





NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER WHITE OAK LABORATORY SILVER SPRING, MARYLAND 20910

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

FEB 11 1977

NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER WHITE OAK, SILVER SPRING, MARYLAND 20910

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE BEFORE COMPLETING FORM REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER NSWC/WOL/TR-75-177 TITLE (and Subtitle) 5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Magnetostriction and Structural Distortion In Rare Earth Intermetallics, . PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER . AUTHOR(.) 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(.) James R./Cullen Arthur E. /Clark . PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Naval Surface Weapons Center 61153N; RR02-206; White Oak Laboratory RR02-206-01; WR3811 White Oak, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910 12. REPORT DATE 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDR 35 1 November 1976 NUMBER OF 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 14. MONITORIN AGENSY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) UNCLASSIFIED 154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) pproved for public release; distribution unlimited 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) FEB 11 1977 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Magnetostrictive Materials, Elastic Constants, Internal Distortion, Magnetic Anisotropy. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde II necessary and identify by block number) On the basis of the special symmetry of the rare earth site in the Laves phase cubic compounds RT2 (R = rare earth, T = Fe, Co, Ni) we predict the occurrence of a structural distortion which vanishes only when [100] is the easy magnetization direction. An extraordinary external strain accompanies the distortion and provides an explanation of the observed size and anisotropy of the magnetostriction in RFeg DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLET UNCLASSIFIED 5/N 0102-LF-014-6601 CURITY CLASSIFICA

NSWC/WOL/TR 75-177

1

1 November 1976

Magnetostriction and Structural Distortion In Rare Earth Intermetallics.

The research reported herein was carried out in the Solid State Branch of the Materials Division of the Research and Technology Department under the ONR sponsorship (Task No. NOL-637/RR02-206-01). The work was also supported in part by the Naval Ship Systems Command and the Naval Surface Weapons Center Independent Research Program.

1

J. R. Difon J. R. DIXON By direction

CLESSIDA h	×/
TIS IDC	Buff Section
USTIFICATI	04
BY DISTRIBUT	TION AVAILABILITY COLS
DISTRIBU DISTRIBU	AVAIL AND OF SPECIAL

INTRODUCTION

An often studied and important series of rare earth-transition metal compounds are those that form in the cubic Laves or C15 phase. In this structure there are two rare earth and four transition metal atoms in the unit cell. The rare earth atoms have tetrahedral (T_d) site symmetry, i.e., the positions of the carbon atoms in the diamond structure, while the lighter metal atoms have D_{3d} symmetry. (See Figure 1.) In the compounds with Fe, the rare earth spins are taken to be parallel to one another and antiparallel to the iron spins, a picture which is consistent with all revelant experiments.⁽¹⁾ Rare earth compounds with iron in the Laves (C15) phase are strongly magnetic to well above room temperature, and TbFe2 has the largest known magnetostriction and magnetic anisotropy at room temperature. (2) These last two properties derive from the rare earth, and accordingly disappear for those magnetic compounds like YFe2 and GdFe2, where the rare earth atom has no orbital moment. It has also become increasingly clear(3) that the magnetostriction for these compounds is very anisotropic. For example, TbFe2 which has its easy magnetization direction parallel [111] develops strains of 2x10-3, while DyFe2, where [100] is easy exhibits strains of 10-5 or less. Since rare earth environments in these compounds are identical in every way, this anisotropy is expected to be a common feature of Thus we take as a fact that $\lambda_{111} >> \lambda_{100}$ for Laves all the compounds. phase rare earth intermetallics. This is unusual for cubic metals. The cubic ferromagnets Fe and Ni for instance, show no such effect. We expect little anisotropy in the elastic constants. If the magnetoelastic coupling energies B_1 (100 easy) and B_2 (111 easy) are the same, the predicted (4) ratio of strain in TbFe₂ to that in DyFe₂ is about two; the experiments give a ratio more like one hundred.

(1) Taylor, K. N. R., Advances in Physics, 20, 551 (1971). This article gives an extensive review of pertinent experiments relating to rare earth intermetallics.

「「」」、「「」、「「」、「」、「」」、「」」、「」」、「」」、

- (2) Clark, A. E. and Belson, H. S., 17th Conference on Magnetism and Magnetic Materials, Chicago, Illinois, AIP Conference Proceedings No. 5, 1498 (1972); (Phys. Rev. B 5, 3642 (1972)) Clark, A., Cullen, J., and Sato, K., Proc. 20th Conf. on Magnetism and Magnetic Materials, AIP Conf. Proc. 24, 670 (1975).
- (3) Clark, A. E., 19th Conference on Magnetism and Magnetic Materials Nov 1973, AIP Conference Proc. <u>18</u>, 1015 (1974)
- (4) The prediction is based on the use of equations (9) and (12) of this paper.

In this paper, we describe a theory for the spontaneous distortions of the atoms in the cubic Laves phase lattice due to coupling of the crystalline electrostatic fields with the total angular momentum of the 4f electrons.⁽⁵⁾ In the usual picture of magnetostriction, this distortion is a uniform strain in either of the two external strain modes: $2\varepsilon_{zz} - \varepsilon_{xx} - \varepsilon_{yy}$, $\varepsilon_{xx} - \varepsilon_{yy}$, i.e., a distortion along 100 (λ_{100}), and ε_{xy} , ε_{yz} , ε_{xz} , a distortion along 111 (λ_{111}) where x, y, z refer to a cartesian set of axes parallel to the [100] directions. We show here that in the C15 structure, where there are two rare earth atoms in the unit cell, the crystalline field-angular momentum coupling gives rise to an internal distortion which in turn is coupled to an external strain.⁽⁶⁾ The results are a predicted internal distortion of the rare earth sublattice and an additional, extra-ordinary contribution to the external strain. This additional strain offers a natural explanation for both the size and anisotropy of the magnetostriction in the Laves phase intermetallics.

In the succeeding sections of this paper we give the physical picture of the process by which a C15 crystal distorts in the presence of a net magnetic moment. We then calculate the magnitudes of the distortions as a function of crystal field energies, internal and external moduli, and the shape of the 4f electron charge distribution. We give numerical estimates of the sizes of the predicted effects based on a point-charge model and experimental values for the moduli.

1. The Physical Picture

The way in which a spontaneous distortion occurs can be seen as follows: Suppose the magnetic moment is parallel to a [111] direction. Because of strong spin-orbit coupling the charge density of every rare earth atom takes on a very special shape for 4f electrons. For Tb, for instance, this density is pancake-like, (oblate) lying in a plane perpendicular to the [111] direction, as shown in Figure 2. The charge cloud of the atom labelled A in this figure comes closer to the three near neighbor atoms labelled B' than to its near neighbor at B. Because of a net coulomb attraction of this charge with the positive cores of its neighbors, the A atom moves toward the B' atoms, i.e., down in the Figure. Exactly the

(5) The Phenomenology of Magnetostriction was first presented in R. Becker and W. Döring, Ferromagnetismus (Verlag Julius Springer, Berlin, (1939) P. 132, 145. See also C. Kittel, "Introduction to Solid State Physics" 2nd edition John Wiley and Sons, Inc. (New York). Reference 9 of this paper describes a microscopic coloulation of memory paper describes a

(6) microscopic calculation of magnetostriction of rare earth metals. The possibility of internal distortions coupled to external strain is mentioned in E. R. Callen and H. B. Callen, Phys. Rev. <u>129</u>, 578 (1963).

the state of the second

opposite occurs at B; this atom moves up in response to the net attraction of A' atoms over the A atom. Figure 3 shows the situation when the magnetization is along [001], the easy axis for DyFe₂ and HoFe₂. The charge cloud remains equidistant from all its neighbors, and no distortion can occur. We now describe the calculation of this distortion and the consequent external strain.

2. Calculation of the Strains

The Electron-Lattice Interaction - Consider a 4f electron associated with a rare earth atom at the origin. Its wave function is changed from its atomic form by the crystalline potential of the nearby rare earth and iron atoms. The electron is described by its total angular momentum vector J. An effective Hamiltonian for a given site can be derived for the crystalline field by replacing the electron coordinates by their angular momentum operator equivalents.⁽⁷⁾ The first step is to obtain the correct form of the crystalline field.

For a site with tetrahedral symmetry,

 $V_{cf} = v_2 (x^2 + y^2 + z^2) + v_3 xyz + v_4 (x^4 + y^4 + z^4) + \dots$

x,y,z are the dimensionless electron coordinates normalized by the lattice parameter, a_0 . Now because of parity, the average of the second term of V_{Cf} vanishes; i.e., there is no effect from the odd-order term. Suppose, however, that the ion moves to a new position \underline{u}_a with coordinates u_{XA} , u_{YA} , u_{ZA} say, with no change in size or shape of the unit cell. The energy of the 4f electron then depends on u. To calculate V_{Cf} (\underline{u}) we proceed as follows: In the electron-ion potential the electron coordinates x,y,z are replaced by $x-u_X$, $y-u_Y$, $z-u_Z$. We must also take into account the movement of the neighboring rare earth sublattice. Thus the ion coordinates X_n, Y_n, Z_n are replaced by X_n-u_{Xn}, Y_n-u_n , $Z-u_{Zn}$. At this stage we make the assumption that $\underline{u}_n = \underline{u}_B$ for all near neighbors to an A site. Essentially, the reason this simplification works is that the near neighbors of a given rare earth on one sublattice (A) are all members of the other sublattice (B). Since the electron-ion potential contains x and x_n only in the form $x-x_n$, the distortion coordinates appears only as the difference in distortions on A and B sites. Define these coordinates to be u_x, u_y, u_z . We therefore have

$$V_{cf} = v_2^{A} \left[(x - u_x)^2 + (y - u_y)^2 + (z - u_z)^2 \right] + v_3^{A} (x - u_x) (y - u_y) (z - u_z)$$

⁽⁷⁾ Stevens, K. W. H., Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) <u>65</u>, 209 (1952)

plus terms of higher order in $x-u_x$. The coefficients v_2,v_3 , etc., are lattice sums; in view of the special importance of the third order term it may be worthwhile giving the expression for v_3 on the point-charge model:

$$w_3^{A} = -15Ze^2 \sum_{n} \frac{X_n Y_n Z_n}{R_n^7} a_0^3$$
 1(a)

Here Z is the effective number of charges on a rare earth ion and e is the electron charge. The sum is over all near neighbors. Now consider atom B at (1/4, 1/4, 1/4). The form of the crystal field is the same, but u appears with opposite sign

$$V_{cf}^{B} = v_{2}^{B} \left[(x+u_{x})^{2} + (y+u_{y})^{2} + (z+u_{z})^{2} \right] + v_{3}^{B} (x+u_{x}) (y+u_{y}) (z+u_{z}) + \dots$$

The different environments on A and B atoms have no effect on v_2 ; $v_2^A = v_2^B$. For the third-order term however, $v_3^A = -v_3^B$ as can be seen by examining the point charge expression for v_3 . For an A atom at the origin, the sum is over neighboring rare earths at 1/4, 1/4, 1/4, 1/4; 1/4, -1/4, -1/4; -1/4, -1/4, -1/4, -1/4, -1/4, -1/4, 1/4; -1/4, 1/4; -1/4,

So far, we have only considered a possible energy lowering by internal distortion, u. We can also expect that the symmetry change due to this distortion may drive an external strain as well, i.e., an extraordinary magnetostriction may appear, causing a shape change of the unit cell. We can take account of external strain and thereby account for both ordinary and extraordinary magnetostriction by writing:

$$X_n = X_n^{\circ} (1 + \varepsilon_{xx}) + Y_n^{\circ} \varepsilon_{xy}/2 + Z_n^{\circ} \varepsilon_{xz}/2$$

etc., for the coordinates of the atom at the nth site. Because this strain lowers the symmetry at A and B sites, there appear lower-order terms in the crystal field. The field at an A site for example is now:

$$v_{cf}^{A}(u,\varepsilon) = v_{1}^{A}(x'\varepsilon_{yz}+y'\varepsilon_{\gamma z}+z'\varepsilon_{xy}) + v_{3}^{A}x'y'z' + v_{2}^{A}(z'^{2}+\ldots) + v_{2,1}^{A}(x'y'\varepsilon_{xy}+\ldots)$$

1(b)

Here, as in the constrained case, $x' = x-u_x$, etc. On the point charge model

$$p_1^A = \frac{3}{2} z e^2 \sum_n \frac{x_n y_n z_n}{R_n^5} a_0$$
 (2)

 v_3^A is given by Equation (la).

The sum is over the unstrained coordinates of near neighbors to an A site. It is clear that in general

$$v_{i}^{A} = v_{i}^{B}, i = 2n$$

 $v_{i}^{A} = -v_{i}^{B}, i = 2n + 1$ (3)

The electron-lattice energy density, or the magneto-elastic Hamiltonian if operator equivalents are used, is the sum of contributions from each sublattice plus the elastic energy density:

$$E_{e} + E_{me} = \langle v_{cf}^{A} \rangle + \langle v_{cf}^{B} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} C_{44} (\varepsilon_{xy}^{2} + \varepsilon_{xz}^{2} + \varepsilon_{yz}^{2}) + \frac{1}{2} (C_{11} - C_{12}) (\varepsilon_{xx}^{2} + \varepsilon_{yy}^{2} + \varepsilon_{zz}^{2}) + \frac{1}{2} k (u_{x}^{2} + u_{y}^{2} + u_{z}^{2})$$
(4)

The C's are the usual elastic moduli, k the appropriate internal modulus. Volume strains do not concern us, and have been dropped. Also, we have assumed isotropic internal forces. There are, of course, many more internal lattice modes, but they are not coupled to u_k and ε_{ij} , and so need not be added to the energy expression. It is more convenient to express the resulting equations in terms of the ε_{ij} , u_k and crystal field energy densities E_i , where $E_i = \rho V_i$. The final expression, then for the magneto-elastic energy density, suppressing terms which do not contain u_k or ε_{ij} or which give zero in the 4f ground state,

$$E_{me} = 2E_{1} (u_{x} \varepsilon_{yz} + ...) + 2E_{3} (u_{x} < YZ > + ...) + 2 E_{2,1} (< x^{2} > \varepsilon_{xx} + ...) + 2 E_{2,2} (< xY > \varepsilon_{xy} + ...)$$
(5)

6

Actually the term in E1 in this expression should more properly be included below in the elastic energy, since it does not depend on the angular moments of the 4f electrons; the coupling it represents persists above the Curie point and should exist even in YFe2, GdFe2 where there are no orbital moments. It is in fact a property of all lattices of the diamond or zinc-blend structure that the internal and external strains are so coupled. Thus, a proper calculation of E1 presents the same order of difficulty as the calculations (not attempted here) of the elastic constants and internal moduli defined below.

Finally,

1

$$E_{e} = \frac{1}{2} C_{44} \left(\varepsilon_{xy}^{2} + \varepsilon_{xz}^{2} + \varepsilon_{yz}^{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(C_{11} - C_{12} \right) \left(\varepsilon_{xx}^{2} + \varepsilon_{yy}^{2} + \varepsilon_{zz}^{2} \right) \\ + \frac{1}{2} k \left(u_{x}^{2} + u_{y}^{2} + u_{z}^{2} \right) .$$
(6)

The total strain-dependent energy is therefore E Ee + E_{me} . The E's all have units of energy. k is the internal modulus. We have written $E_1 = E_1^A$, etc., to simplify notation.

The Equilibrium Strains - The result of minimizing E with respect to the u's and ε 's, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial u_k} = 0 \qquad \frac{\partial E}{\partial \varepsilon_{ji}} = 0 \tag{7}$$

is

$$ku_{x} - 2E_{1} \varepsilon_{yz} = 2E_{3} \langle YZ \rangle$$
, etc. (8a)

 $C_{44} \epsilon_{yz} - 2E_1 u_x = 2E_{2,2} \langle YZ \rangle$, etc. (8b)

and

$$C_{11}-C_{12}$$
) $\varepsilon_{xx} = 2E_{2,1} \langle x^2 \rangle$, etc. (9)

Note that u_x is coupled to ε_{yz} , u_y to ε_{zx} and u_z to ε_{xy} . The ε_{ii} are not coupled to internal modes.

We deal with more-than-one electron configurations in the standard way: replace xy for example by $\Sigma x_i y_i$, where the sum is over all 4f

electrons, and then using the operator-equivalent⁽⁵⁾ technique. Hence:

$$\langle XY \rangle = \alpha \langle r^{2}_{f} \rangle (J_{x}J_{y}+J_{y}J_{x})$$
(11)

 α 's for various rare earths are tabulated.⁽⁵⁾ $\langle r_{f}^{2} \rangle$ is the average of the square of the 4f electron radius, which is also tabulated.⁽⁶⁾

At temperatures well below the Curie point this expression (Equation 11) may be replaced by its zero-temperature value(7)

$$J_x J_y + J_y J_x \neq (J^2 - \frac{J}{2}) \gamma_x \gamma_y,$$

where γ 's are the direction cosines of the magnetization. Thus

$$\langle XY \rangle \cong J(J-1/2) \alpha \langle r_{f}^2 \rangle \gamma_x \gamma_y$$
 (12)

With this aside, we are now prepared to examine the expressions for the strains. Solving (8a) and (8b) for ε_{vz} ,

$$\varepsilon_{yz} = \frac{1}{C_{44}} \left(\frac{4E_{3}E_{1}/k - 2E_{2,2}}{1 - 4E_{1}^{2}/kC_{44}} \right) \langle yz \rangle$$
(13)

Similar expressions hold for $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Z}}$. For the internal strain we find

$$u_{x} = \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{2E_{3} - 4E_{1}E_{2,2}/C_{44}}{1 - 4E_{1}^{2}/kC_{44}} \right) \quad \langle YZ \rangle \quad . \tag{14}$$

For the lattice to be stable under these strains $kC_{44} > 4E_1^2$.

3. Numerical Estimates

We now proceed to a discussion of the sizes of the predicted effects. Although the strain modulus is known for Laves phase compounds, (10) the internal modulus is not. In principle it could be obtained from measurements of the energy at long wavelengths of a special "optic" mode in the paramagnetic phase of these compounds. The special mode we mean is that corresponding to the two inequivalent rare earths oscillating 180° out of phase with one another while the iron sublattices sit still. It can be shown (See Appendix) rather simply that this sort of oscillation is in fact a normal mode in the cubic Laves phase if all forces are assumed isotropic. Indeed, this is the reason we could neglect any possible iron-atom displacement in the preceding discussion; such displacements just do not couple to the internal mode we are discussing. As we show in the appendix the modulus k can be written in terms of the iron-rare earth and rare earth-rare earth force constants:

$$k = 2K_{R,R} + 4K_{F,R}$$

Although the point charge model for calculating the crystal field parameters and their strain derivatives is not expected to be accurate, we use it as a means of estimating ratios of these parameters. That is, we calculate E_3 and E_1 in terms of $E_{2,2}$ and determine $E_{2,2}$ from magnetostriction values of a metallic ferromagnet with one atom per unit cell. On the point charge model, $E_3 \simeq 40E_{2,2}$ and $E_1 = -(3/4)E_{2,2}$. Assuming $4E_1^{2/k}C_{44} < 1$, ε reduces to

$$\varepsilon_{yz} = \frac{2E_{2,2}}{C_{AA}} (60E_{2,2}/k+1) < YZ>$$

To obtain an idea of the size of the internal modulus required to make the extra-ordinary term dominant in this expression, we set the ordinary part equal to a value typical of cubic ferromagnets:

9

$$(\varepsilon_{yz})_{ordinary} = \frac{2E_{2,2}}{C_{44}} < yz > ~~ 10^{-5}$$

(10) Clark, A. E. and Savage H. T., IEEE Trans. Sonics and Ultrasonics SU-22, 50, January 1975.

From tables⁽⁸⁾ of Steven's factors we find that, for Tb⁽⁹⁾ at low temperatures,

$$(YZ) = .33 \times 10^{-3}$$

Here we have used $r_{f}^{2} = .185(A)^{\circ}$ and $a_{0} = 8$ A. (The radius was obtained from reference (6) and the lattice constant from reference (1).) Finally, using

$$C_{44} = 2 \times 10^{11} \text{ ergs/cm}^3$$

we get

$$E_{2,2} = 10^9 \text{ ergs/cm}^3$$

Thus, for the "extra-ordinary" part of the strain to dominate, and give the experimental result for TbFe₂, we require $E_{2,2}/k\approx 1$, which means the normal frequency of the internal mode in the paramagnetic phase would have to be 10^{12} cps or lower. Such frequencies are typical of optic modes in metals with more than one atom per cell. In sum, the size and anisotropy of the magnetostriction in Laves phase magnets can be accounted for on the basis of the theory given here, culminating in Equation (13). The magnetostriction is predicted to be positive for TbFe₂, (α is negative) on the basis of Equation (12) if the extraordinary term dominates. This accords with experiment.⁽²⁾

The prediction of an internal distortion can be checked by X-ray measurements, though the analysis is complicated by the distortion caused by the external strain. We want to make a remark about the direction of the internal distortion. It follows from Equation (14) that the distortion is parallel to the magnetization when the latter lies along a [111] axis; it vanishes when the moment is parallel [100]. When the moment is in the xy plane, the A and B sublattices are alternately distorted positively and negatively along the Z axis. For a general direction of magnetization the two sublattices undergo antiparallel distortions but the axis of the internal distortion is not along the moment direction whereas external distortion is along magnetization direction. This strange behavior is consistent with

⁽⁸⁾ Freeman, A. J. and Watson, R. E., Phys. Rev. <u>127</u>, 2058 (1962).
(9) Tsuya, N. Clark, A. E., and Bozorth, R., Proc. International Conf. on Magnetism, Nottingham, p. 250 (1964).

the physical picture we gave in Section 1: The 4f charge cloud always tries to move so as to get closer to its nearest set of neighbors (See Figure 2).

Finally, we note that these effects should also occur in the isostructural Co and Ni Cl5 compounds with the rare earths at temperatures below their respective transition temperatures. A similar internal distortion will also take place in the magnetic phases of the similar Cl4 compounds, with the added complication that the latter are hexagonal, so that there are more than two external strain modes.

In our description of the atomic displacements we have ignored distortions of the transition metal atoms and taken the rare earths to move equal amounts in opposite directions. For this theory to be a complete account of the magnetostriction requires that this rare earth movement be a normal mode of vibration in the absence of strain and that there be no other modes, i.e., iron sublattice motion, which couple to the external strain. The first of these requirements is satisfied, as we show in the Appendix. The second is not, a situation we will deal with in a subsequent publication. The rare earth displacement is, we feel, the most important one for considerations of magnetostriction.

1

APPENDIX A

Our purpose here is to show that we are justified in assuming that $\underline{u}_A = -\underline{u}_B$, i.e., that is no coupling to distortions of the transition metal sublattices. (That all the rare earth atoms of a given sublattice undergo the same distortion follows from translational invariance.) We do this by calculating the normal modes of the cubic Laves phase assuming near-neighbor coupling. For the set of solutions which vary in time like exp (-i ω t) in the limit of long wavelength the equations of motion are

$$-\omega^{2}M_{\alpha} u^{i}_{\alpha} = \sum_{j} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \kappa^{ij}_{\alpha,\beta} (u^{i}_{\beta} - u^{j}_{\alpha}).$$

Where α and β are sublattice indices running from one to six, and i and j refer to x, y, or z components.

The force constants $K_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}$ are defined as:

 $\kappa_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = \nabla_i \nabla_j \sum_n V(R_n),$

where the sum is over all near neighbors to an α site which are on the β sublattice. Label the rare earth atoms by $\alpha = 5$ and 6. If V depends only on the distance between sites then $K^{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$ and $\alpha = 5$, $\beta = 6$. Also $K^{ij}_{5,\beta} = K^{ij}_{6,\beta}$ for $\beta = 1$ through 4. Then

$$-\omega^{2} M u_{5}^{i} = \kappa_{5,\beta}^{ii} (u_{\beta}^{i} - u_{5}^{i}) + \sum_{j} \sum_{\beta=1}^{4} \kappa_{5,\beta}^{ij} (u_{\beta}^{j} - u_{5}^{j})$$
$$-\omega^{2} M u_{6}^{i} = \kappa_{6,\beta}^{ii} (u_{\beta}^{i} - u_{6}^{i}) + \sum_{j} \sum_{\beta=1}^{4} \kappa_{5,\beta}^{ij} (u_{\beta}^{j} - u_{6}^{i})$$

Here M is the rare earth mass. It can also be shown from the definition of Kⁱⁱ that Kⁱⁱ = Kⁱⁱ so that, by subtracting the last two equations $5,\beta$ $6,\beta$

$$-\omega^{2}M (u_{5}^{i}-u_{6}^{i}) = 2K_{5,6} (u_{6}^{i}-u_{5}^{i}) - (u_{5}^{i}-u_{6}^{i}) \sum_{\beta=1}^{4} K_{5,\beta}$$

Defining $K_{5,6} = K_{R,R}$ and $\sum_{\beta=1}^{7} K_{5,\beta} = 4K_{F,R}$ we see that there

exists a normal mode in which the two rare earths beat against one another with a frequency

$$\omega = \sqrt{\frac{2K_{R,R} + 4K_{F,R}}{M}}$$

1

1日日 二日日日日 日日日日日 日日日

Further, the normal coordinates for this mode have the property that $u_A = -u_B$, $u_\beta = 0$ for all four transition metal atoms. This is exactly the form of static distortion we have assumed in Section 2.

Figure Captions

Figure 1

A drawing of the atomic arrangements in the C15 structure. The R atoms are arranged identically to the carbon atoms in the diamond lattice. Each unit cell has two R (rare earth) and four T (transition metal) sites.

Figure 2

The distortion along [111] which results from the different net Coulomb attractions of the two rare earth ions in the C15 unit cell.

Figure 3

When [100] is easy, there is no distortion; the charge cloud on the rare earth remains equidistant from all its neighbors.





DISTRIBUTION LIST

3

6

1

2

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1 alt

The second state of the second

and son to marrielles 606 - Distance and conserve

CARDA CO CONTRACTOR

Office of Naval Research Department of the Navy Arlington, Virginia 22217 Attn: Code 471 Code 105 Code 474 Code 200

Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office 495 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210

Office of Naval Research New York Area Office 207 West 24th Street New York, New York 10011

Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office 536 South Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60605

Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91106

Office of Naval Research San Francisco Area Office 760 Market Street Room 447 San Francisco, California 94102

Director Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D. C. 20390 Attn: Technical Information Officer Code 2000 Code 2020 Code 6000 Code 6100

1

1

6

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

A STATE STATE

The second property second 1.

Director Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D. C. 20390 Attn: Technical Information Officer Code 6300 Code 6400 Code 2627

Commander Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20361 Attn: Code 320A Code 5203

Commander Naval Sea Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 2036 Attn: Code ORD 033

Mr. F. S. Williams Naval Air Development Center Code 302 Warminster, Pennsylvania 18974

Commander Naval Sea Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20362 Attn: Code 0342

Commanding Officer Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Port Hueneme, California 93041 Attn: Code L70

Commander Naval Ship Engineering Center Department of the Navv Washington, D. C. 20360 Attn: Code 6101

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

12

Naval Ship R & D Center Materials Division Annapolis, Maryland 21402 Attn: Code 28

3

U. S. Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940 Attn: Materials Sciences Div.

Commander Naval Weapons Center China Lake, California 93555 Attn: Code 5560

Commander Naval Undersea Warfare Center Pasadena, California 92152

Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps Washington, D. C. 20380 Attn: Code AX

Commanding Officer Army Research Office Box CM, Duke Station Durham, North Carolina 27706 Attn: Metallurgy & Ceramics Div.

Office of Scientific Research Department of the Air Force Washington, D. C. 20333 Attn: Solid State Div. (SRPS)

Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314

National Bureau of Standards Washington, D. C. 20234 Attn: Metallurgy Division Inorganic Materials Division

Energy Research & Development Administration Washington, D. C. 20545 Attn: Metals & Materials Branch

Argone National Laboratory Metallurgy Division P. O. Box 299 Lemont, Illinois 60439

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

Brookhaven National Laboratory Technical Information Division Upton, Long Island, N. Y. 11973 Attn: Research Library

Director Metals & Ceramics Division Oak Ridge National Laboratory P. O. Box X Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory P. O. Box 1663 Los Alamos, New Mexico 87544 Attn: Report Librarian

Commanding Officer Army Materials & Mechanics Research Center Watertown, Massachusetts 02172 Attn: Res. Programs Office (AMXMR-P)

Library Bldg. 50, Room 134 Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Berkeley, California 94720

Commanding Officer Naval Underwater Systems Center Newport, Rhode Island 02840

Aerospace Research Laboratories Wright-Patterson AFB Building 450 Dayton, Ohio 45433

Defense Metals Information Center Battelle Memorial Institute 505 King Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43201

Defense Ceramics Information Center Battelle Memorial Institute 505 King Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43201

Army Electronics Command Evans Signal Laboratory Solid State Devices Branch c/o Senior Navy Liaison Officer Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703

prost strange and start

erstraded as well holyed getweet 1

1

nang perindet and see 1

Statistics and the I

1

the second in 1

A LAND DE SAL DE SAL SAL SAL SAL

titles the state of the states

and the strategic to a strategic and a strategic

rachandel tetrat. 17 ----

1

Commanding General Department of the Army Frankford Arsenal Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19137 Attn: ORDBA-1320, 64-4

Executive Director Materials Advisory Board National Academy of Sciences 2101 Constitution Avenue, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20418

NASA Headquarters Washington, D. C. 20546 Attn: Code RRM

Air Force Materials Lab (LA) Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433

Advanced Research Projects Agency 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, Virginia 22209 Attn: Director, Materials Sciences

HQDA (DARD-ARS-P/Dr. J. Bryant) Washington, D. C. 20310

Department of Interior Bureau of Mines Washington, D. C. 20240 Attn: Science & Engin. Advisor

National Aeronautics & Space Adm. Lewis Research Center 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135 Attn: Librarian

Naval Missile Center Materials Consultant Code 3312-1 Point Mugu, California 93041

Commanding Officer Naval Weapons Center Corona Labs. Corona, California 91720

Commander Naval Air Test Center Weapons Systems Test Division Code OlA Patuxent River, Maryland 20670

retes planet planet attained

and a second second second second

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

Director Ordnance Research Laboratory P. O. Box 30 State College, Pennsylvania 16801

Commander Naval Undersea Warfare Center 271 Catalina Boulevard San Diego, California 92152

Director Applied Physics Laboratory Johns Hopkins University Johns Hopkins Road Laurel, Maryland 20810

Director Applied Physics Laboratory University of Washington 1013 Northeast Fortieth Street Seattle, Washington 98105

Materials Sciences Group Code S130.1 Navy Electronics Laboratory 271 Catalina Boulevard San Diego, California 92152

Commanding Officer Naval Ships R & D Center Washington, D. C. 20007 Attn: Code 747

A REAL PROPERTY AND

Professor G. S. Ansell Rensselaer Ploytechnic Institute Dept. of Metallurgical Engineering Troy, New York 12181

Professor H. D. Bordy University of Pittsburgh School of Engineering Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

Professor J. B. Cohen Northwestern University Dept. of Material Sciences Evanston, Illinois 60201

Professor M. Cohen Massachusetts Institute of Technology Department of Metallurgy Cambridge, Massachusetts 02100

1

and a matchester, Louisral, 201

Company States and a state of the

MARTA ALL STREET ALL AND A

The second start start because of

and the second s

er El alteritettet in 1 and

The second function of the second sec

ontrescipat in my line.

200 x 061.004

THE PART AND INT

Professor B. C. Giessen Northeastern University Department of Chemistry Boston, Massachusetts 02115

Dr. G. T. Hahn Battelle Memorial Institute Department of Metallurgy 505 King Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43201

Professor R. W. Heckel Carnegie-Mellon University Schenley Park Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

Professor R. F. Hehemann Case Western Reserve University Dept. of Metallurgy & Matls. Sci. Cleveland, Ohio 44106

Professor G. Judd Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Dept. of Materials Engineering Troy, New York 12181

Professor A. Lawley Drexel University Dept. of Metallurgical Engineering Philadelphia, Pennsulvania 19104

Professor R. Maddin University of Pennsylvania School of Metallurgical Engineering Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19105

Professor J. W. Morris, Jr. University of California College of Engineering Berkeley, California 94720

A R A A A A A A A

Professor R. M. Rose Massachusetts Institute of Technology Department of Metallurgy Cambridge, Massachusetts 02100

Professor O. D. Sherby Stanford University Materials Sciences Department Stanford, California 94300

6

6

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

Professor J. Shyne Stanford University Materials Sciences Department Stanford, California 94300

Professor N. S. Stoloff Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute School of Engineering Troy, New York 12181

Dr. E. R. Thompson United Aircraft Res. Laboratories 400 Main Street East Hartford, Connecticut 06108

Professor David Turnbull Harvard University Div. of Engineering & Applied Physics Cambridge, Massachusetts 02100

Professor H. G. F. Wilsdorf University of Virginia Department of Materials Science Charlottesville, Virginia 22903

Dr. J. C. Williams Rockwell International Science Center P.O. Box 1085 Thousand Oaks, California 91360

Professor D. A. Koss Michigan Technological University College of Engineering Houghton, Michigan 49931

Dr. E. A. Starke, Jr. Georgia Institute of Technology School of Chemical Engineering Atlanta, Georgia 30332

Dr. W. A. Spitzig U.S. Steel Corporation Research Laboratory Monroeville, Pennsylvania 15146

Dr. M. A. Wright University of Tennessee Space Institute Department of Metallurgical Eng. Tullahoma, Tennessee 37388

1

1

1

1

1

1

and the state of the second

at the ball

Dr. L. Leonard The Franklin Institute Research Labs The Benjamin Franklin Parkway Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

3

in the second second second

Professor L. E. Murr New Mexico Institute of Mining & Tech. Metallurgical Engineering Socorro, New Mexico 87801

Dr. G. H. Meier University of Pittsburgh Dept. of Metallurgical & Materials Eng. Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

Dr. J. R. Low, Jr. Carnegie-Mellon University Metals Research Laboratory Schenley Park Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

Professor H. K. Birnbaum University of Illinois Department of Metallurgy Urbana, Illinois 61801

Dr. C. S. Kortovich TRW, Inc. 23555 Euclid Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44117