



AFGL-TR-77-0228

SEVERE THUNDERSTORM AND TORNADO WARNING IN REAL TIME

BY COLOR DISPLAY OF DOPPLER VELOCITIES

Michael J. Kraus, Ralph J. Donaldson, Jr.,

and Carlton L. Bjerkaas

 Air Force Geophysics Laboratory Hanscom AFB, MA 01731

1. INTRODUCTION

The meteorological research community has long been aware of the special advantages of Doppler radar for observation of the flow field within severe convective storms (e.g. Donaldson et al. 1969; Brown et al., 1971). Doppler velocities in destructive tornadic storms always indicate a mesocyclonic vortex, confirming the inference of such a circulation proposed many years ago by Brooks (1949). Although a single Doppler radar cannot provide sufficient information for the unambiguous identification of a vortex, Donaldson (1970) proposed a set of criteria for the establishment of the unlikelihood of alternative interpretations. These rules for vortex recognition were verified through dual-Doppler measurements by Brown et al. (1975) and have been refined and operationally organized by Burgess (1976).

During a five-year period of Doppler radar observations at the National Severe Storms Laboratory (NSSL), Burgess identified 37 mesocyclones. All except two were associated with damaging wind or hail, and 23 (62%) with reported tornadoes. No verified tornado occurred during the data collection period unless preceded by a mesocyclone signature, with an average lead time of 36 minutes. Brown and Lemon (1976) discussed a special subset of detectable vortex, the tornadic vortex signature (TVS), having a horizontal scale in the order of 1 km and measured shear approaching 0.1 s⁻¹. Of the 9 instances of TVS observed with NSSL radars through 1975, 7 were associated with tornadoes or funnel clouds.

2. AN OPERATIONAL TEST

Doppler radar, on the basis of current research results, has earned a recommendation as a significant tool for improvement of severe storm and tornado warnings. Both the Air Weather Service (AWS) and the National Weather Service (NWS) are planning replacement of their current operational radars, and both services are aware of the excellent reputation of Doppler radar techniques. However, Doppler capability and the processing suggested by velocity measurement does cost more money than the conventional radar techniques which deal only with reflectivity. Consequently, the two weather services, in cooperation with NSSL and AFCL, sponsored an operational test to determine the usefulness of Doppler radar, in comparison with conventional radar, in real-time warning of severe thunderstorm hazards.

The first phase of the test was conducted during the spring of 1977 from Norman, Oklahoma, using NSEL's prime 10-cm Doppler radar. Our observations were made from the second NSSL Doppler radar located near Cimarron Airport, about 40 km northwest of Norman. We brought along a pulse pair processor, tape-recording system, and color display (described by Jagodnik <u>et al.</u>, 1975), with data input supplied by the Cimarron radar. In addition to archiving data for subsequent analysis, it was our mission during the test to provide back-up capability for the Norman radar for storms lost in its ground clutter and during times when the Norman radar was engaged in other missions.

A detailed analysis of the test results is not available at the time of this writing, while the test is still in progress. Qualitatively, however, we feel that Doppler radar technology convincingly proved its worth in meeting the challenge of the real-time warning environment. As illustration, we provide a preliminary discussion of one of the mesocyclones observed during a tornado outbreak.

3. THE NORMAN MESOCYCLONE

During the afternoon and evening hours of 20 May 1977, tornado reports were numerous in central and southwestern Oklahoma and adjacent areas of Texas. The region was bombarded with a tornado outbreak, including many instances of severe wind damage, hail, flash floods, and extremely active lightning. The earliest of the tornadoes in Oklahoma inflicted multi-million dollar havoc on Altus Air Force Base. Some 30 minutes prior to this damage the AWS-NWS Doppler forecasting team (Capt. Dave Bonewitz of AWS and Don DeVore of NWS) identified a mesocyclone approaching the Altus area and initiated a warning.

Among the more interesting events of the day was a mesocyclone which passed over Norman, with a rotating cloud base, and later a funnel cloud, in clear view of many of the NSSL people. This mesocyclone threatened Tinker Air Force Rase, and in fact its earliest tornadic damage occurred just a few kilometers west of the base. The mesocyclone later split into two parts which co-existed for a few minutes before the old part died as its tornado vanished. The new part of the mesocyclone continued



Reprinted from Preprint Volume: Tenth Conference on Severe Locel Storms. Oct. 18-21, 1977; Omnha, NE. Published by American Mateorological Society Boston, Kasaechusetta.

vigorously and also produced a tornado. The entire phenomenon was very photogenically portrayed on our color display. Photographs are not available at this writing, but they will be shown at the conference.

The process for recognition of a mesocyclonic vortex from its Doppler velocity field initially requires a couplet of velocity extrema, a minimum and a maximum, arranged approximately equidistant from the radar but at adjacent azimuths, with the outbound (positive velocity) maximum at the more clockwise azimuth angle. A configuration of the two velocity extrema located at exactly the same range indicates pure vorticity, and varying admixtures of convergence or divergence are indicated by rotation of the axis between the velocity extrema. For example, a velocity maximum located at a greater range than its adjacent minimum indicates divergence. For vortex identification we accepted rotation of the couplet axis up to angles of 45°. We made special note of the minimum height of zero divergence, where both sides of the velocity couplet were at the same range.

In our preliminary analysis we measured distances to the nearest kilometer and read velocities from the color display to the nearest 5 m s^{-1} , which is the approximate interval between velocity contours with the Cimarron radar wavelength of 10.9 cm and pulse repetition period of 768 µs employed in these measurements. We have abstracted some features of the "Norman" mesocyclone, for both of its parts, during every elevation tilt sequence in which a vortex could be identified. We focussed our attention on the <u>minimum</u> height above ground of (1) the maximum velocity difference across the vortex couplet (Δv) , (2) the minimum vortex diameter (D, the distance between the velocity extrema), and (3) the region of zero divergence. These are listed in the Table. In the final column of the Table we have calculated the maximum tangential shear $(\Delta v/D)$ in the region of zero divergence. For a symmetrical vortex, this value is about half the vorticity.

4. DISCUSSION

We found it instructive to compare the tabular values with the times of tornado occurrences. Values of Δv (max) varied over the rather narrow range of 35 to 60 m s⁻¹. However, the maximum velocity difference was located almost always within a kilometer of the ground while a tornado was in progress, having descended from altitudes of 3 to 5 km typical of the youthful stage of the mesocyclone. The minimum vortex diameter showed a good correspondence with tornado occurrence. especially in the first tornado. Shear values also escalated in consequence of the contraction of the circulation. Vortex diameters of 1 km or less appeared to be identical to the dreaded TVS of Brown and Lemon (1976); and, indeed, Donaldson, the co-author who noted in real time the appearance of the tight, intense circulation on the ground, in a heavily-populated area, has to confess that he felt more than a little anxiety.

Tornado occurrence is also very well correlated with the minimum height of the smallest diameter vortex. In fact, the smallest vortex diameter is never on the ground <u>except</u> during and within two minutes of tornadoes, which is consistent with the terminology of "funnel" for describing the pendant

	Time CST	Av (max) M m s ⁻¹	lin Height km	D(min) km	Min Height km	Div = 0 Min Height km	Shear (\max) s ⁻¹ x 10 ⁻²	
~	01d part: tornado 1840 - 1907							
	1756	45	3.0	5	3.0	0.9	0.5	
	1804	40	4.9	3	1.7	0.9	1.2	
	1811	45	2.6	4	2.1	2.6	1.1	
	1818	35	0.8	4	0.8	2.0	0.8	
	1826	35	0.8	6	0.8	1.6	~0.6	
	1832	55	1.3	3	1.3	1.3	1.8	
	1838	50	0.7	4	1.3	1.9	1.0	
	1844	55	1.3	1	0.1	0.1	4.5	
	1850	60	0.7	2	0.7	0.1	3.0	
	1855*	50	0.1	2	0.1	0.1	2.5	
	1858	. 50	0.8	1	0.8	0.8	5.0	
	1904#	40	0.1	1	0.1	0.1	4.0	
	1909	40	0.1	1 <1	0.1	0.1	>4.0	
			New pa	rt: tornade	o 1911 - 1926			
	1855*	60	0.1	1 4	0.1	>2	<1.5	
	1858	50	2.0	2	2.9	1.5	1.5	
	1908#	55	1.9	2.5	1.9	1.9	2.2	
	1909	55	0.9	<1	0.2	0.2	>4.5	
	1918	50	0.2	4	0.2	1.2	1.1	
	1926	60	0.3	14	0.3	0.3	1.5	
	1934	50	0.3	4	3.9	1.6	0.7	
	1942	40	0.4	4	3.0	>1	0.8	

Table: Low-Altitude Features of the "Norman" Mesocyclone

*incomplete tilt sequence

tornadic cloud. The approach of zero divergence toward the ground is another excellent indicator of a tornado in progress. Before tornado touchdown the typical pattern of velocities in the mesocyclone suggested convergence nearest the ground and strong divergence aloft increasing with height.

For storm warning purposes it is interesting to note that the earliest recognizable mesocyclone signature occurred 44 minutes prior to touchdown of the first tornado. From the first appearance of the new part of the mesocyclone until its tornado touched down there was only a 16-minute warning. It is also of interest to forecasters that the path of the first tornado took a 30° turn toward the left when the new part of the mesocyclone appeared on its right side. This storm clearly merits further study.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Ed Kessler, Director of NSSL, for arranging our participation in the Spring 1977 observations, and to Capt. Ray Bonesteele, Hq AWS, for his continued and very active encouragement of our research continutions toward the ultimate development of an Advanced Weather Radar for the AWS. We are expecially thankful for the devoted and effective performance of the Cimarron-based NSSL group, including John Carter, Buzz Shinn, and Chuck Safford, who kept the radar going and communications open during the very trying circumstances attendant with the tornado outhreak of 20 May. We also thank June Queijo for typing our manuscript. Most of all, though, we are pleased to acknowledge the superh performance of our technician colleague, Bill Smith, who kept our processing and display equipment going throughout the wild stormy night under the stress of overheated components, minor flooding, power-line surges, and lightning strikes, and despite fatigue and more than a little apprehension, shared by all present, for our physical safety. Good show.

References

- Brooks, E. M., 1949: The tornado cyclone. Weatherwise, <u>2</u>, 32-33.
- Brown, R. A. and L. R. Lemon, 1976: Single Doppler radar vortex recognition: Part II - tornadic vortex signatures. Preprints, 17th Radar Meteor. Conf., Boston, Amer. Meteor Soc., 104-109.
- Brown, R. A., W. C. Bumgarner, K. C. Crawford, and D. Sirmans, 1971: Preliminary Doppler velocity measurements in a developing radar hook echo. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., <u>52</u>, 1186-1188.
- Brown, R. A., D. W. Burgess, J. K. Carter, L. R. Lemon, and D. Sirmans, 1975: NSSL dual-Doppler radar measurements in tornadic storms: a preview. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., <u>56</u>, 524-526.
- Burgess, D. W., 1976: Single Doppler radar vortex recognition: Part I - mesocyclone signatures. Preprints, 17th Radar Meteor. Conf., Boston, Amer. Meteor. Soc., 97-103.
- Donaldson, R. J., Jr., 1970: Vortex signature recognition by a Doppler radar. J. Appl. Meteor., <u>9</u>, 661-670.
- Donaldson, R. J., Jr., G. M. Armstrong, A. C. Chmela, and M. J. Kraus, 1969: Doppler radar investigation of air flow and shear within severe thunderstorms. Preprints, Sixth Conf. on Severe Local Storms, Boston, Amer. Meteor. Soc., 146-154.
- Jagodnik, A. J., L. R. Novick, and K. M. Glover, 1975: A weather radar scan converter/color display. Preprints, 16th Radar Meteor. Conf., Boston, Amer. Meteor. Soc., 14-20.



SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM **REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE** 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER AFGL-TR-77-Ø228 TITLE fand Subtitle 5. TYPE OF REPOR PERIOD COVERED SEVERE THUNDERSTORM AND TORNADO Scientific Interim kept WARNING IN REAL TIME BY COLOR DISPLAY OF DOPPLER VELOCITIES . 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER AUTHOR 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(.) Michael J. Kraus, Ralph J. Donaldson, Jr. Carlton L. Bjerkaas PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Air Force Geophysics Laboratory (LYW) TASK NUMBERS F66720301 Hanscom Air Force Base Massachusetts 01731 62101F Air Force Geophysics Laboratory (LYW) 12 PERGRE OLE 18 Octomr 1977 Hanscom Air Force Base NUMBER OF PAGES Massachusetts 01731 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. *7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Reprinted from Preprint Volume, 10th Conference on Severe Local Storms, October 18-21, 1977 in Omaha, Nebraska 19. KEY WOROS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number) Tornado Thunderstorms Radar Doppler Mesocycline An operational test of 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Doppler radar as a real-time forecasting tool took place during Spring 1977 in Oklahoma. Participating groups included National Severe Storms Laboratory National Weather Service, Air Weather Service and Air Force Geophysics Laboratory. The AFGL color display, mated to the NSSL 10-cm Cimarron Doppler radar, provided back-up capability to the center of operations at Norman. Also, the authors provided additional interpretation of the velocity patterns depicted on the color display for the benefit of the operational meteor ologists participating in the test. Experiences in real-time identification DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Dete inte ale mare to Spear to at the hater

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered) of velocity patterns characteristic of hazardous weather are summarized in this paper. and a No. いいし、他のとも ない、いな · · · · · · 13 Ber 10100 20 margin ain 1 1320 1. . . A 115 fight to the strangene in the sta • the international for the second s i i'no i statistica in the second sec Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Deta Entered) time a present and the state of the state of the state of the state of the present of the state of the state