



# DISPOSITION

10.4

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

# DISCLAIMER

100

....

1.1. 11. 48

A ....

See.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

DEBORT DOCUMENTATION DACE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
REPORT DUCUMENTATION PAGE	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION N	0. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
WVT-TR-77008	
TITLE (and Subulla)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
The Influence of Support Characteristics on the	ATI . In
Stability of an Elastic System Subjected to a	rechnical rep
Circulatory Force	6. PERFORMING ONS. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR()	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
G.L. Anderson	1
	(6)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT. PROJECT, TASK
Benet Weapons Laboratory	AMCMS No. 61 102.11.35000
SARLW_RT-TT	DA Proj. No. [1F161102A35D]
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12 REPORT DATE
US Army Armament Research and Development Command	Mar 1077
Dover, New Jersey 07801	T. NUMBER OF PAPES
	33 9 3400
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	UNCLASSIFIED
	15e. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
	SCHEDULE
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit	ted.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different i	ted.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different i	ted.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different i	ted.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different i	ted.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different i 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	tom Report)
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different i 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	rom Report)
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different i 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ted.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different i 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ted. rom Report) 374 050
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different i 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number	ted. rom Report) 374050
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different if 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Circulatory Force	ted. Trom Report) 374050
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Dlock 20, if different if 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Circulatory Force Dynamics	ted. rom Report) 37-1 050
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different i 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Circulatory Force Dynamics Stability	ted. rom Report) 3771 050
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different if 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number Circulatory Force Dynamics Stability Support Characteristics	ted. rom Report) 37-1 050 sr)
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different i 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Circulatory Force Dynamics Stability Support Characteristics	rom Report) 37-1050
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different if 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Circulatory Force Dynamics Stability Support Characteristics 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number The stability of a vertical double nerdulum	ted. Trom Report) 371050 371050
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Dlock 20, 11 different if 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Circulatory Force Dynamics Stability Support Characteristics 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number The stability of a vertical double pendulum on a platform whose horizontal motion is constra	ted. Trom Report) 371050 with elastic joints, mounted ined by an elastic spring and
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different if 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Circulatory Force Dynamics Stability Support Characteristics 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number The stability of a vertical double pendulum on a platform whose horizontal motion is constra subjected to circulatory force. is examined. At	ted. Tom Report) 37.1050 with elastic joints, mounted ined by an elastic spring and tention is focused on the de-
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different if 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Circulatory Force Dynamics Stability Support Characteristics 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number The stability of a vertical double pendulum on a platform whose horizontal motion is constra Subjected to circulatory force, is examined. At termination of the critical loads of divergence	ted. Tom Report) Tom Report) 371050 To with elastic joints, mounted ined by an elastic spring and tention is focused on the de- and flutter as functions of
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different if 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Circulatory Force Dynamics Stability Support Characteristics 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number The stability of a vertical double pendulum on a platform whose horizontal motion is constra subjected to circulatory force, is examined. At termination of the critical loads of divergence the platform mass and spring stiffness parameter	with elastic joints, mounted ined by an elastic spring and tention is focused on the de- and flutter as functions of s, which characterize the ef-
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different if 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Circulatory Force Dynamics Stability Support Characteristics 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number The stability of a vertical double pendulum on a platform whose horizontal motion is constra subjected to circulatory force, is examined. At termination of the critical loads of divergence the platform mass and spring stiffness parameter fects of the support on the stability of the dou	with elastic joints, mounted ined by an elastic spring and tention is focused on the de- and flutter as functions of s, which characterize the ef- ble pendulum. The frequency
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different is 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Circulatory Force Dynamics Stability Support Characteristics 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number The stability of a vertical double pendulum on a platform whose horizontal motion is constra subjected to circulatory force, is examined. At termination of the critical loads of divergence the platform mass and spring stiffness parameter fects of the support on the stability of the dou equation is derived, and several typical eigencu	with elastic joints, mounted ined by an elastic spring and tention is focused on the de- and flutter as functions of s, which characterize the ef- ble pendulum. The frequency rves are plotted in the load-
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different if 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number Circulatory Force Dynamics Stability Support Characteristics 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number The stability of a vertical double pendulum on a platform whose horizontal motion is constra subjected to circulatory force, is examined. At termination of the critical loads of divergence the platform mass and spring stiffness parameter fects of the support on the stability of the dou equation is derived, and several typical eigencu frequency plane. Stability maps in the load-tan	ted. Tom Report) Tom Report) 3771050 and 1000000000000000000000000000000000000

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

Block 20 (continued)

.....

1.100

TT TITAG

cate the existence of regions of stability, divergence and flutter. Certain boundary curves of these regions are strongly dependent upon the values of the parameters that characterize the support.

ACCESSION for		
Mas White Section III		
JUSTIFICATION		
BY DISTRIBUTION AVAILABLE IN	•	
Dist. AVAIL and/or SPIC		
	100 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	The Equations of Motion	2
3.	The Purely Dynamical System	5
4.	Numerical Results for the Purely Dynamical System	9
5.	Numerical Results for a Quasi-Dynamic System	19
Ref	ferences	29

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

# Figure

-

.....

LA . L'AL LLUI LANG. ....

ALLE A

The contract

-

1.	A double pendulum mounted on a movable base and subjected to a circulatory force.	3
2.	Eigencurves for $\mu$ = 1, $\kappa$ = 0.1, and (a) $\alpha$ = 0.3, (b) $\alpha$ = 1.125, and (c) $\alpha$ = 1.175.	10
3.	Stability map for $\mu$ = 1 and $\kappa$ = 0.1.	14
4.	Stability map for $\mu$ = 1 and $\kappa$ = 1.	15
5.	Stability map for $\mu$ = 1 and $\kappa$ = 10.	16
6.	Stability map for $\kappa = 1$ and $\mu = 1/10$ .	17
7.	Stability map for $\kappa = 1$ and $\mu = 10$ .	18
8.	Eigencurves for $\kappa$ = 1 and (a) $\alpha$ = 1.05, (b) $\alpha$ = 1.25.	23
9.	Stability map for $\kappa = 1/10$ .	26
10.	Stability map for $\kappa = 1$ .	27
11.	Stability map for $\kappa = 2$ .	28

a contract of the second second

# 1. INTRODUCTION

....

- Y.T. . L. L. X.

For a double pendulum subjected to a circulatory force applied at its free end, Herrmann and Bungay [1] determined the dependence of the critical loads of divergence and flutter upon a tangency coefficient,  $\alpha$ , which specified the orientation of the applied force relative to the instantaneous deformed configuration of the system. It was assumed in reference [1] that elastic hinges at the joints in the double pendulum exerted linear restoring moments  $c\phi_1$  and  $c(\phi_2-\phi_1)$ , where c denotes the stiffness of the hinges and  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are angles that specify the configuration of the system. To study the influence of support flexibility on the state of stability of this system, Sugiyama, et al [2], assumed that the linear restoring moments exerted by the hinges were represented as  $c_1\phi_1$  and  $c_2(\phi_2-\phi_1)$ . They then derived expressions for the critical loads as functions of the ratio of stiffnesses,  $c_1/c_2$ .

The objective of the present investigation is to consider again the effect of the characteristics of the support on the stability of the system, but here it will be assumed that the support consists of a platform of mass M that is attached to a horizontal elastic spring of stiffness and that is constrained to move on a smooth horizontal surface. As a result of the introduction of this additional mass and degree of freedom, the system to be studied is, of necessity, one of

Herrmann, G., and Bungay, R. W., "On the Stability of Elastic Systems Subjected to Nonconservative Forces," <u>Journal of Applied Mechanics</u>, Vol 31, 1964, pp. 435-440.

Sugiyama, Y., Maeda, S., and Kawagoe, H., "Destabilizing Effect of Elastic Constraint on the Stability of Nonconservative Elastic Systems," <u>Theoretical and Applied Mechanics</u>, Vol 22, University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1974, pp. 33-45.

three degrees of freedom. The frequency equation for the system is derived, and equations for the critical loads of flutter and divergence are derived. Stability maps in the load-tangency plane reveal regions of stability, divergence, and flutter, some of whose boundary curves are strongly dependent upon the values of the mass and stiffness parameters M and k.

# 2. THE EQUATIONS OF MOTION

· ...

Consider the system shown in Figure 1 that comprises a double pendulum mounted on a platform of mass M that is constrained to move on a smooth horizontal surface. The configuration of the system is specified by the coordinate  $x_1(t)$ , which locates the center of mass of the platform relative to a fixed origin O' and by the angles  $\phi_1(t)$ ,  $\phi_2(t)$  formed between the vertical and each of the two bars in the double pendulum. The double pendulum consists of two rigid, weightless bars of equal length  $\ell$  and carries concentrated masses  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ located at distances  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  from the hinges O and A, respectively. The hinged joints at O and A exert linear elastic restoring moments  $c\phi_1$  and  $c(\phi_2-\phi_1)$ , where c denotes a torsional spring constant, and the horizontal motion of the platform is restrained by an extensible spring of stiffness k, which is fastened to a rigid wall at C. A force of magnitude P, which acts at an angle  $\alpha \phi_2$ , relative to the vertical, where  $\alpha$  designates the tangency coefficient, is applied at the free end B of the pendulum. This applied force is a circulatory force when  $\alpha \neq 0$  and a conservative force only when  $\alpha = 0$ . Moreover, it is said to be tangential if  $\alpha = 1$ , sub-tangential if  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , supertangential if  $\alpha > 1$ , and anti-tangential if  $\alpha < 0$ .



Figure 1. A double pendulum mounted on a movable base and subjected to a circulatory force.

Under the assumption that the displacement  $x_1$  and the angles  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  remain small throughout the motion, one can show that the expression for the kinetic energy T for this system is

$$T = \frac{1}{2} (M + m_{1} + m_{2}) \dot{x}_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (m_{1}a_{1}^{2} + m_{2}\ell^{2}) \phi_{1}^{2} + (m_{1}a_{1} + m_{2}\ell) \dot{x}_{1}\dot{\phi}_{1} + \frac{1}{2} m_{2}a_{2}^{2}\dot{\phi}_{2}^{2} + m_{2}a_{2}\dot{x}_{1}\dot{\phi}_{2} + m_{2}\ell a_{2}\dot{\phi}_{1}\dot{\phi}_{2}$$
(1)

The potential energy V associated with the extensional spring and the elastic joints is

$$V = \frac{1}{2} k x_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} c \left( 2\phi_1^2 - 2\phi_1\phi_2 + \phi_2^2 \right).$$
 (2)

Furthermore, the generalized forces associated with the applied force P are easily shown to be

$$Q_{x_1} = -P_{\alpha\phi_2}, \quad Q_1 = -P_{\ell}(\alpha\phi_2 - \phi_1), \quad Q_2 = -P_{\ell}(\alpha-1)\phi_2.$$
 (3)

Therefore, using Lagranges' equations in the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{x}_{1}}\right) - \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{1}} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{1}} = Qx_{1},$$
$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{n}}\right) - \frac{\partial T}{\partial \phi_{n}} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi_{n}} = Q_{n}, \quad n = 1, 2,$$

with equations (1) - (3), one obtains the equations of motion

$$(M + m_1 + m_2)\ddot{x}_1 + kx_1 + (m_1a_1 + m_2a_)\ddot{\phi}_1 + m_2a_2\phi_2 + P\alpha\ddot{\phi}_2 = 0, \qquad (4)$$

$$(m_1 a_1 + m_2 \ell) \ddot{x}_1 + (m_1 a_1^2 + m_2 \ell^2) \ddot{\phi}_1 + (2c - P\ell) \phi_1 + + m_2 \ell a_2 \ddot{\phi}_2 - (c - \alpha P\ell) \phi_2 = 0,$$
 (5)

$$m_{2}^{a} \ddot{x}_{1} + m_{2}^{l} a_{2} \ddot{\phi}_{1} - c \phi_{1} + m_{2}^{a} \dot{2} \ddot{\phi}_{2} + [c - Pl(1 - \alpha)] \phi_{2} = 0.$$
 (6)

It is desirable to express equations (4) - (6) in dimensionless form. This may be accomplished by making the changes of dependent and independent variables  $x_4(t) = \ell x(\tau)$  and  $t = \tau \ell (m/c)^{1/2}$ , respectively, and by defining

$$\kappa = \frac{k\ell^2}{c}$$
,  $Q = \frac{P\ell}{c}$ ,  $M = m\mu$ ,  $m_n = m\mu_n$ ,  $a_n = \alpha_n\ell$ ,  $n = 1,2$ .

Hence, equations (4) - (6) can be written as

$$(\mu_{1} + \mu_{2} + \mu)\ddot{x} + \kappa x + (\mu_{1}\alpha_{1} + \mu_{2})\ddot{\phi}_{1} + \mu_{2}\alpha_{2}\ddot{\phi}_{2} + \alpha Q\phi_{2} = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$(\mu_1 \alpha_1 + \mu_2) x + (\mu_1 \alpha_1^2 + \mu_2) \phi_1 + (2 - Q) \phi_1 + \mu_2 \alpha_2 \phi_2 - (1 - \alpha Q) \phi_2 = 0$$
 (8)

$$\mu_{2}\alpha_{2}\ddot{x} + \mu_{2}\alpha_{2}\ddot{\phi}_{1} - \phi_{1} + \mu_{2}\alpha_{2}^{2}\ddot{\phi}_{2} + [1 - (1 - \alpha)Q]\phi_{2} = 0, \qquad (9)$$

where now  $\dot{x} = dx/d\tau$ , etc.

# 3. THE PURELY DYNAMICAL SYSTEM

Suppose next that the masses  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are located at the ends of the two bars in the double pendulum, i.e., at the points A and B, respectively, in Figure 1. This immediately implies that  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$ , so that equations (7) - (9) become

$$(\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu)\ddot{x} + \kappa x + (\mu_1 + \mu_2)\ddot{\phi}_1 + \mu_2\ddot{\phi}_2 + \alpha Q\phi_2 = 0, \quad (10)$$

$$(\mu_1 + \mu_2)\ddot{x} + (\mu_1 + \mu_2)\ddot{\phi}_1 + (2 - Q)\phi_1 + \mu_2\ddot{\phi}_2 - (1 - \alpha Q)\phi_2 = 0, (11)$$

$$\mu_{2}\ddot{x} + \mu_{2}\ddot{\phi}_{1} - \phi_{1} + \mu_{2}\ddot{\phi}_{2} + [1 - (1 - \alpha)Q]\phi_{2} = 0.$$
 (12)

These differential equations with constant coefficients admit a solution of the form

$$x(\tau) = X_1 e^{i\omega\tau}, \phi_n(\tau) = X_{n+1} e^{i\omega\tau}, n = 1,2, i = (-1)^{1/2},$$
 (13)

where the X<sub>j</sub>'s are constants and  $\omega$  denotes the dimensionless frequency parameter for the system. Substitution of equation (13) into equations (10) - (12) yields the set of homogeneous algebraic equations

$$\sum_{n=1}^{3} [Amn\omega^2 - Cmn - QDmn]Xn = 0, m = 1,2,3$$
(14)

where

.....

It is now easily shown that the frequency equation associated with equation (14) is

$$p_0^{\omega^6} - p_2^{\omega^{4}} + p_4^{\omega^2} - p_6 = 0,$$
 (16)

where

$$P_{0} = \mu_{1}\mu_{2}\mu,$$

$$P_{2} = (2 + \kappa)\mu_{1}\mu_{2} + \mu(\mu_{1} + 5\mu_{2})-Q[\mu_{2}(\mu_{1} + 2\mu) + \mu\mu_{1} (1 - \alpha)],$$

$$P_{4} = \mu_{1}(1 + \kappa) + \mu_{2}(1 + 5\kappa) + \mu - Q[(\mu_{1}\kappa + 3\mu)(1 - \alpha) + \mu_{1}(3 - 2\alpha) + \mu_{2}(3 + 2\kappa)] + Q^{2}[(\mu + \mu_{1})(1 - \alpha) + \mu_{2}],$$

$$P_{6} = \kappa[1 - 3(1 - \alpha) Q + (1 - \alpha)Q^{2}].$$
(17)

It may be noted that the value of the determinant of the dimensionless inertia matrix, A = (Amn), is det  $(A) = p_0 = \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu$ , i.e., the product of the three fundamental mass parameters associated with the system. If none of these mass parameters is zero, then the inertia matrix is non-singular, and the system is said to be a <u>purely dynamic system</u> [3].

For the sake of being specific, suppose now that  $\mu_2 = 2$  and  $\mu_2 = 1$ , so that equation (17) becomes

$$p_{0} = 2\mu, \quad p_{2} = 4 + 2\kappa + 7\mu - 2Q[1 + \mu(2 - \alpha)],$$

$$p_{4} = 3 + 7\kappa + \mu - Q[(4 + 2\kappa + 3\mu)(1 - \alpha) + 5 + 2\kappa] + Q^{2}[(2 + \mu)(1 - \alpha) + 1],$$

$$p_{6} = \kappa[1 - 3(1 - \alpha)Q + (1 - \alpha)Q^{2}]. \quad (18)$$

Ku, A. B., "On the Stability of a Linear Nongyroscopic Conservative System," <u>Zeitschrift für angewandte Mathematic und Physik</u>, Vol 20, 1977, pp. 986-991.

If the system becomes unstable by divergence, the critical loads  $Q_{bj}$ , j = 1,2, can be computed from the condition of vanishing frequency. Putting  $\omega$  = 0 in equation (16), one obtains  $p_6 = 0$ , whence, by virtue of the last relationship in equation (18),

$$(1 - \alpha)Q_b^2 - 3(1 - \alpha)Q_b + 1 = 0, \qquad (19)$$

provided that  $\kappa \neq 0$ . But equation (19) is identical to the expression for the divergence loads of a double pendulum attached to an immovable base, which has been discussed by Herrmann and Bungay [1]. Clearly, the mass M of the platform on which the double pendulum is mounted and the constraining extensional spring of stiffness k have no influence whatsoever on the values of  $Q_{bj}$  for the system of three degrees of freedom under consideration here.

The flutter loads  $Q_e$  for the system can be determined from the condition of the merging of two natural frequencies of the system. Because the frequency equation (16) may be considered a cubic polynomial in  $\omega^2$ , the condition for the coalescence of two of its roots is, according to reference [4],

$$p_0^2 [4p_0 p_4^3 - p_2^2 p_4^2 + 27p_0^2 p_6^2 - 18p_0 p_2 p_4 p_6 + 4p_2^3 p_6] = 0.$$
 (20)

If  $p_0 \neq 0$ , this condition becomes

$$4p_0p_4^3 - p_2^2p_4^2 + 27p_0^2p_6^2 - 18p_0p_2p_4p_6 + 4p_2^3p_6 = 0.$$
(21)

Herrmann, G., and Bungay, R. W., "On the Stability of Elastic Systems Subjected to Nonconservative Forces," <u>Journal of Applied Mechanics</u>, Vol 31, 1964, pp. 435-440.

Walter, W. W. and Anderson, G. L., "Stability of a System of Three Degrees of Freedom Subjected to a Circulatory Force," submitted for publication.

Substitution of equation (18) into equation (21) yields the following sextic for the critical flutter load  $Q_{a}$ :

$$\sum_{n=0}^{6} r_{n} Q_{e}^{n} = 0, \qquad (22)$$

where the  $r_n$ 's, which will not be reproduced here, are lengthy polynomials in the mass parameter  $\mu$ , the stiffness parameter  $\kappa$ , and the tangency coefficient  $\alpha$ .

# 4. NUMERICAL RESULTS FOR THE PURELY DYNAMICAL SYSTEM

Equations (19) and (22) may be solved numerically for the critical loads of divergence and flutter, respectively, for given values of the parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\kappa$ . The objective of these numerical calculations consisted of the preparation of stability maps, i.e., plots in the  $Q\alpha$ -plane for selected values of  $\mu$  and  $\kappa$ . The curves in the  $Q\alpha$ =plane obtained from equations (19) and (22) form the boundaries of the various regions of stability, divergence, flutter, and divergence-flutter. In order to identify the system's behavior in a given region, it was necessary to examine several eigencurves, i.e., plots in the  $Q\omega^2$ -plane. Three typical examples of are shown in Figure 2 for (a)  $\alpha$  = 0.3, (b)  $\alpha$  = 1.125, and (c)  $\alpha$  = 1.175 with  $\mu = 1$ ,  $\kappa = 1/10$ . In these eigencurves, one can observe the points of coalescence of various modes, which indicate either the onset or cessation of a state of flutter, and the intersection of the curves with the load axis, which indicates, in the cases depicted, the transition of a frequency from an imaginary value to a real value. Whenever a frequency for a particular mode is such that  $\omega^2 < 0$ , it is







understood that this mode grows exponentially in time (divergence). If, however, it happens that  $\omega^2$  has a complex value for some mode, the motion in this mode consists of an oscillation with an exponentially growing amplitude (flutter). It may be observed from Figure 2c that  $\omega_1^2 < 0$  and  $\omega_2^2 \quad \omega_3^2$  are complex numbers when Q>5.615. In this situation, the various modes of the system simultaneously exhibit instability by both flutter and divergence.

Stability maps for  $\kappa = 1/10$ , 1, and 10 with  $\mu = 1$  are shown in Figures 3, 4, and 5, respectively. The various regions of stability and instability are labeled as follows: stable region (SR), flutter region (FR), divergence region (DR), and flutter-divergence region (FDR). These figures reveal that the shape and extent of the primary flutter region is strongly dependent upon the value of the spring stiffness coefficient  $\kappa$ . For relatively weakly supported bases, as in the cases of Figures 3 and 4, the flutter region is fairly large and extends beyond the range of the tangency coefficient,  $\alpha$ , shown here. However, as the spring stiffness is increased, say to  $\kappa = 10$  as in Figure 5, the flutter region contracts significantly in size and is bounded by a closed curve. As the value of  $\kappa$  is raised still further, the shape and location of the flutter region in the Q $\alpha$ -plane approach those reported by Herrmann and Bungay [1] for a double pendulum mounted on a rigid base.

In Figures 6 and 7, the stability maps have been plotted for  $\mu = 1/10$  and  $\mu = 10$ , respectively, with  $\kappa$  held fixed at  $\kappa = 1$ . Comparing Figures 6, 4, and 7 one sees that the shape of the flutter region is











significantly influenced by the value of the mass parameter μ.
5. NUMERICAL RESULTS FOR A QUASI-DYNAMIC SYSTEM

It is of some interest to examine the system of equations (10) -(12) under the supposition that the mass of the base is negligibly small. Since the determinant of the dimensionless inertia matrix A is det (A) =  $\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu$ , it is obvious that det (A) = 0 when  $\mu$  = 0. Therefore, in the case of a massless base, the system under consideration becomes an example of a quasi-dynamic system [3], whose motion is described by the differential equations

$$(\mu_{1} + \mu_{2})\ddot{x} + \kappa x + (\mu_{1} + \mu_{2})\ddot{\phi}_{1} + \mu_{2}\ddot{\phi}_{2} + \alpha Q\phi_{2} = 0, \qquad (23)$$

$$(\mu_1 + \mu_2)\ddot{x} + (\mu_1 + \mu_2)\ddot{\phi}_1 + (2-Q)\phi_1 + \mu_2\ddot{\phi}_2 - (1-\alpha Q)\phi_2 = 0$$
 (24)

$$\mu_{2}\ddot{x} + \mu_{2}\ddot{\phi}_{1} - \phi_{1} + \mu_{2}\ddot{\phi}_{2} + [1 - (1 - \alpha)Q]\phi_{2} = 0, \qquad (25)$$

which are obtained from equations (10) - (12) upon setting  $\mu = 0$ . Moreover, because A is singular, it follows, according to reference [3], that an "internal constraint" must exist. This is easily identified simply by subtracting equation (24) from equation (23), the result being

$$\kappa x = (2-Q)\phi_1 - \phi_2$$
 (26)

Using equation (26) to eliminate the  $\ddot{x}$  terms in equations (23) and (25), one finds a pair of equations of the form

$$\sum_{n=1}^{2} [Amn \,\phi_n + (Cmn + QDmn)\phi_n] = 0, \quad m = 1,2, \quad (27)$$

Ku, A. B., "On the Stability of a Linear Nongyroscopic Conservative System," <u>Zeitschrift für angewandte Mathematic und Physik</u>, Vol 20, 1977, pp. 986-991.

where now

$$A_{11} = (\mu_1 + \mu_2)(2 + \kappa - Q), \qquad A_{12} = (\kappa - 1)\mu_2 - \mu_1,$$

$$A_{21} = \mu_2(2 + \kappa - Q), \qquad A_{22} = \mu_2(\kappa - 1),$$

$$C_{11} = 2\kappa, \quad C_{12} = -\kappa, \qquad D_{11} = -\kappa, \quad D_{12} = \kappa\alpha$$

$$C_{21} = -\kappa, \quad C_{22} = \kappa, \qquad D_{21} = 0, \qquad D_{22} = (\kappa - 1).$$
(28)

It should be noted here that the new dimensionless inertia matrix A, some of whose elements Amn, which are defined in equation (28), depend linearly upon Q, is not symmetric. In addition, the value of its determinant is

det (A) = 
$$\kappa \mu_1 \mu_2 (2 + \kappa - Q)$$
. (29)

Assuming a solution for equation (27) of the type given in equation (13), one can verify that the frequency equation for the quasi-dynamic system is simply

$$p_2 \omega^4 - p_4 \omega^2 + p_6 = 0, \qquad (30)$$

where  $p_2$ ,  $p_4$ , and  $p_6$  are obtained from equation (17) upon setting  $\mu = 0$ . Equivalently, equation (30) can be expressed as

$$(A + QH)\omega^4 + (D + BQ + IQ^2)\omega^2 + CQ^2 + EQ + F = 0,$$
 (31)

where

$$A = \mu_{1}\mu_{2} (2 + \kappa), \quad H = -\mu_{1}\mu_{2}, \quad D = [(1 + \kappa)\mu_{1} + (1 + 5\kappa)\mu_{2}],$$
  

$$B = (2 + \kappa) [(1 - \alpha)\mu_{1} + \mu_{2}] + \mu_{1} + (1 + \kappa)\mu_{2}, \quad I = (a - 1)\mu_{1} - \mu_{2},$$
  

$$C = \kappa(1 - \alpha), \quad E = -3\kappa(1 - \alpha), \quad F = \kappa.$$
(32)

It may be remarked at this point that, if the H and I terms were deleted from equation (31), the resulting frequency-load relationship would be such that the eigencurves would be conic sections in the  $Q\omega^2$ -plane, as reported in reference [1]. However, in the present investigation, the values of H and I are generally different from zero and, therefore, the eigencurves are not conic sections. It may be anticipated, then, that the present system may possess some flutter characteristics that are unusual relative to those described in reference [1].

The critical divergence loads can be obtained once again from the condition  $p_6 = 0$ , i.e., from equation (19), that results when  $\omega = 0$  is substituted into equation (30). On the other hand, for the flutter loads, the condition for the coalescence of the frequencies obtained from equation (30) is  $p_4^2 - 4p_2p_6 = 0$ , whence

 $I^{2}Q^{4} + 2(IB - 2HC)Q^{3} + (B^{2} + 2ID - 4HE - 4AC)Q^{2} +$ 

 $+ 2(BD - 2HF - 2AE)Q + D^2 - 4AF = 0,$  (33)

which is a quartic polynomial in Q.

.....

222112 LAL

In the case of  $\mu_1 = 2$  and  $\mu_1 = 1$ , equation (32) becomes

Herrmann, G., and Bungay, R. W., "On the Stability of Elastic Systems Subjected to Nonconservative Forces," <u>Journal of Applied Mechanics</u>, Vol 31, 1964, pp. 435-440.

$$\begin{array}{l} A = 2(2 + \kappa), \quad H = -2, \quad D = -(3 + 7\kappa), \\ B = 9 + 4\kappa - 2\alpha \ (2 + \kappa), \quad I = 2\alpha - 3, \\ C = \kappa(1 - \alpha), \quad E = -3\kappa(1 - \alpha), \quad F = \kappa \end{array}$$

Consequently, equations (31) and (33) can be expressed as

$$2(2 + \kappa - Q)\omega^{4} - \{3 + 7\kappa + [2 (2 + \kappa) - 9 - 4\kappa]Q + (3 - 2\alpha)Q^{2}\}\omega^{2} + \kappa(1 - \alpha)Q^{2} - 3\kappa(1 - \alpha)Q + \kappa = 0$$
(34)

and

....

$$(2\alpha - 3)^{2}Q^{4} - 2[4(2 + \kappa)(1 - \alpha)^{2} + 2(7 + \kappa)(1 - \alpha) + 5 + 2\kappa]Q^{3} + + [4(2 + \kappa)^{2}(1 - \alpha)^{2} + 4(13 + 6\kappa)(1 - \alpha) + 31 + 34\kappa + 4\kappa^{2}]Q^{2} - - 2[2(\kappa + 2)(\kappa + 3)(1 - \alpha) + (2\kappa + 1)(7\kappa + 15)]Q + 9 + 26\kappa + 41\kappa^{2} = 0,$$
  
respectively. (35)

Solving the frequency equation (34) for  $\omega^2$  as a function of Q for given values of  $\alpha$  and  $\kappa$ , one can construct eigencurves. Two such curves, plotted for  $\alpha = 1.05$  and  $\alpha = 1.25$  with  $\kappa = 1$ , are shown in Figure 8. In Figure 8a, the frequencies of the two modes of vibration coalesce in the first quadrant of the  $Q\omega^2$ -plane at Q = 1.01. Consequently, the system becomes unstable initially by flutter. As the value of Q is raised even higher, flutter eventually gives way to divergence which persists over the remainder of the range of Q shown. In the case of  $\alpha = 1.25$  (Figure 8b), the onset of flutter occurs at Q = 1.02. Flutter persists for 1.02 < Q < 2.78, and in the interval 2.78 < Q < 4.01 the system is divergent. However, for 4.01 < Q < 5.02 the system is stable once more, although flutter now occurs a second time, in this instance at Q = 5.02, as the result of a second coalescence of frequencies.





Performing computations with equation (35) for the critical flutter loads and using the data obtained earlier from equation (19) for the critical divergence loads, one can plot stability maps in the  $Q\alpha$ -plane. In Figures 9, 10, and 11, some results are shown for  $\kappa = 1/10$ , 1, and 2. Although these figures resemble the stability maps in Figures 3, 4, and 5 for the purely dynamic system, it may be observed that the flutter-divergence region in a stability map for the purely dynamical system becomes a flutter region in the corresponding stability map for the quasi-dynamic system. In addition, the divergence region in the upper right corner in each of the Figures 9-11 is replaced by a stable region in the quasi-dynamic case.







# REFERENCES

- Herrmann, G., and Bungay, R. W., "On the Stability of Elastic Systems Subjected to Nonconservative Forces", Journal of Applied Mechanics, Vol. 31, 1964, pp. 435-440.
- Sugiyama, Y., Maeda, S., and Kawagoe, H., "Destabilizing Effect of Elastic Constraint on the Stability of Nonconservative Elastic Systems", <u>Theoretical and Applied Mechanics</u>, Vol. 22, University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1974, pp. 33-45.
- Ku, A. B., "On the Stability of a Linear Nongyroscopic Conservative System", <u>Zeitschrift für angewandte Mathematik und Physik</u>, Vol. 20, 1977, pp. 986-991.
- Walter, W. W. and Anderson, G. L., "Stability of a System of Three Degrees of Freedom Subjected to a Circulatory Force", submitted for publication.

.....

# WATERVLIET ARSENAL INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

May 1976

	No. of Copies
COMMANDER	1
DIRECTOR, BENET WEAPONS LABORATORY	1
DIRECTOR, DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING DIRECTORATE	1
ATTN: RD-AT	1
RD-MR	1
RD-PE	i
RD-RM	i
RD-SE	i
RD-SP	i
DIRECTOR, ENGINEERING SUPPORT DIRECTORATE	1
DIRECTOR, RESEARCH DIRECTORATE	2
ATTN: RR-AM	1
RR-C	1
RR-ME	ī
RR-PS	1
TECHNICAL LIBRARY	5.
TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS & EDITING BRANCH	2
DIRECTOR, OPERATIONS DIRECTORATE	1
DIRECTOR, PROCUREMENT DIRECTORATE	1
DIRECTOR, PRODUCT ASSURANCE DIRECTORATE	1
PATENT ADVISORS	1

# EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

1 copy to each

CDR

December 1976

OFC OF THE DIR. OF DEFENSE R&E ATTN: ASST DIRECTOR MATERIALS THE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, D.C. 20315

#### CDR

US ARMY TANK-AUTMV COMD ATTN: AMDTA-UL AMSTA-RKM MAT LAB WARREN, MICHIGAN 48090

### CDR

PICATINNY ARSENAL ATTN: SARPA-TS-S SARPA-VP3 (PLASTICS TECH EVAL CEN) DOVER, NJ 07801

#### CDR

FRANKFORD ARSENAL ATTN: SARFA PHILADELPHIA, PA 19137

#### DIRECTOR

US ARMY BALLISTIC RSCH LABS ATTN: AMXBR-LB ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND MARYLAND 21005

# CDR

US ARMY RSCH OFC (DURHAM) BOX CM, DUKE STATION ATTN: RDRD-IPL DURHAM, NC 27706

### CDR

WEST POINT MIL ACADEMY ATTN: CHMN, MECH ENGR DEPT WEST POINT, NY 10996

#### CDR

HQ, US ARMY AVN SCH ATTN: OFC OF THE LIBRARIAN FT RUCKER, ALABAMA 36362 US ARMY ARMT COMD ATTN: AMSAR-PPW-IR AMSAR-RD AMSAR-RDG ROCK ISLAND, IL 61201 CDR US ARMY ARMT COMD FLD SVC DIV ARMCOM ARMT SYS OFC ATTN: AMSAR-ASF ROCK ISLAND, IL 61201

# CDR US ARMY ELCT COMD FT MONMOUTH, NJ 07703

CDR REDSTONE ARSENAL ATTN: AMSMI-RRS AMSMI-RSM ALABAMA 35809

CDR ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL ATTN: SARRI-RDD ROCK ISLAND, IL 61202

# CDR

US ARMY FGN SCIENCE & TECH CEN ATTN: AMXST-SD 220 7TH STREET N.E. CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22901

# DIRECTOR US ARMY PDN EQ. AGENCY

ATTN: AMXPE-MT ROCK ISLAND, IL 61201

# EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST (Cont)

# 1 copy to each

#### 2 copies to each

CDR US NAVAL WPNS LAB CHIEF, MAT SCIENCE DIV ATTN: MR. D. MALYEVAC DAHLGREN, VA 22448

DIRECTOR NAVAL RSCH LAB ATTN: DIR. MECH DIV WASHINGTON, D.C. 20375

DIRECTOR NAVAL RSCH LAB CODE 26-27 (DOCU LIB.) WASHINGTON, D.C. 20375

NASA SCIENTIFIC & TECH INFO FAC REDSTONE ARSE PO BOX 8757, ATTN: ACQ BR ATTN: DOCU & BALTIMORE/WASHINGTON INTL AIRPORT ALABAMA 35809 MARYLAND 21240

DEFENSE METALS INFO CEN BATTELLE INSTITUTE 505 KING AVE COLUMBUS, OHIO 43201 CDR US ARMY MOB EQUIP RSCH & DEV COMD ATTN: TECH DOCU CEN FT BELVOIR, VA 22060

CDR US ARMY MAT RSCH AGCY ATTN: AMXMR - TECH INFO CEN WATERTOWN, MASS 02172

# CDR WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB ATTN: AFML/MXA OHIO 45433

CDR REDSTONE ARSENAL ATTN: DOCU & TECH INFO BR ALABAMA 35809

#### 12 copies

MANUEL E. PRADO / G. STISSER LAWRENCE LIVERMORE LAB PO BOX 808 LIVERMORE, CA 94550

DR. ROBERT QUATTRONE CHIEF, MAT BR US ARMY R&S GROUP, EUR BOX 65, FPO N.Y. 09510 CDR DEFENSE DOCU CEN ATTN: DDC-TCA CAMERON STATION ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

NOTE: PLEASE NOTIFY CDR, WATERVLIET ARSENAL, ATTN: SARWV-RT-TP, WATERVLIET, N.Y. 12189, IF ANY CHANGE IS REQUIRED TO THE ABOVE.