

AD-A043 421

COLORADO STATE UNIV FORT COLLINS DEPT OF CHEMISTRY

F/G 7/4

ELECTROCHEMISTRY OF NI(II) AND THE BEHAVIOR OF OXIDE IONS IN CH--ETC(U)

AUG 77 B GILBERT , R A OSTERYOUNG


N00014-77-C-0004

UNCLASSIFIED


TR-4

NL

| OF |
ADA
043421



END
DATE
FILMED
9-77
DOC



NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS
 MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART

ADA 043421

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH
Contract N00014-77-C-0004

12

2

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 4

ELECTROCHEMISTRY OF Ni(II) AND
THE BEHAVIOR OF OXIDE IONS IN
CHLOROALUMINATE MELTS

by

Bernard Gilbert and R. A. Osteryoung

Colorado State University
Department of Chemistry
Fort Collins, Colorado 80523

DDC
RECEIVED
AUG 25 1977
C


August, 1977

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the
United States Government

Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

AU NO. _____
DDC FILE COPY

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 9 Technical Report No. 4 ✓	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 14	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER TR-4
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) 6 Electrochemistry of Ni(II) and the Behavior of Oxide Ions in Chloroaluminate melts. ✓		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Interim
7. AUTHOR(s) 10 Bernard Gilbert and R. A. Osteryoung		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Chemistry Colorado State University Fort Collins, CO 80523 ✓		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) 15 N00014-77-C-0004 ✓
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Chemistry Program Office of Naval Research Arlington, VA 22217		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) Office of Naval Research Resident Representative Suite 210, 6740 E. Hampden Avenue Denver, CO 80222		12. REPORT DATE 11 August 1977
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 33 (235p.)
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for publication in <u>Analytical Chemistry</u>		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) molten salts, chloroaluminates, electrochemistry, nickel, acid-base chemistry		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The electrochemical behavior of Ni(II) has been investigated in chloroaluminate melts between 145 and 210°C. Ni(II) is completely soluble in acidic mixtures where the pCl is higher than 5.5 (m/Kg ¹ scale). A well defined and behaved reduction wave is observed at 1.2 V vs an Al reference in a NaCl saturated reference compartment. When the pCl is decreased, precipitation of NiCl ₂ occurs, the result which has been confirmed from electrochemical and x-ray diffraction experiments. The solubility product of 10 ^{-12.9} (m Kg ⁻¹) ³ was found. This result is also in disagreement with the precipitation (CONTINUED)		



404992

Jm

→ of NiO which has been recently proposed in the literature. As a direct consequence, the acid-base behavior of oxide ions in chloroaluminate has been reinvestigated. Precise titrations of the basic strength of oxide ions have been performed in narrow pCl ranges. An equilibrium constant of $1.6 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ m Kg}^{-1}$ was found for the general reaction




two orders of magnitude higher than the previously reported value. ↑

$1.6 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ m Kg}^{-1}$

ACCESS FOR	
15	W. E. Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
10	B. H. Section <input type="checkbox"/>
10	RESEARCH <input type="checkbox"/>
10 1 1 1 1 1 1	
BY	
DISTRIBUTION/PRIORITY CODES	
CONFIDENTIAL	
A	

ABSTRACT

The electrochemical behavior of Ni(II) has been investigated in chloroaluminates melts between 175 and 210°C. Ni(II) is completely soluble in acidic mixtures where the pCl is higher than 5.5 (m Kg⁻¹ scale). A well defined and behaved reduction wave is observed at 1.2 V vs an Al reference in a NaCl saturated reference compartment. When the pCl is decreased, precipitation of NiCl₂ occurs, the result which has been confirmed from electrochemical and x-ray diffraction experiments. A solubility product of 10^{-12.9} (m Kg⁻¹)³ was found. This result is also in disagreement with the precipitation of NiO which has been recently proposed in the literature. As a direct consequence, the acid-base behavior of oxide ions in chloroaluminate has been reinvestigated. Precise titrations of the basic strength of oxide ions have been performed in narrow pCl ranges. An equilibrium constant of 1.6 10⁻² mKg⁻¹ was found for the general reaction



two orders of magnitude higher than the previously reported value.


ELECTROCHEMISTRY OF Ni(II) AND THE BEHAVIOR OF
OXIDE IONS IN CHLOROALUMINATE MELTS

Bernard Gilbert and R. A. Osteryoung

Department of Chemistry
Colorado State University
Fort Collins, Colorado 80523

In the course of our investigations in molten chloroaluminates over the past few years, we have become increasingly aware of problems associated with the presence of oxides in these melts and, most significantly, our inability to determine the oxide content of our melts. The behavior of oxide was studied in an early paper by Letisse and Tremillon (1) who reported that OH^- ($\text{O}^=$) behaved as a tri-base as a result of carrying out base-acid titrations in the melt. However, such procedures could not be used to determine the oxide content of a melt of unknown composition.

We have recently studied the behavior of sulfur and sulfides in the melt and had found considerable acid-base chemistry as sulfide ions interact with the solvent sodium tetrachloroaluminate melt (2). We concluded that $\text{S}^=$ activity in the melt varied markedly with melt acidity as a result of an acid-base equilibrium:



That is, we found, initially by E.M.F. measurements and then by direct titration, that $\text{S}^=$ behaved as a tribase in these melts. The relation expressed in (1) above appears to be valid at pCl values in excess of ca 3.5; in more basic systems, $\text{S}^=$ appears to function as a dibase, forming AlSCl_2^- . Similar results have been found for selenide and telluride. Experiments indicated that the solubility of metal sulfides and selenides was dramatically dependent on melt acidity, in keeping with the equilibria given above.

However, $S^=$ and $Se^=$ are electrochemically active in these melts, and could be studied in some detail. $O^=$, on the other hand, showed no evidence of electrochemical activity and while we could study, via titration (see below), the $O^=$ basicity, we could not otherwise determine the amount of oxide present in these melts.

Recently, however, Tremillon, Bermond and Molina (3) reported on the determination of $O^=$ in the tetrachloroaluminate melts. The basis of their reported determination was the anodic depolarization of Ni, by $O^=$ in the melt, to form NiO. It was stated that over a pCl range from NaCl saturated to about 4.5 or so, NiO was insoluble and a diffusion controlled (by $O^=$, or oxide carrying species) voltammetric wave could be obtained. They also reported, in contrast to their earlier work (1), that $O^=$ was di- rather than tri-basic.

From previous investigations, it is, however, surprising that Ni(II) precipitates as NiO and not as $NiCl_2$. In KCl- $AlCl_3$ melts, Øye and Gruen have shown that an acidic solution of Co^{2+} , when neutralized by KCl addition, undergoes a precipitation of $CoCl_2$, as shown from the x-ray pattern (4). Following these authors, low solubilities of transition metal chlorides in melts with KCl- $AlCl_3$ ratios slightly less than 1 appear to be quite generally encountered. Very low solubilities of UCl_3 , $NiCl_2$ (4) and UCl_3 (5,6) have indeed been observed. By increasing the temperature (up to 750°C) and making the melt more basic, it has also been shown that $NiCl_2$ may eventually redissolve by forming chlorocomplexes (4,7).

Since we had considerable interest in the determination of oxide in the melts, and since our own work on $S^=$, $O^=$, $Se^=$ and $Te^=$ had indicated these species to be tribasic, at least in the neutral to acidic melt, we undertook to verify the experiments of Tremillon, Bermond and Molina. It will be shown in this paper that indeed Ni(II) precipitates as $NiCl_2$ and, consequently, the previous results related to the oxide behavior have been reinvestigated.

Experimental

Most of the experiments have been performed with melts prepared following the general procedure of ref. 8. Fluka (A.G. anhydrous and iron free) aluminum chloride is sublimed under vacuum and then mixed with the necessary amount of NaCl (Fisher, certified A.C.S., recrystallized from water and dried for 24 hours under vacuum at 400°C). The mixtures were sealed under vacuum in a pyrex tube with aluminum metal and kept molten at 250°C. Water clear melts have always been obtained, even for the more reactive acidic melts. When necessary, traces of impurities could be removed by electrolyzing the melt inside the electrochemical cell, between two aluminum electrodes.

Anhydrous NiCl_2 was obtained following the method described by Taylor and Carter (9). It involves heating in vacuum a molecularly dispersed mixture of hydrated NiCl_2 with six times more NH_4Cl until the water and ammonium halide are expelled to leave pure anhydrous NiCl_2 . NiO (Baker, A. R.) and BaCO_3 (99%, Chem. Services) were dried under vacuum at 200°C during eight hours. Na_2CO_3 (Mallinckrodt, A. R. primary standard) has been dried at temperatures varying from 25°C to 300°C. Whatever was the drying temperature, it was found difficult to obtain complete dissolution of Na_2CO_3 , especially in the more basic melt.

The electrochemical cell is similar in design to the one described in ref. (10) but contains a much smaller volume of melt (≈ 20 ml). A 3 mm diameter vitreous carbon rod electrode, sealed in pyrex, ground flat on an emery wheel and polished to a mirror-like finish using Type B alumina powder (Fisher) was used as the working electrode. Buttons or wire nickel electrodes, sealed in pyrex, were cleaned by anodization in diluted HNO_3 (0.5 M) or with a H_2SO_4 - H_3PO_4 - HNO_3 mixture. An Al (m5N, Alfa Inorganics) counter electrode was separated from the main compartment by a fine porosity glass frit. The reference electrode consisted of an Al foil immersed in a melt which is

contained in a very thin soft glass bulb. The resistance through the membrane was low enough so that the reference electrode can be used directly, even in cyclic voltammetry experiments. When very precise potential measurements are not needed, the melt of the reference compartment was saturated with NaCl. Otherwise, basic but non-saturated melts, which exhibit a much more reproducible potential, have been used in the reference compartment. In this latter case, when the experiment is finished, large amounts of NaCl were added to the bulk melt. The solution is then stirred for several hours in order to insure saturation of the melt. All the potential measurements are then corrected for the potential difference between the reference electrode and an Al electrode immersed in the saturated main compartment.

All handling of the melts, filtration of precipitate, electrochemical measurement were performed either under a purified argon atmosphere in a Vacuum Atmosphere drybox as previously described (10) or under vacuum in sealed ampoula or in a vacuum line. The x-ray diffraction powder patterns of the precipitates, collected after filtration and sealed under vacuum in very thin pyrex or silica capillaries, were obtained with a G.E. X-Ray diffractometer, a Phillips 90 mm Camera and using the $K\alpha$ Coper line.

Essentially all the experiments were performed with a PAR Model 174 Polarographic Analyzer. Cyclic voltammograms on nickel electrodes, which involve high current densities, were performed with a multipurpose instrument described elsewhere (11). A Sargent Coulometric Current Source, Model IV, was used for melt acidity adjustments, for titration of $O^=$ and for quantitative anodization of nickel electrodes.

Results

In a first series of experiments, we tried to reproduce the results obtained by Tremillon during the anodization of a nickel electrode in melts of different compositions (3).

A cyclic voltammogram obtained on a nickel electrode in a basic melt is shown in Figure 1, curve A. The oxidation wave at 1.1V is characteristic of the formation of an insoluble product but the results were not reproducible enough to verify that the wave is diffusion controlled. Indeed, past the oxidation wave, the current drops to zero and after successive cycles, the oxidation wave decreases and eventually vanishes. This behavior is typical of a partially insulating layer which is not quantitatively reduced after one complete cycle. Anodic passivation behavior has already been observed on a nickel electrode in molten LiCl-KCl and KCl-NiCl₂ melts. Passivation was surmised to be due to the deposition of solid metal chloride or chloro-complexes (12,13).

The shape of normal pulse polarograms (using a PAR 174) or polarograms constructed from current-time curves using a P.D.P. 12 computer show a strong dependence on the initial potential E_i (Figure 1, curves B-D). If $E_i \geq 0.8$ V, passivation of the electrode occurs at around 1.6 V. If $E_i < 0.5$ V, the intensity of the oxidation wave at 1.1 V decreases and is preceded by a broad wave at 0.3 V which may involve some Al oxidation. In order to define the best working conditions, experiments were performed using the computer as a pulse generator. Pulse durations between 10 and 50 msec and delay times between 100 msec to 10 sec were applied to the electrode with no improvement in the reproducibility.

In view of the irreproducible nature of the results compared to those of Tremillon and coupled with the complete lack of experimental information, we decided to investigate the electrochemical behavior of Ni(II) more thoroughly.

A. ELECTROCHEMICAL BEHAVIOR OF NICKEL

The electrochemical behavior of Ni(II) in acidic melt was first investigated. For pCl higher than 5.5 (pCl expressed in m Kg^{-1}), solutions of Ni(II)

can easily be obtained by anodization of a nickel electrode. Identical results are obtained by addition of NiCl_2 to the melt, showing that the species formed by anodizing a Ni electrode is indeed Ni(II). Ni(II) is completely soluble (at least up to 10^{-2} M) and forms clear, salmon-colored solutions. Typical cyclic voltammograms obtained on such solutions are shown in Figure 2. A well-defined reduction wave can be observed around 1.2 V with respect to an Al electrode in a NaCl saturated melt and corresponds to the reduction of Ni(II) to Ni metal.

The peak height of the wave is proportional to the Ni(II) concentration and the corresponding diffusion coefficient equals $3.6 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ sec}^{-1}$. Because of the very steep rise in the beginning of the wave, it is, however, possible that the peak height is affected by distortion due to a nucleation effect (14). E.M.F. measurements obtained on a nickel electrode at different Ni(II) concentrations lead to a value of $E^\circ = 1.445 \text{ V}$ at 175°C (Figure 3). On the other hand, E° can also be calculated from the cyclic voltammogram, assuming a constant overpotential and using the following relation (15):

$$E_p = E^\circ + \frac{RT}{nF} \ln C - 0.854 \frac{RT}{nF} \quad (2)$$

In this case, E° calculated from E_p vs C plots = 1.33 V and the corresponding nucleation overpotential is then about 115 mV.


The presence of a small oxidation wave (wave b) following the main oxidation wave (wave x) is typical of a layer strongly adhering to the glassy carbon electrode. Its intensity and position are very dependent on the history of the electrode and on the initial concentration of Ni(II). Consequently, in order to obtain reproducible results for the reduction or oxidation waves, it was found necessary to strip the electrode completely by applying a potential of at least 2.3 V before each scan.

If, starting with a 10^{-2} M solution of Ni(II), the pCl is lowered below

5.5 by small additions of NaCl, the reduction wave decreases progressively and finally disappears. A pale yellow precipitate is formed which, according to the work of Tremillon, should be NiO. The color of the precipitate, however, does not correspond to the reported color of NiO (green). In order to characterize the nature of the precipitate, the following experiments have been performed:

(1) By measuring the decrease of height of the Ni(II) reduction wave from cyclic voltammetry at known pCl values, it should be possible to distinguish between NiCl₂ and NiO.

As it will be shown below and in agreement with the first paper of Tremillon about oxide behavior in chloroaluminates (1), the O⁼ ions act as a strong tribase in acidic melt and the following reaction is practically quantitative:



If the solubility product K_{sp} of the precipitate is given by $[Ni][X]^X = K_{sp}$ where X = Cl or O, a plot of $\log [Ni^{++}]$ with respect to the pCl should have a slope of 2 in case of NiCl₂ and a slope of 3 in case of NiO.

Since Ni(II) ions would react with an Al electrode employed for pCl measurement, the pCl has been measured precisely with an aluminum electrode only after complete precipitation of the insoluble Ni(II) compound. The pCl correction due to NiX_x precipitation was found to be negligible with respect to the experimental errors.

The resulting curve is shown in Figure 4. The measured slope is 1.98 ± 0.2 and indicates that the precipitate is most probably NiCl₂; the corresponding solubility product was found to be $10^{-12.8} (m\ Kg^{-1})^3$ at 175°C.

(2) In order to confirm this result, the precipitate was filtered under vacuum and an X-ray analysis was performed. In spite of the filtration, it

was found very difficult to remove all the solvent and the precipitate was contaminated by NaAlCl_4 . In Table I, the main lines of the precipitate and of the possible components, NiCl_2 , NiO and NaAlCl_4 are summarized. NiCl_2 and NiO give strong patterns and can be distinguished very easily. NiCl_2 is characterized by the line at 5.76 \AA and NiO at 2.08 \AA . Al_2Cl_6 also gives a line at 5.8 \AA but can be ruled out by the absence of the 1.71 \AA line and also because the presence of Al_2Cl_6 in detectable amounts at such a pCl is impossible. Several experiments were performed in which, starting from an acidic melt, NiCl_2 or NiO was dissolved, or Ni was anodized to yield Ni(II) in solution. NaCl was then added to lower the pCl and a precipitate was obtained. In each case, even after NiO dissolution, only NiCl_2 was identified in the precipitate.

Since the bulk precipitate was shown to be NiCl_2 , it is reasonable to conclude that upon anodization of a Ni electrode in the tetrachloroaluminate melt, NiCl_2 is formed on the electrode surface. E.M.F. measurements similar to those carried out by Tremillon were performed; the potential at a Ni electrode immersed in the melt for pCl values more basic than pCl 5.5 was determined as a function of pCl. Stable and reproducible measurements were obtained only if the Ni electrodes were anodized slightly prior to use, presumably to obtain a thin layer of NiCl_2 on the electrode surface. Results obtained under these conditions are shown in Figure 5.

The slope of the curve obtained is 91 mV, compared to the 2.3 RT/F value of 89 mV at 175°C . If the electrode functions as a second-class electrode - i.e., as $\text{Ni/NiCl}_2/\text{Cl}^-$, then the potential of the electrode would be given by:

$$E = E^\circ_{\text{Ni/Ni}^{+2}} + \frac{2.3 \text{ RT}}{2\text{F}} \log K_{\text{spNiCl}_2} + \frac{2.3\text{RT}}{2\text{F}} 2 \text{ pCl} \quad (4)$$

From Figure 5, and making use of the E° value of Ni/Ni^{+2} of 1.445 V determined in acidic melt as described previously, a K_{sp} value of NiCl_2 can be

determined and was found to be $10^{-13} (\text{m Kg}^{-1})^3$ which is in excellent agreement with the value of $10^{-12.8}$ calculated from the cyclic voltammetric data. Thus, the standard potential for the $\text{Ni/NiCl}_2/\text{Cl}^-$ couple would be 0.87 V vs Al. Furthermore, on the basis of the results which will be described in the next paragraph, a straight line in the range of pCl between 1 and 5 cannot be expected if the electrode corresponds to the Ni/NiO system, simply because the nature of the oxide containing species changes with the pCl.

Finally, oxide ions were added to the melt by dissolution of BaCO_3 . While BaCO_3 is completely and quickly solubilized, the dissolution of Na_2CO_3 is very slow and always incomplete. Attempts to dry Na_2CO_3 at different temperatures (from 25°C to 300°C) did not improve its solubility. No or only partial reaction has been reported by Sherer (19) and by Letisse and Tremillon (1) for the Na_2CO_3 dissolution. The potential of an anodized nickel electrode with respect to the Al reference electrode was then monitored as a function of the oxide concentration.

After each BaCO_3 addition, the pCl (measured with a separate Al electrode) was readjusted to its initial value by coulometric anodization of an Al electrode or AlCl_3 addition. In order to obtain reproducible and stable potential measurements, the large nickel electrode was anodized for 2 sec at 10 mA to restore the surface layer after each pCl adjustment. The results are summarized in Table II; the potential was found constant within 2 mV, despite a variation of the oxide concentration of a factor of 10. This is in marked contrast to the behavior reported by Tremillon.

ACID-BASE BEHAVIOR OF $\text{O}^=$ IONS IN CHLOROALUMINATE MELTS

The preceding results show clearly that the nickel electrode is a good pCl indicator (up to pCl 5) electrode, but is insensitive to the oxide content. As a direct consequence, the oxide ion behavior in chloroaluminate melt which

had been proposed (3) was reinvestigated. For instance, it had been assumed that the oxide containing species $AlOCl_2^-$ stays unchanged from $pCl = 1$ to $pCl = 4$ on the basis of the linearity of E.M.F. plot of an anodized nickel electrode versus pCl (3). If the precipitate is in fact $NiCl_2$, that conclusion is no longer valid and more accurate measurements of the basicity of $O^{=}$ ions are needed.

Precise titration of $O^{=}$ basic strength has been performed as a function of pCl as follows. The melt was first adjusted to the desired pCl which was continuously monitored with a separate Al electrode. A weighed amount of $BaCO_3$ was then added. Because the $O^{=}$ acts as a base, the pCl drops and so does the potential of the monitoring aluminum electrode. When all the $BaCO_3$ is completely dissolved, the melt is progressively readjusted to its initial pCl by coulometric anodization of another Al electrode. The resulting titration curves are shown in Figure 6 for an acidic melt and in Figure 7 for a basic melt. From the equivalents of Al oxidized in order to restore the initial pCl exactly, the basic strength of the $O^{=}$ ion can be calculated from the following relation:

$$\eta = \frac{\mu \text{ equivalents of Al} \times 4/3}{\mu \text{ equivalents of BaCO}_3 \text{ added}} \quad (5)$$

and $\eta =$ the ratio of number of Cl^- ions produced to the number of $O^{=}$ added


Because the amount of $BaCO_3$ added can be very small (≈ 10 mgs), the titration can be performed in narrow pCl ranges and η can be followed as a function of the pCl . Such a procedure is much preferable to a titration of a known amount of $Al_2Cl_7^-$ by $O^{=}$ addition because the value of η may change drastically along the titration curve and only an average value is obtained.

As it is shown in Table III, the $O^{=}$ ions behave as a tribase as long as the melt is kept acidic. This result is in very good agreement with the first

experiments of Tremillon but disagrees with the newest results. When the basicity is increased, the value of η decreases markedly and trends towards 2. Such a behavior is very similar to what has been observed for S, Se and Te (2). In the case of oxide ions, however, the pCl range in which such titrations can be performed is limited in the low pCl region by the precipitation, apparently of BaCl_2 .

In order to explain the decrease of η as a function of pCl, we will retain, as a first hypothesis, the species proposed by Tremillon; i.e., AlOCl and AlOCl_2^- but the range of their existence will be quite different. One also has to remember that electrochemical measurements do not really say very much about the structure of the oxide containing species, especially about solvation by AlCl_4^- and that other methods will be necessary to eventually confirm the proposed species.


The O^{2-} ions may then react with the melt as follows:



with the corresponding equilibrium constants:

$$K_1 = \frac{[\text{AlOCl}][\text{Cl}^-]^3}{[\text{O}^{2-}][\text{AlCl}_4^-]} \quad \text{and} \quad K_2 = \frac{[\text{AlOCl}_2^-][\text{Cl}^-]^2}{[\text{O}^{2-}][\text{AlCl}_4^-]} \quad (8)$$

In a first step, the dissociation of the oxide containing species AlOCl and AlOCl_2^- was supposed negligible or, in other words, the free O^{2-} content is very low. The only other equilibrium to consider is then:



$$\text{and} \quad K = \frac{K_1}{K_2} = \frac{[\text{AlOCl}][\text{Cl}^-]}{[\text{AlOCl}_2^-]} \quad (10)$$

In this case, the stoichiometric balance can be written as:

$$\eta_{\text{O}^{2-}} = [\text{AlOCl}] + [\text{AlOCl}_2^-] \quad (\eta_{\text{O}^{2-}} = \text{mole number of oxide added}) \quad (11)$$

$$n_{Cl^-} = 3[A1OC1] + 2[A1OC1_2^-] \quad (n_{Cl^-} = \text{mole number of } Cl^- \text{ produced}) \quad (12)$$

$$\text{and } n = \frac{n_{Cl^-}}{n_{O^{2-}}}$$

By solving these equations, one obtains:

$$\log \left(\frac{3-n}{n-2} \right) = \log [Cl] - \log K \quad (13)$$

Simple calculations show also that the preceding relation is also valid, whatever the initial oxide content of the melt is. In such a hypothesis, a plot of $\log \left(\frac{3-n}{n-2} \right)$ as a function of pCl should be a straight line with a slope equal to 1. The experimental results lead indeed to a straight line but the measured slope is close to 1.5 and the hypothesis made cannot then explain the results.

In a second step, we have assumed that the reactions (6) and (7) are not complete and that some free O^{2-} can exist in the solution, most probably in very basic melt. In this case, relation (11) has to include the amount of free oxide:

$$n_{O^{2-}} = [A1OC1] + [A1OC1_2^-] + [O^{2-}] \quad (14)$$

and because of that amount comes from the dissociation of $A1OC1$ and $A1OC1_2^-$, we can write:

$$[O^{2-}] = \frac{[A1OC1][Cl]^{-3}}{[A1Cl_4^-] K_1} + \frac{[A1OC1_2^-][Cl]^{-2}}{[A1Cl_4^-] K_2} \quad (15)$$

$$\text{and } n_{O^{2-}} = [A1OC1] \left\{ 1 + \frac{[Cl]^{-3}}{K'_1} \right\} + [A1OC1_2^-] \left\{ 1 + \frac{[Cl]^{-2}}{K'_2} \right\} \quad (16)$$

with $K'_1 = K_1 [A1Cl_4^-]$ and $K'_2 = K_2 [A1Cl_4^-]$.

Equation (12) is still valid and the system can be solved and lead to the following relationship:

$$\frac{2n}{3-n} [Cl]^{-3} = -K'_2 \left(\frac{n-2}{3-n} \right) [Cl] + K'_1 \quad (17)$$

A plot of $\frac{2\eta[\text{Cl}]^3}{(3-\eta)}$ vs $\frac{\eta-2}{3-\eta} [\text{Cl}]$ is shown in Figure 8 and the corresponding values of K_1 , K_2 and K are summarized in Table IV. By using the K_1 and K_2 values, the η plot as a function of $p\text{Cl}$, can be recalculated in order to check the validity of the hypothesis. The calculated curve is shown in Figure 9 together with the experimental points.

Knowing the stoichiometric constants K_1 , K_2 and K , it is then possible to calculate the distribution of AlOCl , AlOCl_2^- and O^- as a function of the $p\text{Cl}$ and this is shown in Figure 10. As one can see, the amount of free O^- is only important at very low $p\text{Cl}$ values and decreases drastically when the $p\text{Cl}$ is increased. In order to emphasize that effect, we have plotted the $\log \frac{[\text{O}^-]}{[\text{O}]_{\text{total}}}$ as a function of $p\text{Cl}$ (Figure 11). It can be seen that, above a $p\text{Cl}$ of 3, the quantity $\log \frac{[\text{O}^-]}{[\text{O}]_t}$ simply corresponds to the relation:

$$-\log \frac{[\text{O}^-]}{[\text{O}]_t} = \log \frac{K_1[\text{AlCl}_4^-]}{[\text{Cl}]^3} = -4.35 + 3 p\text{Cl} \quad (18)$$

The most important point of these measurements is that although the precision obtained on the equilibrium constants is not very high, even an error of an order of magnitude will not change the main conclusion that the amount of free oxide ions is definitely very small, especially in acidic melt. For instance, at a $p\text{Cl}$ of 5, if the total amount of oxides is 10^{-2} m Kg^{-1} , a solute at a concentration of 10^{-3} will only precipitate as an oxide if its solubility product is $<10^{-15}$.

The very high affinity of aluminum for oxygen resulting in a very low free oxide content can then explain why, except for Tremillon's work (3), no oxide precipitation has been reported in chloroaluminate melt.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Office of Naval Research under Contract N00014-77-C-0004 and by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Grant AFOSR-76-2978.

Helpful discussions with Dr. James Robinson and Dr. Thomas Santa Cruz are also acknowledged.

TABLE I
X-Ray of the Precipitate (Ni Anodization Experiment)

Precipitate d	NiCl ₂		NaAlCl ₄ (pCl=3.5)		NiO	
	found	<u>litt.</u> (16)	found	<u>litt.</u> (17)	found	<u>litt.</u> (18)
5.78 (100)	5.76 (100)	5.8 (100)	5.28 (40)	5.3 (50)	2.405 (40)	2.41 (91)
5.26 (60)			3.57 (40)	3.58 (50)	2.084 (100)	2.088 (100)
3.56 (40)			3.09 (100)	3.09 (75)		
3.083 (70)			2.955 (80)	2.95 (100)		
2.949 (60)	2.96 (30)	2.96 (40)	2.879 (80)	2.88 (100)		
2.873 (50)			2.783 (60)			
2.798 (30)			2.539 (100)	2.54 (75)		
2.544 (60)				2.47 (10)		
2.469 (60)	2.47 (100)	2.48 (100)				
--						
1.760 (30)			1.762 (40)	1.76 (34)		
1.739 (50)	1.736 (60)	1.74 (26)				
1.660 (40)	1.662 (30)	1.666 (12)				
			1.653 (30)	1.64 (10)		
					1.476 (50)	1.476 (54)
					1.258 (30)	1.259 (16)
					1.203 (20)	1.206 (13)
					0.9562 (5)	0.958 (7)
					0.9335 (20)	0.9338 (21)
					0.8525 (10)	0.8527 (17)

TABLE II

T = 200°C

pCl = 3.49

$\eta_{0=}$ added (m Kg ⁻¹)	ΔE Ni/Al (NaCl Saturated Melt)
0	1.220 V
1.14 10 ⁻²	1.2205
2.9 10 ⁻²	1.221
5.2 10 ⁻²	1.2225
8.5 10 ⁻²	1.219
11 10 ⁻²	1.218

$\bar{x} = 1.220 \pm 0.0015$

TABLE III

Evolution of η as a function of pCl

η	pCl
3.01	3.46
2.99	3.34
2.92	2.64
2.87	2.61
2.73	2.26
2.63	2.1
2.65	2.03
2.48	1.97
2.47	1.91
2.38	1.84

TABLE IV

Stoichiometric Equilibrium Constants

$$K_1 = (8.4 \pm 1.1) 10^{-6} \quad (\text{m Kg}^{-1})^2$$

$$K_2 = (5.2 \pm 1) 10^{-4} \quad (\text{m Kg}^{-1})$$

$$K = (1.6 \pm 0.5) 10^{-2} \quad (\text{m Kg}^{-1})$$

- FIGURE VI Titration curve of $O^=$ in an acidic melt ($T^\circ = 200^\circ C$).
 Starting potential (S.P.) = 286.5 mV ($pCl = 3.46$)
 $n_{O^=} \text{ added} = 156 \cdot 10^{-6}$ moles
 μ equivalent of current passed through the Al electrode (μc) = 353
 η measured = 3.01
- FIGURE VII Titration curve of $O^=$ in a basic melt ($T^\circ = 200^\circ C$)
 Starting potential (S.P.) = 116.0 mV ($pCl = 2.1$)
 $n_{O^=} \text{ added} = 82.6 \cdot 10^{-6}$ moles
 μ equivalents of current (μc) = 163
 η measured = 2.63
- FIGURE VIII Plot of $\frac{2\eta}{3-\eta} [Cl]^3$ as a function of $\frac{\eta-2}{3-\eta} [Cl]$.
- FIGURE IX η plot as a function of pCl .
 • experimental points
 — calculated curve from $\eta = \frac{3K'_1 + 2[Cl] K'_2}{K'_1 + K'_2 [Cl] + 2 [Cl]^3}$
- FIGURE X Distribution function of $AlOCl$, $AlOCl_2^-$ and $O^=$ as a function of pCl .
 $100 \frac{[X]}{[O]_t} =$ percentage of the oxide containing species under the form X.
- FIGURE XI Plot of the fraction of free oxide ions as a function of pCl .

REFERENCES

1. G. Letisse and B. Tremillon, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 17, 387 (1968).
2. J. Robinson, B. Gilbert and R. A. Osteryoung, to be published.
3. B. Tremillon, A. Bermond and R. Molina, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 74, 53 (1976).
4. H. A. Øye and D. M. Gruen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 4, 1173 (1965).
5. J. R. Morrey and R. H. Moore, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 67, 748 (1963).
6. R. H. Moore, *Inorg. Chem.*, 3, 1738 (1964).
7. J. Brynestad and G. P. Smith, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 92, 3198 (1970).
8. G. Torsi, K. W. Fung, G. M. Begun and G. Mamantov, *Inorg. Chem.*, 10, 2285 (1971).
9. M. D. Taylor and C. P. Carter, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 24, 387 (1962).
10. L. G. Boxall, H. L. Jones and R. A. Osteryoung, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 121, 213 (1974).
11. G. Lauer, H. Slein and R. A. Osteryoung, *Anal. Chem.*, 35, 1789 (1963).
12. D. L. Piron, S. Asakura and K. Nobe, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 123, 503 (1976).
13. R. Piontelli, G. Sternheim and M. Francini, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 24, 1113 (1956).
14. G. J. Hills, D. J. Schiffrin and J. Thompson, *Electrochim. Acta*, 19, 657 (1974).
15. P. Delahay, "New Instrumental Methods in Electrochemistry," Interscience, New York, 1954, p. 122.
16. National Bureau of Standards, Memo 25, Sec. 9 (1971).
17. K. N. Semenenko, V. N. Surov and N. S. Kedrova, *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 14, 481 (1969).
18. "Index to the X-Ray Powder Data File," J. V. Smith, Ed., 4/0855 ASTM (1962).
19. C. S. Sherer, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 34, 1615 (1972).




FIGURE 1




FIGURE 2




FIGURE 3




FIGURE 4



FIGURE 5




FIGURE 6




FIGURE 7




FIGURE 8




FIGURE 9




FIGURE 10




FIGURE 11

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

	<u>No. Copies</u>		<u>No. Copies</u>
Office of Naval Research Arlington, Virginia 22217 Attn: Code 472	2	Defense Documentation Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12
Office of Naval Research Arlington, Virginia 22217 Attn: Code 102IP	6	U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709 Attn: CRD-AA-IP	
ONR Branch Office 256 S. Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60605 Attn: Dr. George Sandoz	1	Commander Naval Undersea Research & Development Center San Diego, California 92132 Attn: Technical Library, Code 133	1
ONR Branch Office 715 Broadway New York, New York 10003 Attn: Scientific Dept.	1	Naval Weapons Center China Lake, California 93555 Attn: Head, Chemistry Division	1
ONR Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91106 Attn: Dr. R. J. Marcus	1	Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Port Hueneme, California 93041 Attn: Mr. W. S. Haynes	1
ONR Branch Office 760 Market Street, Rm. 447 San Francisco, California 94102 Attn: Dr. P. A. Miller	1	Professor O. Heinz Department of Physics & Chemistry Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	
ONR Branch Office 195 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210 Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles	1	Dr. A. L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code RD-1) Washington, D.C. 20380	1
Director, Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20390 Attn: Library, Code 2029 (ONRL) Technical Info. Div. Code 6100, 6170	6 1 1		
The Asst. Secretary of the Navy (R&D) Department of the Navy Room 4E736, Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20350	1		
Commander, Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20360 Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser)	1		

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

	<u>No. Copies</u>		<u>No. Copies</u>
Dr. Paul Delahay New York University Department of Chemistry New York, New York 10003	1	Dr. R. A. Huggins Stanford University Department of Materials Science & Engineering Stanford, California 94305	1
Dr. R. A. Ooteryoung Colorado State University Department of Chemistry Fort Collins, Colorado 80521	1	Dr. Joseph Singer, Code 302-1 NASA-Lewis 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135	1
Dr. E. Yeager Case Western Reserve University Department of Chemistry Cleveland, Ohio 41106	1	Dr. B. Brummer EIC Incorporated 55 Chapel Street Newton, Massachusetts 02158	1
Dr. D. N. Bennion University of California Energy Kinetics Department Los Angeles, California 90024	1	Library P. R. Mallory and Company, Inc. P. O. Box 706 Indianapolis, Indiana 46206	1
Dr. J. W. Kauffman Northwestern University Department of Materials Science Evanston, Illinois 60201	1	Dr. P. J. Hendra University of Southampton Department of Chemistry Southampton SO9 5NH United Kingdom	
Dr. R. A. Marcus University of Illinois Department of Chemistry Urbana, Illinois 61801	1	Dr. Sam Perone Purdue University Department of Chemistry West Lafayette, Indiana 47907	1
Dr. M. Eisenberg Electrochimica Corporation 2485 Charleston Road Mountain View, California 94040	1	Dr. Royce W. Murray University of North Carolina Department of Chemistry Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514	1
Dr. J. J. Auburn GTE Laboratories, Inc. 40 Sylvan Road Waltham, Massachusetts 02154	1	Dr. J. Proud GTE Laboratories Inc. Waltham Research Center 40 Sylvan Road Waltham, Massachusetts 02154	1
Dr. Adam Heller Bell Telephone Laboratories Murray Hill, New Jersey	1	Mr. J. F. McCartney Naval Undersea Center Sensor and Information Technology Dept. San Diego, California 92132	1
Dr. T. Katan Lockheed Missiles & Space Co., Inc. P.O. Box 504 Sunnyvale, California 94088	1		

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. Copies</u>		<u>No. Copies</u>
	Dr. J. H. Ambrus The Electrochemistry Branch Materials Division, Research & Technology Dept. Naval Surface Weapons Center White Oak Laboratory Silver Spring, Maryland 20910	1
	Dr. G. Goodman Globe-Union Inc. 5757 North Green Bay Avenue Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201	1
	Dr. J. Boechler Electrochimica Corporation Attention: Technical Library 2485 Charleston Road Mountain View, California 94040	1
	Dr. D. L. Warburton The Electrochemistry Branch Materials Division, Research & Technology Dept. Naval Surface Weapons Center White Oak Laboratory Silver Spring, Maryland 20910	1
	Dr. R.C. Chudacek McGraw-Edison Company Edison Battery Division Post Office Box 28 Bloomfield, New Jersey 07003	1