



TL 603



ADA037451

Draft Translation 603 February 1977

CALCULATING CALCULATING THE ICE DISCHARGE FRONT FOR DAMS

R.R. Chugayev



DC FILE COPY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS, U.S. ARMY COLD REGIONS RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING LABORATORY HANOVER, NEW HAMPSHIRE

Approved for public release; distribution unimited

ECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered) REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE REPORT NUMBER Draft Translation 603 * TITLE (end Subhitie) CALCULATING THE ICE DISCHARGE FRONT FOR DAMS * AUTHOR(*) R.R. Chugayev * PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire * CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS * MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) * DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, If different from 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*) 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT. PROJECT. TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 12. REPORT DATE February 1977 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 8 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ed.
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE • REPORT NUMBER Draft Translation 603 • TITLE (end Sublitie) CALCULATING THE ICE DISCHARGE FRONT FOR DAMS • AUTHOR(=) R.R. Chugayev • PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire • CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS • MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office) • DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit • OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, If different from Statement from Statement from Statement for the ebetrect entered in Block 20, If different from Statement for the ebetrect entered in Block 20, If different from Statement for the ebetrect entered in Block 20, If different from Statement for the ebetrect entered in Block 20, If different from Statement for the ebetrect entered in Block 20, If different from Statement for the ebetrect entered in Block 20, If different from Statement for the ebetrect entered in Block 20, If different from Statement for the ebetrect entered in Block 20, If different from Statement for the ebetrect entered in Block 20, If different from Statement for the ebetrect entered in Block 20, If different from Statement for the ebetrect entered in Block 20, If different from Statement for the ebetrect entered in Block 20, If different from Statement for the ebetrect entered in Block 20, If different from Statement for the ebetrect enteret for the ebetrect entered in Block 20, If different	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*) 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT. PROJECT. TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 12. REPORT DATE February 1977 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 8 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ed.
REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. Draft Translation 603 TITLE (and Sublitie) CALCULATING THE ICE DISCHARGE FRONT FOR DAMS AUTHOR(*) R.R. Chugayev PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS A MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) A MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) A MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) A MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) A MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) B. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetrect entered in Block 20, II different from Controlling Office) CISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetrect entered in Block 20, II different from Control from Contro	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*) 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBER(*) 11. REPORT DATE February 1977 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 8 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ed.
Draft Translation 603 TITLE (end Sublitte) CALCULATING THE ICE DISCHARGE FRONT FOR DAMS AUTHOR(*) R.R. Chugayev PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS A. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit D. CISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, II different from S. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*) 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 12. REPORT DATE February 1977 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 8 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ed.
 A TITLE (and Sublitie) CALCULATING THE ICE DISCHARGE FRONT FOR DAMS CAUTHOR(*) R.R. Chugayev PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit CONTROLLING STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, II different from the statement for the statement from the statement from	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*) 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT. PROJECT. TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBER(*) 12. REPORT DATE February 1977 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 8 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ed.
CALCULATING THE ICE DISCHARGE FRONT FOR DAMS AUTHOR(*) R.R. Chugayev PPERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS A. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// d//ferent from Controlling Office) C. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit D. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, 11 different from B. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*) 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 12. REPORT DATE February 1977 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 8 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ed.
CALCULATING THE ICE DISCHARGE FRONT FOR DAMS AUTHOR(*) R.R. Chugayev PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS A MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS('I different from Controlling Office) CONTROLLING STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit Distribution STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, If different from S. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*) 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 12. REPORT DATE February 1977 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 8 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ed.
 AUTHOR(*) R.R. Chugayev PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(<i>it different from Controlling Office</i>) 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*) 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 12. REPORT DATE February 1977 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 8 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ed.
 AUTHOR(*) R.R. Chugayev PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different from Controlling Office) 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, if different from 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 	B. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*) 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 12. REPORT DATE February 1977 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 8 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ed.
 R.R. Chugayev PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(<i>it different from Controlling Office</i>) 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, if different from 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 	B. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*) 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT. PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 12. REPORT DATE February 1977 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 8 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ed.
R.R. Chugayev PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(<i>it different from Controlling Office</i>) 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, <i>it different from</i> 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 12. REPORT DATE February 1977 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 8 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ed.
 A. K. CHUgayev PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(<i>if different from Controlling Office</i>) 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, if different from 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 12. REPORT DATE February 1977 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 8 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ed.
 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(<i>II different from Controlling Office</i>) 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Statement for the statement of the statement from Statement from Statement for the statement of the statement from Statement from Statement from Statement from Statement for the statement of the statement for the s	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 12. REPORT DATE February 1977 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 8 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ed.
U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory <u>Hanover, New Hampshire</u> 1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(<i>it different tran Controlling Office</i>) 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, if different transformed in Block 20, if different in	12. REPORT DATE February 1977 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 8 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ed.
Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, II different from 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. REPORT DATE February 1977 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 8 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ed.
Hanover, New Hampshire 1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(<i>it different from Controlling Office</i>) 15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, if different from 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. REPORT DATE February 1977 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 8 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different from the supplementary notes 	12. REPORT DATE February 1977 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 8 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ed.
 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from the statement of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from the statement and the statement for the statement in Block 20, if different from the statement and the statement in Block 20, if different from the statement and the statement in Block 20, if different from the statement in Block 20, if different from the statement and the statement in Block 20, if different from the statement is a statement in Block 20, if different from the statement is a statement in Block 20, if different from the statement is a statement in Block 20, if different in Block 20, if diffe	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 8 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ed.
 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, if different from the supplementary notes 	8 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ed.
 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, If different from the statement of the	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, 11 different tro 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different fro 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different fro 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ed.
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different fro 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ed.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, 11 different fro 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ed.
8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	m Report)
Sa hita	Theore
Lee 14 to P	
3. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide it necessary and identity by block induitier)	
DAMS SPILLWAYS	
ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)	
Calculating the length of the so-called ice disch great practical significance. The question of th the basis of which is the concept of the ice disc cross section is discussed in this paper.	arge front for dams is of is type of calculation, at harge capacity of the stream

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Date Entered)

DRAFT TRANSLATION 603

6-ENGLISH TITLE: CALCULATING THE ICE DISCHARGE FRONT FOR DAMS FOREIGN TITLE: (RASCHET LEDOSBROSNOGO FRONTA PLOTIN) AUTHOR PR.R./Chugayev 11 Feb 77 211p. TH CRREL-TL-603 Irans. 81 SOURCE: Sbornink trudov po gidrotekhnike i gidrostroitel'stva pri stroitel'stve plotin v surovykh klimaticheskikh usloviyakh 1970, p_31-37, 19700

CRREL BIBLIOGRAPHY ACCESSIONING NO.: 28-1850

> Translated by Office of the Assistant Chief of Staff for Intelligence for U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, 1977, 8p.

NOTICE 37/00 98

NTIS DOG DMARLOUNDED JUSTIFICATION

The contents of this publication have been translated as presented in the original text. No attempt has been made to verify the accuracy of any statement contained herein. This translation is published with a minimum of copy editing and graphics preparation in order to expedite the dissemination of information. Requests for additional copies of this document should be addressed to the Defense Documentation Center, Cameron Station, Alexandria, DIST. ANIL AN IN ST Virginia 22314.

.

Calculating the length of the so-called ice discharge front for dams is of great practical significance. The question of this type of calculation, at the basis of which is the concept of the <u>ice discharge capacity of the</u> <u>stream cross section</u>,¹ is discussed in what follows.

1. The ice discharge capacity, Λ , of a given cross section should be called the volume of ice that can pass through the given cross section in unit time

$$\Lambda = B \cdot \delta \cdot v_0 = B \cdot \delta \cdot \beta \cdot v, \tag{1}$$

where

B is the upstream width of the cross section;

§ is the thickness of the ice that can move (float) through the given cross section in one, two, or several layers (taking up the entire width of the cross section);

v is mean surface speed

$$v_0 = \beta \cdot v, \tag{2}$$

where

v is the mean speed for the cross section under consideration;

 β is the factor for converting from mean speed, v, to mean surface speed, v₀, with β usually taken as equal to

$$\beta = 1.20$$
 to 1.25 .

Eq. (1) can be reduced to the following for a rectangular bed

$$\Lambda = B \cdot \delta \cdot \beta \frac{Q}{B(h-0,9,\delta)} = 3 \cdot \delta \frac{Q}{h-0,0,\delta} \approx 3 \cdot \delta \frac{Q}{h}, \qquad (4)$$

¹This question was briefed for the first time in references [1, 2].

where

Q is the water discharge;

h is the depth of the stream;

 $0.9 \cdot \delta$ is the settling of the ice, the magnitude of which sometimes can be ignored when compared to depth h, considering that

AVAILABLE COPY

$$h \approx h'$$
, (5)

where

h' is the distance between the lower surface of the ice field and the bottom of the bed.

We can obtain a relationship analogous to the formula at (4) for a parabolic bed

$$\bar{\Lambda} = \frac{3}{2} \beta \cdot \delta \cdot \frac{Q}{h - 0, 0.5} \approx \frac{3}{2} \beta \cdot \delta \cdot \frac{Q}{h} ; \qquad (6)$$

Needless to say, the magnitude Λ can be discussed as applicable to a stream that is not covered by ice (conceivably yielding some other δ magnitude).



Figure 1.

-2-

Consideration of the dependencies at (4) and (6) will show that the ice discharge capacity of a cross section in the case of conventional beds (a) will in no way depend on the width, B, of the upstream cross section, (b) is directly proportional to the ice thickness, δ , and (c) is inversely proportional to the depth of the stream, h (strictly speaking, inversely proportional to h').

With these conditions in mind, let us consider the longitudinal section of the reach of the river before reaching the dam, where the reservoir can be formed (Figure 1a). Let us assume Q to be constant (in terms of time, and along the flow).

$$Q = constant.$$
 (7)

In this case, and in accordance with the dependencies at (4) and (6), Λ should decrease downstream, for a given δ = constant because depth h increases as the flow approaches the dam. A minimum, Λ_{min} , should occur in the vicinity of section A-A, directly in front of the dam. Obviously,

$$\Lambda_{\min} = \beta \cdot \delta \cdot \frac{Q}{h_{\Lambda}} \approx \beta \cdot \delta \cdot \frac{Q}{h_{\Lambda}}, \qquad (8)$$

where

h,' and h, are depths equated to section A-A.

With this in mind, we have, in Figure 1b, shown the curves for

$$\Lambda = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}) \tag{9}$$

for different δ (see curves I, II, III..., corresponding to ice thicknesses δ_1 , δ_2 , δ_3 ...). The coordinate s is shown on the drawing.

Let us take it that at the initial moment of the debacle the water course, which is in its natural state (located to the left of the vertical B-B in Figure 1a), and the reservoir (located between sections A-A and B-B), are covered by a single layer of ice, the thickness of which is $\delta_1 =$ constant. At the same time, at the initial moment of the debacle the discharge of ice, Q_i (that is, the volume of ice passing through the given cross section in unit time), for section B-B will be equal to the ice discharge capacity of the river when the latter is in its natural state (located to the left of section B-B)

$$Q_{i} = \Lambda_{n} \cdot \tag{10}$$

Further on downstream, in connection with the reduction in Λ (§ = constant), the thickness of the ice field should gradually increase with the passage of time because of the floes piling up on each other (because of the accumulation of ice in individual sections). If it is assumed that the inflow, Q_i , into the reservoir will last for a sufficiently long time, we should, over an extended period of time, obtain a steady-state picture of the ice

-3-

movement for which, at each section of the reservoir, there will be the following relationship

$$\Lambda = Q_i = \Lambda_n \tag{11}$$

(because of the increase in thickness, δ , downstream). An ice field such as this, gradually thickening as it approaches the dam, is shown hatched in Figure la.

The result of accumulations of ice at individual sections of the stream is a rise in the free surface of the stream, accompanied by flooding of the banks. Needless to say, the debacle often can conclude before we obtain a steady-state picture of the ice movement shown in Figure 1a.

Analysis of the positions indicated for the ice movement through the reservoir will convince us that at the very beginning of the reservoir, where the backwater curve tapers, and where the depths of the downstream current begin to increase sharply, we can have an ice jam. It is important to emphasize the fact that ice jams such as these, the result of accumulations of ice (that occur in connection with the downstream reduction in Λ), cannot be removed by mechanical destruction of large floes.

2. The following phenomena can occur during the debacle, as we know, and they complicate dam operating conditions.

a. Individual floes, plunging under the jam, can damage the dam in the case of an extensive, but not complete opening in rising jams.

b. Floes falling into the after bay with the water can damage the bracing of the after bay. Floes, sometimes moving at high speed, can, as they approach the dam, strike the piers and abutments and damage them. Needless to say, the ice will lose its strength as time passes (because of thawing) and no longer will be dangerous in terms of what has been said.

c. Floes moving over the crest of the dam (or over the top of the gate) in one, two, or several layers can strike the crest of the dam (or the top of the gate) and damage it. Moreover, the ice can also damage the dam's overflow surface.

d. The dam itself can be the cause of an ice jam when the layer of water flowing through the dam, or gate, is relatively shallow (when individual floes can come to rest on the crest of the dam, or gate, and stick in place), as well as when the openings are relatively narrow (when the floes can stick in these openings to form an "arch," as it were, for example, with its abutments resting in a pier). The result is that the ice can clog the approach bed, as well as the openings in the dam, reducing the discharge capacity of the approach bed and the openings in the dam and raising the water level in the head race above the permissible level. This type of jam can be referred to as a "forced jam." It is physically different from the "accumulation jam" illustrated in paragraph 1. A "forced jam" can be destroyed by different kinds of mechanical means, of course (explosives,

-4-

and the like, for example), and it is herein that the "forced jam" can be distinguished from the "accumulation jam."²

e. The depth of the water, h, at the crest of the spillway always is less than the depth, h_A, in the upper race in front of the dam, so it can be taken that when Q = constant (along the ice discharge path), the ice discharge capacity of the openings in the dam, Λ_0 , always will be greater than the ice discharge capacity Λ_A (section A-A, Figure 1a). Thus, in the majority of cases there are no grounds for fearing an accumulation of ice directly in front of the dam when Q = constant. But the condition can arise when only a part of the discharge, Q_A (section A-A) will enter the ice discharge opening, installed especially for passing the ice. The other part of the discharge of water, Q_A , will, for example flow into the hydroelectric station, or into some other submerged (bottom) opening. Given these conditions, it can be shown that

$$\Lambda_{0} < \Lambda_{A} \tag{12}$$

and the ice will pile up right in front of the dam, forming an "accumulation jam" at that point, reducing the water discharge capacity of the intake channel to the dam and causing an unacceptable rise in the water level in the headrace.

The magnitude of the head, H, at the crest of the ice discharge openings (completely open) should be stipulated in order to avoid this situation, observing the condition

 $H \le H_{max}$ (13)

where

H

$$I_{\max} \approx \frac{Q_o}{Q_A} \cdot \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{h}_A, \qquad (14)$$

where

- Q is the discharge of water passing through the ice discharge opening;
- k is a coefficient that takes into consideration the difficulty (slowing down) of movement of ice in the openings in the dam (A. R. Berezinskiy [3] recommends using k = 0.75).

The validity of the dependency at (14) will be seen from what follows. In order to avoid an accumulation jam in front of the dam, the required relation-ship in this case is $\Lambda = \Lambda_0$, that is, the relationship [see (4) above]

$$3 \cdot \delta \cdot \frac{Q_{\Lambda}}{h_{\Lambda} - 0^{-0} \cdot \delta} = \beta \cdot \delta \cdot k \frac{Q_{\sigma}}{H - 0^{-0} \cdot \delta} , \qquad (15)$$

The two types of jams indicated have not been differentiated to date, so efforts often are made to destroy "accumulation jams" with explosives, for example (which in such case are ineffective).

from whence, ignoring $0.9 \cdot \delta$ and setting $H = H_{max}$, we arrive at the approximate dependency at (14).

3. The following scheme for designing the ice discharge front for a dam can be contemplated on the basis of the premises elucidated above.

a. Individual floes (moving in one, two, or more layers piled atop each other), influenced by current, or overtaking winds, always can enter any spillway opening in the dam. The head, H, therefore should satisfy the condition

$$H \ge H_{\min},$$
 (16)

during the debacle for all water discharge openings in the dam. H_{min} can be taken as approximately equal to 10% to 30% of the greatest thickness, δ , of the ice mass in the section A-A (Figure 1a). As a practical matter, δ can be taken here as equal to the thickness of one, or of two layers of ice in the majority of cases.

b. The only time a <u>special ice discharge front</u> is called for is when there is fear of the occurrence immediately in front of the dam of the "accumulation jam" elucidated above, one that will cause an unacceptable rise in the water level in the headrace, if such a front is omitted. This sort of "accumulation jam" can occur when the dam is low, when the ratio of the depth of the reservoir to the depth of the river emptying into it is small, the reservoir is short in length, the ice movement time is protracted, and a considerable part of the water is discharged from the headrace into the tailrace through working and bottom openings. In each concrete case, all of these factors must be specially analyzed in order to justify the need to build an ice discharge front, while at the same time giving due regard to the considerations cited above (in para. 1). It should be apparent, <u>as a first approximation</u>, that it is not mandatory to build a special ice discharge front for a dam in many cases encountered in practice; when, for example

 $(h_{\Lambda}:h_{n}) > 3 \text{ to } 4,$ (17)

and it also can be taken that the ice in the reservoir, as well as the ice brought into the reservoir by the river can melt in the headrace, and hence will not be discharged through the dam into the tailrace. Only the condition at (16) need be satisfied in this case when planning conventional water discharge openings.

c. The width, b, of the individual openings in an ice discharge front, when the need for such front has been demonstrated (see the preceding subparagraph), is customarily set as follows: rivers with heavy debacle, b > 15-20 meters; rivers with exceptionally heavy debacle, b > 20-25 meters. It must be assumed that we will not have a "forced jam" in openings with these widths. All, or some of the water discharge openings in the dam designed to handle the water should fit under the ice discharge openings. These openings should be located along the channel line for the particular river, or at a concave bank. The crest of the dam should have the same elevation over the limits of the openings planned for ice passage and thus ensure uniform flow of water into the openings.

The <u>overall width</u> of the ice discharge front, B_i , should be set in terms of the head, H, as equal to

$$H = \nabla N H E - \nabla c \tag{18}$$

and in terms of the water discharge, Q_{o} , as equal to

$$Q_{o} = Q_{i} - Q_{w} - Q_{b}, \qquad (19)$$

where

VNHE is the normal headwater elevation for the water;

- ∇c is the height of the crest of the dam within the limits of the ice discharge openings;
- Q and Q are the water discharges through the working and bottom (submerged) openings;
- Q, is the discharge of water into the river during the debacle.

Knowing H and Q, and using the conventional water discharge formula, one can readily find the unknown $B_{\rm i}$. It is necessary, when making this calculation, to observe the condition

$$H_{\min} \leq H \leq \max'$$
(20)

where

H max can be found by using the formula at (14), assuming in that formula that $Q_A = Q_i$.

If the result of the calculation made in this manner is an H_{max} less than H_{min} , Q_o must be increased at the expense of Q_w and Q_b , until the relationship $H_{max} \ge H_{min}$ is reached.

There are occasions when an additional condition is imposed so that possibly less water is discharged with the ice into the tailrace. In this case ∇c in Eq. (18) should be taken such that H, calculated by using Eq. (18) turns out to equal H (H = H min).

If the reservoir is in use at the onset of the debacle, no ice can be discharged through the dam until such time as the headwater level rises to the NHE. Special ice discharge openings in a dam usually need not be considered when the debacle ends during the period of time indicated, naturally. Should this not be the case, however, an ice discharge front must be planned as indicated above.

LITERATURE

- Chugayev, R. R. <u>Vodoslivnyye betonnyye plotiny</u> [Concrete Spillway Dams]. Part 1. Leningrad, 1958.
- 2. Chugayev, R. R. "Ice Discharge By A Dam." Proceedings of the 12th Congress of the MAGI, 1967.
- 3. Berezinskiy, A. R. <u>Verkhneye stroyeniye plotin</u> [The Upper Structure of Dams]. Moscow, 1949.

