AD-A037 078

ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY ABERDEEN PROVING GR--ETC F/G 6/6
TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT--ETC(U)
MAR 77 M H WEEKS, B J DESENA
USAEHA-51-0817-77


NL

END

DATE FILMED 4-77 ADA 037078

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM

CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT AI3-36540-a
1-(CYCLOHEXYLCARBONYL)-2,6-DIMETHYLPIPERIDINE
STUDY NUMBER 51-0817-77
OCTOBER 1975 - DECEMBER 1976



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

US ARMY
ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21010

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)			
(14)	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
USAEHA	2. GOVT ACCESSION N	(9) Kent	
1	4. TITLE (and Sublitte)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED	
(4)	Topical Hazard Evaluation Program of Candidate Insect Repellent AI3-36546-a 1-(cyclohexylcarbon) 2,6-dimethylpiperidine,	71) - Final Voct 75 - Dec 76 4	
, _		51-0817-77	
. 19	MAURICE H. WEEKS BRENDA J. DESENA	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(#)	
	9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Commander US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT HUMBERS 11 Mar 77	
	Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010		
	11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Commander	Oct 75 - Dec 76	
	US Army Health Services Command	13. NUMBER OF PAGES	
	Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office)		
	(2) 11	Unclassified	
	(12) 12p.	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING	
	16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		
	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, if different	from Report)	
	18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
		•	
	19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number 1-(cyclohexylcarbonyl)-2,6-dimethylpiperidine	eye irritation	
	AI3-36540-a	sensitization	
	Topical Hazard Evaluation candidate repellent	oral toxicity Photochemical Skin Irritation	
	A hazard evaluation of AI3-36540-a was conducted using New Zealand White rabbits for skin and eye studies; Hartley guinea pigs for a skin sensitization study; and Sprague-Dawley, Wistar-derived rats for determination of acute oral toxicity. AI3-36540-a is a potential sensitizing chemical. Technical grade compound produced severe injury to the cornea and to the conjunctiva of the rabbit and may cause similar damage if it should accidentally enter the eye of man. Ethanol solutions of this compound caused a photochemical skin irritation reaction in rabbits and may prove to be phototoxic on the skin of man.		
	DO FORM 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE	lassified	
	222150	ASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entere	

THE PERSON OF TH

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(Then Date Intered)

Based on these findings it is recommended that AI3-36540-a not be approved for further testing as a candidate insect repellent.





DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY U.S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

1 1 MAR 1977

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM OF

CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT AI3-36540-a 1-(CYCLOHEXYLCARBONYL)-2,6-DIMETHYLPIPERIDINE STUDY NUMBER 51-0817-77 OCTOBER 1975 - DECEMBER 1976

ABSTRACT

A hazard evaluation of AI3-36540-a was conducted using New Zealand White rabbits for skin and eye studies; Hartley guinea pigs for a skin sensitization study and Sprague-Dawley Wistar-derived rats for determination of acute oral toxicity. AI3-36540-a is a potential sensitizing chemical. Technical grade compound produced severe injury to the cornea and to the conjunctiva of the rabbit and may cause similar damage if it should accidentally enter the eye of man. Ethanol solutions of this compound caused a photochemical skin irritation reaction in rabbits and may prove to be phototoxic on the skin of man. Based on these findings it is recommended that AI3-36540-a not be approved for further testing as a candidate insect repellent.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY U. S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM OF

CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT AI3-36540-a
1-(CYCLOHEXYLCARBONYL)-2,6-DIMETHYLPIPERIDINE
STUDY NUMBER 51-0817-77
OCTOBER 1975 - DECEMBER 1976

1. AUTHORITY.

- a. Letter, US Department of Agriculture Agricultural Research Service, Southern Region, Insects Affecting Man Research Laboratory, Gainesville, FL, 17 October 1975.
- b. Memorandum of Understanding Between the US Department of the Army, Office of The Surgeon General, the US Army Health Services Command, The US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency, the Armed Forces Pest Control Board and the US Department of Agriculture, effective December 1970 with Amendment No. 1, effective August 1974.
- 2. REFERENCE. Toxicology Division Procedural Guide, USAEHA, 1972.
- 3. PURPOSE. The purpose of this program is to provide guidance for further entomological testing of the candidate insect repellent AI3-36540-a.
- 4. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS. A hazard evaluation of the candidate repellent AI3-36540-a[1-(cyclohexylcarbonyl)-2,6-dimethylpiperidine] was conducted by this Agency using New Zealand White rabbits for skin and eye studies, Hartley guinea pigs for a skin sensitization study and Sprague-Dawley Wistar-derived rats for determination of oral toxicity. A tabular presentation of animal toxicity data developed in this Agency follows:*

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

^{*} The experiments reported herein were conducted according to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," (1972) as prepared by the Committee on Revision of the "Guide for Laboratory Animal Facilities and Care," of the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Research Council (1972). The experiments reported herein were performed in facilities fully accredited by the American Association for the Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care.

Test	Results	Interpretation
SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES		
Rabbits		
Single 24-hour applica- tion to intact and abraded skin of New Zealand White rabbits. 0.5 ml technical grade compound applied to each of six rabbits.	Compound AI3-36540-a produced mild primary irritation of the intact skin and of the skin surrounding an abrasion.	USAEHA Catagory II (ref Appendix)
EYE IRRITATION STUDIES		
Rabbits		
Single 24-hour application of 0.1 ml technical grade compound to one eye of each of six New Zealand White rabbits.	Compound AI3-36540-a produced moderate injury to the cornea and, in addition, some injury to the conjunctiva. No signs at seven days.	USAEHA Category E (ref Appendix)
APPROXIMATE LETHAL DOSE (ALD)		
Oral		
Rats (male) - corn oil diluent.	ALD >4900 mg/kg Dosages 3300 mg/kg and higher produced nasal discharge and ruffled pelt.	Presents little lethal hazard from acute accidental ingestion.

Test

Results

Interpretation

PHOTOCHEMICAL SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES

Rabbits

A single application (0.05 ml) of a 25 percent (w/v) solution of AI3-36540-a and of a 10 percent (w/v) oil of Bergamot solution (positive control) in 95 percent ethyl alcohol were applied to the intact skin of six New Zealand White rabbits. Five minutes after application, the rabbits were exposed to UV light (365 nm) for 30 minutes from a distance of 10 -15 cm.

Application area were checked for irritation at 24, 48 and 72 hours.

Control

THE PERSON AND PARTY AND PARTY OF THE PARTY

Following UV exposure of the rabbits, 0.05 ml of test compound, positive control and diluent were applied to additional skin areas to serve as unirradiated control sites.

Application areas were checked for irritation at 24, 48 and 72 hours.

A 25 percent solution of AI3-36540-a in ethanol applied to the unoccluded skin of rabbits caused on the UV irradiated areas very slight to well defined erythema and edema in six out of six rabbits for up to two days after administration and on the unirradiated skin areas only very slight erythema in six out of six rabbits.

Positive control application and irradiation caused greater irritant effects than in unirradiated areas.

Compound AI3-36540-a
demonstrated potential
for causing increased
skin irritation following
UV irradiation and may
cause a photochemical
skin reaction in man.

Test

Results

Interpretation

SENSITIZATION STUDIES

Guinea Pigs (Male)

Intradermal injection of 0.1 ml of a 0.1 percent suspension (w/v) of AI3-36540-a or of dinitrochlorobenzene (DNCB)* in a mixture containing 1 volume of propylene glycol and 29 volumes of saline.

Ten test guinea pigs received and challenged with a 0.1 percent solution of AI3-36540-a.

Ten positive control guinea pigs received and challenged with 0.1 percent suspension of DNCB.

Ten cage control guinea pigs

Five received challenge dose of test compound without prior sensitizing dose.

Five received challenge dose of DNCB without prior sensitizing dose.

Challenge dose of test compound (last intradermal injection) produced a sensitization reaction in eight of ten guinea pigs.

Positive control (DNCB) produced a marked sensitization reaction in ten out of ten guinea pigs.

Cage control guinea pigs showed no greater reaction to test compound and DNCB than were seen in original test groups. Compound AI3-36540-a is considered a potential sensitizing compound and is expected to produce a sensitization reaction in man.

THE PARTY OF THE P

^{*} A known skin sensitizer

- 5. CONCLUSIONS. AI3-36540-a is a potential sensitizing chemical. Technical grade compound produced severe injury to the cornea and to the conjunctiva of the rabbit and may cause similar damage if it should accidentally enter the eye of man. Ethanol solutions of this compound caused a photochemical skin irritation reaction in rabbits and may prove to be phototoxic on the skin of man.
- 6. RECOMMENDATIONS. Under the provisions of Memorandum of Understanding (reference paragraph lb), it is recommended that AI3-36540-a, l-(cyclohexylcarbonyl)-2,6-dimethylpiperidine not be approved for further testing as a candidate insect repellent.

MAURICE H. WEEKS

Chief, Toxicity Evaluation Branch Toxicology Division

BRENDA J. DeSENA

PFC

Veterinary Specialist Toxicology Division

APPROVED:

ARTHUR H. McCREESH, Ph.D. Chief, Toxicology Division

BRENDAN E TOYCE DE D

LTC, MSC

THE PARTY OF THE P

Director, Laboratory Services

APPENDIX

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM DEFINITIONS OF CATEGORIES OF COMPOUNDS BEING CONSIDERED FOR ACUTE SKIN APPLICATION

CATEGORY I - Compounds producing no primary irritation of the intact skin or no greater than mild primary irritation of the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: No restriction for acute application to the human skin.)

CATEGORY II - Compounds producing mild primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should be used only on human skin found by examination to have no abrasions or may be used as a clothing impregnant.)

CATEGORY III - Compounds producing moderate primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should not be used directly on the skin without a prophetic patch test having been conducted on humans to determine irritation potential to human skin. May be used without patch testing, with extreme caution, as clothing impregnants. Compound should be resubmitted in the form and at the intended use concentration so that its irritation potential can be reexamined using other test techniques on animals.

CATEGORY IV - Compounds producing moderate to severe primary irritation of the intact skin and of the skin surrounding an abrasion and, in addition, producing necrosis, vesiculation and/or eschars. (INTERPRETATION: Should be resubmitted for testing in the form and at the intended use concentration. Upon resubmission, its irritation potential will be reexamined using other test techniques on animals. prior to possible prophetic patch testing in humans, at concentrations which have been shown not to produce primary irritation in animals.)

CATEGORY V - Compounds impossible to classify because of staining of the skin or other masking effects owing to physical properties of the compound. (INTERPRETATION: Not suitable for use on humans.)

EYE CATEGORIES:

- となっているのではない

- A. Compounds noninjurious to the eye. INTERPRETATION: Irritation of human eyes is not expected if the compound should accidentally get into the eyes, provided it is washed out as soon as possible.
- B. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes.

THE PERSON NAMED IN

- C. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea, and in addition some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes and mucosa.
- D. Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes.
- E. Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea, and in addition producing some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes and mucosa.
- F. Compounds producing severe injury to the cornea and to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution. It is recommended that use be restricted to areas other than the face.