

AD-A036 356

NORTH CAROLINA UNIV AT CHAPEL HILL INST OF STATISTICS

F/G 12/1

INVARIANCE PRINCIPLES FOR THE COUPON COLLECTOR'S PROBLEM: A MAR--ETC(U)

DEC 76 P K SEN

AF-AFOSR-2736-74

UNCLASSIFIED

MIMEO SER-1097


AFOSR-TR-77-0107

NL

1 OF 1  
ADA036356



END  
DATE  
FILMED  
3-77





ADA 036356

# THE INSTITUTE OF STATISTICS

THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA



DDC  
RECEIVED  
MAR 4 1977  
C

Approved for public release;  
Distribution unlimited.

DEPARTMENT OF BIostatISTICS  
Chapel Hill, North Carolina

AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (AFSC)  
NOTICE OF TRANSMITTAL TO DDC

This technical report has been reviewed and is  
approved for public release IAW AFR 190-12 (7b).  
Distribution is unlimited.

A. D. BLOSE  
Technical Information Officer

19 REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
18 1 REPORT NUMBER AFOSR - TR-77-0107	2 GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3 RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 9	
4 TITLE (and Subtitle) INVARIANCE PRINCIPLES FOR THE COUPON COLLECTOR'S PROBLEM: A MARTINGALE APPROACH.		5 TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Interim rept., Interim	
7 AUTHOR(s) Pranab Kumar/Sen		6 PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
9 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS University of North Carolina Department of Biostatistics Chapel Hill, NC 27514		8 CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) 15 AF-AFOSR 74-2736-74	
11 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Air Force Office of Scientific Research/NM Bldg 410 Bolling AFB, Washington, DC 20332		10 PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 61102F 2304/A5	
14 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) 14 Mimeo Ser-1097		12 REPORT DATE December 1976	
		13 NUMBER OF PAGES 21	
16 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		15 SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED	
17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		15a DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
18 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Bonus sums, coupon collector's situation, finite-dimensional distributions, Gaussian functions, invariance principles, martingales, tightness, waiting times.			
20 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) For the coupon collector's problem, invariance principles for the partial se- quence of bonus sums after n coupons as well as for the waiting times to obtain the bonus sum t are studied through a construction of a triangular array of martingales related to these sequences and verifying the invariance principles for these martingales. This approach provides simpler and neater proofs than given in Rosen (1969, 1970) and strengthens his convergence of finite dimen- sional results to those of weak invariance principles.			



\*  
Work partially supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research,  
A.F.S.C., U.S.A.F., Contract No. AFOSR-74-2736.

6

AD'D'C  
RECORDED  
MAR 4 1977  
INDEXED  
C

INVARIANCE PRINCIPLES FOR THE COUPON COLLECTOR'S PROBLEM:  
A MARTINGALE APPROACH\*

by

Pranab Kumar Sen

Department of Biostatistics  
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

X Institute of Statistics Mimeo Series No. 1097 ✓

December 1976

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAR 4 1977	
FBI - MEMPHIS	
BY	REVISION
CONTRIBUTION	AVAILABILITY
DISC.	AVAIL. AND/OR SPEC.
A	

INVARIANCE PRINCIPLES FOR THE COUPON COLLECTOR'S PROBLEM:  
A MARTINGALE APPROACH\*

Pranab Kumar Sen  
University of North Carolina

ABSTRACT

For the coupon collector's problem, invariance principles for the partial sequence of bonus sums after  $n$  coupons as well as for the waiting times to obtain the bonus sum  $t$  are studied through a construction of a triangular array of martingales related to these sequences and verifying the invariance principles for these martingales. This approach provides simpler and neater proofs than given in Rosen (1969, 1970) and strengthens his convergence of finite dimensional results to those of weak invariance principles.

AMS 1970 Classification Nos: 60F05, 60G45, 62D05.

Key Words and Phrases: Bonus Sums, coupon collector's situation, finite-dimensional distributions, Gaussian functions, invariance principles, martingales, tightness, waiting times.

---

\*Work partially supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, A.F.S.C., U.S.A.F., Contract No. AFOSR-74-2736.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a sequence  $\{\Omega_N, N \geq 1\}$  of *Coupon collector's situations*

$$(1.1) \quad \Omega_N = \{(a_N(1), p_N(1)), \dots, (a_N(N), p_N(N))\}, \quad N \geq 1,$$

where  $a_N(s)$  and  $p_N(s) (>0)$  are real numbers and  $\sum_{s=1}^N p_N(s) = 1$ . Consider also a (double) sequence  $\{I_{Nk}, k \geq 1\}$  of (row-wise) independent and identically distributed random variables (i.i.d.r.v.), where for each  $N$ ,

$$(1.2) \quad P\{I_{Nk} = s\} = p_N(s), \quad 1 \leq s \leq N.$$

Let then

$$(1.3) \quad Y_{Nk} = \begin{cases} a_N(I_{Nk}), & \text{if } I_{Nk} \notin (I_{N1}, \dots, I_{Nk-1}), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise, for } k \geq 1; \end{cases}$$

$$(1.4) \quad Z_{Nn} = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_{Ni}, \quad k \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad Z_{N0} = Y_{N0} = 0.$$

Then,  $Z_{Nn}$  is termed the *bonus sum after n coupons* in the collector's situation  $\Omega_N$ . If the  $a_N(s)$  are all non-negative,  $Z_{Nn}$  is non-decreasing in  $n(\geq 0)$ , and, for every  $t \geq 0$ , let

$$(1.5) \quad U_N(t) = \max\{k: Z_{Nk} \leq t\}.$$

Then,  $U_N(t)$  is termed the *waiting time to obtain the bonus sum t in the coupon collector's situation  $\Omega_N$* .

For an arbitrary positive integer  $b$  and  $\{n_{1N} < \dots < n_{bN}\}$  satisfying  $0 < \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} n_{1N} \leq \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} n_{bN} < \infty$ , under certain regularity conditions, Rosen (1969) has established (by very elaborate analysis) the asymptotic (multi)normality of (the standardized form of)  $\{Z_{Nn_{1N}}, \dots, Z_{Nn_{bN}}\}$ ; in a



follow up ([6]), he has studied parallel results for  $\{U_{Nt}\}$ . The object of the present investigation is to propose and formulate an alternative approach to this problem based on the weak convergence of a suitably constructed martingale sequence associated with the  $Z_{Nn}$ . This provides a simpler, shorter and neater proof of the aforesaid normality and also an invariance principle for the partial sequence  $\{Z_{Nk}, k \leq n\}$  as well as for the corresponding sequence of waiting times. The basic regularity conditions are outlined in Section 2. Asymptotic normality of  $Z_{Nn}$  is established in Section 3 with the aid of some recently developed martingale central limit theorems, and the multi-normality extension is presented in Section 4. Section 5 is devoted to the (weak) invariance principle for  $\{Z_{Nk}, k \leq n\}$  and allied results for the sequence  $\{U_{Nt}, t \geq 0\}$  are studied in Section 6. A few remarks are made in the concluding section.

## 2. PRELIMINARY NOTIONS

Note that by (1.2)-(1.4), for every  $N(\geq 1)$ ,

$$(2.1) \quad EY_{Nk} = \sum_{s=1}^N a_N(s) p_N(s) [1-p_N(s)]^{k-1}, \quad k \geq 1, \quad EY_{N0} = 0;$$

$$(2.2) \quad \phi_{Nn}^* = EZ_{Nn} = \sum_{s=1}^N a_N(s) \{1[1-p_N(s)]^n\}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad EZ_{N0} = 0.$$

Let us denote by

$$(2.3) \quad \phi_{Nn} = \sum_{s=1}^N a_N(s) [1-e^{-np_N(s)}], \quad n \geq 0,$$

$$(2.4) \quad d_{Nn}^2 = \sum_{s=1}^N a_N^2(s) e^{-np_N(s)} (1 - e^{-np_N(s)}) - n \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^N a_N(s) p_N(s) e^{-np_N(s)} \right\}^2, \quad n \geq 0;$$

$$(2.5) \quad A_{Nr} = N^{-1} \sum_{s=1}^N |a_N(s)|^r, \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

We assume that

$$(2.6) \quad \sup_N \left\{ \max_{1 \leq s \leq N} N p_N(s) \right\} \leq M_1 < \infty;$$

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \max_{1 \leq s \leq N} |a_N(s)| / N^{\frac{1}{2}} A_{N2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} = 0;$$

$$(2.8) \quad \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \left( \sum_{s=1}^N a_N^2(s) p_N(s) \right) / A_{N2} \right] \geq M_2 > 0.$$

Note that  $x e^{-x} \leq e^{-1}$ ,  $\forall x > 0$  and for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq e^{-nx} - (1-x)^n \leq n x^2 e^{-nx}$ .

Hence, from (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} |\phi_{Nn}^* - \phi_{Nn}| &= \left| \sum_{s=1}^N a_N(s) p_N(s) \left[ e^{-np_N(s)} - \{1 - p_N(s)\}^n \right] \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{s=1}^N |a_N(s)| p_N(s) \{ n p_N(s) e^{-np_N(s)} \} \leq e^{-1} M_1 A_{N1}, \quad \forall n \geq 0, N \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, if the  $a_N(s)$  are all non-negative then  $\phi_{Nn}^* \geq \phi_{Nn}$ . Also, noting that  $e^{-x}(1-e^{-x}) \leq x$ ,  $\forall x \geq 0$ , we obtain from (2.4) that

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} d_{Nn}^2 &\leq \sum_{s=1}^N a_N^2(s) e^{-np_N(s)} [1 - e^{-np_N(s)}] \\ &\leq n \sum_{s=1}^N a_N^2(s) p_N(s) \leq n M_1 A_{N2} = o(n A_{N2}), \quad \forall n \geq 1, N \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Further, using the fact that for  $0 < x \leq 1$ ,  $(1 - e^{-nx}) = (1 - e^{-x}) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-kx} > x(1 - \frac{1}{2}x) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-kx}$ , we obtain that for  $N > \frac{1}{2} M_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{Nn}^2 &\geq (1 - \frac{1}{2}M_1/N) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^n a_N^2(s) p_N(s) e^{-(n+k)p_N(s)} - \left( \sum_{s=1}^N a_N(s) p_N(s) e^{-np_N(s)} \right)^2 \right\} \\
 (2.11) \quad &\geq (1 - \frac{1}{2}N^{-1}M_1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^N a_N^2(s) p_N(s) e^{-(n+k)p_N(s)} \left[ 1 - e^{-(n-k)p_N(s)} \right] \right\} \\
 &\geq (1 - \frac{1}{2}N^{-1}M_1) e^{-(2n-1)M_1/2N} \left[ (n-1)/2 \right] \sum_{s=1}^N a_N^2(s) p_N(s) \left[ 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}(n+1)p_N(s)} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, by (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8),  $A_{N2}^{-1} \sum_{\{s: p_N(s) > \epsilon/N\}} a_N^2(s) p_N(s) \geq A_{N2}^{-1} \sum_{s=1}^N a_N^2(s) p_N(s) - \epsilon$   
 $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , and noting that for  $p_N(s) > \epsilon/N$  and  $n/N > \eta > 0$ ,  $1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}(n+1)p_N(s)} \geq c(\epsilon, \eta) > 0$ ,  
 we obtain from (2.11) that if

$$(2.12) \quad 0 < \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1}n \leq \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1}n < \infty,$$

then  $\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} (d_{Nn}^2/nA_{N2}) > 0$ . Thus, we have

$$(2.13) \quad 0 < \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} (d_{Nn}^2/nA_{N2}) \leq \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} (d_{Nn}^2/nA_{N2}) < \infty,$$

when (2.6)-(2.8) and (2.12) hold.

We are primarily concerned here with the limiting behavior of the partial sequence  $d_{Nn}^{-1}(Z_{Nk} - \phi_{Nk}; k \leq n)$ . Since  $d_{Nn}^{-1}a_N(s)$ ,  $1 \leq s \leq N$  remain invariant under any scalar multiplication, we may without any loss of generality set

$$(2.14) \quad A_{N2} = N^{-1} \sum_{s=1}^N a_N^2(s) \sim 1, \text{ for every } N.$$

Then, by (2.9), (2.13) and (2.14), we have  $d_{Nn}^{-1} \left\{ \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\phi_{Nk}^* - \phi_{Nk}| \right\} \rightarrow 0$ , so that, we may equivalently consider the partial sequence  $d_{Nn}^{-1}(Z_{Nk} - \phi_{Nk}; k \leq n)$ . In the remaining of this section, we consider a basic lemma, to be used repeatedly in subsequent sections. Let  $Q_{Nk} = p_N(I_{NR})$ ,  $k \geq 1$  and let  $g_{Nu}(Y_{Nk}, Q_{Nk})$ ,  $u = 1, \dots, p(\geq 2)$  be such that

$$(2.15) \quad \max_{1 \leq u \leq p} \left\{ \max_{1 \leq s \leq N} |g_{Nu}(a_N(s), p_N(s))| \right\} \leq M_{N,3}, \quad \sup_N M_{N,3} < \infty,$$

$$(2.16) \quad \max_{1 \leq u \leq p} \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^N |g_{Nu}(a_N(s), p_N(s))| \right\} \leq M_{N,4}, \quad \sup_N N^{-1} M_{N,4} < \infty.$$

Note that (2.15) and (2.16) insure that

$$(2.17) \quad \max_{1 \leq u \leq u' \leq p} \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^N |g_{Nu_1}(a_N(s), p_N(s)) g_{Nu_2}(a_N(s), p_N(s))| \right\} \\ \leq M_{N,5} \quad \text{where} \quad M_{N,5} \leq M_{N,3} M_{N,4}.$$

Lemma 2.1. Under (2.6), (2.15) and (2.16), for every  $0 = v_0 < v_1 < \dots < v_p \leq n$ ,

$$(2.18) \quad E \prod_{u=1}^p g_{Nu}(Y_{Nv_u}, Q_{Nv_u}) = \prod_{u=1}^p E g_{Nu}(Y_{Nv_u}, Q_{Nv_u}) \\ + O(N^{-1} M_{N,4}^{p-1} [M_{N,3} v N^{-1} M_{N,4}^2]),$$

$$(2.19) \quad \text{Cov}[g_{N1}(Y_{Nv_1}, Q_{Nv_1}), g_{N2}(Y_{Nv_2}, Q_{Nv_2})] = O(N^{-2} [M_{N,5} v N^{-1} M_{N,4}]),$$

$$(2.20) \quad V[g_{N1}(Y_{Nv_1}, Q_{Nv_1})] = O([N^{-1} M_{N,5}] \wedge [(N^{-1} M_{N,4})^2]).$$

Proof. We shall only prove (2.18); the others follow by similar arguments.

Note that

$$E \prod_{u=1}^p g_{Nu}(Y_{Nv_u}, Q_{Nv_u}) = \sum_{1 \leq s_1 \neq \dots \neq s_p \leq N} \prod_{u=1}^p \left\{ g_{Nu}(a_N(s_u), p_N(s_u)) \right. \\ \left. \left[ 1 - \sum_{k=u}^p p_N(s_k) \right]^{v_u - v_{u-1} - 1} \right\} \\ (2.21) = \sum_{1 \leq s_1 \neq \dots \neq s_p \leq N} \prod_{u=1}^p \left\{ g_{Nu}(a_N(s_u), p_N(s_u)) p_N(s_u) e^{-v_u p_N(s_u)} [1 + O(N^{-1})] \right\} \text{ (by (2.6))} \\ = \sum_{1 \leq s_1 \neq \dots \neq s_p \leq N} \prod_{u=1}^p \left\{ g_{Nu}(a_N(s_u), p_N(s_u)) p_N(s_u) e^{-v_u p_N(s_u)} \right\} + O(N^{-p-1} M_{N,4}^p),$$

by (2.6) and (2.16). Similarly, for each  $u (= 1, \dots, p)$ ,

$$(2.22) \quad E g_{Nu} (Y_{Nv_u}, Q_{Nv_u}) = \sum_{s=1}^N g_{Nu} (a_N(s), p_N(s)) p_N(s) e^{-v_u p_N(s)} + O(N^{-2} M_{N,4}),$$

where, by (2.6) and (2.16), the first term on the right hand side of (2.22) is  $O(N^{-1} M_{N,4})$ . The product of the  $p$  factors of the first term in (2.22) involves  $N^p$  terms where as (2.21) involves  $N^{[p]}$  terms; by (2.15) and (2.16), the contribution of these  $N^p - N^{[p]}$  terms is  $O(M_{N,3} \cdot M_{N,4}^{p-1} \cdot N^{-p})$ . Hence, the proof follows from (2.21)-(2.22). For  $p=2$ ,  $N^p - N^{[p]} = N$  and  $\sum_{s=1}^N g_{N1}(a_N(s), p_N(s)) g_{N2}(a_N(s), p_N(s)) p_N^2(s) e^{-(v_1+v_2)p_N(s)} = O(N^{-2})$ .  $\left( \sum_{s=1}^N |g_{N1}(a_N(s), p_N(s)) g_{N2}(a_N(s), p_N(s))| \right) = O(N^{-2} \cdot M_{N,5})$ , so that (2.19) follows on parallel lines. Q.E.D.

### 3. ASYMPTOTIC NORMALITY OF $\{d_{Nn}^{-1}(Z_{Nn} - \phi_{Nn})\}$

The main theorem of this section is the following.

Theorem 3.1. Under (2.6)-(2.8) and (2.12),

$$(3.1) \quad L(d_{Nn}^{-1}(Z_{Nn} - \phi_{Nn})) \rightarrow N(0,1).$$

Proof. Unlike the approaches of Baum and Billingley (1965) and Rosen (1969, 1970), our proof rests on a construction of a (triangular array of) martingales related to  $\{Z_{Nn}\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_{Nk}$  be the sigma-field generated by  $\{Y_{Nj}, j \leq k\}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , and let  $\mathcal{B}_{N0}$  be the trivial sigma-field. Then, for every  $N$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_{Nk}$  is non-decreasing. For every  $N$ ,  $n(\geq 1)$ , we define

$$(3.2) \quad X_{Nk}^{(n)} = Y_{Nk} (1 + Q_{Nk})^{k-1} e^{-nQ_{Nk}}, \quad Q_{Nk} = p_N(I_{Nk}), \quad k \geq 1; \quad X_{N0}^{(n)} = 0,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \xi_{Nk}^{(n)} = \sum_{s=1}^N a_N(s) p_N(s) e^{-np_N(s)} [1 + p_N(s)]^{k-1}, \quad k \geq 1, \quad \xi_{N0}^{(n)} = 0,$$



and consider the sequence

$$(3.4) \quad X_{Nk}^{(n)} = X_{Nk}^{(n)} - E(X_{Nk}^{(n)} | \mathcal{B}_{Nk-1}) \\ = (X_{Nk}^{(n)} - \xi_{Nk}^{(n)}) + \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} X_{N\nu}^{(n)} Q_{N\nu} (1+Q_{N\nu})^{k-\nu}, \quad k \geq 1; \quad \tilde{X}_{N0}^{(n)} = 0.$$

Then, on denoting by

$$(3.5) \quad \tilde{S}_{Nk}^{(n)} = \sum_{j=0}^k \tilde{X}_{Nj}^{(n)}, \quad k \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\xi}_{Nk}^{(n)} = \sum_{j=0}^k \xi_{Nj}^{(n)}, \quad k \geq 0,$$

we obtain from (3.2)-(3.5) that

$$(3.6) \quad \tilde{\xi}_{Nk}^{(n)} = \sum_{s=1}^N a_N(s) e^{-np_N(s)} \{ [1+p_N(s)]^k - 1 \}, \quad k \geq 0;$$

$$(3.7) \quad \tilde{S}_{Nk}^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^k Y_{Ni} e^{-nQ_{Ni}} [(1+Q_{Ni})^k - Q_{Ni} (1+Q_{Ni})^{i-1}], \quad k \geq 0,$$

$$(3.8) \quad E(\tilde{S}_{Nk}^{(n)} | \mathcal{B}_{Nk-1}) = \tilde{S}_{Nk-1}^{(n)}, \quad \forall k \geq 1,$$

so that for every  $N, n(\geq 1)$ ,  $\{\tilde{S}_{Nk}^{(n)}, \mathcal{B}_{Nk}; k \geq 0\}$  is a martingale. From

(2.6) and (3.6), it readily follows that

$$(3.9) \quad |\tilde{\xi}_{Nn}^{(n)} - \phi_{Nn}| = o(1), \quad \text{for every } N, n.$$

Also, note that  $\sum_{i=1}^n |Y_{Ni} Q_{Ni} e^{-nQ_{Ni}} (1+Q_{Ni})^{i-1}| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |Y_{Ni}| Q_{Ni} \leq \sum_{s=1}^N |a_N(s)| p_N(s) \leq M_1^{\frac{1}{2}} A_{N2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sim M_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , by (2.6) and (2.14), while for  $x \in (0,1)$ ,  $1 \geq e^{-nx} (1+x)^n \geq 1-nx^2$ ,

so that under (2.6) and (2.12), we have from (3.7) that  $|\tilde{S}_{Nn}^{(n)} - Z_{Nn} + \phi_{Nn}|$  is

bounded, with probability one. Thus, by (2.13), (2.14) and the above, we

conclude that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N_0(\varepsilon)$ , such that under

(2.6)-(2.8) and (2.12),

$$(3.10) \quad P\{d_{Nn}^{-1} |\tilde{S}_{Nn}^{(n)} - Z_{Nn} + \phi_{Nn}| > \varepsilon\} = 0, \quad \forall N \geq N_0(\varepsilon).$$

Consequently, it suffices to show that under (2.6)-(2.8) and (2.12),

$$(3.11) \quad L(d_{Nn}^{-1} \tilde{S}_{Nn}^{(n)}) \rightarrow N(0,1) .$$

Now, for the martingale-difference array  $\{d_{Nn}^{-1} \tilde{X}_{Nk}^{(n)}; k \leq n\}$ , by (3.4),

$$(3.12) \quad |\tilde{X}_{Nk}^{(n)}| \leq |Y_{Nk}| + |\xi_{Nk}^{(n)}| + \sum_{\nu=1}^{k-1} |Y_{N\nu}| Q_{N\nu}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n,$$

where  $|\xi_{Nk}^{(n)}| \leq \sum_{s=1}^N |a_N(s)| p_N(s) \leq M_1^{\frac{1}{2}} A_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \sim M_1$ . Also,  $|Y_{Nk}| \leq \max_{1 \leq s \leq N} |a_N(s)| = O(N^{\frac{1}{2}}) = O(d_{Nn})$ , by (2.7), (2.13) and (2.14). Finally,  $\left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^{k-1} |Y_{N\nu}| Q_{N\nu} \right\} \leq \sum_{s=1}^N |a_N(s)| p_N(s) \leq M_1^{\frac{1}{2}} A_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \sim M_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \forall k \geq 1$ . Hence, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N_0(\epsilon)$ , such that

$$(3.13) \quad P\left\{ \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} d_{Nn}^{-1} |\tilde{X}_{Nk}^{(n)}| > \epsilon \right\} = 0, \quad \forall N \geq N_0(\epsilon);$$

the above equation also insures that

$$(3.14) \quad \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} d_{Nn}^{-1} |\tilde{X}_{Nk}^{(n)}| \text{ is uniformly bounded in } L_2 \text{ norm} .$$

Further, by (3.4)-(3.8),  $E([\tilde{S}_{Nn}^{(n)}]^2) = \sum_{k=1}^n E([\tilde{X}_{Nk}^{(n)}]^2)$ , and some routine steps leads us to

$$(3.15) \quad d_{Nn}^{-2} E([\tilde{S}_{Nn}^{(n)}]^2) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

Thus, by virtue of (3.13)-(3.15) and (3.8), we are in a position to use the recently developed central limit theorem by Dvoretzky (1972), Scott (1973) and McLeish (1974), and to prove (3.11), it suffices to show that

$$(3.16) \quad d_{Nn}^{-2} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n [\ell_{Nk}^{(n)} - E(\ell_{Nk}^{(n)})] \right\} \xrightarrow{P} 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.17) \quad \ell_{Nk}^{(n)} &= E([\tilde{X}_{Nk}^{(n)}]^2 | \mathcal{B}_{Nk-1}) = v(\tilde{X}_{Nk}^{(n)} | \mathcal{B}_{Nk-1}) \\
 &= \sum_{s=1}^N a_N^2(s) p_N(s) e^{-2np_N(s)} [1+p_N(s)]^{2(k-1)} \\
 &\quad - \sum_{v=1}^{k-1} Y_{Nv}^2 Q_{Nv} e^{-2nQ_{Nv}} (1+Q_{Nv})^{2(k-1)} \\
 &\quad - (\xi_{Nk}^{(n)} - \sum_{v=1}^{k-1} Y_{Nv} Q_{Nv} e^{-nQ_{Nv}} (1+Q_{Nv})^{k-1})^2, \quad k \geq 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

By steps similar to those after (3.12), it follows that the  $\ell_{Nk}^{(n)}$  are all bounded with probability 1, while, by (2.13)-(2.14),  $d_{Nn}^{-2} = o(n^{-1})$ . Hence, to prove (3.16), it suffices to show that

$$(3.18) \quad \max_{1 \leq k < q \leq n} |\text{Cov}(\ell_{Nk}^{(n)}, \ell_{Nq}^{(n)})| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Defining  $g_{Nv}(Y_{Nv}, Q_{Nv})$  as  $Y_{Nv}^2 Q_{Nv} e^{-2nQ_{Nv}} (1+Q_{Nv})^{2(k-1)}$  (or  $Y_{Nv} Q_{Nv} e^{-nQ_{Nv}} (1+Q_{Nv})^{k-1}$ ), it readily follows that (2.15)-(2.16) hold with  $M_{N,3} = o(1)$  (or  $o(N^{-1/2})$ ) and  $M_{N,4} \sim M_1$  (or  $M_1^{1/2}$ ), and hence, (3.18) can be proved directly by repeated use of (2.18)-(2.20) for the individual terms in the expansion of  $\ell_{Nk}^{(n)} \ell_{Nq}^{(n)}$  by (3.17). Q.E.D.

We may remark that intuitively one may attempt to work with the alternative construction:  $\tilde{Y}_{Nk} = Y_{Nk} - E(Y_{Nk} | \mathcal{B}_{Nk-1})$ ,  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\tilde{Y}_{N0} = 0$ . Then, one would have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.19) \quad \tilde{Z}_{Nn} &= \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{Y}_{Nk} = \sum_{k=1}^n \{Y_{Nk} - \sum_{s=1}^N a_N(s) p_N(s) + \sum_{v=1}^{k-1} Y_{Nv} Q_{Nv}\} \\
 &= (Z_{Nn} - \phi_{Nn}^*) - \sum_{k=1}^n (n-k) [Y_{Nv} Q_{Nv} - EY_{Nv} Q_{Nv}].
 \end{aligned}$$

Whereas the asymptotic normality of  $d_{Nn}^{-1} \tilde{Z}_{Nn}$  may be proved along the same lines as in  $\tilde{S}_{Nn}^{(n)}$ , the second term on the right hand side of (3.19) is not generally  $o_p(n^{1/2})$ , so that this particular construction may not be very helpful for the desired normality of  $d_{Nn}^{-1} (Z_{Nn} - \phi_{Nn}^*)$ .

4. CONVERGENCE OF FINITE DIMENSIONAL DISTRIBUTIONS

For an arbitrary positive integer  $b$ , consider a sequence of positive integers  $\{n_{1N} < \dots < n_{bN}\}$  where

$$(4.1) \quad 0 < \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} n_{1N} < \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} n_{bN} < \infty .$$

We are basically interested in the asymptotic multinormality of

$$(4.2) \quad N^{-1/2} [Z_{Nn_{1N}}^{-\phi_{Nn_{1N}}}, \dots, Z_{Nn_{bN}}^{-\phi_{Nn_{bN}}}] ,$$

when (2.6)-(2.8) and (4.1) hold, and we impose (2.14) without any loss of generality. Let us define  $\underline{\Sigma}_N = ((\sigma_{kq,N}))_{k,q=1,\dots,b}$  by

$$(4.3) \quad \sigma_{kq,N} = \begin{cases} N^{-1} d_{Nn_{kN}}^2, & k=q(=1,\dots,b) \\ N^{-1} \sum_{s=1}^N a_N^2(s) e^{-n_{qN} p_N(s)} (1 - e^{-n_{kN} p_N(s)}) & \\ -N^{-1} n_{kN} \left( \sum_{s=1}^N a_N(s) p_N(s) e^{-n_{kN} p_N(s)} \right) \left( \sum_{s=1}^N a_N(s) p_N(s) e^{-n_{qN} p_N(s)} \right), & \\ 1 \leq k < q \leq b, & \\ \sigma_{qk,N}, & 1 \leq q < k \leq b. \end{cases}$$

Recalling that a vector  $L_N$  is  $\sim N_b(\underline{\mu}_N, \underline{\Sigma}_N)$  if for every  $\underline{\lambda} \neq \underline{0}$ ,  $\underline{\lambda}'(L_N - \underline{\mu}_N)$  is  $\sim N_1(0, \underline{\lambda}' \underline{\Sigma}_N \underline{\lambda})$ , we have the following.

Theorem 4.1. Under (2.6)-(2.8) and (4.1)

$$(4.4) \quad L(N^{-1/2} [Z_{Nn_{jN}}^{-\phi_{Nn_{jN}}}, 1 \leq j \leq b]) \rightarrow N_b(0, \underline{\Sigma}_N) .$$

Proof. Define  $\tilde{X}_{Nk}^{(n)}, \tilde{S}_{Nk}^{(n)}, k \geq 0$ , as in Section 3. Let then

$$(4.5) \quad \hat{X}_{Nk}^{(n)} = \begin{cases} \tilde{X}_{Nk}^{(k)}, & 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0, & k > n; \end{cases} \quad \hat{S}_{Nk}^{(n)} = \sum_{v=0}^k \hat{X}_{Nv}^{(n)}, \quad k \geq 0.$$

Note that  $\hat{S}_{Nk}^{(n)} = \tilde{S}_{Nk}^{(n)}$  for  $k \leq n$  and  $\hat{S}_{Nk}^{(n)} = \tilde{S}_{Nn}^{(n)}$  for  $k > n$ . Thus, proceeding as in (3.9)-(3.10), under (4.1), it suffices to show that  $N^{-1/2}(\hat{S}_{Nn, jN}^{(n)}, 1 \leq j \leq b)$  is  $\sim N_b(0, \sum_{j=1}^b \lambda_j)$ . For this purpose, consider an arbitrary linear compound (where  $\lambda \neq 0$ )

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_{j=1}^b \lambda_j N^{-1/2} \hat{S}_{Nn, jN}^{(n)} = N^{-1/2} \sum_{k=1}^n b_N \sum_{j=1}^b \lambda_j \hat{X}_{Nk}^{(n)} = N^{-1/2} \sum_{k=1}^n b_N \hat{X}_{Nk}^*, \quad \text{say,}$$

where  $X_{Nk}^* = \sum_{j=1}^b \lambda_j \hat{X}_{Nk}^{(n)}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . Note that by (3.2), (3.4) and (4.5),  $E(X_{Nk}^* | \mathcal{B}_{Nk-1}) = 0, \forall k \geq 1$ , and, we may virtually repeat the proof of Theorem 3.1 [viz., (3.12)-(3.18)] with  $\tilde{X}_{Nk}^{(n)}$  being replaced by  $\hat{X}_{Nk}^*$ ; the details are omitted. Q.E.D.

The prescribed martingale approach provides a solution, considerably shorter and neater than the one given in Rosen (1969).

## 5. AN INVARIANCE PRINCIPLE FOR THE BONUS SUM PROCESS

For an arbitrary  $T(0 < T < \infty)$ , let  $J = [0, T]$ , and for  $0 \leq x \leq y \leq T$ , define

$$(5.1) \quad \gamma_N(x, y) = N^{-1} \sum_{s=1}^N a_N^2(s) e^{-Nyp_N(s)} [1 - e^{-Nxp_N(s)}] - x \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^N a_N(s) p_N(s) e^{-Nxp_N(s)} \right\} \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^N a_N(s) p_N(s) e^{-Nyp_N(s)} \right\},$$



and let  $\gamma_N(x,y) = \gamma_N(y,x)$  for  $0 \leq y \leq x \leq T$ . Proceeding as in (2.10)-(2.11), it can be shown that  $\gamma_N(x,y)$  is (uniformly in  $N$ ) a continuous function of  $(x,y) \in J^2$ . Let us then define

$$(5.2) \quad \gamma_N^0(x,y) = \gamma_N(x,x) + \gamma_N(y,y) - 2\gamma_N(x,y), \quad \forall (x,y) \in J^2.$$

It follows from (5.1) and (5.2) that  $\gamma_N^0(x,y) \geq 0$ ,  $\forall (x,y) \in J^2$ , and, moreover, by using (2.6)-(2.8) and (2.14), it follows by some standard steps that there exists a positive constant  $K(<\infty)$ , depending only on  $T$  and the  $M_i$  in (2.6)-(2.8), such that

$$(5.3) \quad \sup_N \gamma_N^0(x,y) \leq K|y-x|, \quad \forall (x,y) \in J^2.$$

Let  $\{\zeta_N = [\zeta_N(x), x \in J]\}$  be a sequence of (independent) Gaussian functions on  $J$ , where  $E\zeta_N(x) = 0$  and  $E\zeta_N(x)\zeta_N(y) = \gamma_N(x,y)$ ,  $\forall (x,y) \in J^2$ . Then  $E[\zeta_N(y) - \zeta_N(x)]^4 = 2(E[\zeta_N(y) - \zeta_N(x)]^2)^2 = 2[\gamma_N^0(x,y)]^2 \leq 2K^2(y-x)^2$ ,  $\forall (x,y) \in J^2$ , so that by the Kolmogorov existence theorem, for every  $N$ ,  $\zeta_N$  belongs to the space  $C[J]$ , with probability 1.

Now, for every  $N$ , consider the sample process  $W_N = \{W_N(x), x \in J\}$ , where

$$(5.4) \quad W_N(x) = N^{-1/2}[Z_{N[Nx]} - \phi_{N[Nx]}], \quad x \in J,$$

$[s]$  being the largest integer  $\leq s$ . Then,  $W_N$  belongs to the space  $D[J]$ , endowed with the Skorokhod  $J_1$ -topology.

Theorem 5.1. *Under (2.6)-(2.8) and (2.14),  $\{W_N\}$  and  $\{\zeta_N\}$  are convergent-equivalent in law, in the  $J_1$ -topology on  $D[J]$ .*

Proof. We need to show that (a) the finite dimensional distributions of  $\{W_N\}$  are convergent equivalent to the corresponding ones of  $\{\zeta_N\}$ , and (b) that  $\{W_N\}$  is *tight*. Now, (a) follows readily from Theorem 4.1. Also, by (1.4) and (5.5),  $W_N(0) = 0$ , with probability 1,  $\forall N$ . Hence, to prove (b), it suffices to show that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\eta > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta: 0 < \delta < T$  and an interger  $N_0$ , such that for every  $x \in J$  and  $N \geq N_0$ ,

$$(5.5) \quad P\{\sup\{|W_N(y) - W_N(x)| : x \geq y \geq (x-\delta) \vee 0\} > \epsilon\} < \eta\delta/T .$$

Suppose that in (5.4), we replace  $Z_{N[N]} - \phi_{N[Nx]}$  by  $\tilde{S}_{N[Nx]}^{[Nx]}$ ,  $x \in J$ , and denote the resulting process by  $\tilde{W}_N$ . Then, proceeding as in (3.9)-(3.10), it follows that for every  $\epsilon' > 0$ ,

$$(5.6) \quad \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{\sup_{x \in J} |W_N(x) - \tilde{W}_N(x)| > \epsilon\right\} = 0 .$$

Hence, it suffices to prove (5.5) with  $\tilde{W}_N$  replacing  $W_N$ . Towards this note that

$$(5.7) \quad N^{-1/2}(\tilde{S}_{Nn}^{(n)} - \tilde{S}_{Nk}^{(k)}) = N^{-1/2}(\tilde{S}_{Nn}^{(n)} - \tilde{S}_{Nk}^{(n)}) + N^{-1/2}(\tilde{S}_{Nk}^{(n)} - \tilde{S}_{Nk}^{(k)}) , \quad \forall k \geq 0 .$$

Since  $\{S_{Nk}^{(n)}, B_{Nk}; k \geq 1\}$  is a martingale, by (3.12), (3.14) and (3.19) [insuring that  $\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{[Nx]} [\ell_{Nk}^{(n)} - E\ell_{Nk}^{(n)}]\right\} / d_{N[Nx]}^2 \stackrel{P}{\rightarrow} 1, \forall x \in J$ ], we are in a position to use Theorem 2 of Scott (1973) and this, along with (2.13) and (2.14), implies that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\eta > 0$ , there exist a  $\delta: 0 < \delta < M$  and an  $N_0$ , such that for  $N \geq N_0$ ,  $n = [Nx]$ ,  $x \in J$

$$(5.8) \quad P\left\{\max_{n-\delta N \leq k \leq n} N^{-1/2}|S_{Nn}^{(n)} - S_{Nk}^{(n)}| > \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\right\} < \frac{1}{2T}\eta\delta .$$

Also, if we choose  $\delta(>0)$  so small that  $\delta M_1 < 1$ , then for  $[n-k] \leq \delta N$ ,

$(n-k) \left\{ \max_{1 \leq s \leq N} p_N(s) \right\} \leq \delta M_1 < 1$ , by (2.6). Hence, for  $n \geq k \geq (n-\delta N) \wedge 0$ , we obtain from (3.7) that

$$(5.9) \quad N^{-1/2} (\tilde{S}_{Nk}^{(k)} - \tilde{S}_{Nk}^{(n)}) = \sum_{i=1}^k [g_{n,k,i}(Y_{Ni}, Q_{Ni}) - E g_{n,k,i}(Y_{Ni}, Q_{Ni})],$$

where

$$(5.10) \quad g_{n,k,i}(a,b) = N^{-1/2} a(1-e^{-(n-k)b}) [e^{-kb}(1+b)^k - b e^{-kb}(1+b)^{i-1}],$$

$$1 \leq i \leq k \leq n \leq Nt.$$

Note that by (2.6)-(2.7), for every  $n \leq Nt$ , (2.15)-(2.17) hold with

$$M_{N,3} = 0(N^{-1}(n-k)N^{-1/2} \max_{1 \leq s \leq N} |a_N(s)|) = [0(1)][(n-k)/N], \quad M_{n,4} = N^{-1/2}(n-k) \cdot 0(1)$$

and  $M_{N,5} = N^{-2}(n-k)^2 \cdot 0(1)$ . Hence, by (2.19)-(2.10) and (5.9)-(5.10), we have

$$(5.11) \quad E \{ [N^{-1/2} (\tilde{S}_{Nk}^{(k)} - \tilde{S}_{Nk}^{(n)})]^2 \} \leq M^* [N^{-1}(n-k)]^2,$$

$$\forall k: NT \geq n \geq k \geq (n-N) \vee 0,$$

where  $M^*(\infty)$  does not depend on  $\delta$ . By (5.11) and Theorem 12.2 of Billingsley (1968, p. 94), we conclude that for every  $n \leq TN$ ,  $t < \infty$ ,

$$(5.12) \quad P \left\{ \max_{n-\delta N \leq k \leq n} N^{-1} |\tilde{S}_{Nk}^{(k)} - \tilde{S}_{Nk}^{(n)}| > \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \right\} \leq K^* \varepsilon^{-2} \delta^2, \quad K^* < \infty,$$

and  $K^*$  does not depend on  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\eta > 0$  and  $T < \infty$ , we choose  $\delta(>0)$  so small that  $\delta < \eta \varepsilon^2 / 2K^*T$ , so that the right hand side of (5.12) is  $\leq \frac{1}{2} \eta \delta / T$ . From (5.8) and (5.12), we obtain that

$$(5.13) \quad P \left\{ \max_{n-\delta N \leq k \leq n} N^{-1} |\tilde{S}_{Nn}^{(n)} - \tilde{S}_{Nk}^{(k)}| > \varepsilon \right\} \leq \eta \delta / T, \quad \forall NT \geq n \geq k \geq (n-N) \vee 0,$$

and this completes the proof of (5.5) (for  $\tilde{W}_N$ ). Q.E.D.

6. INVARIANCE PRINCIPLE FOR THE WAITING TIME PROCESS

We define the *waiting times*  $U_N(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$  as in (1.5). Note that here all the  $a_N(s)$  are assumed to be non-negative, so that  $Z_{Nk}$  is  $\nearrow$  in  $k(\geq 0)$ . Here also we make the assumptions (2.6)-(2.8) as well as the convention (2.14) i.e.,  $N^{-1}A_{N2} \sim 1$ , so that  $0 \leq A_{N1} \leq A_{N2}^{1/2} \sim 1$ . We assume that

$$(6.1) \quad \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} A_{N1} \geq A_1 > 0 .$$

Note that by (2.14) and (2.7),  $\max_{1 \leq s \leq N} N^{-1/2} |a_N(s)| = 0(1)$ , so that  $N^{-1/2} |Y_{Nk}|$ ,  $k \geq 1$  are all uniformly asymptotically (as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ) negligible. Further, by definition  $Z_{Nn} \leq NA_{N1} \forall n \geq 1$ , so that in (1.5), we are only interested in the domain  $t \leq NA_1$ . Let us define  $\phi_{Nn}$ ,  $n \geq 0$  as in (2.3) and introduce the sequence  $\alpha_N = \{\alpha_N(x) : 0 \leq x \leq NA\}$  by letting

$$(6.2) \quad \phi_{N\alpha_N}(x) = x, \quad 0 \leq x < NA_1 .$$

Note that by (2.3) and (6.2),  $\alpha_N(x)$  is non-decreasing and

$$(6.3) \quad \alpha'_N(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \alpha_N(x) = \left[ \sum_{s=1}^N a_N(s) p_N(s) e^{-\alpha_N(x) p_N(s)} \right]^{-1} ,$$

$$(6.4) \quad \alpha''_N(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \alpha'_N(x) = [\alpha'_N(x)]^2 \left[ \sum_{s=1}^N a_N(s) p_N^2(s) e^{-\alpha_N(x) p_N(s)} \right] .$$

Thus, by steps similar to those in (2.10)-(2.11), it can be shown that under (2.6)-(2.8), (2.14) and (6.1), for  $x = Nu$ ,  $0 < u < A_1$ ,  $\alpha'_N(Nu)$  and  $\alpha''_N(Nu)$  are continuous functions of  $u$  and, further,

$$(6.5) \quad \alpha'_N(Nu) = 0_e(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha''_N(Nu) = 0_e(N^{-1}); \quad 0_e = \text{exact order} .$$

Let us also define  $\gamma_N(x,y)$  as in (5.1) and set

$$(6.6) \quad \beta_N^2(Nu) = [\gamma_N(\alpha_N(Nu), \alpha_N(Nu))] [\alpha'_N(Nu)]^2, \quad 0 < u < A_1.$$

Then, note that by (6.5), for every  $u \in (0, A_1)$  and fixed  $v$  ( $|v| \leq K$ ), as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{aligned} N^{-1/2} [\alpha_N(Nu + N^{1/2}v) - \alpha_N(Nu)] \\ &= v\alpha'_N(Nu) + \frac{1}{2} v^2 N^{1/2} \alpha''_N(Nu + \theta N^{1/2}v) \quad (0 < \theta < 1) \\ &= v\alpha'_N(Nu) + o(N^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Further, by (6.2) and (1.5), for every  $v$  and  $u \in (0, A_1)$ ,

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{aligned} P\{U_N(Nu) > \alpha_N(Nu + N^{1/2}v)\} &= P\{Z_{N\alpha_N(Nu + N^{1/2}v)} \leq Nu\} \\ &= P\{N^{-1/2} [Z_{N\alpha_N(Nu + N^{1/2}v)} - \phi_{N\alpha_N(Nu + N^{1/2}v)}] \leq N^{-1/2} [Nu - \phi_{N\alpha_N(Nu + N^{1/2}v)}]\} \\ &= P\{W_N(N^{-1}\alpha_N(Nu + N^{1/2}v)) \leq -v\}, \quad \text{by (6.2),} \end{aligned}$$

where  $W_N(\cdot)$  is defined by (5.4), and by (6.7),  $N^{-1}[\alpha_N(Nu + N^{1/2}v) - \alpha_N(Nu)] \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\forall u \in (0, A_1)$ . Hence, by Theorem 5.1 [viz., (5.5)], the right hand side of (6.8) is convergent equivalent to

$$(6.9) \quad P\{W_N(N^{-1}\alpha_N(Nu)) \leq -v\}, \quad \text{for every finite } v.$$

On the other hand, for every finite  $v$  and  $u \in (0, A_1)$ , by (6.7) and (6.8),

$$(6.10) \quad \begin{aligned} &P\{N^{-1/2} [U_N(Nu) - \alpha_N(Nu)] / \beta_N(Nu) > v\} \\ &= P\{U_N(Nu) > \alpha_N(Nu) + N^{1/2} \beta_N(Nu) v\} \\ &= P\{U_N(Nu) > \alpha_N(Nu + N^{1/2} \beta_N(Nu) v) / \alpha'_N(Nu) + o(1)\} \\ &\sim P\{W_N(N^{-1} \alpha_N(Nu + N^{1/2} \beta_N(Nu) v) / \alpha'_N(Nu) + o(1)) \leq -v \beta_N(Nu) / \alpha'_N(Nu) + o(N^{-1/2})\} \end{aligned}$$



Now, by (5.1) and (6.4), (6.6),  $\beta_N(Nu)/\alpha_N'(Nu) = \gamma_N^{1/2}(\alpha_N(Nu), \alpha_N(Nu))$ , and by (2.10) and (5.1), it is  $o(1)$ . Hence, by the convergence equivalence of (6.8)-(6.9), the right hand side of (6.10) is convergent equivalent to

$$(6.11) \quad P\{W_N(N^{-1}\alpha_N(uN))/\gamma_N^{1/2}(\alpha_N(Nu), \alpha_N(Nu)) \leq -v\} \\ \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{-v} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}t^2) dt = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_v^{\infty} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}t^2) dt,$$

by Theorem 5.1. Hence, we conclude that

$$(6.12) \quad L(N^{-1/2}[U_N(Nu) - \alpha_N(Nu)]/\beta_N(Nu)) \rightarrow N(0,1), \quad \forall u \in (0, A_1).$$

Let  $J^* = [0, T^*]$  for some  $0 < T^* < A_1$  and consider a sequence of stochastic processes  $W_N^* = \{W_N^*(u), u \in J^*\}$ ,  $N \geq 1$ , where

$$(6.13) \quad W_N^*(u) = N^{-1/2}\{U_N(Nu) - \alpha_N(Nu)\}/\alpha_N'(Nu), \quad 0 \leq u \leq T^*.$$

Let us define  $\gamma_N(\cdot, \cdot)$  as in (5.1) and  $\alpha_N(\cdot)$  as in (6.2), and let

$$(6.14) \quad \gamma_N^*(u, v) = \gamma_N\left(\frac{1}{N}\alpha_N(Nu), \frac{1}{N}\alpha_N(Nv)\right), \quad u, v \in J^{*2}.$$

Since  $\alpha_N(x)$  is a monotonic transformation of  $x$ , defining  $\{\zeta_N\}$  as in after (5.3), by transformation of the time-parameter, we obtain a sequence  $\{\zeta_N^*\}$  of Gaussian functions where  $\zeta_N^* = \{\zeta_N^*(u), u \in J^*\}$  with  $E\zeta_N^*(u) = 0$  and  $E\zeta_N^*(u)\zeta_N^*(v) = \gamma_N^*(u, v)$ ,  $\forall u, v \in J^*$ .

Theorem 6.1. Under (2.6)-(2.8), (2.14) and (6.1), for every  $T^* < A_1$ ,  $\{W_N^*\}$  and  $\{\zeta_N^*\}$  are convergent equivalent in law.

Proof. For every given  $m(\geq 1)$  and  $0 \leq u_1 < \dots < u_m \leq T^*$ , virtually repeating the steps (6.8)-(6.11), but working with the vector case, it follows that

$$(6.15) \quad [W_N^*(u_1), \dots, W_N^*(u_m)] \stackrel{D}{\sim} [\zeta_N^*(u_1), \dots, \zeta_N^*(u_m)] .$$

Also, recall that by (1.5)

$$(6.16) \quad Z_{NU_N}(t) \leq t < Z_{NU_N}(t) + Y_{NU_N}(t)+1, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

where by (2.7) and (2.14),  $\sup_{k \geq 1} |Y_{Nk}| \leq \max_{1 \leq s \leq N} |a_N(s)| = o(N^{\frac{1}{2}})$ . Hence,

$$(6.17) \quad N^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \sup_{u \in J^*} |N^{-1} Z_{NU_N}(Nu) - u| \right\} \xrightarrow{P} 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty .$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 5.1 and (6.2),

$$(6.18) \quad \sup_{u \in J^*} |N^{-1} Z_{N\alpha_N}(u) - u| \xrightarrow{P} 0, \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty .$$

By (6.5), (6.17) and (6.18), it follows by some standard steps that

$$(6.19) \quad \sup_{u \in J^*} |N^{-1} U_N(Nu) - N^{-1} \alpha_N(Nu)| \xrightarrow{P} 0 .$$

Having proved this, we may proceed along the lines of the proof of Theorem 17.3 of Billingsley (1968, p. 149) and show that the weak convergent equivalence of  $\{W_N\}$  and  $\{\zeta_N\}$  in Theorem 5.1 implies the same for  $\{W_N^*\}$  and  $\{\zeta_N^*\}$ . Q.E.D.

## 7. SOME CONCLUDING REMARKS

Parallel to (6.12), Rosen (1970) has obtained the asymptotic normality along with the asymptotic equivalence of  $\alpha_N(Nu)$  and  $EU_N(Nu)$  as well as of  $\beta_N^2(Nu)$  and  $\text{Var}[U_N(Nu)]$ . However, his conditions (2.7)-(2.8) are somewhat more restrictive than ours and our Theorem 5.1 provides us with Theorem

6.1 under no extra conditions - Rosen's approach presumably encounters considerable difficulties in this respect. Secondly, in his Theorems 2 and 3, Rosen (1969) has studied the convergence of f.d.d.'s of  $\{W_N\}$  to those of  $\{\zeta_N\}$  under additional conditions [viz., his (5.4), (5.10)] which insure that  $\gamma_N(x,y) \rightarrow \gamma(x,y)$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\forall (x,y) \in J^2$ . Under his setup, using his Lemma 3.17, one can also prove the tightness of  $\{W_N\}$  (by using Theorem 12.2 of Billingsley (1968)) provided one assumes (in our notations) that for some  $r > 2$ ,  $A_{Nr}/A_{N2}^{r/2} = o(1)$ ,  $\forall N \geq N_0$ . In our approach, these additional conditions do not appear to be necessary. Moreover, contrasted with his Hilbert space approach, the present one appears to be more elementary too. Finally, through the pioneering work of Rosen (1972a,b), the coupon collector's problem occupies a prominent place in the development of the asymptotic theory of finite population sampling (without replacement) with varying probabilities. It is hoped that the results of the present paper will lead to more work in this potential area.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Baum, L.E., and Billingsley, P. (1965). Asymptotic distributions for the coupon collector's problem. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 36, 1835-1839.
- [2] Billingsley, P. (1968). *Convergence of Probability Measures*. John Wiley, New York.
- [3] Dvoretzky, A. (1972). Central Limit theorems for dependent random variables. *Proc. 6th Berkeley Symp. Math. Stat. Prob.* 2, 513-535.
- [4] McLeish, D.L. (1974). Dependent central limit theorems and invariance principles. *Ann. Probability* 2, 620-628.
- [5] Rosen, B. (1969). Asymptotic normality in a coupon collector's problem. *Zeit. Wahrsch Verw Geb.* 13, 256-279.
- [6] Rosen, B. (1970). On the coupon collector's waiting time. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 41, 1952-1969.
- [7] Rosen, B. (1972). Asymptotic theory of successive sampling with varying probabilities without replacement, I and II. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 43, 373-397; 748-776.
- [8] Scoot, D.J. (1973). Central limit theorems for martingales and for processes with stationary increments using a Skorokhod representation approach. *Adv. Appl. Prob.* 5, 119-137.