



	39	AD
	BRL MR 27	BRL B
	Н	MEMORANDUM REPORT NO. 2766
	0 0	X_{α} CALCULATION OF TRANSITION ENERGIES
	143	IN MULTIPLY IONIZED ATOMS
	AD A O	D. A. Ringers
		July 1977
		Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.
1	COPY	B
No.//	EIE	USA ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND USA BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND
B		

6

A state of the sta

28

.

(9) REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FOR			
1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION N	0. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER			
BRL Memorandum Report, 2766				
L TITLE (and Subilia)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVE			
lonized Atoms	1			
	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBI			
7. AUTHOR(a)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)			
D.A./Ringers				
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TAREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS			
Ballistic Research Laboratory	1L1611Ø2AH43			
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005				
US Army Materiel Development & Readiness Command	JULY 1977			
5001 Eisenhower Avenue	18. NUMBER OF PAGES			
Alexandria, VA 22333 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(11 different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)			
(2) III 7	Unclassified			
(2)27P.	154. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADI			
11	SCREDULE			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abatract entered in Block 20, if different	from Report)			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, if different	(rom Report)			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, if different	from Report)			
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Portions of this work were performed while the action of the superior of the sup	ithor was a member of the			
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Portions of this work were performed while the ar Department of Physics, University of Oregon, wher 	uthor was a member of the e the work was supported by			
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Portions of this work were performed while the a Department of Physics, University of Oregon, wher the US Army Research Office-Durham and by the Nat Administration (Grant No. NGR-38-003-036). 	trom Report) uthor was a member of the e the work was supported by ional Aeronautics and Space			
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Portions of this work were performed while the at Department of Physics, University of Oregon, wher the US Army Research Office-Durham and by the Nat Administration (Grant No. NGR-38-003-036). 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide 11 necessary and identify by block numb 	trom Report) uthor was a member of the e the work was supported by ional Aeronautics and Space			
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Portions of this work were performed while the ar Department of Physics, University of Oregon, wher the US Army Research Office-Durham and by the Nat Administration (Grant No. NGR-38-003-036). 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II necessary and Identify by block numb Electronic Excitations, X-Alpha Method and Transi 	trom Report) uthor was a member of the e the work was supported by ional Aeronautics and Space er)			
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Portions of this work were performed while the a Department of Physics, University of Oregon, wher the US Army Research Office-Durham and by the Nat Administration (Grant No. NGR-38-003-036). 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and Identify by block numb Electronic Excitations, X-Alpha Method and Transit 	trom Report) Athor was a member of the e the work was supported by ional Aeronautics and Space er) tion Energies			
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, if different *Portions of this work were performed while the a Department of Physics, University of Oregon, wher the US Army Research Office-Durham and by the Nat Administration (Grant No. NGR-38-003-036). 18. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II necessary and Identify by block numb Electronic Excitations, X-Alpha Method and Transi 	trom Report) uthor was a member of the e the work was supported by ional Aeronautics and Space "") tion Energies			
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, if different *Portions of this work were performed while the a Department of Physics, University of Oregon, wher the US Army Research Office-Durham and by the Nat Administration (Grant No. NGR-38-003-036). KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and identify by block numb Electronic Excitations, X-Alpha Method and Transi 	trom Report) Athor was a member of the e the work was supported by ional Aeronautics and Space er) tion Energies			
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, if different *Portions of this work were performed while the a Department of Physics, University of Oregon, wher the US Army Research Office-Durham and by the Nat Administration (Grant No. NGR-38-003-036). 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde it necessary and identify by block numb Electronic Excitations, X-Alpha Method and Transi The Xa method has been applied to the calculation multiply ionized atoms. It is chown these the the 	<pre>trom Report) uthor was a member of the e the work was supported by ional Aeronautics and Space "" tion Energies " (hmn) ation of ionization energie</pre>			
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Portions of this work were performed while the ar Department of Physics, University of Oregon, wher the US Army Research Office-Durham and by the Nat Administration (Grant No. NGR-38-003-036). 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and identify by block number Electronic Excitations, X-Alpha Method and Transi 24. Approximate on reverse elde 11 necessary and identify by block number The Xa method has been applied to the calculation multiply ionized atoms. It is shown that the be improved if appropriate (different) values of content of the calculation of the statement of the propriate (different) values of content of the calculation of the ca	 trom Report) uthor was a member of the e the work was supported by ional Aeronautics and Space tion Energies (hmn) ation of ionization energie accuracy of calculations calculations			
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Portions of this work were performed while the a Department of Physics, University of Oregon, wher the US Army Research Office-Durham and by the Nat Administration (Grant No. NGR-38-003-036). 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numbe Electronic Excitations, X-Alpha Method and Transi The Xa method has been applied to the calcula in multiply ionized atoms. It is shown that the be improved if appropriate (different) values of a energy differentiation is not an use the Slater trans 	 trom Report) uthor was a member of the e the work was supported by ional Aeronautics and Space tion Energies (hmn) ation of ionization energie accuracy of calculations cat are used for each configuition state, wherein a tot 			
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Portions of this work were performed while the as Department of Physics, University of Oregon, wher the US Army Research Office-Durham and by the Nat Administration (Grant No. NGR-38-003-036). 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide II necessary and identify by block numb Electronic Excitations, X-Alpha Method and Transi The Xα method has been applied to the calcula in multiply ionized atoms. It is shown that the be improved if appropriate (different) values of a tion. Alternatively, one can use the Slater trans energy difference is related to a difference in si By a series expansion, the value of a for an ever 	 trom Report) athor was a member of the e the work was supported by ional Aeronautics and Space (hmn) ation Energies (hmn) ation of ionization energie accuracy of calculations can are used for each configuisition state, wherein a tot ingle-electron eigenvalues. 			
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Portions of this work were performed while the a Department of Physics, University of Oregon, wher the US Army Research Office-Durham and by the Nat Administration (Grant No. NGR-38-003-036). 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numbe Electronic Excitations, X-Alpha Method and Transi The Xa method has been applied to the calcula in multiply ionized atoms. It is shown that the be improved if appropriate (different) values of a tion. Alternatively, one can use the Slater trans energy difference is related to a difference in s: By a series expansion, the value of a for an excitation 	 trom Report) athor was a member of the e the work was supported by ional Aeronautics and Space (hmn) ation of ionization energie accuracy of calculations cat are used for each configuisition state, wherein a tot ingle-electron eigenvalues. ced configuration can be cation. The terms Δa(∂E/∂a) 			

rent page

B

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered) thus introduced, exhibit a similar dependence on atomic number as the ground-state values of α . Results of sample calculations are reported and compared with experiment. UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

																						ł	Page	
Ι.	INTROD	UCTI	ON.										•		•			•		•	•	•	5	
II.	THEORY	• •			•			•	•	•	•				•	•	•	•	•	•			5	
111.	RESULT	S AN	DI	DIS	SCU	SS	ION	۷.	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•				7	
IV.	CONCLU	SION	s.		•			•		•	•	•		•			•	•		•			13	
	REFERE	NCES			•					•		•	•		•		•	•	•				14	
	DISTRI	BUTI	ON	LI	ST	• •																	15	

NTIS DDC UNANNOUNC	White Section D Boff Section
IUSTIFICATIO	IN
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
BY	
BY DISTRIBUTION	/AVA: ADDITY CODES
BY DISTRIBUTION Dist.	ANA AD ITY CODES

I. INTRODUCTION

An increasing need has recently arisen for comprehensive theoretical work on transition energies, x-ray emission rates and Auger transition probabilities pertaining to multiply ionized atoms. Theoretical information on these atomic quantities is required to interpret a growing body of data derived from ion-atom collision experiments, beam-foil

spectroscopy, data expected to arise from astrophysical processes¹, and data from experimental programs in combustion and erosion.

II. THEORY

In order to compute transition energies we have used the X α method². The X α eigenvalues and eigenfunctions agree closely with results from the Hartree-Fock (HF) method for closed-shell atoms and from the Hyper-Hartree-Fock (HHF) method for open-shell atoms³. And, with its local approximation to the exchange, the X α method leads to computational

simplifications. The concept of the transition state⁴, whereby the ionization energy, a difference in total energy is well approximated by a single-electron eigenvalue, can be readily implemented in the X_a theory. The transition-state concept avoids the necessity of carrying out two high-precision calculations, one for the initial-state total energy and one for the final-state total energy, which is usually necessary in the HF or HHF frameworks. Instead, it is possible to calculate directly a total energy <u>difference</u>. The X_a method can also be extended to molecules and solids, whereas the HF method is in practice only useful for isolated atoms. It can be shown that Fermi statistics and the Hellman-Feynmann and virial theorems are inherently satisfied^{5,6}. The transition-state concept is finding increasing appli-

cation and has been providing results in good agreement with experiment. So far, however, the method has not been utilized to achieve the accuracy of which it is capable. Thus, deviations of 10 eV from experi-

mental binding energies of ~ 200 eV have been noted^{\prime}. Considerable improvement is possible, as indicated below.

- 1. T. Bunch, L. Caroff, and Hans Mark, in Atomic Inner-Shell Processes, edited by B. Crasemann (Academic Press, New York, 1975).
- Slater, J.C., Advances in Quantum Chemistry, edited by P.O. Lowdin, (Academic Press, New York, 1972).
- Slater, J.C., <u>Quantum Theory of Atomic Structure</u>, Vol. 11, (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1960).
- 4. Slater, J.C., J. Phys. (Paris) 33, 1 (1972), for example.
- Slater, J.C., Quantum Theory of Molecules and Solids. The Self-Consistent Field for Molecules and Solids, Vol. IV, (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1974).

- 6. Slater, J.C., J. Chem. Phys. 57, 2389 (1973).
- 7. Beebe, N.H.F., Chem. Phys. Lett. 19, 290 (1973).

Generally, in an atomic (or molecular, or solid) Slater transitionstate calculation, a value of α for the neutral-atom ground-state configuration is used⁸. This procedure does not achieve the potential inherent in the method, particularly for inner shells. The problem lies in the fact that the value of α which is appropriate for the ground state is not appropriate for an ion with one or more inner-shell vacancies. In the X α theory, the total energy is a functional of α , and since the virial theorem expresses a relationship between the kinetic and potential energy of the system, one might expect different values of α to satisfy the virial theorem for the ground and excited states. To calculate the difference between the ground total energy $E_0(\alpha_0)$ and an excited-state total energy $E_e(\alpha_e)$, it is therefore necessary to relate the excited-state α_e to the ground-state α_0 .

The original Slater transition-state concept for the process of ionization may be derived from an expansion of the total energy 9 and can be expressed by the relation

$$E_{o}(\alpha_{o}) - E_{e}(\alpha_{o}) = \frac{\partial E}{\partial n_{i}} | = \varepsilon_{i}, \qquad (1)$$

where ε_i is a single-electron eigenvalue of a state with occupation number halfway between the initial-state and final-state occupation numbers, and n_i is this occupation number, which formally need not be integral, evaluated at the reference state indicated by the subscript r. We expand

$$E_{e}(\alpha_{e}) = E_{e}(\alpha_{o}) + \Delta \alpha \frac{\partial E}{\partial \alpha}, \qquad (2)$$

where $\Delta \alpha$ stands for the difference $\alpha_e - \alpha_o$. Taking account of the change in α , we therefore have

$$E_{o}(\alpha_{o}) - E_{e}(\alpha_{e}) = \varepsilon_{i} - \Delta \alpha \frac{\partial E}{\partial \alpha} .$$
 (3)

It has been common to use $E_e(\alpha_0)$ instead of $E_e(\alpha_e)$, neglecting the term $\Delta\alpha(\partial E/\partial\alpha)$; this is reasonable for outer shells. However, for inner shells it is often necessary to retain the additional term. In particular, this term cannot be neglected in calculations of Auger and Coster-Kronig

^{8.} Schwarz, K., Phys. Rev. B 5, 2466 (1972).

^{9.} Slater, J.C., and J.H. Wood, Int. J. Quant. Chem. tr, 3 (1970).

transitions, in which the energy of the emitted electron can be of the order of only a few eV. If neither the initial nor the final state is the ground state, two terms of the form $\Delta\alpha(\partial E/\partial\alpha)$ appear on the right hand side of Eq. (3).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We have determined these additional terms and computed certain transition energies for a few multiply ionized atoms in order to test the approach. Preliminary calculations indicate that $(\partial E/\partial \alpha)$, while differing for each shell of a given atom, can be reasonably well approximated for most shells by the corresponding value calculated by Schwarz⁸ for neutral atoms. The factor $\Delta \alpha$ seems, from our prelimiary calculations, to be a nearly linear function of Z for a given shell, behaving in much the same way as the ground-state α 's⁸ (Figs. 1-3).

Selected nonrelativistic values of $\Delta \alpha (\partial E/\partial \alpha)$ are listed in Table I. With these terms, relativistic binding energies were derived that agree quite well with measurements ¹⁰ (Table II). As a further test of the method, we have calculated the energies of a few selected x-ray satellites and tentatively identified them with measured non-diagram, or satellite lines (Table III). A satellite line occurs near a relatively low lying energy level as a result of a rearrangement of the outer electrons.

Recent work¹¹ has indicated that the energy difference between the KB''' satellite in aluminum, which has been attributed to a $KL_{2,3} \xrightarrow{+} L_{2,3}^{M}$ transition¹², and the K α satellite, which is due to a $KL_{2,3} \xrightarrow{+} (L_{2,3})^2$ transition¹³, should be equal to the energy of the $L_{2,3}$ satellite $(L_{2,3})^2 \xrightarrow{+} L_{2,3}^{M}$ and have a value of 15.2 \pm 0.3 eV. Calculation of these transition energies by the X α method yields a value of 13.8 eV, which is in good agreement with the experimentally obtained value.

 Siegbahn, K., C. Nordling, G. Johnsson, J. Hedman, P.F. Heden, K. Hamrin, V. Gelius, T. Bergmark, L.W. Werme, R. Manne and Y. Baer, ESCA: Applied to Free Molecules, (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1969).
 Hanson, W.F. and E.T. Arkawa, Z. Physik <u>251</u>, 271 (1972).

13. Horak, Z., Proc. Soc. (London) A77, 980 (1961).

^{12.} Neddermeyer, H. and G. Wieck, Phys. Lett. 31A, 17 (1970).



Figure 1. The term $\Delta \alpha$, as a function of Z, for the 1s shell of selected atoms for which the outermost electrons are in the 3p state.







Figure 3. The term $\Delta \alpha$, as a function of Z, for the 2p shell of selected atoms for which the outermost electrons are in the 3p state.

Removed	Δ		
Electron	A1	C1	Ar
ls	-9.81	-10.46	-10.41
2s	7.38	11.15	12.28
2p	-2.66	- 2.29	- 2.02
3s	-0.93	1.97	3.40
3р	0.23	- 0.74	- 0.81

Table I. Values of $\Delta\alpha(\partial E/\partial\alpha)$ for Selected Transitions.

Table II. Relativistic Binding Energies in Neutral Ar (in eV).

$\Delta \alpha \frac{\partial E}{\partial \alpha}$ Experiment ^a
0.2 -3206.0
7.0 - 326.3
0.1 - 250.6
8.1 - 248.5

^a Measured binding energies, from Ref. 10.

	Energies in	Multiply Ioniz	ed Atoms.				
		Experime	nt			Theory ^a	
Element	Diagram Line	Satellite Line Di	Energy fference (eV)	Ref.	Initial Vacancy Configuration of Satellite	Final Vacancy Configuration of Satellite	Energy Shift With Respect to Diagram Lines (eV)
Al	Ka ₂	Κα'	5.69	15	1s2p	³ P 2p,2p	6.90
	Ka ₂	Ka4	11.85	15	1s2p	¹ D 2p,2p	12.00
	Ka ₂	Ka _{.5}	19.71	15	1s2p	¹ S 2p,2p	19.63
	Ka ₂	Ka ₆	23.56	15	1s2p	2p,3s	23.15
CI	Ka ₂	Κα'	10.73	15	1s2p	³ P 2p,2p	10.92
	Ka ₂	Ka.3	17.44	15	1s2p	¹ P 2p,2p	18.24
	Kβ ₁	KB ^V	2.44	15	ls3p	³ P 3p,3p	2.36
Ar	Kβ _{1,3}	KB' I	8 ^b	16	ls3p	¹ S 3p,3p	7.20
	KB1,3	Kβ ^V	3.3	16	ls3p	1 _D 3p,3p	3.80
a	ant und						

Present work. q

Scaled from graph in Ref. 14. Druyvesteyn, M.J., Z. Physik $\frac{43}{12}$, 707 (1927). Ford, O.R., Phys. Rev. $\frac{41}{11}$, 577 (1932). Larkins, F.P., J. Phys. $\underline{B4}$, 1 (1971).

14. 15. 16.

12

Table III. Experimentally Observed X-Ray Satellite Lines and Theoretically Derived Transition

IV. CONCLUSIONS

A consideration of the appropriate value of the exchange-correlation parameter for each configuration leads to improved results for ionization energies in multiply ionized atoms, when calculated by the formalism of the X- α theory. The terms $\Delta \alpha$ vary with atomic number in a manner similar to the variation of the ground state α values.

REFERENCES

1.	T. Bunch, L. Caroff, and Hans Mark, in <u>Atomic Inner-Shell Processes</u> edited by B. Crasemann (Academic Press, New York, 1975).
2.	Slater, J.C., <u>Advances in Quantum Chemistry</u> , edited by P.O. Lowdin, (Academic Press, New York, 1972).
3.	Slater, J.C., <u>Quantum Theory of Atomic Structure</u> , Vol. II, (McGraw- Hill, New York, 1960).
4.	Slater, J.C., J. Phys. (Paris) $\underline{33}$, 1 (1972), for example.
5.	Slater, J.C., <u>Quantum Theory of Molecules and Solids</u> . The Self- Consistent Field for Molecules and Solids, Vol. IV, (McGraw-Hill New York, 1974).
6.	Slater, J.C., J. Chem. Phys. <u>57</u> , 2389 (1973).
7.	Beebe, N.H.F., Chem. Phys. Lett. 19, 290 (1973).
8.	Schwarz, K., Phys. Rev. B 5, 2466 (1972).
9.	Slater, J.C., and J.H. Wood, Int. J. Quant. Chem. 54, 3 (1970).
10.	Siegbahn, K., C. Nordling, G. Johnsson, J. Hedman, P.F. Heden, K. Hamrin, V. Gelius, T. Bergmark, L.W. Werme, R. Manne and Y. Baer, ESCA: Applied to Free Molecules, (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1969).
11.	Hanson, W.F. and E.T. Arkawa, Z. Physik 251, 271 (1972).
12.	Neddermeyer, H. and G. Wieck, Phys. Lett. <u>31A</u> , 17 (1970).
13.	Horak, Z., Proc. Soc. (London) <u>A77</u> , 980 (1961).
14.	Druyvesteyn, M.J., Z. Physik <u>43</u> , 707 (1927).
15.	Ford, O.R., Phys. Rev. <u>41</u> , 577 (1932).
16.	Larkins, F.P., J. Phys. <u>B4</u> , 1 (1971).

「日本のないないない」

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies Organization

- No. of
- 12 Commander
- Defense Documentation Center ATTN: DDC-TCA Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314
- Commander
 US Army Materiel Development
 and Readiness Command
 ATTN: DRCDMA-ST
 5001 Eisenhower Avenue
 Alexandria, VA 22333
- 1 Commander US Army Aviation Systems Command ATTN: DRSAV-E 12th and Spruce Streets St. Louis, MO 63166
- 1 Director US Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory Ames Research Center Moffett Field, CA 94035
- 1 Commander US Army Missile Research and Development Command ATTN: DRDMI-R Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809
- Commander
 US Army Tank Automotive
 Development Command
 ATTN: DRDTA-RWL
 Warren, MI 48090
- 2 Commander US Army Mobility Equipment Research & Development Command ATTN: Tech Docu Cen, Bldg 315 DRSME-RZT Fort Belvoir, VA 22060

- Copies Organization
 - 1 Commander US Army Armament Materiel Readiness Command Rock Island, IL 61202
 - 3 Commander US Armament Research and Development Command ATTN: Dr. J. Sharma, Dr. T. Gora Dr. P. Kemmey Dover, NJ 07801
 - 1 Commander US Army Harry Diamond Labs ATTN: DRXDO-TI 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783
 - 1 Director US Army TRADOC Systems Analysis Activity ATTN: ATAA-SA White Sands Missile Range NM 88002
 - National Science Foundation Division of Materials Research ATTN: Dr. J.W.D. Connolly 1800 G Street Washington, DC 20550
 - 1 Northwestern University Physics Department ATTN: Prof. D.E. Ellis Evanston, ILL 60201
 - 3 University of Florida Quantum Theory Project ATTN: Dr. Brett Dunlap Prof. J. Sabin Prof. S. Trickey Williamson Hall Gainesville, FL 32611

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of	
Copies	Organization

- 1 University of Pennsylvania Department of Physics ATTN: Dr. J.B. Danese Philadelphia, PA 19174
- 1 University of Rochester Department of Chemistry ATTN: Dr. John Bellum Rochester, NY 14627

Aberdeen Proving Ground

Marine Corps Ln Ofc Dir, USAMSAA