	-JPT FILT		-εζι εδάθο-
SATA THATAHO GAYA	-QATAJACOSA-	0315004	-521141153-	-SEMOLA *5.0-	イトハヨレービエント・
X-AXTS X-AXTS	2.1775-72	2.1765-02	6 6 1 4 C 1 6		
Y-AXTS	2.5566-13	0 E865-00			
SIXE-Z	2.2556+92	2,263E-02	-5.1356-75		
GYPO ATTITUDE DEPENDENT GTAS					
X-AXIS	4.3776-03	4.9776-03	-8.3946-35		
Y-AXIS	4.3776-03	4.9776-93	9.632F-35		
ZIX#+Z	4.358F-03	4.9596-03	1.9005-34		
GYRO HARH-UP DRIFT					
X-AXIS	8.29AE-35	8.299E-05	~2.970E-05		
Y-AX25	7.314E-J5	8.3145-05	-8.1455-35		
SIXE-2	2 . 374F-34	2.3745-04	-1.529E-15		
	1.7975-02	1.7875-02	3.3555-01		
	5.733E-G2	3.7375-02	-3.496E-n1		
CTARLS CVDD 1 T C COODS SIED	5.154E-07	3.1425-33	-5.5648-03		
	4.4346+03	4.988F-03	-6.2845-04		
		20-3225 · +	2.987E-04		
CADD WASS HUDALANCE	69-1966-1	6 . 9945 - D3	-4*2385-05		
		4 • 8"1E - 12	9.3605-01		
		4-2785-03	1.432E-94		
			9.535E-D1		
	4.4J2E-03	9.932E-D3	1.+913E_04		
			-5.4665-01		
	3+3071-03	5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-4.6267-04		
- りょうくりょう ション・ション・ション・ション・ション・ション・ション・ション・ション・ション・		0 • 1496 • 02	->•/+SE-02		
	V31054040		3.9545-01		
CARD BUTCOFIACTTO CONCENSION	い・4 ひしだー ひ い	3.4025-02	3.6 T2-J2		
			1		
	0 0 0 4 4 4 5 4 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	8.4925-63 2.0001 63	-5.1356-04		
			-1.4145-75		
GYPO TOTAL DRIFT		1 N- 3c TC • C	オバーゴシオケック		
SIXE-X	4.587F-12	4 674E-D2	5 120C-01		
SIX B-A	3.0015-02	2.9905.13			
2-AXIS	1.4035-02				
GYRO SCALE FACTOR			13-3061-6-		
X-4XIS	3.5216-39	3.6195-03	3.555F-DA		
0 T X H - X	4.391E-59	£C-3166.4	-1-2845-34		
SIXU-Z	4.9485-09	4 . 843E-07	1.0225-95		
GTAU TIDALIGNALNI ANGLES					
8%2	9.349E-31	9.944F-01	7.547E-23		
	3.561E-21	9.8515-01	-1.682E-01		
	3.3495-01	9.9475-03	1.6375-32		
	10-399A.P	9,9535-71	2.124E-22		
	9.304E-01	3,9545-01	3.0195-32		
GYRO NON-ORTHOGONALITY ANDLES	10-10-4	10-2645.5	-1.1355-32		
288	1.43864.43	(1,145,1)			
828	1.43254.30		- 3. COL-11		
Xéa	1.4.45400				
			くい トルたい いょうり		

*

HOUSTNG MISALIGNMENT ANGL'S				
X-AXTS	2.2835+03	2.2435+00	-2.1135+00	
Y-AXIS	2.058E+30	2.059E+00	~2.735E+00	
Z-AXIS	2.697F+JO	2.645F+0)	-2.132E+00	
GIMBAL SYNCHPO ANGLI BIAS				
		2 • 54 55 • 00 6 • • • • 1 • • 00	-1.2916+00	
	0.1240400 0.1566400	0+4111.403 2 4555401	-9.2415-51	
DIFFERENTIAL SYNCHRO ANGL'S				
X-AXIS	3.JJ7F-J2	2.3495-02	-1.535+00	
SIXFIX	2.J21E~01	2.017E-01	5.310E+09	
SIXW-Z	3.0385-02	2.350F-02	-2.6275+00	
PLATFORM TOTAL DRIFT				
X-AXIS	2 . 398E-02	2.6955-02	6.045E-01	
Y-AXIS	2.320F-02	2.8956-02	8.970E-01	
Z-AKIS	6.942E-J3	5.7145-32	-5.357E-01	
ACCELTODMETER BIAS				
X 8XIS	6.454F+12	6.4545+02	-3.5195+83	
SIX SIX	5.360F+32	5.8635+22	2.0255+03	
	5.041F+32	6.0415+32	-4+0355+02	
800 8180 (HTCH Calv)				
	1.2285403	1.2295+07	-3.3205+33	
A THREE AT ACT ACT	1.4355493	1.243543°	9.557E+92	
THISTORY ADDRESSES CONTRACTS				
	2,484F-37 0 - 540 - 14	2.4845-07	6.042E-03	
	20-32-07	2 • 4 8 3 E - 0 7	-2.6135-07	
APTEL TODMETER STALLS	100-01-0-1	1.4.3115	*2*# />E=u2	
	8./11F-J4	4.7115-74	+2.901E-92	
		5.87]F-34	-1.5535-32	
ADD STALF FARTOR CHIGH CATAL		+C - 16 - T + C	-4745+02	
	1.3995-12	1 4 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-7 8775-13	
			27 - 20 20 - 27 - 27 - 27 - 27 - 27 - 27	
ACC ATT DEP SCALE FACTOR	7 - 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	7 • 2 T T C - 7 C		
	0 3005 DF	0 0005-05	-3 3166-69	
SIXUAA	0,000 F	9.993F-05		
Z-AXIS	3,301F-05	. nont-ns	- C + C + Z - C C	
CLOCK STABILITY			•	
	9.3765-37	9.9575-37	-1.3336-07	
ACC/PLATFORM MISALIGN ANGUES				
BXZ	8.357E-01	8.957F-C1	7.7455-92	
	8.989E-21	9.8895-01	2.1305-01	
517	10+34E+01-0	3.1545-01	-9.0.485-02	
	112/5-16	9.1572-01 0.0000-01	-3.5555-01	
27. 27.		3 - 35 55 + 01 0 - 3037 - 01	3.1045-01	
ACC NON-DRIHOGONALITY ANGLES				
847	1.1525410	1.1525+03	2.2155.02	
426	1.1165+00	1 1111111		
37X	1.2325+30	1.2325+03		
ACCJGYRO FRAME MISALIGN AMGLES	1			
X-AXIS	1.3546+00	1.3545+03	-3.8905-01	
SIX SIX	1.322E+00	1.322-+31	-4.9805-02	
DINTEOD A VELOCITIES	1.739E+00	1.33375+03	6.9425-32	
ALTICAT VERTICAL				
SIXT-A	1.170F-72	5.44051-40 8.56761-07	1. 504E4E432	
7 - 41 - 5	00110014+4			
	しごとんじまま 1			

STATE VERTO ELEMENT-	GetGNT1S	NCILVING	-0PT FILT	SYSTEM	-EST ERROS-
CALC TRATANCO DOVO	- 000 0 4 6 4 E 0 -	UPJ4T60	-ESTIMATE	-SIMULATED-	ST9 9EV
CHARTAN CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	3 1537 00				
	1.882F130	<pre><.t>t</pre>	4+4946-35 -6-8046-89		
SIXE-Z	2.2275-02	2.2275-02	-5.1735-01		
CYPO ATTITUDE DEPENDENT BIAS					
S E X V A	5.0006-33	5.0005-03	-6.915E-C6		
	6.9416-83	4.9415-03	-4.207E-04		
2.1X#-2 5.423 #4444-0P 0P177	4.943E-33	4.9425-83	1.5775-03		
X-AKIS	4.76CE+F5	4.7605-05	-1.7425-95		
	4.735E-35	4 .735 5-05	-4.4546-05		
Z-AXIS	1.360E-34	1.363F-04	-2.007E-35		
	1 * 1 501 = U 2 2 \$ \$ \$ EEC = 0.0	1 • 7345 • 62 2 • 5365 - 63	3.340F-01		
	2 5025-03		70 tish0.0.		
GYRN L.T.C. CORRELATED	C+ / 35C - 0 C		20-3121.4-		
X-AXIS	4.3895-23	1.9895-01	-6.4765-04		
Y-AXIS	1010000-J	4.980F-03	1.7445-34		
Z-4XIS	4.3995-03	4.9975-03	1.1765-04		
GYPR HASS UNBALANCE					
HSX	4 • 584E • 02	4.5935-02	9.193E-C1		
N T X	F0-3575.E	9.2755-03	-3.7895-05		
	3.751E-92	3.7475-02	9.813E-01		
	9.901E-01	9.ACJ5-03	5.554E+C4		
	2.4365-02	2.8955-02	-5.9645-01		
	9,7535-03	9.7515-03	-4.0345-03		
	2.0-305-52	2.6116-03	-6.144E-32		
	3.2075-02	3.2045-02	3.735E-31		
SUBJECT OF CONTRACT SUBJECT SU	N. 80×5×00	2.7995-02	3.950F-01		
	5 D L L C C F C	3.9835-03	-3.3235-34		
			サコールへいきょう サコールへいきょう		
GYPO TOTAL DRIFT	00000000				
SIXU-X	5.3196-12	5.3100-32	3.7355-01		
SIXT-A	2.2515-02	2 2475-02	2.0155-61		
Z-AXIS	1.3346+02	1. 2215-07	-4.7435-41		
SYRD SCALE FACTOR					
X - AX I U	3.510E-39	3.6105-03	2.5725-98		
	3.5495-39	3.525-09	-1.1235-08		
Z FRAIS EVEN MISALTRUMENT ANGLES	4.791E-09	f:-1062.4	1.1>3E-ja		
	10111111111111111111111111111111111111	9 - 94 (* - 41 9 - 8666 - 01	-3.4/25-02		
872	9.8675-01	9.8657-01			
XAa	10-3954F-9	9.9545-01	3.1225-02		
R2Y	3. 354E-01	9.954F-01	1.1845-71		
X Z d	9.3056-01	3.935F- 01	-1.9945-01		
GYPT MON-UPTHOGONALITY ANGLES					
714	1.399E+00	1.3945+03	-9.3665-72		
15 - D7Y	004400444 4044044		3 - 2 / 3 - 9 1 		
17.x		1.4040404	-2.2065-01		

•

AFAL-TK-77-75

229

ð

HOUSTLE HISALIGNATIN ANGL'S		
		004-260-0 - 004-260-0
	2.788F+03	504117647 50713885
GIMPAL SYNCHRD ANGLE RIAS		
SIXD-X	2.258E+50	2.2635+00
Y-EXIS	4.772E+00	4.7535+01
	2.237F+0D	2.2075+ 0 7
GETTITITIES AL STRUTHUR AND C	, 2065 - 04	
	2 3066-13	2.451-151-2
PLATEDRM TOTAL ATTET	77-1044-1	
SIXTX	4.0746-32	4 - N765 - N2
SIXE-A	6.839F+03	5.7141-07
Z-AXIS	5.536F-03	5.7146-01
ACCELTPOMETER PIAS		
SIXH-X	5.557E+32	5.6675+32
SIX8-A	5.542E+02	5.6425+02
S1X#+2	4.4655+32	4.465F+9?
	1.2325+93	1.2025+03
APP WARK-10 TRANSILINI APP WARK-10 TRANSILINI	1.?52E+0?	1.252E+33
	4 4 1 1 1	
	<pre>< • 5 5 4 (= 0 = 0 = 2 = 2 = 2 = 2 = 2 = 2 = 2 = 2</pre>	3.354E-95 3.354E-95
7-6475		5.5576-35 5.555 55
LICET FORMETER SCALF FACTOR	2 * 3C 1F + 2	2.55155-04
	5.5775-06	5.6775-9'
Y-AKIS	5.52121-24	5.6515-04
Z-AXIS		
ACC SCALE FACTOR (HIGH GGTN)		
X-AXIS	L.358F-32	1.3595-02
Y-AXTS	1.457E-02	1.4556-32
ACC ATT DEP SCALF FACTP		
	9.336E-J5	9 *9 965 ~ 36
SIXT-A	1.030E-05	1.0005-05
CIDCK STARTITY	3.03JE-85	3.0035-05
CECCY STREAM STREAM		
ACC/PLATFORM MISALIGN ANG'ES		1 - 3, 65 - F
5×8	7.304E-01	7.9045-01
TXP 2	8.9J2E~31	1.6025-01
278	7.9405-01	10-3(76.2
	3.7J6E-01	9.8065-01
RZX	3./21E-01 9 2225	8.721E-01
ACC NOK-ORTHOGONALITY ANG' PA	1 n = 3006 * 0	10-1428.5
	7.5115-01	7.6115-71
728	1.093E+00	1.0435407
BZX	1.092E+01	1.082F+27
ACC/GYPO FRAME MISALIGN ANGLES		
K - AXIS	1.3336+30	L = 333E + 02
	1.315F+00	1.3155+02
DISTENCE VELOCITIES	1.42716430	1.271E+03
	4 G - 14 C C - G	
	0.400F-9.4	0 - 3 2 2 - C 2 0 - 3 - 2 - C 2
	しいし しかかせき マンション	
	20-3484541	0 • 11 0 E • 0 ×

-2.9916+09 -7.6??5+80 -3.8636+00

-2.9148+00 -2.8.96+00 -2.7545+00

.

62

ç

-1.7525+30 5.2535+09 -2.3342+80

1.3475+39 3.4975-91 -4.7925-91

AFAL-TR-77-75

-3.312F+ 13 1.879E+ 13 -2.537F+ 12

9.1225+39 -3.5286-83 -3.3465-5 -2+1538-32 -1=6775-12 -4+5438+82 -3.067543% 5.742543%

-2.8395-32 -2.2935-34 2.0305-17 0. 0.

-8.5455-33

-1.991E+31 1.379E+01 -3.2146-01 -3.233E+01 3.032E+01 3.032E+01 -8.4499-02

5.205E-41 4.411E-01 4.078E-31

-3.545E-01 7.096E-02 -1.644E-J1

2 • 346E+ 32 + • 284E+ 32 - 2 • 244E+ 61

.

··· -

	STANDA79	NO I LO IN	-3PT FILT		-60863 153-
SPIG INVISION DONI	-babayuyted-	UPDATED	-ESTIMATE	- SI 4UL 4 TE 7-	Nio 015
X-AXIS	2.132E-D2	2.132E-02	7.8425-03		
Y-AXIS	8.0915-03	7.892E-02	-5,9425-32		
Z-AXIS Syse hitithde hesenvewt sike	1+453E+92	1.4475-12	-5.1315-31		
		N 0 1000 1			
		1 - 1000 - 1	-5.4105-35 7 4165-76		
SIXE-2	4.3746-54	20-1720-7	1-4545404		
Cyan wanawaya galer	5 m				
K-AKIS	2.731E-25	2.7315-35	-1.0035-75		
	2,715F-35	2 • 7155 - 05	-2.6155-35		
	7.7995-05	7.7995-05	-1-2445-32		
SALISTICAS S READE					
	1.7125-32	1.7125-02	3.3525-31		
	1.5%46-02	1.435E-Q?	-3.4775-n1		
2111-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	1.4325-02	1.3925-02	-3.5635-92		
	9 ジーループア・オート・14 トゥール	4.9915-93 2.0447.04	-6.0465-34		
CYPO 4851 UVBALANCE	6 D I U 7 F F I I		1 • 5 1 • 5 • 1 •		
	4.535F-02	4.5355-02	3.239F-01		
XIm	9.275E-93	9.2755-03	-7.3675-95		
HSH A	3.7176-62	3.7175-02	9.A29E-31		
AlW	9.21AE-13	3.211E-63	2.047E-73		
2SM	2.373E-02	2.873E-02	-5.8895-01		
	9.232E-03	9.229 5-0 3	-4.8355-05		
	2.450E-02	2.4435-02	-5.930E+02		
	3.165E-02	3.165F-D2	3.750E-01		
CTURE ANTERE ACTOR FORESTALL	26-31-0-2	2.4.4.9.75.4.02	3.9405-31		
A PALONDA DI DATA ANA ANA ANA ANA ANA ANA ANA ANA ANA		re loac e			
	5 5 5 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		-3*0*0*13¢		
Z-AXIS		0.8265-02	1.40040-00 10 00040-04		
SYPO TOTAL DRIFT					
X-AKIS	4.778E-32	51795-02	-9.190E-31		
V-AXIS	1.7695-02	1.69.4E-0?	-4.0725-01		
SIXE-Z	2,174E-62	2 .151⁵-0 ?	-5.4955-91		
CARCENTERSON	1 - 1065 - 1				
Y-AYTS	2 - 2020 2 - 2050 - 20	40-1666.c	50-0764-N		
2-AX15					
GYRD MISALIGNMENT ANGLES			67 L106 6 • T		
BXZ	9.341E-31	9.9415-01	-3.7095-92		
BXY	9.845E-01	9.845E-01	-2.113E-01		
248	9.8655-31	3.85°°-31	5-328F-n2		
	0.173E-51	4.771E-01	1.1735-01		
170	9.375E+01 0.8887 01	9.8755-01 0 5005 01	10-3664.1		
CAPO NON-ORTHOGONALITY END.	9. 777 E - 9 L	9 • 75 55 - OI	-2.1915-01		
847	1. 2996440	1071804 1	20 T 3 Y 8 U - E -		
BZY	1.3346+33	1.744540	3.6125-01		
A 2 e	1.3935+00	- 14JC+1			
			よう リントドアウト		

1

AFAL - TR - 77 - 75

**

•

,

.

-2.9115+00 -2.0465+09 +2.7335+00 -3.1495+30 -7.5385-31 -4.0855+30 -2.3517+00 5.1735+00 -2.8325+00 -3.6205+03 2.1205+03 -3.5366+02 1.1325-39 -4.7745-39 -4.5285-37 -3-2995+33 7-5355+22 -2.734E-92 -1.65555-92 -4.5525-92 -4. F615-91 -5.744F-91 -1.3305+03 -2.971£-32 -2.933F-34 -3.25%-01 -3.25%-02 -3.1%55-02 -3.1%55-01 -1.4%55-01 -2.4755-02 -2.4755-02 6.4565-31 -1.2195-91 2.1935-01 -3.0x65-31 3.7347-01 -2.8325-01 -3,3455+02 2,4355+02 -2,4355+01 -3.197E-19 -1.525-10 10+3422*2 10+3422*2 10+3422*2 2.335+09 5.4635+00 2.4955+01 2.3485-33 2.3245-31 2.3545-31 4.657-92 5.7221-93 5.7595-93 7.1076+30 4.3925+87 4.2955407 4.5485-03 4.5485-03 2.7785-93 1.1495+07 1.1925+93 7 - 1125 - 95 4 - 3935 - 94 4 - 4485 - 84 1.2026405 1.6026405 3.8026405 1,35?5-02 1,35?5-02 7.5295+01 7.2805+01 7.5545-01 7.4455-01 7.4455-01 7.4455-01 6.2715-J1 5.7135-N1 9.5945-J1 1.2735+03 1.2235+03 1.2475+03 5 - 222 - 32 3 - 746 - 32 2 - 722 - 32 3.957E .]* 1. 1795 - 10 0. 1795 - 10 2. 1795 - 10 2. 1795 - 10 9.**655+09 5.+6655+09 7.\$9665+03 4.653676+32 6.3576+32 6.3576+33 4.1046400 4.3336400 4.2335402 1+1+36+14 1+1+36+03 101120 101120 101120 101120 101120 101120 101120 1,00061.0 1,00061.0 1,00061.0 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1.319F-12 6.2725-31 5.7135-31 9.5356-91 7)-367c.9

1 - 10000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 -11-110 HOTH 2412 1042 C 24 8 SNY ALLIVNUSURIOCHNEN X26 7£₽ 50ÅL° FÅ3*39 ¥-Å¥35 - 710 0:13¥00,75007 - 710 0:13¥00,75007 - 5147-2 - 5147-2 - 5147-2 - 5147-2 NOLIVELN MODILLEIG//IP ртевостыты акто Стеркистор К-Акто 7-8445 . [X ¶ - X 7-3220 4.Xo 2.X6 473 577 276 474 X Z L 67 6 83 ر د 1 004 ⊽ن⊽ 004

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100 VSN 01 5	7" V L & T T JN	-JPT FILT	»115AS	-εθες 153-
1.5.1.5. H2.444.12.1.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.		C_17Ldî		-SIMULAT·)-	
	21-3356-13	1.527-192	-1-6166-22		
Y - 5 6 1 7	7. 76,95 . 7	1 . 759= - 75	-5.7515-02		
	e ^ = 3,5 tr • 4	20-stzz•7	-5.1996-11		
		4.9435-13	-1-12551		
			-1-22-5-33		
		くつー シテナショナ	10-01-X-1-		
	0 - 1 - 2 - 2	1 5665-03	-1. 751.2.06		
	. 5:67-25	1.5545-13			
いい まま ナ た	6 · · 7 [6 -] 5	4 4715-35	-8.7525-06		
14 0 0 0 M 0 1 1 1 2					
	1.5746-12	1 • 5245 - J2	3.2015-01		
	2. J. 4. 5. 7	9.213F-čî	-3.3195-91		
2 L H H H H H	5 - 1 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 -	50-3882°3	-3.55.25-02		
かいいせいりょうせん けいりり たいし					
	1. J.J	4.986E-J?	-9.8035-34		
	たい きのひに きょう	4.9545-03	-2.7545-94		
	¥ 5 - 15,8 4 * *	4,59562,4	1.5536-34		
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			9.2755-1		
- 3 - 1		4. 35.75 - 53 2. 31.05 2. 30	-5,9236-33		
		5.598F-J3	-7.1335-73		
			-5-3555-J1		
A u A 1 H u 2		2 2525-133			
	C 18				
2534454		0.5715.72	7 076F-01		
	1 JL/E H	A. 3745 - 18	-1 -315-03		
じ ド ス 号 ー ス	3.500F-07	1.6395-53	3.6145-53		
2-17,12	3.2125-23	9.9225-31	-1-936-1-		
1. የጉዳይ ባይ፻ዞ፤					
	01-1410-11	1.7995-32	2.5375-02		
Y - A Y Y	з°-з94°-с	3.6-3646.6	4.7555-32		
しい文章王内	* * 7 3 F + 12	3.736-02	-1.130E+59		
たびよびをま 小司をひと た					
L [X 4 + X	**?3£-19	5.24 JE - 34	2.825E-18		
	1.7115-53	1.1796-04	-1.485-08		
	₹.456E-33	1.4525-34	1.3165-09		
ь з ж. з	3-3525	9.427F-01	1.7925-72		
			-1-9555-3		
	1	9.9454-01 0.0000 00	1.2875-01		
A 2 3					
* 42	3.98FF- 1		10-00-01 -5 5 11-01		
Director All Structures of the co	•				
i Au	i:+sat1 *]	ון+זאב?,ו	-1.1 45-71		
۶. <u>۲</u> . ۲.	1.43457400	1.5455433	1.7145-01		
1 7 K			-2.1585-61		
			•		

.

AFAL-TR-77-75

•

٠

233

2

3.6485-67 2.6935-83 6.8855-33 1.7365+01 1.6575+01 7.2175+01 1.4256+52 1.4426+32 8.1366+32 10-3626,5 10-3606,5 10-3006,5 * - 1775 - 17 * - 1785 - 17 * - 1785 - 17 7.2035+03 4.1416+03 7.7455+11 6.1415-12 6.1535-12 3.5628-13 7.4088-01 6.738-01 7.5158-01 7.5158-01 7.5556-01 7.9508-01 5.9566-01 5.9566-01 7.4748-01 5.331F-31 1.6505-01 5.877F-31 1.13764JJ 1.13454_1 1.23974_1 1.77.5.1 1.562 +2J 9.9745+33 10+1486.41 00+1004.6 1.150F403 1.150F403 1. 3 16 - 6 T ACCARAN FURNALISM A TALE A COMPANY A TAL ACCZPLATERPM MISALITY ANG "S 400 STAL FALTS (4154 2214) 400 STAL FALTS (4154 2214) SUISAN ALITENOBORIACHIAN SUE KOTOFKO, MISALIGUMITER ANGLOG Mean Verakio Z-AXIC AGGFL: ONMETED STAL: SAGTOF X-AXIT JUNG (21 UNAS JULIA Sing-1 Sing-1 Sing-1 u y I n Y-AYTS Act att JFP Scalf Figtys X-Ayts 17-14-14 17-14-14 17-14-14 14 CLOLV STABILITY 2-4XIS 21X8-7 21X8-X 542 87X 286 9×4 42 a XX 82X EV B 192410

-3.9184477 -1.4516479 -4.456439 -1.7446-51 -2.9635-n1 -5 6896+39 6.2735-32 -3.5.555-72 -1.143F+00 -3.6206+33 2.2626+33 -2.4676+33 -3.4695+33 3.5645+33 1.9591-11 -6.6595-10 -6.1255-38 -2 - 34 E - 32 -1 -6 5aE - 52 -4 - 5 42 - 52 ×=+292×=+ *=+292×=+ *=+292×=+ +1,3%17+11 -5,8425+00 4,6%25400 4.1325-01 3.3555-01 +1.5619-12 3.5776-01 -1.9987-21 -2.8497-31 5.6555+33 3.5 38+33 -4.255233 12-2211.1 1.0135-01 1.0035-03 2.99485-13 2 - 7355 - 32 2 - 7455 - 32 2 - 7455 - 32 5.5255-53 5.4582-53 3.4121-03 7.5995+9, 4.1455+82 *.7865+83 1,1244-493 1,12497-493 6.1415-27 5.1535-17 3.6675-17 7.1117-00 .1117-00 .2112-0 .2112-0 20-32-2°I 7.4585-51 6.7735-51 7.6155-91 7.5585-91 7.5585-01 5.7815-01 7.4735-11 5.8715-31 1.6535-31 5.8775-31 1+1355+07 1+1345+03 1+2335+03 ac7c-j7

AFAL -18-77-75

ſ	
	,
÷,	
Ċ	
-	
7	
1	
ž	
\$	
⊢	
v	
5	•
« J	Ļ
5	
•	1
ſ	ń
-	,
ι,	7
5	Ξ
-	~
	⊢
10	Ĩ
	5
	Ļ.
1	2
•	ί
.2.	1
-	ä
v	
	t
-	•
÷	
5	
2	4
	C
<u></u>	Ξ
~	۴.,
	F
ŭ.,	2
<u></u>	
4	7
٣.	Ĵ.
-	۲
7	5
0	ĉ
č	ĩ.
5	۴-
÷.	•
>	r.
•	
4	
5	
. <u>.</u>	

÷

16

- 					
STATE 450702 BLE 47474444444	ύς φυναις	NCI14INOC	- つやす デリレー・		160000 LULI
	-C:1050elea-	16-106	-EST'44T5	-Coltribula-	126 618
	6 0 - 10 F H	11 J2 J2 J			
	1.964E-45	2 - 1776 - 17 7 - 7266 - 72			
Z-AKIS	1 1 1 1 2 2 3 4 1 2 3	1.2175-1			
άκου γιτιτώσε Οξοσνηρήτ θτας		•			
SIX9-X	4.94AE-23	4.9475-33	-1.29851		
V - 4XT 5	10日日日の日 17	1 - AACT-23			
Z-4XIS	€C-∃o6e°†	≨C-3€56°7	-5.114E-75		
	1.1265-25	1,3247-35	-3,9475-75		
	5 () () () () () () () () () () () () ()		-1-0-25-02		
CIARTS OF A CONSTITUTE	() + + + ()	5 <u>-36</u> 84.5	-5.11255-16		
	1 1816-12				
Z-AXIS	1.1375-32	< C - 32 82 • 1			
GAST F.C. SSPecLARS			3		
X-AXTS	2 (= 3986 * 7	5°-322575	-1.6:393		
7-AKIS	4.3665-33	4.0555-03	1 [-23,5•]-		
2-4715	4.39556.23	4.9955-31	1.1.25-74		
SYRT #450 UNBALANTE					
	3.335E-32	< C− 3160°∠	3.1225-91		
	a , 7.2E = 0.3	8.3~~5.38	-7.6155-13		
424	3.2435-32	3.5425-93	1		
د ا ۲	5.571E-23	10-1055 小小	-6.8447-73		
255	2.3705-32	5-3635-33	-5.840" - 1		
	a, 227E-37	9.22% - 37	-5.1757-3		
			-2.0.5.0.3-		
		2.542-13	3.23.5-1		
センスのドレスとう、ショーレー しょういにん くついい	; f = ,11c*;	<pre>< • • • 1] • • 3 </pre>	3.1715-3176.8		
	9 7 . C . Y				
2-#12					
Grad rotal nater		5 <u>5</u> - T : C • C			
S I x P x	2.171.2.1	1.7435-23	2.2552.23		
Y-AXIS	а.514Е-СТ	3.4365-01	22-296		
	~~ 463E ~ 32	2.4575-92	-[.135403		
	01-01.8 C				
7 - A X 3	1.1625432		6 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		
Z+AXIS	5.4495-32	1 4 4 6 - n 1			
SYRD HISALIGNMENT ANGLES					
8×7	9.322F+C1	a,a21r-3t	1.5276-22		
	9.715F-J1	3.714F-21	7.755-17		
	3.3446-91	3 • 84 4 F - 01	1.7575-31		
	11-115-5	3° + 1 3 1 4 • 6	-5-1355-03		
	9.874F+01 0.80rr 0.	9,8745-J1 0,0000-11	1-5375-1		
SYRE VON-OPTHOGONALITY AND SR	10 - 34 5 5 - F	15-3386-6	-2.4595-31		
877	1.3766439	1, 1965 . 1			
42a	1	1.3856+07			
67 X	1.335+23	C+1.8x • T			

17 AL - TR - 77 - 75

STAR MISSLERNATIN ANGL'S Starts		
		1 2 2 2 2 2 4
Z-AXIS	2.1326+06	+ U C C L - 1 - U C D C - C
STIN JUNE COMUNAS TRUMI		
	1.4245490	1.914-+
	2.382E+33	2 • 39.254
Z-BXJS Techtar Symphon and S	4 * # 5 A E + 3 C	4 - 3¢ 5 +
THE STREET STREET AND	3.3955-22	2.3265-
Y-AXIS	3.459E-02	2.2735-
STAR-S	1.9925+31	- 70 F8.1
LATEDRM TOTAL DOTET V-AVIC	6	. 7765.
	5.227F-03	1 2 4 3 1
Z-4XTS	2.7126-02	⇒ວ€ມ່⊳ ໔
00FLF404TTR 314S		
		- 100° -
0 N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	4 • 0 9 1 • • 0 1 • • 0 1 • • 0 1 • • 0 1 • • 0 1	+
CC HINS SHICK 64141		
() H X 4 1 X	9,8??E+AJ	7 . 43354
START OFFICER START	4+091F+32	1060.1
	7. 259F-1 °	7.3535.
	3.3765-10	3.3765
SIXY-2	2.2275-13	2.501⊑.
Diefleundlee Sogrieestij		
	7.111E-96	7 1116
		1
DE SCALE FACTOR FUIGH GATNE		•
S I x y - x	7.273F-25	5.4°65
TO ALT OUD CLAID FLAD	4.1985-94	4 .137
SIX-A SCHELLARDS	1.0305-05	1.3075
2 - 4 X T S	1.005-35	1.0005-
ATT TRATE A DO	2.494F-35	2°0386
	9.3735-07	.,,,94,,E
DUAPEATEOPH MISALIGN ANDERS		
2,45	7.536E-31	יזסגין.
7 X C	5.765E-C1 * :00.01	6.765E.
	7.5538-01	
874	6.7722-01	
X Z d	7.434F-01	7 4375
CC RON-GRIGGONALITY ARGUES Byt		
¥7¤	9 - 78 I? 49 I 1 - 566F + 54	1.6001
XZE	6.799E-01	3202.9
SSZGYPO FRAME MIGALIGN AUGLES		
	1.1965400	1.1955
	1.237F+05	
Larenow Velocities		
Y-6XTS	7.3315-34	1 2002
Statistical Statistics Statis	30.11000.00	1.2.35
(-HA)		515 * 2

-14.803E+01 -6.993E+00 5.71F+09 2.624E-01 -1.156F-11 -2.590E-01 -3.6345+00 ~1.795+00 -4.1255+00 -2.1615-91 -3.4775-01 -7.3805+30 6.635554.02 -3.3325-02 +1.1395+00 -3.620F473 2.1515403 -2.535F+12 -3+7*25+33 2+5296+33 -2.7366-32 -1.6496-32 +4.5486-62 2,55551.03 +1,0085103 +7,5185103 -2.6378-01 -2.6378-01 -3.12291-01 -3.12291-01 -2.11561-01 -1.7565-01 -2.01561-01 5.550E-11 1.331E-02 +3.569E-23 1,1796+39 7.3126+33 -9.6486+31 -2.414E-32 -1.774E-72 20-3460-21 ủng run vụ vụ vào của ru đác đượ ru run run rung chiết thế thết chiết chiết thết thết chiết thết thết thết thết

እFAL-TR-77-75

.

1847 ようしした しいてんちょう	ANJES AND JPTI ST ATTITUDE =	HAL ESTIMATES CON 11 - MEASURENEN	119451-0 864145 1 804810 10	ประการประบาทธาร	م وردی ال
	STANDA-9 - 0030464750-	Calt(n) NC1101	-OPT FILT -FSTT44TE		
NATE TARADO CAN					
	1.5J1E-32	1.4015-02	+1.823E-02		
	5 C = 3 % 6 5 * 5	5.9075-33	-4.720E+02		
State 7 State 7	7.1.4462.1	1.2345-32	-5.2296-91		
	4.9355-33	アローコキショーシ	2 1 2 1 5 - 5 2		
	5-1956.4	5			
Z-4KI0	5.303E-33		2.4.385-35		
5×24 ຊີຍຈະເຫດ ງມງກາ					
X-AYIS	7.443E-J6	7+4365-05	-4.6585-35		
	7.54?E=06	7.54JF-D6	-5.546E-05		
2-4415	2.1735-35	2.1>36-0	-2.1155-05		
	A. J755-03	20-3650.4	3.7462-91		
	9.520E+03	3.615r-13	-3.2855-61		
	1 • 54-5-22	1 • 3P 4F + 0 5	-3.4325-02		
	1 30 30 33				
	4.9561-00 1.3555-07	4.4535-11 1. 0635-07	-5-372E-04		
	5 - 30 0 E - 4		50-10.5*T-		
CARTERA SUSA JOAS			6		
1 States and a state of the sta	2.391E-22	2.9935-32	1:-352.6.8		
	5.131F-C3	20-2726*7	3.420E-31		
	3.1156-32	3.0156-02	3.7145- <u>7</u> 1		
>	5.3325-03	5.331c-D3	-3.4065-33		
N. 1 U 1	2.352E-J2	2.362.32	-5.8795-91		
	3.2554-63	3 • 225c - 0 š	-5.5735-03		
	1.3CJE-02	1.7915-02	-7.875-52		
	2 · 1 13 E + 2 2	2.3435-01	3.036E+01		
SINGLAND UIISTIGSING UANS	2	ペッシンキャーロイ	5•**5°=J1		
Y-6YTS	40-1015 0	10 - 16 3 3 0 - 16 3 3			
	2 1 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 - 3565 - 1 - 5 2 - 4715 - 13	2 - 1000 - 10 2 - 1000 - 100		
2-4×13	a.a21F-03	9 K215-07	-2.0745-03		
Gyan that noter					
SIXE-X	1.5625-32	1.550F-U2	-1.29JE-J2		
Y-4X75	9.4765-33	9.8515-33	-4.9452-02		
	2.3045-32	2.934E-32	-1.1125+07		
			6:122E-133		
2-441	3.422F-39	55-1607-X			
SYED MISALENT AUGLES WAS NOT			6C.		
288	9.319E-21	10-3816.6	7.1395-52		
A X E	3.5915-31	3.575F-01	-2.2156-01		
RY 7	9.5315-31	9.6 <i>87</i> E-01	5.027E-21		
	9.5008-01	3.69JF-01	3.6495-22		
	9.874F+J1	3.874c-21 c	1.7175-01		
GYPO NON-OFFHOGANALITY ANDLIG	4.755 - JI	10-34 8 .6	+2.4487E+01		
942	1.3376401	1.3465413			
	1 3 7 6 F + J C	1.3755+03	31335-05		
X 2 e	1.3796+30	[u+362£•]	-3.4525-31		

237

HOUSING MISALIGNMENT ANGL'S		
		1.7025+93
	1.5/3E+33 · 3065+00	5.5775+8°
SIMBAS SYNCHRO ANG: BIAS		
	2.2356+25	2.0355+03
V-AXIS	1.7366+00	1.7355+00
SING STATES	3,3765 +33	\$.282F+03
GEFFERENER STRUCTURED ANGLES		
	2.8335-02 2 D525-03	2.2955-03
		<pre></pre>
PLATEORM TOTAL POLET	10	
S I X T X	5.55793	5.6355 -03
V-AXIS	5.764F-13	5.6935-03
Z-4X1S	20-2826.2	22-3226-23
■ 「「「「」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」		
ACE BIAS (HIGH GATA)		
X - 4X - X	7.832F+90	7.832F+0)
SIXWIA	3.519E+C2	2.517E+92
ACT HAPHER TRANSIENT		
SIX-X	4.558F-11	4 • 5cgr~11
SIX	4.5456-11	4.5455-11
	2.3396-11	1.9855-11
	60-4IXI	<pre>/.1115-05</pre>
ACC SCALE FACTOR (HIGH 65.2)	C fr = 3 C C + • T	1 - CT - C
	5.435F-95	5-4365-03
SIXT-A		10-2063 -2
ACT BIT DER SCALE FACTOP		
X-AXIS V-X	1.0036-05	1.0005-05
SIX9-1	1.000f-05	1.2015-05
SIX9-2	2.585E-05	2.585F-35
ACC/PLATFORM MISALIGN ANDLES		
2 * E	7.2956~01	7.2455-01
	5.545E-21	6.5435~01
		7.1625-01
		0.9745-01 r rror 21
2 Z Z	10-3646-9	10-1264.6
ACC NON-ORTHOGONALITY ANG' FS		
B 4 Z	3.535E-01	5,635F-01
¥28	1.4355-31	1.4055-01
x2a	4.109F-01	10-3001.4
BOOKGTER FRAME MISALIGN ANGLES V.A.Tr		
		1.155540
		1.242540
Sullionan metalana	F 0 4 3 6 7 9 4 7	
5 I X I X I X	1.423E-32	3.66655-03
Siry-A	1.4115-02	9.6045-024
2-4XIS	8.3J3E-52	5.837-95

-4+2925+21 1+2325+61 -4+3885+92

8.1726-01 8.8146-07 2.1786-01

-6.4105-91 2.3346-91 -1.4196+00

2.89288-91 1.33928-91 3.53989-91 9.85989-91 9.8979-91 9.8976-91 1.0565-91 1.9376-91

AFAL-TR-77-75

-3.4405+79 -1.7255+09 -5.5855+09

-3.8136400 6.0196-01 7.6936403

8.079E-J1 -1.5832-J1 -5.9415+03

1.6196-71 -4.6526-92 -1.1485+88

-3.620E+23 3.031E+33 -1.653E+02

1.7575-11 ~4.2125-11 -4.5755-19

-3.7725+93 3.153E+03

-2.7945-02 -1.5655-02 -4.5366-02

-2.4156-02 -1.690E-02

0. 0. 3.8438+15

-1.233E-n7

j

e

6 . S. S.

*

	OC ANNA 7 Street Standard			
	- p202462150+			 -537 53202- -+510 0EV
	1 6 8 F C - 1 3			
SIXE-Y	25125555		-2.0145-32	
Z-AKIS	1.3745-32	1.069E-02	-5.1305+31	
GYEQ ITITURE DEPENDENT ATTE				
		4.5715-J?	-3.1375-34	
		5 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	-4.8555-75	
GVPO WBP4-UP DETET		5 D L 10 C T + 5	1.1425-35	
X-AXIS	4 - 3 4 4 E + 3 6	4.C27E-05	-2.137E-06	
Y-BY IS	4 • 324E = 96	4 • 3245 + 35	-3.4:55-06	
SIX-2	1 - 2445-00	1+243F-95	-2.1115-25	
GATO DE 6 SERSITIVE				
	6.3756-03	5.859F-J3	3+3235-1	
		8.5735-03	-3.2*45-01	
SYPO L.T.D. CORRELATED		1.31161	-3.2°95-62	
	2.73226 7	1. 0100-01		
Y-BXIS		1		
SIX4-Z	4. 239F-23	4 . 9 9 9 F - 6 2	2080-17	
GYRO HASS UNBALANDS		•		
I S	2.14536-5	2•86102	9-9477-01	
	4,3075-07	4.905F-J?	3.2725-53	
	2.991F-r2	20-2496.5	3°-2521€	
	M + + 440 m + m	5.3035-03	-4.9615-33	
	2.2825.2	2.2405-02	-5.7375-61	
		4 • 30 2F - 63	-1.1195-02	
		1.775-J7 7 2005 23	-7.9155-72	
		7	1.130tl.5	
CYRO ANISOFLASTIC CONSTINUS	3 1 1 1 1		T7 + 12 . 6 * 6	
X-AXTS	R.547F-03	8.5915-73	7.7455-75	
SIXT-A	a.457F-03	9.457E~03	2	
Z-AKIS	1.921E-63	9.821F - 31	-2.0345-03	
	1.5548-33	1.531F-02	3.1095~51	
	· 125E-12	3.1245-02	-1.0325+00	
GART SCALE FASTOR	1.0105-52	1.4015-02	-5.0)25-01	
X-AXIS	2.456F-03	2.4650-07	3.037F-38	
SIXE-X	1.0935-33	1.035-03	-1.5215-08	
2-4x1S	3.377F-19	3.2755-01		
GYPO MISALIGNMENT ANGLES				
5×2	<u>9.314E-71</u>	9.914°-31	2.8615-32	
	9.568E-01	3.5475-31	-2°795E-n1	
2 - C		9.6955-J1 2 5255 21	4.5705-11	
924	0 85.051 - 1	4.54155131 9.6557 25	2.5315-12	
x26			535-16 -4 -3875-16	
SYRP NON-DPTHDSDNALITY AND LS	• • •			
2 4 6	1.3965+30	5 • 246c + Os	-4.3946-71	
723	1.3756+09	1.3755+0`	3+3245-11	
₹ / ¥	f.3755+33	1.3755 + 7>	-4.59565-21	

239

And in 145-035
HUGSIZU TIMALIUNAHZI AZULU K-Akin	1.5455430	1 66554
Y-AXTS		
7-44-5		1. P375+02
ETM341 SYNCHRO ANGLE HIAS		
	1.539E+00	1.6395+0]
	4.458F + 30	4 4 7 3 C + 0 3
BIFFFORMIIAL SYNCHRO AND 15	5 5 4 3 6 5 7 • 1	1.044647.01
SIXV-X	2.5895-22	2.1875-02
Y-AXTS	1.515E-01	1.6145-01
Z-AKIS	2.9855-32	2°3336-33
PLATEORM INTAL DOIFT		
	5.312E-03	5.453E-03
SIXU-A	3.247E=02	3.245F-02
SIXU-S SURVEY SIXU-S	5.429F-03	5 .714 F-03
	7.1155400	7.0956403
SIXEA	6.152E+03	6.1695+00
Z-AKTS		1.4435+31
ALD ALD ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL		
X - AX I S	7.8?RF+CC	7.829F+03
Y-AXIS	6.339E+00	6.984F+03
ACC WASH-UP TEANSIFYT		
	5.159F-12	5,153E-1 ²
		5.061F-12
CLICE CONTRACT CONTRACT	<pre><. > + / E - 1 <</pre>	2 . 54 75 - 12
RODEL TOTELS OCEET TRUE		
		CO-1071*/
7 + 4 × 7 ×		20-11-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-
400 SCALE FACTOR (HIGH SATU)		
X-AXTS	5-135F-25	5 .4 755-05
V-AXIS	5.451E-35	5.4515-03
	1.JUUT - 15	
Z-PXIZ	3.930F-95	
CLOCK STAGILITY		
	70-3576.F	957E-C*
ACCUTCOLOGIA ANDALLON ANDULUS References	6.4135-04	10-1218 3
9 × 4	5-5636-34 6-5636-34	
2 4 0	5.9146-01	6.8145-01
BYX	6.6555-01	6.6657-01
77 d	6.543E-01	6.649F-01
XLa	6.559E-01	6.663F-01
BCT MANADONALITY ANGURS		
	7.1575-02	7.1535-62
XZ U	1 - 24,0F + P +	1.3635-01
APCZARRA FRAME MISALIGN AUGLAS		
X-AXIS	1.145F+17	1.1455+37
	1.1425+00	1.1425+33
FLATSVAR VELATION	1.235.409	1.297575
SIX9-X	C-1044-1	5.7915-03
SIXV-A	4.0.35-03	6.8335-73
SIXW-2	1.3415-32	3.3215-33

2.295E+12 -6.3725-12 -6.197E-10 -2.7345-72 -1.6755-92 -4.5376-92 0. -4.661E-17 C.

8°-3£45**°**8

-2.4145-02 -1.7565-92

4.915E-31 1.689E+51 *7.276E-92

2.0445-P1 1.6475-P1 +4.9595-21 -3.4775+01 -1.3355+02 1.1575+03

•

*

,

-3.5195+03 2.4046+93 +1.91254+02 -3.771E+93 2.5725+93

AFAL-TR-77-75

-3.5585+00 -1.3655+00 -4.7365+00

.

,

distant.

1.2325+90 -5.7735+90 2.5435+03 2.4515+00 -4.7746+00 +2.4235+00

ticilia 🗢 🐟 🕬 dama 🗤

240

N.C.

AFAL-TR-77-75

REFERENCES.

- 1. <u>Platform Model for the A-7D/E Inertial Measurement Set</u>, Kearfott Systems Division, Kearfott Group, General Precision Systems, Inc., Wayne, New Jersey, Doc. No. 6K032D025C, 1968.
- 2. Andrew H. Jazwinski, <u>Stochastic Processes and Filtering Theory</u>, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- 3. J. S. Meditch, <u>Stochastic Optimal Linear Estimation and Control</u>, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1969.
- 4. Development Specification. Operational Computer Program (OCP), Y202A010. 14 May 1973, Singer-General Precision, Inc., Kearfott Division, Little Falls, New Jersey 07424.
- 5. Robert F. Shipp, <u>System Improvements Through In-Service Test Analysis</u>, The Analytic Sciences Corporation, Reading, Massachusetts. A Paper Prepared for the Holloman Seventh Biennial Guidance Test Symposium.

the Breakland as the