

12

AD A 038296

SPIROMETRIC ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESPIRATORY IMPAIRMENT IN GENERAL AVIATION AIRMEN

Michael T. Lategola
Marinus Flux
Peggy J. Lyne

Civil Aeromedical Institute
Federal Aviation Administration
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma



20000726099

January 1977

Document is available to the public through the
National Technical Information Service,
Springfield, Virginia 22151

AD NO. _____
DDC FILE COPY

Prepared for

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION
Office of Aviation Medicine
Washington, D.C. 20591

DDC
RECEIVED
APR 15 1977
A

Reproduced From
Best Available Copy

NOTICE

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof.

1. Report No. FAA-AM-77-3		2. Government Accession No.		3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title SPIROMETRIC ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESPIRATORY IMPAIRMENT IN GENERAL AVIATION AIRMEN		5. Report Date		6. Performing Organization Code	
7. Author Michael T. Lategola, Marinus Flux, and Peggy J. Lyne		8. Performing Organization Report No. 115 J-77		9. Performing Organization Name and Address FAA Civil Aeromedical Institute P. O. Box 25082 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73125	
10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)		11. Contract or Grant No.		12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Office of Aviation Medicine Federal Aviation Administration 800 Independence Avenue, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20591	
13. Type of Report and Period Covered OAM Report		14. Sponsoring Agency Code		15. Supplementary Notes Work was performed under Task AM-A-76-PHY-92.	
16. Abstract Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease continues to manifest an increasing prevalence in male Americans. A recent study of commercial airline pilots revealed a 12-percent prevalence of minor-to-moderate spirometric impairment. Because commensurate data were not available for general aviation pilots, in whom such impairment could also compromise flight safety, a parallel study was made. The British Medical Research Council and smoking questionnaires, chest expansion, and spirometric measurements of FEV ₁ , FVC, FEV ₁ %, MVV, and FEF ₂₅₋₇₅ were assessed in 181 male general aviation pilots. All data showed a general relationship to increasing age and amount of smoking. Based on FEV ₁ and FEF ₂₅₋₇₅ combined, minor degrees of spirometric impairment were exceeded by 25.4 percent of the pilots and moderate degrees, by 12.7 percent. Negligible impairment was reflected in the remaining spirometric parameters. Subsequent testing of such spirometrically impaired pilots for altitude, fatigue, and orthostatic tolerances related to general aviation is planned.					
17. Key Words General Aviation Airmen, Spirometric Impairment, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, Flight Safety			18. Distribution Statement Document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22151		
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified		20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified		21. No. of Pages	22. Price

267 320

Acknowledgment

The authors sincerely acknowledge the contributions of Drs. Samuel F. Flynn and Lyle B. Cartwright in the areas of recruitment and medical screening of subjects.

AC	
NTA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDC	<input type="checkbox"/>
US	<input type="checkbox"/>
JE	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT	
GROUP 1	
UNCLASSIFIED	
DATE 11/11/01 BY SP4/ML	
A	

SPIROMETRIC ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESPIRATORY IMPAIRMENT IN GENERAL AVIATION AIRMEN

I. Introduction.

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) mainly encompasses emphysema, chronic bronchitis, and asthma. Recent statistics indicate an increasing prevalence of COPD in the American population.^{1,2} The risk of incurring COPD is estimated at 5 to 10 times greater in men than in women.¹ In general, COPD is positively correlated with age, inhaled cigarette smoking, and contamination of the gaseous environment.³

Several variations of COPD of "sufficient degree to be symptomatic" and/or "to interfere with pulmonary function" are among the conditions for which medical certification of airmen is denied or deferred.⁴ Quantitative spirometric standards for healthy, normal American males have been established by previous studies.^{5,6} Quantitative spirometry in a recent study of 257 commercial airline pilots (40-59 yr of age) showed that minor-to-moderate spirometric impairment existed in 12 percent of this population and was highly correlated with age and cigarette smoking.⁷ Unless clinically indicated, quantitative spirometric evaluation is not a mandatory part of the aeromedical examination. Minor-to-moderate spirometric impairment could adversely affect safe flight by the general aviation pilot breathing only ambient air at cabin altitudes approaching 12,500 ft.

Currently, published prevalence data on minor-to-moderate degrees of spirometric impairment in general aviation pilots do not appear to exist. Based on quantitative spirometry, the main objectives of this study are to ascertain if minor spirometric impairment is present or exceeded in the male general aviation population and, if present, at what age threshold such impairment appears in the non-smokers (NS), smokers (S), and ex-smokers (ES) of this population. If spirometric impairment is present to substantial degrees, additional research can be initiated to

test the effect of such degrees of impairment on critical aspects of safe flight. Any adverse effect revealed by such testing could be countered by an educational Advisory Circular and/or the formulation of a relevant screening aeromedical standard based on quantitative spirometric values.

II. Materials and Methods.

Selection of Subjects. Volunteer males, 30 yr of age or older, were recruited from personnel of the FAA Aeronautical Center and residents of communities surrounding Oklahoma City. Each volunteer was a general aviation pilot and possessed a current airman medical certificate at the time of spirometric assessment. Prior to spirometry, each subject was examined medically for any thoracic condition that would preclude testing of this type. A questionnaire on smoking history⁸ and one by the British Medical Research Council (BMRC) on respiratory symptoms⁹ were completed by all subjects. Age, height, weight, Framingham relative weight, and total number of pack years of inhaled cigarette smoking for all subjects in each smoking category are summarized in Table 1.

Spirometry Protocol. All spirometry was conducted in a temperature-controlled room with each subject seated in a comfortable padded chair. A Collins 13.5-liter spirometer was used to obtain timed paper recordings of the expiratory forced vital capacity (FVC) and maximum ventilatory volume (MVV) maneuvers. Both maneuvers were conducted in accordance with standardized clinical spirometry procedures.¹⁰ For each of these two maneuvers, the best recording from three maximum efforts was selected for subsequent analysis.¹¹ From the FVC recording, the 1-sec forced expired volume (FEV₁), the FVC, and the forced midexpiratory flow (FEF₂₅₋₇₅) were measured directly. The FEV₁/FVC x 100 (FEV₁%) was calculated by

TABLE 1. NS, non-smoker, never smoked, or smoked for 1 pack year and had quit for >5 years; S, smoker, current smoker with a total smoking amount of >5 pack years; ES, ex-smoker, total smoking amount of >5 pack years and had currently quit for >6 months. Pack year, an average of 20 cigarettes smoked per day per year. Age, calculated to the nearest completed year. Ht, height in cm measured in stocking feet. Wt, weight in kg measured shirtless and shoeless and corrected for residual clothing weight. FRW, Framingham relative weight [actual weight in pounds/136 pounds \cdot (1 (Ht in inches - 60) \times 100).¹²

		Smoking Categories		
		NS	S	ES
Age (yr)	m	45.5	44.5	48.1
	SE	1.1	1.2	1.1
Ht (cm)	m	175.5	177.5	174.5
	SE	0.8	0.8	0.8
Wt (kg)	m	83.1	84.1	82.0
	SE	1.5	1.7	1.9
FRW (%)	m	106.2	105.4	105.6
	SE	1.6	1.9	1.8
Amount Smoked (pack yr)	m	0.1	29.6	32.5
	SE	0.007	2.4	3.5
N		69	55	57

using the directly measured parameters. A 12-sec portion of the best 20-sec MVV recording was measured and proportionalized to represent the MVV per minute. All gas volumes were corrected to BTPS. As a correlate of FVC, circumferential chest expansion (maximum inspiration, minus maximum expiration) was measured in centimeters horizontally at the nipple level with a steel tape according to a standard anthropometric procedure.⁷

III. Results

BMRC Questionnaire. This questionnaire covers seven subjective respiratory symptom areas and has a total of 27 yes/no questions. Table 2 summarizes the percent of "yes" answers

for all subjects in each age bracket and smoking category. In the "all ages" category, non-smokers manifested the fewest subjective respiratory symptoms, followed in ascending order by the ex-smokers and smokers. This general symptomatic separation of the three smoking categories was even more accentuated in each of the "rough," "phlegm," "breathlessness," "wheezing," and "weather" categories of the questionnaire. The smaller percentage of respiratory symptoms in the ex-smoker, compared with the smokers, may reflect a real benefit of quitting the habit. Within each smoking category, the relationship of increasing subjective symptoms with age was not as clear cut as might be expected. The unexpected lowest percentage of symptoms occurred in the non-smokers who were 60 yr of age or older. This probably reflects unique health maintenance by these five older pilots, because most of their spirometry parameters exceeded 100 percent of their predicted normal values.

Spirometry. In this study, all FEV₁, FVC, and MVV values are expressed as percentages of the predicted normal values based on the Veterans Administration-Army study.¹³ All FEV₂₅₋₇₅% values are expressed in the same manner but are based on the study of Morris *et al.*¹² For these four parameters, 80 percent is the generally accepted threshold value for minor spirometric impairment¹ and 70 percent, for moderate impairment. All values greater than 80 percent indicate normality, and those between 70 and 80 percent indicate minor impairment. For FEV₁%, the corresponding threshold values for minor and moderate spirometric impairment are 75 percent and 65 percent respectively.

The FEV₁, FVC, FEV₁%, MVV, and FEV₂₅₋₇₅% data are summarized in Tables 3-7; age brackets and smoking categories are identical to those in Table 2. Subject numbers in each age bracket-smoking category are omitted from these five tables because the identical data are compiled in Table 2. For all five parameters, the data within each age bracket reveal a general pattern of greatest separation between the non-smokers and the other two smoking categories. In the "all ages" category of each table, an expected stronger data separation between the smokers and ex-smokers was most probably diluted by the complete absence of data for the >60-year old smokers. Although sufficient

TABLE 2.—NS, S, and ES are defined in Table 1. 7 percent of 27 questions (BMRC questionnaire) answer "yes." m=mean, SE=standard error, and N=number of subjects.

BMRC (% yes)		AGE				All
		30-39	40-49	50-59	≥60	
NS	m	52	87	74	30	68
	SE	14	25	27	18	12
	N	20	72	20	5	69
S	m	127	121	230	—	159
	SE	31	34	42	—	22
	N	19	18	18	—	55
ES	m	125	88	93	185	103
	SE	40	19	18	149	17
	N	8	24	20	5	57
All	m	93	97	129	107	107
	SE	15	15	19	75	10
	N	49	64	58	10	181

numbers of ≥60-year-old smoking pilots reside in proximity to the location of this study, all who were actively solicited for the study refused to participate.

For all five spirometric parameters, the data within each smoking category reveal a general pattern of decreasing values with age. Despite the absence of data in this study for the ≥60-year-old smokers, this group's age-related decrements in spirometric parameters would conservatively be expected to equal or exceed those manifested by the ≥60-year-old ex-smoker group (Tables 3-7).¹⁵

TABLE 3.—Summary of FEV₁ data. Δ=number of individuals with minor spirometric impairment, defined as a % of predicted normal value of <80%. □=number of individuals with moderate spirometric impairment, defined as a % of predicted normal value of <70%. All other symbols are defined in Table 1.

FEV ₁ (% Pred)		AGE				All
		30-39	40-49	50-59	≥60	
NS	m	1073	1114	1156	1098	1112
	SE	23	24	32	54	16
	Δ	0	0	0	0	0
	□	0	0	0	0	0
S	m	1052	1009	1000	—	1020
	SE	43	30	27	—	20
	Δ	2	0	0	—	2
	□	1	0	0	—	1
ES	m	1056	1036	1062	843	1031
	SE	32	25	34	141	21
	Δ	0	0	1	1	2
	□	0	0	1	1	2
All	m	1062	1055	1075	970	1059
	SE	21	16	20	83	11
	Δ	2	0	1	1	4
	□	1	0	1	1	3

TABLE 4.—Summary of FVC data. All symbols are defined in Table 3.

FVC (% Pred)		AGE				All
		30-39	40-49	50-59	≥60	
NS	m	248	1066	1099	1019	1067
	SE	28	25	30	49	15
	Δ	0	0	0	0	0
	□	0	0	0	0	0
S	m	646	482	911	—	1004
	SE	35	29	23	—	17
	Δ	0	0	1	—	1
	□	0	0	0	—	0
ES	m	1044	1035	1039	910	1027
	SE	35	20	25	80	15
	Δ	0	0	0	1	2
	□	0	0	0	1	1
All	m	1047	1031	1042	964	1035
	SE	19	15	16	42	9
	Δ	0	1	1	1	3
	□	0	0	0	1	1

TABLE 5.—Summary of FEV₁% data. Δ=number of individuals with minor spirometric impairment, defined as a % of measured FVC of <75%. □=number of individuals with moderate spirometric impairment, defined as a % of measured FVC of <65%. All other symbols are defined in Table 2.

FEV ₁ % (%)		AGE				All
		30-39	40-49	50-59	≥60	
NS	m	833	831	813	806	824
	SE	07	07	12	11	05
	Δ	0	1	3	0	4
	□	0	0	0	0	0
S	m	817	813	783	—	804
	SE	20	10	15	—	09
	Δ	2	1	5	—	8
	□	1	0	0	—	1
ES	m	828	792	792	668	786
	SE	11	11	18	80	11
	Δ	0	6	4	3	13
	□	0	0	2	1	3
All	m	826	811	796	737	806
	SE	09	06	09	44	05
	Δ	2	8	12	3	25
	□	1	0	2	1	4

As reflected in Tables 3-7, most of the age bracket/smoking category mean values for this sample of the male general aviation population exceeded the commensurate normal values for the male United States population.^{10,12} Although these data indicate a higher average-state-of-pulmonary-health in airmen, some individuals within this sample did manifest spirometric values that quantitatively indicated minor or moderate spirometric impairment. In Tables 3-7, the Δ symbol designates the number of individuals manifesting minor spirometric impairment and the □ symbol, the number manifesting moderate impairment. Minor spirometric impairment was indicated by the data values of 25

TABLE 6. Summary of MVV data. All symbols are defined in Table 3.

MVV (% Pred)	AGE					All
	30-39	40-49	50-59	≥60		
NS	1196	1239	1314	1243	1251	
	58	37	48	164	28	
	0	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	0	
S	1211	1198	1138	—	1163	
	60	57	40	—	30	
	1	0	0	—	1	
	1	0	0	—	1	
ES	1100	1144	1179	855	1125	
	34	38	60	177	32	
	0	1	0	2	3	
	0	0	0	2	2	
All	1886	1192	1213	1077	1191	
	35	25	31	155	18	
	1	1	0	2	4	
	1	0	0	2	3	

TABLE 7. Summary of FEF_{25-75%} data. All symbols are defined in Table 3.

FEF _{25-75%} (% Pred)	AGE					All
	30-39	40-49	50-59	≥60		
NS	1075	1110	1224	1120	1133	
	49	47	74	78	31	
	4	1	1	0	6	
	0	0	0	0	0	
S	987	999	909	—	965	
	66	65	55	—	36	
	3	5	5	—	13	
	1	2	5	—	8	
ES	1045	945	1050	590	965	
	74	61	77	144	43	
	0	8	4	4	16	
	0	7	3	3	13	
All	1036	1017	1066	855	1029	
	36	34	43	117	22	
	7	14	10	4	35	
	1	9	8	3	21	

individuals (13.8%) for FEV₁% (Table 5) and by 35 individuals (19.3%) for FEF_{25-75%} (Table 7). At most, only 2.2 percent of the individuals manifested minor spirometric impairment in FEV₁, FVC, and MVV (Tables 3, 4, and 6). Although only 4 (2.2%) subjects manifested data values indicating moderate impairment for FEV₁%, 21 (11.6%) did so for FEF_{25-75%}. The greater prevalence of moderate impairment for this latter parameter is not surprising in view of the general knowledge that FEF_{25-75%} is a more sensitive detector of peripheral airway impairment, which is usually manifested earlier in COPD than spirometric impairment of the more proximal larger airways.⁸

Because analysis of the circumferential chest expansion data revealed no useful distinctions, further consideration of this parameter was deemed unnecessary.

IV. Discussion.

Although the general value of a BMRC-type of questionnaire is well recognized, its use as a quantitative reflection of COPD is somewhat limited.⁹ The cigarette-smoking history is a bit more rewarding in its use, because it has been established that both the number of cigarettes smoked per day and the number of years of such inhaled smoking are directly related to the severity of COPD.¹⁰ The pack-year unit continues to be useful in this regard.¹¹

As reflected in Tables 3-7, substantial amounts of minor and moderate spirometric impairment were manifested in this sample of male general aviation pilots. Table 8 summarizes the percentages of prevalence of minor and moderate spirometric impairment for all five spirometric parameters combined. The %Δ symbol designates the percentage of individuals manifesting minor impairment in at least one spirometric parameter; the %□ designates the percentage of individuals manifesting moderate impairment in at least one parameter. In the "all ages" category of Table 8, (i) the smokers and ex-smokers clearly manifest much greater prevalences for minor and moderate impairment compared to the nonsmokers and (ii) the greater prevalences for the ex-smokers compared with the smokers is most probably due to the absence of data for the ≥60-year-old smokers, because the average number of pack-years of smoking for this latter group probably equals or exceeds the 85.4 pack-year average for the ≥60-year-old ex-smokers who had already ceased smoking for 12.1 yr. In all three smoking categories combined in Table 8, (i) a trend of increasing prevalence for minor and moderate spirometric impairment with age is manifested; (ii) the expected largest age-related prevalence of spirometric impairment manifested by the ≥60-year-old group are probably underestimates because of the absence of data for the ≥60-year-old smokers; and (iii) the first sharp increase in prevalence of moderate spirometric impairment occurs in the fourth age decade and is due mainly to the smokers and ex-smokers. The prevalence values appearing in Table 8 are due almost entirely to impairment of FEV₁% and FEF_{25-75%}. This general finding is completely consonant with the accepted theory that the earliest manifestation of COPD is usually peripheral airway impairment.⁸ These data suggest that a reasonable quantitative

TABLE 8. Summary of % prevalence of minor and moderate spirometric impairment. % Δ percentage of individuals having at least one spirometric value $<75\%$ for FEV₁% or $<80\%$ for the remaining four spirometric parameters. % \square percentage of individuals having at least one spirometric value $<65\%$ for FEV₁% or $<70\%$ for the remaining spirometric parameters. All other symbols are defined in Table 2.

Prevalence of Spirometric Impairment		AGE				
		30-39	40-49	50-59	≥ 60	All
NS	% Δ	227	91	200	0	159
	% \square	0	0	50	0	14
	N	22	22	20	5	69
S	% Δ	263	278	389	—	309
	% \square	105	111	278	—	164
	N	19	18	18	—	55
ES	% Δ	0	375	250	800	316
	% \square	0	292	150	600	228
	N	8	24	20	5	57
All	% Δ	204	250	276	400	254
	% \square	41	141	155	300	127
	N	49	64	58	10	181

screening for spirometric impairment in airmen can be achieved by using only the expired FVC maneuver from which both FEV₁% and FEF₂₅₋₇₅% are measured.

On the basis of objective quantitative data, substantial amounts of minor and moderate spirometric impairment were manifested in this sample of male general aviation pilots. However, for any such airman, the appearance of a measured value that is quantitatively equivalent to minor or moderate spirometric impairment implies only the possibility of an existing medical pulmonary condition that could adversely affect safe flight. Spirometry is merely a way of quantitating the various mechanical aspects of ventilatory impairment. Whether the quantitated minor-to-moderate spirometric impairment observed in this study constitutes an incursion on safe flight to any degree can be ascertained only by testing such spirometrically impaired pilots in critical aspects of flight safety such as altitude, fatigue, and orthostatic tolerances. Such studies have already been planned.

References

1. American College of Chest Physicians, Committee on Pulmonary Disease: Clinical Spirometry, DIS. CHEST, 43:214-219, 1963.
2. American Lung Association and American Thoracic Society: Report of the Task Force on Comprehensive and Continuing Care for Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, American Lung Association, 1975, p. 3.
3. Bates, D. V., and R. V. Christie: *Respiratory Function in Disease*, Philadelphia and London, Saunders, 1961, p. 91.
4. Catlett, G. F., and G. J. Kidera: Detection of Respiratory Impairment in Pilots, AEROSP. MED., 40:1252-1257, 1969.
5. Dawber, T. R., F. E. Moore, and G. V. Mann: Coronary Heart Disease in the Framingham Study, AM. J. PUB. HEALTH, 47:(suppl.) 4-24, 1957.
6. FAA Office of Aviation Medicine: Guide for Aviation Medical Examiners, Washington, D.C., U.S. Government Printing Office, 1970, p. 40.
7. Ferris, B. G. Jr., and H. W. Stoult: Correlation of Anthropometry and Simple Tests of Pulmonary Function, ARCH. ENVIRON. HEALTH, 22:672-676, 1971.
8. Ferris, B. G. Jr., I. T. T. Higgins, M. W. Higgins, and J. M. Peters: Chronic Nonspecific Respiratory Disease in Berlin, New Hampshire, 1961-1967. A Follow-up Study, AM. REV. RESP. DIS., 107:110-122, 1973.
9. Gelb, A. F., and B. J. MacAnally: Early Detection of Obstructive Lung Disease by Analysis of Maximal Expiratory Flow-Volume Curves, CHEST, 64:749-753, 1973.
10. Kory, R. C., R. Callahan, H. G. Boren, and J. C. Syner: The Veteran's Administration-Army Cooperative Study of Pulmonary Function: I. Clinical Spirometry in Normal Men, AM. J. MED., 30:243-258, 1961.
11. Medical Research Council's Committee on the Aetiology of Chronic Bronchitis: Standardized Questionnaires on Respiratory Symptoms, BR. MED. J., 2:1065-1070, 1960.
12. Morris, J. F., A. Koski, and L. C. Johnson: Spirometric Standards for Healthy Nonsmoking Adults, AM. REV. RESP. DIS., 103:57-67, 1971.
13. Roberts, J.: The Mortality from Emphysema and Bronchitis Still Goes Up, PUBLIC HEALTH REPORTS, 90:382-383, 1975.
14. Rose, G. A., and H. Blackburn: Cardiovascular Survey Methods, WHO Monograph Series, 56:1-188, 1968.
15. Wilhelmsen, L., and G. Tibblin: Tobacco Smoking in Fifty-Year-Old Men: I. Respiratory Symptoms and Ventilatory Function Tests, SCAND. J. RESP. DIS., 47:121-130, 1966.

Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Aviation Medicine, Civil Aeromedical Institute, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. **SPIROMETRIC ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESPIRATORY IMPAIRMENT IN GENERAL AVIATION ARMEN** by Michael T. Latogola, Maribus Flux, and Peggy J. Lyne, January 1977, 5 pp., Report No. FAA-AM-77-3.

I. Michael T. Latogola
II. Maribus Flux
III. Peggy J. Lyne

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease continues to manifest an increasing prevalence in male Americans. A recent study of commercial airline pilots revealed a 12-percent prevalence of minor-to-moderate spirometric impairment. Because commensurate data were not available for general aviation pilots, in whom such impairment could also compromise flight safety, a parallel study was made.

The British Medical Research Council and Smoking Questionnaires, chest expansion, and spirometric measurements of FEV₁, FVC, FEV₁%, MVV, and FEV_{2.5}% were assessed in 181 male general aviation pilots. All data showed a general relationship to increasing age and amount of smoking. Based on FEV₁% and FEV_{2.5}% combined, minor degrees of spirometric impairment were exceeded by 25.4 percent of the pilots, and moderate degrees, by 12.7 percent. Negligible impairment

Descriptives
General Aviation
Armen
Spirometric
Impairment
Chronic
Obstructive
Pulmonary
Disease
Flight Safety

Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Aviation Medicine, Civil Aeromedical Institute, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. **SPIROMETRIC ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESPIRATORY IMPAIRMENT IN GENERAL AVIATION ARMEN** by Michael T. Latogola, Maribus Flux, and Peggy J. Lyne, January 1977, 5 pp., Report No. FAA-AM-77-3.

I. Michael T. Latogola
II. Maribus Flux
III. Peggy J. Lyne

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease continues to manifest an increasing prevalence in male Americans. A recent study of commercial airline pilots revealed a 12-percent prevalence of minor-to-moderate spirometric impairment. Because commensurate data were not available for general aviation pilots, in whom such impairment could also compromise flight safety, a parallel study was made.

The British Medical Research Council and Smoking Questionnaires, chest expansion, and spirometric measurements of FEV₁, FVC, FEV₁%, MVV, and FEV_{2.5}% were assessed in 181 male general aviation pilots. All data showed a general relationship to increasing age and amount of smoking. Based on FEV₁% and FEV_{2.5}% combined, minor degrees of spirometric impairment were exceeded by 25.4 percent of the pilots, and moderate degrees, by 12.7 percent. Negligible impairment

Descriptives
General Aviation
Armen
Spirometric
Impairment
Chronic
Obstructive
Pulmonary
Disease
Flight Safety

was reflected in the remaining spirometric parameters. Subsequent testing of such spirometrically impaired pilots for altitude, fatigue, and orthostatic tolerances related to general aviation is planned.

was reflected in the remaining spirometric parameters. Subsequent testing of such spirometrically impaired pilots for altitude, fatigue, and orthostatic tolerances related to general aviation is planned.

Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Aviation Medicine, Civil Aeromedical Institute, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. **SPIROMETRIC ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESPIRATORY IMPAIRMENT IN GENERAL AVIATION ARMEN**, by Michael T. Lategola, Martinus Flu, and Peggy J. Lyne, January 1977, 5 pp., Report No. FAA-AM-77-3.

I. Michael T. Lategola
II. Martinus Flu
III. Peggy J. Lyne

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease continues to manifest an increasing prevalence in male Americans. A recent study of commercial airline pilots revealed a 12 percent prevalence of mild-to-moderate spirometric impairment. Because comprehensive data were not available for general aviation pilots, in whom such impairment could also compromise flight safety, a parallel study was made.

The British Medical Research Council and Smoking Questionnaires, chest expansion, and spirometric measurements of FEV₁, FVC, FEV₁%, MVV, and FEV₁/FVC were assessed in 181 male general aviation pilots. All data showed a general relationship to increasing age and amount of smoking. Based on FEV₁%, and FEV₁/FVC combined, minor degrees of spirometric impairment were exceeded by 25.1 percent of the pilots and moderate degrees, by 12.7 percent. Negligible impairment

Descriptors
General Aviation
Airman
Spirometric
Impairment
Chronic
Obstructive
Pulmonary
Disease
Flight Safety

was reflected in the remaining spirometric parameters. Subsequent testing of such spirometrically impaired pilots for altitude, fatigue, and orthostatic tolerances related to general aviation is planned.

Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Aviation Medicine, Civil Aeromedical Institute, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. **SPIROMETRIC ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESPIRATORY IMPAIRMENT IN GENERAL AVIATION ARMEN**, by Michael T. Lategola, Martinus Flu, and Peggy J. Lyne, January 1977, 5 pp., Report No. FAA-AM-77-3.

I. Michael T. Lategola
II. Martinus Flu
III. Peggy J. Lyne

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease continues to manifest an increasing prevalence in male Americans. A recent study of commercial airline pilots revealed a 12 percent prevalence of mild-to-moderate spirometric impairment. Because comprehensive data were not available for general aviation pilots, in whom such impairment could also compromise flight safety, a parallel study was made.

The British Medical Research Council and Smoking Questionnaires, chest expansion, and spirometric measurements of FEV₁, FVC, FEV₁%, MVV, and FEV₁/FVC were assessed in 181 male general aviation pilots. All data showed a general relationship to increasing age and amount of smoking. Based on FEV₁%, and FEV₁/FVC combined, minor degrees of spirometric impairment were exceeded by 25.1 percent of the pilots and moderate degrees, by 12.7 percent. Negligible impairment

Descriptors
General Aviation
Airman
Spirometric
Impairment
Chronic
Obstructive
Pulmonary
Disease
Flight Safety

was reflected in the remaining spirometric parameters. Subsequent testing of such spirometrically impaired pilots for altitude, fatigue, and orthostatic tolerances related to general aviation is planned.