

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH Contract N00014-76-C-0816 Task No. NR 053-617 TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 3

Magnetic and Structural Characterization of Dibromo- and Dichlorobis(thiazole)copper(II)

by

William E. Estes, Diane P. Gavel, William E. Hatfield and Derek J. Hodgson

SEP 6 1917

University of North Carolina Department of Chemistry Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514

August 1, 1977

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited.

ND NO. FILE COPY.

SECUPITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE BEFORE COMPLETING FORM 2. SOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER I. FEPORT NUMBER Technical Report No. 3 TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED TLE fand Subtiti Magnetic and Structural Characterization of Interim No nt Dibromo- and Dichlorobis(thiazole)copper(II)-AING OF G. REPORT NUMBER 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) AUTHORAS 13 William E. Estes, Diane P. Gavel, William E. NØ0Ø14-76-C-Ø816 Hatfield and Derek J. Hodgson 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS The University of North Carolina Department of Chemistry Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514 NR 053-617 12. REPORT DATE 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research August 1, 1977 1 Aug Department of the Navy Arlington, Virginia 22217 42 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(il different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified 154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 15. D STRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) coproved for Public Release, Distribution Unlimited 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (St die photract entored in Block 20, it different from Report) 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for publication in Inorganic Chemistry 13. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary und Identify by block number) Dibromobis(thiazole)copper(II) crystal structure Heisenberg linear chain Dichlorobis(thiazole)copper(II) Magnetic susceptibility Electron paramagnetic resonance 23 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility and the electron paramagnetic resonance spectra of the thiazole complexes dichloro- and dibromobis-(thiazole)copper(II) are reported, along with a complete three-dimensional X-ray structure analysis of the dichloro complex based on counter data. The dichloro complex, Cu(C₃H₃NS)₂Cl₂, crystallizes in space group $\frac{P2}{1/c}$ of the mono-clinic system with two independent formula units in a cell of dimensions <u>a</u> = 7.332(6), <u>b</u> = 3.853(4), <u>c</u> = 17.493(17) Å, and <u>B</u> = 93.70(1)⁶. The structure has DD 1 JAN 73 1473 FUITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE DAGSTROAD BETH 400 34 2 - CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Sten Dein Enternet)

20. (continued)

been refined by full-matrix least-squares methods using 1172 independent data to a final value of the conventional R-factor (on F) of 0.028. The structure, which consists of infinite chains of doubly chloride bridged copper(II) ions, is reminiscent of those of the pyridine analogs, with a Cu-Cu separation of 3.853(4) Å and bridging angle of 91.89(2)^O. The magnetic data and interchain exchange interactions are discussed in the light of the structural properties of the systems.

ANGSTROM

DC Buff Section D MANDOMACTO D S 1 10A 1011 S 1 10A 1011 MANDOMACTO OKSTRIBUTION/AND ADDITION	SIL	White Section
SINCON SINCON SINCON SINCON OKINECTION/AND ADDITIV COPES	00	Buff Section 🔲
S I ICA ICA N DISIRIECTION/AND ADUITY CODES	-11-1513(1A)	010
DISTRIBUTION/AND ADVITY CODES		
OKSTRIBUTION/ VALUATION TY CODES		
DISTRIBUTION/AVAP ABY ITY CODES		
CIAL CIAL	p.v.	
., UI.14	ev Treaten	ION/AVAILADI ITY CODES
	er Cistrigut	ION/AVAD ADMITY CODES 5 CHAT
A.	en Distribut	ION/AND ADD ITY CODES 5 GIAL
~	DISTRIBUT	1001/1446 428 177 03783 5 044

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514

Magnetic and Structural Characterization of Dibromo- and Dichlorobis(thiazole)copper(II)

William E. Estes, Diane P. Gavel, William E. Hatfield*, and

Derek J. Hodgson*

ABSTRACT

The temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility and the electron paramagnetic resonance spectra of the thiazole complexes dichloroand dibromobis(thiazole)copper(II) are reported, along with a complete three-dimensional X-ray structure analysis of the dichloro complex based on counter data. The dichloro complex, $Cu(C_3H_3NS)_2Cl_2$, crystallizes in space group $P2_1/c$ of the monoclinic system with two independent formula units in a cell of dimensions <u>a</u> = 7.332(6), <u>b</u> = 3.853(4), <u>c</u> = 17.493(17) Å, and β = 93.70(1)°. The structure has been refined by full-matrix least-squares methods using 1172 independent data to a final value of the conventional R-factor (on F) of 0.028. The structure, which consists of infinite chains of doubly chloride bridged copper(II) ions, is reminiscent of those of the pyridine analogs, with a Cu-Cu separation of 3.853(4) Å and bridging angle of 91.89(2)°. The magnetic data and interchain exchange interactions are discussed in the light of the structural properties of the systems.

INTRODUCTION

Current interest in one-dimensional exchange-coupled magnetic systems has recently stimulated a considerable number of theoretical and experimental studies as well as several comprehensive reviews.¹⁻⁵ Most of the theoretical work has centered on completely <u>isolated</u> onedimensional models, while the experimental work has reflected the longer range interactions which always occur in real crystals.

From the theoretical standpoint, most of the investigations have centered on the use of the various forms of the spin-spin Hamiltonian (1)

$$H = -2J \sum_{\substack{i < j}} \sum \left[a \hat{s}_{z}^{i} \cdot \hat{s}_{z}^{j} + b (\hat{s}_{x}^{i} \cdot \hat{s}_{x}^{j} + \hat{s}_{y}^{i} \cdot \hat{s}_{y}^{j}) \right]$$
(1)

where J is the exchange integral, S_x , S_y , and S_z are the components of the total spin (S), the ratio a/b is an anisotropy parameter, and i and j label adjacent ions. For the case a = 1 and b = 0, the Ising model is obtained; and, in the special case for S = 1/2, an "exact" closed-form solution has been obtained for the magnetic and thermodynamic properties of a one-dimensional chain.^{4,5,6} If the spins are restricted to lie in x-y plane, i.e. a = 0 and b = 1, then the X-Y or planar Heisenberg model is obtained; and, Katsura⁷ has obtained exact solutions for the S = 1/2 linear chain. Furthermore, when a = b = 1, the exchange interaction is isotropic and the Heisenberg model is obtained.^{8,9,10}

Unfortunately, in the Heisenberg or isotropic limit, no closed form solutions for magnetic or thermodynamic properties have yet been found; this being the case, almost all of <u>the theoretical analyses have used some</u> <u>approximations to estimate</u> the properties of linear chains.⁹ Bonner and Fisher⁸ studied the S = 1/2 antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain and performed computer calculations on finite rings and chains of isotropically interacting spins; they were able to estimate rather accurately the limiting behavior of the infinite linear system. Katsura and coworkers¹¹ have applied "linkedcluster" expansions via perturbation theory to estimate the various thermodynamic functions of interest. Finally, Baker, Rushebrooke and coworkers^{9,10} have employed a high temperature series expansion method to study the magnetic and thermal properties of linear Heisenberg systems. Generally, the results of Bonner and Fisher have been most widely accepted.¹

Numerous experimental studies of linear chain crystals have appeared in the literature.^{1-3,5} The results of these experiments have been analyzed in terms of the available one-dimensional (1-D) models. Transitions to the long-range, three-dimensional ordered state have also been observed for several of these systems. Since it is known from the theorems of Mermin and Wagner¹² that pure one- and two-dimensional isotropic systems cannot sustain long range order at finite temperatures, considerable interest has been focused on linear Heisenberg complexes with small but finite <u>inter-chain</u> exchange.^{13,14}

Perhaps the most famous example of a nearly one-dimensional chain is provided by tetramethylammonium trichloromanganate(II)(TMMC), whose

intra-chain exchange is about 9 cm⁻¹ with longer range inter-chain interactions being about four orders of magnitude smaller.¹⁶ For S = 1/2complexes, copper tetraamine sulfate monohydrate (CTS) has been the most thoroughly studied,¹⁷⁻²¹ but some recently reported electron paramagnetic resonance results have been interpreted in terms of a quadratic rather than linear model.²² Finally, one of the best known examples of a S = 1/2Heisenberg chain is dichlorobis(pyridine)copper(II), in which the <u>intrachain</u> exchange is about 9 cm⁻¹ is much larger than the observed <u>interchain</u> coupling.^{13-15,23,24}

A relatively large number of transition metal complexes of the general stoichiometry $M(II)L_2X_2$ (where M is a divalent first row metal ion, L is a heterocyclic nitrogen containing organic base, and X = Cl or Br) has been shown to contain,^{24,27} or behave as if they contained, linear magnetic chains of doubly halogen-bridged metal ions.^{13-15,28,29a,23,33,34} Typically, structural studies have shown that the M L_2X_2 systems contain doubly halogen bridged metal ions intrachain metal-metal distances of about 4 Å and M-X-M angles of about 90° (See Table 1); these chains are usually packed in such a way that the <u>interchain</u> metal-metal distances are much longer (i.e. greater than &) than <u>intrachain</u> distances, and pseudo-one-dimensional chains are formed. Although <u>interchain</u> distances have been observed; furthermore, it is believed that these weak <u>interchain</u> superexchange pathways involve M - X...N - M interactions. A thorough discussion of the properties of Cu(py)₂Cl₂ is available.^{13,14}

With these facts in mind, the bis(thiazole) dihalogen metal(II) complexes reported by Underhill, et al.³⁰ became of considerable interest.

The structure of thiazole (C_3H_3NS) is depicted below. Since the elec-

ΪO

4

tronic and infared spectra of the thiazole complexes were similar to those of the known pyridine analogues, it was proposed that the compounds $Cu(thiazole)_2 X_2$ were polymeric with double halide bridges. Also, it might be expected that the sulfur atom of the heterocycle could provide an efficient <u>interchain</u> exchange pathway. In order to confirm the original postulates of Underhill, <u>et al.</u>, and to examine

possibility of enhanced interchain exchange, we undertook low cerature susceptibility and electron paramagnetic resonance studies of the complexes, and a complete three-dimensional crystallographic analysis of the chloro complex. The results of these studies are reported here.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Thiazole (C₃H₃NS, Tz), obtained from Columbia Organic Chemicals, Columbia, S.C., was of *puriss* grade and was used without further purification. Reagent grade copper(II) chloride dihydrate and copper(II) bromide were obtained from J.T. Baker Chemical Co. and used directly.

Both complexes were prepared by a slight modification of the method described by Underhill et al.30

Dichlorobis(thiazole)copper(II), Cu(tz)₂Cl₂

To a warm solution of thiazole (0.51 g, 6mmol) in ~1 ml absolute ethanol was added 0.5g, (3mmol) of CuCl₂·2H₂O in ~8 ml absolute ethanol. An immediate turquoise blue precipitate resulted; the mixture was stirred for ten minutes, collected, washed with ether and benzene, and allowed to dry under suction. The product was recrystallized from dimethylformamide (DMF) by addition of an equal volume of methylene chloride followed by cooling in the freezer compartment of a refrigerator. Anal: Calculated for $Cu(C_6H_6N_2S_2)Cl_2$: C = 23.65, H = 1.98, Cl = 23.27. Found: C = 23.56, H = 2.08, Cl = 23.51.

Dibromobis(thiazole)copper(II), Cu(tz)2Br2

 $Cu(tz)_{2}Br_{2}$ (hitherto not reported) was prepared as above. The dark green powder ($\tilde{v}_{max} = 14285 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) was recrystallized as above to yield darker green hair-like needles. Anal. Calculated for $Cu(C_{6}H_{6}N_{2}S_{2})Br_{2}$: C = 18.31, H = 1.55, and Br = 40.6. Found: C = 18.31, H = 1.62 and Br = 40.42.

Magnetic susceptibilities were obtained on a Princeton Applied Research model 155 vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) operating at a field strength of 10kG. Temperatures at the sample were measured with a calibrated GaAs diode by observing the voltage on a Dana model 4700 4.5 place digital voltmeter; further details of the apparatus and temperature measurement have been given elsewhere. ³¹ Finely-ground polycrystalline samples which were enclosed in Lucite sample holders typically weighed approximately 150 mg. The data were corrected for the diamagnetism of the Lucite holder and for the underlying diamagnetism of the constituent atoms using Pascal's constants. ³² A value of 60 x 10^{-6} c.g.s. units was assumed for the temperature independent paramagnetism (TIP).

Electron paramagnetic resonance (epr) spectra were obtained at room temperature on a Varian E-3 spectrometer operating at approximately 9.5 GHz (X-Band). All spectra were recorded from very finely ground powders

enclosed in commercially available quartz sample tubes. Several spectra were recorded at 77°K through the use of an insertion Dewar made by Varian. The magnetic field of the E-3 was calibrated by nmr techniques using a Magnion G-502 gaussmeter and a Hewlett-Packard 5340A frequency counter. The klystron frequency was observed directly with the frequency counter.

Determination of the Crystal Structure

Weissenberg and precession photographs indicated that the crystals belonged to the monoclinic system, the observed systematic absences of 0k0 for k odd and h01 for 1 odd being consistent only with the space group $P2_1/c$. The cell constants, determined by least-squares methods, are <u>a</u> = 7.332 (6), <u>b</u> = 3.853 (4), <u>c</u> = 17.493 (27) Å and β = 93.7 (1)°; these observations were made at 21°C with MoKa radiation with λ (MoKa) assumed as 0.7093 Å. A density of 2.03 gcm⁻³ obtained by flotation in carbon tetrachloride/bromoform mixtures is in acceptable agreement with the value of 2.05 gcm⁻³ calculated for two formula units per cell. Hence, in the centrosymmetric space group $P2_1/c$, the copper atom is constrained to lie on the inversion center.

Diffraction data were collected on a platelike crystal having faces (102), $(\overline{102})$, (001), $(00\overline{1})$, (010), and $(0\overline{10})$. The separations between opposite pairs of faces were as follows: (102) to $(\overline{102})$, 0.0056 cm; (001) to $(00\overline{1})$, 0.014 cm; and (010) to (010), 0.061 cm. The crystal was mounted on a glass fiber parallel to the <u>b</u>-axis, and data were collected on a Picker four-circle automatic diffractometer using MoKa radiation. The take off angle was 1.5° ; at this angle the peak intensity of a typical strong reflection was approximately 95% of its maximum value. A total of

1761 reflections was examined by the θ -2 θ scan technique at a scan rate of 1°/min. Allowance was made for the presence of both K α_1 and K α_2 radiations, the peaks being scanned from -1.0° in 2 θ below the calculated K α_1 peak position to +1.0° in 2 θ above the calculated K α_2 peak position. Stationary-counter, stationary-crystal background counts of 20 sec were taken at each end of the scan.

A unique data set having 20<60° was gathered. There were few reflections above background at values of 20>60°. Throughout the data collection, the intensities of three standard reflections, measured every 100 reflections, remained essentially constant.

Data processing was carried out as described by Corfield, et al.³⁶ After correction for background the intensities were assigned standard deviations according to the formula³⁶

$$\sigma(I) = [C+0.25(t_{s}/t_{b})^{2}(B_{H}+B_{L})+(pI)^{2}]^{1/2}$$

with the value of p chosen to be 0.04. The values of I and $\sigma(I)$ were corrected for Lorentz-polarization effects and for absorption factors. The absorption coefficient for the sample with Mo radiation is 31.25 cm⁻¹, and the transmission coefficients for the data crystal range from 0.56 to 0.87.³⁷ A total of 1761 reflections was collected, of which 1172 were independent data with I>30(I); only these data were used in the final refinement of the structure.

Solution and Refinement of the Structure

All least-squares refinement were carried out on F minimizing the function $\Sigma W(|F_o|-|F_c|)^2$, with the weights w defined as $4F_o/\sigma^2(F_o^2)$.

In all calculations of F_c the atomic scattering factors for all non-hydrogen atoms were taken from International Tables^{38a} and those for hydrogen taken from Stewart, Davidson, and Simpson.³⁹ The effects of the anomalous dispersion of Cu, S and Cl were included in F_c , the values of $\Delta f'$ and $\Delta f''$ being taken from International Tables.^{38b} The unweighted and weighted residuals are defined as $R_1 = \Sigma |(|F_o| - |F_c|)|/|F_o|$ and $R_2 = [\Sigma w (|F_o| - |F_c|)^2 / \Sigma w (F_o)^2]^{1/2}$.

The location of the chlorine atom was determined from a threedimensional Patterson function, the copper atom being assigned to the origin. Isotropic refinement of these positions gave $R_1 = 0.476$, $R_2 = 0.540$. The positions of the remaining non-hydrogen atoms were determined from subsequent difference Fourier maps, and a least-squares refinement in which copper, chlorine and sulfur were refined anisotropically while the nitrogen and carbon atoms were refined isotropically yielded values of R_1 and R_2 of 0.045 and 0.063, respectively. Anisotropic refinement of all of these atoms gave $R_1 = 0.034$ and $R_2 = 0.051$.

The three hydrogen atoms were located in a difference Fourier map; a least-squares refinement in which the non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and the hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically converged to values of R_1 and R_2 of 0.028 and 0.036, respectively, with the error in an observation of unit weight being 1.41.

Examination of the values of $|F_c|$ and $|F_o|$ suggested to us that no correction for secondary extinction is necessary, and none was applied. In the final cycle of least-squares refinement, there were 1172 observations and 73 variables, a reflection to variable ratio of

16:1. In this final cycle, no parameter underwent a shift of more than 0.136, which is taken as evidence of convergence. The value of R_2 shows no unusual dependence on $|F_0|$ or on sin θ , which suggests that our weighting scheme is adequate. A final difference Fourier showed two peak of height 0.67 eA⁻³ near the copper and chlorine atoms. Which are presumably due to some small error in either our absorption correction or the anisotropic thermal model. No other peak higher than 0.58 eA⁻³ was observed. The positional and thermal parameters derived from this final cycle, along with their standard deviations as estimated from the inverse matrix, are presented in Tables II and III. A compilation of deserved and calculated structure amplitudes is available.⁴⁰

Description of the Structure

The complex consists of square planar $Cu(tz)_2Cl_2$ units which are linked by chloride bridges to form linear chains. The structure of each chain is, therefore, quite similar to that of $Cu(py)_2Cl_2$.²⁴ A view of the square planar array around a single copper ion is shown in figure 1, and a view of the chain is given in figure 2. The overall coordination around copper is, as can be seen in this figure, the commonly-occurring (4+2) tetragonally elongated octahedral. The base plane is formed by the nitrogen atoms of two <u>trans</u>-thiazole groups and by two chloride ions, the axial ligands being chloride ions which are in the base planes of the copper centers above and below. The base plane is strictly planar, there being a crystallographic inversion center at copper. The Cu-N and Cu-Cl (in-plane) distances of 1.990 (2) and 2.322 (1) Å, respectively, are normal and comparable to

values²⁴ of 2.004 (2) and 2.299 (2) Å in $Cu(py)_2Cl_2$. The out-ofplane Cu-Cl' distance of 2.998 (1) Å is slightly shorter than the value of 3.026 (2) Å in $Cu(py)_2Cl_2$, but is comfortably within the range of 2.698 to 3.37 Å reported for such distances in tetragonal pyramidal dichloro-bridged copper(II) dimers.⁴¹ The Cu-Cl-Cu' bridging angle of 91.89 (2)° is again comparable to the value of 91.52 (5)° in $Cu(py)_2Cl_2$.

The bond lengths and angles in the molecule are listed in Table IV. The bond lengths in the thiazole moeity appear to be typical of those found in other conjugated ring systems. The thiazole ring is approximately planar, with no atom deviating from the best least-squares plane by more than 0.006 Å. The ring plane is inclined at an angle of 60° from the base plane of the copper octahedron.

It is noteworthy that the sulfur atom does not participate in coordination to the metal. The only possible interaction involving sulfur is an inter-chain S···S separation of 3.619 (1) Å; this value, which is slightly less than twice the reported 42 value (1.85 Å) of the van der Waals radius of sulfur, may indicate a weak inter-chain interaction in the crystals (vide infra).

While the geometry at any given copper atom in $Cu(tz)_2Cl_2$ is very similar to that in $Cu(py)_2Cl_2$, the arrangement of the chains in the crystal is quite different. In $Cu(py)_2Cl_2$ the "square planes" in each chain are parallel,²⁴ but this is not the case in the thiazole complex; in $Cu(tz)_2Cl_2$, the angle between the normals to the planes in adjacent chains is 77.89°. Consequently, to the extent that interchain interactions are significant (vide infra) there is an important structural distinction between the two complexes.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The compounds Cu(tz)2Cl2 and Cu(tz)Br2 exhibited very broad, weak symmetrical bands centered at 14,400 and 14,300 cm⁻¹, respectively; furthermore, the shape and width of these d-d bands were noted to be very similar to those observed for $Cu(py)_2Cl_2$ (14,600 cm⁻¹) and $Cu(py)_{2}Br_{2}$ (14,600 cm⁻¹)⁴³ except for a slight shift to lower energy; this shift seems reasonable since it is known that thiazole is a weaker base than pyridine. ³⁰ In addition, there were no transitions in the region 16 - 17,000 cm^{-1} as have been observed 43 for the $Cu(2-methylpyridine)_2X_2$ compounds, 44 which are known to contain copper ions in a distorted square-based pyramidal array. Several intense higher energy bands were also observed for both complexes, these being centered at about 32,250 (strong) and 41,700 cm⁻¹ for $Cu(tz)_2Cl_2$ and 23,250 (strong) 31,250 (strong), 32,000 (shoulder) and 41,700 cm^{-1} for Cu(tz)₂Br₂. These bands are either charge-transfer or intra-ligand transitions. 45 The observed electronic spectra are consistent with a distorted octahedral CuN_2X_4 chromophore as reported in extensive studies of the substituted pyridine copper halide complexes.⁴³

Electron paramagnetic resonance (epr) spectra were obtained on finely-ground polycrystalline samples of both complexes. A single broad (~300 G) featureless line centered at g≈2.11 was observed for $Cu(tz)_2Br_2$; no appreciable temperature dependence was noted for this absorption down to ~77°K (the low temperature limit of this investigation). Although virtually no quantitative information could be obtained from these spectra, it should be noted that qualitatively similar spectra have been observed in the bis(subst. pyridine) complexes with copper bromide.²⁸ However, the epr spectrum obtained for $Cu(tz)_2Cl_2$ (see Figure 3) is quite different from that normally seen for tetragonally distorted octahedral copper complexes. Indeed, an axial "reversed" spectrum was observed with $g_{||}$ (2.16) greater than $g_{||}$ (2.04) and $\langle g \rangle = 2.11$. Upon cooling the sample, the intensity of the highest field resonance increased slightly. The resonance fields remained constant within experimental error.

Since powder EPR spectra can only yield information about the values of the crystal g-tensors, 47 additional analyses based on the molecular structure must be invoked to understand the observed "reversed" spectrum. One possibility is that the single ion electronic ground state about the copper(II) ions is largely d_2^2 . 46,47 If only discrete molecular units are considered, several stereochemistries have been shown to give rise to a species with a d_2^2 ground state: 47 (i) trigonal bypyramidal, (ii) tetragonally compressed octahedral, (iii) cis-distorted octahedral, and (iv) rhombically distorted octahedral. However, the X-ray structural results show that these stereochemistries do not obtain for $CU(tz)Cl_2$. In this case, it is far more likely that the single ion ground state is largely $d_x^2 - v^2$ in character, and the observed resonance is determined by inequivalent sites within the unit cell. 48,55-57 Since the two sites within the unit cell are magnetically inequivalent in the ac-plane, the relative orientations of the tetragonally elongated octahedra will determine the observed crystal g-tensors. Even though these molecular sites do not possess tetragonal sitesymmetry, this approximation allows for a much simpler relationship of the site g-tensors to the observed powder g-tensors. These

expressions are given by (2)

 $g'_{||}^{2} = g_{|}^{2}$ $g'_{||}^{2} = g_{|}^{2} \cos^{2} \alpha + g_{||}^{2} \sin^{2} \alpha$ (2)

where g' || and g' | are the observed crystal g-tensors, $g_{||}$ and $g_{|}$ are the site g-tensors for the tetragonally elongated octahedra about the copper(II) ions, and 2α is the angle between the tetragonal axes of the sites. If one assumes that molecular g is roughly normal to the plane of the four short bonds, $1^{3,14}$ then the angle between the normals to these two sites is 77.89° for Cu(tz) 2Cl2. Using this value of 2α and Equation (2), the values of the molecular g-tensors are $g_{||} = 2.23$ and $g_{|} = 2.04$. These values are in excellent agreement with those of the structurally similar Cu(pyridine) 2C1, complex. ^{13,14} In another rather similar system, β -Cu(NH₃)₂Cl₂ doped into the NH4Cl host lattice, Tomlinson, et al. 50 observed a "reversed" powder spectrum with $g'_{||} = 2.006$ and $g'_{|} = 2.175$ and proposed that the geometry about copper was tetragonally compressed octahedral thus yielding a d_2^2 ground state. However, it is also of interest to note that this cubic cell requires the molecular tetragonal axes to be oriented randomly, but any pair of axes are exactly 90° apart. Thus, such a system will always show a "reversed" powder spectrum irrespective of whether the sites are tetragonally elongated or compressed. This unusual circumstance arises because the 90° misalignment of the molecular

parallel g-tensors yields a unique perpendicular resonance (occurring at higher field) and a lower-field, more intense resonance which is the average of the molecular parallel and perpendicular g-tensors.

The experimental magnetic susceptibilities versus absolute temperature for both complexes are plotted in Figures 4, 5, and 6. The data for the chloride complex are seen to exhibit a broad maximum at about 7°K, while the bromide analogue displays similar behavior at ca. 19°K. As can be seen from closer examination of the experimental data, the susceptibilities of both compounds appear to tend toward a non-zero value as the temperatures approach zero; this is just the behavior expected for an infinite linear chain since it is well known that, in small clusters containing even multiples of S = 1/2, the susceptibility tends to zero as the temperature tends to zero (see ref. 8). Also, inspection of the observed data [especially those of Cu(tz)_Br_] reveals a small Curie-like tail at the very lowest temperatures; phenomena similar to these have often been observed in similar systems 28,51 and have been attributed to the presence of small amounts of monomeric impurities (usually less than 1%) that become trapped in the material during synthetic procedures. Since these compounds are quite insoluble in all but strongly coordinating solvents (DMF), it was not possible to completely remove these impurities.

In the light of the observed crystal structure of $Cu(tz)_2Cl_2$ and the similarity of the observed electronic spectra of $Cu(tz)_2X_2$ with those of $Cu(py)_2X_2$, it is apparent that the magnetic data of the two systems should be comparable. As was noted in the Introduction, in the Ising limit (i.e., b = 0 in Equation 1), Fisher⁶ has obtained closed-form expressions for the susceptibility of a S = 1/2 linear

chain polymer. Although these equations are readily manipulated during fitting procedures, it has been generally accepted⁵² that the totally anisotropic model is not appropriate for copper(II) ions. Furthermore, attempts to fit the data for the $Cu(tz)_2X_2$ complexes with this model resulted in unacceptable parameters and rather poor agreement between the observed and calculated susceptibilities.

DeJong and Miedema¹ have suggested that the isotropic Heisenberg model (Equation 1, a=b=1) is probably more appropriate for systems with small g-tensor anisotropy. Although there are no closed-form solutions known for the linear Heisenberg model, there are two approximations which can be used to estimate the exchange interaction from susceptibility data. Bonner and Fisher⁸ have shown that the position of the maximum in the antiferromagnetic susceptibility can be estimated by the relationships $kT_{max}/|J| \approx 1.282$ and $|J|\chi_{max}/g^2\beta^2N \approx$ 0.0735. Furthermore, Hall, <u>et al.⁵³</u> have recently been able to fit the Bonner-Fisher curve to the following rational function of $|J|_{/kT}$:

$$\chi \approx \frac{Ng^2\beta^2}{kT} = \frac{(0.25 + 0.14995X + 0.30094 X^2)}{(1.0 + 1.9862 X + 0.68854 X^2 + 6.0626X^3)}$$
(3)

whe re

$$X = |J| / kT$$

The general form of Equation 2 has been verified by comparing the susceptibilities thus calculated with those obtained from the high-temperature series expansion (HTS) of Baker et al.^{10a}:

$$\frac{\chi kT}{4Ng^2\beta^2} \approx [(1.0 + 5.97979916K + 16.902653K^2 + 29.376885K^3 + 29.832959K^4 + 14.036918K)^5 \div (1.0 + 2.7989916K + 7.008678K^2 + 8.0538644K^3 + 4.5743114K^4)]^{2/3}$$
(4)

where

$$K = \frac{J}{2kT}$$

The above series has been normalized to the Curie Law for J = 0 (i.e. $\chi = \frac{Ng^2\beta^2S(S+1)}{3kT}$ since Baker, et al.^{10a} did not include the factor of four (i.e. S(S+1)/3) in the denominator. In the temperature range of kT/|J|>1.25, agreement between susceptibilities calculated by Equations 2 and 3 was excellent, with all disparities being less than 1%.

In view of the probable presence of impurities at temperatures low compared to T_{max} , it was reasoned that the fitting the data to the result of Baker, et al.¹⁰ would be the most acceptable initial attempt. This is reasonable because at χ_{max} and above the presence of the impurity can be neglected. In order to fit the data to Equation 3, |J| was estimated from the corresponding temperature using Bonner and Fisher's relations and the experimental data below kT/J = 1.25 were omitted (i.e., those below 7° and 18°K for the chloride and bromide, respectively). The best fits of the data to Equation 3 were $\langle g \rangle = 2.06$, J = 3.7 cm⁻¹ and $\langle g \rangle = 2.11$, J = -10.3 cm⁻¹ for the chloride and bromide

To gain more information about the low-temperature behavior of the data $(kT/J \le 1.25)$, we have compared it to the results of Bonner and Fisher (Equation 3). In making this comparison, the presence of

monomeric impurities was allowed for by assuming that $\chi_{obs} = \chi_{chain} + \chi_{impurity}$. Furthermore, by assuming that the impurity obeys the Curie Law, the following expression for the total susceptibility results:⁵⁴

$$\chi_{obs} = \left\{ \frac{P[Ng^2\beta^2S(S+1)]}{3kT} + (100-P)\chi_{chain} \right\} / 100$$
 (5)

where S = 1/2, P = % impurity and g = observed average g-value from the epr spectra. For the fit using the approximation of the Bonner-Fisher curve, J and P were allowed to vary in the fitting routine. The best fits obtained in this manner were $J = -10.3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, P = 0.65%, and $J = -3.97 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, P = 1.46% for the bromide and chloride complexes, respectively (see Figures 4 and 5). In order to check the validity of the impurity correction, the next fits were performed by allowing g, J, and P to vary simultaneously; this resulted in J = -10.4 cm⁻¹, g = 2.12 and P = 0.66%for $Cu(tz)_2Br_2$, and $J = -3.81cm^{-1}$, and g = 2.06 and P = 1.35% for Cu(tz)₂Cl₂. These fits are shown in Figures 4 and 5. Although both of the linear models appear to provide an excellent description of the susceptibility data of $Cu(tz)_{2}Br_{2}$, the validity of this approach for $Cu(tz)_2Cl_2$ may be in question since there are large differences in the exchange constants between $Cu(tz)_2X_2$ and $Cu(py)_2X_2$ while the structural details for the two compounds are very similar. Since the magnitude of the maximum in the antiferromagnetic susceptibility is determined by the g-value for a given J, a discrepancy in this portion of the fit may imply that the model chosen is inappropriate. Thus, as the dimensionality of the magnetic interaction increases the position of the maximum in reduced coordinates becomes lower and broader.¹

The similarity of the structures of $Cu(tz)_2Cl_2$ and $Cu(py)_2Cl_2$ and the relatively large differences in the exchange constants for the one-dimensional analyses suggest that the magnetic interactions in the thiazole complexes are of higher dimensionality. Hence, the magnetic susceptibility data were compared to the Bonner-Fisher theory assuming a first order molecular field correction to accout for interchain interactions⁵⁹ (Equation 6)

$$\chi = \frac{\chi_{B-F}}{1 - 2z^{J'}\chi_{B-F}} \qquad (6)$$

where χ_{B-F} is the susceptibility of an isolated Heisenberg chain, J' is the interchain exchange, and z is the number of nearest neighbors. In the calculations the g-value was fixed to that observed by EPR and J and zJ' were allowed to vary freely. This approach led to best fit values of J = -3.31 cm⁻¹, J'(Z=4) = 1.55 cm⁻¹ and <g> = 2.11 for Cu(tz)₂Cl₂ while the data for the bromo compound yielded J = -10.48 cm⁻¹, zJ' = +1.14 cm⁻¹, and <g> = 2.11. Although this modified one-dimensional model makes a crude approximation to the interchain interactions present, it fails to describe the susceptibility of Cu(tz)₂Cl₂ near the maximum since deviations of about 5% between the observed and calculated susceptibilities occur. Furthermore, the sum of the squares of the deviations of a point

from the claculated curve, SD = $\Sigma \left[\frac{x_o^{-\chi} calc}{\chi_o} \right]^2$, is 1.81×10^{-2} . The fit of

the data for the bromo compound is hardly affected by the interchain term. The failure of the molecular field approach for $Cu(tz)_2Cl_2$ was not totally unexpected since molecular field theory is a very poor model for low-dimensional systems.¹

To investigate the possibility that the magnetic interactions in $Cu(tz)_2Cl_2$ were of higher dimensionality, the data were fitted to the high-temperature series expansion for the two dimensional Heisenberg antiferromagnetic layer, 10b,58

$$\chi_{2D} = \frac{Ng^2\beta^2}{4k} \left[1 - 2(J/kT) + 2(\frac{J}{kT})^2 + \cdots \right]$$
(7)

Although an argument based soley on the metal-metal distances and strongly bonded ligands would imply that a 2-D planar model with four nearest neighbors should not be appropriate for this compound, inspection of the unit cell reveals that a superexchange pathway via the short sulfur-sulfur contacts does exist in the ac-plane. Furthermore, an excellent fit over the range $\frac{kT}{|J|} \ge 1.6$ can be obtained using equation (7). This leads to a best fit value of J = -2.43 cm⁻¹ (see Figure 6) when only J is varied and the experimentally determined g-value from EPR, g = 2.11, is used. The criterion of the fit, $\left[\frac{\chi_0^{-\chi} calc}{\chi_0}\right]^2 = 2.28 \times 10^{-4}$, is three orders of magnitude better than that found for the molecular-field model fit. Attempts to fit the data for Cu(tz)₂Br₂ to the 2-D series were unsuccessful and led to deviations as high as 8% from the calculated curve near the maximum.

The magnetic and structural properties of the $Cu(tz)_2 X_2$ systems are compared in Table I with those previously observed for their substituted pyridine analogues. The magnitude of the exchange, |J|, for both of the thiazole compounds is considerably smaller than those reported

for the $Cu(py)_2X_2$ compounds if one takes the values determined from the linear chain models. This is surprising in view of the similarity between the structure of $Cu(tz)_2Cl_2$ and $Cu(py)_2Cl_2$; in the former, the Cu-Cl-Cu' bridge angle and Cu-Cl out-of-plane distance are 91.89 (2)° and 2.998 (1) Å, respectively, while the analogous values in $Cu(py)_2Cl_2$ are 91.52 (5)° and 3.026 (2) Å. The observation may be the result of a much larger <u>interchain</u> exchange for the thiazole complexes since the 2-D model can be used to approximate the data of $Cu(tz)_2Cl_2$. Presumably, the additional superexchange pathway via sulfur-sulfur contacts between chains plays a very important role in this dimensionality increase.

<u>Acknowledgment</u>: This research was supported by the Office of Naval Research and by the Materials Research Center of the University of North Carolina through grant number GH-33632 from the National Science Foundation.

REFERENCES

- 1. L.J. de Jongh and A.R. Miedema, Adv. Phys., 23, 1 (1974).
- C. Domb and A.R. Miedema, "Progress in Low Temperature Physics", C.J. Gorter (ed.), Vol. IV, Chapter 4, John Wiley and Sons, N.Y. (1966).
- J. Ackerman, G. Cole, and S.L. Holt, Inorg. Chim. Acta., <u>8</u>, 323 (1972).
- 4. E. Lieb and D.C. Mattis, "Mathematical Physics in One Dimension", Academic Press, N.Y. (1966), Chapter 6.
- 5. D. Hone and P.M. Richards, Ann. Rev. Mater. Sci., <u>4</u>, 337 (1974).
- 6. M.E. Fisher, J. Math. Phys., 4, 124 (1963) and references therein.
- S. Katsura, Phys. Rev., <u>127</u>, 1508 (1962); S. Katsura, <u>ibid</u>, <u>129</u>, 2835 (1963).
- 8. J.C. Bonner and M.E. Fisher, Phys. Rev., <u>135</u>, A640 (1964) and references therein.
- G.A. Baker, G.S. Rushbrooke, and P.W. Wood, Phase Trans. and Critical Phenom., <u>3</u>, 246 (1974).
- (a) G.A. Baker, G.S. Rushbrooke, and H. Gilbert, Phys. Rev., <u>135</u>, A1272 (1964).
 - (b) G.S. Rushbrooke and P.W. Wood, Mol. Phys., 1, 257 (1958).
 - (c) G.S. Rushbrooke and P.W. Wood, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London), <u>A68</u>, 1161 (1955).
- S. Inawashiro and S. Katsura, Phys. Rev., <u>140</u>, A892 (1965), and references therein.
- 12. N.D. Mermin and H. Wagner, Phys. Rev. Lett., 17, 1133 (1967).
- W. Duffy, J. Venneman, D. Strandberg, and P.M. Richards, Phys. Rev., (B), <u>9</u>, 2220 (1974).
- R. Hughes, B. Morosin, P.M. Richards, and W. Duffy, Phys. Rev. (B), <u>11</u>, 1795 (1975) and references therein.

- D.Y. Jeter and W.E. Hatfield, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., <u>34</u>, 3055 (1972).
- (a) L.R. Walker, R. Dietz, K. Andres, and S. Darack, Solid St. Commun., <u>11</u>, 593 (1972).

(b) C. Bupas and J-P. Renard, Phys. Lett., <u>43A</u>, 119 (1973).

17. J.J. Fritz and H.L. Pinch, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 79, 3644 (1959).

18. T. Haseda and A.R. Miedema, Physica, 27, 1102 (1961).

- 19. T. Watanabe and T. Haseda, J. Chem. Phys., 29, 1429 (1958).
- 20. F. Mazzi, Acta Cryst., 8, 137 (1955).
- 21. R.B. Griffiths, Phys. Rev., 135A, 659 (1964).
- M. Date, M. Motokawa, H. Hori, S. Kuroda, and K. Matsui, J. Phys. Sco. Japan, <u>39</u>, 257 (1975).
- K. Takeda, S. Matsukawa, and T. Hageda, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, <u>30</u>, 1330 (1971).
- 24. B. Morosin, Acta Cryst., <u>B31</u>, 632 (1975).
- S. Gorter, A. van Ingen Schenan, and G. Vershoor, Acta Cryst., <u>B30</u>, 1867 (1974).
- 26. P.M. Richards, R. Quinn and B. Morosin, J. Chem. Phys., <u>59</u>, 4474 (1973).
- 27. (a) M. Laing and E. Horsfield, Chem. Comm., 735 (1968).
 - (b) M. Laing and E. Horsfield, ibid, 902 (1969).
 - (c) M. Laing and G. Garr, J. Chem. Soc., (A), 1141 (1971).
- 28. V.H. Crawford and W.E. Hatfield, Inorg. Chem., 16, 1336 (1977).
- 29. (a) H.T. Witteven, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. Leiden (1973).
 - (b) H.T. Witteven, and J. Reedijk, Solid St. Commun., 10, 151 (1974).
- W. Eilbeck, T. Holmes and A.E. Underhill, J. Chem. Soc., (A), 757 (1967).
- 31. D.B. Losee and W.E. Hatfield, Phys. Rev. (B), <u>10</u>, 212 (1974).

- 32. P.W. Selwood, "Magneto Chemistry", (Interscience, New York, 1956).
- 33. (a) F. Klaaijsen, H. Blote and Z. Dokoupil, Solid St. Commun., <u>14</u>, 607 (1974).
 - (b) S. Foner, R. Frankel, W. Reiff, B. Little, and G. Long, <u>ibid</u>, 16, (1975).
 - (c) H.T. Witteven, W. Ristten, and J. Reedijk, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., <u>37</u>, 113 (1975).
- H.T. Witteven, B. Nieiuvenhuijse, and J. Reedijk, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., <u>36</u>, 1535 (1975).
- 35. J.E. Wertz and J.R. Bolton, "Electron Spin Resonance: Elementary Theory and Practical Applications", McGraw-Hill, New York (1972), p. 465.
- P.W.R. Corfield, R.J. Doedens and J.A. Ibers, Inorg. Chem., <u>6</u>, 197 (1967).
- 37. For a description of the programs used in this analysis, see D.L. Lewis and D.J. Hodgson, Inorg. Chem., <u>13</u>, 143 (1974).
- 38. a. "International Tables for X-ray Crystallography", Vol. IV, The Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England, Table 2.2A;

b. Table 2.1 C.

- R.F. Stewart, E.R. Davidson, and W.T. Simpson, J. Chem. Phys., <u>42</u>, 3175 (1965).
- 40. Supplementary material.
- D.W. Phelps, W.H. Goodman, and D.J. Hodgson, Inorg. Chem., <u>15</u>, 2266 (1976) and references therein.
- L. Pauling, "The Nature of the Chemical Bond", 3rd ed., Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N.Y., 1960.
- 43. W. Ludwig and F. Gasser, Helv. Chim. Acta, 52, 107 (1969).
- 44. P. Singh, D.Y. Jeter, W.E. Hatfield, and D.J. Hodgson, Inorg. Chem. <u>11</u>, 1657 (1972).
- 45. B. Ellis and P. Griffiths, Spectrochim. Acta, 21, 1881 (1965).

- K.T. McGregor and W.E. Hatfield, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2448 (1974).
- 47. B.J. Hathaway and D.E. Billing, Coord. Chem. Rev., 5, 143 (1970).
- 48. K.T. McGregor and Z.G. Soos, Inorg. Chem., 15, 2159 (1976).
- 49. R. Hegele and D. Babel, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 409, 11 (1974).
- 50. A. Tomlinson and B.J. Hathaway, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 2578 (1968) and references therein.
- 51. R.P. Eckberg and W.E. Hatfield, Inorg. Chem., <u>14</u>, 1205 (1975).
- 52. R.W. Jotham, Chem. Comm., 178 (1973).
- 53. J.W. Hall, W.E. Estes, and W.E. Hatfield, to be published.
- 54. A.P. Ginsberg., Inorg. Chim. Acta Rev., 5, 45 (1971).
- 55. J.E. Wertz and J.R. Bolton, "Electron Spin Resonance, Elementary Theory and Practical Applications", Chapter 7, p. 131ff, McGraw Hill, New York (1972).
- 56. J.A. Ibers and J.D. Swalen, Phys. Rev., 127, 1914 (1962).
- 57. J.H.P. Colpa, Physica, 57, 347 (1972).
- C.A. Baker, H.E. Gilbert, J. Eve, and G.S. Rushbrooke, Phys. Lett., <u>25A</u>, 3 (1967).
- J.N. McElerney, S. Merchant, and R.L. Carlin, Inorg. Chem., <u>12</u>, 906 (1973).

TABLE	I

COMPLEX	J(cm ⁻¹)	Cu-X-Cu(°)	Cu-Cu(Å)	COMMENTS AND REFERENCE
Cu(thiazole) ₂ Cl ₂	-3.69	92°	3.85	1-D Series
Cu(thiazole) ₂ C1 ₂	-3.8	92°	3.85	Bonner-Fisher
Cu(thiazole) ₂ Cl ₂	-2.43	92	3.85	2-D Series
Cu(4-Ethylpyridine) ₂ Cl ₂	-6.7	92°	4.00	28,27a
Cu(4-Vinylpyridine)2C12	-9.1	90°	4.04	28,27ъ
Cu(Pyridine) ₂ C1 ₂	-9.2	92°	3.87	15,23,13,24
Cu(3-Methylpyridine) ₂ Cl ₂	-8.9	-	-	28
Cu(3-ethylpyridine)2 ^{C1} 2	-11.9	-	-	28
Cu(thiazole) ₂ Br ₂	-10.03	-	-	1-D Series
Cu(thiazole) ₂ Br ₂	-10.4	-		Bonner-Fisher
Cu(4-Methylpyridine) ₂ Br ₂	-14.8	-	-	28
Cu(4-Ethylpyridine) ₂ Br ₂	-15.4	-	-	28
Cu(3-Methylpy)2Br2	-15.5	-	-	28
Cu(Py) ₂ Br ₂	-18.9	93		15,24
Cu(3-Etpy) ₂ Br ₂	-22.3	-	-	28

Table II. Positional Parameters for $[Cu(thz)_2Cl_2]$

	Х		Y	Z
C U	2.0		r.o	0.0
CL	0.2023(1)	C. 3789(2)	C. 050E(1)
5	-0.4279(1)	0.0578(2)	0.1697 (1)
N	-0.1567(3)	0.0037(5)	0.0888(1)
C 1	-0.3271(3)	C. C 908 (7)	0.0857(1)
C2	-0.2286 (3)	-0.0916(7)	C.2126 (1)
23	-0.0990 (3)	-0.1038(7)	0.1607 (1)
HC1	-0.383(3)	0.167 (9)	0.042(2)
HC2	-0.225 (4)	-0.149(9)	9.256 (2)
HC3	0.019(51	-0.174(10)	0.167 (2)

Table III. Thermal Parameters (U1) $({}^{\circ}{A}^{2})$ for $[Cu(thz)_{2}Cl_{2}]$

	U ₁₁ (orU) ^a	u ₂₂	U23	U12	U13	U23	
	0.3246 (2)	0.0337(2)	0.0205(2)	-0.0064 (2)	0.0076(1)	-0.0068(5
	0.0274 (2)	C.0279(3)	0.0264 (2)	-0.0022(2)	0.0021(2)	-0.0033(5)
e	0.0287 (3)	n. C369(3)	0.0366 (3)	0.0029(2)	0.0118(2)	0.0002(5)
4	0.0244 (8)	0.0284(10)	0.0212(8)	-0.0017(7)	C. 0056 (6)	-0.0035(5
	0.0276(15)	0.0306(12)	0.0232 (10)	0.0062(9)	0.0045 (8)	0.0007(6
22	0.0332(11)	C.0286(12)	0.0215(10)	-0.7012(9)	0.0034 (8)	0.0029(8
53	C. C267(11)	0.0302(12)	C. 0266 (10)	0.0015(9)	0.0021(8)	-0.0000 -0-	6
HC1	0.017 (8)						
HC2	0.01317)						
Hr3	0.023(8)						

^a The form of the anisotropic thermal ellipsoid is $exp[-2\pi^{2}(cl_{11}h^{2}a*^{2} + u_{22}k^{2}b*^{2} + u_{33}l^{2}c*^{2} + 2u_{12}hka*b* + 2u_{13}hla*c* + 2u_{23}klb*c*)].$

Table I	V
---------	---

Distances and Angles in $Cu(thiazole)_2Cl_2$

Atoms	Distances (A)
Cu-Cu	3.853(4)
Cu-C1	2.322(1)
Cu-C1	2.998(1)
Cu-N	1.990(2)
N-C1	1.301(3)
N-C3	1.365(3)
S-C1	1.694(2)
S-C2	1.700(2)
C2-C3	1.358(3)
C1-HC1	0.877(27)
C2-HC2	0.794(30)
СЗ-НСЗ	0.906(330
	Angles (deg)
C1'-Cu-N	90.45(6)
Cl-Cu-N	89,90(6)
Cu-Cl-Cu'	91.89(2)
Cu-N-C1	124.8(2)
Cu-N-C3	123.8(2)
C1-N-C3	111.3(2)
N-C1-S	113.1(2)
N-C3-C2	114.7(2)
C1-S-C2	90.3(1)
S-C2-C3	109.5(2)
N-C1-HC1	120.7(18)
SC1-HC1	125.1(17)
S-C2-HC2	119.3(22)
С3-С2-НС2	131.0(22)
N-C3-HC3	115.7(19)
С2-С3-НС3	129.6(19)

a translation of the molecule along the b-axis

Legends for Figures

- Figure 1. View of a single formula unit of $Cu(tz)_2Cl_2$. Hydrogen atoms are shown as open circles of arbitrary size. The view direction is parallel to the crystallographic <u>b</u>-axis.
- Figure 2. View of the chain structure in $Cu(tz)_2Cl_2$. The view direction is parallel to the crystallographic a*-axis.
- Figure 3. The room temperature epr spectrum (X-band) of polycrystalline $Cu(tz)_2Cl_2$. The resonance fields show no temperature dependence down to 77°K.
- Figure 4. The temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility of $Cu(tz)_2Cl_2$. The observed data are shown as boxes, while the solid line represents the best fit to the Bonner and Fisher expression (see text) with J = -3.81 cm⁻¹ and g = 2.06.
- Figure 5. The temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility of $Cu(tz)_2Br_2$. The solid line represents the best fit to the Bonner and Fisher expression (see text) with J = 10.4 cm⁻¹ and g = 2.12.
- Figure 6. The high temperature susceptibility, $\frac{kT}{|J|} \ge 1.6$, compared to the theoretical model of a two dimensional Heisenberg layer (see text) with J = -2.43, g = 2.11.













Supplementary material available: Listings of structure factor amplitudes (7 pages). Ordering information is given on the current masthead page.

H	K	L	FO	FC	ы	ĸ	L	FO	PC	Н	к	L	FO	FC
0	с	2	573	595	0	3	10	296	298	1	1	- 10	190	186
0	0	4	42	45	0	3	11	223	221	1	1	-9	927	942
0	0	6	321	330	0	3	12	86	87	1	1	-8	60	60
0	0	8	389	362	0	3	13	226	228	1	1	-7	251	257
0	0	10	183	175	0	3	15	152	154	1	1	-6	219	219
0	0	12	139	758	0	3	10	176	177	1	-	-5	192	174
0	0	16	208	206	0	2	18	102	102	1	i	-3	196	203
Ő	C C	18	375	376	0	3	19	81	78	i	i	-2	305	289
õ	1	1	839	796	õ	4	Ó	62	58	1	1	-1	427	391
C	1	2	397	363	0	4	1	78	77	1	1	0	357	328
0	1	3	365	328	0	4	2	52	54	1	1	1	973	962
0	1	4	462	428	0	4	4	125	123	1	1	2	103	95
2	1	5	695	697	0	4	5	106	107	1	1	3	1148	1138
0	1	6	162	155	0	4	6	208	212	1	1	4	334	310
0	1	/	5/9	595	0	4	·	252	255	1	;	5	528	159
0	1	9	315	321	Ő	4	10	191	191		÷	7	489	497
0	1	10	297	308	õ	u	11	87	89	i	ì	8	333	316
0	1	11	641	640	ŏ	4	12	94	89	1	1	9	300	318
0	1	12	143	141	0	4	13	96	96	1	1	10	62	68
0	1	13	339	343	0	5	1	124	124	1	1	11	70	75
C	1	14	43	43	0	5	3	142	149	1	1	12	116	116
0	1	15	144	151	0	5	4	119	120	1	1	13	192	195
0	1	17	71	73	1	0	-24	54	53	1	1	14	34	43
0	1	18	126	129		0	-20	351	349	1	1	15	3 30	155
0	1	20	104	113	-	0	- 18	303	302	1	;	18	84	86
õ	1	20	76	78	1	0	-14	151	143	1	1	19	252	251
č	1	23	300	293	1	ŏ	-12	140	138	1	1	21	216	217
Ô	2	0	882	828	1	Õ	-10	346	343	1	1	22	57	55
0	2	1	104	91	1	0	-8	548	565	1	1	23	49	40
C	2	2	282	268	1	0	-6	240	246	1	2	- 22	173	167
C	2	3	192	184	1	0	-4	1156	1242	1	2	-21	71	69
0	2	4	173	168	1	0	-2	471	484	1	2	- 20	185	183
0	2	5	380	370		0	0	464	4/5		2	- 19	103	80
0	2	7	410	30		0	2	007	70		2	- 17	178	179
0	2	8	120	117		0	6	120	115	i	2	-16	163	169
õ	2	9	34	28	i	õ	8	361	382	1	2	-15	94	96
0	2	10	270	261	1	0	10	373	386	1	2	- 14	166	164
С	2	11	100	96	1	0	12	453	440	1	2	-13	31	25
0	2	12	415	416	1	0	14	721	740	1	2	-12	83	85
0	2	13	307	309	1	0	16	201	197	1	2	-11	238	240
0	2	14	134	132	1	0	18	89	98	1	2	- 10	422	423
0	2	10	02	19		0	20	106	111		2	-9	231	238
0	2	18	108	200	1	0	22	100	03		2	-7	437	424
0	2	19	116	112	1	1	-23	102	103	1	2	- 6	88	91
ñ	2	20	67	68	1	1	-22	134	133	1	2	-5	151	141
0	2	21	167	169	1	1	-21	20 3	200	1	2	-4	453	438
)	2	22	115	111	1	1	-19	47	44	1	2	-2	274	270
0	3	1	474	444	1	1	-18	47	49	1	2	-1	86	80
0	3	2	272	258	1	1	-17	47	48	1	2	0	237	225
0	3	3	211	201	!	1	-15	52	58	1	2	1	737	700
0	5	4	125	132			- 15	222	220	1	2	2	280	259
0	2	5	71	74		1	-13	200	200	1	2	3	357	230
c	3	7	58	60	i	1	-12	239	21	1	2	5	324	300
C	3	8	49	50	1	1	-11	482	487	1	2	6	105	97

H	ĸ	L	FO	PC	н	к	I.	FO	FC	Н	K	L	FO	FC
1	2	7	42	38	1	4	2	235	229	2	1	3	561	549
1	2	9	303	307	1	4	4	310	303	2	1	4	485	473
1	2	9	338	334	1	4	5	88	87	2	1	5	727	724
1	2	10	199	201	1	4	6	160	153	2	1	6	97	98
1	2	11	124	120	1	4	7	121	120	2	1	7	229	218
1	2	12	153	151	1	4	8	142	136	2	1	8	183	180
1	2	13	220	219	1	4	9	69	67	2	1	9	148	135
1	2	14	362	362	1	4	10	52	55	2	1	11	260	268
1	2	15	136	139	1	4	13	92	95	2	1	12	236	236
1	2	16	147	145	1	5	-8	114	111	2	1	13	125	123
1	2	17	109	111	1	5	-7	78	68	2	1	14	78	82
1	2	18	41	37	1	5	-5	91	93	2	1	15	253	257
1	2	19	74	68	1	5	- 3	129	132	2	1	17	402	403
1	2	20	148	150	1	5	-1	174	177	2	1	19	125	121
1	2	22	45	49	1	2	0	96	90	2	1	20	/9	11
1	3	-20	68	125		2	1	50	43	2	1	21	97	99
-	2	-19	137	135	1	5	2	65	95	2		22	50	97
+	2	-17	179	132		5	4	74	80	2	2	- 23	42	40
1	2	- 15	170	178	2	õ	-20	200	107	2	2	-21	72	75
1	2	-14	278	281	2	Ô	- 20	231	230	2	2	- 20	135	133
1	3	-11	56	57	2	õ	-18	405	408	2	2	- 19	129	126
1	3	-10	178	173	2	0	-14	87	80	2	2	- 18	248	249
1	3	-9	209	213	2	0	-12	344	346	2	2	-17	45	52
1	3	- 8	34	32	2	0	-10	25	21	2	2	-16	79	76
1	3	-7	129	126	2	0	-8	760	786	2	2	- 15	149	152
1	3	-0	136	139	2	0	-6	1323	1410	2	2	- 14	126	126
1	3	-5	235	236	2	0	-4	386	394	2	2	-13	163	165
1	3	- 4	145	140	2	0	- 2	430	440	2	2	-12	308	310
1	3	- 3	433	429	2	0	0	58	77	2	2	-11	316	322
1	3	- 2	231	231	2	0	2	255	252	2	2	- 10	84	89
1	3	-1	282	280	2	0	4	226	234	2	2	-9	91	90
1	5	0	120	120	2	0	6	8/5	8/9	2	2	-8	394	394
1		1	232	221	2	0	10	41/	570	2	2	- 1	5.22	512
-	3	2	255	230	2	0	12	169	570	2	2	-0	223	20
1	2	1	235	255	2	0	14	400	36	2	2	-4	20	96
1	3	5	41	42	2	ñ	16	149	152	2	2	- 3	438	429
1	3	6	126	123	2	ŏ	22	181	180	2	2	-2	305	302
1	3	7	51	54	2	1	-21	44	48	2	2	-1	244	235
1	3	8	241	237	2	1	-19	228	230	2	2	0	377	370
1	3	9	255	257	2	1	-18	158	159	2	2	1	241	229
1	3	11	222	223	2	1	-17	334	330	2	2	2	203	189
1	3	12	163	162	2	1	-16	82	80	2	2	3	194	176
1	3	13	260	263	2	1	-15	150	151	2	2	4	438	421
1	3	15	191	192	2	1	-13	535	543	2	2	5	305	291
1	3	16	130	126	2	1	-11	322	325	2	2	6	436	412
	3	18	106	55	2	-	- 10	232	228	2	2	/	319	304
-	4	-14	120	77	2	-	-9	38	3/	2	2	8	150	150
1	4	-12	194	181	2	1	-0	600	230	2	2	10	240	730
1	4	-11	100	104	2	i	-6	61	62	2	2	11	60	230
1	4	-10	266	269	2	1	-5	148	153	2	2	12	263	264
1	4	- 9	60	62	2	1	-4	264	260	2	2	13	141	139
1	4	- 8	172	173	2	1	- 3	97	96	2	2	14	97	93
1	4	- 7	102	99	2	1	- 2	212	201	2	2	15	240	245
1	4	- 4	53	53	2	1	- 1	726	748	2	2	16	223	225
1	4	- 1	67	69	2	1	0	485	470	2	2	17	41	49
1	4	0	79	78	2	1	1	653	658	2	2	18	117	115
'	4	1	169	170	2	1	2	128	124	2	2	19	134	136

H	К	L	FO	FC	н	к	L	FO	FC	Н	ĸ	L	FO	FC
2	2	22	87	88	2	5	-2	117	126	3	1	11	56	52
2	3	-20	43	100	2	2	5	05	00	3	-	12	500	510
2	3	- 19	155	155	2	5	7	62	17	2		15	274	276
2	3	-17	87	8/1	2	0	-24	152	149	3	;	16	77	75
2	3	-16	72	69	3	ő	-22	257	255	3	1	17	100	100
2	3	-14	51	54	ĩ	õ	-20	42	35	3	1	18	149	149
2	3	-13	72	62	3	õ	-18	68	74	3	1	19	128	125
2	3	-12	53	54	3	õ	-16	319	316	3	2	-22	133	129
2	3	-11	96	91	3	0	-12	299	296	3	2	-20	77	78
2	3	-10	130	132	3	0	-10	899	9 38	3	2	- 19	57	54
2	3	-9	226	228	3	0	-8	283	292	3	2	-18	91	91
2	3	-8	220	224	3	0	-6	481	479	3	2	- 17	37	32
2	3	-7	437	440	3	0	-4	527	555	3	2	-16	302	306
2	3	-6	92	94	3	0	-2	309	300	3	2	- 15	133	134
2	3	-5	270	279	3	0	0	113	9/	3	2	- 14	100	200
2	3	-4	112	115	3	0	2	032	809	3	2	- 13	205	112
2	3	- 1	182	193	3	0	6	598	596	3	2	-11	64	68
2	3	0	318	119	2	õ	8	877	891	3	2	-10	384	390
2	3	1	53	40	3	ő	10	34	36	3	2	-9	74	77
2	3	2	67	65	3	õ	12	57	59	3	2	-8	145	150
2	3	3	117	115	3	Ó	14	172	175	3	2	-7	130	135
2	3	4	394	384	3	0	16	67	70	3	2	-6	297	298
2	3	5	323	316	3	0	18	156	157	3	2	-5	452	452
2	3	7	186	181	3	0	20	259	247	3	2	-4	499	495
2	3	8	154	151	3	0	22	141	1 39	3	2	- 3	63	59
2	3	9	202	195	3	1	-23	78	79	3	2	-2	107	109
2	3	10	46	43	3	1	-22	79	77	3	2	-1	56	43
2	3	11	262	264	3	1	-21	181	182	3	2	0	146	147
2	3	12	199	200	3	1	-20	135	134	3	2	1	232	223
2	3	13	60	110	3	1	-19	19	81	3	2	4	430	433
2	2	15	67	73	3		-16	402	400	3	2	1	189	186
2	2	17	77	67	3	1	-15	413	414	3	2	6	261	259
2		-16	99	99	3	i	- 14	112	113	3	2	7	129	129
2	4	-14	178	175	3	i	-13	57	64	3	2	8	400	403
2	4	-12	135	134	3	1	-12	149	154	3	2	9	224	227
2	4	-11	101	99	3	1	-11	322	329	3	2	10	44	42
2	4	-10	129	126	3	1	-10	50	49	3	2	11	237	237
2	4	-9	60	55	3	1	-9	143	151	3	2	12	151	149
2	4	- 8	66	69	3	1	-8	234	232	3	2	13	75	72
2	4	-5	94	95	3	1	-7	67	67	3	2	14	225	227
2	4	-4	41	34	3	1	-6	157	154	3	2	15	125	124
2	4	-3	97	97	3	1	-5	120	139	3	2	10	05	68
4	4	-2	100	109	3	-	-4	620	125	3	2	19	110	110
2	4	1	122	121	3	1	-2	64	68	3	2	20	114	108
2	4	2	263	263	ä	1	-1	286	298	3	3	- 19	41	43
2	4	3	161	157	3	1	Ó	317	308	3	3	-17	82	82
2	4	4	289	282	3	1	1	678	699	3	3	-16	39	49
2	4	6	70	63	3	1	2	129	130	3	3	- 15	108	106
2	4	7	84	83	3	1	3	476	474	3	3	- 14	51	55
2	4	8	96	91	3	1	4	180	176	3	3	-13	54	57
2	4	9	95	92	3	1	5	210	200	3	3	-12	137	137
2	4	14	128	138	3	1	6	59	55	3	3	-11	196	198
2	4	15	93	94	3	1	7	365	366	3	3	-9	308	309
2	5	-7	124	125	3	1	8	128	126	3	3	-8	222	226
2	5	-5	119	120	3	1	9	212	207	3	3	-7	229	236
2	2	- 3	51	48	3	1	10	132	134	3	3	-0	33	20

н	к	L	FO	FC	H	ĸ	L	FO	PC	н	к	L	FO	PC
3	3	-5	252	256	4	0	2	354	367	4	2	-7	42	44
3	3	-4	93	97	4	õ	4	981	1029	4	2	-6	251	258
3	3	-3	101	103	4	0	6	296	289	4	2	-5	120	121
3	3	-2	90	92	4	0	8	93	97	4	2	-4	190	187
3	3	-1	82	79	4	0	10	165	167	4	2	- 3	31	28
3	3	Ó	259	262	4	Ō	12	176	182	4	2	-2	393	403
3	3	1	135	133	4	Õ	14	107	113	4	2	-1	335	338
3	3	2	38	43	4	0	16	348	354	4	2	0	155	165
3	3	3	252	250	4	0	18	221	221	4	2	1	137	138
3	3	4	96	97	4	0	20	138	140	4	2	2	77	78
3	3	5	307	302	4	0	22	128	123	4	2	3	150	154
3	3	6	128	123	4	1	-21	145	145	4	2	4	4 16	420
3	3	7	344	341	4	1	-19	352	349	4	2	5	134	133
3	3	8	82	82	4	1	-17	92	94	4	2	6	215	212
3	3	9	150	150	4	1	-16	97	97	4	2	7	120	122
3	3	10	92	84	4	1	-15	173	176	4	2	8	170	171
3	3	15	49	56	4	1	-14	139	135	4	2	9	243	244
3	3	17	78	86	4	1	-13	182	180	4	2	10	252	258
3	3	18	154	157	4	1	-12	114	115	4	2	12	37	34
3	4	-15	61	54	4	1	-11	96	104	4	2	13	128	126
3	4	-14	118	120	4	1	-10	66	67	4	2	14	65	69
3	4	-11	50	51	4	1	-9	289	2 3 2	4	2	16	168	171
3	4	-10	55	56	4	1	-8	88	86	4	2	17	101	106
3	4	-9	75	75	4	1	-7	572	586	4	2	18	98	96
3	4	-8	47	54	4	1	-6	295	296	4	2	20	67	71
3	4	-6	112	116	4	1	-5	447	449	4	3	-17	61	63
3	4	-5	116	116	4	1	-4	133	135	4	3	-16	68	68
3	4	-4	222	231	4	1	-3	544	551	4	3	- 15	168	171
3	4	-3	80	83	4	1	-2	224	236	4	3	- 14	125	126
3	4	- 2	211	216	4	1	-1	445	462	4	3	- 13	286	287
3	4	- 1	59	57	4	1	0	158	155	4	3	- 12	151	150
3	4	0	173	174	4	1	1	209	201	4	3	-11	127	124
3	L	1	5.3	50	4	1	2	172	176	4	3	-9	109	108
3	4	2	96	94	4	1	3	197	198	4	3	-!	156	159
3	4	3	108	107	4	1	5	360	357	4	3	-0	287	289
3	4	4	66	56	4	1	0	258	250	4	3	-4	143	145
3	4	5	58	56	4	1		85	83	4	2	- 3	135	1 39
3	4	10	63	68	4	1	8	132	130	4	3	-2	103	230
3	4	11	64	100	4		10	482	4 94	4	2	-1	220	230
2		12	50	55	4		10	39	215	4	2		140	115
3	4	10	150	140	4	-	12	300	315	4	2	2	187	197
2	5	- 6	102	10.0	4		13	91	92	4	3	2	242	241
1	5	-0	102	55	4		14	163	167		2	5	289	285
2	5	-2	117	30	4	1	15	211	218	4	3	6	226	215
2	5	2	62	66	4	1	16	100	101	ű	3	7	121	115
1	5	3	61	65		1	17	98	100	4	ĩ	9	64	69
3	5	5	190	106	4	1	21	67	58	4	3	à	75	72
4	Ó	-22	80	81	4	2	-21	102	97	4	3	10	58	58
4	õ	-20	114	118	4	2	- 20	143	144	4	3	11	45	49
4	0	- 18	120	114	4	2	-19	100	98	4	3	14	163	156
4	0	-16	104	112	4	2	-18	152	151	4	3	15	100	95
1	0	-14	554	563	4	2	-17	220	222	4	3	16	94	98
4	0	-12	359	354	4	2	-16	89	93	4	3	17	154	159
4	C	- 10	268	264	4	2	-14	300	302	4	4	-14	45	46
4	C	- 8	450	457	4	2	-13	56	55	4	4	-11	52	48
4	c	- 6	106	105	4	2	-12	152	150	4	4	-10	56	51
4	c	-4	40	51	4	2	-10	66	67	4	4	-9	140	138
4	0	-2	385	399	4	2	-9	425	4 3 4	4	4	- 8	119	117
4	C	0	285	307	4	2	-8	317	325	4	4	-7	54	60

H	ĸ	L	FO	FC	H	к	L	FO	PC	н	ĸ	L	FO	FC
4	4	-6	220	225	5	1	5	261	268	5	3	7	95	97
4	4	-5	56	53	5	1	7	540	543	5	3	8	92	93
4	4	-4	229	229	5	1	8	60	59	5	3	10	118	119
4	4	- 3	106	114	5	1	9	313	309	5	3	11	92	94
4	4	-2	208	215	5	1	10	131	136	5	3	12	143	149
4	4	-1	73	71	5	1	11	173	180	5	3	13	156	161
4	4	2	68	15	5	1	12	152	155	5	3	-12	104	110
ü	ŭ	<u>ц</u>	47	48	5	1	15	55	56	5	4	- 10	142	144
4	4	6	100	105	Š	1	19	157	158	5	4	-9	56	61
4	4	8	133	143	5	1	20	73	74	5	4	-8	143	145
4	4	9	100	101	5	2	-18	138	135	5	4	-7	109	116
4	4	10	180	183	5	2	-16	219	218	5	4	-6	168	174
4	4	12	151	151	5	2	-15	73	77	5	4	-4	75	69
4	5	-1	52	60	5	2	-14	99	99	5	4	-3	62	59
4	5	- 22	90	110	5	2	-13	208	213	5	4	-1	10	59
5	0	-22	101	90	5	2	-11	97	225	5	а н	5	128	123
5	õ	-18	274	268	5	2	-10	217	219	5	4	6	155	160
5	õ	-16	473	471	5	2	-7	149	157	5	4	8	185	186
5	0	-14	240	244	5	2	-6	228	232	5	4	10	100	94
5	C	-12	291	293	5	2	-5	250	256	6	0	- 20	287	281
5	C	- 10	167	170	5	2	-4	349	360	6	0	-18	58	68
5	0	-8	269	275	5	2	-3	251	261	6	0	- 16	161	154
5	0	-6	146	145	5	2	-2	124	124	6	0	-14	316	317
5	0	-4	638	650	5	2	0	299	311	6	0	-12	40	21
5	0	-2	531	320	5	2	2	206	200	6	0	-10	352	355
5	ő	2	390	415	5	2	3	154	158	6	ő	-6	46	47
5	õ	4	49	53	5	2	5	363	361	6	Ő	-4	426	429
5	Õ	6	227	214	5	2	6	265	266	6	0	-3	29	0
5	0	8	108	102	5	2	7	39	42	6	0	-2	758	774
5	0	10	62	55	5	2	8	220	216	6	0	0	133	129
5	0	12	193	202	5	2	9	190	188	6	0	2	181	187
5	0	14	228	221	5	2	10	94	99	6	0	4	68	61
5	0	16	151	160	5	2	12	131	139	6	0	0	209	207
5	0	20	205	208	5	2	13	949	82	6	0	10	459	452
5	1	-21	80	81	5	2	17	168	169	6	ő	12	225	224
5	1	-20	77	74	5	2	18	126	127	6	ŏ	14	188	188
5	1	-18	118	122	5	3	-17	162	167	6	0	16	139	136
5	1	- 17	225	221	5	3	-16	63	64	6	0	18	113	106
5	1	-15	72	76	5	3	-15	162	161	6	1	-18	75	66
5	1	-14	92	86	5	3	-14	78	80	6	1	-17	89	88
5	1	-13	88	86	5	3	- 13	156	154	0	!	- 15	249	250
5	-	-12	200	200	5	2	-10	215	217	6		- 14	301	342
5	1	- 10	205	210	5	2	-8	87	91	6	i	-12	70	65
5	1	-9	254	257	5	3	-6	194	197	6	1	-11	195	195
5	1	-8	85	84	5	3	-5	172	173	6	1	-10	72	72
5	1	-7	197	197	5	3	-3	180	179	6	1	-9	454	456
5	1	-6	202	207	5	3	-2	131	137	6	1	- 8	76	74
5	1	- 5	601	623	5	3	-1	2-3	259	6	1	-7	141	141
5	1	-4	76	71	5	3	0	54	50	6	1	-6	129	131
5	1	- 3	240	242	5	3	1	288	294	6	1	-5	196	208
5	1	-2	262	269	5	3	2	169	95	6	1	-4	322	3/11
5	1	2	200	200	5	3	5	41	30	6		- 3	42	68
5	1	ĩ	56	54	5	3	5	43	28	6	i	-1	177	181
5	1	4	105	108	5	3	6	65	71	6	1	ċ	162	164

Н	K	L	FO	FC	Н	к	L	FO	FC	H	К	L	FO	FC
£	1	1	141	144	6	3	3	57	62	7	2	-16	62	60
ŕ,	1	2	82	F4	6	3	4	50	12	'	2	-13	25	222
е. с	1	3	405 F1	61	6	2	8	198	212	7	2	-11	148	117
£	1	5	210	210	6	3	9	159	163	7	2	- 10	142	141
ŝ	1	6	99	100	۴	3	11	1.39	146	7	2	- 9	120	122
•	1	7	88	88	6	3	12	54	54	7	2	- 8	100	102
e	1	8	149	197	6	3	13	110	112	7	2	-7	50	52
6	1	10	204	57	C K	u	-0	58	50 61	7	2	- 4	43	235
5	1	11	110	107	6	4	-3	56	54	7	2	- 3	97	97
5	1	13	59	56	6	4	- 1	48	31	7	2	- 2	96	95
6	1	14	63	61	6	4	ç	79	83	7	2	- 1	283	2.89
f	1	15	114	112	6	1	1	23	43	7	2	2	120	253
4	1	17	64	52	f	4	4	155	159	7	2	3	24	73
+	2	-17	85	84	6	4	6	135	127	7	2	Ŀ	50	93
•	2	-16	72	73	6	4	7	72	71	7	2	S	60	63
6	2	-15	199	197	7	C	-18	190	198	7	2	6	150	143
	2	- 14	106	100	7	1	- 14	232	23200	7 7	2	10	78	78
5	2	- 10	151	150	7	õ	-10	187	184	7 7	2	11	140	137
•	2	- 3	126	130	7	0	-8	293	291		2	12	173	163
ŕ.	2	- 8	257	260	7	0	-6	532	534	1 7	2	13	121	118
6	4	-7	223	225	7	0	-4	77	71	- 7	2	14	58	55
	2	1:	170	171	7	0	-2	182	184	7	3	-13	96	95
é	2	- 3	103	103	7	ç	1:	90	911	7	3	- 11	141	138
6	2	- 2	354	356	7	0	6	295	284	7	3	- 9	131	172
ŕ	۷	- 1	125	127	7	0	8	139	132	3 2	3	-8	109	127
•	4	C	110	112	7	Ċ	10	197	196		3	- /	150	150
-	2	2	190	201	7	1	-18	68	66	1 -	r F	-4	181	151
	2	3	120	118	7	1	-17	193	189	7 6	3	- 3	53	49
÷	2	Li	181	191	7	1	-16	124	117 C	27	3	- 1	79	79
9	2	5	110	108	7	1	-15	56	59	27	3	1	+4	
2	4	4	1/1	101	7	1	-14	228	231	7	3	1	167	40
-	2	12	213	210	7	1	-12	c 9	101	7	3	5	77	64
5	2	11	65	69	7	1	-11	316	319	7	3	6	69	64
•	2	12	73	74	7	1	- 10	66	66	7	3	7	129	130
f	2	13	82	92	7	1	- 8	102	100	7	3	9	105	105
6	2	14	52	51	7	1	-5	59	69	8	0	- 16	105	104
6	2	16	93	94	7	1	-4	191	189	8	c	- 14	154	144
6	3	- 15	116	115	7	1	- 3	44	55	8	0	-10	367	300
6	3	-14	73	79	7	1	-2	53	57	8	0	-8	308	312
2	1	-13	76	20	7	1	- 1	353	35/	8	0	-6	134	133
6	3	-10	95	91	7	1	3	202	206	8	0	2	193	193
6	3	-9	91	91	7	1	4	164	163	8	0	4	292	295
6	3	-7	111	112	7	1	5	223	222	8	0	6	169	169
6	3	-6	83	85	7	1	6	89	88	8	C	8	255	247
6	3	-0	127	127	7	1	9	114	117	8	0	12	57	44
6	3	- 3	251	255	7	1	11	119	123	8	1	- 15	271	262
6	3	- 2	36	29	7	1	12	40	45	8	1	-12	75	75
6	3	-1	204	207	7	1	13	131	133	8	1	-10	38	45
6	3	0	73	74	4	1	14	59	54	8	1	-8	82	86
0	3		13		,		15	,,	/4	0		-1	20	32

н	ĸ	L	FO	FC	H	K	L	PO	PC	H	K	L	FO	FC
8	1	-6	40	40	8	2	10	71	62	9	1	-2	112	106
8	1	-5	160	159	8	2	11	52	60	9	1	-1	207	206
ß	1	- 3	303	301	8	3	-8	89	86	9	1	0	46	47
8	1	- 2	90	92	8	3	-7	94	95	9	1	3	48	50
8	1	-1	155	154	8	3	-5	41	42	9	1	4	44	35
8	1	0	122	126	8	3	- 3	49	41	9	1	5	162	154
8	1	1	129	131	8	3	-2	110	117	9	1	6	62	58
8	1	2	117	118	8	3	0	118	121	9	1	9	74	78
8	1	3	200	201	8	3	1	82	79	9	2	-9	106	104
8	1	4	44	37	8	3	2	112	111	9	2	-8	1 30	123
8	1	6	57	53	8	3	3	163	163	9	2	-7	80	83
8	1	8	56	57	8	3	5	97	100	9	2	-6	158	156
8	1	9	118	110	8	3	6	87	83	9	2	-2	83	81
8	1	11	43	44	9	0	-12	269	266	9	2	-1	100	102
8	1	13	147	150	9	0	-8	133	129	9	2	0	125	118
8	2	-13	124	126	9	0	-6	103	105	9	2	1	76	73
8	2	- 10	190	186	9	0	-4	118	117	9	2	4	129	124
8	2	- 8	164	165	9	0	-2	95	88	9	2	5	72	71
8	2	-7	82	81	9	0	0	273	264	9	2	6	118	119
8	2	-6	57	57	9	0	2	90	82	10	0	-6	43	39
8	2	-5	236	238	9	0	4	252	249	10	0	-4	196	188
8	2	-4	152	154	9	0	6	182	177	10	0	-2	66	64
8	2	-2	94	97	9	0	8	94	76	10	0	0	152	151
8	2	- 1	79	83	9	1	-10	88	84	10	0	2	198	195
8	2	2	165	165	9	1	-9	50	38	10	1	- 5	182	176
8	2	3	149	151	9	1	-8	50	37	10	1	-4	43	48
8	2	4	134	134	9	1	-7	279	274	10	1	-3	68	58
8	2	6	43	40	9	1	-6	50	49	10	1	-2	43	26
8	2	7	119	115	9	1	-5	171	169	10	1	-1	82	76
8	2	8	124	119	9	1	-4	59	58	10	1	1	85	78
8	2	9	48	50	9	1	- 3	72	74					

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

No.	Copies		No. Copie
Crice of Naval Research Clington, Virginia 22217	2	Defense Documentation Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria Virginia 22314	12
	-		
fice of Naval Research		U.S. Army Research Office	
tth: Code 102IP	6	Research Triangle Park, North Carol: Attn: CRD-AA-IP	ina 27709
.R Branch Office			
36 S. Clark Street		Commander	
Chicago, Illinois 60605		Naval Undersea Research & Development	nt
attn: Dr. George Sandoz	1	Center	
		San Diego, California 92132	
AR Branch Office		Attn: Technical Library, Code 133	1
15 Broadway			
ew York, New York 10003		Naval Weapons Center	
attn: Scientific Dept.	1	China Lake, California 93555	,
ND Dreach Office		Attn: Head, Chemistry Division	1
020 Fast Groon Street		Nevel Civil Engineering Laboratory	
Candona California Ollok		Port Hueneme California 93041	
ttr: Dr R J Marcus	1	Attn: Mr W S Havnes	1
	-	Atom. M. W. D. haynes	-
TR Branch Office		Professor O. Heinz	
60 Market Street. Rm. 447		Department of Physics & Chemistry	
an Francisco, California 94102		Naval Postgraduate School	
oton: Dr. P. A. Miller	1	Monterey, California 93940	
DNR Branch Office		Dr. A. L. Slafkosky	
95 Summer Street		Scientific Advisor	>
oston, Massachusetts 02210		Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code	e RD-1)
otn: Dr. L. H. Peebles	1	Washington, D.C. 20330	1
irector Nevel Research Laborator	r		
ashington, D.C. 20390			
ttn: Library, Code 2029 (ONRL)	6		
Technical Info. Div.	1		
Code 6100, 6170	1		
he Asst. Secretary of the Navy (RE	LD)		
partment of the Navy			
oom 4E736, Pentagon			
hashington, D.C. 20350	1		
ommander, Nuval Air Systems Comman	nd		
partment of the Navy			
ishington, D.C. 20360			
ttn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser)	1		

pie

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. Copies

l

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

Dr. W. N. Lipscomb Department of Chemistry Harvard University Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138 1

Dr. R. M. Grimes Department of Chemistry University of Virginia Charlottesville, Virginia 22903

Dr. M. Tsutsui Department of Chemistry Texas A&M University College Station, Texas 77843

Dr. C. Quicksall Department of Chemistry Georgetown University 37th & O Streets Washington, D.C. 20007

Dr. M. F. Hawthorne Department of Chemistry University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

Dr. D. B. Brown Department of Chemistry University of Vermont Burlington, Vermont 05401

Dr. Alan Siedle National Bureau of Standards Department of Commerce Chemistry Section Washington, D.C. 20375

Dr. W. B. Fox Naval Research Laboratory Chemistry Division Code 5130 Washington, D.C. 20375

Dr. R. J. Lagow University of Texas Department of Chemistry Austin, Texas 78712 Dr. A. Cowley University of Texas Department of Chemistry Austin, Texas 78712

Dr. W. Hatfield University of North Carolins Department of Chemistry Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514 1

Dr. D. Seyferth Massachusetts Institute of Technology Department of Chemistry Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 1

Dr. M. H. Chisholm Princeton University Department of Chemistry Princeton, New Jersey 08540

Dr. B. Foxman Department of Chemistry Brandeis University Waltham, Massachusetts 02154

No. Copies

1

1