Laboratory Effects in Beach Studies

VOLUME I

Procedures Used in 10 Movable-Bed Experiments

by Robert P. Stafford and Charles B. Chesnutt

MISCELLANEOUS REPORT NO. 77-7 JUNE 1977





Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

AD NO.

U.S. ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS COASTAL ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER

Kingman Building Fort Belvoir, Va. 22060 Reprint or republication of any of this material shall give appropriate credit to the U.S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Center.

Limited free distribution within the United States of single copies of this publication has been made by this Center. Additional copies are available from:

> National Technical Information Service ATTN: Operations Division 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, Virginia 22151

Contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS **REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE** BEFORE COMPLETING FORM REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO MR 77-7 TITLE (and Bubtitio) T PERIOD COVERED REPORT 100 110 LABORATORY EFFECTS IN BEACH STUDIES . Miscellaneous Report -Volume I. Procedures Used in 10 Movable-Bed PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER Experiments AUTHORIE S. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(.) Robert P. Stafford Charles B. Chesnutt PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Department of the Army Coastal Engineering Research Center (CERRE-CP) Kingman Building, Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060 D31192 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Department of the Army Jus Coastal Engineering Research Center 67 Kingman Building, Fort Belvoir, Virginia MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Control 22060 a Controlling Office) IS. SECURI UNCLASSIFIED DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING 15.0. 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) .31 Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number) Wave envelopes Breakers Coastal engineering Wave generators Currents Wave height variability Model studies Wave reflection Movable-bed experiments Wave tanks reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 20. ABETRACT (Ca Procedures developed and conditions existing during 10 experiments on Laboratory Effects in Beach Studies (LEBS) are contained in this volume as a convenient reference to the analyses of LEBS data reported in separate volumes. This report also serves as a procedural manual for a common type of coastal engineering experiment, and it describes the wave generators used to produce data published in previous reports by the Coastal Engineering Research Center (CERC). Special attention is given to the problem of running ontinued DD 1 4M 72 1473 EDITION OF I NOV SE IS OBSOLETE UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

UNCLASSIFIED

the standard of the provident of the

1 1 - 23 - 1 - 1

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

movable-bed experiments in outdoor facilities. Recordkeeping, construction of initial profile, water level control, wave height measurement, analysis of wave envelopes, ripple effects on profile accuracy, temperature measurement, and observation of breakers and currents are also discussed.

2.1.2

Standa Paris Rendra

. Belgeste son ander son ander son ander Belgeste son ander son ander son ander son ander

2 UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

PREFACE

Ten experiments were conducted at the Coastal Engineering Research Center (CERC) from 1970 to 1972 as part of an investigation of the Laboratory Effects in Beach Studies (LEBS), to relate wave height variability to wave reflection from a movable-bed profile in a wave tank. The investigation also identified the effects of other laboratory constraints.

This report (Vol. I), the first of a series of eight volumes, documents the procedures used in the 10 movable-bed laboratory experiments. It also serves as a guide for conducting realistic coastal engineering laboratory studies. Volumes II to VII are data reports for each experiment; Volume VIII is a final analysis report. The work was carried out under the CERC coastal processes program.

This report was prepared by Robert P. Stafford, senior technician in charge of the experiments for the duration of the experimental program, and Charles B. Chesnutt, principal investigator from the beginning of the 1971 experiments through the completion of the program. Cyril J. Galvin, Jr., Chief, Coastal Processes Branch, was the principal investigator from the beginning of the experimental program through the planning of the 1971 experiments and provided general supervision thereafter.

Comments on this publication are invited.

Approved for publication in accordance with Public Law 166, 79th Congress, approved 21 July 1945, as supplemented by Public Law 172, 88th Congress, approved 7 November 1963.

JOHN H. COUSINS

Colonel, Corps of Engineers Commander and Director

NTIS	White Section
DDC	Buff Section
UNANNOUNC"D	
JUSTI ICA ICH	
BY nigtp:p"T"PH//	WANT ARK ITY CODES
BY DISTRIBUTION//	IVAT ABLITY CODES St cial
BY DISTRIBUTION//	IVAT ABL'ITY CODES Sp. Cial
BY DISTRIBUTION//	IVAT ABILITY CODES 37 ctal

CONTENTS

	Pag	e
	CONVERSION FACTORS, U.S. CUSTOMARY TO METRIC (SI) 7	
I	INTRODUCTION	1
11	GENERAL PROCEDURES	
	1. Facilities	
	2. Experiment Schedule	1 *
	3. Records	
	4. Profile Construction	
at the	5. Profile Protection	
111 -	WATER LEVEL CONTROL	
200	1. Necessity	
	2. Procedure for Establishing Control.	
14 - E	3. Procedure for Maintaining Control	
ુ આગાનું જ	4. Problems Encountered.	
	5 Water Denth	
τν	WAVE GENERATOR OPERATION.	
••	1. Experimental Setur	
	2 System Components	
	3 Wave Period Control	
	A Wave Period	
	5 Problems Encountered in Operation 26	
	6 Generator Stroke	
v	WAVE HEIGHT DATA	
•	1 Data Collection 27	
	2 Data Reduction 31	
VI	SURVEY DATA	
	1. Data Collection	
	2. Data Reduction	
VII	BREAKER AND RUNUP DATA	
	1. Data Collection	
	2. Analysis of Breaker Data	
VIII	RIPPLE FORMATION DATA	
IX	SAND SAMPLES	
	1. Collection	
	2. Size Analysis	
x	WATER TEMPERATURE AND CURRENT DATA	
	1. Water Temperature	
	2. Current Data	

CONTENTS-Continued

		~ ~		Page 45
		X1	SUMMART	47
			LITERATURE CITED	47
A	PPI		RECORDKEEPING	49
		В	FUNCTION OF WAVE GENERATOR COMPONENTS	64
		С	AUTOMATED DATA REDUCTION OF REFLECTION COEFFICIENT	66
			TABLES	
	•	Suma	www.of comerimental conditions	11
	1	LEBS	profile surveying sequence	15
	3	Incia 70X-	dent wave height for fixed-bed experiments 76X-06 and -10	29
	4	Frequ	uency of occurrence of ripple heights and resulting error	40
	5	Actu	al errors due to ripples on the special ripple survey	40
			FIGURES	
	1	Plan	view of the Shore Processes Test Basin	. 12
	2	Nort	h section of Shore Processes Test Basin	. 13
	3	Seaw	ward-looking view of 6-foot-wide wave tanks	. 14
	4	Seaw	ward-looking view of 10-foot-wide wave tanks	. 14
	5	Defi	inition sketch of coordinate system	. 17
	6	Valv	ve and pipe system of the Shore Processes Test Basin	. 19
	7	Wate	er level gage and hydrant	. 20
	1	B Con	tour map of concrete bottom on sand side of 10-foot tank	. 22
	9	9 Con	tour map of concrete bottom on sand side of 6-foot tank	. 22
	1	0 Por	table wave generator with wall closing plates	. 23
	1	1 Gap	between the generator frame and end of the generator blade.	. 24
	1	2 Ecc	centric setting on portable generator	. 28

CONTENTS

FIGURES-Continued

		-		Page
13	Idealized wave envelope	•	•	33
14	Lower K_R values and similar K_R trend from automated method in experiment 70X-06			35
15	Lower K_R values and similar K_R trend from automated method in experiment 70X-10.			36
16	Correlation of manual and automated methods for determining K_R (experiment 70X-06)			37
17	Correlation of manual and automated methods for determining K_R (experiment 70X-10)			38
18	Comparison of results from three methods of determining sedimen size distribution	it .		44

artes States of Ma

And a construction of the construction

6

automatic - static and a

CONVERSION FACTORS, U.S. CUSTOMARY TO METRIC (SI) UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

Multiply	by	To obtain
inches	25.4	millimeters
	2.54	centimeters
square inches	6.452	square centimeters
cubic inches	16.39	cubic centimeters
feet	30.48	centimeters
	0.3048	meters
square feet	0.0929	square nieters
cubic feet	0.0283	cubic meters
yards	0.9144	meters
square yards	0.836	square meters
cubic yards	0.7646	cubic meters
miles	1.6093	kilometers
square miles	259.0	hectares
knots	1.8532	kilometers per hour
acres	0.4047	liectares
foot-pounds	1.3558	newton meters
millibars	1.0197×10^{-3}	kilograms per squ ar e centimeter
ounces	28.35	grams
pounds	453.6	grams
	0.4536	kilograms
ton, long	1.0160	metric tons
ton, short	0.9072	metric tons
degrees (angle)	0.1745	radians
Fahrenheit degrees	5/9	Celsins degrees or Kelvins ¹

U.S. customary units of measurement used in this report can be converted to metric (SI) units as follows:

¹To obtain Celsins (C) temperature readings from Fahrenheit (F) readings, use formula: C = (5/9) (F = 32). ⁺ To obtain Kelvin (K) readings, use formula: K = (5/9) (F = 32) + 273.15.

LABORATORY EFFECTS IN BEACH STUDIES

Preceding Page BLANK - Filmed

Volume I. Procedures Used in 10 Movable-Bed Experiments

by Robert P. Stafford and Charles B. Chesnutt

I. INTRODUCTION

This report (a) documents procedures necessary to conduct meaningful coastal engineering movable-bed laboratory experiments and model studies; (b) describes common procedures used in the Coastal Engineering Research Center's (CERC) investigation of Laboratory Effects in Beach Stud es (LEBS) to conserve space and avoid repetition in reports on LEBS experiments; (c) provides a detailed record of LEBS experimental conditions for future analysis involving presently unrecognized parameters (e.g., tank length was not considered a significant parameter and often was not reported before the LEBS experiments); and (d) provides background on the operation of CERC's portable wave generators which have been used in other investigations (Savage, 1959, 1962; Fairchild, 1970a, 1970b; Galvin and Stafford, 1970).

A series of 10 experiments was conducted from 1970 to 1972 to define the amount of wave height variability due to wave reflection and variation in the reflection, and to measure the approach to "equilibrium" profiles for the wave and sediment conditions tested. The same sediment was used in all 10 experiments and the water depth and generated wave energy flux were held constant at 2.33 feet (0.71 meter) and 5.8 foot-pounds per second per foot (25.8 joules per second per meter), respectively. Of the 10 experiments, 5 were performed in a 6-foot-wide (1.8 meters) tank and 5 in a 10-foot-wide (3 meters) tank.

These experiments were conducted in relatively long, narrow wave tanks with the wave approach direction normal to the initial shoreline, and were expected to be two-dimensional. However, three-dimensional effects were observed in the profile development, the reflection envelopes, and the current patterns. These effects will be discussed in later reports.

Two experiments were conducted in 1970, one in each wave tank, with a wave height of 0.36 foot (0.11 meter), a wave period of 1.90 seconds, and an initial profile slope of 0.10. The initial test length (distance from the wave generator to the initial stillwater level (SWL) intercept) was 100 feet (30.5 meters) in the 6-foot tank, and 61.7 feet (18.8 meters) in the 10-foot tank. After 54 hours in the 6-foot tank and 62 hours in the 10-foot tank, the beach had eroded to the back of the tank. From then until the end of the experiments, sand was periodically added to the backshore to maintain an adequate supply. The two experiments were repeated in 1971 under the same conditions, except that additional sand was added which shortened the initial test length by 7 feet (2.1 meters) in both tanks. Five experiments (two in the 6-foot tank and three in the 10-foot tank) were performed in 1972 with different wave energy densities but with the 1971 initial beach slope and initial test length. A sixth experiment was performed in 1972 in the 6-foot tank with a 0.05 initial beach slope and the 1971 wave energy density and initial test length. The test conditions are summarized in Table 1. The typical testing season was from May to December.

This report is part of a series of 8 reports on the 10 experiments, to consist of an experimental procedures report, 6 data reports, and a final analysis report. Each of the six data reports will cover conditions as identified in Table 1. The data in these reports are primarily intended to: (a) Relate temporal and spatial wave height variability to reflection from the movable-bed profile, (b) measure the approach of the profile to an equilibrium condition, and (c) determine as quantitatively as possible the effect of other laboratory constraints (e.g., water temperature, tank length and width, and initial slope) on the resulting laboratory profile.

This report documents the experimental procedures common to all the experiments, and alleviates the necessity of repeating these procedures in each of the six data reports. The data reports discuss the results from the experiments, and each report includes an appendix documenting the data collection and reduction procedures unique to the experiments.

Three earlier reports on these experiments are also documented in this report. Chesnutt, et al. (1972) discussed the development of the profiles in experiments 70X-06, 70X-10, 71Y-06, and 71Y-10. Chesnutt and Galvin (1974) analyzed the relationship between reflection variability and profile development in the same four experiments. Chesnutt (1975) analyzed other laboratory effects observed in experiments 70X-06, 71Y-06, and 72D-06.

II. GENERAL PROCEDURES

1. Facilities.

The Shore Processes Test Basin (SPTB), located in Washington, D.C., was a large, 3-foot-deep, outdoor, concrete basin (Figs. 1 and 2). Within the basin, pairs of 6- and 10-foot-wide wave tanks were constructed of aluminum panels (Figs. 3 and 4). The movable-bed profile occupied the left side (facing seaward) of each pair of tanks, and a 0.10 concrete slope occupied the right side. The concrete side was used for control purposes. The tank walls supported a manually propelled instrument carriage which was used for data collection along the full length of the tanks (see Figs. 3 and 4).

2. Experiment Schedule.

Each experiment was performed in a series of runs in either of two run sequences. The last column in Table 1 indicates the run sequence for each experiment; Table 2 indicates the cumulative test times at the end of

Experiment ¹	Initial test length (ft)	Initial slope	Wave period (s)	Generated wave height ² (ft)	Surveying sequence ³
70X-06	100.0	0.10	1.90	0.36	A
70X-10	. 61.7	0.10	1.90	0.36	A
71Y-06	93.0	0.10	1.90	0.36	A
71Y-10	54.7	0.10	1.90	0.36	A
72A-06	93.0	0.10	3.75	0.31	В
72A-10	54.7	0.10	3.75	0.31	В
72B-06	93.0	0.10	2.35	0.34	В
72B-10	54.7	0.10	2.35	0,34	В
72C-10	54.7	0.10	1.50	0.41	В
72D-06	93.0	0.05	1.90	0.36	В

Table 1. Summary of experimental conditions.

¹The first two digits indicate year of experiment; the letter following the year indicates the planned separate roports (X, Y, A, B, C, and D). The last two digits indicate the tank used for the experiment (6- or 10-foot tank).

²Determined for given wave period and constant water depth of 2.33 feet, so that generated wave energy flux computed from linear

theory had a constant value of 5.8 foot-pounds per second per foot. ³The cumulative time at the end of each run for the two surveying sequences is defined in Table 2.

NOTE.--The same sediment was used in all 10 experiments; however, the initial average d_{50} (by dry sieve analysis) of quartz sand was 0.23 millimeter in 1971 and 0.22 millimeter in 1972.









Figure 3. Seaward-looking view of 6-foot-wide wave tanks.



Figure 4. Seaward-looking view of 10-foot-wide wave tanks, with protective covers over subaerial beach.

The second second						
고려 가는 네 나는		Table 2. LE	BS profile su	rveying seque	nces.	di jitazi ya kata wa
	Survey	Sequence A	End of	Sequence B	End of	19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1
	No.	(haunda)	experiment	(hausta)	experiment	and a start from the start
1961 - 1965 - 19	1	(nr:min)	(10.)	(nr :min)	(10.7	1. 성영은 14 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
an de la composición de la composi Composición de la composición de la comp	- 1	0:00	1.101	0:00	te, parten	g president por a construction
and a second of	3	0:25		0:40	Sec. De Same	
	1. S. S.	0:40	1.11.11.11.11.1	1:30	1 1 2 3	이 아이들이 있는 것은 모님 것은 것
	6	1:30		5	The Art Back	The second second second
		2:00		10	N 2 N	8 8 9
	9	4		20		and the second second
	10	6		30		
	12	2		35		12
	14	ŷ		45		
	15	10		50		
	17	14		60	12.0X	Alexandra dia art
	18	16		65	See	a sa an
	20	20		75		
- 10 N B	21	22		80	72A-10	
	23	26		90		
	25	30		100		
	26	32		105		한 것 이 가 많은 것 같은 방법 생활.
	28	36		115	10 R 3	
	29	38		120	10 mil 17,20	i it ke geori
	31	42		130		
	32	44		135	72A-06 72C-10	
	34	48		145		
	35	50		150	728-00	
3 - 52	36	52	5 B	155	n trê tirêy ha	
	38	56	1 344	165		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	39	58	÷.	170		
	41	62,	주 문	180	72D-06	이 관계 가지 이 아름다.
		2		-		
	· · · · · ·	5 TO 2				생활 전 문화
	61	105			0 v	
		3	2.6 6		25	a da paíse des
	1					1 1. 1. A 12
	75	175 3	70X-06			
	1	3				
	82	210	70X-10			- # to
	1	3				
	1					
	107	335,	71Y-10			1
	1					
	115	375	714-06			
	170000	ments of 1		1		•

²Increments of 1. ³Increments of 5.

successive runs for the two different run sequences, and the duration of each experiment. During each run, test condition control data, including stillwater surface elevation and wave period, and independent variable data, including wave reflection measurements, breaker and runup observations, and current data (in 1972) were collected. Profile surveys were made after each run. Water temperature was monitored twice daily (morning and evening). Less frequently, with the wave tanks drained, ripple formations were photographed, surface sand samples were collected, and additional smaller grid surveys were made. The basin was drained slowly to minimize erosion damage to the ripples, which could be caused by ground water seepage or impoundment by ripples and bars.

3. Records.

Since various types of data were collected, an organized procedure for recordkeeping was developed. The primary record was the laboratory notebook in which a daily log of test activity was maintained. To ensure that a complete, detailed account of all test events was obtained for later reduction and analysis, other data collection forms were necessary. An example and a brief description of the significant types of data collection forms are given in Appendix A. Standardization in data collection was achieved by either using regulation forms or designing new forms for specific types of data.

4. Profile Construction.

The sand beach was graded using the same procedure in each experiment to minimize the possible effects of unequal compaction. The sand, when shoveled into the tanks, was loosely packed and higher elevations than desired were established for the initial grading. The basin was completely filled and then drained, allowing the sand to compact before the slope was regraded to the exact elevations desired. The basin was then filled to the standard depth and after 24 hours the initial survey was made. The intersection of the SWL with the initial sand slope at the center wall was established as the origin of the coordinate system (defined in Fig. 5).

5. Profile Protection.

Every evening and during inclement weather, plywood covers were placed over the subaerial part of the profile to prevent damage from wind or rain (Fig. 4). A plastic sheet was placed over the plywood covers to prevent water from dripping through the gaps between the covers. This practice also allowed a run to be completed if it rained. When runs were not in progress, a plywood sheet was lowered into the water (without disturbing the profile) at the seaward end of the covers to prevent wind-generated waves from reaching the beach. Copper sulfate was added to the water weekly to retard the growth of algae, which can cement the sand bottom and retard sediment motion. Leaves frequently fell into the test area, and daily cleaning of the subaerial beach and water surface was necessary.



Plan View



Profile View

Figure 5. Definition sketch of coordinate system.

1. Necessity.

The SPTB plumbing system is shown in Figure 6. A constant water depth was maintained throughout a test to eliminate, or minimize, the effect of changing water depth on the (a) instrument carriage-to-water level distance, (b) position of the shoreline, and (c) generated and reflected wave conditions.

2. Procedure for Establishing Control.

In order that data be comparable in a given test area from 1 year to the next, water level criteria were established in 1970 according to criteria which had been used from the beginning of service of the particular test area within the SPTB.

The north basin was filled to the approximate desired depth and then adjusted until the average of the depths along ranges 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9 at the toe of the concrete slope was 2.340 feet (the reference depth in earlier experiments in the 10-foot tanks). A 4-inch-long (10 centimeters) notch was made with a hammer and chisel at the east end of the concrete slope at the SWL intercept. The reference depth in the 6-foot tank was established in a similar manner at 2.330 feet and a black line was drawn at the SWL intercept on the concrete slope.

3. Procedure for Maintaining Control.

To monitor the water level while the wave generators were running, a point gage was rigidly mounted about 1 foot inside the tank wall adjacent to the hydrant (Fig. 7). The rubble absorber in this area provided good to excellent damping depending on the wave period used. With the SWL intersecting the concrete slope at the previously marked reference, the point gage was carefully adjusted to read some easily remembered value which was used as a constant for the test season. A 2-inch feeder line continuously added water to the basin during testing to offset the losses from leakage and evaporation (Fig. 7).

The water level was checked and recorded three times during each run: the start, midway, and near the end. However, readings were made more frequently when conditions warranted.

4. Problems Encountered.

Three conditions which commonly caused difficulty in maintaining the desired water level during test intervals were (a) improperly adjusted feeder line valve, (b) change in water-main pressure when filling the large wave tank, and (c) rain.

The practical tolerance in water level was ± 0.002 foot (± 0.6 millimeter). Factors affecting the establishment of this tolerance were (a) basin





A REAL PROPERTY.

にたいとい

to the second second second second

1

Figure 7. Water level gage and hydrant.

oscillations due to wind and waves, (b) visual error in taking gage readings, and (c) variations in readings due to different observers. Wavegenerated oscillations were problems only with the long wave (3.75-second period). Basin oscillations due to wind caused a problem perhaps once in 10 days. The wind effect was compensated by adjusting the water level until the average of the maximum and minimum gage readings equaled the desired reading.

5. Water Depth.

Although the water level at the gage was maintained to very strict tolerances, the water depth is not considered that accurate because the bottom elevation varied as much as 0.1 foot (3 centimeters) within the 6-foot tank and 0.05 foot (1.5 centimeters) within the 10-foot tank. A contour map of a part of the 10-foot tank bottom derived from data collected in December 1972 is shown in Figure 8. A similar drawing of the 6-foot tank bottom is shown in Figure 9.

IV. WAVE GENERATOR OPERATION

1. Experimental Setup.

Each test area was equipped with 1 of the 10 SPTB portable wave generators (Fig. 10). The generator was placed perpendicular to the three walls and a sufficient distance from the ends of the walls to allow maximum bulkhead travel without striking the walls. A plate was attached perpendicular to the generator bulkhead in a position to slide against the center wall, thus completely separating the two tanks regardless of bulkhead position. In the 6-foot tanks, plates were also attached perpendicular to the bulkhead just inside the outside walls (Fig. 10), thus making a closed tank wall regardless of the bulkhead position. The outside walls of the 10-foot tank extended to the frame of the wave generator. There was no gap between the end of the tank wall and the generator frame, but a 0.15-foot (4.6 centimeters) gap was between the end of the generator bulkhead and the generator frame (Fig. 11). This is important in the analysis of results from experiment 72B-10.

2. System Components.

The generators were operated from a control room on the second floor of a service building overlooking the SPTB. Remote control was achieved by a system of electromechanical connections. The basic components of this system, for each generator, consisted of:

(a) A 20- by 3.5-foot (6.10 by 1.07 meters) vertical bulkhead.

(b) A shaft and crank mechanism which imparted approximate sinusoidal motion to the bulkhead.

(c) A four-speed transmission connected to the crankshaft by chain and sprockets. Change of gear ratios has rarely been





¢

Contour Interval : 0.01 ft. 2 3 1DM ant <u>_</u> 8

H ebuby

5

Tenk Woll



Figure 10. Portable wave generator with wall closing plates (SPTB).





necessary; however, change in output speed, or wave period, was accomplished by other means (see components f and g).

(d) A three-shaft input differential gearbox coupled to the transmission by chain and sprocket drive.

(e) A constant-speed, alternating current (a.c.) main drive motor. This 7.5-horsepower motor was connected to one input shaft on the differential gearbox by belts and pulleys and supplied the output power for the differential.

(f) Two smaller variable-speed direct current (d.c.) motors, with one connected to each of the two remaining input shafts of the differential by flexible couplers. The rotation speed of the single output shaft of the differential was determined by the difference in speed of the two d.c. motors. The single output of the differential drove the remaining power train to the bulkhead. Output speed, or period, of the wave generator was therefore set by regulating the speed ratio of the d.c. motors.

(g) Electrical and mechanical apparatus to control the speed of the d.c. motors. Functional parts consisted of a d.c. tachometer and slave resolver (a.c. phase-shifting mechanism) located on the wave generator and a master resolver, an a.c. to d.c. rectifier-amplifier, and a variable-speed drive connected to the master resolver located in the control room. By adjusting the var'drive speed, the master resolver speed was controlled, and, through the slave resolver and amplifier, the speed ratio of the d.c. motors was set.

The interaction of the generator and control system components, and operating procedures are given in Appendix B.

3. Wave Period Control.

In addition to setting an initially correct wave period for a.given. experiment, it was necessary to monitor the period throughout each individual run. The varidrive stability was affected by temperature rise of the unit during a run, but this effect was minimized by running the varidrive for at least 20 minutes before starting the run. If not allowed to warm up, the drive was adjusted to the desired period within the first 5 minutes of the run and was monitored more closely than the normal schedule. For the normal schedule, the period was checked and recorded a minimum of five times during each run, with three checks during the first hour of 5hour runs and four checks during the first hour of 2-hour runs. After 1 hour the drive stability was found to be within the human error of observation. By repeatability tests and the comparison of checks by experienced and inexperienced personnel, conscientious observations rarely varied more than 0.2 second per 20 revolutions of the generator shaft. The procedure to check the period was to count 20 revolutions of the generator crank arms (or 60 revolutions of the speed reference shaft; see App. B) while

timing with an electric stopclock graduated in hundreds of a second. An error of 0.2 second per 20 revolutions resulted in an error of ± 0.01 second in the wave period.

4. Wave Period.

1

The original plan for the 1970 tests called for a wave period of 1.88 seconds. However, early in experiment 70X-10 it became difficult to maintain this period due to wear of the varidrive. After 14 testing hours, the period was changed to 1.90 seconds. Wave periods of 3.75, 2.35, 1.50, and 1.90 seconds were selected for the 1972 experiments to provide a wide range of wave energy densities. These four wave periods had all been used during longshore transport tests in the early 1960's by Fairchild (1970a).

5. Problems Encountered in Operation.

The complexity of the wave generators and their control mechanisms virtually assured some operational difficulties; i.e., the generator would not "lock in" with the control mechanism, or the generator would run with an irregular angular velocity. The lock-in problem generally occurred on damp, rainy days. Balancing the control adjustment did not always solve the problem, especially when humidity or rain resulted in voltage leakage in the control circuits. Depending on the degree of voltage leakage, the solution ranged from repeated attempts at locking in the generator to waiting for the equipment to dry out, perhaps until the following day. The procedure for locking in the generator is discussed in Appendix B.

The corrective procedure for irregular rotation was the adjustment of the balance control in a clockwise direction until operation appeared uniform. The appearance of uniform rotation was not proof that the rotation was uniform. Due to the complexity and many steps of power transfer in these generators, the presence of uniform angular rotation with any degree of certainty has always been in doubt. Further, it was largely a matter of test personnel vigilance whether or not the optimum rotation was maintained. A further risk existed that, should the irregular rotation not be corrected, the irregularity would increase until the servomechanism would lose control completely. In this event, the generator would "run free" at whatever speed, or period, the power of the main drive mechanism would allow. For the 2.35-inch (5.97 centimeters) eccentric setting (1970 and 1971), the period was about 1.25 seconds. Any prolonged interval, expecially one of unknown duration of these "run away" waves on the beach, would have been disastrous to the experiment. However, all occurrences were observed and corrected within 1 minute except an occurrence of 5- to 10minute duration in experiment 71Y-06.

Initial irregular bulkhead motion had an undesirable effect on the first waves of each test run. Even with optimum control adjustment, the irregular motion was quite pronounced until the generator stabilized. Examination of the initial wave record from each test of 1970 and visual observation indicated that at least the first five waves arriving at the slope toe were affected by this irregular rotation resulting from the acceleration and load demand placed on the generator at startup.

6. Generator Stroke.

The generator stroke of 4.70 inches (11.94 centimeters) for the 1970 and 1971 experiments was chosen because several earlier experiments had used that setting. This travel was obtained by adjusting the crankshaft arm on each end of the generator to an eccentricity of 2.35 inches. The maximum possible offset was 8.00 inches (20.32 centimeters), by means of a threaded bar and locking assembly. For these generators, the eccentricity was one-half the stroke. A photo of the eccentric assembly on one of the generators is shown in Figure 12.

The generated wave height (the height produced by the generator motion before reflection) for the 1.90-second wave was determined before 1970 to be 0.36 foot, but no documentation exists to prove how or when that value was determined. The nominal incident wave height (generated height plus re-reflected wave height) of 0.36 foot was determined by averaging all the incident wave heights from the fixed-bed tanks from experiments 70X-06 and 70X-10 (Table 3).

In 1972, the eccentricity settings were determined by a trial-anderror procedure to generate a wave height for the selected wave period which resulted in a wave with the same energy flux as the 1970-71 wave.

V. WAVE HEIGHT DATA

1. Data Collection.

a. <u>Wave Gages</u>. Wave height in each test was measured with identical instrumentation which consisted of a strip-chart recorder and FWK Model-1 CERC laboratory wave gages, with the necessary parallel wire probes, cables, and connectors. Each set provided two channels of recording. The operation and calibration of this apparatus were described by Stafford (1972).

Many of the delays and problems of instrumentation were caused by the necessary daily assembly and disassembly of the equipment. Cables and connectors were especially subject to wear, with resultant higher failure than inplace instrumentation. However, this delay was minimized by availability of spare parts and prompt service from the CERC Instrumentation and Equipment Branch, Research Division (CERRE-IN).

b. <u>Calibration</u>. Proper gage calibration is essential in obtaining accurate wave height data. About 20 to 30 minutes was required for the instruments to reach an acceptable level of electrical stability between power application and calibration. The calibration procedure by Stafford (1972) was followed. Electrical interference, wind, and nonlinearity were frequent problems in the calibration of the wave gages. The electrical interference was part of the assembly problem and was solved by a thorough

<



-

Time (hr)	70X-06	70X-10
0.5	0.37	
0.8		0.38
0.8		0.38
1.8	0.36	0.37
1.8		0.37
4.3	0.37	
4.3	0.37	0.37
9.3		0.35
9.3	0.36	
19		0.36
19	0.37	0.36
27	0.37	
27	0.37	
29		0.35
20		0.35
39	0.30	0.35
39	0.37	0.35
49	0.37	0.35
40	0.37	
51	0.37	0.76
51		0.30
67	0 77	0.30
53	0.37	
55	0.37	
59	0.37	0.35
59	0.3/	0.35
05		0.35
05		0.35
69	0.37	
69	0.37	
77	1	0.35
77		0.35
79	0.37	
79	0.37	
89	0.36	0.35
89	0.36	0.35
99	0.37	0.36
99	0.36	0.35
124	0.37	0.36
124	0.36	0.36
149		0.36
149		0.35
169		0.36
169		0.35
174	0.37	0.36
174		0.36

2

Table 3. Incident wave height for fixed-bed experiments 70X-06 and 70X-10.

check for faulty electrical connections and filtering. Wind interfered with the calibration process by generating ripples and occasionally basin oscillations. The only solution was to wait for calm. Nonlinearity was usually reducible to ± 0.5 percent of full scale by manipulation of the parallel wire probes as described by Stafford (1972). Occasional occurrences of very heavy rains caused a short-term drift and gain problem which hindered the calibration of the gages. The rain would leave a top layer of water which had a different conductivity due to different chemical content and temperature. The different conductivities at different water depths caused unequal flow of current per unit length of probe and as the probe position changed relative to water depth during the calibration process, electronic balance and amplification changed. This was another form of nonlinearity, which would be resolved only by natural mixing of the water. As the heavy rains also caused generator operating problems, testing was delayed until the following day (see Sec. IV, 5).

c. <u>Calibration Check</u>. Another significant step in determining wave record accuracy was the calibration check. Within minutes after the completion of each individual run, a sufficient number of calibration marks were placed on the record to determine the amount of drift and change of gain that had occurred since the initial calibration. Drift is not an impairment to data reduction, as long as the trace remains within the chartpaper grid. In determining reflection coefficients, the change of gain can be disregarded, since

$$K_R = \frac{\Delta g H_A - \Delta g H_N}{\Delta g H_A + \Delta g H_N} = \frac{\Delta g (H_A - H_N)}{\Delta g (H_A + H_N)} = \frac{H_A - H_N}{H_A + H_N},$$

where

- K_R reflection coefficient
- H_{Δ} = height of wave envelope antinode
- H_N = height of wave envelope mode
- Δg = change of gain

Stafford (1972) verified that the change of gain occurring during a run is linear to the degree that the initial calibration is linear. Therefore, for reflection coefficients the linearity of the initial calibration is the prime consideration.

The change of gain is important in analyzing absolute wave heights. Immediately after each run, the calibration was checked and the amount of gain change since the initial calibration for each channel was recorded on the wave record and in the log. The log data showed gains of from 0.5 to 4 percent above the initial calibration, with an estimated 80 percent of the measurements within this range; e.g., in 1970, only four cases of 10to 11-percent gain were observed. Losses on the calibration checks were rare. Although changing water temperature is the predominant cause of gain change, it appears that, in outdoor testing in the SPTB, there were other causes, including perhaps the chemicals in the water. Sufficient information is not available to determine the nature and extent of these other causes. When records are to be analyzed for wave heights, the measurements should be adjusted to account for the change in gain. Since there is no method for determining at what time between the initial calibration and the calibration check the change in gain occurred, the maximum error, as shown by the calibration check, should be assumed for all data during this time interval.

d. <u>Recording of Wave Envelopes</u>. All instrumentation was placed on a carriage which moved along the tank and recorded a profile of the water surface. The envelope was recorded during each run (except for the first 10-minute run) by turning on the recorder at a slow chart-paper speed while the carriage was propelled slowly along the tanks with a handcrank and cable system. One envelope was recorded from station +15 to the generator, the second envelope on the return. The desired carriage speed was 10 feet per minute. However, due to mechanical problems early in each testing season and since the carriage was propelled by hand, this velocity was only approximate. To assist in determining the speed of the carriage, a magnetic relay mechanism was installed in each test area so that the event marker on the strip-chart recorder would mark the position of the carriage at 5-foot (1.52 meters) intervals. During the recording of each envelope, the appropriate station identification was written by the instrument operator on the chart paper adjacent to these pen marks.

2. Data Reduction.

A manual method and an automated method were used in the reduction of wave reflection data. In 1970, the manual method was used to analyze all the wave records and the automated method for 20 percent of those wave records. In 1971, each method was used to analyze all data. In 1972, 61 percent of the data were analyzed by the automated method, 28 percent by the manual method, and 11 percent by both methods.

a. <u>Manual Method</u>. Using the 5-foot event marks as an approximate scale and knowing that nodes and antinodes should be one-quarter wavelength apart, the approximate locations of nodes and antinodes were determined over the constant depth section of each tank. Wave heights were measured from the preceding troub to the crest. The high wave and the low wave along these intervals were then precisely determined by choosing the highest or lowest wave having at least three increasing or three decreasing waves immediately adjacent to the wave. Pairs of nodes and antinodes were then measured by increments (0.065 inch or 1.66 millimeters) on the chart paper, to the nearest 0.2 increment (0.013 inch or 0.33 millimeter), and a K_R determined for each pair using:

$$K_R = \frac{H_A - H_N}{H_A + H_N} = \frac{H_R}{H_I} \quad .$$

The several K_R values along the envelope were averaged to determine the K_R for the envelope.

b. Automated Method. An automated method of determining wave reflection coefficients was developed as part of this study. At times it is difficult to identify the location of the node and antinode on a wave envelope in intermediate or shallow water, particularly for conditions of low wave reflection. The automated method eliminates the subjective aspect of determining the location of nodes and antinodes. Because the automated method uses all wave heights of the envelopes and not just the extreme values, it is statistically more meaningful.

(1) Description of the Method. Figure 13 shows one wavelength of an idealized wave envelope for a sinusoidal wave and partial reflection. The reflection coefficient is the ratio of the reflected wave height to the incident wave height, and in both methods the incident wave height is an average height of measured waves. In the manual method the incident wave height is the average of the two extremes, the nodes and antinodes of the envelope; i.e.,

$$[H_I]_{MANUAL} = \frac{H_1 + H_5}{2}$$

In the automated method the incident wave height is the average of all waves; i.e.,

$$[H_{I}]_{AUTOMATED} = \frac{H_1 + H_2 + ... + H_8}{2}$$

The carriage must be moved at a constant speed to gather unbiased data.

In the manual method the reflected wave height is the difference of the two extreme heights divided by 2; i.e.,

$$[H_R]_{MANUAL} = \frac{H_1 - H_5}{2}$$

An exact procedure arrives at basically the same number in the automated method. The difference between the average wave height and the individual wave height is plotted versus distance along the envelope. In an ideal case where the wave shape is sinusoidal and the carriage is moved at a constant speed, this curve would be a sine curve, with a maximum value at the antinode of the envelope and a minimum at the node of the envelope. The amplitude of the sine wave is the height of the reflected wave:

$$[H_R]_{AUTOMATED} = H_1 - H_{AVG} = H_{AVG} - H_5 = \frac{H_1 - H_5}{2}$$

Ideally, the two methods would produce the same value.



(2) Description of the Programs. Two programs were used to process the wave data. These programs and other programs discussed later are on file in the Automatic Data Processing Office (CERDP), CERC. The first program, WVHTCN, edits the digitized wave crest and trough elevation data and reduces any selected part of the data set. The program determines the average wave height for the envelope and subtracts this average from each individual wave to obtain the individual wave height deviation from the mean. The running mean (averaged over an envelope wavelength) is calculated at each point and subtracted from the individual wave height deviation from the mean to obtain the individual wave height deviation from the local mean. The local mean is then plotted superimposed on a plot of the local mean versus distance. The wave height deviation from the local mean height versus distance is also written on tape for input to the second program, WVHTC2.

WVHTC2 computes the best fit sine curve for the selected part of the wave height deviation from the local mean curve. The values for the amplitude of the sine curve, the standing wavelength, the phase angle at the origin of the plot, and H_{AVG} (called Y_{AVG}) are written on the plot. The steps in production are given in Appendix C.

c. Comparison of the Two Methods. Results from the two methods of data reduction are compared in Figures 14 to 17. Figures 14 and 15 (K_R versus time for experiments 70X-06 and 70X-10) indicate that the time variation in reflection is fairly well duplicated; Figures 16 and 17 (plots of K_R for manual method versus automated method for the same two experiments) show that the manual method gave higher values. An assumption in using the wave envelope method for determining reflection coefficients is that the heights of the reflected waves do not change significantly during the several minutes required to record the wave envelope.

VI. SURVEY DATA

1. Data Collection.

Profiles were surveyed using the coordinate system (Fig. 5). The stations and corresponding elevations were recorded on sets of scanning forms for each range, survey number, and tank (see App. A).

a. <u>Types of Surveys</u>. Two surveys were made: regular and special (more detailed) surveys. Regular surveys were taken after each run according to the schedules in Table 2; special surveys were taken less frequently.

(1) <u>Regular Surveys</u>. These surveys were taken along profiles at ranges 2 feet (0.61 meter) apart--ranges 1, 3, and 5 in the 6-foot tank and ranges 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9 in the 10-foot tank. Elevations were measured at 0.5-foot (0.15 meter) intervals from the back of the tank to station +10, at 1-foot (0.30 meter) intervals from station +10 to +23, and 0.5-foot intervals from station +23 to the toe of the beach. An exception was experiment 72D-06, where the section of 1-foot intervals was between station +20 and +46.



Figure 14. Lower K_R values and similar K_R trend from automated method in experiment 70X-06.



Figure 15. Lower K_R values and similar K_R trend from automated method in experiment 70X-10.



Figure 16. Correlation of manual and automated methods for determining K_{R} (experiment 70X-06).



Figure 17. Correlation of manual and automated methods for determining K_R (experiment 70X-10).

(2) <u>Special Surveys</u>. These surveys were taken along profiles at ranges 0.5 foot apart. The ranges in the plots and tables are numbered from 05 to 60 in the 6-foot tank and 05 to 99 in the 10-foot tank. Elevations were determined at stations 0.5 foot apart; however, some of the surveys did not cover the full length of the profile.

b. <u>Elevation Measurements</u>. Elevations were measured using 3-footlong (0.91 meter) gages mounted on the instrument carriage (Fig. 3). The point on the gage was replaced with a 1-inch-diameter (2.54 centimeters) gimbaled foot. The pivoting ability of this foot allowed it to attain the slope of the local sand surface and the large diameter prevented the foot from penetrating the profile surface, except in rare circumstances where the readings were obviously wrong. The gages, graduated in thousandths of a foot, were read to the nearest hundredth.

c. Survey Datum. To ensure that all elevations were measured to a fixed datum, a carriage elevation survey was taken annually, measuring the distance of the carriage above the water surface at different ranges and stations along the tank. A special gage was used to survey the distance from the carriage to the water surface. The point on a gage was modified by adding a small transistor oscillator-amplifier. When the point of the gage came in contact with the water surface, the circuit was completed, activating the oscillator and generating a tone which drove a speaker. A precise elevation of the carriage at each station could be determined with this device. The carriage elevation at station 23.5 (position of the original toe of the slope) in the center of each tank was used as the reference datum. Fluctuations in carriage elevation of more than 0.005 foot (0.15 centimeter) were corrected in the data reduction procedure. The gages were adjusted so that elevations were measured with the bottom of the tank at station 23.5 as the datum, and 2.33 feet was later subtracted from each gage reading during the automatic data reduction to convert to the SWL datum.

d. <u>Survey Accuracy</u>. Ripple formations on the sand surface can affect the accuracy of the local average sand surface elevation by half the ripple height. A special survey was made in 1970 to give an indication of the effect of ripples on survey accuracy. Using a point gage, distances and elevations were measured at the crest and trough of all ripples along range 9 in the 10-foot tank after 42 hours. The surveyor visually determined that the point was at the ripple crest or trough. Table 4 presents data on the frequency of occurrence of ripple heights and indicates the potential effect on survey accuracy. The data indicate that if all elevations were measured at the worst points, i.e., always at a crest or trough, 82 percent of the measurements would be within 0.02 foot (0.61 centimeter) of the actual local average elevation and 99 percent would be within 0.03 foot (0.91 centimeter).

To achieve a more realistic estimate of the effect of ripples on regular-spaced surveys, the actual error caused by ripples was determined by comparing the elevations from the regular survey with those from the special ripple survey. These data (Table 5) indicate that 64 percent of

Ripple height (ft)	Occurrence (No.)	Occurrences (pct)	Error range (ft)	Cumulative occurrences (pct)
0.07 0.06 0.05 0.04	1 3 9 8	1 4 13 12	±0.03	99 82
0.03 0.02 0.01	11 20 17	16 29 25	±0.01	54

Table 4. Frequency of occurrence of ripple heights and resulting error.

Table 5. Actual errors due to ripples on the special ripple survey.

Error	Measurements	Pct of total	Cumulative
(ft)	(No.)		(pct)
$0.00 \\ \pm 0.01 \\ \pm 0.02 \\ \pm 0.03$	25	64	64
	8	20	84
	5	13	97
	1	3	100

the measurements had no error and that 97 percent of the measurements were within 0.02 foot of the actual elevations.

The position of the gages at the specified ranges was within ± 0.02 foot, the maximum lateral movement of the carriage. The position of the carriage at the specified stations was never off more than 0.05 foot, the finest division on the tape.

In summary, the accuracy of the survey measurements was ± 0.05 foot in the x-direction, ± 0.02 foot in the y-direction, and ± 0.02 foot in the z-direction (Fig. 5).

2. Data Reduction.

a. Quality Control. After initial quality control checks, the scanning sheets were interpreted using the IBM Optical Mark Page Reader, producing a card deck. The data cards were edited for scanning machine errors, missing or incorrect heading information, and bad data. The elevations were corrected for carriage elevation variations, as measured in that test year. Specific data on carriage elevation surveys and the resulting corrections used in data reduction are in subsequent reports dealing with individual experiments.

b. Data Reduction Programs. Each deck of data cards was run through the BEPROF package of programs. The BEPROF programs were developed for the CERC Beach Evaluation Program (BEP) to manipulate field survey data and were modified for use with laboratory data. The program BEPROF uses the edited and corrected deck of cards to generate a magnetic tape for use with several programs to manipulate the data. The PRFL2C program was used to display the reduced data by: (a) Plots of profiles, all surveys per range; (b) plots of profiles, all ranges per survey; (c) tables of distance to contour intercepts; and (d) punchcards with distance to contour intercepts (at depth increments of 0.1 foot for all surveys and ranges) to be used in the CONPLT program. The CONPLT program plots the x-direction movement of selected contour intercepts with time. These plots show profile changes throughout one experiment for each profile. CONPLT plots are the major means of presenting profile results in the series of LEBS data reports.

VII. BREAKER AND RUNUP DATA

1. Data Collection.

Wave breaking and runup data were collected by three methods during the 3-year experimental program: photography in 1970; photography and visual observations in 1971; and photography, visual observations, and wave gages in 1972.

a. <u>Photography</u>. At specified times during each run, 35-millimeter slides were taken of the wave breaking and runup. Technicians were able, with some experience, to photograph the breaking wave just as it began to spill for the spilling breaker and at the point when the face of the wave was vertical for the plunging breaker. The slide of the runup was taken as close to the time of maximum uprush as possible. The position of the breaker was determined (from slides for 1970 and for 1971 through mid-August) by knowing the location of fixed objects visible on the slide in the immediate area of the breaker. Breaker type was also determined from the slides.

b. <u>Visual Observations</u>. Beginning in mid-August 1971, visual observations of the position and type of breaker and position of the wave uprush were made and recorded at the time the wave envelope was recorded. The position of the breaker could be estimated within 0.5 foot. These data were recorded in the logbooks and on the visual observation forms (see App. A for example forms).

c. <u>Wave Gages</u>. Attempts were made in 1972 to measure wave height in the shoaling zone (including the breaker height) at 25-hour intervals using wave gages. A specially adapted point gage for determining water surface elevations (Sec. VI) was used to measure the vertical limits of runup, also at 25-hour intervals.

2. Analysis of Breaker Data.

and the manual of the and worth

To analyze breaker characteristics, the type, height, and depth of breakers are required. Breaker type can be determined from the slides and from the visual observation forms. Galvin (1968) was used as a guide for determining breaker type. Breaker depth can be determined from the profile surveys knowing the breaker position. Breaker height data are available only for 1972 and then only at 25-hour intervals.

VIII. RIPPLE FORMATION DATA

At the times of the special surveys (when the wave tanks were drained), 35-millimeter slides of the ripple formations along the full length of the profile were taken. Cloth tapes and wood rules were placed on the beach forming a grid with 2- by 3-foot sections. Vertical photos of the ripple formations were taken from the top of the instrument carriage, with the cloth tapes and rules just inside the frame of each exposure. The grid assured a uniform area in each slide and the tape also provided a scale for reducing the data. In the 6-foot tank, the size of each frame was 2 feet in the x-direction and 3 feet in the y-direction; in the 10-foot tank, the size was 3 feet in the x-direction and 2 feet in the y-direction.

IX. SAND SAMPLES

1. Collection.

Sand samples were collected by scraping 10 to 50 grams from the surface of the bed when the tanks were drained after the special detailed surveys of the beach profile.

2. Size Analysis.

The 1970 and 1971 samples were analyzed by the CERC Petrology Laboratory, using the Rapid Sediment Analyzer (RSA). The U.S. Army Engineer Division, Missouri River, laboratory, analyzed the 1972 samples using a Visual Accumulation (VA) Tube. The dry sieve method was used by project technicians to analyze 10 percent of the samples for quality control.

a. <u>RSA Method</u>. Analysis with the RSA consisted of allowing a split sample to settle through the tube while the pressure differential was measured with a pressure transducer, and the values digitized and punched onto IBM cards. The cards were used with the program SEDANL (on file in CERDP) to obtain a detailed listing of percentages in each 1/4 phi-size interval and other statistical parameters. Ramsey and Galvin (1977) give details on the use of this method.

b. <u>VA Tube Method</u>. Analysis by the VA Tube method consisted of allowing a split sample to settle through the tube while manually following the accumulation of sediment at the bottom of the tube. Colby and Christensen (1956) describe the use of this method.

c. <u>Sieve Method</u>. Sieve analysis consisted of pouring dried 50-gram samples into a nest of sieves (sizes 2.00, 1.00, 0.500, 0.354, 0.250, 0.177, 0.125, 0.088, and 0.062 millimeters), and then placing the samples in a Rotap machine for 15 minutes. Weight measurements were made on the Ainsworth analytical balance (graduated to 0.0001 gram).

d. <u>Comparison</u>. A comparison of the three techniques showed that the RSA median grain size was larger than the sieve median by 0.04 millimeter on the average, and the VA Tube median was smaller than the sieve median by 0.01 millimeter. The data also show that the average median (by sieve) of samples at the beginning of the experiments became finer, falling from 0.23 millimeter in 1971 to 0.22 millimeter in 1972. The size-distribution curves for two samples collected at 0 hours in experiments 71Y-06 and 72D-06, and the analysis of each sample by two of the three methods, are shown in Figure 18. The RSA median was 0.04 millimeter higher than the sieve median for the same sample, and the VA Tube median was 0.01 millimeter lower than the sieve method for the same sample. The sieve median in 1972 was 0.01 millimeter lower than the sieve median in 1971.

The difference between the RSA and sieve methods for this sand was 0.24 phi; Ramsey and Galvin (1977) found the difference between RSA and sieve methods to be 0.33 phi for samples from New Jersey beaches.

X. WATER TEMPERATURE AND CURRENT DATA

1. Water Temperature.

Water temperature was measured (in °Celsius) in samples collected at the bottom of the tank and at the water surface in the morning and afternoon of each test day. The water sampler could be manipulated to ensure that samples were actually taken from the bottom of the tank. These data were recorded in the logbooks, and the four values were averaged to give a daily mean temperature.



Lab. Martin Lab.

2. Current Data.

Several techniques for measuring wave-generated currents were tried in 1972 before a satisfactory technique was developed. A neutrally buoyant liquid was considered, but the liquid lacked cohesion and a color tomake it distinctly visible in the water. Painted bits of Styrofoam proved too easily affected by wind. Finally, polyethylene tubing of 5/16-inch diameter (0.79 centimeter) was cut into 0.5-inch-long (1.27 centimeters) cylinders (bobs) and filled with clay just to the point of floating. The bobs were painted red and were large enough to be easily seen in the water, yet light and small enough to move with the currents. More clay was added to other bobs so that they were suspended near the bottom.

For the surface currents, the path and traveltime of each bob were recorded on a grid chart of the tank. Bottom currents were measured by recording the position and time of observation in tabular form over extended periods of time (examples in App. A).

Fluorescein dye was used for obtaining qualitative data on current patterns.

Interpretation of the current data was difficult, unless a distinct pattern was observed. In general, if a pattern of movement was observed, the reduction procedure consisted mainly of determining the velocity and changes in the circulation pattern.

XI. SUMMARY

This report is a detailed record of procedures for running a series of movable-bed coastal engineering experiments. Special attention has been given to recording those procedures which are usually transmitted informally, outside the written record, or rediscovered from hard experience. The report serves four general uses:

(a) It is a procedural manual for running coastal engineering movable-bed experiments;

(b) describes equipment that has been used since 1953 in many experimental studies published by BEB and CERC;

(c) explains procedures used in the 10 LEBS experiments (reported separately); and

(d) gives a detailed record of LEBS experimental conditions for possible future re-analysis involving presently unrecognized parameters.

The 10 LEBS experiments were conducted in 6- and 10-foot-wide outdoor wave tanks during testing seasons from April to December, to relate wave height variability to wave reflection from a changing movable-bed profile. Water level was maintained within ± 0.002 foot. The concrete bottom elevations varied as much as 0.1 foot in the 6-foot tank and 0.05 foot in the 10-foot tank. The wave period was controlled to within ± 0.01 second.

The reflection coefficient was determined by both a manual and an automated method, with the automated method giving values 0.03 and 0.04 lower than the manual method for the 1.90-second wave.

Profile surveys were recorded by a point gage with a 1-inch gimbaled foot in place of the point. The survey accuracy was ± 0.05 foot in the x-direction (onshore-offshore), ± 0.02 foot in the y-direction (longshore), and ± 0.02 foot in the z-direction (vertical). The vertical accuracy includes the effect of ripples. Data were reduced by the BEPROF package of programs at CERC.

Breaker type, position, and, in some experiments, height were determined. Ripple formations were photographed and sand samples collected when the wave tanks were drained. Sand samples were analyzed for size distribution by the RSA, the dry sieve method, and the VA Tube.

Water temperature was measured twice daily at the surface and the bottom of each tank. Current data were collected in the last six experiments by observing the paths taken by small, nearly neutrally buoyant floats.

LITERATURE CITED

CHESNUTT, C.B., et al., "Beach Profile Development on an Initial 1:10 Slope of 0.2 mm Sand," *Transactions of the American Geophysical Union*, Vol. 53, No. 4, Apr. 1972, p. 411.

CHESNUTT, C.B., and GALVIN, C.J., "Lab Profile and Reflection Changes for $H_O/L_O = 0.02$," Proceedings of the 14th Conference on Coastal Engineering, 1974, pp. 958-977.

CHESNUTT, C.B., "Laboratory Effects in Coastal Movable-Bed Models," Proceedings of the Symposium on Modeling Techniques, 1975, pp. 945-961.

COLBY, B.C., and CHRISTENSEN, R.P., "Visual Accumulation Tube for Size Analysis of Sands," *Journal of the Hydraulics Division*, Vol. 82, No. HY3, June 1956, pp. 1-17.

FAIRCHILD, J.C., "Laboratory Tests of Longshore Transport," Proceedings of the 12th Conference on Coastal Engineering, Ch. 55, 1970a, pp. 867-889.

 FAIRCHILD, J.C., "Wave Diffraction in a Laboratory Movable-Bed Setup," Bulletin and Summary of Research Progress Fiscal Years 1967-69, Vol. III, U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Washington, D.C., 1970b, pp. 29-40.

GALVIN, C.J., "Breaker Type Classification on Three Laboratory Beaches," Journal of Geophysical Research, Vol. 73, No. 12, June 1968, pp. 3651-3659.

GALVIN, C.J., and STAFFORD, R.P., "Wave Height Variability on Laboratory Beaches," Transactions of the American Geophysical Union, Apr. 1970, p. 322.

RAMSEY, M.D., and GALVIN, C.J., "Size Analysis of Sand Samples From Southern New Jersey Beaches," MR 77-3, U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Fort Belvoir, Va., Mar. 1977.

SAVAGE, R.P., "Laboratory Study of the Effect of Groins on the Rate of Littoral Transport: Equipment Development and Initial Tests," TM-114, U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Beach Erosion Board, Washington, D.C., June 1959.

SAVAGE, R.P., "Laboratory Determination of Littoral-Transport Rates," Journal of the Waterways and Harbors Division, Vol. 88, No. WW2, May 1962, pp. 69-92.

STAFFORD, R.P., "Investigation and Procedure for Calibration of CERC Laboratory Wave Gage, FWK Model-1," CERC Laboratory Report (Memorandum for Record), U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Fort Belvoir, Va., unpublished, 27 July 1972.



APPENDIX A

RECORDKEEPING

Appendix A contains examples of completed data forms used to collect each principal type of data during the LEBS testing program, 1970-72. This appendix does not include forms for data which were not uniformly or frequently collected or standard forms which were used as an intermediate step in data reduction.

1. Laboratory Logbook.

A typical handwritten entry in the laboratory logbook for a test run is shown in Figure A-1. The entry follows the standard recording sequence adopted in mid-September 1970.

2. Optical Mark Scanning Form (CERC Form 60).

This form was used to record survey data during the 3 years of the LEBS testing program. The form in Figure A-2 is an initial sheet, used to begin each profile. Successive sheets of a given profile were continuation sheets, CERC Form 83 or 43. These scanning sheets are read by an IBM Optical Mark Page Reader.

3. Wave Record Identification Labels.

Figure A-3 shows a completed handwritten sheet for wave record identification labels. In actual use the labels were separated, and one label was glued to the back side of each end of a wave record (this label was used throughout the LEBS tests). When stored in roll form, each roll had the label information readily available.

4. Wave Record Lab Coding Form (CERC Form 30).

This form (sample shown in Figure A-4) was used to log the information on the 1970 and 1971 wave records. The information was entered on the 80column form according to the procedure in the following instructions:

a. Logging card column format for wave records in wave height variability study.

Column

Log Card

1: card number of entry for the particular roll.

JZ10 Category Time Survey # 89 H.O Temperature AM 152171 7:15 by Kis BIS 75 20.1% Top Date: 27 Sont 71 Bettom 20.1°C H2O Level 2 Remarks. 8:10 Start 2.001 Swift and frequent 10:45 Midway 2001 changer occurring with Stop 2.002 1:15 beach scorp scouring. Run lime 3 B: 15 - fore shore and Start 230"00" brocker appearance 1:15 Stop 235"00" during first hour Envelope # 85 4 offest. 12:15 Start 234" 000 12:30 Only slight scouring Stop 235"15, 5 Presed Check occurred after first hove Major change After 5 " 38.00/20 8:ZO 8:45 alrey that appeared 30 38.00/20 9:15 in the development 10 30.00/20 . C. he recordery 3" 11:15 38.00/20 breaker which appears 5# 38.00/20 1:15 6 Calibration Check ar a well defined 1:25 plunging wave on Sand 17. 6055. the south ride of Concrete 17. H2 & Temperature FM the tank. Primery 7 4:10 brocker is an accessionly Jop 20.0° c not breaking entirely Bottom 20.7 c Poll Code WHU/1006086D access tank 9 Breakin 570. - 15.5 - 5.0 12:15 Uprush Str. - 9.9 10

AVA! ABLE

Figure A-1. Typical laboratory logbook entry.

BEST_AVAILABLE COPY

_										KINGM	AN BL	DG.,	FT.	BELV	OIR	, VA.	220	60	_		
		n -	Fo	oT				LOC	ALITY	CODE	:0::	H	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	:::	::#::		::	::	1: 7 ::	:81	
-		<u> </u>				BE ACH													1171		
		R·	09					PRC ITD B	FILE C	IN AT CERCH		:: 1 ::							- 1973 - 1975		ः स
	LOCATIO	N IPROFI	LE DA PA	OFILE	NO, IF AV	AILABL	D	_			:0::			- 10		_	-				- 10
															SURV	EY NU	A 38M	1001		2007	
										ŀ						SHEET					10
										ł		:2::	:3::	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::		NUMBER OF THE	-	:::::		::	:10
										ŀ		<u> </u>									
04:	:	- 198 -	:07 :	-08		:00:	:10:	:1:1:	:12:	:#9:			:\$9:	:20:	:21:	YEAR	-	:73:	-74:	:75:	:76
14	: :18::	:18::	:12:	:18:	HOUR	:18:	:20:	:23 :	:22:	:23:	DATE		JAN	FEO	MAR		-	MAY	NUN		
_	MSL			MLW	DATU	M			OTHER				3 11;	MUS	88 P	MONTH		NOV	DEC		
	INSTRUM	ENT	SI	STAD	Y METH A	OD US	ED			5911.0 V 010	::1::	:2::	::3::	::	::		::::	:4::	::	::	:10
	LEVELIN	0	ME	ASUME	MENT L	EVELIN	0		OTHER	901	:13:	:12:	-	:14:	::::	-	:18:	:12:	:18:	:19:	- 20
	HAS THE	ARK SI	NANY C	HANG	LINPRO	ILE.			YES		:21:	-72 :	:23:	:24:	:26:	DAY	:28:	:27:	:28:	:28:	:30
	REMARK	\$7	USE A	EVENS	E SIDE				YES		:31:										
			-	DIST	ANCI	E (F1	()							E	LEV	ATIO	N (F	T)			
	1000	3000	3000	4000	(1000 s)			NEG	-		100	300	300	400	{100 s}				NEG	
	: 109	300	300	400	(100 s)	808:	800:	300	800	800	Y :0:-	:10 :	:20 :	-	:40:	(10 s)	:80:	:00:	:70:	: 80:	80
	: :10:	-20-	:30:	:40:	(10 s)	\$0:	-	:70:	:60:	:00	-		::2.:	:::	::#:	(t t)	::	::	: 7::	::	:#:
0	: :1::	ः	::	::6:	(1.1)		:\$::	::1::	:	:: #: :	:0::	21.4	-	::\$::	::#:	(1 1)	::	:8::	:3::	:#::	::
	1800	2000	2000	4000	(1000 s)	ł.			NEG	*****		100	300	300	*100	(100 s)				NEG	:::::
: 0	100	200	300	400	(100 s)	800	800	700	800	800	Y 1017	-10 -	-	: 30	:00:	(10 s)	:00:	:00:	:70 :	:01	90
0	- :10:	:20:	:30 :	:40:	(10 s)	:80:	:00:	:70:	:80:	:90:	:0:1	-410	. 5		::#::	(1 s)		::#::	:3::	::	
: 0	: :::	- 1 2 -1	- 28 - 52	4	(1.1)	1817	::	::)::	::	2181	:0::	::1::	31 2 5	12	::4::	(1))	::\$::	::	-	:8::	.0
	1000	3000	3000	4000	1000 1	ł			NEG			100	500	300	400	(100 s)				NEG	1111
. 0	100	200	300	400	(100 s)	808:	600	200	800	900	Y .0	-10	-	:30	: #0:	(10 s)	-10	:00:	90::	80:	-90
	: 10:	:20:	30	:40:	(10 s)		:00:	:70:	:00:	:00:	10::	::1::	::2:.	::\$:	::#::	{1.9	:8::	::	:#::	:8::	-
	: -1: 	:2::	:4::		11 11	1811	:8::	::7::	:::	:::::::	:0::	::1::	::2::	-	::4::	1.1 11	:: : ::	::	:#::		::
	0001	7000	3000	4000	(1000 B)			NEG			100	200	300	400	(100 s)				NEG	
0	100	200	300	400	(100 1)	800	900	-700	800	909	¥ 10:1	:10:	-	:30:	::::0::	(10 s)	:10:	:00:	:70:	:00:	:00
ų:	- 10:	20	- 201	- 	(104)			-70:	100:	:901	:0::	::1::				(1.1)	-	181	:::	181	:*
ф: -			1.011	4	(1 1) - 00011		1.811		181		:0::			::3:	*: *:	(.19)	::		::7::	1.4811 N.C.A	
	000		200		11000	-	-	-	NEG eno			100	700	300		(100 s)				NEG	
		:201	-20	- 440	(1004)	000	-000		- 000	- 000	Y	:10:		- 30	:00:	(104)	:00:	:000:	:70:	:00: 	- 1900
0.		: 1			(103)	1.00					-0-1					114				-	ine ine
	1000	2000	2000	4000	11000 -			- 61	NEG		.0::	100	200	31		1.136				NE O	
•	100	200	300		1100-1	-	800	200				-10-		- 341-	- 44	110-1				-86-	
o::	: :10:	20:	.30:		(10.4)	:00:	:00:	:70:	:00:		Y					(1.4		- 00:	- 70:		- ee See
0	: ::::	:2 :	1211		(1 4)					-						114	and a second				
	1000	3000	3000	4000	(1000 -	1			NEG			100	200	300		1100-1				NEG	•••
0::	: 100	200	300	100	(100 -		800	300	800	-	:0	:10:	-	:30	: #41:	(10.4	:00 -	:00:	:90-		:00
.0::	: :10:	:20:	-	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	(10 +)	:00:	:00:	:70:	:00:	:90:	Y	1.101		1021		(1 m)	1901	1361	::::::	1927	:#
	i istri	:2::	:1	::4::	(1 a)	-	::	inter-	1281		:0::	::::::	.	1:#r	. .	(.1 a)	100-1			1,81	
-						_	-									1.1.0/					

CERC FORM NO. 121 - 72 11 May 72

Figure A-2. Optical mark scanning form.

Ξ

BEST_AVAILABLE COPY

11 Code LEBS/2N10020A Date 7 July, 1972 me of study Equillibrium / Reflection riable Measured Exvelope #19 mk 5PTB : 10 - Foot Tawks	riod 3.75' Eccentric 4.20° Depth 2.33'	pe of gage Lerve tro , right ago isition of gage Center Each Flume: Ste 15-50-15	ige cal. 750/50 hires Paper speed Zmm / sec	usitivity for: cal. 20 ^m /inc recording 20 ^m /inc vestigator Schrappe, Brown, Stafford	
---	--	---	---	---	--

6ADC69

M72	Z	Δ	H	2.33 '		te. 15-50-15	/sec
11 Code LEBS/2N10020A Date 7 JULY	me of study Equillibrium / Reflection	riable Measured Envelope #19	ok SPTB: 10-Ford Trucks	riod 3.755 Eccentric 4.20° Depth	pe of gage CERC L26; Mark 280	sition of gage CENTER Each Flume: S	ge cal. 750/50/mes Paper speed 2 mm

ecording 20 Mine	Stafford	6ADG69
cal. 20"/Inie E	EPER BRUN	
Sensitivity for:	Investigator Schi	

July, M12	lection	•		ch 2.33'	-k 280		2mm/ sec	recording 20" / line	it. Acus
oll Code LE85/24/00208 Date 7.	ame of study Equilibrum / Rei	ariable Measured Envelope # /	ank SPTB: 10-Foot TANKS	eriod 3.75 ⁵ Eccentric 4.20 ⁴ Dept	ype of sage CERC 248; Mar	osition of gage R-1, R-9, Sta.	age cal. 750 / 50 lines Paper speed	ensitivity for: cel. 20 Vine 1	nvestigator Schuppe, Brown, S

6ADC69.

Code LEBS/ZN100208 Date 7 Juty, 1972	of study Equill brum / Acthection	able Measured Ewvelope # 19	SPTB : 10- Foot ZANKS	od 3.75° Eccentric 4.20° Depth 2.33'	of Base CERC Lib; Mark 280	tion of gage R-1, R-7 : Stu. 15-50-15	cal. 750'/50/mcs Paper speed 2 mm / scc	itivity for: cal. 20"/ine recording 20" /ine	stigator Schuppe, Brown, Stefford	6ATTA
Roll Code	Name of 1	Variable	Tank	Period 3	Type of	Position	Gage cal.	Sensitiv	Investig	

Figure A-3. Wave record identification labels.

and the second second in the second

Мини Поль совим с SNE 10 10 11		
Cornor	K. Sameiter Je 700	9-0-1 2:
		IDENTIFICATION
<pre>(41 - 50 v 71 v v Thage 24 - 33 + 70 1 90 v 8k 21 913 51 - 25 Status # 93 2 5 7 v v Thage 20 2 2 3 3 + 70 1 90 w 8k 21 913 55 - 50 2 # 1 2 2 2 5 7 0 1 9 0 w 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2</pre>		and a name and
<pre># # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #</pre>	4 2 9 ME STA 254	
(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	TAKE STAKE	
<pre>063957 NT1 HV/IN40000 200 200 4 76 1 70 1 70 MBK21 9137554914120 005357 NT1 HV/IN40005 200 33 4 76 1 70 1 70 MBK21 913554914120 005957 NT1 HV/IN40000 200 33 4 76 1 90 NBK21 91355491420 009 949 NT1 HV/IN40000 200 33 4 70 1 90 NBK21 913557491420 009 949 147 14700009 200 200 4 70 1 90 NBK21 913557491420 000 147 14700009 200 200 10 20 1 90 NBK21 913557491420 000 147 14700009 200 200 10 20 1 90 NBK21 913557491420 000 147 14700000 200 200 10 20 1 90 NBK21 913557491420 000 147 14700010 200 200 200 10 20 1 90 NBK21 913557491470 000 147 14700010 200 200 200 10 200 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1</pre>	TAIL STATE STATE	
<pre>005.757) NT1 *** Turkers 2. B3 f. 70 1. 70 N8K31 F185491450 0075970 NT1 *** Turkers 2. B3 f. 70 1. 99 N8K31 F13557454150 7.83270 NT1 *** Turkers 2. 33 f. 70 1. 99 N6K31 P135574542 2.97 N6K71 P135574572 2.97 N571 P13557457 2.97 N6K71 P135574577 2.97 N6K71 P1455745747 2.97 N6K72 P135574577 2.97 N6K72 P145574574 2.97 N6K72 P1455747474 2.97 N6K72 P1455745747 2.97 N6K72 P1455745747 2.97 N6K72 P1455745747 2.97 N6K72 P1455745747 2.97 N6K72 P14557457474 2.97 N6K72 P14557457474 2.97 N6K72 P14557457474 2.97 N6K72 P14557457474 2.97 N6K72 P1455745474 2.97 N10000 2.97 N10000 2.97 N100000 2.97 N1000000 2.97 N1000000 2.97 N1000000 2.97 N1000000 2.97 N1000000 2.97</pre>	1 54 5 8m15 TA15 641	
60.3550 (N 71 NHV IN1.2017 (S 33 4 70 1 19 8 NBK71 2132 E643H720 1832650 N 71 NHV IN1.2020 3 33 4 70 1 99 NBK71 2132 E643H720 1832650 N 71 NHV IN1.2020 3 33 4 70 1 99 NBK71 2138 E94 442 2019 (S 700 11 NHV IN1.2020 3 3 4 70 1 99 NBK71 2138 E94 442 2019 (S 700 11 NHV IN1.2021 3 3 4 70 1 99 NBK71 2138 E94 442 2019 (S 700 11 NHV IN1.2021 3 3 4 70 1 99 NBK71 2138 E94 442 2019 (S 700 11 NHV IN1.2021 3 3 4 70 1 99 NBK71 2138 E94 442 214 27 12 11 NHV IN1.2021 3 3 4 70 1 99 NBK71 2137 E137 E434 44 214 27 12 11 NHV IN1.2021 3 3 4 70 1 99 NBK71 2137 E137 E434 44 214 27 12 17 11 NHV IN1.2021 3 3 4 70 1 99 NBK71 2137 E137 E434 44 214 27 12 17 11 NHV IN1.2021 3 3 4 70 1 99 NBK71 21 21 214 25 123 217 214 27 12 17 11 NHV IN1.2021 3 3 4 70 1 90 NBK71 21 21 2137 E137 445 215 27 12 17 11 NHV IN1.2021 3 3 4 70 1 90 NBK71 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	1 200 1 43 CM 1 802 H	104
<pre> (13 200 N 71 VHV IN4.56.2 2) 33 4 7.6 4 1 79 N5.871 P135.674347 97 85 54347 97 9435.674 34 7 9 94 95 1 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94</pre>	54 1 H 5 0M 1 H52 M. ST A 15	Sate
2.8 2.9 1.9 N.3 1.9 N.4 1.9 N.4 1.9 N.4 1.9 N.4 1.9 N.4 1.9 N.4 1.9 1.9 N.4 1.9 </td <td>#3 H 2 GM 2 H 2 Z M 4 S T M 2 5</td> <td>1 1 202</td>	#3 H 2 GM 2 H 2 Z M 4 S T M 2 5	1 1 202
статор и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и	7+ 3+ 2 Jm . 5+ 82 P. 57 4-5	Bele
статори ину тидена 2 3. 3. 4.70. 1.90. Мака. 23. 6.5 5. 2013. 2014. 21. 2014. 2014. 2014. 2014. 2014. 2014. 2015. 59. 54. 2014. 2	1 44 7 Gm. 44270 57415	Settore Seal
и 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	TAGE	
041 96500 X 71 0HV IN46011 1 33 4 70 1 99 NEKRA P15 LE1 24049 042 0700 X 1 0HV IN46012 7 53 4 74 1 99 NEKRA P19 LE5 747 045 2570 DA11 0HV IN40012 7 53 4 74 1 99 NEKRA P19 E17 145 041 170 0H 12 0H 12 0H 12 0H 12 0H 16 1 96 NEKRA P19 E17 14 041 170 0H 12 0H 12 0H 13 3 4 70 1 96 NEKRA P19 E17 241 041 170 0H 12 0H 17 14 20245 7 33 4 70 1 90 NEKRA P19 E17 241 041 170 0H 12 0H 17 14 20245 7 33 4 70 1 90 NEKRA P14 253 510 041 10 0H 12 0H 17 14 20245 7 33 4 70 1 90 NEKRA P14 253 510 041 10 0H 12 0H 17 14 20245 7 33 4 70 1 90 NEKRA P14 253 512 10 041 10 0H 12 0H 17 14 20245 7 33 4 70 1 90 NEKRA P14 253 512 10 041 10 0H 12 0H 17 14 20245 7 3 3 4 70 1 90 NEKRA P14 251 251 10 00 0 NE 1 10 0H 12 0H 17 10 0010 1 2 33 4 70 1 90 NEKRA P14 253 514 10 00 0 NE 1 10 0H 17 14 20245 7 3 3 4 70 1 90 NEKRA P14 251 251 10 00 0 NE 1 10 0H 1 10 0010 1 2 33 4 70 1 90 NEKRA P14 251 251 10 00 0 NE 1 0H 17 10 0010 1 2 33 4 70 1 90 NEKRA P14 251 251 251 10 00 0 NE 1 0H 17 10 0010 1 2 33 4 70 1 90 NEKRA P14 251 251 251 251 10 00 0 NE 1 0H 17 10 0010 1 2 33 4 70 1 90 NEKRA P14 251 251 251 251 251 251 251 251 251 251	A 5H2 PM SH2 RASTALS	501
222 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	246+200 64284 \$STAL	SCHOFFSER
аля разоватона и и / Тилавал 2. 3. 3. 4. 74. 1. 19. КБАЛ 21. 7. 165 Гана и 25. 257 0. 2. и и / Тилавил 2. 5. 3. 4. 7. 4. 1. 19. КБАЛ 21. 25. 25. 242 214 257 0. 7. 1. и и / Тилавил 2. 3. 4. 7. 4. 1. 10. КБАЛ 21. 25. 25. 242 214 257 0. 7. 1. и и / Тилавил 2. 3. 4. 7. 4. 1. 10. КБАЛ 21. 25. 25. 242 215 27 0. 7. 1. и и / Тилавил 2. 3. 4. 7. 4. 1. 10. КБАЛ 21. 25. 25. 242 215 27 0. 7. 1. и и / Тилавил 2. 3. 4. 7. 4. 1. 10. 1. 10. 11. 25. 25. 1. 3. 1. 10. 215 277 0. 7. 1. и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и	37A 45. 60 *	
анатала ини тики вида 2 и 70 и ва и 20 и 2	147436m 7.428m451 AA	AT OFFSCA
815.8557) А.Э. МИК ГИГОВАЯ 8, 53 Ч. 79 1. 20. А. К.2. Р.385424-949 244.970 ЫЛІ Ч.И.Х.40014 2. 33 К.79 1. 20. А. К.2. Р.285434-949 245.277 р. 71. 216.447 2. 172. МИХ ТИЧЕВАЯ 2. 3. 4. 70 1. 9. 10. 6. 2. 1. 1. 2. 2. 1. 2. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	ES TA 45.501.	
214,277 р. L. 7. К. К. К. К. 2. 2. К. 7. 4 К. К. 2. 223 К. 244 244 244 244 244 244 244 244 244 24	+ PH240, PH 22MASTAL	1.500
15177 р. Г. Т. М. Т. Т. 2015 . 33 4. 70 . 4 12 . ИЗК2. 214 26249 44 Н 215177	3+9HDOM 9 Y28 maist BA	
21641210172 NHV/IN100151 33 4 70 1 90 NBK 21 01451259131 41 1390471 247 IN10014 3 33 4 70 1 90 NBK 21 01451259131 41 1390471 247 1 147 2012 7 33 4 70 1 90 NBK 21 01451251414 147 1 137 1 147 1470919 7 33 4 70 1 90 NBK 21 244 5123414 147 1 10 1 60 NBK 21 248 123 14 70 1 90 NBK 21 244 5123414 147 1 10 1 60 NBK 21 248 5133 147 1 60 NBK 21 248 574321 138 1 70 1 90 1 91 0 246 574323 148 1 90 1 91 0 91 1 91 0 1 90 1 91 0 1 91 0 1 91 0 1 91 0 1 91 0 1 91 0 1 91 0 1 91 0 1 91 0 1 91 0 1 91 0 1 91 0 1 91 0 1 0	17 42 H. 4 4 HE8MA 5 79 45	5 CHATNAD POT
1311370172 NHY INLEGAL 33 4 70 1 90 NBK 21 014 1575913 NHY INLEGAL 23 4 70 1 50 1 50 1 51 1 51 1 51 1 51 1 51 1	VCD.NCSIDC.	
А. 1300 0.11 WHV TN12011 8-33 4.70 1 90 ЛЕ 221 01251 2416 А Н V INV IN12017 8-33 4.70 1 50 Л622 04498 25156 2 91-75 0.71 ИНV/ТИ10028 7.33 4.70 1 50 Л622 04498 573516 0992550 4.71 WHV/ТИ10028 3.33 4.76 1 60 Л6221 524 52755154 МНV/ТИ10028 3.33 4.76 1 60 Л6221 5249567 1 100 Л6221 2.33 4.76 1 60 Л6221 2255564 000712 МНV/ТИ20593 3.53 4.76 1 60 Л6221 22465243564 000712 МНV/ТИ20593 3.53 4.76 1 60 Л6221 22465243564	5+ 13 H. 13 H.O2 ME ST 415	504
2244 1. 1. 1. 4. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	CALEN JSHOGAS STALS	KOROFF SCAL
2.24.15.1.7.1 ИНУ ТИДОЙАК Р. 23. И. 70. 1. 50. 108824. 044981044114 2.9.175 ИНУ ТИДОЙ19. 2.33. Н. 70. 1. 50. 108624. 6498416424164 0992650 474. ИНУ ТИДОЙ2. 2.33. Н. 70. 1. 80. 108624. 64986495419 100224 100274. ИНУ ТИДОЙ2. 2.33. Н. 70. 1. 90. 108624. 9246874334 2314 100274. ИНУ ТИДОЙ2. 2.33. Н. 70. 1. 90. 108624. 92468743564 2324 100274. ИНУ ТИДОЙ2. 2.33. Н. 70. 1. 90. 108724. 92468743564	STA 609	
2222000224 WHY INJUG22 3 33 4 70 1 50 16622 F24 5223254 09225000224 WHY INJUG28 3 32 4 70 1 80 18621 F24 552354 144 TUT0622 33 4 76 1 80 1662 1 20575 1457200022 1 2 33 4 76 1 80 16622 2053334 1522200022 1 102053 7 33 4 76 1 80 162 20235 1522200022 1 102053 7 33 4 76 1 80 162 162 20235 102 162 162 162 162 162 162 162 162 162 16	(7+17H, -17 Hagm +57 ALLS	504
0.902650474 WHY/FRIJUA20. 33 H-70 1.90 NBK21 F245649424 1.202620274 WHY/FRIJU2021 2.53 H-70 1.90 NBK21 9246524333 1.202020274 WHY/FRIJU2021 2.53 H-70 1.90 NBK21 92465243534 0.207200074 WHY/FRIJU2027	24194 JAHO SMASTANS	1
1222200 ULT - 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	19 FILM BANKSTANE STAAS	BOR CELLED
HERE AND THE PARTY THE SEA TO THE MERCE PLAN AND THE PLANE P	*T2	
HIGE CESSES AT LEASE ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL AL	7.2.234. 834 92H3 51 915	Sel
THE REAL PLANT OF THE SAME STATE AND THE SAME STATE	21 426 H. 364 28 m4 ST 9 15	504
	24-27H . 27H & 8M 45TA14	51614

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Figure A-4. Wave record lab coding form.

Column

Log Card

- test conditions in form: 25 to 39: column 25 to 28: depth in form 02.33 column 29 to 33: stroke in form 04.70 column 34 to 39: period in form 01.92 40: state of analysis: A = analyzed P = partly analyzedN = not analyzedreference to lab notebooks or other sources, such 41 to 49: as BK16 P5 50 to 80: comments in following order (keep the a b c d order and separate each kind of comments by * so that they read a*b*c*d; there should be three *'s somewhere between columns 50 and 80 for each roll): a. type of data b. duration of running time c. station of gages other comments d. b. Procedure for coding comments in card columns 50 to 80. These may be envelopes (E), bursts (B), Type of data: a. fixed or stationary gages (F), miscellaneous (M), or stands (S) El indicated envelope 1. E1, 4 indicates envelopes 1 to 4. F20 means fixed gage at station 20. Give the times at start and end of roll b. Running time: (in minutes). Zero minute is the time "when first wave started on new beach, or other starting point; e.g., a record beginning after 3 minutes of wave action on a new beach and lasting for 9 minutes will be coded 3, 12M.
- c. Location: Indicate location of gage (in feet) from stillwater level by STA. STA 15, 40 means gage moving from 15 to 40. STA 15, STA 40 or 15 40 means gage fixed at stations 15 and 40.
- d. Other Comments: Abbreviate as much as possible, but be consistent.
- Note 1: Entries must be made in the above order. Each roll code must have three asterisks somewhere in card columns 50 through 80. If an item is missing, skip a space.

Note 2: If comments cannot be completed by column 80, go to next card, put 2 in column 1, repeat roll code in columns 17 to 24, and continue comments beginning in column 50 of this second card. Up to 9 cards may be used for one roll code to complete comments.

c. Handling of the wave record log.

After logging and coding the wave records, the cards must be kept in an orderly fashion. The group of cards from each study and each tank must be kept separately; e.g., the group of cards from the secondary wave study in the 96-foot tank is kept separate from the group of cards for the wave height variability study in the 10-foot tank. Both of these are kept separate from the group of cards from the wave height variability study in the 96-foot tank.

Placed in each group of cards are sets of reference cards. Each set has five cards. The first two are blank, the format of the second two are the same as the two cards attached, and the fifth is blank. These reference sets are placed with 56 data cards between them throughout each group so that the reference set appears at the top of each page of the listing printout. This means that cards cannot be added or deleted from the center of a group and that after adding 56 data cards from the last reference set, a new set must be added. When a listing is required, each group must be listed separately so that the reference sets will still appear at the top of each page of the listing and cards can be added at the end of each group without disturbing the other groups.

5. LEBS Photo Log.

In 1971, the example form in Figure A-5 was adopted for uniformity and convenience in recording slide file data. This information was then used when cataloging the slides.

6. Visual Observation Form.

In mid-August 1971, the form in Figure A-6 was adopted to record a series of observations made at the end of each test run. These observations allowed the principal investigators to monitor the beach features on a run-by-run basis for indications of equilibrium.

7. Scarp-Ridge Survey Form.

This form (Fig. A-7) was used to record the station and elevation of particular beach features and was completed after each survey in 1971 and 1972. Unless the scarp and ridge points coincided with a half or full station, these points were passed over in the regular survey.

LEBS PHOTO LOG : SPTB

LOCATION <u>6-foot Tank</u> DATE 20 July 1972 PHOTOGRAPHED BY Stafford

FRAME NO.	SUBJECT	TEST TIME
	SWL begin - if slope is damaged	o ^{l1} o ^M
17	Initial 1 on 10 slope	
21	Runup near end	0 ^H 10 ^M
22	Breaker, end	0 ^H 10 ^M
23	SWL, end	0 ^H 10 ^M
	Other	
18	Runup, initial (7th - 8th wave)	0 ^M 20 ^S
19	Breaker	0 ^M 30 ^S
20	Splash-up from breaker	0 ^M 45 ^S

Stopped on 2 Feb. 73 LCT

REMARKS:_____

Figure A-5. LEBS photo log.



Salar Dent and the Store South

「日本の「日本」「日本」「日本」「日本」「日本」「日本」」」

WAVE HEIGHT VARIABILITY SCARP AND RIDGE SURVEYS

6-FOOT TANK

SURVEY NO. _1___

		SCARP	el.	
RANGE) то	OP .	ВОТ	ТОМ
	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
-1	-5.0	2.85	-4.0	2.68
- 3	-6.2	2.96	-6.0	2.90
- 5				

RIDGE

RANGE	т)P
-1	Station	Elevation
-3	-1.2	2.60
-5	-2.0	2.82

REMARKS:_____



8. Current Study Form.

Current studies were not extensively attempted until 1972. The form in Figure A-8 was used to plot the paths of the current markers.

9. VA Tube Analysis Chart (MRD Form 0640).

The sand-size analysis form (Fig. A-9) was used by the U.S. Army Engineer Division, Missouri River, laboratory with the VA Tube to determine the size distribution of 1972 sand samples.

10. BEB Sediment Analysis Form (C45129).

This is an old Beach Erosion Board (BEB) form (Fig. A-10) still used to tabulate dry sieve analysis data. The form was used for the 1970-72 sand sample analysis when the dry sieve analysis was used.

11. Optical Mark Scanning Form (CERC Form 62-69).

This form (Fig. A-11) was used with the RSA in processing 1970 and 1971 sand samples.





Figure A-9. VA Tube analysis chart.

Analysis No. C·N-1021

BRACH KINSIGI BOATO SEDILERIT ANALYSIS

Collected by Date/2_DEC.72 a rojoct Location_/0_FT_TONE__0.2 Momarks

Weight of sample 40.50 SIEVE ANALYSIS OF SAND FL Date 8.2-73

ſ	Screen	U. S. Nesh	Rot	ained ievos	Cumula Ratained of	tivo on sievas	Cumulative Per cont
	101.	Number	Orans	Per Cent	Grams	Por Cont	Passing
ŀ	26.670	*					
Γ	29.100	-					
Γ	9.520						
E	6.352	-					
Г	4.760	4					
	4.00	6				-	
Г	3.350	6					
- [2.33	7					
1	2.35	3					
T	L.c.at	10					
Г	1.41	14-	, 00	/	.00		
ſ	1.00	18	.00		.001		
<u>ح</u> ر	2.7.4	.85	.00		.00		
(Sing	35	,02	,05	.02	.05	99.95
Г	399-1	45	. 78	1,93	,80	1.98	98.07
Г	K.C.L	66	13.42	33.14	14.22	35.11	64.89
r	1174	60	22/31	54.64	36.35	89.75	10.25
ſ	1250	120	3.79	9.36	40.14	99.11	. 89
Γ	85.1.	170	,28	69	40.42	99.80	.20
r	0.062	230	.07	.17	40.47	99,96	.04
C	0.000	Pen	.01	.02	40.50	100.00	,00
	Totals		40,50	100.00	40.50	100.00	
٢	Cain or	1035	00		î		ومبارد فالمنابل ومطالب وي

STATISTICAL

STATISTICAL VALUES Median diameter	SPECIFIC GRAVITY Weight of flask
Geometric mean diam mm.	Wt. of flask & sand
Phi standard deviation	Weight of sand
Effective size mm.	Wt. flask, sand & water
Uniformity coofficient	Wt. (volume) of water gr. (cc.)
Abrans finoness modulus	Volume of sand co
D 25% D 75% II.I.	Temperature of water 00
Sorting coofficient	Absoluts specific gravity
Sioving time	Dry specific gravity gr./cc.
Computed by Date	Analyzud by Dato

SHELL, CLAY, SILT & ORDANIC MATTER MALISIS

Light of capty beaker gr.	Per cent () Fard
Weight of test sample	Per cont (5) silt
Woight of sand	Analyzed by Date
Wolght of sholl gr.	Rozarks C45129

Figure A-10. BEB sediment analysis form.

375-5-5- A4

| | | | |

 | |
 | |

 | | TY | PEI | . M
 | AST | RI
 | DEN | TIFI | CATI | ON
 | | | - |
|--|--|---|--
--
--|--
--
--|---
--
---|---|--|--
--
------|--|---|---
--	---	--
		1.1

 | f R |
 | |

 | | | |
 | | C+)/4
 | er H | VE NU | WREN |
 | | | |
| 4.44 | 100 | - #2(3)*
1 (2)+ | SHEEL . | a opera

 | 11111 | 3tite
 | ' 493 | 100

 | t) | | ***** | 81×1
 | 10.31 | () () ()
 | P\$ # #1 | •• ·* A*6 | ~ 4(11)() | ft n ft i
 | 21-00 | 1:HH- | ş. |
| 11 | <i>H</i> 1 | 1.11 | 1:11 |

 | £1) | 30
 | 50 | 10

 | 0 | | (#H1 | Bur
 | 1011 | 4(3)
 | 1400 | n semte | 4 4 (1() | 300
 | 200 | 1661 | 13 |
| s: | 1 | . К | 5 4 |

 | 4 | 8.
 | 2 | 1

 | · n | | 90 | R()
 | /9- | 60
 | 60 | | :40 | 39
 | 20 | 10 | 4 |
| 5.1 | 19. | fu | 10 |

 | 40 | 31
 | 20 | \$0

 | 0 | 1 | 4 | K -
 | 1 | .6
 | э. | - 515 | =-4 | 8.
 | 2 | | 41 |
| ۲ | 7 | 6 | HINALT | THIN OF

 | 4 | 3
 | 2 | 1

 | 0 | | 18.83 | 81¥1
 | 700 | 1400
 | HOB | 1000 B | H 400 | 300
 | ,4K1 | 1/4) | |
| d- an existen a | | | |

 | - |
 | | 1

 | | MANDI | N HÚT | 80
 | - 20 | 60
 | [st] | 1995 | 40 | - 30
 | (4) | 10 | " |
| | | | V | FAK

 | |
 | |

 | | | 1 | R
 | | 6
 | ۴. | 1- 16-15- | 7.8 | 3
 | 2 | 11 | α |
| 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | . 1949 . 1

 | 60 | 30
 | 20 | 50

 | 0 | | | 201
 | 70 | (,i)
 | (a) | | .40 | -30
 | -21 | \$6 | |
| 8 | , | 6 | | -

 | | 1
 | | 1

 | 0 | SQUAR | | - 8
 | | 6
 | 5 | | ··. tt. | 3
 | . 2 | | u |
| | 1 | | 11 | ONTH

 | | ***
 | | ÷

 | | 1. | - 184 |
 | 4/3 |
 | - | | MIDT | -
 | et BM | | |
| | 21.3 M | NAT | |

 | |
 | IAN |

 | | MI*10 | | 1614
 | 111 | 444
 | 284 | | MĨW | MUT
 | Ani i We | 11 AV | 12135 |
| | ner: | | |

 | |
 | |

 | | | |
 | | . g.d rim
 | | | | |
 | | | |
| | P 21. | 104 | 001 |

 | 160 | A. 0.0
 | 3146 |

 | | SHIP | |
 | |
 | SEURA | | DREDGE | R M
 | LCV | PHIN | 138N |
| | | | |

 | |
 | |

 | | ر-۱ | |
 | |
 | | - | | |
 | | | |
| aoca | SIDT | (ML) | |

 | | 391
 | 20 | 10

 | : 0 | | HOUR | riloc/
 | AL STO | TIME)
 | | | | 1.
 | | 10 | |
| ۴
۰۰۰. م ه-ب | | ň. | |

 | |
 | |

 | ft- | | 9 |
 | |
 | | | |
 | 2. | | |
| | | | | 1

 | |
 | |

 | (+) | i | | R
 | 7 | 3
 | 1 ,1 | | 4 | 3
 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| on n | ATOR | 'S | | 2

 | 5-Ż |
 | J-8 |

 | A-1 | | IRZ | HOY
 | GPX | FOW
 | ENV | | DMU | CLT
 | BKS | AJ | |
| BA | NGC, | | | 3

 | |
 | |

 | | | 17 |
 | - 1 |
 | | | 1 |
 | | 1.00 | · ^ |
| n Ci | (UISE | | | 4

 | 11:34 | AL P33.53
 | | HAPA

 | | | k en 1444 k | . PL 8
 | 40.00 | -
 | Praw | | |
 | | | • |
| 22 3 FG | 10941 | 08 | | 5

 | |
 | |

 | | | ** * *** * | ** ****
 | a tables to |
 | | | |
 | | | |
| | | | | 6

 | 11.41 |
 | |

 | | 1 | 1011 |
 | |
 | | | | -
 | | | |
| | | | | i l

 | |
 | |

 | | | · · · · | 1.000.000
 | | • • •
 | | | |
 | 19-6 u • - 4-9 | ******* | - |
| 01. 11.40 | *109 | e | | 2

 | |
 | 1 |

 | | 0 K | - 18 | 8
 | 1 | ĥ
 | 5 | | 4 | 3
 | 2 | - | n |
| 514 | TION | • | | 1

 | S-Z |
 | J·R |

 | A -) | | IRZ | HQY
 | GPX | FOW
 | FNV | | DMU | CLT
 | PIKS | AJ | |
| OF SA | Met r | | |

 | |
 | |

 | | | | ·.
 | |
 | | | | |
 | 1 | | |
| DENTIN | 11471 | ON | • |

 | 1131EN# 1 | 1101-111
 | HII.A | 1 83 cmp 31

 | | 19979 P.B. | | ONE
 | 110.44 | 11.11 11
 | R HIN | TAR | NG WIT | ы ны ж
1
 | NO 1 | 111 - 24 | |
| | | | |

 | 10.98 | 1110 M
 | UWS AI | CF FORTO

 | 1415-1 | 0 39F 0 | -51AU | THINS
 | ABOVI |
 | · •, | | t | 7.
 | | | |
| | | | | -

 | |
 | | -

 | | | OTHER |
 | |
 | | | COFP. | CORPS
 | CON | CIRC | |
| ORING | INSTITU | JTION | 4 |

 | |
 | |

 | | | |
 | |
 | | | | 0751
 | - 144 (- 1 | | |
| | | | |

 | 191 |
 | •••••• |

 | | | |
 | 20 | 411
 | | | - 1: |
 | =0.2 | 1107 | |
| mta / | C C F HR | AC Y 1 | (1AUTH | AL AND 1

 | |
 | |

 | | | |
 | |
 | | | - |
 | | | |
| | C.C.HR | ACY I | CIALITH
 | COL ANY

 | | • • • • • • • •
 | | **

 | | | |
 | |
 | 10 | 16372177 | |
 | | ····· | |
| ionia) / | 4 | AGY 6 | CIALOR
L | ATOTOR

 | |
 | |

 | | | |
 | 10 | •
 | 10 | ONGITU | AUE . |
 | | <u>.</u> | • |
| r | an an | ta | LALOR
L | ADURDE
MURDE

 | 40 | ;81
 | 20 | 11)

 | 0 | | 181 | no
 | 70 | 60
 | 50
50 | DNGITU
alean
DI GREE | -40
5 | 30
 | 20 | 10 | 0 |
| r | 20
 | ta
6 | 14ALUH
14
140
140
140
140
140 | ATTURDE

 | 40 | ;#1
.3
 | 20
7 | 11)
1

 | 0
11 | | 1983
19 | 80
- 8
 | 70
. 7 | 60
B
 | 10
54
5 | DIGIT | 40
5
5
::4 | 30
3
 | 20
2 | 10 | 0 |
| E
E
84 | 20
 | ta
ta
ta | 64A(HH
64)
64)
67)
73 | ADIUDI
MUUDI
MUUIS

 | 40
1 | ;#1
3
393
 | 20
7
71 | 10
10
10

 | 0
n
0 | • • • | 998)
- 19
- 19 | 80
- 8
- 80
 | 70
. 7
.7 | 60
B
60
 | 50
50
50 | | .49
5
::4
.40
8 | 30
3
31
 | 26
2
29) | 10
10
11 | 0
0
11 |
| E
E
84
8 | 20
 | 64
65
6
70
70 | 14A(11H)
143
15
15
15
15
15
15 | ATOTUDE
OF CRUSS

 | 40
1
10 | ;#1
3
393
3
 | 20
7
24
7
7 | 11 ³
1
10
1

 | 0
11
10
0 | • • | 996)
59
(182)
11 | 10
- 8
HD
8
 | 70
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7 | 60
8
69
6
 | 10
54
5
5
5 | | .49
5
::4
40
8
4 | 30
3
30
30
30
 | 20
2
22)
22)
2 | 10
11
10
10 | 0
0
11
12 |
| r
 | 20
20
20
20
20
2
2
2
2 | аста
63
6
6
6
6
6 | 54A(11H)
643
5-1
5-1
6-1
6-1
6-1
6-1
6-1
6-1
6-1
6-1
6-1
6 | ADTURDI
MINIMUS
MINIMUS

 | 40)
1
10
40
1 | ;#1
3
393
3
3
 | 20
7
21
7
7
7 | 11)
1
10
1

 | 0
0
0
0
0 | | 98)
99
(89)
11
9 | 00
- 8
- 80
- 8
- 8
- 8
 | 70
.7
70
2
7 | 60
8
60
6
6
 | 10
50
50
50
50
50
50
50 | | 49
5
5
40
8
40
8
4
40 | 30
3
30
3
3
3
3
 | 20
2
22
2
2
2 | 10
10
1
10
1
10
1 | 0
0
11
0
0 |
| E
E
N
B4
R
B
N3 | 20
20
20
20
20
20
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2 | KGY 8
GG
B
F
F
F
F
F
F
F
F
F | 62A(FHR
62)
5-
5-
5-
5-
5-
5-
5-
5-
5-
5-
5-
5-
5- | ATTUDI
MINULIS
MINULIS

 | 40)
1
30
4
4
1
01 | ;#1
3
30
3
3
3
3
3
0 4
 | 20
7
21
7
7
7
7
7
7 | 10)
1
10
1
1
1
5
01

 | 0
0
0
0
0 | | 9
9
9
9
9
9 | 10
- 8
- 8
- 8
- 8
- 8
- 8
- 10
- 10
 | 70
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7
.0 | 69
8
64
6
7
8
8
8
 | 50
50
50
5
5
5
5 | | 49
5 ::4
40
8 40
8 4
.4
.4
.4 | 30
3
30
3
3
03
 | 20
2
20
1
2
2
0
2 | 10
11
11
1
1
7
01 | 0
0
11
0
0 |
| E
E
B
B
B
M
3 | 20
20
20
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2 | аст а
6
6
70
70
70
70
70
90 | 52A(11)H
143
15
15
15
15
15 | CALANY
ATIVURD
HELEVIS
MINIMUS
SALANY

 | 40)
1
201
4
1
1
1 | ;#1
3
39)
3
.3
.3
.0
1
 | 20
2
21
7
2
1
7
7
7
7
7
7
7 | 11)
1
10
1
1
5
01

 | 0
8
0
0 | | 9
9
11
9
9 | 10
- 8
- 8
- 8
- 8
- 8
- 118
 | 70
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7 | 60
8
69
6
7
8
8
8
8
9
6
 | 50
50
50
5
5
5
6
6
6
6 | | 49
5 ::4
40
8 4
4
4
4
4
4
4
4
4
4 | 30
30
3
3
03
 | 20
2
29)
7
2
07 | 10
10
1
1
1
7
01
F | 0
0
11
0
0 |
| E
E
84
8
8
8 | 20
20
20
20
20
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2 | 6.5
5
6
7
7
7
9
0 | 12AUHH
143
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5 | ATOTUDE
MININES
MININES

 | 40)
1
10
4
1
1
(11 | ;41
3
30
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
 | 20
2
21
7
2
2
7
2
7
2
7
2
7
2
7
2
7
2
7
2 | 10
1
10
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1

 | 0
0
0
0 | | 99
99
99
99
99 | 80
- 8
- 8
- 8
- 8
- 8
- 8
- 8
- 8
- 10
- 8
 | 70
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7 | 60
8
69
6
7
8
06
50
50
 | 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 | | 40
40
40
40
40
40
40
40
40
40 | 30
3
30
3
03
: 10
 | 20
2
29
11
2
07 | 10
11
10
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1 | 0
0
11
0
11 |
| E
E
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B | 29
29
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20 | 6.5
6
7
7
7
9
6
9
6 | 12AURH
40
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5 | ATITUDI
ATITUDI
MUNITUS
MINITUS
MPLE
RY FRAC

 | 40
1
30
4
1
(1
(1) | ;61
3
335
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
 | 20
24
2
2
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7 | 113
1
10
1
1
81
N

 | 0
0
0
0 | | 99
9
(а)
9
80
80 | 10
- 8
- 8
- 8
- 8
- 8
- 8
- 8
- 10
- 8
 | 70
.7
7
7
7
7 | 60
8
60
6
7
8
06
55
 | 5
5
5
5
75
75 | | 49
5 ::4
40
8 4
40
8 4
.4
.4
.4
.4
.4
.4
.4
.4
.4
.4
.4
.4
.4 | 30
3
30
3
3
03
:W
 | 20
2
23
1
2
07 | 10
11
10
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1 | 0
9
9
11 |
| E
E
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B | 50
50
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7 | 66
6
70
70
70
70
70
70
8
8 | (2A(1) H
143)
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5 | ATITUDE
IN CHIES
ANNULLS
IMPLE
INY FRAC

 | 40
1
41
4
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1 | ;e1
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
 | 20
7
24
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7 | 10)
1
10
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1

 | 0
0
0
0 | | 99
(9)
(9)
(9)
(9)
(9)
(9)
(9)
(9)
(9)
(| 100
- 8
- 8
- 8
- 8
- 8
- 10
- 8
- 10
- 8
- 10
- 10
- 10
- 10
- 10
- 10
- 10
- 10
 | 70
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7 | 60
8
60
6
7
06
5
5
6
800
 | 50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
5 | | -49
5 ::4
-40
3 -4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
- | 30
3
30
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
 | 20
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2 | 10
11
10
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1 | 0
9
9
11
11 |
| E
E
B
B
M
A
CPCCR | 59
 | 66
6
70
70
70
8
70
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8 | EALITH
60
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5 | MPLE
NT FRAC

 | 40)
1
30)
4
1
(1)
(1)
(1)
(1)
(1)
(1)
(1)
(1)
(1)
(| ;e1
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
 | 20
2
21
7
2
1
7
2
1
2
1
2
1
2
1
2
1
2
1
2 | 10)
1
10
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1

 | 0
8
0
0 | | 99)
99
(9)
9
90
01
01
01
01
00
90
90 | 10
8
8
8
8
10
8
500
90
 | 70
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7
.7 | 60
8
69
6
7
06
50
50
800
60
 | 50
50
5
5
5
75
5
75
75
75
75
75
75
75
75
75
7 | | 49
5 4
40
8 4
40
8 4
4
4
4
4
4
4
4
4
4
4
4
4
4
4
4
4
5
4
4
5
4
4
5
4
4
5
4
4
5
4
4
5
4
4
5
4
4
5
5
4
4
5
5
4
4
5
5
4
4
5
5
6
4
5
5
6
4
5
5
6
6
6
6 | 30
3
30
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
 | 20
2
2
2
2
2
07
2
07
200
20 | 10
10
11
10
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1 | 0
9
9
11
11
11
11
11
11
11
11
11
11
11
11 |
| E
E
Ba
Ba
Ma
Ma | 20
70
7
7
0 7 | ta
b
fa
fa
fa
b
c
fa
fa
b
c
fa
fa
fa
fa
fa
fa
fa
fa
fa
fa
fa
fa
fa | 124(11) H
140
151
151
151
151
151
151
151
15 | IN FRAC

 | 40
1
41
4
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1 | ;e1
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
5
5
5
5
 | 20
2
20
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7 | 112
1
10
1
1
1
1
01
N

 | 0
8
0
0
0 | | 99)
99
99
99
99
99
90
90
90
90 | 10
8
8
8
8
13
500
800
 | 70
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
700
700 | 60
6
6
7
8
8
8
9
6
8
0
6
0
60
 | 50
5
5
6
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7 | | -49
5 ::4
-49
5 ::4
-49
8 -4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
- | 30
3
30
3
3
83
3
83
3
80
7
80
7
80
7
80
 | 20
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
20
20
20 | 10
11
10
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
10
10 | 0
9
9
11
11
11
11
11
11
11
11
11
11
11
11 |
| E
E
B
B
M
B
M
C
M
C
R
C
R
C
R
C
R
C
R
C
R
C
R
C
R
C | 29
29
29
20
2
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7 | са
6
5
6
7
6
9
6
7
8
9
6
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8 | (24(1))
(
(
(
(
(
(
(
(
(
(
(
(
(| IN FRAC

 | 40
1
1
1
4
4
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1 | ;81
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
 | 20
2
21
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7 | 1P
1
10
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1

 | 0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0 |
D Е Р Т
Н
(М.) | 991
99
(в)
11
99
80
90
90
90
90
90 | 10
8
8
8
8
8
08
80
800
80
8
 | 70
7
7
7
7
7
9
7
7
70
7
7 | 60
6
6
7
06
80
60
60
6
 | 50
50
5
5
75
75
75
75
75
75
75
75
75
75
75
75 | | -40
5 ::4
-40
5 ::4
-40
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-5
-4
 | 30
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
00
3
3
 | 20
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
20
20
20
2
2
2
2 | 10
11
10
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
10
10
1
10 | 0
9
9
11
12
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1 |
| E
E
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B | 20
20
20
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2 | 66
6
7
6
7
9
6
7
9
6
7
8
9
6
8
7
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8 | (24(1))
(
(
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
) | MINING CHILA

 | 40
1
41
4
4
4
4
4
4
1
01
201
201
201
201
201
201
201
201
20 | ;61
3
3)
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
 | 20
2
20
2
2
7
2
7
2
7
2
7
2
7
2
7
2
7
2 | 10
1
10
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1

 | 0
8
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0 |
Р
т
н
(М.) | 99
(в)
(ч)
9
01
01
01
01
01
00
90
90
90
90
90 | 500
500
500
500
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
 | 70
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
700
70
7
7 | 60
6
6
7
6
7
6
0
5
5
6
0
6
0
6
0
6
6
6
 | EC
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
5
5
5
5 | | -40
-40
-40
-40
-40
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4 | 30
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
00
3
3
3
3
 | 20
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
20
20
20
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2 | 10
10
11
10
1
10
1
1
1
10
10
10
1
1 | 0
9
9
10
10
10
10
0
0 |
| СРЕА / /
Г
В-4
В-4
В-4
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
А
В-5
В-5
В-5
А
В-5
В-5
А
В-5
В-5
В-5
А
В-5
В-5
В-5
В-5
В-5
В-5
В-5
В-5
В-5
В-5 | 20
20
2
7
07
07
08
08
08
08
08 | С. 5
6
7
6
6
7
6
9
6
9
6
9
6
9
6
9
6
9
6
9
6 | (ALTHR
(
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
) | IN CHERRY CARL

 | 10
1
11
11
11
11
11
11
11
11
11
11
11
11 | ;e1
3
3)
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
 | 20
2
21
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7 | 10)
1
10
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1

 | 0
8
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0 |
D
Е
Р
Т
Н
(М.) | 181
9
(а)
11
9
0
0
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9 | 100
8
8
8
8
8
108
800
800
80
8
8
8
0
8
 | 70
7
7
7
7
7
9
70
70
70
70
7
7
,07 | 60
6
6
6
6
6
6
5
6
60
6
6
6
6
6
6
6
 | EC
50
5
5
60
5
7
5
7
7
5
7
7
5
7
7
5
7
7
5
7
7
5
7
7
5
7
7
5
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7 | United to the second se | -40
-40
-40
-40
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4 | 20-
3
30-
3
3
3
3
03
3
3
00
300
300
30
3
0
3 | 20
2
20
2
2
2
2
2
20
20
20
20
2
7
2
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
2
 | 10
11
11
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
10
10
10
1
1
0
1 | 0
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9 |
| E
E
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B | 20
20
7
97
97
98 G
98 G | CG
G
F
G
F
G
G
G
G
G
G
G
G
G
G
G
G
G
G
G | CALOR
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L | IN CHILS
IN CHILS
IN CHILS
IN CHILS
IN CHILS
IN FRAC

 | 40
1
1
4
4
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1 | ;e1
3
3)
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
 | 20
7
21
7
2
2
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7 | 10)
1
10
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1

 | 0
8
0
6
0
0
6
8
0
0 |
DEPTH
(M.) | 99
9
09
9
01
9
01
90
90
9
9
9
9 | 10
8
8
8
8
8
8
10
8
80
80
80
8
80
8
80
 | 70
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7 | 60
6
6
7
6
6
7
8
0
6
8
0
6
6
6
6
6
6
0
8
 | EC
50
50
50
50
50
70
50
70
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50 | | -49
-49
5 ::4
-41
3 4
-41
3 4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
-4
- | 20-
3
30-
3
3
3
3
03
3
3
300
20
3
3
,3
03
 | 20
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2 | 10
11
11
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
10
10
10
10
1
10
10 | 0
0
1
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0 |
| F
Ba
Ba
Ba
Ba
Ba
Ba
Ba
Ba
Ba
Ba
Ba
Ba
Ba | 20
20
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7 | CG
G
F
F
F
F
F
F
F
F
F
F
F
F
F
F
F
F
F
F | I ALATHA | IN CHILS
IN
 | 40
1
10
4
4
1
1
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10 | ;01
3
3)
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3

 | 20
7
24
7
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
1 | 10
1
10
1
10
1
1
10
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
 | 0
8
0
0
0
0 | р
Р
М
(М.) | н)
9
(а)
9
0
9
9
90
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
 | 00
8
8
8
8
8
10
80
80
80
80
80
80
80
80
80
80
80
80
80
 | 70
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7 | 69
6
6
7
9
6
9
6
6
6
6
6
6
6
6
6
6
6
6
7
6 | EC
541
5
5
60
5
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
 | | 40
5 ::4
41
8
4
4
4
0
4
4
4
4
4
4
4
4
4
4
4
4
4 | 20)-
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
0
0
3
3
3
3
3
0
0
1
3
0
0
3
3
3
3 | 20
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
 | 10
10
11
10
1
10
1
10
10
10
10
10
10
10 | 0
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9 |
| E
E
Ba
Ba
Ba
Ba
Ba
Ba
Ba
Ba
Ba
Ba
Ba
Ba
Ba | 20
20
20
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2 | 6.5
6
75
75
76
95
95
80
80
80
80
80
80
80
80
80
80
80
80
80 | CCLESSO
MUD
SANPLI
CANPEL | MPLE
MATTERAC

 | 40
1
10
4
4
1
1
10
1
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10 | ;e1
3
3)
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
5
5
5
5
6
4
1
4
5
4
8
4
8
4
8
1
3
1
3
1
3
1
3
1
3
1
3
1
3
1
3
1
3
1
 | 20
7
21
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7 | 10
1
10
1
10
1
1
5
01
N
50
5
50
5

 | 0
8
0
0
6
0
0
6
8
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1 |
D Е Р
Т Н
(М.) | 9
(8)
(9)
(9)
(9)
(1)
(1)
(1)
(1)
(1)
(1)
(1)
(1)
(1)
(1 | 00
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
80
8
80
8
80
8
80
8
8
 | 70
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7 | 69
6
6
7
9
6
9
6
6
6
6
6
6
6
6
6
6
6
7
 | EC
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50 | | 40
5 ::4
43
443
443
443
444
444
444
4 | 200-
3
30
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
 | 20
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2 | 10
10
1
10
1
1
10
1
1
10
10
10
10
10
10 | 0
9
9
10
11
11
11
11
11
11
11
11
11
11
11
11 |
| | Ana
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B
B | 41:1 700 5 7 80 70 80 70 80 70 80 70 80 70 80 70 80 70 80 70 80 70 80 70 80 70 80 70 80 70 80 70 80 70 80 70 300 8 300 8 90 610 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (| APER JOIN CONTRACTOR
R SAMPLE
DEFINITION CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTON
CONTRACTOR
CONTRACTOR
C | 4% 701 604 504 6 7 6 54 8 7 6 54 8 7 6 54 8 7 6 54 8 7 6 54 8 7 6 54 8 7 6 54 8 7 6 54 8 7 6 54 8 7 6 54 8 7 6 54 90 90 60 60 8 7 8 5 100 8 7 8 5 100 8 7 8 5 100 8 7 8 5 100 8 7 8 5 100 8 7 8 5 100 8 8 7 8 </td <td>Proj ZON CON SON Proj Proj Proj Proj Proj Proj Proj Proj Proj Proj Proj Proj</td> <td>9-11 701 6101 901 201 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101<!--</td--><td>9 ••• 700 F00 500 200 200 100 100 100 100 100 100 10 100 100 100 100 100 10 100 100 100 100 100 10 100 100 100 100 100 10 100 100 100 100 100 10 100 100 100 100 100 10 100 100 100 100 100 10 100 100 100 100 100 10 100 100 100 100 100 11 100 100 100 100 100 11 100 100 100 100 100 11 100 100 100 100 100 11 100 100 100 100 100 11 100 100 100 100 100 11 100 100 100 100 100 11 100 100 100 100 100 11 100 100 100</td><td>9% 700 600 501 300 301<td>9 × 1 701 • €01 502 </td><td>9*11 701 F(10) 011 2/11 010 011 010 011 01</td><td>Prot P(0) P(0)</td><td>4 *** 701 501 501 3*0 3*0 3*0 100 0 100 0 5 * 7 * 5 * 4 2 * 2 * 1 0 90
 90 90<td>4 *** 701 201 201 201 201 201 101 0 1 501 501 501 501 701 701 0 901 901 901 5 7 5 5.4 4 2 1 0 901 903 6 7 5 5.4 4 2 1 0 903 903 8 7 5 5.4 4 2 1 0 903 903 8 7 5 5.4 4 2 1 0 903 903 8 7 5 5.4 4 2 1 0 9 8 80 70 601 50 301 701 10 0 9 8 80 70 601 50 301 701 10 0 9 8 90 70 601 50 301 701 10 0 9 8 91 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10</td><td>9 + 1 701 F(0) 101 <t< td=""><td>9-51 201 501 301 301 301 101 101 0 10 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 800 201 10 101 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 800 201 10 101 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 800 201 10 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 901 1001 10 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 901 1001 10 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 1001 1001 10 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101</td><td>9-51 700 610 512 340 340 340 360 100 0 11 101 101 101 101 0 101 0 940 800 201 400 101 11 101 101 101 101 0 101 0 940 800 701 400 101 11 101 101 101 101 0 90 801 701 400 101 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 100 101 90 801 701 100 90 101 90 801 701 100 90 101 90 801 701 100 101 90 801 701 100 101 90 801 701 100 101 100 101 100 101 100 101</td><td>9%1 200 200 200 200 200 200 400 500 400 5</td><td>9%3 201 CB1 201 201 201 100 0 9%3 201 CB1 201 201 100 0 100<!--</td--><td>913 Z01 EUR EUR 201 201 100 0 913 Z01 EUR EUR 201 201 100 0 9000 807 PURCE DIMAR FUND 4 2 1 0 9000 807 200 100 900 <t< td=""><td>1 1</td><td>1 211</td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td> | Proj ZON CON SON Proj Proj Proj Proj Proj Proj Proj Proj Proj
Proj Proj Proj | 9-11 701 6101 901 201 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 101 101 101 101 1-101 </td <td>9 ••• 700 F00 500 200 200 100 100 100 100 100 100 10 100 100 100 100 100 10 100 100 100 100 100 10 100 100 100 100 100 10 100 100 100 100 100 10 100 100 100 100 100 10 100 100 100 100 100 10 100 100 100 100 100 10 100 100 100 100 100 11 100 100 100 100 100 11 100 100 100 100 100 11 100 100 100 100 100 11 100 100 100 100 100 11 100 100 100 100 100 11 100 100 100 100 100 11 100 100 100 100 100 11 100 100 100</td> <td>9% 700 600 501 300 301<td>9 × 1 701 • €01 502 </td><td>9*11 701 F(10) 011 2/11 010 011 010 011 01</td><td>Prot P(0) P(0)</td><td>4 *** 701 501 501 3*0 3*0 3*0 100 0 100 0 5 * 7 * 5 * 4 2 * 2 * 1 0 90<td>4 *** 701 201 201 201 201 201 101 0 1 501 501 501 501 701 701 0 901 901 901 5 7 5 5.4 4 2 1 0 901 903 6 7 5 5.4 4 2 1 0 903 903 8 7 5 5.4 4 2 1 0 903 903 8 7 5 5.4 4 2 1 0 903 903 8 7 5 5.4 4 2 1 0 9 8 80 70 601 50 301 701 10 0 9 8 80 70 601 50 301 701 10 0 9 8 90 70 601 50 301 701 10 0 9 8 91 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10</td><td>9 + 1 701 F(0) 101 <t< td=""><td>9-51 201 501 301 301 301 101 101 0 10 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 800 201 10 101 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 800 201 10 101 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 800 201 10 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 901 1001 10 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 901 1001 10 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 1001 1001 10 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101</td><td>9-51 700 610 512 340 340 340 360 100 0 11 101 101 101 101 0 101 0 940 800 201 400 101 11 101 101 101 101 0 101 0 940 800 701 400 101 11 101 101 101 101 0 90 801 701 400 101 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 100 101 90 801 701 100 90 101 90 801 701 100 90 101 90 801 701 100 101 90 801 701 100 101 90 801 701 100 101 100 101 100 101 100 101</td><td>9%1 200 200 200 200 200 200 400 500 400 500
 500 5</td><td>9%3 201 CB1 201 201 201 100 0 9%3 201 CB1 201 201 100 0 100<!--</td--><td>913 Z01 EUR EUR 201 201 100 0 913 Z01 EUR EUR 201 201 100 0 9000 807 PURCE DIMAR FUND 4 2 1 0 9000 807 200 100 900 <t< td=""><td>1 1</td><td>1 211</td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td> | 9 ••• 700 F00 500 200 200 100 100 100 100 100 100 10 100 100 100 100 100 10 100 100 100 100 100 10 100 100 100 100 100 10 100 100 100 100 100 10 100 100 100 100 100 10 100 100 100 100 100 10 100 100 100 100 100 10 100 100 100 100 100 11 100 100 100 100 100 11 100 100 100 100 100 11 100 100 100 100 100 11 100 100 100 100 100 11 100 100 100 100 100 11 100 100 100 100 100 11 100 100 100 100 100 11 100 100 100 | 9% 700 600 501 300 301 <td>9 × 1 701 • €01 502 </td> <td>9*11 701 F(10) 011 2/11 010 011 010 011 01</td> <td>Prot P(0) P(0)</td> <td>4 *** 701 501 501 3*0 3*0 3*0 100 0 100 0 5 * 7 * 5 * 4 2 * 2 * 1 0 90<td>4 *** 701 201 201 201 201 201 101 0 1 501 501 501 501 701 701 0 901 901 901 5 7 5 5.4 4 2 1 0 901 903 6 7 5 5.4 4 2 1 0 903 903 8 7 5 5.4 4 2 1 0 903 903 8 7 5 5.4 4 2 1 0 903 903 8 7 5 5.4 4 2 1 0 9 8 80 70 601 50 301 701 10 0 9 8 80 70 601 50 301 701 10 0 9 8 90 70 601 50 301 701 10 0 9 8 91 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10</td><td>9 + 1 701 F(0) 101
 101 <t< td=""><td>9-51 201 501 301 301 301 101 101 0 10 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 800 201 10 101 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 800 201 10 101 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 800 201 10 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 901 1001 10 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 901 1001 10 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 1001 1001 10 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101</td><td>9-51 700 610 512 340 340 340 360 100 0 11 101 101 101 101 0 101 0 940 800 201 400 101 11 101 101 101 101 0 101 0 940 800 701 400 101 11 101 101 101 101 0 90 801 701 400 101 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 100 101 90 801 701 100 90 101 90 801 701 100 90 101 90 801 701 100 101 90 801 701 100 101 90 801 701 100 101 100 101 100 101 100 101</td><td>9%1 200 200 200 200 200 200 400 500 400 5</td><td>9%3 201 CB1 201 201 201 100 0 9%3 201 CB1 201 201 100 0 100<!--</td--><td>913 Z01 EUR EUR 201 201 100 0 913 Z01 EUR EUR 201 201 100 0 9000 807 PURCE DIMAR FUND 4 2 1 0 9000 807 200 100 900 <t< td=""><td>1 1</td><td>1 211</td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td> | 9 × 1 701 • €01 502 | 9*11 701 F(10) 011 2/11 010 011 010 011 01 | Prot P(0) P(0) | 4 *** 701 501 501 3*0 3*0 3*0 100 0 100 0 5 * 7 * 5 * 4 2 * 2 * 1 0 90 <td>4 *** 701 201 201 201 201 201
 101 0 1 501 501 501 501 701 701 0 901 901 901 5 7 5 5.4 4 2 1 0 901 903 6 7 5 5.4 4 2 1 0 903 903 8 7 5 5.4 4 2 1 0 903 903 8 7 5 5.4 4 2 1 0 903 903 8 7 5 5.4 4 2 1 0 9 8 80 70 601 50 301 701 10 0 9 8 80 70 601 50 301 701 10 0 9 8 90 70 601 50 301 701 10 0 9 8 91 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10</td> <td>9 + 1 701 F(0) 101 <t< td=""><td>9-51 201 501 301 301 301 101 101 0 10 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 800 201 10 101 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 800 201 10 101 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 800 201 10 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 901 1001 10 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 901 1001 10 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 1001 1001 10 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101</td><td>9-51 700 610 512 340 340 340 360 100 0 11 101 101 101 101 0 101 0 940 800 201 400 101 11 101 101 101 101 0 101 0 940 800 701 400 101 11 101 101 101 101 0 90 801 701 400 101 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 100 101 90 801 701 100 90 101 90 801 701 100 90 101 90 801 701 100 101 90 801 701 100 101 90 801 701 100 101 100 101 100 101 100 101</td><td>9%1 200 200 200 200 200 200 400 500 400 5</td><td>9%3 201 CB1 201 201 201 100 0 9%3 201 CB1 201 201 100 0 100<!--</td--><td>913 Z01 EUR EUR 201 201 100 0 913 Z01 EUR EUR 201 201 100 0 9000 807 PURCE DIMAR FUND 4 2 1 0 9000 807 200 100 900 <t< td=""><td>1 1</td><td>1 211</td></t<></td></td></t<></td> | 4 *** 701 201 201 201 201 201 101 0 1 501 501 501 501 701 701 0 901 901 901 5 7 5 5.4 4 2 1 0 901 903 6 7 5 5.4 4 2 1 0 903 903 8 7 5 5.4 4 2 1 0 903 903 8 7 5 5.4 4 2 1 0 903 903 8 7 5 5.4 4 2 1 0 9 8 80 70 601 50 301 701 10 0 9 8 80 70 601 50 301 701 10 0 9 8 90 70 601 50 301 701 10 0 9 8 91 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | 9 + 1 701 F(0) 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101
101 101 <t< td=""><td>9-51 201 501 301 301 301 101 101 0 10 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 800 201 10 101 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 800 201 10 101 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 800 201 10 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 901 1001 10 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 901 1001 10 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 1001 1001 10 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101</td><td>9-51 700 610 512 340 340 340 360 100 0 11 101 101 101 101 0 101 0 940 800 201 400 101 11 101 101 101 101 0 101 0 940 800 701 400 101 11 101 101 101 101 0 90 801 701 400 101 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 100 101 90 801 701 100 90 101 90 801 701 100 90 101 90 801 701 100 101 90 801 701 100 101 90 801 701 100 101 100 101 100 101 100 101</td><td>9%1 200 200 200 200 200 200 400 500 400 5</td><td>9%3 201 CB1 201 201 201 100 0 9%3 201 CB1 201 201 100 0 100<!--</td--><td>913 Z01 EUR EUR 201 201 100 0 913 Z01 EUR EUR 201 201 100 0 9000 807 PURCE DIMAR FUND 4 2 1 0 9000 807 200 100 900 <t< td=""><td>1 1</td><td>1 211</td></t<></td></td></t<> | 9-51 201 501 301 301 301 101 101 0 10 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 800 201 10 101 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 800 201 10 101 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 800 201 10 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 901 1001 10 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 901 1001 10 101 101 101 101 101 0 901 1001 1001 10 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 | 9-51 700 610 512 340 340 340 360 100 0 11 101 101 101 101 0 101 0 940 800 201 400 101 11 101 101 101 101 0 101 0 940 800 701 400 101 11 101 101 101 101 0 90 801 701 400 101 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 100 101 90 801 701 100 90 101 90 801 701 100 90 101 90 801 701 100 101 90 801 701 100 101 90 801 701 100 101 100 101 100 101 100 101
 | 9%1 200 200 200 200 200 200 400 500 400 5 | 9%3 201 CB1 201 201 201 100 0 9%3 201 CB1 201 201 100 0 100 </td <td>913 Z01 EUR EUR 201 201 100 0 913 Z01 EUR EUR 201 201 100 0 9000 807 PURCE DIMAR FUND 4 2 1 0 9000 807 200 100 900 <t< td=""><td>1 1</td><td>1 211</td></t<></td> | 913 Z01 EUR EUR 201 201 100 0 913 Z01 EUR EUR 201 201 100 0 9000 807 PURCE DIMAR FUND 4 2 1 0 9000 807 200 100 900 <t< td=""><td>1 1</td><td>1 211</td></t<> | 1 1
 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 1 211 |

Figure A-11. Optical mark scanning form.

APPENDIX B

FUNCTION OF WAVE GENERATOR COMPONENTS

Section IV gives the major components of the SPTB portable wave generators and the major function of each. This appendix describes the procedure for starting the generators and component interaction.

1. The main a.c. drive motor was started. This caused rotation of some of the differential gears, including those connected to the two d.c. motor armatures. Since these gears were rotated at the same speed, there was no output from the differential at this point.

2. The d.c. rectifier-amplifier was activated by slowly applying voltage through a variable transformer or variac. As this was done, the generator crank arms were observed to determine that the drive shaft of the generator remained stationary, except for perhaps a quarter turn to seek the "lock-in" position. If the shaft rotated more than a quarter turn, the variac was turned down until the rotation stopped. The two thyratron tubes in the amplifier were then balanced by the balancing potentiometer on top of the amplifier chassis. This was done by again raising the voltage while turning the potentiometer clockwise a sufficient amount to prevent rotation of the generator crankshaft. Expertise in this adjustment was achieved largely by experience. The procedure was continued until full voltage was reached.

3. The varidrive was started. This drive had been previously adjusted to rotate at a speed which would cause the generator bulkhead to produce the desired wave period. The speed reference shaft, which was connected to the varidrive, and the generator crankshaft were compelled by electroservo action to rotate at fixed ratios determined by the gear selector box in the wave generator. The rotation of the master resolver, connected to the speed reference shaft, initiated a sequence of electrical events between the two resolvers and the d.c. amplifier, and caused the two d.c. motors to rotate at different speeds. Thus, differential output began, imparting motion through the drive train to the crankshaft and bulkhead. At the same instant that output rotation began, both the slave resolver and d.c. tachometer became activated. As the varidrive and master resolver accelerated, imbalance between the resolvers and the d.c. amplifier continued, and the speed difference of the two d.c. motors increased proportionally with increased varidrive speed until the two resolvers and the amplifier reached a steady-state or in-phase relationship. Provided the generator load capability was not exceeded, the generator would continue to operate "in step" with the control drive mechanism. To assist in maintaining a steady-state condition under varying bulkhead loading, the tachometer supplied a d.c. voltage to the thyratron tubes of the amplifier which caused the tubes to supply more or less power as required. A "phaseshift" signal from a "leading" or "lagging" resolver had the same effect. on the tubes, but in a slightly different manner.

Two methods were used to attain the desired wave period by adjusting the speed of the varidrive. The first method was to operate the complete generator system with the basin drained, and adjust the speed of the varidrive until the generator bulkhead motion was set at the proper period. The second method was used when the basin was filled. The transmission gear settings gave a speed reference shaft-to-crankshaft ratio of 3 to 1. Therefore, the varidrive could be adjusted to the proper speed using the speed reference shaft (60 revolutions per 38 seconds for the 1.90-second period), while the generator blade could be left stationary by not turning on the a.c. motor and amplifier. The first method was preferred because the entire system could be checked out before starting the experiment.

APPENDIX C

AUTOMATED DATA REDUCTION OF REFLECTION COEFFICIENT

The steps in the automated data reduction of reflection coefficients using programs WVHTCN and WVHTC2 are given below. The programs are described in Section V.

1. Digitizing.

The data on the wave records are digitized by CERDP, producing sets of x and y points for each crest, trough, and event mark on each envelope. These points are recorded on tape and then punched on cards.

2. WVHTCN Program.

The WVHTCN input for each envelope consists of three sections of cards: crests, troughs, and event marks. Each section begins with a card containing the test label in columns 1 to 15 and ends with a card containing 80 periods. At the front of the envelope data deck is a card with the envelope identification number in columns 21 to 35. Any number of wave envelopes may be run at one time. If an end-of-file is to be written on the tape, the last card of the data deck must have "ENDEND" starting in column 21. Output for each envelope includes a printout of the data for editing, a plot, and a tape for input to WVHTC2.

3. Estimating Amplitude and Phase Angle of Sine Curve.

Before running WVHTC2, a first estimate of the amplitude and phase angle for the best fit sine curve is determined from the WVHTCN plots. A sine curve with the appropriate wavelength is drawn and placed over the WVHTCN plot. The sine curve is adjusted until it fits the plotted curve most closely. Then the points on the plotted curve coinciding with the crests and troughs of the sine curve are measured and averaged to determine the first estimate of the amplitude. The first estimate of the phase angle (between $\cdot 180^{\circ}$ and $\pm 180^{\circ}$) is found by measuring from the origin of the graph to the nearest point where the sine curve crosses the positive or negative x-axis. This value divided by one-half the wavelength of the sine curve and multiplied by π gives the phase angle in radians.

4. WVHTC2 Program.

When running program WVHTC2, A1 = amplitude (F5.2, col. 16); A2 = wave number (2/L), (F5.2, col. 21); A3 = phase angle (F5.2, col. 26); and XXX = the part of the envelope to be plotted (2F5.2, cols. 36 and 41). The limits of the envelope to be fitted are given in inches with a scale of 1 inch equals 5 feet. Time (F5.1, col. 31) is in hours and tenths of an hour. All variables are right-justified when punched into the card. The roll code number is punched in columns 1 to 11 and the envelope number is punched in column 13. One card is punched with this information

for each wave envelope. The number of envelopes to be plotted is punched right-justified in columns 1 to 5 of another card and placed at the front of the data check.

The plots generated by WVHTC2 give wave height deviation from the local mean with the best fit sine curve superposed.

5. Determining K_R .

 K_R is determined from the plot by dividing the amplitude of the sine curve by Y_{AVG} . Both values are written in the plot heading.

e 2]	62) 621
<pre>Ctafford, Robert P. Laboratory effects in beach studies. Volume I. Procedures use in 10 novable-bed experiments / by Robert P. Stafford and Gharles Ghesnutt Fort Belvoir, Va. : U.S. Coastal Engineering Research Center, 1977. 67 p. : ill. (Hiscellaneous report - U.S. Coastal Engineering Research Center : 77-7) Bibliography: p. 47. Mis first volume of an eight-volume series describes the expen- mental procedures used and the wave and bach conditions existing during 10 long-duration, movable-bed experiments. This volume al serves as a procedural manual for cosstal engineering experiments and describes CERC's portable wave generators. 1. Coastal engineering. 2. Breakers. 3. Currents. 4. Movable beds - Models. 5. Wave generators. 6. Wave reflection. 7. Wave tanks. I. Title. II. Chesnut, Charles B., joint author. III. Series: U.S. Coastal Engineering Research Center. Miscellaneous report no. 77-7. TC203</pre>	<pre>Stafford, Robert P. Laboratory effects in beach studies. Volume I. Procedures use in 10 movable-bed experiments / by Robert P. Stafford and Charles Chesnutt Fort Belvoir, Va.: U.S. Coastal Engineering Research G7 p.: ill. (Miscellaneous report - U.S. Coastal Engineering Bibliography: p. 47. Bibliography: p. 47. This first volume of an eight-volume series describes the experimental procedures used and the wave and beach conditions existing during 10 long-duration, movable-bed experiments. This volume al serves as a procedural manual for coastal engineering experiments and describes UTRC's portable wave generators. 1. Coastal engineering. 2. Breakers. 3. Currents. 4. Movable beds - Models. 5. Nave generators. 6. Wave reflection. 7. Wave tanks. 1. Title. II. Chesnutt, Charles B., joint author. III. Series: U.S. Coastal Engineering Research Center. Miscellaneous report no. 77-7. TC203 US41</pre>
 res used Charles B. esearch eering e experi- riments, forvable 7. Wave 1111. aneous 627	res used Gharles B. esearch eering e experi- e experi- timents, flovable 7. Wave 111. aneous 627
 volume I. Procedu obert P. Stafford and coastal Engine R. Coastal Engine R. U.S. Coastal Engine e series describes the and boach conditions e experiments. This vo experiments. This vo experiments. A. U.V. Presson, Condition. U.V. Presson, A. U.V. Presson, A.<	<pre>s. Volume I. Procedu obert P. Stafford and Coastal Engineering R t - U.S. Coastal Engin me series describes th mu beach conditions e mu beach conditions e experiments. This vo stal engineering expe- encators. experiments. This vo last a engineering expe- encators. errents. 4. 1 e. Uave reflection. rles B., joint author. earch Center. Miscelli no. 77-7</pre>
ert P. effects in beach studie: bed experiments / by & ort Belvoir, Va. U.S. (fit Selvin, Va. U.S. (fit Selvin, Va. Va. er; 77-7) p. 47. volume of an eight-volu tres used and the vave a outime of an eight-volu res used and the vave a contable vave g of the vave g	<pre>ert P. effects in beach studic: bed experiments / by Ru ort Belvoir, Va. : U.S. (fitscellaneous report r; 77-7) (fitscellaneous report r; 77-7) re used and the wave in re used and the wave in res used and the wave in res used and the wave in result of an eight-volum result of an eight-</pre>
rd, Robé ratory e movable . 1977. . 1977. . 111. . 111.	ord, Robe Dratory e movable- movable- fot 1977 . : ill. . : ill. . : ill. . : ill. . : ill. . : ill. . : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :

15

- Care

A Constant