

AD-A038 199

VIRGINIA UNIV CHARLOTTESVILLE DEPT OF CHEMISTRY
POLYHEDRAL COBALTABORANES. VERSATILE REPLACEMENT OF BH GROUPS B--ETC(U)
APR 77 V R MILLER, R WEISS, R N GRIMES

F/6 7/2

N00014-75-C-0305

UNCLASSIFIED

TR-23

NL

| OF |
AD
A038199



END
DATE
FILMED
5-77

ADA 038199

11473

12
NW

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract No. N00014-75-C-0305

Task No. NR 053-569

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 23

Polyhedral Cobaltaboranes. Versatile Replacement
of BH Groups by $\text{Co}(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)$ Units in Boron Hydride
Frameworks. Borane-Metal Cluster Hybrid Molecules

Vernon R. Miller, Richard Weiss, and Russell N. Grimes

Department of Chemistry, University of Virginia
Charlottesville, Virginia 22901

Prepared for Publication

in the

Journal of the American Chemical Society

April, 1977

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted
for any purpose of the United States Government

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

D D C
RECEIVED
APR 11 1977
RECEIVED

A

Polyhedral Cobaltaboranes. Versatile Replacement
of BH Groups by $\text{Co}(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)$ Units in Boron Hydride
Frameworks. Borane-Metal Cluster Hybrid Molecules

Vernon R. Miller, Richard Weiss, and Russell N. Grimes*

Department of Chemistry, University of Virginia,
Charlottesville, Virginia 22901

Abstract. A series of air-stable crystalline cobaltaboranes has been isolated from the reaction of $\text{Na}^+\text{B}_5\text{H}_8^-$, CoCl_2 , and $\text{Na}^+\text{C}_5\text{H}_5^-$ in THF below -20°C . The major products were red $2-(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{CoB}_4\text{H}_8$ (an analog of B_5H_9) and the known orange complex 1,3-cyclohexadienecobalt(II) cyclopentadiene, $(\text{C}_6\text{H}_8)\text{Co}(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)$. The pyrolysis of $2-(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{CoB}_4\text{H}_8$ at 200°C produced yellow $1-(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{CoB}_4\text{H}_8$, cobalt moving to the apex position. The other structurally characterized products were red $5-(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{CoB}_9\text{H}_{13}$ (a $\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{14}$ analog); violet $1,2-(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{Co}_2\text{B}_4\text{H}_6$ and its 3- and 4-cyclopentyl derivatives, for which octahedral structures were assigned; brown $1,2,3-(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_3\text{Co}_3\text{B}_3\text{H}_5$, octahedral; yellow $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_3\text{Co}_3\text{B}_4\text{H}_4$, a capped octahedron; green $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_4\text{Co}_4\text{B}_4\text{H}_4$, a possible D_{2d} dodecahedron, and red $\mu(2,3)\text{-}1,3\text{-C}_3\text{H}_4\text{-}1,7,2,3\text{-}(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{Co}_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_3\text{H}_3$, a derivative of the known triple-decked sandwich metallocarborane $1,7,2,3\text{-}(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{Co}_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_3\text{H}_5$ in which the central C_2B_3 ring is fused on an edge to a cyclopentadiene ring. The compounds were characterized from ^{11}B and ^1H pulse Fourier Transform NMR, unit- and high-resolution mass spectra, and infrared spectra, supported by X-ray diffraction data on $(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{CoB}_9\text{H}_{13}$, $(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)_3\text{Co}_3\text{B}_3\text{H}_5$, $(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)_3\text{Co}_3\text{B}_4\text{H}_4$, and $\mu\text{-C}_3\text{H}_4\text{-}(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{Co}_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_3\text{H}_3$,

and an earlier X-ray study of $2-(C_5H_5)CoB_4H_8$. The structures are classified into three groups based on the presence of $2n + 4$, $2n + 2$, or $2n$ valence electrons in the skeletal frameworks, and provide further support for the skeletal electron-count theory in polyhedral clusters.

↘ The metal-rich di-, tri-, and tetracobalt systems are viewed as hybrids linking the boron hydride and metal cluster areas. Various aspects of the formation, structures, bonding, and properties of these compounds are discussed.

ADDITIONAL INFO

DATE

BY

UNRECORDED

JUSTIFICATION

BY

DIST.

A

Introduction. An important recent development in inorganic chemistry is the perception¹ of a close structural and electronic relationship between the polyhedral boranes and other types of cage compounds such as the metal clusters. Following the first clear exposition of this analogy by Wade^{1a} in 1971 there has been a rapidly growing body of evidence to support it, including the synthesis of many heteroboranes containing transition metal or main group atoms in the polyhedral framework. In the metallocarborane family, many of the known species consist of 12-vertex icosahedral cages, and a few larger examples having 13 or 14 vertices have been reported.² It is the smaller species, however, which provide the most direct analogy between the borane and metal cluster areas, since few of the latter class have more than six cage atoms. The only known metallocarboranes having as few as six vertices are $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{CoC}_2\text{B}_3\text{H}_5$ and $(\text{CO})_3\text{FeC}_2\text{B}_3\text{H}_5$, both of which are assigned³ octahedral structures from ^{11}B and ^1H NMR data; the octahedral geometry is as expected,^{1,4} in accordance with their 14 skeletal valence electrons (two from each BH unit, three from each CH, and two from the $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Co}$ or $(\text{CO})_3\text{Fe}$ groups). These molecules are isoelectronic with octahedral clusters such as $(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5)_2\text{C}_2\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_{10}$,⁵ each having n framework atoms and $2n + 2$ framework electrons utilized in bonding.

In the area of metalloboranes (species having only metal and boron atoms in the cage), a number of open-cage systems have been reported in recent years⁶, but no closed polyhedra of any size were known when the

present work was initiated. Following our report⁷ of the first such species, $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{Co}_2\text{B}_4\text{H}_8$, it appeared likely that an entire family of polyhedral cobaltaboranes as small as 5 or 6 vertices could be prepared; also, we speculated that some of these might be metal-rich systems having as many or more metal as boron atoms in the cage, thus constituting borane-metal cluster "hybrids". Meanwhile, in a related study, Hawthorne's group⁸ synthesized the 12-vertex icosahedral clusters $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{Ni}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{10}$ and $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{NiB}_{11}\text{H}_{11}^-$, and two isomers of the closo 10-vertex $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{NiB}_9\text{H}_9^-$ system.

The work to be described here concerns the preparation from a single reaction, that of $\text{Na}^+\text{B}_5\text{H}_8^-$, CoCl_2 , and $\text{Na}^+\text{C}_5\text{H}_5^-$, of a series of cobaltaborane cage compounds which exhibit a variety of structures, compositions, and stereochemically novel features. We have presented two short reports^{7,9} of some of these findings and wish now to give a complete account of the work and a discussion of some of its implications.

Results and Discussion

Description of the Reaction System. All of the compounds described in this paper were obtained from the reaction of the B_5H_8^- ion with CoCl_2 and C_5H_5^- in THF at -20° or below, with subsequent workup in air. Although the choice of reaction conditions (particularly the order of addition of reagents) has some influence on relative yields, the same compounds were obtained in each instance. The two principal products were red $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{CoB}_4\text{H}_8$ (I) and orange 1,3-cyclohexadienylcobalt(II) cyclopentadiene, $(\text{C}_6\text{H}_8)\text{Co}(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)$, an organometallic sandwich species

which was originally prepared¹⁰ several years ago from $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Co}(\text{CO})_2$ and 1,3-cyclohexadiene; we have no good explanation for the formation of the latter complex in our reaction (it will be noted that no evidence was seen for any other cyclohexadienyl products).

Compound I and the remaining cobaltaboranes, which were isolated in much smaller yield than I, were separated by column and thick-layer chromatography on silica gel as described in the Experimental Section. All of these compounds, listed with R_f values in Table I, are colored crystalline solids which are readily handled in air.

Characterization of the Products. The structures of the cobaltaborane products, as determined from X-ray studies or deduced from ^{11}B and ^1H NMR spectra, ir spectra, unit-resolution mass spectra, and exact mass measurement (Tables II-V) are illustrated in Figures 1-3. The compounds fall into three categories: nido (open-cage) systems containing $2n + 4$ skeletal valence electrons, closo systems (polyhedra with all faces triangular) having $2n + 2$ electrons, and $2n$ -electron systems which are electron-hyperdeficient and normally adopt capped polyhedral geometry. All of these species contain formal cobalt (III) as shown by the normal NMR spectra, which are indicative of diamagnetic spin states.

Nido systems ($2n + 4$ electrons). The major product obtained under all conditions studied was a red solid, $2-(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{CoB}_4\text{H}_8$ (I), whose structure as originally proposed⁷ (Figure 1) was later confirmed in an X-ray study by Sneddon and Voet.¹¹ This square-pyramidal molecule is a direct analog

of B_5H_9 in which a $(C_5H_5)Co$ group replaces a basal BH unit, each group being a formal 2-electron donor to the cage framework. The similarity to B_5H_9 is underlined by a comparison of bond distances: in B_5H_9 ¹² the apex-to-basal B-B length is 1.69 Å, vs. 1.66 and 1.69 Å for B1-B3 and B1-B4, respectively, in I; in B_5H_9 the basal B-B distance is 1.80 Å, compared to 1.76 Å in I. Complex I, however, is far more stable to hydrolysis and oxidation than is B_5H_9 , an observation which appears to reflect a general trend. We shall return to this point later.

Pyrolysis of I in the gas phase at 200° for 30 min resulted in some decomposition and produced a 10% yield of a new isomer, 1- $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)CoB_4H_8$ (II), in which the $(C_5H_5)Co$ group has moved to the apex position. The structure shown in Figure 1 is virtually certain, given the NMR-equivalence of all four BH units and of all B-H-B bridging protons as well as the synthesis from I. Compound II and its analog, 1- $(CO)_3FeB_4H_8$,¹³ are key metallocene species, in that they not only are directly related to B_5H_9 by formal replacement of BH with a metal group, but also can be viewed as analogs of metal cyclobutadiene complexes. Thus, the square planar $B_4H_8^{2-}$ ligand is isoelectronic with $C_4H_4^{2-}$, so that II is analogous to $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Co(\eta^4-C_4H_4)$ while 1- $(CO)_3FeB_4H_8$ is a counterpart of $(CO)_3Fe(\eta^4-C_4H_4)$. It is clear that these metallocenes play a central role in tying together the once disparate classes of metallocenes and boron hydrides. In this connection, the recent isolation¹⁴ of $(CO)_3FeB_5H_9$, an analog of B_8H_{10} in which an $Fe(CO)_3$

group replaces a basal BH, is an important recent contribution.

The only other nido species isolated in this study was a red trace material which was characterized as $5-(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{CoB}_9\text{H}_{13}$ (III) and assigned the structure shown in Figure 1. The original elucidation⁷ of this geometry from ^{11}B and ^1H NMR strikes us as a timely illustration of the value of the skeletal electron-count theory^{1,4} in synthetic chemistry. With 24 skeletal valence electrons (two from $(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Co}$, two from each BH unit, and one from each extra hydrogen), the molecule is a nido system analogous to $\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{14}$; assuming the $\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{14}$ skeletal framework, the complete asymmetry indicated by the NMR data fixed the location of the $(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Co}$ group as the 5-position (equivalent to 7, 8, and 10). This geometry has been confirmed in a recent X-ray investigation^{15a} whose details again reveal a close similarity to the parent boron hydride. Thus, ignoring the B-Co bonds, a comparison of the B-B distances in III with the corresponding ones in $\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{14}$ ¹⁶ (employing a common numbering scheme) shows an average difference of only 0.019 \AA , or less than three standard deviations; the largest differences occur at B3-B4 and B2-B6 where the bond lengths in III are shortened by 0.039 and 0.034 \AA , respectively ($\sim 2\%$), compared to $\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{14}$. From these findings it can be said accurately that III is a $\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{14}$ molecule with the B(5)-H group replaced by $\text{Co}(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)$.

Compound III is also closely related to the species of the $(\text{CO})_3\text{MB}_9\text{H}_{12}\text{R}$ type prepared by Lott and Gaines,¹⁷ where $\text{M} = \text{Mn}$ or Re and $\text{R} = \text{THF}$ or $(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5)_3\text{N}(\text{CH}_2)_4\text{O}$.¹⁸ These complexes are also $\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{14}$ analogs,

but interestingly the metal occupies the 6(9) position (using our numbering system) rather than the 5-vertex as in III.

Closo Systems ($2n + 2$ electrons). The reaction products included the closo octahedral cages $1,2-(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{Co}_2\text{B}_4\text{H}_6$ (IV) and $1,2,3-(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_3\text{Co}_3\text{B}_3\text{H}_5$ (V); perhaps surprisingly, there was no evidence for the hypothetical 6-vertex monocobalt system, $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{CoB}_5\text{H}_7$. In addition to the parent compounds, two cyclopentyl-substituted derivatives of IV (IVa and IVb) and an apparent cyclopentenyl-substituted derivative of V (Va) were isolated. Both IV and V are 14-electron systems with two electrons from each BH or $\text{Co}(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)$ unit and two from the extra hydrogens, and are electronic analogs of the known octahedral species $\text{B}_6\text{H}_6^{2-}$, $\text{C}_2\text{B}_4\text{H}_6$, CB_5H_7 , $(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{CoC}_2\text{B}_3\text{H}_5$, and $(\text{CO})_3\text{FeC}_2\text{B}_3\text{H}_5$. For both IV and V, there are only two possible arrangements for cobalt and boron in an octahedral cluster and the NMR data permit assignment of the structures in Figure 2. In addition, an X-ray investigation^{15b} has confirmed the gross geometry proposed⁹ for V.

The dicobalt species IV, as deduced from the spectroscopic observations, has C_{2v} symmetry with the twofold axis bisecting the $\text{Co}_1\text{-Co}_2$ and $\text{B}_4\text{-B}_6$ bonds, as a result of which $\text{Co}_1\text{-Co}_2$, $\text{B}_4\text{-B}_6$, and $\text{B}_3\text{-B}_5$ are equivalent pairs. The "extra" hydrogen atoms are assigned bridging locations on the polyhedral surface, in either edge or face locations but in close association with the metal atoms. The bonding to cobalt is indicated not only from the high-field ^1H NMR signals, typical of

transition metal-hydrogen interaction, but also from X-ray data on the closely related species V (vide infra). Tautomeric exchange of these hydrogens among several locations is possible although not required by the NMR spectra. The widely separated ^{11}B resonances at δ +61.1 and +19.4 are assigned to the equivalent pairs B4, B6 and B3, B5 respectively, as required by the proton and ^{11}B spectra of the derivatives IVa and IVb, described below. The fact that B4 and B6, which are adjacent to only one metal atom, exhibit a boron resonance at lower field than do B3 and B5, which are linked to two metals, suggests an antipodal effect involving those borons trans to the cobalt nuclei; this phenomenon supersedes, in this case, the usual observation^{19,20} that ^{11}B signals of 4-coordinate BH groups adjacent to two metal atoms appear at extremely low field. Significantly, the only known octahedral metallocarboranes, $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{CoC}_2\text{B}_3\text{H}_5$ and $(\text{CO})_3\text{FeC}_2\text{B}_3\text{H}_5$, exhibit similar evidence of an antipodal effect in their ^{11}B NMR spectra.³

Characterization of the cyclopentyl derivatives IVa and IVb is unequivocal from the ^{11}B and ^1H NMR spectra, with substitution occurring at B3 (or the equivalent location B5) in IVa, and at B4 (B6) in IVb. In each case the presence of two BH environments in a 2:1 area ratio is shown in both the ^{11}B NMR and the ^{11}B -decoupled proton NMR spectra, with the ^{11}B resonance of the substituted boron shifted sharply downfield 15 to 20 ppm in both derivatives, compared to the parent compound.

The tricobalt closo system V contains as many metal as boron atoms in the framework, and thus constitutes a hybrid species linking the metal clusters and the boranes. Except for compound Va, the only other known example of an M_nB_n -type cage of any sort is the tetracobalt system VIII, described below (however, the boron-capped species VII, while not an M_nB_n cage, has a close structural relationship to V). The structure of V

was deduced originally from NMR data, which distinguishes the geometry indicated in Figure 2 from the alternative arrangement which would place the cobalt groups at vertices 1,2, and 6. This geometry has been confirmed crystallographically with the details to be reported in a subsequent article.^{15b} As observed by NMR in solution, the molecule has C_{3v} symmetry with three equivalent $Co(C_5H_5)$ groups and three equivalent BH units. As in the case of the dicobalt homolog IV, there are two extra protons on the polyhedral surface, and once again the proton NMR resonance of these protons is indicative of metal-hydrogen binding. The C_{3v} symmetry on the NMR time scale implies tautomerism of the bridge hydrogens among several positions, and the X-ray data strongly suggest that in the solid state structure the bridge hydrogens preferentially occupy disordered locations on the three cobalt-cobalt edges.

The red species VI was originally observed only as a trace product from its mass spectrum, but was subsequently isolated and spectroscopically characterized. The elemental composition and NMR data on this compound first suggested the formula $\sigma-C_5H_5-(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2Co_2B_3H_2$, i.e., a cyclopentadienyl-substituted derivative of the unknown system $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2Co_2B_3H_3$ which presumably would be a 5-vertex closo (trigonal bipyramidal) system. However, we were troubled by the fact that such a polyhedron would have only 10 skeletal electrons as opposed to the 12 required by theory^{1,4} (and found in $C_2B_3H_5$). An X-ray structure determination^{15b} resolved the problem and yielded the geometry depicted in

Figure 2. As shown, the "extra" C₅ ring is not a σ-bonded substituent but has become partly incorporated into the polyhedron so that two of its carbon atoms combine with the three borons to form a planar C₂B₃ ring system sandwiched between the two (η⁵-C₅H₅)Co moieties. The result is a C,C'-(1,3-propylene)-disubstituted derivative of the known²¹ "triple-decked sandwich" species, 1,7,2,3-(η⁵-C₅H₅)₂Co₂C₂B₃H₅.²¹

The isolation of VI from this reaction has two highly unusual aspects deserving comment. First, although many reported syntheses of metallocarboranes utilize the C₅H₅⁻ ion², this appears to be the first instance of its incorporation into the polyhedral cage proper. Many examples are known of carboranes or metallocarboranes containing exo-polyhedral rings fused onto the carborane cage system²²; perhaps the most relevant here are the bis(1,2-μ-trimethylene) derivatives of (C₂B₉H₁₁)₂Ni complexes,²³ benzo²⁴ and naphthocarborane,²⁵ and metallocarboranes derived from the latter two species.²⁶ However, all of these compounds were obtained by C,C'-disubstitution on an existing carborane polyhedron, and did not involve ring insertion into a borane cage. The second point is that the synthesis of a metallocarborane other than from a carborane is extremely rare, having been reported only twice: the reaction of B₁₀H₁₃⁻ with M(CO)₆ to give (B₁₀H₁₀COH)M(CO)₄⁻ (M = Cr, Mo, W)^{27a} and the insertion of C₂H₂ into I to give (η⁵-C₅H₅)CoC₂B₃H₇.^{27b}

The central C₅B₃H₇ fused-ring system in VI is planar with C-C distances consistent with the bond system shown; thus, the exo-polyhedral ring double-bond C-C length is 1.38 (1) Å with J_{HCC} = 5.2 Hz as

calculated from the first-order proton NMR spectrum. Further discussion of this compound will be given elsewhere.^{15b}

Capped closo systems (2n electrons). The final two products to be described are electron-hyperdeficient species, so-called²⁸ because the number of skeletal valence electrons is less than the $2n + 2$ required for normal closed polyhedral (closo) systems. Both experimental²⁸⁻³⁰ and theoretical considerations^{31,32} indicate that in such circumstances the geometry adopted is a closo polyhedron in which one or more faces is capped. A simple explanation^{29,31,32} is that the number of bonding molecular orbitals in a closo polyhedron ($n + 1$) is left unchanged by capping a face of that polyhedron; thus, an n -vertex capped polyhedron will require the same number of skeletal bonding electrons ($2n$) as an uncapped ($n-1$)-vertex polyhedron.

In this study, the clearest illustration of this effect occurs in the yellow product ($\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5$)₃Co₃B₄H₄ (VII). The ¹¹B and ¹H NMR spectra indicate a threefold symmetry axis, and are incompatible with any structure based on a pentagonal bipyramid, the normal 7-vertex geometry. The C_{3v} symmetry can be obtained by capping a Co₃B₃ octahedron like that in V with a fourth BH group, either on the Co₃ or the B₃ face. The structure shown in Figure 3, with a capped Co₃ face, was originally proposed⁹ on the basis of the unique BH signal in the ¹¹B NMR spectrum at extremely low field, which is consistent with a low-coordinate BH adjacent to several cobalt nuclei. The alternate structure, in which the

B_3 rather than the Co_3 octahedral face is capped, could not be altogether eliminated by this argument, since a BH group in a 3-coordinate polyhedral vertex would be expected to exhibit resonance at moderately low field even if it were not adjacent to a metal. Thus, the unique 3-coordinate BH in trigonal bipyramidal $C,3-(CH_3)_2-2,3-C_2B_3H_3$ ³³ displays a boron resonance 53 ppm to low field of boron trifluoride etherate. However, the resonance at $\delta +141.4$ in VII appeared more compatible with the Co_3 -capped structure, and this geometry has been verified by an X-ray structural study.^{15b} This system constitutes, to our knowledge, the only polyhedral borane in which a boron atom is isolated from all other borons in the molecule, and the chemistry of the unique BH should therefore be of unusual interest. In this connection VII could be regarded as a borane counterpart of the well-known family of tricobalt-carbon clusters,³⁴ $RCCo_3(CO)_9$, with HB and (C_5H_5) replacing RC and $(CO)_3$, respectively.

Compound VIII, a green solid characterized as $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_4Co_4B_4H_4$, is unique among boron cage species in having four metal atoms in the framework. The 16 skeletal valence electrons suggest a capped closo structure for this system, but the NMR data rule out any such geometry, at least in a fixed sense. Structure A shown in Figure 3 has D_{2d} symmetry and is compatible with the ^{11}B and 1H NMR observations, but is the geometry expected for an 18-electron, 8-vertex $(2n + 2)$ system such as $C_2B_6H_8$ or $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2Co_2C_2B_4H_6$, rather than the hyperdeficient cage which VIII is presumed to have. If structure A is correct, it may be that there are

trans-cage metal-metal bonding interactions (Co1-Co7 and Co2-Co4) which compensate for the deficiency of two skeletal electrons and stabilize the system. (Indeed, structure A differs only in degree from a Co_4 tetrahedron with each face capped by a BH group; the latter geometry can be formally generated from A by making the four Co-Co distances equal and breaking the two B-B bonds).

Alternatively, the capped pentagonal bipyramidal structure B would be consistent with a $2n$ -electron 8-vertex species but obviously conflicts with the NMR data, which indicate equivalence of all four boron atoms and of all four $\text{Co}(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)$ groups. Such a molecule might, however, undergo rapid fluxional rearrangement in solution, with cleavage of the Co1-B3 and formation of a Co4-B8 bond (or their equivalents) so that the dodecahedral structure A is perceived as a time-averaged geometry on the NMR time scale. An X-ray investigation to resolve the solid-state structure of VIII has thus far been prevented by a lack of suitable crystals.

Conclusions. The reaction described here raises many questions of mechanistic, structural, stereochemical, and theoretical interest, of which we can indicate only a few. It is notable, considering the use of B_5H_8^- as the borane reagent, that no five-boron products were isolated. The product distribution suggests that the major process occurring is the replacement of a boron atom in B_5H_8^- by cobalt to give I, with subsequent addition of up to three more cobalts to produce the metal-rich species.

There is no question that the $C_5H_5^-$ ion plays a major role in this reaction; the formation of several cyclopentyl and cyclopentenyl B-substituted species and the curious product VI, suggests direct attack of the Lewis base $C_5H_5^-$ on one or more borane substrates during the course of the reaction. Furthermore, when $CoCl_2$ and NaB_5H_8 were allowed to react in the absence of cyclopentadiene, no isolable metalloboranes were found. We suspect that $C_5H_5^-$ facilitates the extraction of boron from, and introduction of additional metal atoms into, the monocobalt species initially formed. These mechanistic problems were not directly probed in this work, but certainly warrant further investigation.

Aside from the question of how it comes about kinetically, the ability of cobalt to replace boron in polyhedral borane systems, as evidenced here, strikes us as truly remarkable. It has long been clear in metallocarborane chemistry² that cobalt, particularly the $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Co$ moiety, is a highly versatile structural member, and that cobaltacarboranes generally resemble their carborane analogs; indeed, cobalt is by far the most extensively used transition metal in metallocarboranes. However, in all types of metalloboron cluster compounds prior to this work there did seem to be a de facto limit of three metal atoms per cage, with this number attained only in large polyhedra such as $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_3Co_3C_2B_7H_9$,³⁵ $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_3Co_3C_2B_5H_7$,³ $[(CO)_3Fe]_2(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Co(CH_3)_2C_2B_4H_4$,³⁶ and $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_3Ni_3CB_5H_6$.³⁷ None of these species approaches the 1:1 metal/nonmetal ratio found in V and VIII. It now seems likely that in some

polyhedra at least, replacement of boron by cobalt (and perhaps other metals) can occur without limit to generate a continuum of clusters of composition $[(C_5H_5)Co]_m(BH)_n$. This is probably not attainable in large (e.g., 12-vertex) cages, given the absence of any examples of 12-vertex metal clusters, but it is quite plausible for small (6-8 vertex) polyhedra.

The cobalt-boron replacement phenomenon has other aspects worthy of comment, one of which is the greatly increased hydrolytic stability of at least some of the cobaltaboranes compared to their borane analogs. All of the new compounds are resistant to attack by air and water, with degradation noticeable only after several months' exposure.³⁸ The stability of the $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)CoB_4H_8$ isomers I and II is especially noteworthy since they differ from B_5H_9 , a highly pyrophoric material which reacts violently with air, only by substitution of one C_5H_5Co group for a BH unit. Somewhat similar, though less dramatic, increases in stability occur when carboranes are converted to metallocarboranes, as in the replacement of the apex BH in $2,3-C_2B_4H_8$ by $Co(\eta^5-C_5H_5)$ to give $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)CoC_2B_3H_7$.^{21b} An exploration of the chemistry of the cobaltaboranes has only just begun, but it can be speculated that the combination of increased stability with retention of borane cage geometry could provide a basis for new synthetic pathways to boranes and carboranes. In addition, the presence of Co-H-B and/or Co-H-Co groups in several of these compounds suggests possible utilization as homo-

geneous catalysts. These and many other facets of this area are deserving of investigation.

Experimental Section

Materials. Pentaborane(9) was purchased from Callery Chemical Co., Callery, Pa., and purified by trap-to-trap distillation in vacuo. Anhydrous cobalt (II) chloride was obtained from $\text{CoCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (Baker) by dehydration in vacuo at 160° . Cyclopentadiene was distilled from dicyclopentadiene (Aldrich) under a nitrogen atmosphere. Sodium hydride was obtained as a 50% dispersion in mineral oil (Alfa) and used as received. All solvents were reagent grade, and tetrahydrofuran (THF) was dried over lithium aluminum hydride before use.

Spectra and Chromatography. Boron-11 and proton pulse Fourier Transform NMR spectra at 32.1 MHz and 100 MHz, respectively, were obtained on a JEOL PS-100P spectrometer interfaced to a JEOL-Texas Instruments EC-100 computer system. Unit resolution mass spectra were obtained on a Hitachi-Perkin Elmer RMU-6E mass spectrometer. High resolution mass spectra were recorded on an AEI MS-902 double-focusing instrument equipped with an SRI chemical ionization source. All high resolution spectra were obtained under chemical ionizing conditions. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Beckman IR-8 spectrometer. Thin layer and preparative layer chromatography were conducted in air on pre-coated plates of silica gel F-254 purchased from Brinckmann Instruments, Inc. Column chromatography was

accomplished on silica gel (Merck, 70-230 mesh).

Reaction of $\text{Na}^+\text{B}_5\text{H}_8^-$ with CoCl_2 and $\text{Na}^+\text{C}_5\text{H}_5^-$. In the course of this work, this reaction was run many times under varying conditions with essentially the same qualitative results. Initially, the salt $\text{Na}^+\text{B}_5\text{H}_8^-$ was prepared separately from B_5H_9 and NaH in THF, cooled to -196° , and excess CoCl_2 and $\text{Na}^+\text{C}_5\text{H}_5^-$ were added, followed by slow warming to room temperature. Later it was found more convenient to add CoCl_2 and B_5H_9 to a previously prepared solution containing $\text{Na}^+\text{C}_5\text{H}_5^-$ in large excess, part of which was consumed in deprotonating B_5H_9 to $\text{B}_5\text{H}_8^{-39}$ while the remainder was available as a ligand for cobalt. The following procedure was typical: A 50% NaH -mineral oil dispersion (103.2 mmol) was placed in a Pyrex reactor and washed with 20 ml of pentane. The reaction vessel was evacuated and 106 mmol of C_5H_8 was vacuum-distilled onto the NaH . Approximately 50 ml of dry THF was then condensed on top of this mixture at -196° . The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and maintained at that temperature until H_2 evolution had ceased; meanwhile hydrogen was removed periodically. The solution of $\text{Na}^+\text{C}_5\text{H}_5^-$ was frozen at -196°C , the reactor evacuated, and 29.1 mmol of B_5H_9 was condensed into the flask. Anhydrous CoCl_2 (51.9 mmol) was also added at this time together with some additional THF. The reaction mixture was warmed to $\sim -20^\circ$ to effect solution and then was cooled to -78° and held at that temperature overnight with stirring. The reaction mixture was warmed to -23° and the solvent was removed under vacuum,

after which the reactor was filled with dry nitrogen and 50 ml dry silica gel was added. The reaction vessel and silica gel were washed with 125 ml CH_2Cl_2 and filtered, and the filtrate was placed in a 200 ml round bottom flask containing 7 ml of dry silica gel. The solvent was then evaporated under reduced pressure and the remaining silica gel was placed on the top of a previously prepared chromatographic column of silica gel and eluted with progressively varying solvent mixtures, starting with pure hexane, continuing with mixtures of hexane gradually enriched with benzene, then benzene- CH_2Cl_2 , and finally pure CH_2Cl_2 .

Six major fractions were collected from this first chromatographic separation. Each of these fractions was then subjected to additional separation via preparative TLC, with R_f values as given in Table I. The yield of 2-(η^5 - C_5H_5) CoB_4H_8 (I) was 300 mg (1.36 mmol, 4.7% based on B_5H_9 used) and that of $(\text{C}_6\text{H}_8)\text{Co}(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)$, identified from its mass spectrum and its published ^1H NMR and ir spectra,¹⁰ was 202 mg. The remaining cobaltaboranes were isolated in far smaller amounts in yields of 90 mg (1%) for IV and 1-10 mg for each of the others.⁴⁰ The unit-resolution mass spectra of all products displayed intense peaks at m/e 124 corresponding to $(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Co}^+$; in addition, compounds having two or more $(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Co}$ units also exhibited an intense peak at m/e 189 corresponding to $(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{Co}^+$. The mass spectra indicated some loss of hydrogen in all cases, particularly those in which bridge hydrogens are known to be present.

Thermolysis of 2-(η^5 -C₅H₅)CoB₄H₈. A 30-mg sample of I was heated at 200° in an evacuated Pyrex tube for 30 min to yield 3 mg of yellow 1-(η^5 -C₅H₅)CoB₄H₈ (II) which was obtained as a pure solid by TLC on silica plates. The remaining products were nonvolatile, essentially insoluble residues.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the Office of Naval Research. The pulse Fourier Transform NMR equipment was obtained via a departmental instrument matching grant from the National Science Foundation.

References

1. (a) K. Wade, J. Chem. Soc. D, 792 (1971).
(b) K. Wade, Adv. in Inorg. Chem. and Radiochem., 18, 1 (1976);
(c) D. M. P. Mingos, Nature (London), Phys. Sci., 236, 99 (1972);
(d) R. N. Grimes, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., 239, 180 (1974).
2. (a) K. P. Callahan and M. F. Hawthorne, Pure and Applied Chem., 39, 475 (1974). (b) A comprehensive review of metallocarboranes is given by R. N. Grimes in Organometallic Reactions and Syntheses, Vol. 6, Plenum, New York, 1977, Chapter 2, pp. 63-221.
3. V. R. Miller, L. G. Sneddon, D. C. Beer, and R. N. Grimes, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 3090 (1974).
4. (a) R. W. Rudolph and W. R. Pretzer, Inorg. Chem., 11, 1974 (1972).
(b) R. W. Rudolph, Accounts Chem. Research, 9, 446 (1976).
5. L. F. Dahl and D. L. Smith, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 84, 2450 (1962).
6. For a recent review of metalloborane chemistry see N. N. Greenwood and I. M. Ward, Chem. Soc. Rev., 3, 231 (1974).
7. V. R. Miller and R. N. Grimes, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 5078 (1973).
8. (a) B. P. Sullivan, R. N. Leyden, and M. F. Hawthorne, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 455 (1975); (b) R. N. Leyden and M. F. Hawthorne, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 310 (1975).
9. V. R. Miller and R. N. Grimes, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 1600 (1976).
10. R. B. King, P. M. Treichel, and F. G. A. Stone, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83, 3593 (1961).
11. L. G. Sneddon and D. Voet, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 118 (1976).
12. W. J. Dulmage and W. N. Lipscomb, Acta Cryst., 5, 260 (1952).
13. N. N. Greenwood, C. G. Savory, R. N. Grimes, L. G. Sneddon, A. Davison, and S. S. Wreford, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 718 (1974).
14. T. P. Fehlner, J. Ragaini, M. Mangion, and S. G. Shore, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 7085 (1976).
15. J. R. Pipal and R. N. Grimes, (a) submitted for publication, (b) manuscript in preparation.
16. The most precise values available are from a neutron diffraction study by A. Tippe and W. C. Hamilton, Inorg. Chem., 8, 464 (1969); these do not differ greatly from those determined from X-ray diffraction

- data by J. S. Kasper, C. M. Lucht, and D. Harker, Acta Cryst., 3, 436 (1950), and recalculated by E. B. Moore, R. E. Dickerson, and W. N. Lipscomb, J. Chem. Phys., 27, 209 (1957).
17. J. W. Lott and D. F. Gaines, Inorg. Chem., 13, 2261 (1974).
 18. D. F. Gaines and J. C. Calabrese, Inorg. Chem., 13, 2419 (1974).
 19. V. R. Miller and R. N. Grimes, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 2830 (1973).
 20. W. J. Evans, G. B. Dunks, and M. F. Hawthorne, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 4565 (1973).
 21. (a) D. C. Beer, V. R. Miller, L. G. Sneddon, R. N. Grimes, M. Mathew, and G. J. Palenik, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 3046 (1973); (b) R. N. Grimes, D. C. Beer, L. G. Sneddon, V. R. Miller, and R. Weiss, Inorg. Chem., 13, 1138 (1974); (c) V. R. Miller and R. N. Grimes, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 4213 (1975); (d) R. Weiss and R. N. Grimes, J. Organometal. Chem., 113, 29 (1976).
 22. R. N. Grimes, "Carboranes", Academic Press, New York, 1970.
 23. T. E. Paxson, M. K. Kaloustian, G. R. Tom, R. J. Wiersema, and M. F. Hawthorne, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 4882 (1972).
 24. D. S. Matteson and N. K. Hota, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 93, 2893 (1971).
 25. (a) D. S. Matteson and R. A. Davis, Inorg. Chem., 13, 859 (1974); (b) L. I. Zakharkin, A. V. Kazantsev, and B. T. Ermagambetov, Zh. Obshch. Khim., 44, 225 (1974).
 26. D. S. Matteson and R. E. Grunzinger, Jr., Inorg. Chem., 13, 671 (1974).
 27. (a) P. A. Wegner, L. J. Guggenberger, and E. L. Muetterties, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 92, 3473 (1970); (b) R. N. Grimes, Pure and Applied Chem., 39, 455 (1974).
 28. W. M. Maxwell, E. Sinn, and R. N. Grimes, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 3490 (1976).
 29. R. Mason, K. M. Thomas, and D. M. P. Mingos, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 3802 (1973).
 30. K. P. Callahan, W. J. Evans, F. Y. Lo, C. E. Strouse, and M. F. Hawthorne, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 296 (1975).
 31. R. Hoffman and W. N. Lipscomb, J. Chem. Phys., 36, 2179 (1962).

32. D. M. P. Mingos and M. I. Forsyth, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., in press.
33. R. N. Grimes, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 88, 1895 (1966).
34. For a review of this area see B. T. Penfold and B. H. Robinson, Acc. Chem. Res., 6, 73 (1973).
35. W. J. Evans and M. F. Hawthorne, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 706 (1973).
36. W. M. Maxwell and R. N. Grimes, J. Chem. Soc., Chem Commun., 943 (1975).
37. C. G. Salentine, C. E. Strouse, and M. F. Hawthorne, Inorg. Chem., 15, 1832 (1976).
38. Compound I is slightly less air-stable than the others (particularly when impure) but nevertheless is handled routinely in air with no significant degradation over periods of several weeks.
39. In a separate experiment in the absence of CoCl_2 it was demonstrated that C_5H_5^- reacts with B_5H_9 to give C_5H_6 and B_5H_8^- .
40. Many of these cobaltaborane products, including IV, V, VII, and VIII, were obtained in substantially improved total yield (~20% of purified products) by allowing the $(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{CoB}_4\text{H}_7^-$ ion (obtained by bridge-deprotonation of I with NaH) to react with CoCl_2 and NaC_5H_5 . These results and other aspects of the chemistry of I, presently under study, will be described in subsequent reports.

Table I. Cobaltaborane Products

<u>Compound</u>	<u>Color</u>	<u>R_f value^a</u>
<u>2n + 4-electron systems</u>		
2-(η^5 -C ₅ H ₅)CoB ₄ H ₈ (I)	red	0.72
1-(η^5 -C ₅ H ₅)CoB ₄ H ₈ (II) ^b	yellow	c
5-(η^5 -C ₅ H ₅)CoB ₉ H ₁₃ (III)	red	0.41
<u>2n + 2-electron systems</u>		
1,2-(η^5 -C ₅ H ₅) ₂ Co ₂ B ₄ H ₆ (IV)	violet	0.43
3- σ -C ₅ H ₉ -1,2-(η^5 -C ₅ H ₅) ₂ Co ₂ B ₄ H ₅ (IVa)	violet	0.63
4- σ -C ₅ H ₉ -1,2-(η^5 -C ₅ H ₅) ₂ Co ₂ B ₄ H ₅ (IVb)	violet	0.69
1,2,3-(η^5 -C ₅ H ₅) ₃ Co ₃ B ₃ H ₅ (V)	brown	0.33
C ₅ H ₇ -(η^5 -C ₅ H ₅) ₃ Co ₃ B ₃ H ₄ (Va)	brown	0.45
μ (2,3)-C ₃ H ₄ -1,7,2,3-(η^5 -C ₅ H ₅) ₂ Co ₂ C ₂ B ₃ H ₃ (VI)	red	0.34
<u>2n-electron systems</u>		
(η^5 -C ₅ H ₅) ₃ Co ₃ B ₄ H ₄ (VII)	yellow	0.42
(η^5 -C ₅ H ₅) ₄ Co ₄ B ₄ H ₄ (VIII)	green	0.51

^aElution in 50% benzene/hexane. ^bObtained by thermal rearrangement of I (see text). ^cR_f not measurable; very near solvent front.

Table II. 32.1-MHz ^{11}B FTNMR Data (CDCl_3 Solution)

<u>Compound</u>	δ^a , ppm (J, Hz)	<u>Rel area</u>
I	+6.2(154), -15.9(136)	1,3
II	-4.4(162)	
III	+30.2(170), +25.1(200), +12.3(160), +8.0(160), +2.2(160), -2.9(160), -16.0(160), -36.4(160)	1,1,1,2, 1,1,1,1
IV	+61.1(151), +19.4(146)	2,2
IVa	+59.3(149), +39.2 ^b , +18.7(122)	2,1,1
IVb	+76.2 ^b , +55.9(142), +17.7(129)	1,1,2
V	+62.7 (~112)	
Va	+99.8(228), +63(225), +61 ^b	1,1,1
VI	+64.4(130), +4.2 ^c	1,2
VII	+141.4 ^c , +86.6(146)	1,3
VIII	+121.4(137)	

^aChemical shifts referenced to BF_3 etherate, with positive values indicating shift to lower field (less shielding), in conformity with the new sign convention adopted at the Third International Meeting on Boron Chemistry, Munich and Ettal, West Germany, July 1976.

^bSinglet. ^cBroad resonance, J_{HB} not measureable.

Table III. 100-MHz ¹H FTNMR Data, ¹¹B-Decoupled (CDCl₃ Solution)

<u>Compound</u>	<u>δ^a, ppm (rel area)</u>	<u>Assignment</u>
I	5.13 (5)	C ₅ H ₅
	3.64 (1)	H-B
	2.79 (1)	H-B
	1.70 (2)	H-B
	-3.59 (2)	B-H-B
	-15.28 (2) ^b	B-H-Co
II	5.01 (5)	C ₅ H ₅
	2.82 (4) ^c	H-B
	-4.30 (4)	B-H-B
III	5.39 (5)	C ₅ H ₅
	-2.5 (3)	B-H-B
	-19.2 (1)	B-H-Co
IV	5.21 (10)	C ₅ H ₅
	6.30 (2)	H-B
	3.14 (2)	H-B
	-12.58 (2)	Co-H
IVa	5.13 (10)	C ₅ H ₅
	6.18 (2)	H-B
	3.12 (1)	H-B
	-12.60 (2)	Co-H
	1.56 ^d , 1.22 ^d	C ₅ H ₉
IVb	5.18 (5)	C ₅ H ₅
	5.09 (5)	C ₅ H ₅
	6.00 (1)	H-B
	3.12 (2)	H-B
	-12.67 ^d	Co-H
	1.54 ^d	C ₅ H ₉
V	4.90 (15)	C ₅ H ₅
	7.11 (3)	H-B
	-14.48 (2)	Co-H

Table III. Continued.

VI	4.28 (10)	C ₅ H ₅
	6.75 (1)	H-B
	3.75 (1)	H-B
	3.52 (1)	H-B
	7.34 (1) ^e	C ₃ H ₄
	6.88 (1) ^e	C ₃ H ₄
	3.84 (2) ^f	C ₃ H ₄
VII	4.65	C ₅ H ₅
	14.45 (1)	H-B
	9.40 (3)	H-B
VIII	4.49 (20)	C ₅ H ₅
	11.83 (4)	H-B

^aPpm relative to (CH₃)₄Si, with positive sign indicating shift to lower field (less shielding). ^bJ_{H_{bridge} - H_{terminal} = 72 Hz. ^cJ_{HB} = 160 Hz. ^dLargest peak of multiplet. ^eCenter peak of multiplet. ^fApparent (not true) triplet.}

Table IV. High Resolution Mass Measurements

<u>Compound</u>	<u>Formula^a</u>	<u>Calcd mass</u>	<u>Obsd mass</u>
I	$^{12}\text{C}_5\ ^{11}\text{B}_4\ ^{59}\text{Co}^1\text{H}_{13}^+$	176.0721	176.0721
III	$^{12}\text{C}_5\ ^{11}\text{B}_9\ ^{59}\text{Co}^1\text{H}_{18}^+$	236.1577	236.1585
IV	$^{12}\text{C}_{10}\ ^{11}\text{B}_4\ ^{59}\text{Co}_2^1\text{H}_{18}^+$	298.0287	298.0285
V	$^{12}\text{C}_{15}\ ^{11}\text{B}_3\ ^{59}\text{Co}_3^1\text{H}_{21}^{+b}$	410.9918	410.9899
	$^{12}\text{C}_{15}\ ^{11}\text{B}_3\ ^{59}\text{Co}_3^1\text{H}_{20}^+$	409.9840	409.9815
VI	$^{12}\text{C}_{15}\ ^{11}\text{B}_3\ ^{59}\text{Co}_2^1\text{H}_{20}^{+b}$	351.0508	351.0530
	$^{12}\text{C}_{15}\ ^{11}\text{B}_3\ ^{59}\text{Co}_2^1\text{H}_{19}^+$	350.0430	350.0446
VII	$^{12}\text{C}_{15}\ ^{11}\text{B}_4\ ^{59}\text{Co}_3^1\text{H}_{20}^{+b}$	420.9933	420.9923
	$^{12}\text{C}_{15}\ ^{11}\text{B}_4\ ^{59}\text{Co}_3^1\text{H}_{19}^+$	419.9855	419.9867
VIII	$^{12}\text{C}_{20}\ ^{11}\text{B}_4\ ^{59}\text{Co}_4^1\text{H}_{25}^{+b}$	544.9657	544.9646
	$^{12}\text{C}_{20}\ ^{11}\text{B}_4\ ^{59}\text{Co}_4^1\text{H}_{24}^+$	543.9578	543.9546

^aParent ion except where otherwise indicated. ^bProtonated parent ion (M + 1).

Table V. Infrared Absorptions^a, cm⁻¹


I	3119(w), 3041(w), 2550(s), 1980(w), 1825(m), 1791(m), 1705(m), 1669(m), 1545(m), 1470(m), 1409(s), 1378(m), 1342(m), 1113(m), 1066(m), 1018(m), 999(m), 951(s), 862(s), 832(s), 671(m)
II	3114(w), 2921(w), 2451(s), 1812(m), 1484(m), 1416(w), 1113(w), 1007(m), 897(m), 824(m), 662(w)
III	3116(w), 3014(w), 2925(m), 2852(w), 2548(s), 1710(w), 1465(w), 1369(w), 1092(w), 1039(m), 999(m), 931(w), 835(m), 802(sh)
IV	3114(w), 2924(w), 2506(s), 1820(w), 1753(w), 1415(m), 1110(sh), 1075(m), 1046(m), 1007(m), 825(s), 666(m)
IVa	3105(w), 2942(m), 2858(m), 2500(s), 1815(w), 1735(w), 1668(w), 1450(w), 1415(w), 1098(m), 1045(m), 1007(m), 821(s)
IVb	3112(w), 2941(s), 2860(m), 2494(s), 1816(w), 1741(w), 1667(w), 1448(w), 1415(m), 1131(sh), 1075(m), 1027(m), 1007(s), 820(s), 654(w)
V	3109(w), 3040(w), 2976(w), 2925(m), 2473(s), 2300(w), 1726(w), 1413(m), 1248(w), 1108(m), 1070(m), 1041(m), 1002(m), 888(w), 833(m), 820(m), 809(m), 700(m)
VII	3040(m), 2927(s), 2854(m), 2683(w), 2480(s), 2302(w), 1809(w), 1729(w), 1548(w), 1414(m), 1245(m), 1154(w), 1110(w), 1004(m), 989(m), 954(m), 927(m), 914(s), 695(s)
VIII	3045(m), 2978(m), 2919(m), 2855(w), 2685(w), 2440(s), 2301(w), 1730(w), 1415(m), 1250(m), 1113(w), 1007(w), 890(w), 834(s), 823(s), 798(s), 700(s)

^aCH₂Cl₂ solution vs. CH₂Cl₂.


Figure Captions

- Figure 1. Structures of $2n + 4$ -electron (nido) cobaltaboranes.
- Figure 2. Structures of $2n + 2$ -electron (closo) cobaltaboranes. Those of V and VI are established, and the others are proposed from ^{11}B and ^1H NMR data. The indicated locations of bridge hydrogens in IV, IVa, and IVb are suggested; those in V are supported by crystallographic evidence.
- Figure 3. Structures of $2n$ -electron cobaltaboranes, including the established geometry of VII and two suggested possibilities for VIII. One C_5H_5 ring in VII has been omitted for clarity.

2n+4-ELECTRON SYSTEMS




I



II

$(C_5H_5)_2CoB_4H_8$ ISOMERS










III









$(C_5H_5)CoB_9H_{13}$

2n+2-ELECTRON SYSTEMS



2n-ELECTRON SYSTEMS



POSSIBLE STRUCTURES

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. Copies</u>		<u>No. Copies</u>
	Dr. W. N. Lipscomb Department of Chemistry Harvard University Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138	1
	Dr. A. Cowley University of Texas Department of Chemistry Austin, Texas 78712	1
	Dr. W. Hatfield University of North Carolina Department of Chemistry Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514	1
	Dr. M. Tsutsui Department of Chemistry Texas A&M University College Station, Texas 77843	1
	Dr. D. Seyferth Massachusetts Institute of Technology Department of Chemistry Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1
	Dr. C. Quicksall Department of Chemistry Georgetown University 37th & O Streets Washington, D.C. 20007	1
	Dr. M. H. Chisholm Princeton University Department of Chemistry Princeton, New Jersey 08540	1
	Dr. M. F. Hawthorne Department of Chemistry University of California Los Angeles, California 90024	1
	Dr. B. Foxman Department of Chemistry Brandeis University Waltham, Massachusetts 02154	1
	Dr. D. B. Brown Department of Chemistry University of Vermont Burlington, Vermont 05401	1
	Dr. Alan Siedle National Bureau of Standards Department of Commerce Chemistry Section Washington, D.C. 20375	1
	Dr. W. B. Fox Naval Research Laboratory Chemistry Division Code 5130 Washington, D.C. 20375	1
	Dr. R. J. Lagow University of Texas Department of Chemistry Austin, Texas 78712	1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. Copies</u>		<u>No. Copies</u>
	Office of Naval Research Arlington, Virginia 22217 Attn: Code 472	2
	Office of Naval Research Arlington, Virginia 22217 Attn: Code 102IP	6
	ONR Branch Office 536 S. Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60605 Attn: Dr. George Sandoz	1
	ONR Branch Office 715 Broadway New York, New York 10003 Attn: Scientific Dept.	1
	ONR Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91106 Attn: Dr. R. J. Marcus	1
	ONR Branch Office 760 Market Street, Rm. 447 San Francisco, California 94102 Attn: Dr. P. A. Miller	1
	ONR Branch Office 495 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210 Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles	1
	Director, Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20390 Attn: Library, Code 2029 (ONRL)	6
	Technical Info. Div.	1
	Code 6100, 6170	1
	The Asst. Secretary of the Navy (R&D) Department of the Navy Room 4E736, Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20350	1
	Commander, Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20360 Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser)	1
	Defense Documentation Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12
	U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709 Attn: CRD-AA-IP	
	Commander Naval Undersea Research & Development Center San Diego, California 92132 Attn: Technical Library, Code 133	1
	Naval Weapons Center China Lake, California 93555 Attn: Head, Chemistry Division	1
	Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Port Hueneme, California 93041 Attn: Mr. W. S. Haynes	1
	Professor O. Heinz Department of Physics & Chemistry Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	
	Dr. A. L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code RD-1) Washington, D.C. 20380	1