

WVT-TR-77001



COMPUTER CONTROLLED X-RAY STRESS ANALYSIS FOR INSPECTION OF MANUFACTURED COMPONENTS

> G.P. CAPSIMALIS R.F. HAGGERTY K. LOOMIS

> > January 1977



BENET WEAPONS LABORATORY WATERVLIET ARSENAL WATERVLIET, N.Y. 12189

# **TECHNICAL REPORT**

AMCMS No. 3297.06.7282

Pron No. M1-4-A1527

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

## DISCLAIMER

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade name(s) and/or manufacturer(s) in this report does not constitute an official indorsement or approval.

#### DISPOSITION

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Dete i	Entered)			
REPORT DOCUMENTATION	PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM		
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER		
WVT-TR-77001				
4. TITLE (and Subtitie)	·····	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED		
COMPUTER CONTROLLED X-RAY STRESS A	ANALYSIS FOR			
INSPECTION OF MANUFACTURED CON	MPONENTS	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER		
7. AUTHOR(s)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)		
G.P. Capsimalis, R.F. Haggerty, K.	. Loomis			
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK		
Benet Weapons Laboratory		AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS		
Watervliet Arsenal, Watervliet, N.	Y. 12189			
SARWV-RT-TP	11 12105	Pron No $M1-4-A1527$		
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE		
U.S. Army Armament Command		January 1977		
Rock Island, Illinois 61201		13. NUMBER OF PAGES		
		30		
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different	t from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)		
		UNCLASSIFIED		
		15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		L		
Approved for public release; d	listribution unli	imited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered i	In Block 20, If different from	m Report)		
		V		
0				
2				
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
		2		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elds if necessary and	d identify by block number)			
X-Ray Diffraction	Residual St	Tress		
Non-Destructive Testing	Computer A	utomation		
Real Time Sv	vstem			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and	identify by block number)			
A portable x-ray system has been	constructed to m	neasure automatically the		
surface residual stresses of gun tub	es and other wea	apon components. The system		
includes a Siemens stress goniometer	powered by a No	orelco full wave x-ray gene-		
rator and a set of Canberra modular	electonics which	consist of a dual axis pos-		
itioner, a universal timer-scaler an	id a telecomputer	r interface for communicating		
with a minicomputer. The system is	controlled by a	PDP11/05 minicomputer having		
121 words of memory, 1.2M-word remov	able-cartridge d	IISK and can implement entire (See Other Side)		

problem solutions using FORTRAN IV,

20.

ł

All operations of the stress goniometer, the modular electonic hardware, logic operations and data processing are performed in real time and are completely computer controlled.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUC	ΓION	1
THEORETIC	CAL BACKGROUND	2
EXPERIME	VTAL APPROACH	6
Α.	INSTRUMENTATION	6
в. з	SOFTWARE	9
C. 1	PROCEDURE FOR ALIGNMENT OF THE SIEMENS	
S	STRESS GONIOMETER	10
EXPERIMEN	VTAL RESULTS	12
Α. Σ	-RAY ELASTIC CONSTANT	12
в. 5	STRESS DISTRIBUTION IN AUTOFRETTAGED TUBES	13
DISCUSSIC	DN	13
REFERENCE	S	27
	LIST OF FIGURES	
FIGURE 1	ILLUSTRATION OF STRESS STRAIN ANGULAR RELATIONS	
	USED IN X-RAY MEASUREMENT	15
FIGURE 2	BLOCK DIAGRAM OF THE AUTOMATED X-RAY STRESS SYSTEM	16
FIGURE 3	FLOWCHART FOR THE STRESCAN PROGRAM	17
FIGURE 4	INPUT FORMAT FOR STRESCAN	18
FIGURE 5	OUTPUT FORMAT FROM STRESCAN	19
FIGURE 6	TTY OUTPUT OF STRESS VS. $\text{SIN}^2\psi$	20
FIGURE 7	X-RAY MEASURED STRESS VS. APPLIED STRESS FOR THE	
	CALIBRATION TEST BAR	21

.

-

i

FIGURE 8 THE RADIAL DISTRIBUTION	N OF HOOP STRESS IN A 155MM
AUTOFRETTAGED GUN TUBE	22
FIGURE 9 THE RADIAL DISTRIBUTION	N OF HOOP STESSS FOR AN
AUTOFRETTAGED 175MM TU	BE WITH 30% OVERSTRAIN 23
FIGURE 10 SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM OF TH	HE STRESS GONIOMETER 24
FIGURE 11 VIEW OF STRESS GONIOMET	TER AND GUN TUBE SECTION
BEING INSPECTED	25
FIGURE 12 CLOSE UP VIEW OF COMPUT	TER AND AUTOMATION
ELECTRONICS HARDWARE	26

.

•

-

· · · ·

#### INTRODUCTION

Residual stresses are present in gun tubes either inadverently due to heat treatment forging, cold strengthening, or deliberately due to autofrettage. The effects of residual stresses on the mechanical and physical behavior of gun steel and other structural materials are well established; they can drastically alter the fatigue properties of the structure and cause warping and corrosion cracking.

Undesirable stresses may result from either variations in steels or machining practices and can remain undetected prior to the observation of their adverse effects. The objective of this effort was to develop an automated x-ray stress system to provide support to engineering development, manufacturing, and quality control. Automation was required to permit acquisition of quality data which otherwise would be very laborious and tedious to obtain by manual means. Finally this system should accommodate on-line data analysis including correction for instrumental and physical factors, least squares curve fitting and essentially instant printout of the results.

This report describes the automated x-ray stress system developed which minimizes the possibility of human error and significantly reduces the time and cost of the stress analysis.

# THEORETICAL BACKGROUND<sup>1-3</sup>

The principle of x-ray stress analysis is based upon the measurement of lattice strains of specially oriented sets of lattice planes which are then related to stress by means of the elasticity relations which will be shown in this section.

For a homogeneous isotropic elastic solid, strain  $\varepsilon$  is defined by the relation

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\Delta \ell}{\ell} \tag{1}$$

where  $\Delta l$  is the change in length of a stressed solid having an original length l.

If we take a rectangular coordinate system and a strain  $\varepsilon_x$  produced by a stress  $\sigma_x$  alone acting in the x-direction, then Hooke's law requires that:  $\sigma_x$ 

$$\epsilon_{\rm X} = \frac{\sigma_{\rm X}}{E}$$
 (2)

where E is Youngs modulus.

If a tensile stress is applied in the x-direction it will produce a linear elongation in the x-direction and a linear contraction in the transverse y and z-directions. The longitudinal and transverse strains are related by:

 $-\varepsilon_{y} = -\varepsilon_{z} = v\varepsilon_{x} = \frac{v\sigma x}{E}, \qquad (3)$ 

where v is Poisson's ratio, and  $\varepsilon_y$  and  $\varepsilon_z$  the transverse strains in the y and z-directions.

<sup>1</sup>C. S. Barrett and T. B. Massalski, "Structure of Metals", New York: McGraw Hill, 1966.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>E. Macherauch, "X-Ray Stress Analysis", Proc. Soc. Exp. Mechanics, <u>23</u>, 140 (1966).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>J 784, "Residual Stress Measurements by X-Ray Diffraction", New York: Autom. Engr. (1971).

For a triaxial system with the coordinate axes along the principal stress directions, the principal stresses are related to the principal strains as follows:

$$\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{E} \left[ \sigma_1 - \nu (\sigma_2 + \sigma_3) \right] , \qquad (4a)$$

$$\varepsilon_2 = \frac{1}{E} \left[ \sigma_2 - \nu (\sigma_1 + \sigma_3) \right] , \qquad (4b)$$

$$\varepsilon_3 = \frac{1}{E} \left[ \sigma_3 - \nu(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) \right] , \qquad (4c)$$

At a free surface, the normal stress is zero, i.e.,  $\sigma_3 = 0$ . Therefore:

$$\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{E} (\sigma_1 - v\sigma_2) , \qquad (5a)$$

$$\varepsilon_2 = \frac{1}{E} (\sigma_2 - v\sigma_1) , \qquad (5b)$$

$$\varepsilon_3 = \frac{1}{E} \left( \sigma_1 + \nu \sigma_2 \right) \quad . \tag{5c}$$

From Figure 1 the stress  $\sigma_{\varphi\psi}$  is given by

$$\sigma_{\phi\psi} = \sigma_1 (\sin\psi \, \cos\phi)^2 + \sigma_2 (\sin\psi \, \sin\phi)^2 , \qquad (6)$$

Similarly the normal strain  $\varepsilon_{d\psi}$  is given by:

$$\varepsilon_{\phi\psi} = \varepsilon_1 (\sin\psi \,\cos\phi)^2 + \varepsilon_2 (\sin\psi \,\sin\phi)^2 + \varepsilon_3 \,\cos^2\psi \quad , \qquad (7)$$

from equations (5) and (7) we obtain

$$\varepsilon_{\phi\psi} = \frac{1+\nu}{E} \left( \sigma_1 \cos^2 \phi + \sigma_2 \sin^2 \phi \right) \sin^2 \psi - \frac{\nu}{E} \left( \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \right)$$
(8)

But the surface stress component  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varphi$  is given by:

$$\sigma_{\phi} = \sigma_1 \cos^2 \phi + \sigma_2 \sin^2 \phi \quad , \tag{9}$$

Therefore, substituting in Eq. 8

$$\varepsilon \phi \psi = \left(\frac{1+\nu}{E}\right) \sigma_{\phi} \sin^2 \psi - \frac{\nu}{E} \left(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2\right) \quad . \tag{10}$$

From equation 5c we have:

$$\varepsilon_3 = -\frac{\nu}{E} (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) \quad . \tag{11}$$

Substituting in equation (10) and rearranging we obtain:

$$\sigma_{\phi} = (\varepsilon_{\phi\psi} - \varepsilon_3) \frac{E}{1+\nu} \frac{1}{\sin^2\psi} . \qquad (12)$$

Let d be the interplanar spacing normal to the plane surface, and  $\boldsymbol{d}_{O}$ its value in the stress free state and  $\textbf{d}_{\psi}$  in the direction specified by the angle  $\psi$ , then

.

$$\varepsilon_{\phi\psi} - \varepsilon_{3} = \frac{d_{\psi} - d_{0}}{d_{0}} \quad \frac{d - d_{0}}{d_{0}}$$

$$\varepsilon_{\phi\psi} - \varepsilon_{3} = \frac{d_{\psi} - d_{0}}{d_{0}}$$

$$\varepsilon_{\phi\psi} - \varepsilon_{3} \approx \frac{d_{\psi} - d_{0}}{d}$$
(13)

or

Substituting equation (13) in (12) gives

$$\sigma_{\phi} = \frac{d_{\psi} - d}{d} \frac{E}{1 + \nu} \frac{1}{\sin^2 \psi}$$
(14)

Differentiating the Bragg law

$$n\lambda = 2d\sin\Theta \tag{15}$$

we obtain

$$\frac{\Delta d}{d} = -\cot\Theta\Delta\Theta \tag{16}$$

Combining (16) and (14) we obtain

$$\sigma_{\phi} = -\Delta\Theta \cot\Theta \left(\frac{E}{1+\nu}\right)\frac{1}{\sin^2\psi}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sigma_{\phi} = (2\Theta - 2\Theta_{\psi}) \frac{\cot\Theta}{2} \left(\frac{E}{1+\nu}\right) \frac{1}{\sin^2\psi}$$
(17)

Equations (10) and (17) provide the fundamental relations for x-ray stress measurements.

An examination of equation (10) shows that

- a. The lattice strains  $\varepsilon_{dyb}$  are linearly dependent on  $\sin^2\psi$ .
- b. If the lattice strains  $\varepsilon_{\phi\psi}$  for several  $\psi$  angles are measured and plotted vs.  $\sin^2\psi$ , the slope, m, of the line is:

$$m = \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\phi \psi}}{\partial (\sin^2 \psi)} = \frac{\nu + 1}{E} \quad \sigma \phi \quad , \tag{18}$$

or 
$$\sigma \phi = \frac{E}{\nu + 1}$$
 m. (19)

It can readily be seen that very accurate stress measurements can be obtained even with some scatter in the x-ray data when a least squares fit is used to obtain the slope of the line (strain vs.  $\sin^2\psi$ ). A second advantage of this method is that, unlike other residual stress measuring techniques, it is not necessary to obtain a reference measurement from a completely unstressed sample. Finally, anomalous stresses can be generated under certain conditions of uniaxial plastic deformation<sup>4</sup>. These are manifested by a non-linear behavior of the  $\sigma\phi$ vs.  $\sin^2\psi$  curve. The above technique permits detection of these anomalous contributions and will help identify the non-linearity which characterizes pseudo stresses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>B. D. Cullity, "Sources of Error in X-Ray Measurements of Residual Stress", J. Appl. Phys. <u>35</u>, No. 6, 1915 (1964).

#### 3,5-7 EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH

There are two general ways of measuring residual stresses by means of x-ray diffraction namely: the photographic and the diffractometric method. The principal reason for selecting the diffractometer over the photographic method is that it provides a very precise and objective means of locating the diffraction peaks. This is especially desirable when dealing with the broad diffraction profiles commonly found in hardened steels. The diffractometric procedure is also capable of complete automation thus permitting major improvements in the techniques which otherwise would be prohibitively laboreous and time consuming.

#### A. INSTRUMENTATION

The hardware of the computer controlled system described below are shown in the form of a block diagram in Figure 2.

## STRESS GONIOMETER<sup>8</sup>

The automated x-ray unit is a Siemens-goniometer powered by a Phillips x-ray generator.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>J 784, "Residual Stress Measurements by X-Ray Diffraction", New York: Autom. Engr. (1971).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>D. P. Koistiuen, and R. E. Marburger, "Calculating Peak Position in X-Ray Residual Stress Measurements of Hardened Steel", Trans. Am. Soc. Metals, 51, 537 (1959).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>C. J. Kelly and E. Eichen, "Computer Controlled X-Ray Diffraction Measurement of Residual Stress" in L. S. Birks, C. S. Barrett, J. B. Newkirk and C. O. Rudd, Editors, Advances in X-Ray Analysis, <u>16</u>, 344, Plenum Press (1973).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>G. Koves and C. Y. Ho, "Computer Automated X-Ray Stress Analysis", Norelco Reporter, 11, 99 (1964).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>N. W. Tideswell, I. Canner, and H. J. Vanderveldt, "Field X-Ray Diffractometer for Surface Stress Determinations in Naval Structures", Naval Ship Research and Development Center, Report 3568, April 1972.

The Siemens stress goniometer was selected for several reasons. The unit is portable and has been designed to measure stresses on large test items. Determinations of peak positions of diffraction profiles are made with precision comparable to that of laboratory diffractometers because the unit can be made to satisfy the stationary Bragg-Bretano geometry where the detector remains on the diffractometer circle. Currently the automated unit allows stress determination to be made either from the two or multi exposure  $\sin^2\psi$  method. The two exposure  $\sin^2\psi$  procedure allows a more precise stress measurement to be performed. This is accomplished by averaging out the random scatter of the intensity data points and thus each point contributes significantly towards higher accuracy of the stress measurement.

The modification made for automation of the goniometer was the replacement of the 20 continuous motor drive and the manual  $\psi$  drive with two remotely controllable Slo-Syn stepping motors. The motors were attached to the 20 and  $\psi$  drive shafts using specially machined adaptors. The automation of the goniometer functions and data gathering is accomplished by means of the Canberra computer oriented electronics shown in Figure 2 and described below.

#### TELECOMPUTER

The telecomputer interface is an executive module which provides full duplex serial communication between the system modules and the minicomputer or teletype. The interface executes a set of ten programmable commands which direct the positioning, counting and recording functions of the other system-compatible modular instruments.

#### DUAL COUNTER/TIMER

This module is a programmable two channel counting device capable of either preset count or preset time operation. In the manual mode the functions of the counter/timer are controlled manually from the front panel. In the remote operation mode each channel responds to its own coded two digit address to perform commands which are received from the telecomputer interface.

#### DUAL AXIS POSITIONERS

These modular units are devices for driving the stepping motors which control the 20 and  $\psi$  axes of the goniometer. Each axis positioner responds to its own individual address and by means of the telecomputer interface is controlled remotely from instructions generated from the minicomputer.

#### THE MINICOMPUTER

A Digital Equipment PDP11/05 minicomputer provides complete control of the system functions during data acquisition, performs extensive mathematical operations in processing the data and instantly provides the results in a comprehensive digital and/or graphical manner. The computer configuration shown in Figure 2 consists of a processor with 12K core memory, a large volume 1.2 million word storage disk, a magnetic tape unit and an ASR33 teletype. This configuration supports a Fortran IV computational language which is familiar to most engineers and scientists.

#### B. SOFTWARE

In addition to the regular DEC supplied software (Fortran IV compiler, utility programs, etc.) an interface program in machine language was developed to allow the user to communicate with the Telecomputer Interface.

### RESIDUAL STRESS PROGRAM

Using a program called 'STRESCAN' which was written in FORTRAN IV and implemented on the PDP11/05 minicomputer, the operator can obtain residual measurements under total computer control. A simplified flow chart of the STRESCAN program is shown in Figure 3. A brief description of the program follows.

When the program is referenced, a routine conversation mode develops whereby the operator defines the initial coordinates of the 20 and  $\psi$  axes. The computer further requests the start and stop positions of the scan along with the required step sizes and the initial number of counts to be taken.

The computer then controls the two axes and instructs the motors to drive to the first position. After a quick scan (at the first  $\psi$ angle) through the 20 angular range, a peak is calculated by fitting the corrected intensities to a parabola. This general location of the peak enables a more careful scan with a smaller step size (automatically calculated) and a greater number of counts. The intensity data is then fitted to a parabola with the number of points determined by the operator. The number of counts specified are normally determined by the peak to background ratio obtained from a separate scan. The

calculated peak is then saved for later reference. After the 20 peak is determined, the  $\psi$  angle is incremented by the preset step size.

The above procedure is then repeated until the last  $\boldsymbol{\psi}$  angle is reached.

The program then calculates the strains corresponding to the different  $\psi$  angles. Finally, the program calculates the stress from a least square fit of  $\varepsilon_{\psi}$  vs.  $\sin^2\psi$ . The program printout on the tele-type includes 20 peaks at various  $\psi$  settings, the starting 20 values, adjusted 20 step sizes, the strains for each  $\psi$ , the constant used, the slope calculated, the y-intercept and a plot of the least squares fit of  $\varepsilon_{\psi}$  vs.  $\sin^2\psi$  and finally the stress  $\sigma$ . Figures 4 and 5 show typical STRESCAN input and output formats as they are produced on the teletype.

### C. PROCEDURE FOR ALIGNMENT OF SEIMENS STRESS GONIOMETER

The method used to align the Siemens stress goniometer will be described. It consists of three steps: 1) mechanical, 2) optical and 3) O-stress on gold.

Mechanical alignment is accomplished by adjusting the center of the  $\phi$  semi-circle, along which the x-ray tube and detector travel, and the center of the  $\psi$  circle, about which the goniometer rotates, until the two centers coincide with each other and with the axis of a fixed adjusting goniometer column. Figure 10 shows a schematic diagram of the stress goniometer.

Optical alignment consists of adjusting the x-ray beam until the center of the beam and the center of the  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  circles coincide at the axis of the fixed adjusting column. The goniometer is now rotated around the  $\phi$  semi-circle and a 1/2° beam is observed on a florescent screen (a fine line drawn on the screen aids in this observation). When the beam is aligned, no beam shift should be observed as the  $\psi$ axis rotates from 0 to 60 degrees. If a shift occurs an Allen screw located on the side of the entrance aperature system is used to shift the beam at right angles to the beam direction, and the process is repeated. Finer slits can be used for more careful adjustment. With a 1/2° entrance aperture slit located in the x-ray entrance system and a 1° aperture slit in front of the detector the goniometer is ready for the final adjustment. A stress-free gold specimen which has been deposited on a glass plate is placed in the specimen holder. The position of the gold line (the gold (222) reflection is at 153° when a chrome tube is used) is determined for  $\psi = 0$ , 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 If the specimen surface is located precisely in the axis, degrees. gold lines will be independent of  $\psi$  and no stress will be observed since no change in  $2\Theta$  has occurred.

After the goniometer has been aligned, the distance from the x-ray tube reference plate to the specimen's surface is carefully measured to 1/100 of a mm. The goniometer can now be rotated away from the reference column, and as long as the measured distance is kept constant, the work piece, surface and the  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  centers will coincide.

#### EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

During the course of this investigation the automated system has been used to establish standards and procedures for the accurate and reproducible determination of stresses in gun steel. In this section the need for the determination of the x-ray elastic constant will be demonstrated. Also typical stress distribution of autofrettaged gun tubes will be discussed.

#### A. X-RAY ELASTIC CONSTANT

The basic expressions (12) and (17) used in obtaining the x-ray stress  $\sigma_{\phi}$  contain the familiar mechanical constants E and  $\nu$ . Because of the selective way the x-ray method measures strain the use of the mechanical constants E and  $\nu$  in expression (17) often results in a measured value which deviates from the true stress. This is especially true for materials which are elastically anisotropic.

In the present case a calibration run was made on a flat rectangular test bar of gun steel. The test bar was electropolished on one side to remove machining stresses and a strain gage was mounted on the other side. A four point bending fixture was used to apply successively known amounts of stress (monitored by the strain gage) to the surface of the test bar. The corresponding x-ray stress values were obtained from the measured x-ray strains using expression (12) and the mechanical constants E and v. A comparison of the measured x-ray stress to the applied stress, shown in Figure 7, reveals that a linear relationship and a small deviation exists between the two stresses. The true x-ray elastic constants can be calculated from eq (18)

$$m = \frac{v+1}{E} \sigma_{\phi}$$

following the procedure of Macherauch.<sup>2</sup>

# B. STRESS DISTRIBUTION IN AUTOFRETTAGE TUBES

Autofrettage is a process that imparts a favorable residual stress distribution to gun tubes and is responsible for greatly extending their service lives.<sup>9</sup> The automated system was used to determine the radial hoop stress distribution for a 155MM gun tube with 100% overstrain. The x-ray stress results are shown in Figure 8 where a theoretically expected distribution is included for the sake of comparison. Similar results obtained from an autofrettaged 175MM gun tube with 30 overstrain is shown in Figure 9.

### DISCUSSION

As already discussed<sup>10</sup> the existance of residual stresses may be beneficial as well as detrimental. The autofrettage process provides a way of extending the elastic pressure range of gun tubes. It also imparts a compressive hoop stress to the bore which effective limits crack propagation and thus early fatigue failure. The problem of primary importance is to insure that the necessary hoop stress levels are present in autofrettaged tubes. The automated x-ray unit with its

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>E. Macherauch, "X-Ray Stress Analysis", Proc. Soc. Exp. Mechanics, <u>23</u>, 140 (1966).

<sup>9</sup>T. E. Davidson, J. E. Throop, A. N. Reiner, "The Role of Fracture Toughness and Residual Stresses in the Fatigue and Fracture Behavior of Large Thick-Walled Pressure Vessesl", Watervliet Arsenal Report No. 7184, October 1971.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>P. J. Cote and G. P. Capsimalis, "Applications of X-Ray Stress Measuring Techniques", Watervliet Arsenal Report No. 7253, October 1972.

associated computer organization and logic allowed the development of what was considered strictly a manual laboratory technique into an accurate and practical testing method. At the present time it can routinely measure non-destructively the surface stresses of gun tubes and other manufactured components. Additionally this new system for measuring residual stresses is not limited to any specific material such as gun steel, but can be applied to most other metals or alloys employed in defense materials. The system can effectively detect variations in stress levels caused by future changes in manufacturing processes. These technological changes are often required in order to keep pace with demands of new materials, new techniques and improvements in manufacturing methods.



FIG. 1 ILLUSTRATION OF STRESS AND STRAIN ANGULAR RELATIONS USED IN X-RAY MEASUREMENTS.



FIG. 2 BLOCK DIAGRAM OF THE AUTOMATED X-RAY STRESS SYSTEM

.

۰.

.



FIG. 3 FLOWCHART FOR THE STRESCAN PROGRAM

#### INPUT DIALOGUE FOR A SAMPLE RUN

RU STRESCAN

12-MAR-76

ENTER 2-THETA AND PSI POSITIONS 156.26, 0

ENTER START 2-THETA AND PSI POSITIONS 155.00, 0

ENTER STOP 2-THETA AND PSI POSITIONS 157.00, .50

ENTER 2-THETA AND PSI STEP SIZES .40, .10

ENTER PRESET COUNTS...INITIAL 23

INITIAL QUICK SCAN OUTPUT:

INTENSITY	2-THETA
102.209	155.00
174.779	155.40
282.860	155.80
217.157	156.20
185.888	156.00
148.728	157.00

ENTER # OF COUNTS, STRESS CONSP, # OF PTS, FOR PARABOLA 24,2.283E7,5

FIG. 4 SHOWS A TYPICAL INPUT FORMAT OF THE DIALOGUE FROM A ROUTINE X-RAY STRESS MEASUREMENT

# OUTPUT SUMMARY: FOR A SAMPLE RUN

START 2-THETA	2-THETA STEP	2-THETA MAX.
155.45	20.00	155.890
155.45	20.00	155.879
155.45	20.00	155.863
155.43	20.00	155.839
155.42	20.00	155.815
155.40	20.00	155.786

CALCULATED STRAINS

STRAIN		PSI	ANGLE	3
0.21629E-04		0.10	000E	02
0.52585E-04		0.20	000E	02
0.97273E-04		0.30	000E	02
0.14350E-03		0.40	000E	02
0.19910E-03		0.50	000E	02

RESULTS

SLOPE	Y-INTER.	STRESS	
0.31520E-03	0.14742E-04	0.71960E 04	

# FIG. 5 OUTPUT FORMAT FROM STRESCAN

• RU PLOT

AFTER THE \* DEFINE THE DATA SET TO BE PLOTTED \* STRESS.DAT

LINE NO.	SIN (PSI) ** 2	STRAIN
1 2 3 4 5	0.301540E-01 0.116980E-00 0.250000E 00 0.413180E 00 0.586820E 00	0.216290E-04 0.525850E-04 0.972730E-04 0.143500E-03 0.199100E-03

#### STRAIN

0.19910E-03	+				х		
	I						
	I			1			
	1	1					
	1						
	I						
0.16361E-03	+						
	1						
	Ţ						
	1			x			
	1						
0 100111 07	1						
U.12811E-U3	+						
	T						
	1 T						
	T						
	T						
0 026175-04			x				
0.92017E-04	т						
	Т						
	Т						
	T						
	T						
0 57123F-04	+						
0.071201 04	T	x					
÷	Ī						
	Ī					F	
	Ť						
	T						
0.21629E-04	+ x						
	+	+	+	++	+	SIN(PSI)	**2
0.3	02E-01	0.141E 00	0.253E 00 0.3	64E 00 0.475E	00 0.587E	00	
Y-INTERCEPT	= 0	.147411E-04	SLOPE	= 0.315204E	-03		

FIG. 6 TTY OUTPUT OF STRAIN VS.  $SIN^2\psi$ 

20

.



CALIBRATION TEST BAR



SECTION VIEW OF HOOP STRESSES IN 155MM TUBE

# FIG. 8 THE RADIAL DISTRIBUTION OF HOOP STRESS IN A 155MM AUTOFRETTAGE GUN TUBE





FIG. 10 SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM OF THE STRESS GONIOMETER

- SEMI-CIRCULAR RAIL SLIDING CARRIAGE X-RAY TUBE WITH FOCUS F DETECTOR SLIDING CARRIAGE DETECTOR eel.

2 m

- - 4 5
    - 24



è

1

FIG. 11 VIEW OF STRESS GONIOMETER AND GUN TUBE SECTION BEING INSPECTED



FIG. 12 CLOSE UP VIEW OF COMPUTER AND AUTOMATION ELECTRONICS HARDWARE

#### REFERENCES

1.	C. S. Barrett and T. B. Massalski, "Structure of Metals", New York:
	McGraw Hill, 1966.
2.	E. Macherauch, "X-Ray Stress Analysis", Proc. Soc. Exp. Mechanics,
	<u>23</u> , 140 (1966).
3.	J 784, "Residual Stress Measurements by X-Ray Diffraction", New
	York: Autom. Engr. (1971).
4.	B. D. Cullity, "Sources of Error in X-Ray Measu ements of Residual
	Stress", J. Appl. Phys. <u>35</u> , No. 6, 1915 (1964).
5.	D. P. Koistiuen, and R. E. Marburger, "Calculating Peak Position in
	X-Ray Residual Stress Measurements of Hardened Steel", Trans. Am.
	Soc. Metals, <u>51</u> , 537 (1959).
6.	C. J. Kelly and E. Eichen, "Computer Controlled X-Ray Diffraction
	Measurement of Residual Stress" in L. S. Birks, C. S. Barrett,
	J. B. Newkirk and C. O. Rudd, Editors, Advances in X-Ray Analysis,
	<u>16</u> , 344, Plenum Press (1973).
7.	G. Koves and C. Y. Ho, "Computer Automated X-Ray Stress Analysis",
	Norelco Reporter, <u>11</u> , 99 (1964).

- N. W. Tideswell, I. Canner, and H. J. Vanderveldt, "Field X-Ray Diffractometer for Surface Stress Determinations in Naval Structures", Naval Ship Research and Development Center, Report 3568, April 1972.
- 9. T. E. Davidson, J. E. Throop, A. N. Reiner, "The Role of Fracture Toughness and Residual Stresses in the Fatigue and Fracture Behavior of Large Thick-Walled Pressure Vessels", Watervliet Arsenal Report No. 7184, October 1971.

 P. J. Cote and G. P. Capsimalis, "Applications of X-Ray Stress Measuring Techniques", Watervliet Arsenal Report No. 7253, October 1972.

WATERVLIET ARSENAL INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

K.

May 1976

No. of Copies COMMANDER 1 DIRECTOR, BENET WEAPONS LABORATORY 1 DIRECTOR, DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING DIRECTORATE 1 ATTN: RD-AT 1 RD-MR 1 RD-PE 1 RD-RM 1 RD-SE 1 RD-SP 1 DIRECTOR, ENGINEERING SUPPORT DIRECTORATE 1 2 DIRECTOR, RESEARCH DIRECTORATE ATTN: RR-AM 1 RR-C 1 RR-ME 1 RR-PS 1 5 TECHNICAL LIBRARY TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS & EDITING BRANCH 2 DIRECTOR, OPERATIONS DIRECTORATE 1 DIRECTOR, PROCUREMENT DIRECTORATE 1 DIRECTOR, PRODUCT ASSURANCE DIRECTORATE 1 PATENT ADVISORS 1

#### EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

December 1976

#### 1 copy to each

OFC OF THE DIR. OF DEFENSE R&E ATTN: ASST DIRECTOR MATERIALS THE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, D.C. 20315

#### CDR

US ARMY TANK-AUTMV COMD ATTN: AMDTA-UL AMSTA-RKM MAT LAB WARREN, MICHIGAN 48090

#### CDR

PICATINNY ARSENAL ATTN: SARPA-TS-S SARPA-VP3 (PLASTICS TECH EVAL CEN) DOVER, NJ 07801

#### CDR

FRANKFORD ARSENAL ATTN: SARFA PHILADELPHIA, PA 19137

#### DIRECTOR

US ARMY BALLISTIC RSCH LABS ATTN: AMXBR-LB ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND MARYLAND 21005

#### CDR

US ARMY RSCH OFC (DURHAM) BOX CM, DUKE STATION ATTN: RDRD-IPL DURHAM, NC 27706

#### CDR

WEST POINT MIL ACADEMY ATTN: CHMN, MECH ENGR DEPT WEST POINT, NY 10996

#### CDR

HQ, US ARMY AVN SCH ATTN: OFC OF THE LIBRARIAN FT RUCKER, ALABAMA 36362 CDR US ARMY ARMT COMD ATTN: AMSAR-PPW-IR AMSAR-RD AMSAR-RDG ROCK ISLAND, IL 61201

#### CDR

US ARMY ARMT COMD FLD SVC DIV ARMCOM ARMT SYS OFC ATTN: AMSAR-ASF ROCK ISLAND, IL 61201

#### CDR

US ARMY ELCT COMD FT MONMOUTH, NJ 07703

#### CDR

REDSTONE ARSENAL ATTN: AMSMI-RRS AMSMI-RSM ALABAMA 35809

#### CDR

ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL ATTN: SARRI-RDD ROCK ISLAND, IL 61202

#### CDR

US ARMY FGN SCIENCE & TECH CEN ATTN: AMXST-SD 220 7TH STREET N.E. CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22901

#### DIRECTOR

US ARMY PDN EQ. AGENCY ATTN: AMXPE-MT ROCK ISLAND, IL 61201

### EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST (Cont)

CDR

#### 1 copy to each

US NAVAL WPNS LAB

DAHLGREN, VA 22448

ATTN: DIR. MECH DIV

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20375

CODE 26-27 (DOCU LIB.)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20375

DEFENSE METALS INFO CEN BATTELLE INSTITUTE

COLUMBUS, OHIO 43201

NASA SCIENTIFIC & TECH INFO FAC

PO BOX 8757, ATTN: ACQ BR

MANUEL E. PRADO / G. STISSER

NAVAL RSCH LAB

NAVAL RSCH LAB

MARYLAND 21240

505 KING AVE

PO BOX 808

CHIEF, MAT SCIENCE DIV

ATTN: MR. D. MALYEVAC

CDR

DIRECTOR

DIRECTOR

#### 2 copies to each

US ARMY MOB EQUIP RSCH & DEV COMD ATTN: TECH DOCU CEN FT BELVOIR, VA 22060

#### CDR

US ARMY MAT RSCH AGCY ATTN: AMXMR - TECH INFO CEN WATERTOWN, MASS 02172

CDR WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB ATTN: AFML/MXA OHIO 45433

CDR REDSTONE ARSENAL ATTN: DOCU & TECH INFO BR BALTIMORE/WASHINGTON INTL AIRPORT ALABAMA 35809

12 copies

DEFENSE DOCU CEN ATTN: DDC-TCA CAMERON STATION ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

DR. ROBERT QUATTRONE CHIEF, MAT BR US ARMY R&S GROUP, EUR BOX 65, FPO N.Y. 09510

LAWRENCE LIVERMORE LAB

LIVERMORE, CA 94550

NOTE: PLEASE NOTIFY CDR, WATERVLIET ARSENAL, ATTN: SARWV-RT-TP, WATERVLIET, N.Y. 12189, IF ANY CHANGE IS REQUIRED TO THE ABOVE.

CDR