AD-A045 558

NAVAL AIR PROPULSION TEST CENTER TRENTON N J PROPULS—ETC
A SURVEY OF ICING CONDITIONS FOR MARINE GAS TURBINES. (U)
SEP 77 K T SWAN
NAPTC—PE-114

NL

I DF |
DO NOT BE A SWAN OF THE SWAN

AD A O 45558

And the second s

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS
BEFORE COMPLETING FORM REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER NAPTC-PE-114 TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED TITLE (and Subtitle) A SURVEY OF ICING CONDITIONS FOR MARINE GAS FINAL rept. TURBINES . 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(8) AUTHOR(s) K. T. SWAN PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS NAVSEC Work Task No. Commanding Officer Naval Air Propulsion Test Center 6146-2, Revision B, Trenton, New Jersey 08628 16 September 1975 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Ship Engineering Center (Code 6146B) REPORT DATE September 19 Department of the Navy MBER OF PAGES Washington, DC 20361 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office) UNCLASSIFIED 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: Distribution Unlimited 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) IR SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Aerosol Separators Icing Demisters Supercooled Fog Inlet Design Ice Fog Icing Occurrence Icing Conditions Marine Icing Weather Conditions for North Atlantic Cold Weather Operations for Ships 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A literature survey was conducted to define the possible hydrometeorological conditions during which icing of the gas turbine inlets of a ship could occur. Also included is a list of all sources of information used during the survey. A frequency-of-occurrence study of the icing conditions found during the literature survey was also done and the results included as maps of the North Atlantic. The North Atlantic was chosen as it gave the most complete data base available at the time. A DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

5/N 0102-LF-014-6601

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

NAVAL AIR PROPULSION TEST CENTER Trenton, New Jersey 08628

PROPULSION TECHNOLOGY AND PROJECT ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

NAPTC-PE-114

SEPTEMBER 1977

A SURVEY OF ICING CONDITIONS

FOR MARINE GAS TURBINES

Prepared by: K.T. Swom

Approved by:

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

AUTHORIZATION: NAVSEC Work Task No. 6146-2, Revision B, 16 Sep 75

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE DI	D Form 1473	
TITLE PAGE		
TABLE OF CONTENTS		i
LIST OF FIGURES		ii
INTRODUCTION	Typical Octpot Page for No	1
CONCLUSIONS		1
RECOMMENDATIONS	Approximate sections and assets	2
SUMMARY		3
DISCUSSION		3
A. Supercooled Fog B. Condensate Icing C. Hydrometeorological C	onditions	3 4 4
D. Naval Weather Service	Detachment Study	5
F. Bi-Variate Distributi	on	7
G. Multi-Variate Distrib		8 8
H. Low Air Temperature D Types of Ice 	esign Point	9
J. Intake Protection		10
Figures 1 - 5		12 - 16
URL ETTERIORO	CCESSION for	17 - 19
APPENDIX A	DDC B.II Soulier []	A1 - A3
APPENDIX B	JUST ICATE	в1 - в6
APPENDIX C	BA SALEMAN TORICLE OUGES	c1 - c13
APPENDIX D	DISTRIBUTION, AVAILABLE COPES 5. GLAV.	D1 - D11
APPENDIX E	a	E1 - E13
DISTRIBUTION LIST		Inside rear cove

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
1	Area Coverage of NWSD Study	12
2	Marsden Sub-Square Numbering System	13
3	Typical Output Page for Bi-Variate Distribution	14
4	Typical Output Page for Multi-Variate Distribution	15
. 5	Approximate Maximum Extent of Pack and Drift Ice	16

INTRODUCTION

The Naval Ship Engineering Center (NAVSEC), Washington, DC, is presently conducting a program to evaluate marine gas turbine inlet separator systems. These systems are of the types used on the new classes of ships entering the fleet such as the DD-963 (Spruance), FFG-7 (O.H. Perry) and the PHM-1 (Pegasus). The Naval Air Propulsion Test Center (NAPTC), Trenton, NJ, is involved in a test program to evaluate such systems by the simulation of a controlled marine air environment. As a supplement to this evaluation, NAPTC was tasked to investigate the marine icing environment, define the icing conditions and make recommendations regarding the alleviation of its effects on inlet separators.

The project was authorized under Task D of NAVSEC Work Task Assignment No. 6146-2, Revision B, of 16 September 1975 (included as Appendix A).

An interim report was sent to NAVSEC on 16 March 1976 and is included as Appendix B.

This report presents the final results of the investigation and the NAPTC recommendations for dealing with the possibility of icing of marine aerosol separators.

CONCLUSIONS

- 1. Icing on ships is caused chiefly by spray, either wind or ship generated, or a combination of the two. It is this type of icing that will have the most detrimental effect on marine gas turbine inlets. Thus, it is the primary type of icing against which the inlets must be protected.
- 2. Supercooled fog, previously assumed to be the most probable cause of intake icing, is relatively non-existent over the open ocean.
- 3. Supercooled fog could be encountered close to a cold land mass; however, no record of intake icing under these conditions was found.
- 4. No record was found of condensate icing of ship engine inlet bell-mouths or Foreign Object Damage (FOD) screens, although theoretically it could occur. However, past experience with screens in aircraft gas turbine inlets has shown they rapidly collect ice, and they are no longer used.
- 5. There are basically two types of ice that are found on ships: rime and glaze. Of the two, glaze ice is the most dangerous because of its greater density and high adhesive strength.
- 6. A range of hydrometeorological conditions necessary for icing can

be found by taking the extremes of the conditions that existed when icing was reported.

- 7. The frequency-of-occurrence of hydrometeorological conditions in the North Atlantic Basin, capable of causing icing, is highest during the months of November thru March, inclusive.
- 8. The month of January has the highest frequency-of-occurrence and largest area coverage over which icing could occur.
- 9. The frequency-of-occurrence of icing conditions is high enough in the North Atlantic that a ship operating in this region will encounter such conditions sometime during its lifetime.
- 10. The farthest distance from a land mass over which sub-freezing temperatures occur is approximately 1,300 kilometers (808 miles).
- ll. Due to the relative scarcity of information on the physical makeup of icing conditions of ships, as compared to aircraft icing where liquid water content, droplet size distribution and length of time of icing conditions are known, more research is needed in this area.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Marine gas turbine inlets should be designed with consideration towards incorporating some means to combat icing.
- 2. A system should be incorporated to either anti-ice or de-ice the engine inlet bellmouth and screen. This system should only be needed when the possibility of condensate icing exists.
- 3. De-icing or anti-icing systems should be capable of providing protection down to an ambient air temperature of -15°C (5°F).
- 4. Engine intakes and demister systems should be sited in a protected area on the superstructure. The most preferable position is facing inboard and as high as possible. This would have the additional benefit of protection against salt ingestion under non-icing conditions.
- 5. Blow-in doors or some alternate air source which by-passes the demisters should be incorporated as a precautionary measure. These doors should include provisions for keeping them free of ice and be capable of handling the necessary engine airflow. The blow-in doors can be actuated either automatically by sensing the pressure drop across the demister or manually by alerting the ship's crew when pressure drop becomes excessive.
- 6. Because of the relative scarcity of information on intake icing, at-sea testing should be conducted to verify the performance of the ship's intake system under actual icing conditions. This evaluation could be conducted during a ship's cold-weather trials.

SUMMARY

A study was conducted at NAPTC to determine the hydrometeorological conditions likely to cause icing of ships' intake systems. This study was primarily conducted through a literature search using the resources of the Defense Documentation Center, Cameron Station, Alexandria, VA, and reports obtained from the Naval Ship Engineering Center, Philadelphia Division, Philadelphia, PA (NAVSECPHILADIV); the Naval Weather Service Detachment (NWSD), Asheville, NC; other government agencies U.S. and foreign; and private contractors. Due to the relative scarcity of information regarding icing of ships' intake systems, much reliance was based on information regarding icing of other ships' structures. It was assumed that the causes of icing on the ships' superstructure would be equally applicable to the separators.

In addition to the literature search, a frequency-of-occurrence study was made by NWSD for the North Atlantic Ocean. This area was selected for the study primarily because it provided the most complete hydrometeorological data base of the world's oceans due to the large amount of shipping that takes place through this region. The study used a range of parameters obtained from the literature search. The parameters used were air temperature, sea temperature, wind speed and sea state (wave height).

DISCUSSION

A. Supercooled Fog

Icing due to supercooled fog, the most prevalent cause of aircraft icing, is relatively non-existent on ships. Supercooled fog over the ocean is confined to the areas north of 60°N latitude and south of 60°S latitude. The period most likely to have supercooled fog is from November to February, inclusive, with December having the highest probability. During this four-month period, supercooled fog can be expected to occur on approximately 14 to 18 non-consecutive days (Reference 1). These probabilities are based on both open ocean and coastal observations. Inquiries made of U.S. Naval and private vessels, as well as of vessels operated by foreign nations that frequent this area, substantiate this observation. Ships are more likely to encounter supercooled fog while in port or off the coast of a cold land mass than while out on the high seas. This is due to the interaction of the sea-land interface where the coldest extremes of sea and air temperatures are found.

Supercooled fog is also known as "artic frost smoke" and occurs when the air is below 0°C and the difference in sea and air temperatures is at least 9°C. This "frost smoke" is called either "white frost" when it is only several feet thick or below eye level, and "black frost" when it extends above this (Reference 2). It is interesting to note that during the cold weather trials of the British HMS EXMOUTH, very bad weather was encountered and "black ice" accumulated

on the ship's upper superstructure, but the intakes stayed free of ice (Reference 3). The intakes on the EXMOUTH at the time were of the knitmesh type made of woven nylon pads.

Should the face of the intake demisters experience icing-over due to supercooled fog, and this would seem to be a rare occurrence, blowin doors incorporated into the design would suffice until the demisters could be cleared or the ship is out of the icing situation.

B. Condensate Icing

Condensate icing is caused by the appearance of free water in the air under a high humidity condition when the static temperature falls below 0°C. This phenomenon is quite common on aircraft, especially when the inlet duct is of considerable length. However, the usual ship engine installation consists of an FOD screen followed by a bellmouth intake and the short length of the bellmouth does not allow sufficient time for the water vapor to condense out of the air due to an apparent lack of nucleation sites (Reference 4).

Ref ce 5 mentions that the Coast Guard's HAMILTON class cutters have ions to drop the protective screens over the engine intakes show occur, but have had no such experiences.

Although reports of condensate icing on ship engine intakes have not appeared in any literature, the potential for its occurrence is still there. If, for example, the engine intake design was such that length of the bellmouth was enough to allow free water to condense, then either an anti-icing or de-icing system should be installed on the engine.

C. Hydrometeorological Conditions

Table 1 (below) is a compilation of the hydrometeorological conditions conducive to icing from several of the reports reviewed. These are conditions that caused icing of the ships' superstructures from various locations around the globe.

Since difficulty was encountered in locating literature dealing with icing of inlet systems on ships, much reliance had to be made on the conditions reported to cause superstructure icing.

Taking the extremes of the values of the four parameters in Table 1 gives a range in which icing can be expected to occur. Within this range, there are three general categories defining the rate of ice buildup: slow, rapid, and very rapid (Reference 6). Slow icing usually appears at temperatures from -1°C to -3°C at any wind velocity. From -3°C to-8°C air temperature and winds up to 15 m/sec, rapid icing occurs. Very rapid icing occurs with air temperatures below -8°C and winds above 15 m/sec.

The minimum air temperature at which icing can occur is generally accepted as being -18°C due to the fact that the spray droplets freeze before striking the ship and do not adhere to the structure. This, however, only applies to wind-induced spray and may not be true for all of the spray generated by the mechanical action of the ship on the water (References 2 and 7).

Table 1

HYDROMETEOROLOGICAL CONDITIONS CONDUCIVE TO ICING

PAO	SEA TEMP	WIND	SEA*	REFERENCE #
-1.5°C to	Slightly <0° C	➤ 9 m/sec	-	8
Lower Limit of -18°C	- France Re (12)		-	9
0°C to -25°C	8°C to -2°	➤8 m/sec		10
-5.8°C to -12.7°C	2°C to -1.4°C	9 m/sec to 15.5 m/sec	-	1962
-1°C to -7.3°C	2.4°C to -0.4°C	9.7 m/sec to 15.5 m/sec		1963
-1°C to -14°C	3°C to -1.8°C	>9. 3 m/sec		12
-2°C to	0°C to -2.5°C	> 4.4 m/sec	1.2 m to 2.4 m	13
-4°C to -14°C	2°C to -3°C	>4.4 m/sec	0.30 m to 3.6 m	

^{*} Wave height

D. Naval Weather Service Detachment Study

During the research, it became clear that a more in-depth survey of weather conditions conducive to icing had to be made.

Accordingly, the NWSD in Asheville, NC was asked to provide both bi-variate and multi-variate distributions of the conditions listed in Table 2.

NWSD presented the data by subdividing each Marsden Square of the Atlantic basin, north of the 30th parallel, into four 5° by 5° sections. Figure 1 is a Marsden Square map showing the area covered by the study. This map is used to show meteorological data over the ocean and is derived from the Mercator map projection. The 5° subsquare numbering system is shown in Figure 2.

Table 2

CONDITIONS FOR PROBABILITY STUDY

1. Meteorological Parameters

Air Temperature
Sea Temperature
Wind Speed
Wave Height

So°C

≤ 5°C

≥ 17 knots (9 m/sec)

≥ 3 meters

- 2. Area to be covered is the North Atlantic north of the 30° parallel divided into 5° squares.
- Time coverage of data to be at least the past 10 years.
- 4. Output format to be for all 12 months.

Within each of these sub-squares is presented a breakdown of the frequency-of-occurrence of various combinations of wind speed and air temperature (see Figure 3). This percentage frequency is based on a total observation number (n) that is defined as the observations for which there was both a wind speed and air temperature value and it is unique for each sub-square. Each page represents a summary of all observations for that particular month and Marsden Square over the tenyear period from 1961 through 1970.

Figures 3 and 4 show typical study results from one Marsden Square. Figure 3 is the bi-variate distribution for wind speed and air temperature for square 116 and its four sub-squares for the month of March. A multi-variate distribution for the same square by sub-square and month is shown in Figure 4.

In analyzing the data, the effects of the ice pack on the results should be considered. Figure 5 shows the approximate southern limit of both the pack and drift ice in the North Atlantic. These effects are discussed in Section I.

E. Frequency-Of-Occurrence

It is important to make the distinction between the frequency-of-occurrence presented in the NWSD data and the probability-of-occurrence. Probability-of-occurrence is an extension of the percentage frequency-of-occurrence based on a larger amount of data that is continuous in nature. The data base obtained from an ocean station vessel where weather data is taken at least hourly and with consistent methods can give this continuous nature. Unfortunately, this cannot be said of the data here where much of the observations are reports from transient

vessels, both government and commercial, and were not taken on a regular basis or with consistent methods from ship to ship. Thus, this data can give only an approximate idea of the conditions that a ship will be exposed to while passing through an area.

This data should be interpreted to say that the conditions during which icing could occur exist a large percentage of the time, not that icing will occur. This concept can be demonstrated by the fact that the Canadian fishing fleet operates in an area of high icing condition occurrence, but only a small number of icing incidents are reported each year, despite a program that was instituted to gather information on icing incidents (Reference 14).

F. Bi-Variate Distribution

Because of the large amount of data generated in this survey, it became necessary to develop criteria to reduce the amount to a manageable size. First, all data output that did not have percentage frequencies at air temperatures 1°C or below with wind speeds higher than 5.7 m/sec (11 knots) were separated out. This data was further reduced by summing the percentages for the conditions with air temperatures less than or equal to 1°C and wind speeds greater than or equal to 5.7 m/sec and rejecting those with sums less than five-percent. The five-percent cut-off point was arbitrarily chosen as a figure that below which the probability of encountering such a situation was minimal.

Appendix C contains 12 frequency-of-occurrence maps showing the results after the data reduction process. The large block numbers are the Marsden Square identification numbers. The sub-squares contain two values, the upper value denoting the percentage frequency-of-occurrence and the lower value showing the total number of observations for the period of record taken within each sub-square. Any total observation number less than 100 was not used to determine occurrence because it was felt the average of 10 observations per month was not statistically valid to characterize the entire month.

As expected when looking over the maps, the frequency-of-occurrence of possible icing conditions was at a maximum during the winter months. From November through December, the frequencies increase then peak in January with the highest values and the most extensive area coverage of the winter icing season. February marks the start of a downward trend and by the end of April, the frequencies-of-occurrence are confined to the extreme northern waters.

Looking at the map for January, it can be seen that icing conditions can extend over quite a distance from the nearby land masses. This distance of approximately 1,300 kilometers (808 miles) is influenced by several factors, primarily, however, it is limited by the temperature of the ocean. Since the sea acts as a large heat sink, it gradually

warms the air passing over it that has blown out over the ocean from the frigid land mass. Reference 14 contains mean sea temperature maps that show this effect. However, working against this is the large extent of packed ice formed outward from the coasts (see Figure 5). This large area of frigid mass prevents the air from being warmed by the open ocean and also provides a maximum temperature differential between the air and the sea. As stated in Section A, this differential is conducive to the formation of supercooled fog and white/black frost so well known to trawlermen.

G. Multi-Variate Distribution

This distribution study was based on four parameters as follows:

Wind Speed ≥9 meters/second (17 knots)

Air Temperature ≤6°C (32°F)

Sea Temperature ≤5°C (41°F)

Wave Height ≥3 meters (9.8 ft)

As expected, because of the necessity of having all four parameters included in a single weather report, the total number of observations was smaller and not as extensive. The data reduction procedure used was basically similar to that for the bi-variate distributions and Appendix D shows the resulting frequency-of-occurrence maps produced.

The trend for these conditions naturally follows that of the bivariate distribution with the peak occurring in January for the highest frequencies and largest area coverage. The major difference is that values are not as high for each sub-square due to the need for a simultaneous occurrence of the four limits. However, these values may be more indicative of icing conditions as most icing incidents seem to occur during low air temperatures, high winds and large sea states (see Table 1, Section D).

H. Low Air Temperature Design Point

After study of the results presented above, it was concluded that intake icing is a problem that a naval vessel would encounter sometime during its life. Subsequent discussions held with personnel at the Canadian Naval Engineering Test Establishment, Montreal, Quebec, and the National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa, Quebec, support this conclusion. The Canadian National Defence Department has adopted a policy of equipping their vessels with some method of combating ship's intake icing. NAPTC agrees with this policy and feels that the incorporation of either an anti-icing or de-icing system be considered in

naval vessel engine intake system designs.

One of the most important parameters in this design consideration is the lowest air temperature that a ship could encounter during normal operation. This minimum air temperature determines the maximum temperature rise that any type of de-icing or anti-icing system has to be capable of supplying to remove or prevent ice formation at the inlet.

Consequently, the data available from the NWSD bi-variate distribution study was manipulated to determine the frequencies-of-occurrence of low air temperatures by bands. These bands were as follows:

1°C to -2°C -3°C to -6°C -7°C to -10°C -10°C and below

The data output was then summed in each temperature band ignoring the wind speed categories and the results plotted on maps similar to the bi-variate and multi-variate maps. Appendix E shows these results for the three most severe months of December through February in each temperature band. The number entered in each sub-square is the percentage frequency-of-occurrence for the temperature span shown on the figure. Figure 11 of Appendix E for the temperatures less than -10°C for January, shows that the maximum frequencies for the coastal area around Newfoundland is in the neighborhood of 20-percent. A minimum temperature would therefore appear to be -10°C. However, because of the nature of the study and the fact that it takes into account temperatures less than -10°C, a safety margin of -5°C was added to bring the lowest air temperature to -15°C. Reference 15 (pages 134 -137) recommends a somewhat lower temperature, but this is only for the ship in an open port situation. It is therefore recommended that -15°C be used for design purposes, as lower temperatures would not occur frequently enough to cause a problem with icing.

I. Types of Ice

1. Rime. Rime ice can be identified by its opaque appearance, roughness and low adhesive strength. It is caused by the impactation of small supercooled droplets onto the ship's structure. The supercooled droplets can be generated by wind- or ship-induced spray. The most important consideration here is that the residence time of the droplet in the cold air mass is sufficient to cool the drops below freezing but not freeze them. When the droplets impact on the structure, they freeze instantly which causes air to be trapped in the ice accounting for the opaque and rough surface. Fortunately, this also

gives it its low adhesive strength and the ice can easily be removed by one's hand or by a brush passed over the surface, as long as it does not build up too heavily. Waves hitting the ice will also wash it overboard. Rime ice will form anywhere on the ship but, because the smaller droplets are carried by the wind, seems to be more prevalent on the upper structure. Rime ice can be expected to occur at any temperature in the icing range.

2. Glaze Glaze ice, like its name implies, is characterized by its smooth, transparent appearance and high adhesive strength. Glaze ice will generally be found whenever there are large amounts of water either in the form of "green" water or large drops. The glazed appearance comes from the relatively slow freezing of the large supercooled droplet which spreads after impact and combines with the other drops to give a smooth, clear sheet. The large drops come chiefly from the spray generated by the vessel's passage through the water but heavy seas and high winds can produce the same situation. The high adhesive strength comes from the fact that when the droplet freezes on the structure, the water expands into the pores of the surface material and creates large mechanical forces (Reference 16). This aspect makes glaze ice the most dangerous form of icing on ships because the ice is very dense and difficult to remove by any means.

The locations most susceptible to glaze ice with respect to the engine intakes are inlet louvers that have been located outboard on the ship.

Glaze ice usually occurs when the air temperature is just below 0°C .

J. Intake Protection

The most important concept to keep in mind is that the intakes have to be sheltered as much as possible to eliminate the icing hazard. Since any icing that will occur will most likely come from spray, simply moving the inlets as far away as possible from the source of the spray could cut down the amount of water impinging on the inlet by an estimated 75%. Doing this could negate the need for anti-icing systems as suggested in Reference 7 with their associated expense and development time.

Another method of reducing spray on the inlets is suggested in Reference 5. This entails positioning the inlets facing inboard opposite each other abaft of a portion of superstructure or facing aft just forward of the superstructure.

No matter where the inlet is positioned, the system should incorporate some type of alternate air source which bypasses the demister. The simplest means of accomplishing this would be through the use of blow-in doors. These blow-in doors must have de-icing or anti-icing

capability to prevent ice buildup that would hinder their operation. This capability could be provided by heating strips around the door's class. Since icing generally occurs during foul weather when a ship would need full engine power to maintain steerage way, the alternate air source has to be capable of handling the engine's maximum airflow. The actuation of the blow-in doors can be either automatic through a pressure drop sensor, or manual by a warning given to the ship's crew to open the doors.

AREA COVERAGE OF NWSD STUDY

FIGURE 1:

UCE HETIC 246 249 EPURLIC THE 8 5 648 r sov 100, TE Q O 935 | 934 المالية 1 109 144 P 901 936 3:15 11.9 O#3

FIGURE 2: MARSDEN SUB-SQUARE NUMBERING SYSTEM


10 March 1985	50	55
TO THE TABLE OF THE PARTY OF TH	00	05

NOTE: Sub-square 00 is always oriented so it is closest to Equator and Prime Meridian, and sub-square 55 is furthest from the Equator and Prime Meridian.

FIGURE 3: IYPICAL OUTPUT PAGE FOR BI-VARIATE DISTRIBUTION

\$\frac{2.52}{2.52}\$ \frac{2.52}{2.52}\$ \frac{2.52}{	1,213 20,193 21,138 1,132 2,131 2,		2	4-10	11-16	17-21	7-21 22-33	>33	TOTAL	6-0	4-10	11-16 17-21	17-21	22-33	>33	TOTAL
4: 015	1.29	514	3,215	20.103	21.298		13.215	2.434	74.558	2.128		21,072	16.351	-	2.049	74.190
1.059 1.050 1.05	*** **********************************		162.	1.416	1.829	1.740	2.301	.782	8.319	.219	1.463	1,909	1.638	2.023	.552	7.803
4:015 24,744 26,947 19,746 20,122 4,292 100,000 2,741 23,025 27,120 21,816 21,308 3,487 11,415 11,51	4:012 24.744 20.947 19.74 1.37 1.37 1.37 1.39 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.0	-	.029	.516	.826	.782	1.121	.206	3.481	.053	999	.955	1.060	1.375	-403	4.510
4:015 -015 -015 -015 -015 -015 -015 -015 -	**************************************		**0.	.118	.221	.310	.487	177	1.357	***	.368	* 40*	. 243		482.	2.505
4:012 24.764 26.947 19.784 20.221 4.292 100.000 2.741 23.022 27.220 21.616 21.308 3.689 1 27.2 1579 1827 1340 1371 291 6780 313 2629 3108 2491 2493 444 2.701 2.70	4.012 84,764 26,947 19,764 20,221 4,292 100,000 2.74, 23,022 27,220 21,816 21,206 3,489 1 277 157 157 157 157 157 157 157 157 157 1	1		.029	0000	0000	9910	1000	10.2	900	000	000	020	100		325
4:012 24.784 26.947 19.784 20.221 4.292 100.000 2.741 23.025 27.220 21.816 21.308 3.889 1 272 16/9 1827 1340 1371 291 6/80 313 2629 3108 2491 2493 444 272 16/9 1827 1340 1371 291 6/80 313 2629 3108 2491 2493 444 272 12/9 21.816 2492 3131 2626 14.077 2.210 2.048 1.262 12.23 2.23 2.34 2.34 2.34 2.34 2.34 2.34	4:012 24.744 26.947 19.764 20.221 4.292 100.000 2.741 23.023 27.220 21.816 21.208 3.889 1 25 1679 1827 1340 1371 291 6780 313 2629 3108 2491 2433 444 25 1679 1827 1340 1371 20.203 10.000 2.741 23.023 27.220 21.816 2491 2433 444 25 167 10.00 3.462 2.632 3.311 .820 14.077 .210 2.048 1.528 1.696 1.771 .242 25 167 2.649 2.528 1.628 1.795 .310 9.649 1.520 1.520 1.007 1.004 25 20 4-10 11-16 17-21 22-33 1.324 2.79 6.303 1.50 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.007 25 30 4-10 11-16 17-21 22-33 1.324 2.79 6.303 1.50 1.20 1.20 1.007 25 30 4-10 11-16 17-21 22-33 1.324 2.79 6.303 1.50 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.2		C10.	.015	.029	.015	.015		440.	600.	600	018	010	010	020	960
4:012 24.744 26.947 19.764 20.221 4.292 100.000 2.741 23.025 27.220 21.816 21.308 3.889 3 444 272 16.79 1827 1340 1371 291 6780 313 2829 \$108 2441 2433 444 272 12.79 18.70 18.2 12.3 12.2 12.2 12.3 12.3	5.55 30 4-10 11-16 17-21 20-22 100.000 2-74, 23-025 27:220 21.816 21.308 3.889 1 444 25-22 1679 1877 1340 1371 291 6780 313 26.29 \$108 2491 24.33 444 2.30 4-10 11-16 17-21 22-33 70 4-10 11-16 17-21 22-33 70 4-10 11-16 17-21 22-33 70 4-10 11-16 17-21 22-33 70 4-10 11-16 17-21 22-33 70 4-10 11-16 17-21 22-33 70 4-10 11-16 17-21 22-33 70 4-10 11-16 17-21 22-33 70 4-10 11-16 17-21 22-2	1											400.			.009
5.5 30 5.5 30	44.012 24.764 26.947 19.764 20.221 4.292 100.000 2.741 23.025 27:220 21.816 21.308 3.889 1 272 1679 1827 1340 1371 291 6780 313 2629 \$108 2491 2433 444 26.297 18.297 18.29 13.19 6.29 13.19 6.29 13.19 6.29 13.19 6.29 13.19 6.29 13.19 6.29 13.19 6.29 13.19 6.29 13.19 6.29 13.19 6.29 13.19 6.29 13.19 6.29 13.19 6.29 13.19 6.29 13.20 13.2	-4, -3														
4:012 24.764 26.947 19.764 20.221 4.292 100.000 313 26.29 3106 2491 2433 444 272 1079 1827 1340 1371 291 6780 313 26.29 3106 2491 2433 444 28 90 4-10 11-16 17-21 22-33 131 866 14.077 2.20 2.048 1.228 1.666 1.771 2-24 241 1.771 1.608 1.083 1.284 2.75 6.303 3.47 1.280 2.75 1.608 1.007 2.183 1.701 3.190 3.405 2.328 1.304 2.284 1.495 1	4:012 24.784 26.947 19.784 20.221 4.292 100.000 2.741 23.025 27.220 21.816 21.308 3.889 1 27 16 9 1827 1340 1371 271 271 271 271 271 271 271 271 271 2	- 1						-								
4.012 24.744 26.947 19.764 20.221 4.292 100.000 2.741 23.025 27;220 21.816 21.308 3.889 444 272 1679 1827 1340 1371 291 6780 313 2629 3108 2491 2433 444 285 30 4-10 11-16 17-21 22-33 10144 36 303 3.4-10 11.16 17-21 22-33 233 287 241 1.771 1.608 1.952 3.311 826 14.077 3.4-10 11.16 1.7-21 22-33 233 288 0. 2.649 2.289 1.608 1.995 3.30 9.545 3.600 2.748 1.092 1.004 3.704 3.704 3.705 3.501 2.22 3.10 1.004 3.704	4:012 24.744 26.947 19.784 20.221 4.292 100.000 2.741 23.029 27.220 21.816 21.308 3.889 444 27	-10, -0			TO BE											
\$\$ 90	S\$ 30 S\$ 30 WIND SPEED (KTS) S\$ 35 WIND SPEED (KTS) S\$ 55 WIND SPEED (KTS) S\$ 56 WIND SPEED (KTS) S\$ 56 WIND SPEED (KTS) S\$ 57 WIND SPEED (KTS) S\$ 57 WIND SPEED (KTS) S\$ 58 S\$ 58 WIND SPEED (KTS) S\$ 58 S\$ 58 WIND SPEED (KTS) S\$ 58 S\$	4 -10 TOTAL \$	4.012	24.784	26.947		20.221	.292	000.001	2.741	23.025	27,220	21.816	21,308	3.889	000.00
\$\$ 50 4-10 11-16 17-21 22-33 >33 TOTAL \$\$ 55 5 WIND SPEED (KTS)\$ \$\$ 6-3 4-10 11-16 17-21 22-33 >33 TOTAL \$\$ 6-35 4-10 111-16 17-21 22-33 >33	\$\$ 90 4-10 11-16 17-21 22-33 TUTAL \$\$ 55.55 4-10 11-16 17-21 22-33 533	DTAL FBS	272	1679	1827		1371	162	6780	313	2629	3106	2491	2433	444	11418
\$\$ 90 4-10 11-16 17-21 22-33 }93	15 .413 3.190 3.465 2.932 3.331 .826 14.077 .210 2.048 1.528 1.622 2.33 5.33 1.334 1.238 2.649 2.649 2.649 2.628 1.083 1.324 2.777 1.668 1.083 1.324 2.727 3.100 9.242 3.100 2.743 1.095 2.649 2															
.413 3.190 3.405 2.832 3.311 .820 14.077 .210 2.048 1.528 1.666 1.771 .242 241 1.771 1.608 1.083 1.324 .275 6.303 .347 1.250 1.250 1.250 1.685 1.007 .104 2.549 2.528 1.668 1.995 .310 9.545 .655 3.160 2.743 1.632 2.118 .104 2.525 3.001 3.036 2.281 2.485 .482 10.964 .764 4.375 3.507 2.153 1.999 .069 2.529 3.001 3.036 2.481 2.482 14.945 1.394 6.667 5.868 2.862 2.743 .313 2.297 5.013 3.276 2.511 .662 17.998 1.394 6.667 5.868 2.862 2.743 .313 2.20 2.297 5.013 3.276 2.511 .662 17.998 1.394 6.667 5.868 2.862 2.743 .313 2.20 2.590 .7754 1.499 1.550 .312 1.701 .313 2.20 2.590 .7754 1.499 1.550 .314 .396 2.483 1.451 .319 1.399 .313 2.20 2.590 .7754 .784 2.26 2.373 .243 .347 .451 .319 1.399 .313 2.20 2.60 .304 .026 .304 .304 .309 .208 .139 .069 .104 .039 2.417 27.784 26.099 18.557 16.076 4.067 100.000 7.500 32.257 26.290 16.701 15.556 1.736 1 2.50 3.231 30.35 2.158 2.102 4.73 11629 2.6 592 756 4.81 4.81 5.50	.413 3.190 3.405 2.832 3.311 .826 14.077 .210 2.048 1.528 1.006 1.771 .242 .241 1.771 1.608 1.083 1.324 .275 6.303 .347 1.250 1.250 .868 1.007 .104 .242 1.771 1.608 1.083 1.324 .275 6.303 .347 1.250 1.250 .868 1.007 .104 .396 2.649 2.528 1.668 1.995 .310 9.545 .625 3.100 2.143 1.632 2.118 .104 .308 3.001 3.036 2.487 1.487 .428 10.964 1.499 7.049 3.207 2.153 1.979 1.238 5.297 5.013 3.276 2.551 1.662 14.659 1.499 7.049 5.042 3.011 2.222 1.39 1.128 5.297 5.013 3.276 2.590 7.22 14.598 1.489 7.049 5.042 3.011 2.222 1.39 1.120 5.50 5.85 2.090 7.750 3.76 2.094 2.180 1.997 1.071 1.070 .319 7.049 1.754 1.419 1.556 3.319 7.049 1.399 2.049 1.070 1.313 1.319 .320 5.047 2.784 26.099 18.597 18.076 4.067 100.000 7.500 32.257 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 1 .007 0.09 0.017 0.09 0.017 0.009 0.017 0.000 7.500 32.257 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 1 .008 3.231 30.35 2.158 2.102 4.73 116.29 2.6 MIND SPEED VS THRERATURE THESE TABLES SHOW THE BIVARIATE DISTRIBUTION OF THE DECURRENCE OF MIND SPEED VS THRERATURE THE DATA ARE TAKEN FROM THE U.S. NAVY N. A TLANTIC CALLES AND ARE PRESENTED BY SECREE THE DATA ARE TAKEN FROM THE U.S. NAVY N. A TLANTIC CALLES AND ARE PRESENTED BY SECREE	S0 116	55 90	4-10	11-16	WIND S	22-33		TOTAL	180	4-10	MINI	SPEED 7-21	(KTS)	>33	MARCH
241 27.784 26.099 18.557 16.07 4.067 100.000 7.500 32.257 26.250 1.556 1.035 1	241 1771 1.608 1.083 1.324 2.75 6.303 3.47 1.250 1.250 1.692 1.007 1.004 2.009 2.649 2.528 1.668 1.007 1.004 2.009 2.649 2.528 1.668 1.979 0.695 2.269 2.649 2.625 3.100 2.743 1.632 2.139 1.079 2.53 3.001 3.036 2.280 2.481 1.879 1.979 1.394 6.042 3.507 3.001 2.222 1.399 1.238 5.297 5.013 3.276 2.482 2.995 1.499 1.394 6.067 3.888 2.882 2.49 3.13 1.298 1.394 6.052 3.744 2.528 2.090 7.22 14.995 1.499 1.394 6.042 2.097 1.319 1.399 1.394 6.057 3.081 1.399 1.394 6.057 3.099 1.399 1.399 1.394 6.398 2.097 1.399 1.399 1.399 1.394 6.308 2.099 1.399 1.399 1.009 1.		. 413	00. 6	3.408	2 632	2 211		14 077	210	940	1. 528	1,666	1.77.	646	7.468
. 256 2.649 2.528 1.668 1.995 .210 9.545 .625 3.160 2.753 1.632 2.118 1.049 2.568 1.668 1.995 .310 9.545 3.160 2.764 1.652 2.118 1.049 1.055 3.601 3.036 2.287 1.887 .258 10.964 1.764 4.375 3.507 2.153 1.979 .069 1.388 4.214 2.588 2.485 4.82 14.859 1.995 1.997 6.045 2.218 1.979 .069 1.384 4.308 4.214 2.588 2.485 1.489 1.949 1.949 1.949 1.949 1.949 1.949 1.949 1.949 1.949 1.949 1.998	. 241 1.771 1.000 1.045 1.055 .310 9.545 .055 3.160 2.743 1.052 2.189 1.045 1.055 3.000 2.549 1.058 1.059 1.058 1.059 1.058 1.059 1.058 1.	cic.	614.	3.170	20402	756.7	116.6	070	14.001	0176	250	1 2 60	000	100	70.	4 824
.525 3.001 3.036 2.287 1.887 .258 10.964 .764 4.375 3.507 2.153 1.979 .069 .783 4.308 4.214 2.588 2.485 .482 14.659 1.493 7.049 6.042 3.611 2.222 .139 1.288 5.297 5.013 3.276 2.511 .662 17.998 1.394 6.667 5.848 2.882 2.743 .313 1.169 4.652 3.494 2.497 1.494 1.4945 1.4945 1.4946 1.4945 1.4949 1.4949 1.4945 1.4945 1.4945 1.4949 1.4949 1.4945 1.4949 1.4949 1.4949 1.4949 1.4949 1.494 1.4949 1.49	1.525 3.001 3.036 2.287 1.887 .258 10.964 .764 4.375 3.507 2.153 1.979 .069 .783 4.308 4.214 2.588 2.485 .485 .485 .485 .485 .2485 .485 .485 .485 .485 .485 .485 .485 .	12. 13	306	2.649	2.528	1.668	1.995	310	9.545	.625	3.160	2,743	1.632	2.118	104	10.382
1.238	783 4.308 4.214 2.588 2.485 .482 14.659 1.493 7.049 6.042 3.611 2.222 .139 1.238 5.297 5.013 3.276 2.511 .662 17.998 1.354 6.667 5.868 2.862 2.743 .313 1.169 4.652 2.748 2.318 2.090 1.556 .318 7.619 .694 2.188 4.826 2.674 1.771 1.701 3.13 1.20 2.190 1.754 1.419 1.556 .318 7.619 .694 2.188 1.597 1.319 1.309 3.13 1.20 2.550 2.785 2.499 2.473 1.146 2.373 2.243 3.47 2.08 2.189 1.597 1.319 1.309 3.13 1.20 2.550 2.585 .499 2.473 1.146 2.373 2.249 2.08 2.189 1.597 1.319 1.309 3.13 1.20 2.550 2.585 2.06 2.34 2.03 2.249 2.09 2.09 2.09 2.104 0.095 2.104 1.009 0.017 0.09 0.017 0.034 0.034 0.09 0.009 2.257 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 1.009 1.009 0.017 0.009 1.000 0.017 0.034 0.034 0.009 2.009 1.000 0.007 0.034 0.034 0.009 2.009 1.000 0.007 0.034 0.034 0.035 0.009 0.007 0.034 0.034 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.034 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.034 0.035 0	15, 11	. 525	3.001	3.036	2.287	1.857	.258	10.964	.764	4.375	3.507	2.153	1.979	690.	12.847
1.28	1,288 5.297 5.013 3.276 2.5511 .662 17.998 1.334 6.667 5.866 2.882 2.743 .313 1,169 4.652 3.784 2.552 3.090 .722 14.945 1.458 4.826 2.877 1.319 1.313 1,20 5.550 .585 .499 .473 .146 2.373 .249 2.188 1.597 1.319 1.389 .313 1,20 5.50 5.55 1.754 1.479 1.55 1.34 2.347 .451 1.319 1.389 .313 1,20 5.50 5.55 1.49 .473 .146 2.373 .249 2.388 1.597 1.319 1.389 .313 1,06 1146 .178 1.72 2.06 .34 .396 .396 .208 .327 .451 .313 .417 .304 1,07 .009 .034 .043 .1034 .034 1,009 .009 .017 .009 .017 .009 .017 .009 2,417 27.784 26.099 18.557 18.076 4.067 100.000 7.500 32.257 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 1 2,5417 27.784 26.099 18.557 18.076 4.067 100.000 7.500 32.257 26.290 16.701 15.556 1.736 1 2,5417 27.784 26.099 18.557 18.076 4.067 100.000 7.500 32.257 26.290 16.701 15.556 1.736 1 2,5417 27.784 26.099 18.557 18.076 4.067 100.000 7.500 32.257 26.290 16.701 15.556 1.736 1 2,5417 27.784 26.099 18.557 18.076 4.067 100.000 7.500 32.257 26.290 16.701 15.556 1.736 1 2,5417 27.784 26.099 18.557 18.076 4.067 100.000 7.500 32.257 26.290 16.701 15.556 1.736 1 2,5417 27.784 26.099 18.557 18.076 4.067 100.000 7.500 32.257 26.290 16.701 15.556 1.736 1 2,5417 27.784 26.099 18.557 18.076 4.067 100.000 7.500 32.257 26.290 16.701 15.556 1.736 1 2,5417 27.784 26.099 18.557 18.076 4.067 100.000 7.500 32.257 26.290 16.701 15.556 1.736 1 2,5417 27.784 26.099 18.557 18.076 4.067 100.000 7.500 32.257 26.290 16.701 15.556 1.736 1 2,5417 27.784 26.099 18.557 18.076 4.067 100.000 7.500 32.257 26.290 16.701 15.556 1.736 1 2,5417 27.784 26.099 18.557 18.076 4.087 100.000 7.500 32.257 26.290 16.701 15.556 1.736 1.	6 6	.783	4.308	4.214	2.588	2.485	.482	14.659	1.493	7.049	6.042	3.611	2.222	.139	20.556
.421 2.130 1.754 1.419 1.556 .318 7.619 .694 2.188 1.597 1.319 1.389 .313 .417 .104 .125 .550 1.754 1.419 1.556 .318 7.619 .243 .347 .451 .313 .417 .104 .035 .326 .343 .347 .451 .313 .417 .104 .035 .326 .343 .343 .347 .451 .313 .417 .104 .035 .326 .343 .343 .343 .347 .451 .313 .417 .104 .035 .326 .343 .343 .366 .343 .326 .348 .396 .396 .396 .396 .396 .396 .396 .396	120 .550 1.74 1.419 1.556 .318 7.619 .694 2.188 1.597 1.319 1.389 .313 .417 .104 .205 .1550 .556 .314 .609 .104 .205 .347 .451 .313 .417 .104 .025 .026 .034 .034 .034 .034 .034 .034 .009 .007 .009 .007 .007 .007 .007 .007	6,	1.238	5.297	5.013	3.276	2.511	2995	17.998	1.354	4.824	2,600	2.882	2.143	913	12.743
.123 .585 .489 .473 .146 2.373 .243 .347 .451 .313 .417 .104 .086 .146 .138 .172 .206 .334 .783 .104 .208 .321 .382 .174 .035 .026 .043 .026 .206 .34 .396 .208 .139 .069 .104 .035 .017 .009 .034 .003 .009 .017 .009 .017 .0034 .009 .017 .009 .017 .000 .017 .0034 .009 .017 .009 .017 .000 .034 .009 .017 .000 .017 .000 .017 .000 .000 .000	.120 .580 .585 .499 .473 .116 2.373 .243 .347 .451 .313 .417 .104 .086 .146 .138 .172 .206 .334 .783 .164 .208 .328 .328 .174 .035 .026 .043 .026 .034 .206 .334 .296 .139 .069 .104 .035 .017 .009 .020 .020 .34 .396 .208 .139 .069 .104 .035 .007 .009 .017 .009 .017 .009 .017 .009 .009 .017 .009 .017 .009 .017 .009 .009 .017 .009 .017 .009 .017 .009 .009 .017 .009 .017 .009 .017 .034 .009 .018.557 18.076 4.067 100.000 .216 .929 .257 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 1 .009 .010 .010 .010 .010 .010 .010 .010		167	2000	1.754	019-1	1.556	318	7.619	709	2.188	1.597	1.319	1.389	313	7.500
.016 .146 .138 .172 .206 .334 .783 .104 .208 .521 .382 .174 .035 .026 .043 .026 .206 .34 .396 .208 .139 .069 .104 .035 .026 .007 .017 .009 .017 .009 .017 .009 .017 .009 .017 .009 .017 .009 .017 .009 .017 .009 .017 .009 .017 .009 .017 .009 .017 .009 .017 .007 .000 .017 .007 .007 .007 .007	.036 .146 .138 .172 .206 .334 .783 .104 .208 .321 .382 .174 .035 .026 .304 .396 .208 .399 .069 .104 .035 .026 .304 .396 .396 .396 .399 .069 .104 .039 .009 .007 .003 .003 .003 .003 .003 .003 .003	0,0	.123	. 530	.585	664	.473	.146	2.373	.243	.347	.451	.313	.417	.104	1.879
.026 .043 .026 .206 .34 .396 .208 .139 .009 .104 .049 .017 .009 .034 .103 .009 .017 .009 .017 .034 .009 .017 .009 .017 .034 .009 .017 .017 .000 .000 .017 .034 .036 .251 26.099 18.557 16.076 4.067 100.000 7.500 32.257 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 100 630 3231 3035 2158 2102 473 11629 215 929 756 481 448 50	1026 1043 1026 1040 1026 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 104	-5, -1	980	.146	.138	.172	.206	.034	.783	101.	.208	.521	.382	.174	.035	1.424
.007 .009 .034 .043 .1034 .009 .017 .009 .017 .034 .009 .017 .009 .017 .034 .036 .037 .047 18.076 4.067 100.000 7.500 32.257 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 100 630 3231 3035 2158 2102 473 11629 215 929 756 481 50	.017 .009 .034 .043 .103 .034 .034 .037 .037 .037 .034 .035 .037 .037 .037 .037 .037 .036 .032 .257 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 100 630 3231 3035 2158 2102 473 11629 .215 929 .756 481 448 .50 THESE TABLES SHOW THE BIVARIATE DISTRIBUTION OF THE OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPRED VS TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES CELSIUS. THE TABLES ARE POR MONTH COVERING THE PERIOD JAN 1961 THROUGH DEC 1970.		920.	.043	920.	.000	• 206	. 34	986	907	661.	400	*01.	260.		1220
5.417 27.784 26.099 18.557 18.076 4.067 100.000 7.500 32.257 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 100 630 3231 3035 2158 2102 473 11629 215 929 756 481 50	5.417 27.784 26.099 18.557 18.076 4.067 100.000 7.500 32.257 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 100 630 3231 3035 2158 2102 473 11629 216 929 756 481 448 50 7.500 32.257 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 100 7.500 32.257 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 100 7.500 32.257 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 100 7.500 32.257 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 100 7.500 32.257 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 100 7.500 32.257 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 100 7.500 32.257 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 100 7.500 32.257 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 100 7.500 32.257 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 100 7.500 32.257 26.250 16.7			10.	600.	460.	.043		201.							
5.417 27.784 26.099 18.557 18.076 4.067 100.000 7.500 32.257 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 100 630 3231 3035 2158 2102 473 11629 216 929 756 481 448 50	5.417 27.784 26.099 18.557 18.076 4.067 100.000 7.500 32.257 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 100 6.30 3231 3035 2158 2102 473 11629 215 929 756 481 448 50 7.500 32.257 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 100 7.500 32.257 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 100 7.500 32.257 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 100 7.500 32.257 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 100 7.500 32.357 26.250 16.701 15.556 1.736 100 7.500 32.357 26.250 16.701 16.556 1.736 100 7.500 32.357 26.250 16.751 15.556 1.736 100 7.500 32.357 26.250 16.751 16.556 1.736 100 7.500 32.357 26.257 16.751 16.75	-10, -9		200												
630 3231 3035 2158 2102 473 11629 216 929 736 481 448 50	THESE TABLES SHOW THE BIVARIATE DISTRIBUTION OF THE OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPRED VS TEMPERATURE THESE TABLES SHOW THE BIVARIATE DISTRIBUTION OF THE OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPRED VS TEMPERATURE THE DATA ARE TAKEN FROM THE U.S. NAVY N. ATLANTIC ATLAS TABES AND ARE PRESENTED BY S. DEGREE THE DATA ARE TAKEN FROM THE U.S. NAVY N. ATLANTIC ATLAS TABES AND ARE PRESENTED BY S. DEGREE	01- >	447.4	78: 46	26.000		18.076	1	000	200	27. 257	26.280	16.701	15.556	1.786	00000
	THESE TABLES SHO IN DEGREES CELSI THE DATA ARE	DTAL COS	630	3231	3035	C	2102	-	11629	216	929	756	481	448	50	2880
	THESE TABLES SHOW THE BIVARIATE DISTRIBUTION OF THE OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPRED VS TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES CELSIUS, THE TABLES ARE POR MONTH COVERING THE PERIOD JAW 1961 THROUGH DEC 1970. THE DATA ARE TAKEN FROM THE U.S. NAVY N. ATLANTIC ATLAST TAPES AND ARE PRESENTED BY SO DEGREE															
	THE DATE AND TAKEN THE USO. TAKEN TO ALLANDIA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN			IN DEG	REES CEL	STUS. TH	TABLES	ARE POR	MONTH COVERT	NG THE PERI	DO JAN 1	961 THRO	JGH DEC	1970.		
IN DEGREES CENSIUS, THE TABLES ARE POR MONTH COVERING THE PERIOD JAN 1961 THROUGH DEC 1970.	MANUAL CALLES AND THE CALL OF			MADER	N CHECOL	AKEN TRU	- 2 UL-	NAV.	N. AILANIIC A	LAS IAPES	AND AKE	TRESEN OF	0 6 7 0 0	CKEC	-	-

FIGURE 5: APPROXIMATE MAXIMUM EXTENT OF PACK AND DRIFT ICE



DRIFT ICE

REFERENCES

Cited References

- 1. REPORT Anon., "Study of Worldwide Occurrence of Fog, Thunderstorms, Supercooled Low Clouds and Freezing Temperatures," U.S. Naval Weather Service Command, NAVAIR 50-10-60, December 1971.
- 2. REPORT Anon., "Ice Accretion on Ships," Meteorology and Oceanographic Services Division, Hydrographic Department, Ministry of Defence, London, Memorandum No. 2/28
- 3. PAPER Tatton-Brown, P., "The History of the Use of Gas Turbines for Propulsion in the Royal Navy and the Arguments that Led to the Adoption of all Gas Turbine Propulsion," von Karman Institute for Fluid Dynamics Lecture Series 46, Marine Applications of Gas Turbines, March 1972
- 4. PAPER J. H. Spencer and D. C. Archer, "The Effect of Condensation Within an Aircraft Inlet Duct on Installed Turbofan Engine Performance," Pratt and Whitney Aircraft Division, United Aircraft Corporation, Proceedings of the Tenth National Conference on Environmental Effects on Aircraft and Propulsion Systems, Sponsored by Naval Air Propulsion Test Center, May 1971
- 5. <u>LETTER</u> NAVSECPHILADIV 6734: REK: lmc 9416 Ser 210, "Icing on Gas Turbine Inlet Protective Screens, By Condensation or Supercooled Fog Impactation," 22 June 1970
- 6. ARTICLE Vasil'yev, K., "Hazards of Icing Up, " Morshoy Sbornik, No. 11, pp 89-92, 1973
- 7. REPORT Anon., "Sea Control Ship Gas Turbine Air Inlet Anti-Icing Design Study," Project S46-27, Task 54627-025, Study 3003-3001, Contract No. NOO024-73-C-0267, George C. Sharp, Inc., July 1973
- 8. REPORT Fein and Frieberger, "A Survey of the Literature on Shipboard Ice Formation," U.S. Naval Applied Science Laboratory, Lab Project 9300-20, Technical Memorandum #2, 1965
- 9. REPORT Stallabrass and Hearty, "The Icing of Cylinders in Conditions of Simulated Freezing Sea Spray," National Research Council of Canada, Low Temperature Section Division of Mechanical Engineering Report MD-50, 1967
- 10. REPORT Shekhtman, A. N., Hydrometeorological Conditions in the Icing-up of Vessels at Sea," translated from Moscow Nauk Issled Inst. Aeroklim. T. Vyp, 45, 1964, pp 51-63, National Scientific and Technical Information Center (England), Translation No. 2311

REFERENCES (cont'd)

- 11. REPORT Iwata, "Ice Accumulation on Ships (The Third Report),"
 NAVSHIPS Translation No. 1490, 1971
- 12. ARTICLE Vasil'yeva, G. V. "Hydrometeorological Conditions Causing Ice Accretion on Ships," translated from Rybnoye Khoziaistva (Fisheries), 1966, 12, pp 43-45
- 13. PAPER Tabata, Iwata and Ono, "Studies of Ice Accumulation on Ships, Part 1," translated from Teion Kagaku (Low Temperature Science), Series A, No. 21, 1963, pp 173-221
- 14. ARTICLE Stallabrass, J. R., "Meteorological and Oceanographic Aspects of Trawler Icing Off the Canadian East Coast," The Marine Observer, Volume XLI, 1971, pp 107-121
- 15. REPORT Sissenwine and Cormier, "Synopsis of Background and Material for MIL-STD-210B, Climatic Extremes for Military Equipment," Aeronomy Laboratory, Project 8624, Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories, Air Force Systems Command, USAF, AFCRL-TR-0052, 24 January 1974
- 16. BOOK Borisenkov, E. P., et al, "Investigation of the Physical Nature of Ship Icing," Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Draft Translation No. 411, September 1974

Uncited References

REPORT - Guttman, Nathaniel B., "A Study of Fog and Stratus for Selected Cold Regions," U. S. Naval Weather Service Command, December 1975

REPORT - "U. S. Navy Marine Climatic Atlas of the World, Volume 1, North Atlantic Ocean" (Revised 1974), Naval Weather Service Detachment by direction of Commander, Naval Weather Service Command, NAVAIR 50-1C-528

REPORT - Anon., "A Shipboard Icing Observations Questionnaire for Gathering and Correlating Shipboard Ice Formation and Weather Information," U. S. Naval Applied Science Laboratory, Lab. Project 9300-20, Technical Memorandum #1, 1964

PAPER - Ono, "Studies of Ice Accumulation on Ships, Part 2," translated from Teion Kagaku (Low Temperature Science), Series A, No. 22, 1964, pp 170-181

REPORT - Iwata, Shuichi, "Ice Accumulation on Ships (The First Report)," NAVSHIPS Translation No. 1488, 1971

REFERENCES (cont'd)

REPORT - Iwata, "Ice Accumulation on Ships (The Second Report)," NAV-SHIPS Translation No. 1489, 1971

REPORT - Stallabrass, J. R., "Methods for the Alleviation of Ship Icing," National Research Council of Canada - Division of Mechanical Engineering, Report MD-51, 1970

REPORT - Rubin, E., "Preliminary Laboratory Ice Accretion Studies," U. S. Naval Applied Science Laboratory, Lab. Project 9300-20, Progress Report No. 1, 1967

REPORT - Rubin, E., "Ice Accretion Studies Under Simulated Shipboard Icing Conditions," U. S. Naval Applied Science Laboratory, Lab. Project 9300-20, Final Report, 1969

REPORT - Gathman, S. G., et al, "Marine Fog Observations in the Arctic," Naval Research Laboratory Report 7693, 1974

REPORT - Jethon and Lazarick, "Arctic Surface Effect Vehicle Program Starting and Operational Problems of Gas Turbine Engines in a Cold Environment," Naval Air Propulsion Test Center, Aeronautical Engine Department Report NAPTC-ATD-215, March 1972

ARTICLE - Patton, R. E., "Gas Turbine Operation in Extreme Cold Climate," Solar Division, International Harvester Company

REPORT - Sissensine and Cormier, "Synopsis of Background Material for MIL-STD-210B, Climatic Extremes for Military Equipment," Aeronomy Laboratory, Project 8624, Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories, Air Force Systems Command, USAF, ARCRL-TR-74-0052, 24 January 1974

MILITARY STANDARD - MIL-STD-210B, "Climatic Extremes for Military Equipment," 15 December 1973

ARTICLE - De Angelis, Richard M., "Superstructure Icing," Mariners Weather Log

APPENDIX A

NAVSEC LTR ON MARINE GAS TURBINE SEPARATOR AND ANTI-ICE PROGRAM



To:

NAVAL SHIP ENGINEERING CENTER CENTER BUILDING PRINCE GEORGE'S CENTER HYATTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20782

6146/PFH 9413 Ser 468

From: Commander, Naval Ship Engineering Center

Officer in Charge, Naval Ship Engineering Center, Philadelphia Division

Commanding Officer, Naval Air Propulsion Test Center, Trenton, NJ

· Subj: Marine Gas Turbine Separator and Anti-Ice Program

Ref: (a) NAVSEC ltr 6146/PFH 9415 Ser 680 dtd 24 Oct 1974

(b) NAVSEC ltr 6146/PFH 9415 Ser 82 dtd 10 Mar 1975

Encl: (1) WTA 6146-2 Rev. B.

1. Enclosure (1) revises the task assignment, under the Marine Gas Turbine Inlet Separation program defined in reference (a) as modified by reference (b). The enclosure also defines the anti-ice system work required to support the overall Marine Gas Turbine Inlet System Program. It should be noted that this document is intended to appoint a single organization responsibility of each subtask, where two organizations are appointed to a task the first one is lead.

R.S. Colleton

RICHARD S. CARLETON Py direction

- 4. NAVSEC shall comment to NAPTC within 2 weeks.
- 5. NAPTC is responsible for obtaining test specimens.
- 6. NAPTC shall install the test specimens in the test rig and conduct the lests per plan.
- 7. NAVSECPHILADIV shall monitor the tests, and suggest modifications to the test as dictated by results. Any major redirection should be cleared with MAVSEC.
- 8. MAPTO shall reduce and analyze the data and report tests results within 4 weeks of test completion.
- 9. NAVSECPHILADIV shall analyze the data and comment on results to NAVSEC four weeks after completion of test.
- 10. NAPTC shall report test progress semiformally on a weekly basis. MAPTC shall report significant events as they happen.
- Task C Develop Separator Element Handbook: NAVSEC will compile the existing data rious single stage separators to provide design information for multi-stage to meet specific environmental requirements.
- Task D Development of Anti-Ice Systems: The objective of this task is to define cing conditions and evaluate methods of releaving them. This task is not limited to separators only: inlet silencers and engine bellmouths must be considered as well.
- 1. NAVSEC will task NAPTC to investigate icing conditions and recommend tethods of evaluating anti-ice systems.
- 2. NAPTC will investigate icing conditions and report the conditions gas turbine inlet systems must be protected against. The report shall list all data sources.
- 3. NAVSEC and NAVSECPHILADIV shall comment on the report generated in Sub-task D-2.
- 4. NAPTC shall develop a test plan to evaluate various methods of releaving loing conditions. This plan shall list methods to be tested, conditions each method is to be tested for, instrumentation, data to be recorded, and data reduction methods.
 - 5. MAVSEC & NAVSECPHILADIV shall comment on Test Plan.
 - 6. MAPTC shall procure all test hardware and make all pretest preparations.
- 7. NAPTC shall conduct the test according to plan. All significant deviations from the test plan should be approved by NAVSEC.

WTA NO. 5146-	2_PAGE 3	OF 8 PAGES
---------------	----------	------------

APPENDIX B

STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF THE ST

NAFTC LTR ON MARINE GAS TURBINE ICING STUDY, INTERIM REPORT

NAPIC-PE-114 "



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

NAVAL AIR PROPULSION TEST CENTER TRENTON, NEW JERSEY 08628

PE63:EWM:jas 3960 Ser E734

16 MAR 1976

From: Commanding Officer, Naval Air Propulsion Test Center

To: Commander, Naval Ship Engineering Center, Center Building,

Prince George's Center, Hyattsville, MD 20782 (Mr. P. Hawkins/

NAVSEC Code 6146)

Subj: Marine Gas Turbine Icing Study, Interim Report; submission of

Ref: (a) NAVSEC Work Task Assignment (WTA) 6146-2 Rev. B of 16 Sep 1975

Encl: (1) Interim Report, Marine Gas Turbine Icing Study

1. As described by Task D of reference (a), the enclosed data are forwarded as an interim report of a Marine Gas Turbine Icing Study presently underway at the Naval Air Propulsion Test Center.

2. Subsequent to further analyses, formal conclusions, recommendations and test program requirements will be submitted in compliance with task requirements.

R. K. BRUMWELL' By direction

Copy to: NAVSECPHILADIV (Code 6734)

PE63:EWM:jas Ser E734

INTERIM REPORT MARINE GAS TURBINE ICING

Available literature researched to date indicates that icing of MGT demisters will be caused chiefly by spray. This includes both ship and wind generated spray.

Icing due to supercooled fog, the most prevalent cause of aircraft icing, is relatively non-existent on ships. Supercooled fog over the ocean is confined to the areas north of 60°N latitude and south of 60°S latitude. The period most likely to have supercooled fog is from November to February, inclusive, with December having the highest probability. During this fourmonth period, supercooled fog can be expected to occur on approximately 14 to 18 non-consecutive days. Inquiries made of U.S. Naval and private vessels, as well as of vessels operated by foreign nations that frequent this area, substantiate this observation.

Table 1 is a compilation of the hydrometeorological conditions conducive to icing from six of the reports reviewed. Taking the extremes of these gives the range of values within which icing will most likely occur. Heavy icing generally occurs with air temperatures between -1.0°C and -18.0°C, water temperatures close to 0°C and winds above 9 meters/sec. Air temperatures below -18.0°C will cause the spray droplets to freeze before striking the ship and they do not adhere to the structure.

Two types of ice are prevalent on ships -- rime and glaze. Rime ice is generally found higher on the structure of the ship. This is due primarily to the fact that they are formed by small supercooled droplets that are carried higher and freeze instantaneously on impact. Glaze ice will form where there are large amounts of water taken on board. This water may be in the form of large supercooled drops or coarse "green" water. Because of the relatively slow freezing of these large drops, they tend to spread while freezing and form large sheets of ice with more adhesive power. Higher air temperatures generally result in glaze ice but rime ice can occur at any temperature in the range.

Keeping the above in mind, it would seem that MGT demisters, if placed in a relatively protected area on the structure, would be exposed only to a rime type of ice. This would be due to the torturous route that the airflow would have to follow to reach the demister. This path would act as an inertial separator and only those smaller droplets that could negotiate the route would impact on the demister. Glaze ice, as well as rime ice, is more likely to occur on inlets that are located outboard on the structure where large droplets and gross sea water could impinge on the demister.

K. T. Swan NAPTC/PE63

PE63:EWM:jas Ser E734

TABLE 1
HYDROMETEOROLOGICAL CONDITIONS CONDUCIVE TO ICING

TAO	SEA TEMP	WIND	SEA *	REFERENCE #
-1.5°C to	Slightly > 0°C	≯9 m/sec	- 1000	7
Lower Limit of -18°C			uorenjon en Like ytavir	15
0°C to -25°C	8°C to -2°C	≯8 m/sec	- (L-2)	10
-5.8°C to	2°C to -1.4°C	9 m/sec to _15.6 m/sec _		1962 9
-1°C to -7.3°C	2.4°C to -0.4°C	9.7 m/sec to	- 10 E. M. 11 E. 11 E	1963
-1°C to	3°C to -1.8°C	>9.3 m/sec	Si Addice di Mili Io van	6
-2°C to	0°C to -2.5°C	>4.4 m/sec	1.2 m to 2.4 m	4
-4°C to -14°C	2°C to -3°C	>4.4 m/sec	0.30 m to 3.6 m	satistical americ

*Wave height

PE63:EWM:jas Ser E734

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Anon., "Study of Worldwide Occurrence of Fog, Thunderstorms, Supercooled Low Clouds and Freezing Temperatures," U.S. Naval Weather Service Command, NAVAIR 50-1C-60, Dec 1971
- Anon., "A Shipboard Icing Observations Questionnaire for Gathering and Correlating Shipboard Ice Formation and Weather Information," U.S. Naval Applied Science Laboratory, Lab. Project 9300-20, Technical Memorandum #1, 196.
- 3. Naval Ship Engineering Center, Philadelphia Division letter 6734:REK:lmc 9416 Ser 210, "Icing on Gas Turbine Inlet Protective Screens, By Condensation or Supercooled Fog Impactation," 22 Jun 1970
- 4. Tabata, Iwata and Ono, "Studies of Ice Accumulation on Ships, Part 1," translated from <u>Teion Kagaku</u> (Low Temperature Science), Series A, No. 21, 1963, pp 173-221
- 5. Ono, "Studies of Ice Accumulation on Ships, Part 2," translated from <u>Teion Kagaku</u> (Low Temperature Science), Series A, No. 22, 1964, pp 170-181
- Vasil'yeva, G. V., "Hydrometeorological Conditions Causing Ice Accretion on Ships," translated from <u>Rybnoye Khoziaistva</u> (Fisheries), 1966, 12, pp 43-45
- 7. Fein and Frieberger, "A Survey of the Literature on Shipboard Ice Formation," U.S. Naval Applied Science Laboratory, Lab. Project 9300-20, Technical Memorandum #2, 1965
- 8. Iwata, "Ice Accumulation on Ships (The Second Report)," NAVSHIPS Translation No. 1489, 1971
- 9. Iwata, "Ice Accumulation on Ships (The Third Report)," NAVSHIPS Translation No. 1490, 1971
- 10. Shekhtman, A. N., Hydrometeorological Conditions in the Icing-up of Vessels at Sea," translated from Moscow Nauk Issled Inst.

 Aeroklim. T. Vyp, 45, 1964, pp 51-63, National Scientific and Technical Information Center (England), Translation No. 2311

BIBLIOGRAPHY (CONTINUED)

- 11. Stallabrass, J. R., "Methods for the Alleviation of Ship Icing,"
 National Research Council of Canada Division of Mechanical
 Engineering, Report MD-51, 1970
- 12. Rubin, E., "Preliminary Laboratory Ice Accretion Studies," U.S. Naval Applied Science Laboratory, Lab. Project 9300-20, Progress Report No. 1, 1967
- 13. Rubin, E., "Ice Accretion Studies Under Simulated Shipboard Icing Conditions," U.S. Naval Applied Science Laboratory, Lab. Project 9300-20, Final Report, 1969
- 14. Gathman, S. G., et al, "Marine Fog Observations in the Arctic,"
 Naval Research Laboratory Report 7693, 1974
- 15. Stallabrass and Hearty, "The Icing of Cylinders in Conditions of Simulated Freezing Sea Spray," National Research Council of Canada, Low Temperature Section Division of Mechanical Engineering Report MD-50, 1967

APPENDIX C

BI-VARIATE FREQUENCY-OF-OCCURRENCE OF ICING CONDITIONS
FOR NORTH ATLANTIC BASIN BY MONTH

NOTE: Upper number denotes percentage frequency of total observations that meet criteria.

Lower number denotes total observations.

FIGURE 1C: Bi-Variate Frequency-of-Occurrence of Icing Conditions for North Atlantic Basin




FIGURE 2C: Bi-Variate Frequency-of-Occurrence of Icing
Conditions for North Atlantic Basin






FIGURE 3C: Bi-Variate Frequency-of-Occurrence of Icing Conditions for North Atlantic Basin



NAPTC-PE-114

FIGURE 4C: Bi-Variate Frequency-of-Occurrence of Icing
Conditions for North Atlantic Basin



C-5

NAPTC-PE-114

FIGURE 5C: Bi-Variate Frequency-of-Occurrence of Icing
Conditions for North Atlantic Basin






FIGURE 6C: Bi-Variate Frequency-of-Occurrence of Icing Conditions for North Atlantic Basin




NAPIC-PE-114

FIGURE 7C: Bi-Variate Frequency-of-Occurrence of Icing Conditions for North Atlantic Basin



APIC-PE-114

FIGURE 8C: Bi-Variate Frequency-of-Occurrence of Icing Conditions for North Atlantic Basin



AUGUST

FIGURE 9C: Bi-Variate Frequency-of-Occurrence of Icing Conditions for North Atlantic Basin





FIGURE 100: Bi-Variate Frequency-of-Occurrence of Icing Conditions for North Atlantic Basin



OCTOBER

NAPIC-PE-114

FIGURE 11C: Bi-Variate Frequency-of-Occurrence of Icing Conditions for North Atlantic Basin





FIGURE 12C: Bi-Variate Frequency-of-Occurrence of Icing Conditions for North Atlantic Basin



DECEMBER

APPENDIX D

MULTI-VARIATE FREQUENCY-OF-OCCURRENCE OF ICING CONDITIONS
FOR NORTH ATLANTIC BASIN BY MONTH

NOTE: Upper number denotes percentage frequency of total observations that meet criteria.

Lower number denotes total observations.

NAPIC-PE-114

FIGURE 1D: Multi-Variate Frequency-of-Occurrence of Icing Conditions for North Atlantic Basin






FIGURE 2D: Multi-Variate Frequency-of-Occurrence of Icing Conditions for North Atlantic Basin



NAPTC-PE-114

FIGURE 3D: Multi-Variate Frequency-of-Occurrence of Icing Conditions for North Atlantic Basin



MARCH

FIGURE 4D: Multi-Variate Frequency-of-Occurrence of Icing Conditions for North Atlantic Basin




FIGURE 5D: Multi-Variate Frequency-of-Occurrence of Icing Conditions for North Atlantic Basin






FIGURE 6D: Multi-Variate Frequency-of-Occurrence of Icing Conditions for North Atlantic Basin



NAPTC-PE-114

FIGURE 7D: Multi-Variate Frequency-of-Occurrence of Icing Conditions for North Atlantic Basin



SEPTEMBER

FIGURE 8D: Multi-Variate Frequency-of-Occurrence of Icing Conditions for North Atlantic Basin




FIGURE 9D: Multi-Variate Frequency-of-Occurrence of Icing Conditions for North Atlantic Basin





FIGURE 10D: Multi-Variate Frequency-of-Occurrence of Icing Conditions for North Atlantic Basin




APPENDIX E

FREQUENCY-OF-OCCURRENCE DISTRIBUTION MAPS OF FREEZING AIR TEMPERATURES

NOTE: Values are percentages.


FIGURE 1E: Frequency-of-Occurrence Distribution Maps of Freezing Air Temperatures



DECEMBER

Air Temp.: +1°C to -2°C


FIGURE 2E: Frequency-of-Occurrence Distribution Maps of Freezing Air Temperatures



JANUARY

Air Temp.: +1°C to -2°C


FIGURE 3E: Frequency-of-Occurrence Distribution Maps of Freezing Air Temperatures



FEBRUARY

Air Temp.: +1°C to -2°C


FIGURE 4E: Frequency-of-Occurrence Distribution Maps of Freezing Air Temperatures)° 70° 60° 50° 40° 30° 20° 10°



DECEMBER

Air Temp.: -3°C to -6°C

FIGURE 5E: Frequency-of-Occurrence Distribution Maps of Freezing Air Temperatures



JANUARY


Air Temp.: -3°C to -6°C

FIGURE 6E: Frequency-of-Occurrence Distribution Maps of Freezing Air Temperatures 20° 1.0° 70° 60° 50° 40° 30° 0° 10° 20° 30° 46 Longitude 908 206 905 904 902 901 936 935 | 934 | 933 80° 500 51 21 2235 Latitude 17 10 12 1 5 11 24 27361315 6 60° 50° 30° -080 079 078 077 076 075 074 073 108 107 106 20° 042 041 640 039 038 037 072 071 070 069 FEBRUARY

E-7

Air Temp.: -3°C to -6°C

FIGURE 7E: Frequency-of-Occurrence Distribution Maps of Freezing Air Temperatures



DECEMBER

Air Temp.: -6°C to -10°C

of Freezing Air Temperatures)° 70° 60° 50° 40° 30° 0° 10° 20° 20° 10° 30° Longitude 908 202 934 933 ക്കളി 905 904 901 936 935 80° 256 14 4 8 12 7 Latitude 60° 50° 40° 30° 080 079 078 077 076 075 073 108 074 107 106 20° 044 043 042 041 (140 039 038 037 072 071 070 069


FIGURE 8E: Frequency-of-Occurrence Distribution Maps of Freezing Air Temperatures

JANUARY

Air Temp.: -7°C to -10°C

NAPIC-PE-114

FIGURE 9E: Frequency-of-Occurrence Distribution Maps of Freezing Air Temperatures



FEBRUARY

Air Temp.: -7°C to -10°C

of Freezing Air Temperatures 0° 10° 20° 70° 60° 50° 40° 30° 20° 1.0° 30° Longitude 908 907 206 905 902 901 936 935 934 933 904 80° 256 3 3 4 Latitude 70° 9 5 2 60° 50° 148 40° 30° **680** 076 075 073 079 078 077 108 074 107 106 20° 044 043 042 041 6.40 039 088 037 072 071 070 069


FIGURE 10E: Frequency-of-Occurrence Distribution Maps

E-11

DECEMBER

Air Temp.: 4 -10°C


FIGURE 11E: Frequency-of-Occurrence Distribution Maps of Freezing Air Temperatures



JANUARY

Air Temp.: 4 -10°C

FIGURE 12E: Frequency-of-Occurrence Distribution Maps of Freezing Air Temperatures



FEBRUARY

Air Temp.: 4 -10°C

British British Barton

A STATE OF				
	st, vikisto	(e.s. (815), e.s 12 - 3,58		20
			发现。1829年1828年	5
		0.(320) , W.ide ge	A STATE OF THE STATE OF	2
Dituriación Respublicación	control on front or control on front of			12,
	enderle, VA SE Grant Grant (45	u. 12-06), Paralysa		1
Tend forter	duste School, Mc	SPECIAL 9304		•
Towns of the last				. 4