AD-A045 751

AERONAUTICAL SYSTEMS DIV WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB OHIO
SERVICE TEST OF MEN AND WOMEN EXPERIMENTAL BLUE 1549 WASHABLE U--ETC(U)

UNCLASSIFIED

ADAMSTRI

ADAMS

ASD-TR-76-21



# SERVICE TEST OF MEN AND WOMEN EXPERIMENTAL BLUE 1549 WASHABLE UNIFORMS

CLOTHING DIVISION
DIRECTORATE OF EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING

**JULY 1977** 

TECHNICAL REPORT ASD-TR-76-21
Final Report for period February 1975 — February 1976



DOC FILE COPY

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

AIR FORCE AERONAUTICAL SYSTEMS DIVISION AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO 45433

#### NOTICE

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

This report has been reviewed by the Information Office (OI) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS, it will be available to the general public, including foreign nations.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

1 Chie &

NORMAN L. CHILDS Project Officer

FOR THE COMMANDER

JACK H. ROSS

Chief, Clothing Division

Directorate of Equipment Engineering

RALPH A. GOODWIN
Project Officer

RESULTS

RELEGIES

REL

Copies of this report should not be returned unless return is required by security considerations, contractual obligations, or notice on a specific document.

AIR FORCE/56780/17 October 1977 - 100

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 1. REPORT NUMBER ASD-TR-76-21 TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED TITLE (and Subtitle) Final Report. Ь SERVICE TEST OF MEN AND WOMEN EXPERIMENTAL February 75 - February BLUE 1549 WASHABLE UNIFORMS, 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(4) AUTHOR() Norman L. Childs Ralph A./Goodwin 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Aeronautical Systems Division (ASD/ENEU) Air Force Systems Command Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433 12. REPORT DATE 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Aeronautical Systems Division (ASD/ENEU) NUMBER OF PAGES Air Force Systems Command Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433

14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different from Repoi., 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Clothing Polyester Serge Polyester Gabardine Washable 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This report covers tests conducted to obtain users' opinions of two washable service uniforms, 100% texturized polyester serge and 100% texturized polyester gabardine.

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

008 800

mt

## PREFACE

This test program was accomplished in response to a letter directive from the Air Force Uniform Board, HQ USAF. Norman L. Childs, and Ralph A. Goodwin were the project officers. The actual wear tests were accomplished by Military personnel of the Orientation Group (AFOG/DT) Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433 for the men, and the women uniforms were tested at all major command in the United States and one oversea command. The tests were conducted during the period February 1975 and February 1976.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	SECTION	PAGE
ı.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	MATERIALS	2
II.	SERVICE TEST DISTRIBUTION PLAN	4
IV.	CONDUCT OF TEST	5
V.	CONCLUSIONS	6
VI.	RECOMMENDATIONS	7
	APPENDIX I	8
	APPENDIX II	10

#### INTRODUCTION

At the request of the Air Force Uniform Board, HQ USAF, a task was established to design and develop an Air Force Blue 1549 service uniform (coat, trousers, and skirt) which will be completely washable and suitable for home laundering with a minimum amount of touch-up pressing required.

Description: The design of this wash and wear uniform has the same outward appearance, detail and fit concept as the present Air Force Blue 1549 Service Uniform. The uniforms were made from two (2) types of fabrics using fusible interlining in the coat fronts, sleeve bottoms, shoulder loops and back vent stays to improve the appearance, reduce puckering, and give body to the fabrics. All metal buttons are removable to facilitate washing or pressing.

Fabrics Tested: Type I - 100% Texturized Polyester Serge (Standard Optional Fabric)

Type II - 100% Texturized Polyester Gabardine (Experimental Fabric)

Purpose of Test: To obtain user opinions about two washable uniforms relative to fit, comfort, appearance, maintenance and wearing characteristics during a six months test for women and a one year test period for the men.

#### Test Objectives:

To Check Acceptability and Compatibility: Personnel are to com-Paratively evaluate the texturized polyester serge with the texturized polyester gabardine fabric. Test questionnaires will include the following areas:

- (1) Crease retention after each wearing and washing.
- (2) Resistance to snagging or bagging.
- (3) Wrinkle resistance after each wearing.
- (4) Colorfastness to light and laundering.
- (5) Comfort at low and high temperatures.
- (6) Static electricity (clinging) and pilling (bunching of fibers on the face of the fabric).

(7) Durability after exposure to repeated washing (hand, feel of material and drape, shape of material), stains (food, ink, tea, oil, alcohol, coffee, grease, etc.), stretch, shrink or glazing (shiny surface).

## Materials:

Type I

Basic Outershell Cloth

Characteristics	Properties
Fiber Content	100% Texturized Polyester Continuous Filament
Weave	Serge (Twill)
Weight - per sq yd	6.5 ounces
Texture	
Warp/Inch	68
Filling/Inch	62
Color	Blue 1549
Tensile - Pounds/Inches	
Warp	220
Filling	200

## Type II

Basic Outershell Cloth

<u>Characteristics</u> Properties

Fiber Content 100% Texturized Polyester

Continuous Filament

Weave Gabardine (Twill)

Weight - per sq yd 6.0 ounces

Texture

Warp/Inch 121

Filling/Inch 65

Color Blue 1549

Tensile - Pounds/Inches

Warp 188

Filling 178

Sleeve and Body Lining

Characteristics Properties

Fiber Content 100% Nylon Continuous Filament

Weave Plain

Weight - sq yd 2 ounces

Color Navy Blue

Texture

Warp/Inch 96

Filling/Inch 86

#### SERVICE TEST DISTRIBUTION PLAN

## Quantity

Men 100 Uniforms each type

Women 50 uniforms each type

1 each type retained by ASD/ENEU for sample

#### Participating Commands

Men Orientation Group (AFOG/DT)

Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433

Women ATC, MAC, SAC, TAC, AFLC,

CINCPACAF and HQ USAF/DPW

#### Duration of Test

Men 1 year

Women 6 months

#### Distribution

Distribution of the men's washable uniforms was in accordance with AFSC/SDAE TWX dated 7 January 1975. The women's was in accordance with HQ USAF/DPW.

All men uniforms were tested by the Orientation Group at Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433.

Since the Air Force Orientation Group at Wright-Patterson AFB tested the men uniforms, ASD/ENEU was able to perform the following functions:

- a. Visit organization accomplishing service test.
- b. Work with the test monitor on distribution (size and fit).
- c. Explain test purposes and reporting procedure.
- d. Follow-up on test progress.

The women uniforms were tested at the following locations:

Address	Total (Each Type)
HQ USAF/DPW Wash DC 20330	11
HQ ATC/RSON Randolph AFB TX 78148	3
HQ AFLC/DPW Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433	5
AFROTC/DUA Maxwell AFB AL 36112	5
HQ ATC/DPW Randolph AFB TX 78148	5
HQ MAC/DPW Scott AFB IL 62225	5
HQ TAC/DPXR Langley AFB VA 23365	5
CINCPACAF/DPX Apo San Francisco 96553	5
CINCSAC/DPW Offutt AFB NE 68113	5

## CONDUCT OF TEST

A monitor was assigned at each test site who was responsible for the issuing of the experimental uniforms, questionnaires and the return to ASD/ENEU, Wright-Patterson, Ohio 45433 at the end of the test period.

Only those individuals who would normally wear the Blue 1549 service uniform while performing their assigned duties during the test period were selected as test participants.

Each test participant was requested to wear his experimental uniform as he would his standard uniform, since the test participant would be testing two uniforms of different fabrics. The participant would alternate the wearing of the uniforms so that each test garment was worn the same amount of time during the test period.

#### CONCLUSIONS

An evaluation of the test questionnaire results and comments made by test participants indicate a very high level of acceptance that Air Force personnel would welcome a wash and wear Class A uniform as an optional garment.

Frequent comments made relative to the wash and wear uniform were:

- a. "It maintained a neat appearance for an extended period of time."
- b. "I love both test uniforms."
- c. "Very positive reaction from others on appearance."
- d. "Both uniforms are superior to the standard uniform in comfort and they are easy to care for."
- e. "I like the convenience of a wash and wear garment."

There were minor difficulties:

- a. "Type II "fuzzing" at wear points and cuffs fraying."
- b. "Breast pocket sew down points came loose."
- c. "Button holes should be of heavier construction."
- d. "Wallet pocket not deep enough."
- e. "Thread breakage in seams."

The above difficulties are considered normal for everyday wear of uniform.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Air Force Uniform Board approve the wash and wear uniform as an optional garment. If the complete uniform service coat and trousers, service coat and skirt are not approved, it is recommended that the trouser and skirt be approved in the Type I, 100% Texturized Polyester Serge (Standard Optional Fabric), in a wash and wear construction as an optional item.

#### APPENDIX I

#### BLUE 1549 WASHABLE UNIFORM

#### LAUNDERING INSTRUCTIONS

### Before Washing:

Pre-treatment of badly soiled areas is strongly recommended. Apply a liquid detergent to soiled spots and thoroughly work it into the material. A medium paste made from a dry detergent and water can be used also for the same purpose. Grease spots can usually be re moved before washing by use of a spotting agent.

### Machine Washing:

Wash the suit for 5 minutes in warm  $(100^{\circ}\text{F})$  water using a regular detergent or soap. Use the machine's wash and wear cycle if one is available. Whether the wash and wear or the regular machine cycle is used, the washer should be run through the complete cycle including spins and rinses.

#### Machine Drying:

Tumble dry at a low to medium temperature setting (140° to 160°F) for 20 to 40 minutes. Use the machine's special wash and wear cycle if one is available. A little experimentation with your laundry equipment to determine what conditions works best for your particular suit will be well rewarded with very satisfactory results. CAUTION: AVOID THE USE OF HIGH TEMPERATURE SETTING, AND DO NOT OVER DRY. Remove the garment immediately at the end of the drying cycle. Hang the coat and skirt on hangers and smooth the collar, lapels, and seams with the fingers.

#### Alternative Laundering Methods:

#### 1. Machine Washing and Drip Drying:

Follow the machine washing procedures above. However, the garment should be removed dripping wet from the washer before the final spin. Hang the suit on a non-staining hanger, smooth the collar, lapels and seams with the fingers and allow to dry.

## 2. Hand Washing and Drip Drying:

Wash the garment in warm  $(100^{\circ}\text{F})$  water using a heavy duty detergent or soap and water softener. DO NOT WRING OR TWIST THE SUIT. Rinse thoroughly and hang on a non-staining hanger. Smooth the collar, lapels and skirt seams with the fingers and allow to dry.

## 3. Pressing:

Should pressing become necessary use a steam iron or dry iron on the Rayon or "Perma Press" setting, together with a <u>pressing cloth</u> for best results. After several launderings it may be necessary to press the uniform on a commercial pressing machine.

#### APPENDIX II

### RESULTS OF QUESTIONNAIRE

The data presented is based on sixty-five wearer questionnaires during the first six months test period and forty-one for the second six month period for the men. The women's is based on thirty-nine wearer questionnaires.

The word "cleaning" in questions 14-19, is intended to provide a general reference to the cleaning process. The questions were directed at the effects of laundering which was the primary objective of the wear test. Later question(s) address the specific process of dry cleaning.

## Experimental Men's Washable Air Force Uniform

### First Six Months

## Data As Given In Test Questionnaires

### 1. Rank:

1.	Amn-A1C	2%	7.	CMSgt	2%	40.	LtCo1	1%
2.	Sgt	6%	8.	FSgt	0%		Col	3%
3.	SSgt	30%	9.	2Lt	0%	60.	Brig Gen	2%
4.	TSgt	17%	10.	1Lt	2%		Maj Gen	2%
5.	MSgt	9%	11.	Capt	11%		Lt Gen	0%
6.	SMSgt	4%	12.	Major	9%	90.	General	0%

## What percent of your time do you spend at your desk?

1.	00-20%	26%	
2.	21-40%	9%	
3.	41-60%	25%	
4.	61-80%	31%	
5.	81-100%	8%	
6.	No comment	1%	

## 3. What percent of your time do you spend outside your office?

1.	00-20%	28%
2.	21-40%	32%
3.	41-60%	14%
4.	61-80%	6%
5.	81-100%	18%
6.	No comment	2%

## 4. In which one of the following temperature zones was the uniform worn?

	ZONE	COOLEST MONTH	WARMEST MONTH
1.	Tropical	Above 68 Deg. F.	Above 68 Deg. F. 6%
2.	SemiTropical	50 to 68 Deg. F.	Above 68 Deg. F. 6%
3.	Warm Temperature	32 to 50 Deg. F.	Above 68 Deg. F. 23%
4.	Mild Temperature	32 to 50 Deg. F.	50 to 68 Deg. F. 17%
5.	Cool Temperature	Below 32 Deg. F.	Above 68 Deg. F. 45%
6.	Cold Temperature	14 to 32 Deg. F.	50 to 68 Deg. F. 2%
		of her trees and not equally	No comment 1%

5. What build are you?

1.	Slender	8%
2.	Medium	69%
3.	Medium Heavy	17%
4.	Heavy	6%

6. How did the size received compare with size normally worn?

1.	Same	63%
2.	Smaller	11%
3.	Larger	26%

7. What size uniform did you receive?

1.	38		14%
2.	40		32%
3.	42		40%
4.	44		12%
5.	No	comment	2%

8. What length uniform did you receive?

1.	Short	12%
2.	Regular	62%
3.	Long	25%
4.	No comment	1%

normally wear?

1. 38 14% 30% 2. 40 40% 3. 42 4. 44 14% 5. No comment 2%

9. What size uniform do you 10. What length uniform do you normally wear?

> 1. Short 12% 2. Regular 62% 25% 3. Long 4. No comment 1%

11. What alterations were required on the coat for you to obtain a satisfactory fit? (More than one number can be selected).

1.	None	65%	5.	Coat sides (taken-up)	0%
2.	Sleeves shorted	18%	6.	Collar shorten	9%
	Sleeves lengthen	0%	7.	Other (specify)	0%
	Coat sides (let out)	0%	8.	No comment	8%

Out of a total of 180 days for the test period, how many total days did you wear the uniform?

12. Type I

Average of 60 days

13. Type II

Average of 60 days

How was the test garment laundered?

14.	Type I	15.	Type II		
	37%	1.	Home laundry		42%
	6%	2.	Always commercial		8%
	11%	3.	Some coin operated cleaning		11%
	37%	4.	Equal amount of each		35%
	9%	5.	No comment		4%

To what extend did cleaning detract/enhance the uniform appearance?

16.	Type I			17.	Type II
	6%	1.	Detracted		8%
	60%	2.	No influence		62%
	25%	3.	Enhanced		26%
	9%	4.	No comment		4%

Did cleaning affect the fit of the uniform?

18. Type I			19.	Type II
0%	1.	Excessive shrinkage		0%
3%	2.	Some shrinkage		3%
85%	3.	No affect		88%
1%	4.	Some stretching		4%
0%	5.	Excessive stretching		0%
11%	6.	No comment		5%

What was the texture of the test garment material? (Especially around cuffs, pocket front, back vent stay, coat front, or shoulder loops).

20.	Type I			21.	Type II
	11%	1.	Material pliable		4%
	12%	2.	Material slightly pliable		20%
	54%	3.	Material holds shape		66%
	6%	4.	Material slightly stiff		2%
	0%	5.	Material stiff		0%
	17%	6.	No comment		8%

To what degree did you notice any puckering, shifting or pulling of a seam after washing.

22.	Type I			23.	Type II
	4%	1.	Excessive		2%
	9%	2.	Slight		34%
	79%		Non-Existent		60%
	8%	4.	No comment		4%

How often was the uniform touched-up or pressed at home with a cloth and steam iron?

24.	Type I			25.	Type II
	51%	1.	Never		52%
	28%	2.	Seldom (Once a Month)		29%
	6%	3.	Sometimes (Once Every Two Weeks)		4%
	9%	4.	Frequently (Once a Week)		9%
	0%	5.	Very Frequently (Almost Daily)		0%
	6%	6.	No comment		6%

If the uniform was pressed at home, how smooth did it appear?

26. Type I		27. Type II
0%	1. Very rough	0%
0%	2. Rough	1%
49%	3. Smooth	43%
6%	4. Very smooth	11%
45%	5. No comment	45%

To what degree was shape retention affected by wrinkling?

28.	Type I			29.	Type II
	56%	1.	No affect		51%
	30%	2,	Slight		32%
	3%		Moderate		8%
	0%	4.	Marked affect		1%
	11%	5.	No comment		8%

How well did the uniform retain its shape?

30.	Type I			31.	Type II
	0%	1.	Very poor		2%
	1%	2.	Poor		2%
	45%	3.	Good		51%
	45%	4.	Very good		41%
	9%		No comment		4%

To what extent did the wrinkles hang out overnite?

32.	Type I			33.	Type II
	20%	1.	No wrinkles remained		23%
	48%	2.	Almost no wrinkles remained		43%
	22%	3.	Some wrinkles remained		23%
	1%	4.	Almost all remained		5%
	9%	5.	No comment		6%

In every other day use to what degree did the test uniform maintain a well pressed appearance?

34.	Type I		35.	Type II
	3%	1. Uniform appeared very unkempt		3%
	15%	2. Uniform appeared slightly unkempt		11%
	42%	3. Uniform appeared pressed		54%
	29%	<ol> <li>Uniform appeared well pressed</li> </ol>		26%
	11%	5. No comment		6%

How do you feel others evaluated your appearance in the test garment?

36. Type I			37.	Type II
0%	1.	Negative reaction		0%
3%	2.	No noticeable reaction		8%
85%	3.	Positive reaction		91%
12%	4.	No comment		1%

In what manner did the uniform react to rain, excessive humidity, etc?

38.	Type I			39.	Type II
	0%	1.	Completely lost its shape		0%
	26%	2.	Moderate decrease in shape retention		28%
	63%	3.	No decrease in shape retention		66%
	11%	4.	No comment		6%

How would you rate the warmth characteristics of the test garment compared to the standard uniform in cool/cold weather?

40.	Type I			41.	Type II
	11%	1. Les	ss satisfactory		6%
	37%	2. Equ	ıal		48%
	37%	3. Mor	re satisfactory		35%
	15%	4. No	comment		11%

How would you rate the coolness characteristics of the test garment compared to the standard uniform in warm/hot weather?

42.	Type I			43.	Type II
	15%	1. 1	Less satisfactory		9%
	32%	2.	Equal		34%
	44%	3. 1	More satisfactory		53%
	9%		No comment		4%

Did you perspire more/less than your normal rate in the test garment?

44.	Type I			45.	Type II
	17%	1. More			9%
	42%	2. The	same		42%
	32%	3. Less			45%
	9%	4. No c	comment		4%

To what extent, if any, did the fabric show any defects at the end of the test period?

46.	Type I			47.	Type II
	38%	1.	None at all		48%
	45%	2.	Some defects		38%
	8%	3.	Obvious defects		8%
	9%	4.	No comment		6%

To what extent, if any, did the seam construction show any defects at the end of the test period?

48.	Type I			49.	Type II
	57%	1.	None at all		58%
	25%	2.	Some defects		22%
	9%	3.	Obvious defects		12%
	9%	4.	No comment		8%

After washing the uniform did you notice any spots or stains?

50.	Type I			51.	Type II
	81%	1.	No spots or stains remained		77%
	9%	2.	Almost no spots or stains remained		14%
	4%	3.	Some spots or stains remained		8%
	0%	4.	Almost all spots or stains remained		0%
	6%	5.	No comment		1%

### If so was it:

52. Type I			53. Type I	Ι
4%	1.	Food	4%	
2%	2.	Ink	3%	
4%	3.	0il	4%	
0%	4.	Alcohol	0%	
3%	5.	Coffee	2%	
2%	6.	Grease	2%	
2%	7.	Tea	0%	
65%	8.	None	62%	
18%	9.	No comment	23%	

Did the trouser crease stay?

54.	Type I			55.	Type II
	55%	1.	No affect		55%
	20%	2.	Slight affect		23%
	11%	3.	Moderate affect		11%
	3%	4.	Marked affect		3%
	11%	5.	No comment		8%

How many times was the uniform laundered?

56. Type I

57. Type II

Average of 10 times

Average of 10 times

To what extent, if any, did the lining show any defects at the end of the test period?

58.	Type I			59.	Type II
	53%	1.	None at all		66%
	17%	2.	Some defects		12%
	12%	3.	Obvious defects		6%
	18%	4.	No comment		16%

To what extend did perspiration affect the fabric's color?

60. Ty	pe I			61.	Type II
893	%	1.	No affect		87%
3:	%	2.	Slight affect		0%
4:	%	3.	Moderate affect		4%
0:	%	4.	Marked affect		0%
4:	%	5.	No comment		9%

How do you consider the weight of the garment?

62.	Type I			63.	Type II
	0%	1.	Too light		2%
	88%	2.	Satisfactory		90%
	6%	3.	Too heavy		4%
	6%	4.	No comment		4%

How do you feel the construction of the test garment compares with that of the standard uniform?

64.	Type I			65.	Type II
	4%	1.	Inferior		6%
	23%	2.	Equal		25%
	64%	3.	Superior		61%
	9%	4.	No comment		8%

In general, what was your overall reaction to your test garment?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Unfavora	ble				Favor	able
66. Тур	e I					
)% No comme	0% nt - 8%	1%	8%	6%	25%	52%
67. Typ	e II					
0% No comme	0% nt - 6%	5%	11%	6%	20%	52%
Do you r	ecommend th	is uniform	n for Option	nal wear?		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
No						Yes
68. Typ	e I					
2% No comme	0% nt - 12%	4%	6%	6%	8%	60%
69. Typ	e II					
4% No comme	3%	3%	6%	8%	5%	63%

Which uniform do you like the best?

70. Type I

Type II

51%

48%

No comment 1%

## Experimental Men's Washable Air Force Uniform

#### Second Six Months

## Data As Given In Test Questionnaires

#### 1. Rank:

1.	Amn-A1C	7.	CMSgt	40.	LtCo1
2.	Sgt	8.	FSgt	50.	Col
3.	SSgt	9.	2Lt	60.	Brig Gen
4.	TSgt	10.	1Lt	70.	Maj Gen
5.	MSgt	11.	Capt	80.	Lt Gen
6.	SMSgt	12.	Major	90.	General

## What percent of your time do you spend at your desk?

1.	00-20%	20%	
2.	21-40%	17%	
3.	41-60%	34%	
4.	61-80%	7%	
5.	81-100%	22%	
6	No comment	0%	

## 3. What percent of your time do you spend outside your office?

1.	00-20%	24%
2.	21-40%	29%
3.	41-60%	29%
4.	61-80%	5%
5.	81-100%	13%
6.	No comment	0%

## 4. In which one of the following temperature zones was the uniform worn?

	ZONE	COOLEST MONTH	WARMEST MONTH
1.	Tropical	Above 68 Deg. F.	Above 68 Deg. F.
2.	SemiTropical	50 to 68 Deg. F.	Above 68 Deg. F.
3.	Warm Temperature	32 to 50 Deg. F.	Above 68 Deg. F.
4.	Mild Temperature	32 to 50 Deg. F.	50 to 68 Deg. F.
5.	Cool Temperature	Below 32 Deg. F.	Above 68 Deg. F.
6.	Cold Temperature	14 to 32 Deg. F.	50 to 68 Deg. F.

Due to the nature of the units mission the uniforms were worn in all conditions of weather and temperature.

## 5. - 11. Same as shown on questionnaire covering first six months.

During the last half of the six-month test period, how many total days did you wear the uniform?

12. Type I

13. Type II

On the average they were alternated 50/50.

How was the test garment laundered?

14. Type I

15. Type II

- 1. Home laundry
- 2. Always commercial
- 3. Some coin operated cleaning
- 4. Equal amount of each
- 5. No comment

Each participant was to use home and commercial laundry on as equal a basis as possible but due to the heavy TDY during this phase it appears to be heavy on the commercial side, perhaps 60/40.

To what extend did cleaning detract/enhance the uniform appearance?

16.	Type I			17.	Type II
	15%	1.	Detracted		17%
	56%	2.	No influence		63%
	17%	3.	Enhanced		20%
	12%	4.	No comment		0%

Did cleaning affect the fit of the uniform?

18.	Type I			19.	Type II
	0%	1.	Excessive shrinkage		0%
	7%	2.	Some shrinkage		12%
	75%	3.	No affect		82%
	3%	4.	Some stretching		3%
	3%	5.	Excessive stretching		3%
	12%	6.	No comment		0%

What was the texture of the test garment material (especially around cuffs, pocket front, back vent stay, coat front, or shoulder loops)?

20.	Type I			21.	Type II
	10%	1.	Material pliable		10%
	7%	2.	Material slightly pliable		5%
	71%	3.	Material holds shape		85%
	0%	4.	Material slightly stiff		0%
	0%		Material stiff		0%
	12%	6.	No comment		0%

To what degree did you notice any puckering, shifting or pulling of a seam after washing.

22.	Type I			23.	Type II
	7%	1.	Excessive		5%
	46%	2.	Slight		41%
	34%	3.	Non-Existent		54%
	13%	4.	No comment		0%

\* How often was the uniform "touched-up" or pressed at home with a cloth and steam iron?

24. Type I

25. Type II

- 1. Never
- 2. Seldon (Once a Month)
- 3. Sometimes (Once Every Two Weeks)
- 4. Frequently (Once a Week)
- 5. Very Frequently (Almost Daily)
- 6. No comment

\* If the uniform was pressed at home, how smooth did it appear?

26. Type I

27. Type II

- 1. Very rough
- 2. Rough
- 3. Smooth
- 4. Very Smooth
- 5. No comment
- \* Numbers 24 thru 27 Participants were told to have the uniforms steam press at a professional dry cleaner.

To what degree was shape retention affected by wrinkling?

28.	Type I			29.	Type II
	36%	1.	No affect		46%
	44%	2.	Slight		34%
	5%	3.	Moderate		15%
	3%	4.	Marked affect		0%
	12%	5.	No comment		5%

How well did the uniform retain its shape?

30. Type I		31. Type II
0%	1. Very poor	5%
0%	2. Poor	3%
44%	3. Good	48%
44%	4. Very good	44%
12%	5. No comment	0%

To what extent did the wrinkles hang out overnite?

32.	Type I			33.	Type II
	17%	1.	No wrinkles remained		20%
	46%	2.	Almost no wrinkles remained		60%
	24%	3.	Some wrinkles remained		20%
	0%	4.	Almost all remained		0%
	13%	5.	No comment		0%

In every other day use to what degree did the test uniform maintain a well pressed appearance?

34.	Type I		35. Type II
	0%	1. Uniform appeared very unkempt	0%
	7%	2. Uniform appeared slightly unkempt	7%
	57%	3. Uniform appeared pressed	50%
	24%	4. Uniform appeared well pressed	31%
	12%	5. No comment	12%

How do you feel others evaluated your appearance in the test garment?

36.	Type I			37.	Type II
	0%	1.	Negative reaction		0%
	10%	2.	No noticeable reaction		3%
	78%	3.	Positive reaction		97%
	12%	4.	No comment		0%

In what manner did the uniform react to rain, excessive humidity, etc?

38.	Type I			39.	Type II
	0%	1.	Completely lost its shape		5%
	15%	2.	Moderate decrease in shape retention		22%
	73%	3.	No decrease in shape retention		73%
	12%	4.	No comment		0%

How would you rate the warmth characteristics of the test garment compared to the standard uniform in cool/cold weather?

40.	Type I			41.	Type II
	17%	1.	Less satisfactory		22%
	39%	2.	Equal		37%
	41%	3.	More satisfactory		41%
	3%	4.	No comment		0%

How would you rate the coolness characteristics of the test garment compared to the standard uniform in warm/hot weather?

42.	Type I			43.	Type II
	7%	1.	Less satisfactory		5%
	39%	2.	Equal		34%
	42%	3.	More satisfactory		61%
	12%	4.	No comment		0%

Did you perspire more/less than your normal rate in the test garment?

44.	Type I			45.	Type II
	10% 39% 39%	T .	More The same Less		5% 41% 51%
	12%		No comment		3%

To what extent, if any, did the fabric show any defects at the end of the test period?

46.	Type I			47.	Type II
	27% 54% 7% 12%	2. 3.	None at all Some defects Obvious defects No comment		44% 49% 7% 0%

To what extent, if any, did the seam construction show any defects at the end of the test period?

	49.	Type II
1. None at all 22% 2. Some defects Obvious defects 12% 4. No comment		56% 22% 22% 0%

After washing the uniform did you notice any spots or stains?

50.	Type I			51.	Type I
	66%	1.	No spots or stains remained		78%
	15%	2.	Almost no spots or stains remained		17% 5%
	7%	3.	Some spots or stains remained		0%
	0%	4.	Almost all spots or stains remained No comment		0%
	12%	٥.	140 Commerce		

## If so was it:

52.	Type I			53. Type II
	0%	1.	Food	5%
	5%	2.	Ink	0%
	3%	3.	011	3%
	0%	4.	Alcohol	0%
	3%	5.	Coffee	0%
	3%	6.	Grease	0%
	0%	7.	Tea	0%
	74%	8.	None	92%
	12%	9.	No comment	0%

## Did the trouser crease stay?

54. Type I	55.	Type II
51% 1. No affect		64%
27% 2. Slight affect		24%
5% 3. Moderate affect		5%
5% 4. Marked affect		7%
12% 5. No comment		0%

## How many times was the uniform laundered?

56.	Type I	The number of times laundered varied, but on the
57.	Type II	average the uniforms were laundered every 4 to 5 weeks.

To what extent, if any, did the lining show any defects at the end of the test period?

58.	Type I			59.	Type II
	44%	1.	None at all		54%
	29%	2.	Some defects		26%
	15%	3.	Obvious defects		20%
	12%	4.	No comment		0%

To what extend did perspiration affect the fabric's color?

60.	Type I			61.	Type II
	83%	1.	No affect		90%
	5%	2.	Slight affect		10%
	0%	3.	Moderate affect		0%
	0%	4.	Marked affect		0%
	12%	5.	No comment		

How do you consider the weight of the garments?

62. Type I			63.	Type II
3%	1.	Too light		3%
85%	2.	Satisfactory		90%
0%	3.	Too heavy		7%
12%	4.	No comment		0%

How do you feel the construction of the test garment compares with that of the standard uniform?

64.	Type I			65.	Type II
	22%	1.	Inferior		20%
	30%	2.	Equal		39%
	41%	3.	Superior		39%
	7%	4.	No comment		2%

In general, what was your overall reaction to your test garment?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Unfavor	able				Fa	vorable
66. Ty	pe I					
0% No comm	10% ment - 12%	5%	0%	15%	17%	41%
67. Ty	pe II					
0% No comm	12% nent - 0%	3%	0%	27%	12%	46%

Do you recommend this uniform for  $\underline{\mathtt{Optional}}$  wear?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
No		325 ( 0396)	Table 155	- 1 may 17 m		Yes
68. Ty	ype I					
4% No comm	3% ment - 12%	3%	0%	17%	7%	54%
69. Ty	pe II					
5% No comm	0% ment - 0%	5%	5%	17%	15%	53%

Which uniform do you like the best?

70. Type I 48%

Type II 42%

Type I & II 5%

No comment 5%

## Experimental Women's Washable Uniform

## Six Month Test Period

## Data As Given In Test Questionnaires

#### 1. Rank:

1.	Amn-A1C	21%	7.	CMSgt	0%	40.	LtCol	5%
2.	Sgt	21%	8.	FSgt	0%	50.	Co1	8%
3.	SSgt	15%	9.	2Lt	2%	60.	Brig Gen	2%
4.	TSgt	3%	10.	1Lt	0%	70.	Maj Gen	0%
5.	MSgt	5%	11.	Capt	13%	80.	Lt Gen	0%
6.	SMSgt	0%	12.	Major	0%	90.	General	0%
							No comment	5%

## What percent of your time do you spend at your desk?

1.	00-20%	3%
2.	21-40%	13%
3.	41-60%	31%
4.	61-80%	33%
5.	81-100%	18%
6.	No comment	2%

## 3. What percent of your time do you spend outside your office?

1.	00-20%	36%
2.	21-40%	33%
3.	41-60%	23%
4.	61-80%	3%
5.	81-100%	3%
6.	No comment	2%

## 4. In which one of the following temperature zones was the uniform worn?

	ZONE	COOLEST MONTH	WARMEST MONTH
1.	Tropical	Above 68 Deg. F.	Above 68 Deg. F. 8%
2.	SemiTropical	50 to 68 Deg. F.	Above 68 Deg. F. 23%
3.	Warm Temperature	32 to 50 Deg. F.	Above 68 Deg. F. 41%
4.	Mild Temperature	32 to 50 Deg. F.	50 to 68 Deg. F. 3%
	Cool Temperature	Below 32 Deg. F.	Above 68 Deg. F. 15%
	Cold Temperature	14 to 32 Deg. F.	50 to 68 Deg. F. 3%
			No comment 7%

5.	What build are you	?	6.		did the size	
	1. Slender	18%		par	e with size no	ormally worn?
	2. Medium	64%		,	Same	269
	3. Medium Heavy	15%		1.		36%
		0%			Smaller	33%
				3.	Larger	26%
	5. No comment	3%		4.	No comment	5%
7.	What size uniform	did you	8.		it length unifo	orm did you
	receive?			rec	eive?	
	1. 8	10%		1.	Short	13%
	2. 10	13%		2.	Regular	59%
	3. 12	41%		3.	Long	23%
	4. 14	33%		4.	No comment	5%
	5. No comment	3%				
	What size uniform	do you	10.	Wha	it length unifo	orm do you
	normally wear?			nor	rmally wear?	
	1. 8	15%		1.	Short	23%
	2. 10	18%		2.	Regular	54%
	3. 12	28%		3.	Long	21%
	4. 14	36%		4.	No comment	2%
	5. No comment	3%				

11. What alterations were required on the coat for you to obtain a satisfactory fit? (More than one number can be selected.)

1.	None	27%	5.	Coat sides (taken-up)	29%
2.	Sleeves shorten	13%	6.	Collar shorten	0%
3.	Sleeves lengthen	13%	7.	Other (specify	18%
4	Coat sides (let out)	0%			

Out of a total of  $180~\mathrm{days}$  for the test period, how many total days did you wear the uniform?

12. Type I

13. Type II

Average 62 days

Average 58 days

## How was the garment laundered?

14.	Type I			15.	Type II
	77%	1.	Home laundry		70%
	8%	2.	Always commercial		10%
	5%	3.	Some coin operated cleaning		5%
	8%	4.	Equal amount of each		10%
	2%		No comment		5%

To what extent did cleaning detract/enhance the uniform appearance?

16.	Type I			17.	Type II
	13%	1.	Detracted		18%
	67%	2.	No influence		64%
	10%	3.	Enhanced		8%
	10%	4.	No comment		10%

Did cleaning affect the fit of the uniform?

18.	Type I			19.	Type II
	0%	1.	Excessive shrinkage		0%
	15%	2.	Some shrinkage		18%
	73%	3.	No affect		67%
	5%	4.	Some stretching		5%
	0%	5.	Excessive stretching		0%
	7%	6.	No comment		10%

What was the texture of the test garment material (especially sleeve vent, buttonhole, coat front, shoulder loops, waistband?)

20.	Type I			21.	Type II
	26%	1.	Material pliable		23%
	8%	2.	Material slightly pliable		21%
	56%	3.	Material holds shape		43%
	0%	4.	Material slightly stiff		3%
	0%	5.	Material stiff		0%
	10%	6.	No comment		10%

To what degree did you notice any puckering, shifting or pulling of a seam after washing.  $\hfill \Box$ 

22.	Type I			23.	Type II
	3%	1.	Excessive		8%
	28%	2.	Slight		36%
	59%	3.	Non-Existent		43%
	10%	4.	No comment		13%

How often was the uniform "touched-up" or pressed at home with a cloth and steam iron?

24.	Type I			25.	Type II
	3%	1.	Never		2%
	10%	2.	Seldom (Once a Month)		8%
	13%	3.	Sometimes (Once Every Two Weeks)		8%
	33%	4.	Frequently (Once a Week)		36%
	28%	5.	Very Frequently (Almost Daily)		31%
	13%	6.	No comment		15%

If the uniform was pressed at home, how smooth did it appear?

26. Type I			27. Type II
0%	1.	Very rough	2%
8%	2.	Rough	8%
51%	3.	Smooth	44%
33%	4.	Very smooth	36%
8%	5.	No comment	10%

To what degree was shape retention affected by wrinkling?

28.	Type I			29.	Type II
	41%	1.	No affect		33%
	41%	2.	Slight		35%
	10%	3.	Moderate		15%
	3%	4.	Marked affect		5%
	5%	5.	No comment		12%

How well did the uniform retain its shape?

30. Type I		31. Type II
0% 1	. Very poor	0%
8%	. Poor	10%
31%	. Good	49%
51% 4	. Very good	3%
10%	. No comment	- 8%

To what extent did the wrinkles hang out overnite?

32.	Type I			33.	Type II
	8%	1.	No wrinkles remained		5%
	33%	2.	Almost no wrinkles remained		31%
	41%	3.	Some wrinkles remained		36%
	13%	4.	Almost all remained		15%
	5%	5.	No comment		13%

In every other day use to what degree did the test uniform maintain a well pressed appearance?

34.	Type I			35.	Type II
	5%	1.	Uniform appeared very unkempt		5%
	10%	2.	Uniform appeared slightly unkempt		18%
	49%	3.	Uniform appeared pressed		41%
	31%	4.	Uniform appeared well pressed		28%
	5%	5.	No comment		8%

How do you feel others evaluated your appearance in the test garment?

36.	Type I			37.	Type II
	5%	1.	Negative reaction		5%
	2%	2.	No noticeable reaction		13%
	85%	3.	Positive reaction		69%
	8%	4.	No comment		13%

In what manner did the uniform react to rain, excessive humidity, etc?

38. Type I			39.	Type II
0%	1.	Completely lost its shape		0%
13%		Moderate decrease in shape retention		13%
79%	3.	No decrease in shape retention		77%
8%	4.	No comment		10%

How would you rate the warmth characteristics of the test garment compared to the standard uniform in cool/cold weather?

40. Type I			41.	Type II
15%	1.	Less satisfactory		10%
46%	2.	Equal		44%
21%	3.	More satisfactory		23%
18%	4.	No comment		23%

How would you rate the coolness characteristics of the test garment compared to the standard uniform in warm/hot weather?

42.	Type I			43.	Type II
	5%	1.	Less satisfactory		5%
	33%	2.	Equal		33%
	57%	3.	More satisfactory		54%
	5%	4.	No comment		8%

Did you perspire more/less than your normal rate in the test garment?

44.	Type I			45.	Type II
	5%	1.	More		5%
	59%	2.	The same		59%
	31%	3.	Less		28%
	5%	4.	No comment		8%

To what extent, if any did the fabric show any defects at the end of the test period?

Type I			47.	Type II
46%	1.	None at all		43%
36%	2.	Some defects		41%
10%	3.	Obvious defects		8%
8%	4.	No comment		8%
	36% 10%	46% 1. 36% 2. 10% 3.	1. None at all 36% 2. Some defects 10% 3. Obvious defects	1. None at all 36% 2. Some defects 10% 3. Obvious defects

To what extent, if any, did the seam construction show any defects at the end of the test period?

48.	Type I			49.	Type II
	75%	1.	None at all		74%
	15%	2.	Some defects		13%
	5%	3.	Obvious defects		5%
	5%	4.	No comment		8%

After washing the uniform did you notice any spots or stains?

50.	Type I			51.	Type II
	77%	1.	No spots or stains remained		77%
	8%	2.	Almost no spots or stains remained		5%
	2%	3.	Some spots or stains remained		3%
	0%	4.	Almost all spots or remained		2%
	13%	5.	No comment		13%

If so was it:

		53.	Type II
1.	Food		10%
2.	Ink		0%
3.	0i1		0%
4.	Alcohol		3%
5.	Coffee		3%
6.	Grease		0%
7.	Tea		0%
8.	None		84%
	3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	<ol> <li>Ink</li> <li>Oil</li> <li>Alcohol</li> <li>Coffee</li> <li>Grease</li> <li>Tea</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Ink</li> <li>Oil</li> <li>Alcohol</li> <li>Coffee</li> <li>Grease</li> <li>Tea</li> </ol>

Did the crease stay in the sleeve and skirt bottom?

Type I			55.	Type II
46%	1.	No affect		46%
26%	2.	Slight affect		21%
13%	3.	Moderate affect		10%
0%	4.	Marked affect		0%
15%	5.	No comment		23%
	26% 13% 0%	1. 26% 2. 13% 3. 0% 4.	1. No affect 26% 2. Slight affect 13% 3. Moderate affect 0% 4. Marked affect	1. No affect 26% 2. Slight affect 13% 3. Moderate affect 0% 4. Marked affect

How many times was the uniform laundered?

56. Type I 57. Type II

Average 14 times Average 13 times

To what extent, if any, did the lining show any defects at the end of the test period?

58.	Type I			59.	Type II
	69%	1.	None at all		74%
	13%	2.	Some defects		5%
	10%	3.	Obvious defects		8%
	8%	4.	No comment		13%

To what extent did perspiration affect the fabric's color?

60.	Type I			61.	Type II
	85%	1.	No affect		77%
	8%	2.	Slight affect		13%
	2%	3.	Moderate affect		2%
	0%	4.	Marked affect		0%
	5%	5.	No comment		8%

How do you consider the weight of the garment?

62.	Type I			63.	Type II
	8%	1.	Too light		2%
	85%	2.	Satisfactory		83%
	2%		Too heavy		2%
	5%	4.	No comment		13%

How do you feel the construction of the test garment compares with that of the standard uniform?

64.	Type I			65.	Type II
	17%	1.	Inferior		18%
	28%	2.	Equal		28%
	48%	3.	Superior		46%
	7%	4.	No comment		8%

In general, what was your overall reaction to your test garment?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Unfavorab	le				Fa	vorable
66. Type	I					
)% No commen	8% t - 5%	0%	5%	10%	23%	49%
7. Type	II					
% lo commen	5% t - 8%	5%	15%	10%	15%	37%
o you re	commend th	is unifor	m for <u>Option</u>	nal wear?		
	2	3	4	5	6	7
Infavorab	1e		1200 - 100 -		Fa	vorable
8. Type	I					
0% o commen	5% t - 0%	0%	2%	3%	21%	59%
9. Type	II					
L5% No commen	5% t - 13%	0%	8%	3%	15%	41%

## Which uniform do you like best?

70.	Type I Texturized No comment	Polyester	Serge	59% 13%	
	Type II Texturized No comment	Polyester	Gabardine		187