

UNCLASS IF LED	n.()	
REPORT DE CUMENTATION PA	E	READ INSTRUCTIONS
	CESSION NO. 3.	RECIDENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
/ AFCEC-1.84-70-47		1
		Final Report.
FREDICTION OF TEST CELL VISIBI	E EMISSIONS	Jun 76 Nov 76
	6.6	AFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
- AUTHORICO	a.	CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+)
(Drebe, USAP Newderny CO)	SAF	
Arland W. Eyl, Jr Capt, USAF	, RSC	
Air Force Civil Engineering Co	enter -	PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK Area & Work Unit Numbers
Environics Directorate		63723F
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	13	
Air Force Civil Engineering C	(//)	Dec 1976
Tyndall Air Force Base FL 324	13	NUMBER OF PAGES
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different fro	n Controlling Office) 15.	SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
(12)44		ONCLASSIFIED
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15 4	DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
Approved for public release; (22-03) (27-4) 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in B	ligtribution u	nlimited.
Approved for public release; 22293 2914 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in B	ligtribution u	nlimited.
Approved for public release; () 2.1.03 () 114 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abolised entered in E 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ligtribution u	nlimited.
Approved for public release; Q24-Q3 Q1A 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in E 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available in DDC.	ligtribution u	nlimited.
Approved for public release; () 2.1.031 () 14 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abolicact entered in E 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available in DDC.	ligtribution u	nlimited.
Approved for public release; Q21-Q3 (D1)A 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in E 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available in DDC. 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse alds if necessary and to Environmental officiate	nilly by block number)	nlimited.
Approved for public release; D. 2. 1. 03 (D. 14) 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in E 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available in DDC. 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds If necessary and in Environmental effects P Jet engine C	nilly by block number) lumes	nlimited.
Approved for public release; QQL-QQL QDH 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in E 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available in DDC. 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and id Environmental effects P Jet engine C Air pollution C	hightribution u lock 20, 11 different from Re mility by block number) lumes ell, emission arbon particle	nlimited.
Approved for public release; Q24-Q3 Q14 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in E 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available in DDC. 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and is Environmental effects P Jet engine C Air pollution C	nilly by block number) lumes enll, emission arbon particle ir flow rates,	nlimited.
Approved for public release: U.J.J.D.J. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in E 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available in DDC. 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds If necessary and id Environmental effects P Jet engine C Air pollution C Air pollution C A theoretical correlation betwee opacity and Society of Automoti smoke number (SN) was developed soot loading at the exhaust pla flow rates and test cell total particle extinction coefficient properties with soot loading is	nully by block number) lumes enlly by block number) lumes ell, emission arbon particle ir flow rates, enlly by block number) en jet engine ve Engineers . Intermediat ne of the engi air flow rate. which correlat the most diff	s, haze cell test cell plume (SAE) engine te results provide ne, engine exhaust The specific tes light scattering ficult parameter to
Approved for public release: ()))) ())) ()))) ()))) ()))) ()))) ()))) ()))) ()))))) ())))))))	nully by block number) lumes ell, emission arbon particle ir flow rates, entily by block number) en jet engine ve Engineers f . Intermediat ne of the engi air flow rate. which correlat the most diff	s, haze cell test cell plume (SAE) engine te results provide ne, engine exhaust The specific tes light scattering ficult parameter to
Approved for public release: W21103 WHAT 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in E 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available in DDC. 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and ic Environmental effects P Jet engine C Air pollution C Air pollution A C ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and ic smoke number (SN) was developed soot loading at the exhaust pla flow rates and test cell total particle extinction coefficient properties with soot loading is DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 66 IS OBSOLET	nilly by block number) lumes enlify by block number) lumes ell, emission arbon particle ir flow rates, onlify by block number) en jet engine ve Engineers f . Intermediat ne of the engi air flow rate. which correls the most diff	nlimited. port) s, haze cell test cell plume (SAE) engine te results provide .ne, engine exhaust The specific ates light scattering ficult parameter to ASSIFIED
Approved for public release: QLLQI DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in E 10. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available in DDC. 11. Environmental effects P Jet engine C Air pollution C Air pollution A C. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse aids If necessary and ic Air pollution A C. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse aids If necessary and ic at theoretical correlation betwee opacity and Society of Automoti smoke number (SN) was developed soot loading at the exhaust pla flow rates and test cell total particle extinction coefficient properties with soot loading is DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLET	nully by block number) lumes ell, emission arbon particle ir flow rates, entily by block number) en jet engine ve Engineers f . Intermediat ne of the engi air flow rate. which correlat the most diff E UNCLA SECURITY CLASSI	s, haze cell test cell plume (SAE) engine ce results provide .ne, engine exhaust The specific ates light scattering Ficult parameter to ASSIFIED FICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Endo
Approved for public release: W2.1.031 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in E 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available in DDC. 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds If necessary and ic Environmental effects P Jet engine C Air pollution C Air pollution A 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds If necessary and ic Air pollution A 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds If necessary and ic Smoke number (SN) was developed soot loading at the exhaust pla flow rates and test cell total particle extinction coefficient properties with soot loading is DD : JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 66 IS OBSOLET	nilly by block number) lumes enlify by block number) lumes ell, emission arbon particle ir flow rates, onlify by block number) en jet engine ve Engineers . Intermediat ne of the engi air flow rate. which correlat the most diff E UNCLA SECURITY CLASSI 390985	nlimited. port) s, haze cell test cell plume (SAE) engine te results provide ne, engine exhaust The specific ates light scattering ficult parameter to ASSIFIED FICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Endo

∵.

.

÷

,

•

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

20 (continued).

define. A value predicted from Mie theory is used for correlations close to the exhaust plane of the engine. At the exit plane of the exhaust stack agglomeration and scouring change the particle size distribution and individual particle density so that theoretical prediction is difficult. The value for specific particle extinction coefficient at the exhaust stack was chosen based on what little empirical data was available. When additional data becomes available, it should be possible to define this parameter more precisely.

*NICT ACCTIVEN

PREFACE

This study was performed under Program Element 63723F, AFCEC JON 21037A29. The project engineer and author is Major Samuel P. Finch, III, Assistant Professor of Chemistry, Department of Chemistry and Biological Sciences, USAF Academy, Colorado. He performed this work at the Air Force Civil Engineering Center, Tyndall Air Force Base, Florida, under the AFOSR Summer Faculty Research Program. Inclusive dates of Major Finch's work were 7 June 1976 to 16 July 1976.

Captain Arland W. Eyl, Jr is coauthor. He refined the methodology and developed a computer program to perform the calculations. This refinement took place between August and November 1976. The authors wish to thank Major Peter S. Daley for technical assistance.

This report has been reviewed by the Information Office (OI) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS it will be available to the general public, including foreign nations.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

a time here

SAMUEL P. FINCH, III Major, USAF Project Engineer

DONALD G. SILVA Lt Colonel, USAF, BSC Director of Environics

ROBERT M. ITEN

Colonel, USAF Commander

allond tel. Eylip.

ARLAND W. EYL, Jr Captain, USAF, BSC Research Chemist

ROBERT E. BRANDON Technical Director

NTIS DDC UNANNOUNCED JUSTIFICATION	White Section Buil Section
IY Distribution //	WAILAGILITY CODES
A AVA	IL. BOUTOR SPECIAL

TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

Section	Title	Page
I	INTRODUCTION	1
II	METHODS	3
	1. CONVERSION OF SN to SOOT LOADING	3
	2. ENGINE COMBUSTION PARAMETERS	5
	3. TEST CELL AIR FLOW RATE	8
	4. DETERMINATION OF PLUME OPACITY	12
III	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	14
IV	SAMPLE CALCULATIONS	15
	REFERENCES	22
APPENDI	x	
A	AGGLOMERATION CALCULATION	24
В	TEST CELL INFORMATION REQUESTS	27
С	FLOW CHART FOR CALCULATION OF PREDICTED OPACITY	30

iii

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Title	Page
1	Correlation Between Smoke Number and Soot Loading using Wood's Equation	6
2	Smoke Number and Soot Loading Calculated from Wood's Equations, with a Straight Line Interpolation Between SN-15 and SN-70	7
3	Typical Jet Engine Test Cell	9
4	Test Cell Information Sketch	29

iv

SECTION I

ESTIMATION OF TEST CELL PLUME OPACITY

To insure Air Force facility compliance with applicable federal, state, and local air pollution regulations, it is necessary to estimate the opacity of plumes emitted by jet engine test cells. Since Ringelmann readings are subjective and somewhat inaccurate (Reference 1), and the costs of installing a transmissometer or making visual readings on each facility is high, a theoretical method using known engine and test cell parameters is desirable. There is no known work which relates engine particulate emission taken at the exhaust plane of the engine to the plume opacity at the exit plane of the test cell exhaust stack. However, there are several works that deal with intermediate portions of the required calculations.

The smoking tendency of jet engine exhausts is most commonly reported in terms of smoke number (SN) computed in accordance with the Society of Automotive Engineers ARP 1179 (Reference 2). Several published works have related the SN to the optical properties at the exhaust plane of the engine (References 3 to 7). These have resulted in good correlations with the experimental results reported by Shaffernocker and Stanforth (Reference 5). Wood (Reference 3) has done the latest work in this area, and his work is the basis for present relationships correlating the soot loading at the exit plane of the engine with opacity using the Beer-Lambert Law.

In each test cell there is substantial additional air flow around the engine that dilutes the emissions. To predict the opacity of the plume as it leaves the exhaust stack of the test cell, it is necessary to know this air flow. The air flow can be calculated if the cell geometry and the pressure loss caused by the air flow through the cell is known.

As the particulate emissions move from the engine to the exhaust plane of the cell, they are affected by agglomeration and dilution. Also at higher engine exhaust flow rates larger particles are scoured from the walls of the cell. This causes a change in the mean particle size, the particle size distribution, and the density of the individual particles. The light scattering properties of the aerosol are predicted by Mie theory using particle size distribution and density. Ensor and Pilot (Reference 8) used particle size and distribution to derive a K factor to facilitate making Mie scattering theory predictions of plume opacity.

The purpose of this report is to describe a method for estimating test cell plume opacities based on available engine parameters, test cell geometry, and test cell pressure depression.

SECTION II

METHODS

Correlation of plume opacity with test cell and engine parameters involves four distinct elements. The first three elements, (1) conversion of SN to soot loading, (2) applying engine combustion parameters to obtain engine flow rates, and (3) using test cell geometry to determine total flow rates, provide the input variables for use in (4) the Beer-Lambert Law to make the final opacity estimation. Each element will be discussed independently then combined with the others to make the final calculation.

1. CONVERSION OF SN TO SOOT LOADING

SAE SN (Reference 2) is currently the most common method of reporting the concentration of carbon particles in the exhaust of a jet engine. The technique compares the reflectance of clean filter paper to the reflectance of a filter that has been stained by drawing a specific weight of engine exhaust gas through it. The loss of reflectance is a function of the amount of soot deposited on the paper. There have been numerous efforts to convert SNs to a soot loading in g/cm^3 at the exhaust plane of the engine, the latest by Wood in 1975. Wood combined the results of previous efforts to derive an equation that gives a good correlation with available empirical data. His equation is:

$$1 - \frac{\text{SAE SN}}{100} = \exp\left[-\left(\frac{W}{A} \cdot \frac{S_{P}}{C} \cdot \frac{\omega}{\rho_{P}}\right)^{b}\right]$$
(1)

where

- W weight of gas sample used for smoke number determination (g)
- A area of filter spot (cm^2)
- we soot loading at the exit of the engine (g/cm^3)
- ρe density of the exhaust gas (g/cm³)
- S_p specific projected particle extinction area (cm²/g)

The constants b and C are empirically derived.

For $SN \leq 15$, b = C = 1, and

for SN > 40, b = 0.48, and C = 3.14

W/A is the weight of the air sample in pounds per square inch of filter spot area. The SN is normally taken at a W/A of 0.0230 lb/in² (l.62g/cm²). If no W/A is reported in the data for the engine, the above value should be used.

 S_p is a number that relates the reduced reflectance of the stained filter paper spot to the mass loading on that spot. There are problems in finding a value for this parameter. There is some question as to whether the particle absorbs light on the filter paper the same way it would if it were an aerosol. The staining of the filter is also known to be nonlinear. The error associated with this problem has not been quantified but appears to be small. Wood (Reference 3) calculated a value of 8.64 x 10⁴ cm²/g using Shaffernocker's and Stanforth's (Reference 5) data. A theoretical value for S_p has been derived from the K parameter of Ensor and Pilot. Both Wood and Champagne (Reference 4) agree that the value derived from Mie theory (6 x 10⁴ cm²/g) gives the best overall results. This value will be used for converting SN to soot loading.

Wood's equation was rearranged in the form:

$$\frac{\omega_{e}}{\rho_{e}} \cdot \frac{W}{A} = \left[-\ln\left(1 - \frac{SAE \ SN}{100}\right) \right]^{1/b} \cdot \frac{C}{S_{p}}$$

and $\frac{w_e}{\rho_e} \cdot \frac{W}{A}$ in grams per cm was plotted against SN.

Figure 1 shows the results of this plot using $S_p = 6 \times 10^4$ and the appropriate values for b and C. Figure 2 shows this same plot with a straight line between SN 70 and SN 15. The figure shows that a single straight line

equation can be used to predict

$$\frac{\omega_{e}}{\rho_{e}}$$
. $\frac{W}{A}$ in g/cm for SN > 15 with little error.

The equation of this straight line is:

$$\frac{\omega_{\rm e}}{\rho_{\rm o}} \cdot \frac{W}{A} = 10^{(0.0263({\rm SN})-5.96)} {\rm g/cm}$$
(2)

Using the known values of W/A, the ratio, ${}^{\omega}e/{}^{\rho}e$, can be calculated. This value, the particulate mass fraction in the engine exhaust, can then be used in conjunction with the engine flow rates calculated in the next section to determine the rate of soot production in grams/sec. It is felt that engines producing SNs less than 15 will not exceed plume visibility standards in most test cells. However, if a soot loading is required for a SN less than 15, Wood's equation (1) using b = 1 and C = 1 will give the desired value.

2. ENGINE COMBUSTION PARAMETERS

Using SN to derive a soot loading at the exit plane of the engine results in grams of carbon per cubic meter of exhaust flow. The engine fuel flow, fuel to air ratio, and the stoichiometry of the fuel combustion equations are used to calculate the soot flow rate in grams per second.

The Wright-Patterson Air Force Base fuels lab reports JP-4 jet fuel is a mixture of 8 and 9 carbon saturated hydrocarbons with an average molecular weight of 120 A.M.U. The average molecular weight is the result of a weighted average mixture containing 57 percent C_9 chains and 43 percent C_9 chains.

The combustion of these two chains must be considered separately. Assuming complete combustion, the equations are:

 $C_8H_{18}(1) + 12\frac{1}{2}O_2(g) \rightarrow 8 CO_2(g) + 9 H_2O(g)$ $C_9H_{20}(1) + 14 O_2(g) \rightarrow 9 CO_2(g) + 10 H_2O(G)$



•





 $C \sim 10^{-1}$

The combustion of the C₈ chain consumes 12.5 moles of gaseous oxygen and produces 17 moles of gaseous products. This is a net increase of 4.5 moles of products per mole C_{9} fuel burned. The C_{9} combustion results in a 5 mole increase per mole of C_{9} fuel burned. Using the weighted average of (.57)(4.5) + (.43)(5.0) results in the system producing 4.7 moles of product gases per mole of fuel burned. Using fuel flow in pounds per hour, and a known fuel/air ratio (use total fuel/air ratio for a turbofan engine) and a molecular weight of 28.95 AMU for air, the air flow and the fuel flow in moles per second are computed. The moles of fuel per second is multiplied by the stoichiometric relationship of 4.7 moles of gaseous products per mole of fuel burned to get the increase in gaseous products. This is added to the molar air flow to find the total number of moles per second flowing through the engine. Using the average molecular weight of 28.95 g/mole for air, the total exhaust flow in grams per second is found. If this is multiplied by the particulate mass fraction in the exhaust found in the previous section, the soot flow rate in g/m^3 is obtained.

The method described above was used to compute the soot flow rate in the sample calculations. The result was 1.45 g/sec, and for a similar engine Grems (Reference 9) reported 1.29 g/sec. This is a fairly good agreement because of relating particle extinction coefficients on filter paper to aerosol extinction coefficients.

3. TEST CELL AIR FLOW RATE

The total amount of air flowing through a jet engine test cell can be calculated by knowing the geometry of the cell, and the pressure loss between the air inlet and the engine air intake caused by the air's movement through the cell. A typical test cell is shown in Figure 3.

Three areas are referenced, and an average velocity is associated with each. Area B is the entry baffles, area E is a square or mitered elbow, and area R is the reference area where the cell depression probe is located.

The ASHRAE Guide and Data Book (Reference 10) shows that incompressible flow may be assumed with less than 3 percent error when the ratio P_2/P_1 is 0.95 or greater. Since reported cell depression pressure ratios are generally 0.98 or greater, the basic Bernoulli equation for incompressible flow can be used to determine the velocity of the air flow at the inlet of the engine.



ļ



The equation used is:

$$\frac{\overline{v}_{o}^{2}}{2g_{c}} + z_{o} + \frac{P_{s_{1}}}{\rho} = \frac{\overline{v}_{R}^{2}}{2g_{c}} + z_{R} + \frac{P_{s_{2}}}{\rho} + \text{losses}$$

where

- \overline{V} average velocity in section being considered.
- Z elevation above datum plane.
- ρ density of air at reported cell conditions (Reference 11).
- P_s uncorrected barometric pressure.
- P_{s_n} uncorrected pressure at engine inlet.
- $P_s P_s -$ reported cell depression pressure in 1 2 inches Hg or inches H₂O.

o subscript used to denote ambient conditions.

R subscript used to denote area around the engine air intake.

Because $\overline{V} = 0$ and the energy change due to changes in elevation are neglibible, the equation can be rewritten in the form:

$$0 = \frac{{}^{P} {}^{s} {}^{-P} {}^{s} {}^{2} {}^{2} {}^{r} {}^{2} {}^{r} {}^{2} {}^{r} {}^{2} {}^{r} {}^{r} {}^{2} {}^{r} {}^{r} {}^{2} {}^{r} {}^{r}$$

Losses are the result of friction at the surfaces, and turbulence created at expansions, contractions, and turns. To evaluate the losses, it is necessary to have a good physical description of the cell. It must include the lengths shown as L_1 and L_2 , the cross-sectional area of the inlet stack, the shape and dimension of any noise suppression baffles in the inlet stack, and the cell cross-sectional area at the engine inlet. These can be found in engineering drawings of the test cell, or by measuring the cell. Appendix B includes a sample test cell evaluation sheet.

The loss terms that appear significant are the entry losses, losses due to flow through the baffles, expansion from the baffles into the entry stack, and the flow through the elbow. The friction losses through any unobstructed areas are two to three orders of magnitudes smaller than the above. All of these losses can be readily evaluated with the aid of the Chemical Engineers' Handbook (Reference 12).

The calculation requires a measured cell depression pressure and a Reynolds number (N_{Re}) based on estimated velocity. Cell depression is readily obtained at various fuel flows for various engines from cell operational data. The cell depression used in the equation must be an actual cell depression for the specific test cell fuel flow, and engine combination being evaluated. An initial velocity of 20 feet per second is a good approximation for the NRe calculation. A second iteration of the equation may be used if 20 ft/sec is too far off.

Once the reference velocity \overline{V}_R is known, it can be multiplied by the reference cross-sectional area to get the volumetric flow in ft³/sec. Using the density of air at the cell conditions (Reference 11), the molecular weight of air, and the ideal gas law, the molar flow rate can be determined. This number, plus the number of moles of gaseous products produced by the engine combustion yields the molar flow rate at the exit plane of the exhaust stack. Using the measured exhaust plane temperature, atmospheric pressure, and the ideal gas law, the volumetric flow rate of the gases in cubic meters per second can be determined. The increase in total flow rate due to engine combustion gases is about three orders of magnitude less than total

cell air flow rate. The air flow rate calculated above may be used directly as total cell mass flow rate. Dividing the carbon production rate by the test cell air flow rate results in a soot loading in g/m^3 at the exit plane of the cell, at actual conditions.

4. DETERMINATION OF THE PLUME OPACITY

The estimation of plume opacity is made by using the Beer-Lambert Law

$$\tau = exp^{-(S_p \omega L)}$$

where

Ì

 τ is the transmittance through the plume

 S_{p} is the specific projected particle extinction

 ω is the soot loading in g/m³ at the stack exit

L is the path length of the beam.

The path length is obtained from the geometry of the cell, and it is the longer of the two stack dimensions. The longer dimension must be used so that the worst possible case is considered.

The selection of a value for S is a difficult problem. McDonald (Reference 13) reports particle diameters of 0.01μ to 0.05μ leaving the engine. Stockham and Betz (Reference 6) report that the measured geometric mean particle diameter is 0.05μ . Using 0.01μ and 0.05μ as the two extremes, and assuming monodispersed aerosols, a Brownian agglomeration calculation was done (Appendix A). This shows that agglomeration could reduce the number of particles by a factor of up to two. Agglomeration for the same mass concentration of polydispersed particles in the same size range would be considerably greater. Because of these factors, it is extremely difficult to predict particle size at the test cell exhaust.

Actual data for an evaluation of S is extremely limited. The only data known to this author is in work reported by Grems (Reference 9). His work measuring the particle size distribution at the exhaust plane of a test cell showed a bimodal particle distribution with a submicron mode in the range of 0.08μ to 0.2μ and then larger particles in the 5μ and greater range. The larger particles are thought to be the result of scouring within the test cell.

Some actual measurements of opacity versus soot loading for J-57 engines are also reported by Grems. Using known values for τ , ω , and L, values for S were calculated. For low smoke numbers (SN <25), S ^p was in the range of 1 to $4m^2/g$ and for SN >25, S was in the range of 7 to 9 m²/g. Based on this information the following values for S are suggested:

SN <25
$$S_p = 3 m^2/g$$

SN >25 $S_p = 8 m^2/g$

These values are reasonable, based on the limited actual data. Predicting values using the k parameter of Ensor and Pilot requires a knowledge of geometric mean radius and geometric standard deviation, and actual physical characteristics of the agglomerated particles. Because these cannot be readily predicted, the use of the empirical results is recommended.

SECTION III

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. It is possible to predict plume opacity from known engine, fuel, and test cell parameters.

2. For the data set used, good correlation is shown between predicted and actual soot loadings. However, problems could occur because of the difficulty in predicting the specific projected particle extinction area for particles at the test cell exhaust stack.

3. Figures to evaluate the test cell predicted flow rates with actual flow rates were not available. Actual cell flow rates should be compared with the calculated values when data are available.

4. The value assigned to S_p for the final calculation of transmittance was the most likely source of error. Actual soot loadings and opacity readings were used to estimate the value for S_p , but there were so few actual readings that the data base was not considered adequate. It is anticipated that a data base will become available in the foreseeable future, and when it does, S_p must be reevaluated.

5. The estimation of plume opacity as described in this work will provide a reasonable first evaluation of test cell/engine combinations that could exceed visible emission standards. It can also be used to develop priorities for corrective action, and establish the combinations of engines and cells which would exceed standards. By shifting certain engines to different cells, violations of emission standards might be reduced with no controls required. Utilization of this type of evaluation should minimize the cost of bringing USAF Test Cells into compliance with visible emission standards.

SECTION IV

SAMPLE CALCULATION

Calculation of Soot Loading at Engine Exhaust Plane.

	Parameters
Engine:	J-57-P21
Uncorrected Barometric Pressure:	28.72 in Hg
Inlet Air Temperature:	52°F
Fuel Flow	53 40 lb/hr
Smoke Number (SAE SN):	59.5
Weight per unit Area (W/A):	0.0230 lb/in^2 (1.62 g/cm ²)
Fuel to Air Ratio:	0.0117

1. Soot Loading (SN > 15)

ł

$$\omega_e/\rho_e = 10^{(0.0263(SN)-5.96)} \text{g/cm}^2$$

 $\omega_e/\rho_e = 10^{(0.0263(59.5)-5.96)} \text{g/cm}^2 \times \text{cm}^2/1.62 \text{ g}$
 $\omega_e/\rho_e = 2.49 \times 10^{-5} \text{parts soot/parts exhaust (by wt)}$

2. Engine Flow Rates

5340 lb/hr x 454 g/lb x l hr/3600 sec x l mole/l20 g = 5.61 moles/sec (fuel flow)

5.61 moles fuel/sec x 4.7 moles products/mole fuel =
 26.4 moles products/sec (product gas flow)

15

1 m 1 g

0.0117 lb fuel/lb air = 5340 lb fuel/x

 $x = 4.56 \times 10^5$ lb air/hr

4.56 x 10^{5} lb air/hr x 1 hr/3600 sec x 454 g/lb x

1 mole/28.97 g = 1985 moles air/sec (engine air flow) Engine Mass Flow

1985 moles air/sec + 26.4 moles products/sec =

2011 moles/sec

2011 moles exhaust/sec x 28.95 g/mole =

5.82 x 10⁴g exhaust/sec

Exhaust particulate flow

5.82 x 10^4 g exhaust/sec x 2.49 x 10^{-5} g/mole =

1.45 g soot/sec

Assuming no losses in the test cell, soot loading at the exit plane of test cell exhaust will be 1.23 g/sec.

3. Air Flow Through the Test Cell

Figure 3 represents a typical test cell.

(English units are used because current engineering handbooks are in English units.)

Sample Dimensions

Inlet Area = 35 ft x 30 ft = 1050 ft² (overall inlet area) Effective Area = A_{p} = 700 ft² (Reduced by baffles) 31 baffles, 4 in wide, 35 ft long mounted on

1 ft centers,

Creating 30 parallel channels, 8 in wide, 35 ft long,

15 ft deep. (L_1)

Area E = 30 ft x 35 ft = 1050 ft² = 1050 ft² (elbow

entrance)

(Flow in this area will be in a square or mitered elbow.) Area R is 30 ft x 30 ft = 900 ft².

Cell Depression Pressure = 0.25 in H_2O .

Velocity Relationships

$$\overline{V}_{B} \times A_{B} = \overline{V}_{E} \times A_{E} = V_{R} \times A_{R}$$

 $\overline{V}_{B} = \overline{V}_{R} A_{R} / A_{B} = (900 \text{ ft}^{2} / 700 \text{ ft}^{2}) \times \overline{V}_{R} = 1.29 \overline{V}_{R}$
 $\overline{V}_{E} = \overline{V}_{R} A_{R} / A_{E} = (900 \text{ ft}^{2} / 1050 \text{ ft}^{2}) \times \overline{V}_{R} = .857 \overline{V}_{R}$

Losses:

7 I

a. Entry

 $F_{ent} = K_{ent} \times \overline{V}_B^2/2g_c$. Since $A_B/A_o = 700 \text{ ft}^2/\infty = 0$,

K = 0.5 for turbulent flow from Chem Engineers
Handbook

$$F_{ent} = (0.5)(1.29)^2 \times \overline{V}_R^2 / 2g_c = 832 \overline{V}_R^2 / 2g_c$$

b. Flow Through Baffles

Hydraulic Radius = Area of Stream Cross-Section/Wetted Perimeter (for 1 channel)

Hydraulic Diameter $(D_{H}) = 4R_{H}$

Dimension of openings for 4 in baffles on 12 in centers

= 8 in wide by 35 ft long
Area of Cross-section = 0.667 ft x 35 ft = 23.3 ft².
Wetted Perimeter = 2 (0.667 ft) + 2 (35 ft) = 71.3 ft.

$$R_{\rm H} = 23.3 ft^2/71.3 ft = 0.327 ft.$$
 $D_{\rm H} = 4R_{\rm H} = 1.31 ft.$
 $N_{\rm Re} = Reynolds number = D_{\rm H} \bar{\nabla}\rho/\mu (Approximate Velocity
is 20 ft/sec).
 ρ = air density = 0.0687 lb/ft³ at 28.72 in Hg and
 $52^{\circ}F.$ (9)
 μ = air viscosity = 1.21 x 10⁻⁵ lb/(ft) (sec) (Chem Eng
Handbook).
 $N_{\rm Re} = (1.31 ft) (20 ft/sec) x 0.0687 lb/ft3 x (ft) (sec)/(1.21 x 10-5) lb = 1.5 x 105.$
 ε = surface roughness = 0.01 ft (Rough concrete is a
good approximation of the roughness of the baffles.
However, it may be necessary to calculate a better
value if the baffles are extremely rough).
 $\varepsilon/D_{\rm H} = 0.01 ft/1.31 ft = 0.008$
Use $\varepsilon/D_{\rm H} (0.008)$ and $N_{\rm Re} (1.6 x 105)$ obtain friction
factor, f = 0.009 (Chem Eng Handbook).
L = 15 ft (L-1)
F = 4 (f) (L)/D_{\rm H} x $\bar{W}_{\rm B}^2/2g_{\rm C} = ((4)(0.009)(15 ft)/(1.31 ft))$
 $x (1.29)^2 \bar{V}_{\rm R}^2/2g_{\rm C}$$

٢.

• 1

. . .

c. Expansion from Baffles to Elbow

$$F = \overline{V}_{B}^{2} / 2g_{C} \times (1 - A_{B} / A_{E})^{2}$$

$$F = (1.29)^{2} \overline{V}_{R}^{2} / 2g_{C} \times (1 - 700 / 1050)^{2}$$

$$F = 0.185 \overline{V}_{R}^{2} / 2g_{C}$$

d. Flow Through Elbow

 $F = K_{elb} \times \overline{V}_{E}^{2}/2g_{c} K_{elb} = 1.3 \text{ (Chem Eng Handbook).}$ $F = (1.3)(0.857)^{2} \overline{V}_{R}^{2}/2g_{c}$ $F = 0.955 \overline{V}_{R}^{2}/2g_{c}$

e. Flow to Engine

30 ft x 30 ft; cross-section $A_R = 900 \text{ ft}^2$ Wetted Perimeter = (4)(30 ft) = 120 ft $R_H = 900 \text{ ft}^2/120 \text{ ft} = 7.5 \text{ ft}; D_H = (4)(7.5 \text{ ft})$ = 30 ft

Use same ρ , \overline{V} , and μ as for baffles.

$$N_{Re} = (30 \text{ ft})(20 \text{ ft/sec}) \times (0.0687 \text{ lb/ft}^3)$$

× (ft sec/1.21 × 10⁻⁵lb) = 3.41 × 10⁶

Use ε for smooth concrete 0.001 ft

 $\epsilon/D = 0.001 \text{ ft/30 ft} = 3.33 \times 10^{-5}; L = 13 \text{ ft}(L_2);$ f = 0.0025 (from chart, Chem Eng Handbook). $F = (4) (0.0025) (13 \text{ ft}) / ((30 \text{ ft}) \times (\overline{V}_R^2/2g_C))$ $F = 0.0043 \overline{V}_R^2/2g_C \text{ (negligible compared to other losses)}.$ Finding \overline{v}_{R} From Eq (3), $P_{s_1} - P_{s_2}$ = Reported Cell Depression Pressure = 0.25 in H_2O $0 = P_{s_1} - P_{s_2}/\rho - (\overline{v}_R^2/2g_c) - (0.832\overline{v}_R^2/2g_c)$ - (0.686 $\overline{V}_{R}^{2}/2g_{c}$) - (0.185 $\overline{V}_{R}^{2}/2g_{c}$) - $(0.955 \overline{V}_{R}^{2}/2g_{c})$ $0 = (0.25 \text{ in } H_2 0 \text{ ft}^3 / 0.0764 \text{ lb}) (5.2 \text{ lb/ft}^2 \text{ in } H_2 0)$ - (3.66 $\overline{V}_{R}^{2}/(2 \times 32.17 \text{ ft sec}^{2})$) $0 = 17.0 \text{ ft} - (0.0569 \text{ ft/sec}^2) \overline{V}_{B}^{2}$ $\vec{v}_{p} = 299 \text{ ft}^2/\text{sec}^2$ \overline{V}_{p} = 17 ft/sec. Since 20 ft/sec was assumed for \overline{V}_{p} , \overline{V}_{B} = 1.29 \overline{V}_{R} = 21 ft/sec Second calculation not required. Calculation of Volume Flow Rate i ... cell 17 ft/sec x 900 ft² x $(1m/3.28 \text{ ft})^3 = 430 \text{ m}^3/\text{sec}$ (additional volume of combustion products is negligible by comparison.)

Volume flow at exit stack

Inlet temperature	= 52°F = 284°K
Exit temperature	= 120°C = 303°K
Inlet pressure	= 28.70 (barometric pressure minus cell depression)
Exit pressure	= 28.72
430 m ³ /sec x (393/284)	$x (28.70/28.72) = 595 \text{ m}^3/\text{sec}$

Soot Loading

 $\omega = (1.45 \text{ g/sec}) \times (\text{sec/595 m}^3) = 2.44 \times 10^{-3} \text{ g/m}^3$ Plume Opacity

 $\tau = \exp - ((8 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}) \times (2.44 \times 10^{-3} \text{g/m}^3) \times (10.7 \text{ m})$ $\tau = 0.81; \text{ Opacity} = 19 \text{ percent.}$

÷

REFERENCES

ł

1. Weir, A, et al, "Factors Influencing Plume Opacity," Environmental Science and Technology, 10, 6, June 1976, pp. 539-544.

2. Society of Automotive Engineers, Inc., "Aircraft Gas Turbine Engine Exhaust Smoke Measurement," ARP 1179, May 1970.

3. Wood, A. D., "Correlation Between Smoke Measurements and the Optical Properties of Jet Engine Smoke," SAE Paper 751119, November 1975.

4. Champagne, D. L., "Standard Measurement of Aircraft Gas Turbine Engine Exhaust Smoke," ASME Paper 71-G-T-88, March 1971.

5. Shaffernocker, W. M. and Stanforth, C. M., "Smoke Measurement Techniques," SAE Transactions, VOL 77, Paper No. 680346, 1968.

6. Stockham, J. and Betz, H., "Study of Visible Exhaust Smoke from Aircraft Jet Engines," SAE Paper 710428, May 1971.

7. Blazowski, W. S., "Estimation of Pollutant Emissions from In-Use Aircraft Engines," Air Force Aero Propulsion Laboratory Technical Memorandum AFAPL-SFF-TM-73-6.

8. Ensor, D. S. and Pilot, M. J., "Calculation of Smoke Plume Opacity from Particulate Air Pollutant Properties," J. Air Pollution Control Association, 21, 8 (August 1971), pp. 496-501.

9. Grems, B. C., "Plume Opacity and Particulate Emissions from a Jet Engine Test Cell," Thesis, Master of Science, University of California, Davis, March 1975.

10. American Society of Heating, Refrigeration, and Air Conditioning Engineers, <u>ASHRAE</u> <u>Guide</u> and <u>Data</u> <u>Book</u>, New York, 1963.

11. List, R. J., <u>Smithsonian Meteorological Tables</u>, Smithsonian Institute, Washington, 1963, 6 edition, 2nd reprint, Publication No. 4014. 12. Perry, R. H., Chilton, C. H., <u>Chemical Engineers Handbook</u>, Fifth edition, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1973.

13. McDonald, J. E., "Visibility Reduction due to Jet-Exhaust Carbon Particles," Journal of Applied Meteorology, Vol I, September 1962, pp. 391-398.

, į

Ę

APPENDIX A

AGGLOMERATION CALCULATION (13)

Length of diffuser - approximately 30 feet Exhaust gas exit velocity at 5000 lb/hr fuel flow = 1500 ft/sec Soot Loading 2.601 x 10^{-2} g/m³ Particle diameter = 0.02μ or 0.02×10^{-6} m or 0.02×10^{-4} cm Exhaust gas temperature = 900° F Density of carbon = 2 g/cm³ Volume of a particle: V = 4/3 r³ V = 4/3 x m x (0.01 x 10^{-4} cm)³ m = 4.45 x m x (0.01 x 10^{-4} cm)³

$$V = 4.19 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^{-7} \text{ particle}$$

Particles departing the engine:

,×-i

$$N_{o} = (particle/4.19 \times 10^{-18} cm^{3}) \times (cm^{3}/2g) \times (2.601 \times 10^{-2} g/m^{3}) \times (m^{3}/(10^{2} cm)^{3})$$
$$N_{o} = 3.10 \times 10^{9} particles/cm^{3}$$

Evaluation of K_{o} , coagulation constant

Fuchs gives K_{o} for a 0.01 radius particle to be

 $34 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3/\text{sec.}$ It is computed at a temperature of 60°F or 520°R. To adjust for the exhaust gas temperature of 1360°R,

 T_A/T_o . $K_o = 1360 \, \text{eR}/520 \, \text{eR} \times 34 \times 10^{-10} \, \text{cm}^3/\text{sec} = 8.89 \times 10^{-9} \, \text{cm}^3/\text{sec}$

Evaluation of time in diffuser

The velocity at the exit of the engine is 1500 ft/sec.The gas is slowed through the diffuser so that a velocity of 1000 ft/sec will give a good estimate of residence time of the gas in the diffuser.

30 ft x sec/1000 ft = 0.03 second in diffuser Evaluation of agglomeration:

From Fuchs:

 $N = N_{o}/(1 + K_{o}N_{o}t)$ $N = (3.10 \times 10^{9} \text{ particles/cm}^{3})/(1 + ((8.89 \times 10^{-9}\text{cm}^{3}/\text{sec}) \times (3.10 \times 10^{9} \text{ particles/cm}^{3}) \times 0.03 \text{ sec})$ $N = (3.10 \times 10^{9} \text{ particles/cm}^{3})/(1 + 0.827)$ $N = 1.70 \times 10^{9} \text{ particles/cm}^{3}$ $N/N_{o} = (1.70 \times 10^{9} \text{ particles/cm}^{3})/(3.10 \times 10^{9} \text{ particles/cm}^{3})$ = 0.55. Thus the number of particles is reduced by approximately a factor of two.

Doing the same calculation using a particle diameter of 0.05

Volume of particle:

$$V = 4/3 \times \pi \times (0.025 \times 10^{-4} \text{cm})^{3}$$
$$V = 6.54 \times 10^{-17} \text{cm}^{3}/\text{particle}$$

Particles departing engine:

$$N_{o} = (particle/6.54 \times 10^{-17} cm^{3}) \times (cm^{3}/2g) \times (2.601 \times 10^{-2}g) \times (m^{3}/(10^{2} cm)^{3})$$
$$N_{o} = 1.98 \times 10^{8} particles/cm^{3}$$

Evaluation of K

 K_{o} is a function of temperature: (the same as for .01) $K_{o} = 8.89 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^{3}/\text{sec}$ Evaluation of time in diffuser:

.,4

.

t will not change unless fuel flow changes Evaluation of agglomeration:

$$N = (1.98 \times 10^{8} \text{ particles/cm}^{3})/(1 + (8.89 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^{3}/\text{sec}) \times (1.98 \times 10^{8} \text{ particles/cm}^{3}) \times 0.03 \text{ sec})$$
$$N = 1.88 \times 10^{8} \text{ particles/cm}^{3}$$
$$N/N_{o} = 0.95 \text{ (no significant agglomeration)}$$

~ e:

APPENDIX B

TEST CELL INFORMATION SHEET

1. The information requested will be used to assess the environmental impact of test cell operations at your base. The information is required only once for each type of test cell.

2. You may use schematic drawing (Figure B-1) of a typical test cell to supply required test cell dimensions. Simply write in the dimension indicated beside each of the double headed arrows. Cross-section A-A on the schematic corresponds to the overall area of the inlet stack entrance. Cross-section B-B is the area of the cell exhaust stack. Cross-section C-C corresponds to the area around the cell depression pressure probe (usually at the engine intake).

3. If the cell has any special features or if it differs from the sketch, feel free to modify the sketch as required, labeling the changes clearly.

4. In addition to the dimensions requested on the sketch, please answer the following questions:

a. How is the cell identified? (e.g., Bldg Number, site number, etc.)

b. What type of engines are run in this cell? List each type. (e.g., J-57-P21 A)

c. How far in front of the engine is the cell depression probe? Indicate on schematic diagram.

d. Where is the compressor inlet temperature probe? Indicate on schematic diagram.

e. How many cooling water rings are there? Where are they located? (Mark on sketch W_1 , W_2 , W_3 , etc.) and give distances from an identified point.

f. Does the air intake have baffles? If possible, send a copy of the plans showing the baffles.

g. If the baffle plans are not available, please make a sketch showing their locations in the intake, the shape of

and the state

the baffles, and their dimensions. Be sure to include the following:

(1) Number of openings for inlet air (spaces between baffles).

(2) Length and width of each baffle opening.

(3) Depth (how far do baffles extend into inlet stack?)

h. How far below the exhaust stack exit is the exhaust gas temperature probe?

i. Line A-B on the sketch represents a small wall that sometimes protrudes into the horizontal portion of the cell from the top, sides, and/or floor. This is normally between the intake stack and horizontal portion of the cell. If there is a restriction of this type, give us the crosssectional dimensions of the cell at this point, as well as at the cell depression probe.

Restricted Height Width

Thickness of Protrusion

Again, the cell information requested must only be provided once. Please try to make it as accurate and complete as possible. In particular, the cell depression pressure must be measured as carefully as possible. The decision to implement a smoke reduction program for your test cells depends largely on this information.



ï

APPENDIX C

FLOW CHART FOR CALCULATION OF PREDICTED OPACITY

The simplified flow chart on the following pages indicates the most efficient method of computing the predicted opacity for a given test cell and engine combination. This general scheme was used in the development of a computer program written in FOCAL for the PDP-8 minicomputer.¹

The computations are divided into two main parts. Since the friction losses for a given test cell are constant regardless of the engine used, these are calculated first. Using test cell dimensions, the loss is computed for each contraction, expansion, baffle, and elbow encountered by the air as it flows from the test cell inlet to the engine intake.

The total friction losses are then used to calculate the velocity and, hence, volume of air flow in the reference section of the 'est cell, using the measured cell depression for a given engine operation. The other measured parameters for that engine operation, i.e., SAE SN, Fuel Flow, Fuel/air ratio, are used to calculate the particulate concentration in the test cell exhaust which, in turn, is used to compute a predicted opacity.

The detailed calculations referred to in the flow chart may be found in Section IV of this report, "Sample Calculations."

¹ A copy of the program may be obtained by contacting the Division Chief, AFCEC/EVA, Tyndall AFB FL 32403.

MAIN FLOW CHART

÷

1



31

الم المراجع المراجع المحافظ المراجع المحافظ المراجع المحافظ المراجع المحافظ المراجع المحافظ المراجع المحافظ الم المراجع المراجع المحافظ المحافظ





. .

.



Expansion Friction Loss Procedure



11

Contraction Friction Loss Procedure

.

and the second

.

.

Reference Velocity Computation

Use total friction loss, air density, cell depression pressure and reference section cross-sectional area to compute volume flow in reference section in m^3/sec .

Exit Stack Volume Flow

Use compressor inlet temperature, exit stack temperature, exit stack pressure, and cell depression pressure to compute volume flow at exit stack.

Particulate Mass Flow

Use SAE SN, fuel flow, and fuel/air ratio to compute particulate mass flow at engine exhaust in g/sec.

Predicted Transmittance

Use stack exit volume flow and particulate mass flow to determine particulate mass concentration in g/m^3 . Use this value along with plume width to calculate percent transmittance.

35 (The reverse of this page is blank)

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION

HQ USAF/PREV-X HQ USAF/RDPS HQ USAF/SAFOI CINCAD/DEEV AFLC/SGB AFLC/SGB	1 2 1 2 1
HQ USAF/RDPS HQ USAF/SAFOI CINCAD/DEEV AFLC/SGB	2121
HQ USAF/SAFOI CINCAD/DEEV AFLC/SGB	1 2 1
CINCAD/DEEV AFLC/SGB	2
AFLC/SGB	ĩ
	1
	Ť
	1
AFLC/MMRF	1
AFSC/DE	1
APSC/SD APSC/DTV	1
AFSC/DEV	1
AFSC/SGB	1
ATC/DEPV	1
ATC/SGPAP	1
MAC/SGPE	1
MAC/DEEE	1
MAC/DEMP	1
CINCSAC/DEPA	2
CINCSAC/DEPV	1
CINCSAC/SGPA	ī
TAC/DE	ĩ
TAC/DEFV	î
TAC/SGPB	1
AFRES/DEEE	Ť
AUT. (AU-LSE-70-239)	1
AFOSR	1
AFAPI	î
AFML/DD (Library)	1
OFHL/CC	*
OFHL/OL-AA	1
OFHL/OL-AB	1
AFWI /SIII (Tech Library)	1
AEDDI / ihmamr	1
ETTO/ICM	Ļ
	1
AEDC/DEE ADTYC/CCM	1
	Ţ
NDIC/DLUSL (Iech Library)	1
USAF Rgn Civ Engrg/Atlanta	1
USAF Rgn Liv Engrg/San Francisco	1
USAF Rgn Civ Engrg/Dallas	1
SAMMA/MAGCB	1
DDC/TCA	12
AKPA	1
Detense Research & Engrg/AD (E&LS)	1
UASD/(IEL) ES	1
USA CERL	1

ţ

1	Dir, USA Eng R&D Lab/MERDC	1
1	Ch of R&D, Dept of the Army/	
2	DARD-ARE-E	1
1	AFRCE/Eastern Region	1
2	AFRCE/Central Region	1
1	AFP.CE/Western Region	1
1	Chief of Naval Op	
1	Environ Protection Div. OP-45	1
1	NCEL, Code 25111	1
1	Naval Air Dev Ctr/MAE	ī
1	Technology Transfer Staff (EPA)	- ī
1	USAFA/DFCBS	20
1	National Science Foundation	1
1	US Army Med Bioengrg R&D Lab	
1	ATTN: SGRD-UBG	1
1	Air Olb. NAVAIR	1
1	Naval Postgraduate School	ī
1	NARD (Code 64270)	1
2	NAVFAC ENGCOM	ī
1	Naval Weapons Center	1
1	University of New Maxico	ī
1	AFCEC/SU	ī
1	AFCEC/SUL	ĩ
1	AFCEC/WE	ī
1	AFCEC/EV	8

37 (The reverse of this page is blank)