

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Technical Information Service

AD-A036 431

DISPERSION RELATIONS AND MODE SHAPES FOR
WAVES IN LAMINATED VISCOELASTIC COMPOSITES
BY VARIATIONAL METHODS

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
CALIFORNIA

OCTOBER 1976

ADA 036431

FINAL REPORT

ONR Scientific Report
Contract report No. 26




DIVISION
OF
**APPLIED
MECHANICS**

DISPERSION RELATIONS AND MODE SHAPES FOR
WAVES IN LAMINATED VISCOELASTIC COMPOSITES
BY VARIATIONAL METHODS

DEPARTMENT
OF
**MECHANICAL
ENGINEERING**

BY

Sibrata Mukherjee and E. H. Lee



**STANFORD
UNIVERSITY**

STANFORD,
CALIFORNIA
94305

Office of Naval Research
Grant N00014-75-C-0698

SUDAM 76-4

October 1976

Approved for Public Release;
Distribution unlimited.

REPRODUCED BY
NATIONAL TECHNICAL
INFORMATION SERVICE

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER Contract No. 26	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) DISPERSION RELATIONS AND MODE SHAPES FOR WAVES IN LAMINATED VISCOELASTIC COMPOSITES BY VARIATIONAL METHODS	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED FINAL REPORT	
	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER SUDAM No. 76-4	
7. AUTHOR(s) Subrata Mukherjee and E. H. Lee	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-75-C-0698	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Stanford University Division of Applied Mechanics Department of Mechanical Engineering Stanford, California 94305	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS NR 064-471	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20025	12. REPORT DATE October 1976	
	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 34	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED	
	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) same as above		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES -0-		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Viscoelasticity , Floquet waves Composite material, Dispersion relations Wave propagation		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The propagation of oscillatory waves through periodic elastic composites has been analyzed on the basis of Floquet theory. This leads to self-adjoint differential equation systems which it has proved convenient to solve by variational methods. Many composites, such as the light-weight high-strength boron-epoxy material, consist of strong reinforcing components in a plastic matrix. The latter can exhibit viscoelastic properties which can have a significant influence on wave propagation characteristics.		

cont. next page

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE.
S/N 0102 LF 014 6601

unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

20. continued

Replacement of the elastic constant by the viscoelastic complex modulus changes the mathematical structure so that the differential equation system is no longer self-adjoint. However, a modification of the variational principles is suggested which retains formal self-adjointness, and yields variational principles which contain additional boundary terms. These are applied to the determination of wave speed and mode shapes for a laminated composite made of homogeneous elastic reinforcing plates in a homogeneous viscoelastic matrix for plane waves propagating normally to the reinforcing plates. These results agree well with the exact solution which can be evaluated in this simple case. The variational principles permit solutions for periodic, but otherwise arbitrary variation of material properties.

// unclassified

Abstract

The propagation of oscillatory waves through periodic elastic composites has been analyzed on the basis of Floquet theory. This leads to self-adjoint differential equation systems which it has proved convenient to solve by variational methods. Many composites, such as the lightweight high-strength boron-epoxy material, consist of strong reinforcing components in a plastic matrix. The latter can exhibit viscoelastic properties which can have a significant influence on wave propagation characteristics.

Replacement of the elastic constant by the viscoelastic complex modulus changes the mathematical structure so that the differential equation system is no longer self-adjoint. However, a modification of the variational principles is suggested which retains formal self-adjointness, and yields variational principles which contain additional boundary terms. These are applied to the determination of wave speeds and mode shapes for a laminated composite made of homogeneous elastic reinforcing plates in a homogeneous viscoelastic matrix for plane waves propagating normally to the reinforcing plates. These results agree well with the exact solution which can be evaluated in this simple case. The variational principles permit solutions for periodic, but otherwise arbitrary variation of material properties.

INTRODUCTION

A composite medium typically consists of a matrix material with an embedded reinforcing material in the form of fibers or laminations. A laminated composite can usually be modelled as alternating layers of matrix and filament arranged in a periodic manner, while a fiber reinforced composite can often be represented by a homogenous matrix in which a two dimensional doubly periodic array of filaments is embedded.

A convenient method for analysing wave propagation in composite media is the use of Floquet theory. This approach has been recently used by several authors [1-7] to study steady state wave propagation in periodic elastic composites. Of these, some [1-5] used variational methods while others [6-7] used direct numerical methods involving discretization of the governing differential equations and associated quasi-periodic boundary conditions.

In practice, the matrix in a composite is often a polymer which exhibits viscoelastic properties. This leads to dissipation as well as dispersion. Free wave propagation in an infinite laminated viscoelastic composite was studied by the present authors in [8] using Floquet theory and finite difference methods. In the viscoelastic case, forced steady wave propagation leads to real frequencies and complex wave numbers (attenuating waves) while free waves with no applied tractions have complex frequencies and real wave numbers (damped waves) [8].

Consideration of viscoelastic properties of the matrix leads to complex viscoelastic moduli which are functions of the frequency that can be real or complex. Even in the elastic case [1] it is convenient to utilize complex analysis to incorporate the changing phase as the

wave traverses the periodic medium, so that the displacement is expressed by

$$\tilde{u} = u(x)e^{i\omega t}$$

where ω is the frequency, t is time and $u(x)$ is complex. Application of variational methods involves integrals of the type

$$I(u_1, u_2) = \int_{-a/2}^{a/2} \left\{ -\eta(x) \frac{du_1^*}{dx} \frac{du_2}{dx} + \rho(x) \omega^2 u_1^* u_2 \right\} dx$$

where $\eta(x)$ is the elastic modulus variation, $\rho(x)$ that of the density, a is the periodic cell length and $*$ denotes the complex conjugate. For elasticity with η and frequency ω real, the integrals are Hermitian: $I(u_1, u_2) = I^*(u_2, u_1)$ and self-adjoint differential operator systems result for determining the displacement or stress fields. For viscoelasticity, when η and ω are complex, the integrals are not Hermitian since $\eta^* \neq \eta$ and the resulting differential operators are non-self-adjoint. However, if one formally bases the theory on real analysis, so that the complex conjugate sign is removed from the integral even though $u(x)$ is complex, the integral is then symmetric: $I(u_1, u_2) = I(u_2, u_1)$, and hence formally self-adjoint differential operators are generated. This is so, with $u(x)$ complex, even though the integral $I(u, u)$ is then no longer physically an energy integral and the variational principle no longer expresses Hamilton's principle. This formulation introduces an additional boundary term, so that the system is formally self adjoint: a self-adjoint operator but not with the appropriate boundary conditions. These boundary terms can be included to generate variational principles, and the ones presented here are formulated in this way.

In this paper, the strain energy [1], complimentary energy [2] and Hellinger-Reissner [3-5] variational principles are extended so that they can be used in the viscoelastic case. As an illustration, the extended version of the Hellinger-Reissner [4] variational principle is applied to the problem of free waves in an infinite one dimensional viscoelastic composite [8]. This principle is chosen because it gave very accurate results in the elastic case [4]. A composite with two homogeneous layers per cell is studied with the filament elastic and the matrix modelled as a three element solid. The Rayleigh-Ritz method is used to obtain dispersion relations and mode shapes and the results compared with the exact solution which exists in this case. For two homogeneous components the elastic solution has been obtained in closed form [9,2] and this can be adapted to the case of linear viscoelastic components. The question of rapidity of convergence to the exact solution is discussed.

The present method can be used to study wave motions in general one-dimensional periodic viscoelastic composites which otherwise exhibit arbitrary variations of material properties. Waves in fiber reinforced viscoelastic composites can be studied by using similar variational principles in two dimensions.

GOVERNING EQUATIONS

We consider wave propagation in a one dimensional laminated viscoelastic composite, and, in particular, choose a two material composite as shown in Fig. 1. This example is chosen because the variational principles to be presented in the next section can then be easily compared with those in the elastic case [1-5]. Variational principles for any general one-dimensional inhomogeneous periodic viscoelastic medium can be easily obtained by suitable modification of these principles.

The composite covers the full space $-\infty < x < \infty$. We study one-dimensional strain waves propagating in a direction x normal to the interface planes. For harmonic waves, the stress and displacement are of the form $\bar{\sigma} = \sigma(x)e^{i\omega t}$ and $\bar{u} = u(x)e^{i\omega t}$. The quantities σ and u are, in general, complex.

Within each cell, the governing differential equations are

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx} + \rho(x)\omega^2 u = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\sigma = \eta(x,\omega)\frac{du}{dx} \quad (2)$$

where $\eta = \lambda + 2\mu$ in terms of complex Lamé viscoelastic moduli of the constituent materials. The quantities η and ρ are periodic with period a

$$\eta(x+a;\omega) = \eta(x;\omega) \quad , \quad \rho(x+a) = \rho(x)$$

and within each cell they are discontinuous functions defined by

$$\eta(x;\omega) , \rho(x) = \begin{cases} \eta_1(\omega), \rho_1 & -a/2 < x < -b/2 \\ \eta_2(\omega), \rho_2 & -b/2 < x < b/2 \\ \eta_1(\omega), \rho_1 & b/2 < x < a/2 \end{cases} .$$

We note that η_1 and η_2 are, in general, complex functions of the possibly complex frequency ω .

By Floquet theory [1] the displacement and stress satisfy the quasi-periodic boundary conditions across each cell

$$u(a/2) = u(-a/2)e^{iqa} \quad (3)$$

$$\sigma(a/2) = \sigma(-a/2)e^{iqa} \quad (4)$$

where q is the wave number.

The interfaces are assumed perfectly bonded so that u and σ are continuous across them

$$u(x_0+) = u(x_0-) \quad x_0 = \pm b/2 \quad (5)$$

$$\sigma(x_0+) = \sigma(x_0-) \quad x_0 = \pm b/2 \quad (6)$$

VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLES

1. Strain energy principle

Let us choose a pair of sectionally continuous and differentiable functions u and σ which satisfy the constitutive equation (2), the quasi-periodic boundary conditions (3) and (4) and continuity condition (5). The solution (u, σ) which also satisfies the equation of motion (1) and the continuity condition (6) is given by the variational equation

$$\delta I(u) + \sigma(a/2) \delta u(a/2) (1 - e^{-2iqa}) = 0 \quad (7)$$

where

$$I(u) = \int_{-a/2}^{a/2} \left\{ -\frac{\eta(x)}{2} \left(\frac{du}{dx} \right)^2 + \frac{\rho(x)\omega^2 u^2}{2} \right\} dx.$$

Proof:

Taking variations with respect to u and after carrying out the necessary integrations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta I(u) + \sigma(a/2) \delta u(a/2) (1 - e^{-2iqa}) &= \int_{-a/2}^{a/2} \left\{ \frac{d}{dx} \left(\eta(x) \frac{du}{dx} \right) + \rho(x)\omega^2 u \right\} \delta u dx \\ &+ \left\{ \sigma(a/2) - \eta_1 \frac{du}{dx}(a/2) \right\} \delta u(a/2) \\ &+ \eta_1 \frac{du}{dx}(-a/2) \delta u(-a/2) - \sigma(a/2) \delta u(a/2) e^{-2iqa} \\ &+ \left\langle \eta(b/2) \frac{du}{dx}(b/2) \delta u(b/2) \right\rangle \\ &+ \left\langle \eta(-b/2) \frac{du}{dx}(-b/2) \delta u(-b/2) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the notation

$$\langle g(x_0) \rangle = g(x_0^+) - g(x_0^-)$$

If u and σ are restricted to the subclass of functions which satisfy equations(2), (3), (4) and (5), this expression reduces to

$$\int_{-a/2}^{a/2} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dx} + \rho(x)\omega^2 u \right) \delta u \, dx + \langle \sigma(b/2) \rangle \delta u(b/2) + \langle \sigma(-b/2) \rangle \delta u(-b/2) .$$

This expression vanishes for arbitrary δu if and only if u and σ satisfy equations (1) and (6).

2. Complementary energy principle

Let us choose a pair of sectionally continuous and differentiable functions u and σ which satisfy the equation of motion (1), the quasi-periodic boundary conditions (3) and (4) and continuity condition (6). The solution (u, σ) which also satisfies the constitutive equation (2) and the continuity condition (5) is given by the variational equation

$$\delta J(\sigma) + u(a/2) \delta \sigma(a/2) (1 - e^{-2iqa}) = 0 \quad (8)$$

where

$$J(\sigma) = \int_{-a/2}^{a/2} \left\{ -\frac{\sigma^2}{2\eta(x)} + \frac{(\sigma')^2}{2\rho(x)\omega^2} \right\} dx$$

and the prime denotes differentiation with respect to x .

Proof:

Taking variations with respect to σ and after carrying out the necessary integrations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta J(\sigma) + u(a/2)\delta\sigma(a/2)[1-e^{-2iqa}] &= \int_{-a/2}^{a/2} - \left\{ \frac{\sigma}{\eta(x)} + \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{\sigma'}{\rho(x)\omega^2} \right) \right\} \delta\sigma \, dx \\
 &+ \left(u(a/2) + \frac{\sigma'(a/2)}{\rho_1\omega^2} \right) \delta\sigma(a/2) \\
 &- \frac{\sigma'(-a/2)}{\rho_1\omega^2} \delta\sigma(-a/2) - u(a/2)\delta\sigma(a/2)e^{-2iqa} \\
 &- \left\langle \frac{\sigma'(b/2)}{\rho(b/2)\omega^2} \delta\sigma(b/2) \right\rangle \\
 &- \left\langle \frac{\sigma'(-b/2)}{\rho(-b/2)\omega^2} \delta\sigma(-b/2) \right\rangle .
 \end{aligned}$$

If u and σ are restricted to the subclass of functions which satisfy equations (1), (3), (4) and (6), this expression reduces to

$$\int_{-a/2}^{a/2} \left(\frac{du}{dx} - \frac{\sigma}{\eta(x)} \right) \delta\sigma \, dx + \langle u(b/2) \rangle \delta\sigma(b/2) + \langle u(-b/2) \rangle \delta\sigma(-b/2) .$$

This expression vanishes for arbitrary $\delta\sigma$ if and only if u and σ satisfy equations (2) and (5).

3. Hellinger-Reisner principle.

Let us choose a pair of sectionally continuous and differentiable functions u and σ which satisfy the quasi-periodic boundary conditions (3) and (4) and continuity condition (5). The solution (u, σ) which also satisfies the equation of motion (1), the constitutive equation (2) and continuity condition (6) is given by the variational equation

$$\delta K(u, \sigma) + \sigma(a/2)\delta u(a/2)(1-e^{-2iqa}) = 0 \tag{9}$$

where
$$K(u, \sigma) = \int_{-a/2}^{a/2} \left(-\sigma \frac{du}{dx} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2\eta(x)} + \frac{\rho(x)\omega^2 u^2}{2} \right) dx .$$

Proof

Taking variations with respect to u and σ and after carrying out the necessary integrations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta K(u, \sigma) + \sigma(a/2)\delta u(a/2)(1 - e^{-2iqa}) &= \int_{-a/2}^{a/2} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dx} + \rho(x)\omega^2 u \right) \delta u \, dx + \int_{-a/2}^{a/2} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\eta(x)} - \frac{du}{dx} \right) \delta \sigma \, dx \\ &+ \sigma(-a/2)\delta u(-a/2) - \sigma(a/2)\delta u(a/2)e^{-2iqa} \\ &+ \langle \sigma(b/2)\delta u(b/2) \rangle + \langle \sigma(-b/2)\delta u(-b/2) \rangle . \end{aligned}$$

If u and σ are restricted to the subclass of functions which satisfy equations (3), (4) and (5), this expression reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-a/2}^{a/2} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dx} + \rho(x)\omega^2 u \right) \delta u \, dx + \int_{-a/2}^{a/2} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\eta(x)} - \frac{du}{dx} \right) \delta \sigma \, dx + \langle \sigma(b/2) \rangle \delta u(b/2) \\ + \langle \sigma(-b/2) \rangle \delta u(-b/2) . \end{aligned}$$

This expression vanishes for arbitrary δu and $\delta \sigma$ if and only if u and σ satisfy equations (1), (2) and (6).

4. A general variational principle

The variational equation

$$\begin{aligned} \delta [K(u, \sigma) + L_b + L_{-b}] + \sigma(a/2) \{ \delta u(a/2) - \delta u(-a/2) e^{-iqa} \} \\ + \{ u(a/2) e^{-iqa} - u(-a/2) \} \delta \sigma(a/2) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where

$$L_b = -\frac{1}{2}[\sigma(b^-/2) + \sigma(b^+/2)] \langle u(b/2) \rangle$$

$$L_{-b} = -\frac{1}{2}[\sigma(-b^-/2) + \sigma(-b^+/2)] \langle u(-b/2) \rangle$$

and $K(u, \sigma)$ is defined in equation (9) is completely equivalent to the original boundary-value problem (1)-(6).

Proof.

Taking variations with respect to u and σ and after carrying out the necessary integrations, we obtain

$$\int_{-a/2}^{a/2} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dx} + \rho(x)\omega^2 u \right) \delta u \, dx + \int_{-a/2}^{a/2} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\eta(x)} - \frac{du}{dx} \right) \delta \sigma \, dx$$

$$+ \{u(a/2)e^{-iqa} - u(-a/2)\} \delta \sigma(a/2) - \{\sigma(a/2)e^{-iqa} - \sigma(-a/2)\} \delta u(-a/2)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \langle u(b/2) \rangle \{\delta \sigma(b^+/2) + \delta \sigma(b^-/2)\} - \frac{1}{2} \langle u(-b/2) \rangle \{\delta \sigma(-b^+/2) + \delta \sigma(-b^-/2)\}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \langle \sigma(b/2) \rangle \{\delta u(b^+/2) + \delta u(b^-/2)\} + \frac{1}{2} \langle \sigma(-b/2) \rangle \{\delta u(-b^+/2) + \delta u(-b^-/2)\} .$$

This expression vanishes for arbitrary δu and $\delta \sigma$ if and only if u and σ satisfy the complete set of equations (1)-(6).

A NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

1. The problem and method of solution.

As an illustration, we consider an application of the extended version of the Hellinger-Reissner principle (9). This principle offers greater flexibility than the strain energy or complementary energy principles and Nemat-Nasser [4] used its elastic analog to obtain very accurate results for wave propagation in elastic composites. The general variational principle (10) is not used since, in the one-dimensional case, it is easy to choose test functions for u and σ which are continuous and satisfy the quasi-periodic boundary conditions (3) and (4). The two-dimensional version of (10) should prove very useful for study of wave propagation in fiber reinforced viscoelastic composites.

The exact dispersion relation for this problem was obtained by Sun, Achenbach and Herrmann [9]

$$\cos qa = \cos\left(\frac{\omega(a-b)}{c_1}\right)\cos\left(\frac{\omega b}{c_2}\right) - \frac{1+p^2}{2p} \sin\left(\frac{\omega(a-b)}{c_1}\right)\sin\left(\frac{\omega b}{c_2}\right) \quad (11)$$

where $c_1^2 = \eta_1/\rho_1$, $c_2^2 = \eta_2/\rho_2$ and $p^2 = \rho_2\eta_2/\rho_1\eta_1$

The exact equation for the mode shape is given in [2].

We note that since we are studying free waves in an infinite viscoelastic composite η_1 is a complex function of the complex frequency ω . The wave number q is real.

We obtain approximate solutions for various numbers of terms in series expansions for u and σ and compare these with the exact solution. This tests the efficiency of the variational principle (9).

We define dimensionless quantities

$$X = x/a , \quad U(X) = u(x)/a , \quad S(X) = \sigma(x)/\eta_2$$

$$Q = qa , \quad \bar{K}(U,S) = K(u,\sigma)/\eta_2 a ,$$

$$\Omega = a^2 \rho_1 \omega^2 / \eta_2 , \quad \theta = \rho_2 / \rho_1 , \quad \xi = \eta_2 / \eta_1 ,$$

$$\alpha = b/a .$$

In terms of these, equation (9) becomes

$$\delta \bar{K}(U,S) + S(1/2) \delta U(1/2)(1 - e^{-2iQ}) = 0 \quad (12)$$

where

$$\bar{K}(U,S) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \left(-S \frac{dU}{dX} + \frac{S^2}{2} \frac{\eta_2}{\eta(X)} + \frac{\rho(X) U^2 \Omega}{\rho_1} \right) dX .$$

We expand U and S in series

$$U = \sum_{j=-n}^n C_j U_j(X) = \sum_{j=-n}^n C_j e^{i(Q+2\pi j)X}$$
$$S = \sum_{k=-n}^n D_k S_k(X) = \sum_{k=-n}^n D_k e^{i(Q+2\pi k)X} \quad (13)$$

It is clear that U and S satisfy (3), (4), (5) and (6).

Substituting (13) into (12) and after performing the necessary integrations, we obtain

$$\bar{K}(U,S) = \sum_{j,k=-n}^n (C_j C_k I_{jk}^u + D_j D_k I_{jk}^s - C_j D_k I_{jk}^{su})$$

$$S(1/2)U(1/2)(1-e^{-2iQ}) = \sum_{j,k=-n}^n J_{jk}^{su} D_k \delta C_j \quad (14)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{jk}^u &= \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \frac{\rho(X)}{\rho_1} \frac{\Omega}{2} U_j(X) U_k(X) dX \\ &= \frac{\Omega [(-1)^{j+k} \sin Q + (\theta-1) \sin(\alpha(Q+\pi(j+k)))]}{2(Q+\pi(j+k))} \quad \text{if } Q \neq -\pi(j+k) \\ &= \frac{\Omega(1+\alpha(\theta-1))}{2} \quad \text{if } Q = -\pi(j+k) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{jk}^s &= \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \frac{\eta_2}{2\eta(X)} S_j(X) S_k(X) dX \\ &= \frac{\sin(\alpha(Q+\pi(j+k))) + \xi [(-1)^{j+k} \sin Q - \sin(\alpha(Q+\pi(j+k)))]}{2(Q+\pi(j+k))} \quad \text{if } Q \neq -\pi(j+k) \\ &= \frac{\alpha + \xi(1-\alpha)}{2} \quad \text{if } Q = -\pi(j+k) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \underline{I}_{jk}^{su} &= \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \frac{dU_j(x)}{dx} S_k(x) dx \\
 &= \frac{(-1)^{j+k} i(Q+2\pi j) \sin Q}{Q+\pi(j+k)} && \text{if } Q \neq -\pi(j+k) \\
 &= i(Q+2\pi j) && \text{if } Q = -\pi(j+k) \\
 \underline{J}_{jk}^{su} &= (1-e^{-2iQ}) e^{i(Q+\pi(j+k))} .
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking variations and equating the coefficients of δC_j and δD_k to zero, we obtain the matrix equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2\underline{I}^u & \underline{J}^{su} - \underline{I}^{su} \\ -(\underline{I}^{su})^T & 2\underline{I}^s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{C} \\ \underline{D} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

The matrices \underline{I}^u , \underline{I}^s , \underline{J}^{su} and \underline{I}^{su} are each of order $m \times m$ (where $m = 2n+1$). The elements of \underline{I}^u and \underline{I}^s are functions of the complex frequency ω through Ω and η . Thus, for any given wave number Q , the frequency ω can be obtained by solving the equation

$$\det[\underline{N}(\omega, q)] = 0 \quad (16)$$

where \underline{N} is a $2m \times 2m$ matrix

$$\underline{N} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 2\underline{I}^u & \underline{J}^{su} - \underline{I}^{su} \\ -(\underline{I}^{su})^T & 2\underline{I}^s \end{bmatrix}$$

Once an eigenvalue ω is known, the corresponding eigenvector and hence the mode shapes U and S can be easily calculated.

In order to proceed further, we must assume a functional form for $\xi(\omega)$. We assume, as in [8] that the matrix is a standard linear solid and the filament is elastic, so that

$$\eta_1(s) = \frac{\eta_1^R + s \tau_1 \eta_1^G}{1 + s \tau_1}, \quad \eta_2(s) = \eta_2^G \quad (17)$$

where $s = i\omega$, η_1^R and η_1^G are the "rubbery" (at long time) and "glassy" (at short time) moduli respectively and τ_1 is the relaxation time of the matrix material. The filament is elastic so that η_2 is independent of ω and is real.

The standard linear solid is used as an example. The method applies to any linear viscoelastic material as long as $\eta_1(\omega)$ and $\eta_2(\omega)$ are known.

We define some further dimensionless quantities

$$\eta^G = \eta_2^G / \eta_1^G, \quad \delta_1 = \eta_1^R / \eta_1^G \quad (18)$$

$$t_1 = c_1^G \tau_1 / a, \quad s' = sa / c_1^G$$

$$\hat{\omega} = -i s' \left[\frac{1 - (1 - \theta)\alpha}{1 - (1 - \eta^G)\alpha} \right]^{1/2}$$

where $c_1^G = \sqrt{\eta_1^G / \rho_1}$ is a reference phase velocity.

In terms of these

$$\xi(s) = \frac{\eta^G (1 + s' t_1)}{(\delta_1 + s' t_1)} \quad (19)$$

$$\Omega(s) = -(s')^2 / \eta^G .$$

We now rewrite the matrix $\underline{N}(s)$ in order to show the frequency dependence explicitly. Thus, equation (16) becomes

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} \underline{A}s'^2 & \underline{B} \\ -\underline{E} & \underline{G} + \underline{F} \frac{(1+s't_1)}{(\delta_1 + s't_1)} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad (20)$$

where \underline{A} , \underline{B} , \underline{E} , \underline{F} , \underline{G} are $(m \times m)$ matrices given by

$$\begin{aligned} A_{jk} &= - \frac{[(-1)^{j+k} \sin Q + (\theta-1) \sin\{\alpha(Q+\pi(j+k))\}]}{\eta^G(Q+\pi(j+k))} && \text{if } Q \neq -\pi(j+k) \\ &= - \frac{(1+\alpha(\theta-1))}{\eta^G} && \text{if } Q = -\pi(j+k) \end{aligned}$$

$$B_{jk} = J_{jk}^{su} - I_{jk}^{su}$$

$$E_{jk} = I_{kj}^{su}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{jk} &= \frac{\eta^G [(-1)^{j+k} \sin Q - \sin\{\alpha(Q+\pi(j+k))\}]}{Q+\pi(j+k)} && \text{if } Q \neq -\pi(j+k) \\ &= \eta^G(1-\alpha) && \text{if } Q = -\pi(j+k) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{jk} &= \frac{\sin\{\alpha(Q+\pi(j+k))\}}{Q+\pi(j+k)} && \text{if } Q \neq -\pi(j+k) \\ &= \alpha && \text{if } Q = -\pi(j+k) . \end{aligned}$$

The elements of \underline{A} , \underline{B} , \underline{E} , \underline{F} and \underline{G} are known once the wave number and the other parameters of the problem are specified. Equation (20) represents a nonlinear eigenvalue problem since many of the elements of \underline{N}

are nonlinear functions of s . It is possible to reduce this to a standard eigenvalue problem so that s' is an eigenvalue of a new matrix \underline{M} of order $3m \times 3m$. This increases the size of the problem but we can now use any of the standard computer algorithms for determination of eigenvalues of a matrix.

We observe that

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} \underline{M}_{11} & \vdots & \underline{M}_{12} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \underline{M}_{21} & \vdots & \underline{M}_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \det[\underline{M}_{11}\underline{M}_{22} - \underline{M}_{11}\underline{M}_{21}\underline{M}_{11}^{-1}\underline{M}_{12}]$$

if the matrices are conformable and \underline{M}_{11}^{-1} exists, so that equation (20) can be expanded as

$$\det[\underline{I} s'^3 + \underline{P} s'^2 + \underline{H} s' + \underline{\gamma H}] = 0 \quad (21)$$

where

$$\underline{P} = \underline{R}^{-1}(\underline{F} + \underline{G}\delta_1)$$

$$\underline{H} = t_1 \underline{R}^{-1} \underline{E} \underline{A}^{-1} \underline{B}$$

$$\underline{\gamma} = \delta_1 / t_1$$

$$\underline{R} = t_1 (\underline{G} + \underline{F}) ,$$

and \underline{I} is the unit matrix.

Now s' is an eigenvalue of a $3m \times 3m$ matrix \underline{M} defined as

$$\underline{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{0} & \vdots & \underline{I} & \vdots & \underline{0} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \underline{0} & \vdots & \underline{0} & \vdots & \underline{I} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\underline{\gamma H} & \vdots & -\underline{H} & \vdots & -\underline{P} \end{bmatrix} . \quad (22)$$

This can be easily proved by expanding the equation

$$\det[\underline{M} - \underline{I} s'] = 0 \quad . \quad (23)$$

2. Results and conclusions.

Numerical calculations are carried out for the following values of the parameters [8]

$$n^G = 4 \quad \text{and} \quad 50 \quad \theta = 3$$

$$\delta_1 = .70 \quad t_1 = .0455 \quad \alpha = .5$$

$$m = 7 \quad \text{and} \quad 11$$

The wave number Q is specified and only the range $0 \leq Q \leq \pi$ needs to be studied [10] because the Floquet form of the solution is not uniquely determined.

The dispersion curves are obtained by calculating the eigenvalues of \underline{M} (equation (22)) using an IBM 360/67 computer. A standard QR algorithm is used. Once the frequency is known for a certain Q we calculate the corresponding eigenvector of the matrix \underline{N} (equations 15, 20). Now the mode shape U and S are obtained from equation (13).

Figures 2, 3, 4 and 5 show the real and imaginary parts of the frequency $\hat{\omega}$ as a function of the wave number Q for the first 5 modes. The approximate solutions for $m = 7$ and 11 are compared with the exact solution obtained from (11). The agreement is excellent with $m = 11$ for the first two modes but the approximate solutions become progressively inaccurate for higher modes. The maximum error in the real part of the frequency for $m = 11$ is of the order of 10% and occurs for the fifth

mode for $Q = \pi$. The error is much higher for the imaginary part of the frequency for the fifth mode. We recall that $\hat{\omega}_I$ determines the rate of exponential decay in time.

A comparison with [8] shows that in this case the finite difference scheme is more efficient. Also, a comparison with [4] shows that the Hellinger-Reissner principle does not work as efficiently as it does in the elastic case. The fundamental mode, however, is obtained very accurately with $m = 7$ and this mode dominates since the higher modes decay faster.

Mode shapes are shown in Figs. 6 and 7. The real parts of the displacement and stress are shown for the fundamental mode for $Q = \pi/2$. The normalizing constant is chosen as in [8] so that $U(0) = 1 + 0i$. The results for $m = 11$ are in excellent agreement with the exact solution even though the stress and displacement are expanded in series of smooth functions (13) while the solutions contain sharp discontinuities in slope at the interfaces. The stress solution is more accurate than in [8] because here it is obtained independently rather than being obtained from U by numerical differentiation.

The Hellinger-Reissner principle enables us to obtain continuity of both stress and displacement across the interface while if the strain energy principle is used together with a smooth displacement field a stress discontinuity results [1].

To sum up, this paper presents some variational principles which can be used to study wave propagation in laminated viscoelastic composites. A numerical example gives encouraging results. These principles can be easily extended to higher dimensions to study wave propagation in fiber reinforced viscoelastic composites.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by the Office of Naval Research under Contract N0001475-C-0698 with Stanford University, Stanford, California.

REFERENCES

1. W. Kohn, J.A. Krumhansl and E.H. Lee, Variational methods for dispersion relations and elastic properties of composite materials. J. Appl. Mech. 39, 327 (1972).
2. E.H. Lee, A survey of variational methods for elastic wave propagation analysis in composites with periodic structures, Dynamics of Composite Materials, ASME 122 (1972).
3. S. Nemat Nasser, General variational methods for waves in elastic composites, Jn. Elasticity 2, 73 (1972).
4. S. Nemat Nasser, Harmonic waves in layered composites. J. Appl. Mech. 39, 850 (1972).
5. S. Nemat Nasser and F.C.L. Fu, Harmonic waves in layered composites: Bounds on frequencies. J. Appl. Mech. 41, 288 (1974).
6. W.H. Yang and E.H. Lee, Modal analysis of Floquet waves in composite material, Jn. Appl. Mech. 41, 429 (1974).
7. G.H. Golub, L. Jennings and W.H. Yang, Waves in periodically structured medium. To appear.
8. S. Mukherjee and E.H. Lee, Dispersion relations and mode shapes for waves in laminated viscoelastic composites by finite difference methods. Jn. Computers and Structures, 5, 279 (1975).
9. C.T. Sun, J.D. Achenbach and G. Herrmann, Continuum theory for a laminated medium, Jn. Appl. Mech. 35, 467 (1968).
10. E.H. Lee and W. Yang, On waves in composite materials with periodic structure, SIAM Jn. Appl. Math. 25, 492 (1973).






Figure 1. Composite Cell.



————— EXACT SOLUTION
 - - - - - 11 PLANE WAVES
 - · - · - 7 PLANE WAVES

Figure 2. Dispersion Curves $\hat{\omega}_R$ versus Q


$n^G = 4$ $\theta = 3$ $c = .5$ $\delta_1 = .70$ $t_1 = .0455$



————— EXACT SOLUTION
 - - - - - II PLANE WAVES
 - · - · - 7 PLANE WAVES

Figure 3. Dispersion Curves $\hat{\omega}_R$ versus Q

$\eta^G = 50$ $\theta = 3$ $\alpha = .5$ $\delta_1 = .70$ $t_1 = .0425$



_____ EXACT SOLUTION
 ----- 11 PLANE WAVES
 - · - · - 7 PLANE WAVES

Figure 4. Damping Curves $\hat{\omega}_I$ versus Q
 $\eta^G = 4$ $\theta = 3$ $\alpha = .5$ $\delta_1 = .7$ $t_1 = .0455$





Figure 5. Damping Curves $\hat{\omega}_I$ versus Q


$\eta^G = 50$ $\theta = 3$ $\alpha = .5$ $\delta_1 = .7$ $t_1 = .0455$



————— EXACT SOLUTION
 - - - - - II PLANE WAVES
 - · - · - 7 PLANE WAVES

Figure 6. Displacement and Stress Distribution for the First Mode

$$\eta^G = 4 \quad \theta = 3 \quad \alpha = .5 \quad Q = \pi/2$$



- EXACT SOLUTION
- II PLANE WAVES
- · - · - · 7 PLANE WAVES

Figure 7. Displacement and Stress Distribution for the First Mode

$$\eta^G = 50 \quad \theta = 3 \quad \alpha = .5 \quad Q = \pi/2$$