AD-A03	4 079 SIFIFD	ARKANS LASER DEC 76	RESONAT	FAYET	TEVILLE	DEPT	OF ELEC	TRICAL 1TH -75-333	ENGINEE	RING F	76 20/1	5	
	1 OF 2 AD A034079							Transmission of the second sec					1
		A restance of the second secon		an a		1914							ENTR.
			971	111	AN AN	323							- AL
N P		Ľ			SI		14		ND		4		SIL
4	4		N.W.	SM	ME.	lines.	- Anna	LIL.			E B		12
									An		The second secon		Tella:
M				KIM	ME.	12911					The second	VE	100 - 100 -
			-										



AFWL-TR-75-333





## LASER RESONATOR STUDIES

University of Arkansas Electrical Engineering Department Fayettville, AR 72701

December 1976

**Final Report** 

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

# COPY AVAILABLE TO DDC DOES NOT PERMIT FULLY LEGIBLE PRODUCTION

AIR FORCE WEAPONS LABORATORY Air Force Systems Command Kirtland Air Force Base, NM 87117



This final report was prepared by University of Arkansas, Electrical Engineering Department, Fayettville, AR, under Contract F29601-74-C-0077, Job Order 317J1809, with the Air Force Weapons Laboratory, Kirtland AFB, NM. Dr. John A. Hanlon (ALO) was the Laboratory Project Officer-in-Charge.

When US Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than a definitely related Government procurement operation, the Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever, and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

This report has been reviewed by the Information Office (OI) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS, it will be available to the general public, including foreign nations.

#ARNOUNE: JOHN A. HANLON DR. RISTIFICATION Project Officer BISTBIBUTION /AVAILABILITY CALE FOR THE COMMANDER AVAIL SUD A SPELIE e Allano min D. DILLOW ARMAND D. MAIO л Maj, USAF

Lt Colonel, USAF Chief, Advanced Laser Technology Division

Chief, Advanced Beam Control Branch

DO NOT RETURN THIS COPY. RETAIN OR DESTROY.

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM 19 REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 1. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER TR-75-333 AFWL d Substat S. TYPE OF BERGAT SERIOD COVERED TITLE ( Final Report ASER RESONATOR STUDIES 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER M. M. /Johnson . CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER( .) L. G./Collier F29601-74-C-0077 G. E./Smith PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS OGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK University of Arkansas Electrical Engineering Department 31731809 Favettville, AR 72701 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS REPORT Dec 11 Air Force Weapons Laboratory (ALO) Kirtland Air Force Base, NM 87117 184 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSII different from Controlling Office) S. SECURIT Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES (+ on - jel times phil) 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block mu Masers Lasers Optics Unstable Resonators 29. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block pu The goal of the study was to find a hole-coupled resonator with good azimuthal mode discrimination for potential uses in high power laser applications. Two computer programs were developed to aid in the analysis of hole-coupled resona-tors. The first program calculates the scalar eigenmodes, of circular cylind-rical resonators with exp  $(\pm j \pm \phi)$  (2 integer) azimuthal variation and arbitrary radical mirror figure. Graphs of the radial mode eigenvalues (versus Fresnel 1 number) for the four lowest order azimuthal modes revealed that (over) DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS DESOLETE UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered) 40948

#### UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

typically, the larger the hole becomes in a hole-coupled resonator, the higher the azimuthal index of the dominant (lowest loss) mode (media gain saturation not included). The lowest order azimuthal mode losses were almost identical in one negative branch toroidal hole-coupled resonator and in a positive branch resonator where both mirrors had on-axis holes. The second computer program calculates the radial mirror profiles by a non-linear multiple regression technique such that the eigenmode magnitude and/or phase profiles are best, least squares, fits to use specified radial mode profiles. Tests showed, for example, that a field, uniform magnitude and phase, could be achieved in the hole for  $\mathfrak{L} = 0$  of a hole-coupled resonator. In most instances however the  $\mathfrak{L} = 0$  mode was not the dominant arbitrary azimuthal field variation so that best fits to desired azimuthal is designed for the lowest loss mode.

UNCLASSIFIED

CONTENTS

Section	P	age
I	INTRODUCTION	1
11	TASK 1 RESULTS	
	A. General Information	3
3	B. Analysis of Resonator 1 - HUR	5
	C. Analysis of Resonator 2 - HUR-CC	30
	D. Analysis of Resonators 3 and 4	55
	E. Sensitivity to Length Misadjustment	64
III	RESULTS OF TASKS 2 AND 6	
	A. Introduction	65
	B. Maximum Mode Volume	66
	C. Uniform Magnitude and Phase in Output Hole	70
	D. Maximum on-axis Far-field Intensity	76
	E. A "Hole-Coupled" Resonator with Dominant &= 0 mode	87
6.5	F. Rimmed Resonator Test	97
	G. Conclusions	99
IV	TASK 3 RESULTS	102
V	TASK 4 RESULTS	125
VI	TASK 5	151
VII	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	154
	Appendix A	157
	Appendix B	166
	References	173

4

.

1

\$ 3

1

		-	
TLL	UST	RAT	IONS

Figure	Saddord i Alera	Page
1	Four confocal hole-coupled resonator configurations	4
2a	Eigenvalues of HUR for $M = \sqrt{2}$ , $\ell = 0$	6
2Ъ	C. Analysis of Rescrictor 2 - Num-CC 1- 2	7
2c	2 = 2 b has 2 brottaneed to stories. S	8
2d	2 - 3 Interacional digest of the states and	9
3a	Eigenvalues of HUR for $M = 5/3$ , $\ell = 0$	10
ЗЪ	4 - 1 (chistophonadi	11
3c	L = 2 Emile Book mentalik .B	12
4a ·	Eigenvalues of HUR for $M = 2$ , $\ell = 0$	13
4b	1 - 1 Vateraus histrasis alma-momentick of	14
4c	en g = 2 mained main respected "balmerasion" s .3	15
4d	L = 3 Test Testancesi Letali . 1	16
5a	Eigenvalues of HUR for $M = 3$ , $\ell = 0$	17
5Ъ	£ = 1	18
5c	£ = 2	19
5d	L = 3	20
6a	Eigenvalues of HUR for $M = 8$ , $\ell = 0$	21
6a	continued	21.3
6b	£ = 1 ·	. 22
6c	£ = 2	23
6d	£ = 3	24

and the second second

and the state of t

Figure		Page
7a -	Radial mode profiles reflected from mirror 1 of FUR for $M = 2$ , $\ell = 0$ , $N = 6$	26
7Ъ	Radial mode profiles in a plane incident at mirror 2	27
7c	Far-field pattern of lowest loss radial mode and reference field (uniformly illuminated aperture)	28
7d -	Integrated far-field intensity	29
8a	Radial mode profiles reflected from mirror 1 of HUR for $M = 2$ , $l = 1$ , $N = 6$	31
8b	Radial mode profiles in a plane incident at mirror 2	32
8c 💦	Far-field pattern of lowest loss radial mode and reference field	33
8d	Integrated far-field intensity	34
9a	Radial mode profiles reflected from mirror 1 of HUR for $M = 2$ , $l = 2$ , $N = 6$	35
9b	Radial mode profiles in a plane incident at mirror 2	36
9c	Far-field pattern of lowest loss radial mode and reference field (uniformly illuminated aperture)	37
94	Integrated far-field intensity	38
10a	Radial mode profiles reflected from mirror 1 of HUR for $M = 2$ , $\ell = 3$ , $N = 6$	39
105	Radial mode profiles in a plane incident at mirror 2	40
10c	Far-field pattern of lowest loss radial mode and reference field (uniformly illuminated aperture)	41
10d	Integrated far-field intensity	42
11a	Eigenvalues of HUR CC for $M = 2$ , $\ell = 0$	43
116	or & = 1 worldon of toressees it evaluates the sufficient	44
llc	2 - 2 2 - 2	45
114	L = 3	46

Figure		Page
12a	Radial mode profiles in a plane reflected from mirror 1 of HUR CC for $M = 2$ , $l = 0$ , $N = 4$	47
12b	Radial mode profiles incident on a plane at mirror 2	48
12c	Far-field patterns	49
12d	Integrated far-field intensity	50
13a	Radial mode profiles in a plane reflected from mirror 1 HUR CC for $M = 2$ , $\ell = 1$ , $N = 4$	of 51
13b	Radial mode profiles incident on a plane at mirror 2	52
13c	Far-field patterns and sould a stand self-one about a boo	53
13d	Integrated far-field intensity	54
14a	<b>Eigenvalues</b> of HUR NB for $M = -1$ , $l = 0$	56
14b	£ = 2	57
15a	Eigenvalues of HUR NB for $M = -2$ , $\ell = 0$	58
156	2 - 1000 to the bolight months at selling, show labor	59
15c	2 = 2 boi obor labor weal tasks is mantag blait-is?	60
16a	Eigenvalues of HUR NB for $M = -3$ , $\ell = 0$	61
16Ъ	£ = 1	62
16c	£ = 2	63
17a	Schematic and eigenvalues of resonator to achieve a unif field magnitude on mirror 2, $N = 4$ , $l = 0$	orm 67
17ъ	Fields in a plane incident on mirror 2	68
17c	Fields in a plane reflected from mirror 1	69
17d	Far-field patterns	71
18a	Schematic and eigenvalues of resonator to achieve a unif uniform field magnitude and uniform phase in hole at mirror 2, N = 4, $l$ =0	orm 72

X

iv

Figure		Page
185	Fields in a plane incident of mirror 2	73
18c	Field in a plane reflected from mirror 1	74
184	Far-field patterns	75
19a	Schematic and eigenvalues of a resonator to achieve uniform magnitude and phase in hole of mirror 2, $N = 4$ , $\ell = 0$	77
195	Fields in a plane incident on mirror 2	78
19c	Fields in a plane reflected from mirror 1	79
19d	Far-field patterns	80
20a	Schematic and eigenvalues of a resonator to achieve uniform magnitude and phase in hole of mirror 2, N = 8, $\ell$ = 0	82
20Ъ	Fields in a plane incident on mirror 2	83
20c	Fields in a plane reflected from mirror 1	84
20d	Far-field patterns	85
20e	Integrated far-field intensities	86
21a	Schematic and eigenvalues of reson $\ldots$ r to achieve a maximum integrated far-field intensity, N= 4, $l = 0$	88
21b	Integrated far-field intensities	89
21c	Far-field patterns	90
21d	Field in a plane incident on mirror 2	91
21e	Field in a plane reflected from mirror l	92
22a	Schematic and eigenvalues of resonator to achieve uniform phase as indicated in Figure 22b, $N = 9$ , $l = 0$	93
22b	Field in a plane incident on mirror 2	94
22c	Field in a plane reflected from mirror 1	95
22d	Far-field patterns	96
23a	Rimmed resonator schematic (and eigenvalues) to achieve the desired field profile shown in Figure 23b $N = 4$ $\ell=0$	98

and the second sec

F	i	g	1	r	e	
			-	-		

--

23Ъ	Fields in a plane at mirror 1 (or 2). Wm is the magnitude error weighting function and Wp is the phase error weighting function (weighting function)	
	described in Appendix B)	100
24	Resonator with hole and annular output coupling	103
25a	Eigenvalues of resonator of Figure 24 for $D = H2 = 0$ , $M = \sqrt{2}$ , $\ell = 0$	105
25Ъ	l south a place fortident on africa 2	106
25c	1 south must be seller staip a si which	107
25d	L = 3	108
26a	Eigenvalues of the resonator of Figure 25 for $D = H2 = 0$ , M = 3, $\ell = 0$	109
26Ъ	L = 1	110
26c	2 = 2	111
26d	L = 3	112
27a	Eigenvalues of the resonator of Figure 24 for $D = 0.335 \cdot A1$ , $M = 3$ , $\ell = 0$	113
27Ъ	<pre>% # 1 **********************************</pre>	114
27c	L = 2 estimation histi-tel butterstel	115
27d	£ = 3	116
28a	Eigenvalues of the resonator of Figure 24 for D = A1/2, M = $\sqrt{2}$ , $\ell$ = 0	117
28Ъ	<pre>k = 1</pre>	118
28c	2 = 2 sould a of schrober in sectors is but stars. 2 = 2	119
28d	£ = 3	120
29a	<b>Eigenvalues</b> of the resonator of Figure 24 for $D = A1/2$ , M = 3, $\ell = 0$	121
29Ъ	£ = 1	122
29c	L = 2 is (realizable test schede toposet beentil	123
29d	$\frac{1}{2} = 3$	124

Page

.

Figure		Page
30	Resonator with a hole in both mirrors. The geometric output is through the annulus of area $\pi(H_2^2 - H_1^2)$ and through the annulus of area $\pi(A_1^2 - A_2^2)$	126
31a	Eigenvalues of the resonator of Figure 30 for . ND = $(D^2/B\lambda)=1$ , NH1 = $(H1^2/B\lambda)=1$ , M=2, $\ell = 0$	127
315	<pre>l = 1</pre>	128
31c	L = 2	129
31d	l = 3	130
32a	Eigenvalues of the resonator of Figure 30 for ND = $(D^2/B\lambda)=2$ , NH1= $(H1^2/B\lambda)=2$ , M = 2, $\ell = 0$	131
32Ъ	£ = 1	132
32c	£ = 2	133
32d	L = 3	134
33a	Eigenvalues of the resonator of Figure 30 for ND = $(D^2/B\lambda)=2$ , NH1 = $(H1^2/B\lambda)=1$ , M = 2, $\ell = 0$	136
33ъ	l = 1	137
33c	L = 2	138
33d	l = 3	139
34a	Eigenvalues of the resonator of Figure 30 for H1 = A1/2, D = A1/2, M = 3, $\ell$ =0	140
34ъ	l = 3	141
35a	Eigenvalues of the resonator of Figure 30 for H1 = A1/4, D = A1/2, M = 2, $\ell$ = 0	142
35Ъ	l = 3	143
36a	Eigenvalues of the resonator of Figure 30 for H1 = A1/4, D = $3A1/4$ , M = 2, $\ell$ = 0	144
36Ъ	l = 3	145
37a	Eigenvalues of the resonator of Figure 30 for H1 = A1/2, D = $3A1/4$ , M = 2, $\ell$ = 0	147
37ъ	l = 3	148
38a	Eigenvalues of the resonator of Figure 30 for $HI = 3A1/4$ , D = A1, M = 2, $\ell = 0$	149

の行うとならない

38Ъ	L = 3 150	)
39	Transformation regions for the complex transform	
	$W = \exp Z$ where $W = u + iv$ and $Z = x + iy$ 152	:
	The malana of the remonstor of Figure 30 for HI + MIVE.	

### ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

A	Quter radius of mirror
B	Mirror separation
D	Radius of mirror focal ring
H	Radius of hole in mirror
M	Geometric field magnification factor
N	Fresnel number
R	Mirror radius of curvature
٤	Azimuthal mode index
<b>m</b>	Radial mode index
Y	eigenvalue
λ	wavelength
HUR	Resonator 1 of Figure 1
HUR CC	Resonator 2 of Figure 1
HUR NB	Resonator 3 of Figure 1
HUR NB	CC Resonator 4 of Figure 1

をなっているまでの

# SECTION I

The objective of this study was to investigate large mode volume hole-coupled optical resonators for high power laser applications. The work program was divided into six tasks with the following primary objectives.

Task 1 - To determine the usefulness of hole coupled resonators a computer program was to be developed to calculate and plot the eigenvalues, the internal resonator fields, the far-field pattern of the output beam, and the sensitivity of length misadjustment. Two specified hole-coupled resonators were to be analyzed.

Task 2 - A computer program was to be created to optimize the figure of laser resonator mirrors such that the dominant resonator mode would be the best fit, in a least squares sense to a desired, user specified, mode. The test case would be a uniform amplitude and uniform phase distribution over a circular aperture at the output plane of the resonator. Once the mirror figures were found, the resonator was to be analyzed using the tools developed in Task 1.

Task 3 - A study was to be made to determine the ratio of annular to hole output coupling required to achieve good azimuthal mode discrimination in a designated resonator configuration.

Task 4 - A study similar to that of Task 3 was to be conducted for a resonator with holes in both mirrors.

Task 5 - An investigation was to be made of the possibility of using conformal mapping techniques to transform circular geometries to rectangular geometries where the resonator eigenmode calculations could be expedited using the fast Fourier transform.

Task 6 - The field fitting program of Task 2 was to be improved and applied to various "desired" mode shapes while noting the effects on the azimuthal mode discrimination properties of the associated resonators.

The results of Tasks 1 through 5 are presented in Sections II through VI, respectively. Since the results of Task 6 primarily yielded improvements in the results of Task 2, they are included in Section III. The capabilities of two computer programs developed during the contract period are described in Sections II and III. Details related to the calculation methods used in the programs are contained in appendixes A and B.

Table 2 - A computer program was to be institut to optimize the figure of laser resonator alignes such that the solution resonator code would be the best fit, in a least squares saded to initiated, east enclipted, mode. The past case would be a college applicate and outlong phases distribution over a structure aperture at the compat phase of the resonance form the reals developed in the screeter was to be mainteed using the tools developed in these 1.

Tess ? - A study haw to be made to decemble the rathe of secular to bale output conflicts-required to echieve good estructed which the insticorus & designated resension configuration:

Table 4 - A stady similar to that of Table 3 was to be conjusted for a tessoored with total a

Same > - an invarianter was to de mus of the possibility of antrconformal memories teaming a to transfere of relation generaties to reductgular reconvertes where the resemption effective calculations cannot be encatted withouthe first fourter relation. TASK 1 - RESULTS

SECTION II

### A. General Information

A computer program has been developed and used to analyze the eigenmodes of several hole-coupled resonators. The program can compute and plot: 1) the eigenvalues versus the outer resonator Fresnel number, 2) the internal resonator fields (magnitude and phase), and 3) the far-field patterns of circular cylinderical resonators having mirrors of arbitrary radial figure in the Fresnel approximation.

The method of calculation used in the program is similar to that described by Siegman and Miller in their article on the Prony method [1]\*, but with appropriate modifications to accommodate arbitrary radial mirror figures. To dispense with the problem of the "spurious" eigenvalues which they described, the Prony calculation itself is iterated to insure converged (or non-converged) solutions. The initial vector for each Prony calculation after the first one is taken as the sum of the normalized eigenvectors computed during the previous calculation. In cases where convergence is not achieved after a specified number of iterations, those eigenvalues and eigenfunctions are discarded. More specific details concerning the method of calculation and computer programming are contained in Appendix A.

Two hole-coupled resonators were identified by the Air Force project officer [2] as test cases for analysis using the computer program. The mirror profiles of these two resonators, designated HUR and HUR CC are depicted in Figure 1. The other two resonators shown in the same figure are considered "negative branch" versions of the first two. These four resonators are confocal versions of a more general class of resonators

\*Numbers in brackets indicate references.



Figure 1 - Four Confocal Hole-Coupled Resonator Configurations (M - Magnification, D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> are the radii of the focal rings of Mirrors 1 and 2, respectively.) in which the mirrors are conic sections with arbitrary off-axis spherical curvature. These are "confocal" but not in the usual sense because the mirrors have focal rings rather than focal points. The radii of the focal rings are designated  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  for mirrors 1 and 2, respectively. Both mirrors have an outer radius of A. Mirror 2 has a central output aperture, or hole, of radius H. A magnification M = A/(A-H) is associated with the first two resonators, and a negative magnification M = A/(H-A) is associated with the "negative branch" configurations.

The computer program was used to plot the radial mode eigenvalues versus the outer resonator Fresnel number  $N = A^2/(B\lambda)$  (B-mirror separation,  $\lambda$ -wavelength) for various magnifications and azimuthal mode indices  $\ell$  (where azimuthal variation of the form exp ( $\pm j \ell \phi$ ) has been assumed). The program was also used to plot the internal resonator fields and the far-field patterns for various Fresnel numbers. Representative plots are shown and discussed in the following subsections.

B. Analysis of Resonator 1 - HUR

Figures 2 through 6 show the eigenvalue plots for resonator 1 of Figure 1 for magnifications  $M = \sqrt{2}$ , 5/3, 2, 3, and 8, respectively. The eigenvalues intertwine in a slightly more complicated fashion than those of the conventional unstable resonator (e.g., see reference 1). An even more significant difference between the mode of this resonator and a conventional unstable resonator is that only for very small Fresnel numbers (N=1) is the *k*=0 mode dominant. (The dominant mode at a given Fresnel number is the mode with the largest eigenvalue magnitude  $|\gamma|$  or lowest losses  $1 - |\gamma|^2$ .) For example, in comparing parts a, b, c, and d of Figure 2 for  $M = \sqrt{2}$ , the *k*=0, 1, 2, and 3 azimuthal modes are dominant within the approximate Fresnel number ranges 0-1.5, 1.5-5.5, 5.5-9.5, and 9.5-?, respectively.



Figure 2a Eigenvalues of HUR for  $M = \sqrt{2}$ ,  $\ell = 0$ 



Figure 2b & = 1



Figure 2c  $\ell = 2$ 

こうち ひろうちょうち ちちちち うちょう ちちちちちち



Figure 2d L = 3



Figure 3a Eigenvalues of HUR for M = 5/3, l = 0



Figure 3b & = 1



Figure 3c  $\ell = 2$ 

の一般のないないが、これではない

and the second land



Figure 4a Eigenvalues of HUR for M = 2,  $\ell = 0$ 



Figure 4b  $\ell = 1$ 



Figure 4c  $\ell = 2$ 



Figure 4d L = 3





and a second second



Figure 5b & = 1

the second state and the second state of the s



Figure 5c  $\ell = 2$ 

after and the second states which was the same of two man and



Figure 5d L = 3



Figure 6a Eigenvalues of HUR for M = 8,  $\ell = 0$


Figure 6a Continued

ションキンない とうないのかのい

and the second second

21.1



Figure 6b L = 1



Figure 6c L = 2

N.



Figure 6d L = 3

Figure 7 shows the radial eigenmode of field plots for the lowest order azimuthal mode &=0, for M=2 and N=6. The eigenvalues for the three radial modes can be found in Figure 4a at N=6. Figure 7a shows the amplitude and phase of the three lowest loss radial modes in a plane just after being reflected from mirror 1. Probably the most striking feature is the rather sharp magnitude peak at the center of the mirror (relative radial coordinate equal to zero). The phase of the lowest loss radial mode decreases approximately 90° from the center of the mirror to the outer edge (relative radial coordinate equal unity). The phase of the next lowest loss mode on the other hand increases to approximately 90° near the outer edge but then decreases to near zero at the edge. The phase profile of the third lowest loss mode has even more variation.

Figure 7b shows the same fields except plotted in a plane just incident on mirror 2. At this magnification the radius of the hole in mirror 2 is one half the outer mirror radius. Since the fraction of the field in the hole relative to that on the mirror increases for the higher order radial modes it is easy to understand that the higher order modes have more losses. Both the magnitude and the phase of the lowest loss radial mode are fairly uniform in the output hole which results in the near "ideal" far-field beam profile shown in Figure 7c. For comparison, the far-field pattern corresponding to uniform illumination of the hole with the same power as in the lowest order radial mode for &=0 is also shown. Finally the integrated far-field intensity associated with the two far-field plots of Figure 7c are shown in Figure 7d. Out to the half-power beam width, the two plots are virtually identical. The fact that there is slightly more power within a given beam angle larger



Figure 7a Radial mode profiles reflected from mirror 1 of HUR for M = 2,  $\ell = 0$ , N = 6













rouer ourput from this type resonator in the 2+0 mode will require that rime pathod he destand which would discriminate against the higher order astauthal modes.

Figure 11 Giove die eigenwalwes for the four inwest other stimuted ordee for resonants 2 et Signin 1 with Me2. Only for Frankl anabers in that 6.5 is the 200 Hold Holiner. The computer program has considerable difficulties is folfowing converged substime for Frances mashers greater that 8.6. A clust to the fillentity one he socatore by exactning the complex field structure for the and 10 middle in Figures 17 and 11 than the beam width for the non-uniformally illuminated aperture relative to the uniformally illuminated aperture is probably not too significant, since the power is reduced there.

Figures 8,9, and 10 show the fields for the same conditions as Figure 7 for the azimuthal mode indices l = 1, 2, and 3. For these higher order azimuthal modes, the field is zero on the axis. Also, it can be seen that the fields tend to "move" radially outward for increasing azimuthal mode index. In comparing the field magnitude profiles at mirror 2 for the l=0 and l=3 dominant radial modes (Figure 7b and 10b), it is understandable that the l=3 mode has less loss than the l=0mode since there is relatively little field in the hole for the l=3 mode.

For all the magnifications considered, the l=0 modes were dominant only at very small Fresnel numbers. Increasing the size of the hole from zero apparently increases the losses more rapidly for the l=0 mode than the higher order azimuthal modes. Thus, to obtain any significant power output from this type resonator in the l=0 mode will require that some method be devised which would discriminate against the higher order azimuthal modes.

C. Analysis of Resonator 2 - HUR CC

Figure 11 shows the eigenvalues for the four lowest order azimuthal modes for resonator 2 of Figure 1 with M=2. Only for Fresnel numbers less than 0.5 is the l=0 mode dominant. The computer program has considerable difficulties in achieving converged solutions for Fresnel numbers greater than N=6. A clue to the difficulty can be obtained by examining the complex field structure for the l=0 and l=1 modes in Figures 12 and 13 at N=4. The large number of grid points required to delineate these



















Figure 9a Radial mode profiles reflected from mirror 1 of HUR for M = 2,  $\ell = 2$ , N = 6









an ana the second secon







bis show infinite restance to investigation of the second statements of the second statements with the second statements of the second statements







Figure 10b Radial mode profiles in a plane incident at mirror 2.















Figure 11b & = 1



Figure 11c & = 2



Figure 11d & = 3







and the second s

Figure 12b Radial mode profiles incident on a plane at mirror 2



Figure 12c Far-field patterns





and the second state of th





こう こうちょうちょう ちょうちょう





A STATE OF THE STA









"interference" patterns makes the calculations at Fresnel numbers larger than about N=6 prohibitively expensive. Even if the L=0 were dominant at reasonably large Fresnel numbers, this resonator would be objectionable because of the poor far-field performance as seen in Figure 12d. From these and other calculations it has been concluded that this resonator configuration would not provide a high quality output beam.

## D. Analysis of Resonators 3 and 4

Even though resonators 3 and 4 were not specifically designated for analysis, some preliminary calculations were made to determine their potential for use as hole-coupled resonators. Figures 14, 15, and 16 show the eigenvalues calculated for resonator 3 of Figure 1 for magnifications M=-1, -2, and -3. These plots are interesting in that the radial mode eigenvalues do not cross as has been the case for all previously examined stable and unstable resonators. Another interesting and potentially more important aspect of these graphs is that the azimuthal mode eigenvalues tend to become degenerate as the Fresnel number increases. Thus only minimal azimuthal mode discrimination should be required to achieve &=0 mode operation. Hence if the theory of reference [3] about the role of mode volume with regard to mode discrimination by an active medium is correct, then the &=0 mode could be the dominant mode when the resonator contains a saturable gain medium since the &=0 mode is the only mode that does not have zero amplitude on axis.

The excellent radial mode discrimination and the possibility that *l*=0 mode may be favored because of its mode volume make resonator 3 the most promising hole-coupled resonator investigated to date. In addition to continued theoretical analysis, an experimental study of this type of resonator should be conducted.






Figure 14b & = 2



Figure 15a Eigenvalues of HUR NB for M = -2,  $\ell = 0$ 



Figure 15b & = 1



Figure 15c  $\ell = 2$ 





the second se

11. A.

the second second



Figure 16b & = 1





A few calculations of the eigenvalues of resonator 4 (not shown) revealed that the higher order azimuthal modes have lower losses than the l=0 mode. Therefore no further analysis of this configuration seems worthwhile, since we are primarily interested in only those resonators where the l=0 mode would be dominant.

### E. Sensitivity to Length Misadjustment

One method to determine the sensitivity of the eigenmode characteristics to length misadjustment would be to calculate the eigenmodes for different distances between the mirrors with all other parameters fixed. A second method is to note that changing the resonator length a small amount, to first order, just changes the resonator Fresnel number. Hence, to determine for example the sensitivity of the eigenvalue magnitude  $|\gamma|$  to mirror separation B, one would compute

 $S_{B}^{|Y|} = \frac{B}{|Y|} \frac{\partial |Y|}{\partial B} \approx \frac{B}{|Y|} \frac{\Delta |Y|}{\Delta B}$ 

where the A signifies a small change in the respective parameter. Note

$$\frac{\Delta |\mathbf{Y}|}{\Delta \mathbf{B}} \approx \frac{\Delta |\mathbf{Y}|}{\Delta \mathbf{N}} \frac{\Delta \mathbf{N}}{\Delta \mathbf{B}} \approx -\frac{\mathbf{N}}{\mathbf{B}} \frac{\Delta |\mathbf{Y}|}{\Delta \mathbf{N}}$$

「「「「「「」」」

since the Fresnel number N is inversely proportional to B. Thus

$$S_{B}^{|Y|} \simeq \frac{N}{|Y|} \frac{\Delta|Y|}{\Delta N} = - \frac{N_{1}}{|Y_{1}|} \frac{|Y_{2}| - |Y_{1}|}{N_{2} - N_{1}}$$

where (from the eigenvalue graphs)  $|\gamma_1|$  and  $|\gamma_2|$  are the eigenvalue magnitudes at Fresnel numbers N<sub>1</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> corresponding to the mirror separations B and B +  $\Delta$ B, respectively. In a similar manner other sensitivities related to Fresnel number changes can be computed.

# SECTION III

### **RESULTS OF TASKS 2 AND 6**

A. Introduction

A computer program has been developed which calculates the resonator mirror figures to obtain an optimum fit in a least squares sense to a specified, or desired, radial eigenmode amplitude and phase profile. A description of the calculation technique used in the computer program is given in Appendix B. The program was to be tested by noting how well it performed in trying to achieve: 1) a maximum mode volume, 2) uniform amplitude and phase in the output of a hole-coupled resonator, and 3) maximum far-field on-axis intensity. Tests conducted during work on Task 2 indicated that the program performed satisfactorily except when the resonator had little mode discrimination. Later while working on Task 6, the Prony method of calculating the fields, which was used in Task 1, was incorporated into the program, and the program's performance improved significantly. The test cases were repeated, and better fits to the desired fields were obtained. These latter tests results are described in the following subsections, along with some additional test results obtained during Task 6.

Before results are described, the main limitation of this approach to designing resonators should be mentioned. The program calculates the mirror curvatures, or figure, for an optimum fit of the lowest loss radial mode of a particular azimuthal mode index to the desired mode profile. In all the test cases, the l=0 mode index was used. After the "optimum" resonator had been found, it was analyzed using the tools of Task 1. In almost all cases, a higher order azimuthal mode was found to have fewer losses than the l=0 mode for which the resonator was designed. To assure that the resonator is designed for the lowest loss radial and

azimuthal mode, the one dimensional radial field propagator would have to be replaced with a full mirror propagator. Neither time nor sufficient computer funds were available to make this important modification.

B. Maximum Mode Volume

In an attempt to achieve a maximum mode volume, the program was given the task of trying to achieve a uniform field magnitude over the entire output plane of the hole-coupled resonator shown in Figure 17. Maximizing the field in one "plane" does not guarantee a maximum mode "volume", but the program is currently structured to fit fields in only one plane. Since the least squares minimization procedure finds a local minimum for the mean square error, it is best that the initial resonator configuration be one in which the fields are already close to the desired fields.

The beginning and ending resonator configurations along with the eigenvalues for the two lowest order radial and four lowest order azimuthal modes indices (m=0,1 and l=0,1,2,3) are shown in Figure 17a. Figure 17b shows the desired, beginning, and ending field on the output mirror (2) for l = 0. Note that the ending field magnitude is a very good fit to the desired field but that the eigenvalue for this mode ( $|\gamma_{00}|=0.656$ ) is not the largest eigenvalue. For reference purposes the associated fields on the other mirror (1) are shown in Figure 17c.

It appears that the ending field on mirror 1 has less mode "volume" than the beginning field, but the situation is somewhat distorted by the central peak since the fields are normalized by peak amplitudes rather than average intensity as would be required for a fair mode volume comparison. Apparently the uniform fill on mirror 2 was obtained somewhat





.









at the expense of the fill on mirror 1. The far-field beam patterns of Figure 17d were calculated for the fields in the output aperture normalized to the same power level in the aperture. The relative peak values given are measured relative to the uniformly illuminated (uniform amplitude and phase) aperture field. The plot symbol + for the uniformly illuminated case is somewhat obscured by the plot symbol 0 used for the initial field. In any event, the far-field pattern of the beginning resonator is better than that of the ending resonator.

In an attempt to improve the far-field pattern while maintaining a maximum mode "volume", an additional constraint was imposed on the desired field: that its phase be uniform in the output aperture. The beginning (same as previous case) and ending resonator mirror configurations are shown in Figure 18a. The desired, beginning, and ending fields on mirror 2 are shown in Figure 18b. The final field magnitude, as might be expected, is not as good a fit to the desired magnitude due to the additional constraint on the phase of the field in the aperture. The phase fit in the aperture is good and results in an almost ideal far-field pattern as shown in Figure 18d. Again, for reference purposes the beginning and ending fields on mirror 1 are shown (Figure 18c). The ending field "fills" the mirror very well except for the small region near the axis.

C. Uniform Magnitude and Phase in the Output Hole

A test of the computer program was made in an attempt to achieve uniform magnitude and phase in the output aperture of a hole-coupled resonator. The initial resonator configuration was chosen as that of the HUR described in Task 1 (resonator 1 of Figure 1). The beginning





and a second design of the second day and a second second second second second day and the second second second



Figure 18a Schematic and eigenvalues of resonator to achieve a uniform field magnitude and uniform phase in hole at mirror 2, N = 4,  $\ell = 0$ 



Figure 18b Fields in a plane incident of mirror 2







and ending mirror profiles are depicted in Figure 19a. Note that even though mirror 2 was initially convex with respect to the interior of the resonator, it ended as concave. The fields for the beginning and ending resonators at mirror 1, at mirror 2, and in the far-field are shown in plots b,c and d. As can be seen, the fit to the desired field on mirror 2 is excellent, the mode fill is increased over that of the HUR on both mirrors, and the far-field is virtually identical with that of the uniformly illuminated aperture case. The associated integrated far-field intensities, "power in the bucket" curves are shown in plot 19e. The total power within the half-power beam width for the two cases are about equal; however, for all beam angles greater than the half-power beam angle, the HUR integrated intensity exceeds that of the uniformly illuminated aperture. The "tapered" magnitude profile reduces the power in the side-lobes relative to the main lobe.

Next, a test was made to see if good results could be achieved for a resonator with a Fresnel number of N=8 which was twice that used for the previous tests. The beginning and ending resonator configurations and the associated field plots are shown in Figures 20a through 20e. As can be seen the results are very good. For even larger Fresnel number calculations it would probably be necessary to let the program calculate the mirror curvature to higher (than second) order.

## D. Maximum On Axis Far-Field Intensity

From an analysis of the far-field integral equation for a circular aperture it can be shown that for given power flow through a fixed aperture the maximum on-axis far-field intensity is achieved when the field in the aperture is uniform. Therefore, it was decided to have the program attempt to maximize the power within a given beam angle, rather than to maximize the







THE FARTERS







Figure 19d Far-field patterns

and the second state was and the second second

さ 「こうちょうちょう









2 OF 2 D 034079	N.B.	12			A second	Joseph -		( state	23	16.5	17 10	17.23
	Management and M	8 **1				milerer (111111 milerer (111111 milerer (111111	L'and		30			
The second												LINE .
			IEEE.								CHEL	LULL.
							-MINI	-UNIX-	- ETIN-			ALL.
			.MM.	I THEN	MM		MIN					
	-		merenanda (f									
					<ul> <li>Special calendary,</li> <li>Special calendary</li></ul>	A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC	END DATE FILMED 2-77					

# AD A034079







----



and the second s





Figure 20d Far-field patterns





and the second second

the state of the second second

far-field on-axis intensity. The approach taken was to request that the program find a resonator whose integrated far-field intensity was equal to its maximum possible value over a specified beam angle. Of course the ideal far-field magnitude profile which would satisfy this condition would be an on-axis delta function. The beginning and ending resonator configurations are shown in Figure 21a. Figure 21b shows the resulting integrated far-field intensity, and it can be seen that the final intensity is a better fit to the desired intensity than that of the uniformly illuminated aperture. This results in a significant decrease in the first side lobe peak relative to the reference as shown in Figure 21c. In Figure 21d it can be seen that the field magnitude in the aperture of mirror 2 is tapered and the phase is uniform. The final field on mirror 1 in Figure 21e, although itself quite peaked on axis, is more slowly varying than the fields of the other hole-coupled configurations examined.

E. A "Hole-Coupled" Resonator with a Dominant L=O Mode

Many additional tests have been made of the program's ability to fit desired fields, and in most tests the program was able to achieve a good fit. Once the resonator parameters were found, the eigenvalues for the higher order azimuthal modes were calculated using the program developed during Task 1. It was found that none of the hole-coupled resonators had good azimuthal mode discrimination. The only hole-coupled resonators found for which the l=0 mode was dominant had very high losses over the outer edges of the mirror(s).

One "hole-coupled" resonator in which the 2=0 mode was dominant is shown is Figure 22. The mirror profiles are extremely exaggerated to show their general shape. No R and D values are given because the mirror figures were calculated to third order. The beginning configuration

87



Figure 21a Schematic and eigenvalues of resonator to achieve a maximum integrated far-field intensity, N = 4,  $\ell = 0$ 







2.






Figure 21d Field in a plane incident on mirror 2

-----

-----



Figure 21e Field in a plane reflected from mirror 1



Figure 22a Schematic and eigenvalues of resonator to achieve uniform phase as indicated in Figure 22b, N = 9, l = 0













「ものない

is actually the ending configuration of a previous test where the desired field was specified as uniform phase in a plane incident on mirror 2 out to  $r = A_1$  where  $A_1$  is the radius of mirror 1. No restriction was placed on the field magnitude. In this case the requirement that the phase be uniform on the mirror was removed. Except near the edge of the hole where the field amplitude is relatively small, the phase of the final field is very close to the desired field phase. The mode discrimination ratio

$$\left|\frac{\Upsilon_{00}}{\Upsilon_{20}}\right| = \frac{0.515}{0.478} = 1.08$$

was the largest obtained for any hole-coupled resonator examined, except where the Fresnel number of the hole was trivially small. Even in this case the Fresnel number of the hole is only

$$MHI = (1/3)^2 N = 1$$

and less than half of the total output is through the hole.

# F. Rimmed Resonator Test

One additional test will be described to further illustrate the utility of the program. The program was given the task of adjusting the slope and curvature of the rim on one of the resonators described by Lax et al. [3]. They state that "...transverse mode can be suppressed at high power levels, provided the ratio of the fundamental-mode-irradiance spatial minimum to maximum exceeds a minimum value". Using the fitting program the fundamental mode irradiance can be tailored very easily. The beginning configuration (Figure 23a) was chosen without regard to their suggestion that the mirror and rim Fresnel numbers be non-integral or that  $N_1 \approx N$ . We note that there is little azimuthal discrimination since

 $\left|\frac{Y_{00}}{Y_{10}}\right| = \frac{0.985}{0.974} = 1.01$ 



and the second second

Figure 23a Rimmed resonator schematic (and eigenvalues) to achieve the desired field profile shown in Figure 23b, N = 4,  $\ell = 0$ 

for the starting resonator. The field profile (Figure 23b) of the 1=0 mode for the beginning resonator is interesting in that the phase in the plane at the mirror(s) is almost perfectly flat. The desired magnitude was chosen to try to make the field amplitude more uniform over the mirror without increasing the losses too much, and the desired phase is that of a spherical wave coming from the virtual focus (see reference 4) of the geometric resonator mode with no rim. The final field magnitude profile is "flatter," and the azimuthal mode discrimination is somewhat improved in the ending resonator, but at the expense of higher losses over the mirror edges. It appears that the magnitude fit is not as good as might be expected, probably due to the non-uniform magnitude and phase weighting functions of  $W_m$  and  $W_p$ . The magnitude fit could be improved at the expense of the phase fit simply by increasing the W weights relative to the W weights. It is not understood, however, why the phase profile is not an even better fit than that shown. It is interesting that the rim was virtually straightened out to conform to the curvature of the central portion of the resonator. In any event, the ratio of the minimum to the maximum field values is 0.5 and hence satisfies the criteria stated in [3] for achieving good azimuthal mode discrimination.

#### G. Conclusions

In conclusion, the program is able to calculate mirror curvatures to fit a desired radial mode profile for no azimuthal variations. It has been found that requiring the field magnitude of the *l*=0 mode to be uniform in the output aperture virtually assures that the *l*=0 mode will not be the lowest loss mode (i.e. that higher order azimuthal modes will have lower losses in the same resonator). This suggests that possibly



Figure 23b Fields in a plane at mirror 1 (or 2). Wm is the magnitude error weighting function and Wp is the phase error weighting function (weighting function described in Appendix B)

the program should be required to achieve uniform amplitude in the hole for the lowest loss azimuthal mode to increase its losses relative to the 2=0 mode. The "brute force" way to obtain 2=0 mode operation would be to have the program adjust the azimuthal mirror profile in addition to the radial profile. This procedure, while straightforward with regard to generalizing the program to calculate the full resonator field, would be more costly with regard to the computer time required.

In the limiting case where forming radius but the restricted degenerates that a summary load matrix is provided in the restriction which is the second of the restriction of the restriction which is the second of the restriction of the re

and a second second second

## SECTION IV

### TASK 3 RESULTS

The objective of Task 3 was to determine the required ratio of annular to hole coupling such that the resonator of Figure 24 would have good azimuthal mode discrimination. To facilitate the discussion, we define the geometric ratio of the area of the annular output region  $\pi A_2^2 - \pi A_1^2$  to the area of the hole  $\pi H_2^2$ 

$$R = \frac{A_2^2 - A_1^2}{H_2^2}$$

In the limiting case where focal ring radius D=0 the resonator degenerates into a conventional unstable positive branch confocal resonator which is known to have good azimuthal mode discrimination. In this case H2=0 and  $R = \infty$ . In another limiting case where D=A<sub>1</sub> the resonator degenerates into resonator 1 of Figure 1, which was investigated in Task 1 and found to have poor azimuthal mode discrimination. In this second case the area of the annulus is zero so that R=O. As the area of the hole increases and R decreases the azimuthal mode discrimination ratio (magnitude of the ratio of the largest 1=0 eigenvalue to the next largest eigenvalue for any 2) will decrease. Somewhere within the range 0 < R <  $\infty$  the loss for the L=O mode will equal the loss of one or more of the higher order azimuthal modes, and the mode discrimination ratio will be unity. It was hoped that a large fraction of the output could be hole coupled (R << 1) before this breakeven point was reached. To determine how much hole coupling could be allowed, while maintaining acceptable azimuthal mode discrimination the computer program developed under Task 1 was used to calculate the eigenvalues of the resonator for various values of D.



Figure 24 Resonator with hole and annular output coupling

The experimental value area contained for  $0 \approx 4502$  for the proviews case is  $e\sqrt{T}$  and by so siders in Figures is and 12. As in the proviews case the eximates index of the dominant mode increases as the Freehol number increases. Thus is is concluded that only for relatively small. Freehol contenes could any al the resonance contract be bala-actualized to the info adds. Taxes freehold and the too model to be bala-actualized to the high power laser applications.

Figures 25 and 26 show the eigenvalues for the case where D=0 for magnifications  $M = \sqrt{2}$  and 3. As is seen, at a fixed magnification, the l=0 mode has the lowest losses (the largest eigenvalue), and the losses increase as l increases. It is evident in comparing Figure 25a and 25b that the mode discrimination ratio decreases as the Fresnel number increases.

Figure 27 shows the lowest loss eigenvalues for D approximately one third of the radius of mirror 1 and for a magnification M=3.0. In this case the radius of the hole  $H_2 = 2/9A_1$  and the inner radius of the output annulus  $A_2 = (5/9)$  A1. Hence

$$R = \frac{1 - (5/9)^2}{((1/3)^2)} = 14$$

which means that only about 1/14th the total output could be hole-coupled. A comparison of the different azimuthal mode plots shows that the l=0 mode is dominant in the Fresnel number interval 0 < N < 4, the l=1 mode is dominant for 4 < N < 15, and the l=2 mode is dominant 15 < N < 3a higher fresnel number than is on the plot.

The eigenvalues were also calculated for D = Al/2 for magnifications  $M = \sqrt{2}$  and 3, as shown in Figures 28 and 29. As in the previous case the azimuthal index of the dominant mode increases as the Fresnel number increases. Thus it is concluded that only for relatively small Fresnel numbers could any of the resonator output be hole-coupled in the l=0 mode. These Fresnel numbers are too small to be of interest for high power laser applications.



Figure 25a Eigenvalues of resonator of Figure 24 for D = H2 = 0,  $M = \sqrt{2}$ ,  $\ell = 0$ 

a state to



Figure 25b L = 1

and the second state of the second second

in a new second stand. It.



Figure 25c & = 2

and the second state of the se



Figure 25d L = 3



•

Figure 26a Eigenvalues of the resonator of Figure 25 for D=H2=0, M = 3,  $\ell$  = 0



Figure 26b & = 1

and the second second

the state of the s



Figure 26c  $\ell = 2$ 



Figure 26d L = 3



Figure 27a Eigenvalues of the resonator of Figure 24 for  $D = 0.355 \cdot A1$ , M = 3,  $\ell = 0$ 

and a second prove the second second



Figure 27b £ = 1



Figure 27c L = 2

and the second second

and the second

.



Figure 27d & = 3

and a second s

ち ましきとう







Figure 28b & = 1



Figure 28c & = 2

美作



Figure 28d L = 3



Figure 29a Eigenvalues of the resonator of Figure 24 for D= A1/2, M = 3,  $\ell = 0$ 



Figure 295 £ = 1



Figure 29c l = 2

and the second second

an all the same for an



Figure 29d  $\ell = 3$ 

#### SECTION V

#### TASK 4 RESULTS

The purpose of Task 4 was to study the behavior of the eigenmodes of the resonator shown in Figure 30. This resonator is similar to the one in Figure 24 which was analyzed in Task 3 except the centerline has in effect been "blown up" into a circular cylinder of radius H1. This resonator could be used when there is an on-axis obscuration within the region  $r \leq Al$  caused, for example, by the nozzle(s) of a chemical laser. For parameterization purposes the Fresnel numbers NH1 = H1<sup>2</sup>/(B $\lambda$ ) and ND = D<sup>2</sup>/(B $\lambda$ ) were defined. The behavior of the eigenmodes was analyzed for various values of NH1 and ND as a function of the outer resonator Fresnel number N = A1<sup>2</sup>/(B $\lambda$ ).

Figure 31 shows the resonator eigenvalues for ND = 1, NH = 1, and M = 2. This choice of parameters results in a conventional unstable confocal resonator whose centerline has been blown up from a radius of zero to a radius of H1 which corresponds to a Fresnel number of 1. As seen the dominant radial modes for l=0 and l=1 have essentially identical eigenvalues over the range of Fresnel numbers considered 2 < N < 10. The losses of the l=2 and l=3 modes increase relative to those of the l=0 mode for increasing N.

Figure 32 shows the resonator eigenvalues for ND and NH1 increased to a value of 2 for M = 2. This increase in H1 results in less azimuthal mode discrimination. It is apparent, that increasing the size of the centerline (or H1) tends to make the azimuthal mode eigenvalues become degenerate.





and the second sec


Figure 31a Eigenvalues of the resonator of Figure 30 for  $ND = (D^2/B\lambda) = 1$ ,  $NH1 = (H1^2/B\lambda) = 1$ , M = 2,  $\ell = 0$ 



Figure 31b & = 1

and the second s

A man and an



Figure 31c  $\ell = 2$ 

a sub-state and the statement



Figure 31d l = 3



Figure 32a Eigenvalues of the resonator of Figure 30 for  $ND = (D^2/B\lambda) = 2$ ,  $NH1 = (H1^2/B\lambda) = 2$ , M = 2,  $\ell = 0$ 

- 131



Figure 32b l = 1



Figure 32c  $\ell = 2$ 



Figure 32d  $\ell = 3$ 

the second se

こうちち ていまち

-----

In an attempt to increase the azimuthal mode discrimination for NHI = 2 and M = 2, the value of ND was decreased to 1. Geometrically, this decrease in D should increase the rate at which the fields are coupled over the outer edge of mirror 2. Since the higher order azimuthal mode fields tend to peak further out radially then the lower order azimuthal modes, the output coupling for the higher order modes should increase more rapidly than for the l=0 mode. The calculated eigenvalue magnitudes in Figure 33 for this case are smaller than those in Figure 32, indicating there is indeed more output coupling. However, there is no significant increase in the azimuthal mode discrimination.

The eigenvalues were also calculated for larger ratios of Hl and D to Al. For these cases the plots for the four lowest order azimuthal modes were very similar with the greatest differences being between the l=0 and l=3 plots. Since the l=1 and l=2 plots lie between the l=0 and l=3 plots, they are not shown.

Figure 34 shows the eigenvalues for HI = A1/2 and D = A1/2 at a magnification M =3. Since D = H1 this resonator is like that of a conventional unstable resonator except the centerline has been expanded to a radius of H1.

Figure 35 shows the eigenvalues for HI = A1/4, D = A1/2, and M = 2. Figure 36 shows the eigenvalues for the same conditions except D = 3A1/4 which increases the area of the smaller annulus relative to the outer annulus. There is very little difference in the eigenvalues for the two cases.



Figure 33a Eigenvalues of the resonator of Figure 30 for  $ND = (D^2/B\lambda) = 2$ ,  $NH1 = (H1^2/B\lambda) = 1$ , M = 2,  $\ell = 0$ 

and the second second

A true and a second



Figure 33b & = 1

and a more after a set and a set and a set of the set o



Figure 33c & = 2



Figure 33d & = 3

to a set and the set of the set o

\* wominian



Figure 34a Eigenvalues of the resonator of Figure 30 for H1 = A1/2, D = A1/2, M = 3,  $\ell$  = 0



Figure 34b & = 3

A PARTICULAR AND A

-----

141

.



Figure 35a Eigenvalues of the resonator of Figure 30 for H1 = A1/4, D = A1/2, M = 2, & = 0



Figure 35b & = 3

and in communication and some manufacture white a

the send the second



Figure 36a Eigenvalues of the resonator of Figure 30 for H1 = A1/4, D = 3A1/4, M = 2,  $\ell = 0$ 

and the second second



Figure 36b & = 3

Figure 37 shows the eigenvalues for HI = A1/2, D = 3/4A1 and M = 2. Figure 38 is for the same conditions except D = A1. For this last case where D = A1 the resonator is like that of resonator 1 of Figure 1 except the centerline is of radius A1/2.

Comparing all the figures in this section shows increasing the hole sizes in the mirrors decreases the azimuthal mode discrimination, but increases the radial mode discrimination. This suggests an interesting relationship between the radial and azimuthal modes and also suggests the following. Since cutting a section out of the resonator along a line where the radial coordinate is a constant increases the radial mode discrimination, then cutting sections out along lines where azimuthal coordinate is a constant should lead to an increase in azimuthal mode discrimination. A study of "wedge-coupled" resonators should be made to determine behavior of their eigenmodes.



Figure 37a Eigenvalues of the resonator of Figure 30 for HI = A1/2, D = 3A1/4, M = 2,  $\ell = 0$ 

147



Figure 37b L = 3

and the second s



Figure 38a Eigenvalues of the resonator of Figure 30 for H1 = 3A1/4, D = A1, M = 2,  $\ell$  = 0



Figure 38b & = 3

#### SECTION VI

## TASK 5 RESULTS

The purpose of Task 5 was to investigate the possibility of using conformal mapping techniques to transform circular geometries to rectangular geometries where the resonator eigenmode calculations could be expedited using the fast Fourier transform. The conformal transformation [5]

> W = exp (Z) where W = u + iv = r exp ( $j\phi$ ) and Z = x + iy

maps rings in the complex W plane into rectangles in the Z plane as shown in Figure 39. It was hoped that the transform could be used to link the eigenmodes of strip resonator calculations to the eigenmodes of circular resonators. A problem arose which aborted the exercise.

The transform dictates

#### r = exp(x)

In cylindrical coordinates the field on mirror 2 at  $r_2$  for example depends in a complex manner on the field at each source point  $r_1$ . In Cartesian coordinates the field at  $x_2$  depends on the field at each source point  $x_1$  but through a Kernel which is a function of the difference in the coordinates  $x_2$  and  $x_1$ . Therefore a strip calculation can be made for a fixed width strip resonator anywhere in the Z plane. For example, if the strip mirrors are translated a distance b in the x direction relative to the origin, then the circular mirrors are scaled by a factor exp(b) in the W plane. The eigenmode losses for the resonator whose mirrors are scaled by a factor exp(b) in the W plane are not the same as the losses for the unscaled calculation, assuming the distance between



the mirrors is not changed. A possible solution would be to scale the distance between the mirrors and the wavelength by the same factor exp(b) which would make the Fresnel number of the circular resonator invarient to transverse shifts of the strip resonator as should be the case. The point has not yet been resolved.

trafigurations to neterative station of not the 4-0 mode weals to the trainant of lower loss node in the whithe responser. No surely totaereplet resonant we found in whithe 2-2 mer was algerify toutheat a set in the exhibits found in which of each Freedel makeles. The resonance (Frone 1) was found to which oil and freedel makeles. The set of the the exhibits found is which oil and the freedel makeles. The resonance (Frone 1) was found to which oil algorithmic adds had approximately should be active to a which oil algorithmic of a profile in determine the set of the state state of the state state of the state state of the state the state.

Also, a contribution par deletable developed mich descontrance the feasibility of a formation par deletableting extrem themas to another spectime radial experieds profiles. The most extinue limitation is that the program considers only the radial mode profile, where is musicame of inference is in the estimately phase profile which is of sector and relations for regram should be personalized to allow arbitrat radial and related is regram should be personalized to allow arbitrat inter figure functions can be related to contain and azimuthal with minimal antender its is regram about the radial and actions in the interest figure functions can be related to contain and azimuthal with minimal antender its of relations and a final the radial and actions in the interest and relations can be related to contain and azimuthal with minimal antender its of relations and a final the radial and actions in the interest of resonators with antender in container bala-complet subput many figure functions can be related to container bala-complete subput many and antender of resonators with antender in the radial and actions with minimal antender with antender in the radial and actions in the relation of resonators with antender in the radial and actions in the radial and antender with antender in the radial and actions the many and a state of resonators with antender in the radial and actions there.

#### SECTION VII

#### SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, AND FUTURE WORK

A computer program was developed during Task 1 for calculating the radial eigenmodes of circular cylindrical resonators where the azimuthal variation is of the form  $\exp(\pm jl\phi)$  where l is any integer. The program was used to analyze several confocal hole-coupled resonator configurations to determine whether or not the l=0 mode would be the dominant or lowest loss mode in the unload resonator. No purely holecoupled resonator was found in which the l=0 mode was clearly dominant except for the uninteresting limit of small Fresnel numbers. One resonator (Figure 1c) was found in which all azimuthal modes had approximately equal losses (i.e., the modes were degenerate). Loaded cavity analysis should be performed using a reasonable gain saturation profile to determine the "saturated" mode profile. If these results are promising, an experimental study of the resonator should be undertaken.

Also, a computer program has been developed which demonstrates the feasibility of a technique for calculating mirror figures to achieve specified radial eigenmode profiles. The most serious limitation is that the program considers only the radial mode profile, where in most cases of interest, it is the azimuthal phase profile which is of paramount interest. The program should be generalized to allow arbitrary radial and azimuthal field variation so that the radial and azimuthal mirror figure functions can be calculated to achieve hole-coupled output with minimal azimuthal field phase variation.

Analysis of resonators with holes in both mirrors has shown that, when both the holes are much larger than a Frensel number, there is virtually no difference in the losses for the lowest order azimuthal eigenmodes, but that the radial mode discrimination is excellent. Since increased radial mode discrimination is achieved by cutting out sections of the resonator along line of constant radial coordinate (holes), it is likely that increased azimuthal mode discrimination can be achieved by cutting out sections of the resonator along lines of constant azimuthal coordinate (wedges). Therefore a study should be made of the eigenmodes of "wedge-coupled" resonators with higher order phase figures.

the second se

# TENTENDER S BAR I CHATTLE OF THE APPENDIX A

## CALCULATION OF THE RESONATOR EIGENMODES

A computer program has been developed to calculate the bare cavity scalar radial eigenmodes for exp (jt¢) azimuthal variation in circular cylindrical resonators. The resonator mirrors can have arbitrary radial curvature within the Fresnel approximation and arbitrary reflectivity. The eigenmodes are computed numerically via the Prony method as described previously by Siegman and Miller [1]. Their method is modified to allow for arbitrary mirror curvatures, and the procedure is iterated to assure convergence and accuracy of the computed eigenmodes and eigenvalues. The following three subsections extracted from the user's manual for the program discuss the relevant mathematical equations and some of the programming details.

### 1. WAVE PROPAGATION

The basic resonator integral equations for the radial field functions are [6]

$$\gamma_{\ell}^{(1)} R_{\ell}^{(1)}(r_{1}) \sqrt{r_{1}} = \int_{0}^{a_{2}} K(r_{1}, r_{2}) R_{\ell}^{(2)}(r_{2}) \sqrt{r_{2}} dr_{2}$$
(A1)

$$\gamma_{\ell}^{(2)} R_{\ell}^{(2)}(r_2) \sqrt{r_2} = \int_0^{a_1} K(r_1, r_2) R_{\ell}^{(1)}(r_1) \sqrt{r_1} dr_1$$
(A2)

where the kernel

$$K(r_1, r_2) = j^{\ell+1} \frac{k}{B} \exp[-j \frac{k}{2B} (g_1 r_1^2 + g_2 r_2^2)] \sqrt{r_1 r_2}$$
(A3)

and

- the subscripts 1 and 2 refer to mirrors 1 and 2, respectively - the phasor radial field on mirror 1, where the azimuthal

field dependence is assumed to vary as exp(jto)

- radial coordinate

- wavenumber =  $2\pi/\lambda$ 

- wavelength

R(1)

R

JL

8

8

R

a Harrison

Y(1)

- mirror separation

- Bessel function of order &

- outer mirror radius

- resonator parameter = 1 - B/R

- radius of curvature .

- single pass eigenvalue

The complete field is written as

$$E_{nl}(r) = R_{nl}(r) \exp(jl\phi)$$

where n and L are the radial and azimuthal mode indices, respectively. The fields (eigenmodes) are orthogonal on the mirror surfaces and can be normalized such that

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} E_{mk}(r) E_{n\ell}(r) r \, dr d\phi = \delta_{mn} \delta_{k\ell}$$
(A5)

(A4)

For computational convenience the integral equations will be written in a different form. Let us define a constant

 $C = j^{\ell+1} \frac{k}{B}$  (A6)

a spherical phase factor,

$$\mathbf{r}_{i}(\mathbf{r}_{i}) = \exp\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}_{i}^{2}}{-j\frac{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}_{i}}{B}}\right)$$
(A7)

and a "reflection coefficient"

$$p_{i}(r_{i}) = \exp\left(\frac{jkr_{i}^{2}}{R_{i}}\right)$$
(A8)

The latter is interpreted as a reflection coefficient (or function) since  $r_i^2/2R_i$  is the approximate distance the mirror surface is displaced from planar and thus  $kr_i^2/R_i$  is the total phase shift the wave experiences at  $r_i$  upon reflection. For higher order resonators the reflection coefficient can be generalized to

$$\rho_{4}(r_{4}) = \exp(j2kd_{4}(r_{4}))$$
 (A9)

(arbitrary magnitude variations could also be included) where  $d_i(r_i)$  is the distance the mirror is displaced from planar (the mirror figure function). In terms of the new variables, the kernel can be rewritten as

$$K(r_1, r_2) = CJ_{g}\left(\frac{kr_1r_2}{B}\right)\sqrt{\rho_1(r_1)\rho_2(r_2)t_1(r_1)t_2(r_2)r_1r_2} \quad (A10)$$

Then with the additional definition

$$s_{\ell}^{(i)}(r_{i}) = \frac{R_{\ell}^{(i)}(r_{i})}{\sqrt{\rho_{i}(r_{i})}} \sqrt{\tau_{i}(r_{i})}$$
(A11)

and the grouping  $T_i(r_i) = \rho_i(r_i)t_i(r_i)r_i$  the resonator integral equations may be written as

$$\gamma_{\ell}^{(1)} s_{\ell}^{(1)}(r_{1}) = \int_{0}^{\ell^{2}} J_{\ell} \left( \frac{kr_{1}r_{2}}{B} \right) f_{\ell}(r_{2}) s_{\ell}^{(2)}(\dot{r}_{2}) dr_{2}$$
(A12)

$$\gamma_{\ell}^{(2)} s_{\ell}^{(2)}(r_{2}) = \int_{0}^{a_{1}} J_{\ell} \left( \frac{kr_{1}r_{2}}{B} \right) T_{1}(r_{1}) s_{\ell}^{(1)}(r_{1}) dr_{1}$$
(A13)

or correspondingly in matrix form as

(achaged TCY

$$Y_1S_1 = J T_2S_2 \tag{A14}$$

$$_{2}S_{2} = J T_{1}S_{1}$$
 (A15)

where l is understood. From (All) it is seen that  $s_{L}^{(i)}(r_{i})$  is the field on a spherical surface of radius B just incident mirror i because multiplying by

$$\sqrt{t_i(r_i)} = \exp\left(\frac{jkr_i^2}{2B}\right)$$
 (A16)

transforms the field to a plane in front of the mirror and multiplying by  $\sqrt{\rho_i(r_i)}$  transforms the field to the mirror surface. Therefore, the product  $\rho_i(r_i)t_i(r_i)$  transforms the field from the spherical surface, to the mirror surface, and back to the spherical surface but propagating in the opposite direction.

The radial fields on the spherical surfaces, can be normalized such that

$$s_{il}^{(i)}(r) s_{jl}^{(i)}(r) T(r) dr = \delta_{ij}$$
 (A17)

where the integral is over the mirror surface.

Finally the composite matrix equation for, say the fields on mirror 1, may be written

$$\gamma S_1 = J T_2 J T_1 S_1 \tag{A18}$$

where the round trip eigenvalue  $\gamma = \gamma_1 \gamma_2$ . For small number of points on both mirrors it is useful to form the round trip operator matrix  $M = JT_2 JT_1$ . However, as the number of field grid points increases, two computational savings can be realized by not computing M. First, it takes one fourth the computer storage to store the the real symmetric matrix of Bessel functions J as opposed to the complex matrix M. Second, the number of multiplications required to form M can exceed the number of multiplications required to find the dominant eigenmodes by the iterated Prony method which will be described in the next section.

2. ITERATED PRONY METHOD

The basic Prony calculation used is exactly as described by Siegman and Miller [1] except the procedure is repeated until convergence is achieved and the number of eigenvalues is changed as required to facilitate convergence. The following discussion briefly describes the computational procedure.

Formally, we wish to find the first n dominant eigenvectors  $U_i$  and eigenvalues  $\gamma_i$ , i = 1 to n of the matrix equation

(A19)

where M is a complex symmetric matrix. In resonator terminolgy the eigenvectors are often referred to as "eigenmodes," "modes," or "fields." An initial vector, or field distribution,  $V_o$  is constructed and propagated n times through the resonator using the wave propagation procedure described in the previous section. The higher order modes, smaller eigenvalues, are attenuated more rapidly than the lower order modes, which have larger eigenvalues. The initial field and sequence of propagated fields may be written as:



 $V_n = MV_{n-1} = k_1 \gamma_1^n U_1 + k_2 \gamma_2^n U_2 + k_3 \gamma_3^n U_3 + \dots$  (A20)

where initially the  $k_i$ 's and  $\gamma_i$ 's are unknown. Two matrices of dot products are generated



following discussion.

a add bas beenin (A21)



(A22)



where r = n - 1 and the dot products are

$$F_{ij} = \sum_{k} (V_i)_k (V_j)_k$$
(A23)

The F matrix is inverted and the eigenvalues are computed as the roots of the polynomial

$$\gamma^{n} + Q_{1}\gamma^{n-1} + \dots + Q_{1}\gamma + Q_{0} = 0$$
 (A24)



is constructed where the eigenvalues are ordered such that

$$|\mathbf{Y}_{i+1}| < |\mathbf{Y}_i| \quad 1 \le i \le r \tag{A27}$$



Upon inversion of the L matrix the square of the k values can be com-


Using the  $k_i$ 's,  $\gamma_i$ 's, and  $V_i$ 's, i = 1 to n, estimates  $U_i$ 's of the  $U_i$ 's are computed from (A20). Since there may have been components of higher order modes than n in the original vector  $V_o$ , we do not at this point know how accurate our computations of the eigenmodes are. There-fore a new initial vector is formed, using the just computed eigenmode estimates as

$$v_o = \tilde{v}_1 + \tilde{v}_2 + \dots \tilde{v}_n \tag{A30}$$

where the U<sub>i</sub> are normalized such that

 $\sum_{k} (\tilde{U}_{i})_{k} (\tilde{U}_{i})_{k} = 1$ (A31)

The procedure is repeated until the calculated expansion coefficients k's are unity and the eigenvalues do not change from one calculation to the next. Ideally if

$$|\mathbf{Y}_{n+1}| < |\mathbf{Y}_n| \tag{A32}$$

the procedure will always converge, but when

$$|Y_{n+1}| \simeq |Y_n| \tag{A33}$$

convergence can take a large number of iterations. When this situation occurs, it is expedient to change n. If the eigenvalues tend to separate

as n increases, as is the case in resonator calculations, n should be increased. However n cannot always be increased since the finite word length of the computer will eventually truncate components of the higher order mode in  $V_{p}$  in equation (A20), i.e.,

(A34)

will become smaller than the resolution limit of the computer.

## 3. FAR-FIELD CALCULATIONS

 $\left(\frac{\gamma_n}{\gamma_1}\right)^{-1}$ 

By convention in the computer program, the output end of the resonator is at mirror 2. For display purposes, the field incident on mirror 2 is transformed to a plane via

$$u(r_2) = \sqrt{t(r_2)s(r_2)}$$
 (A35)

The far-field pattern, f(r), is computed by taking that part of  $u(r_2)$  which exits the resonator,  $u_t(r_2)$ , and propagating it to the focus of a lense. For convenience the focus of the lense is B, the cavity length, so the previously computed Bessel function can be used. The field at the focus of the lens is computed from

$$t(r) = C \int J_{\ell} \left(\frac{krr_2}{B}\right) u_t(r_2)r_2dr_2$$
 (A36)

where the integration is over the output plane. The integrated farfield intensity, p(r), can then be computed as

$$p(r) = \int_{0}^{r} |f(r')|^{2} r' dr'$$
 (A37)

## APPENDIX B

LEASTS SQUARES METHOD FOR CALCULATING RESONATOR PARAMETERS TO ACHIEVE A DESIRED EIGENMODE PROFILE

A non-linear regression algorithm has been utilized to calculate radial mirror figures of open resonators such that the radial profile of the lowest loss eigenmode (for a particular azimuthal symmetry) is the best fit in a least squares sense to a user specified, "desired", eigenmode profile. The method used is that of Marquardt [7] which is a maximum neighborhood method for least squares estimation of nonlinear parameters. It performs an interpolation between the Taylor series method and the gradient method in an attempt to combine the best features of the two methods while at the same time avoiding their most serious limitations. The algorithm described here for ease of reference is identical to that of reference [8] except for changes in nomenclature and the inclusion of point error weighting.

The model to be adjusted to the data can be written in the form

$$U = f(r;\beta_1,\beta_2,\ldots,\beta_L)$$
(B1)

where r is the independent variable(s) and  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_k$  are the population values of the k parameters to be estimated and  $\hat{U}$  is the dependent variable. In the context of the resonator problem  $\hat{U}$  is the lowest loss radial eigenmode profile (or intensity) for a particular aximuthal symmetry, r is the radial field coordinate, and the  $\beta$ 's are the resonator parameters which one wishes to vary. The observed points will be designated as

$$U(r_{f}) = 1, 2, ... N$$
 (B2)

which for the cases investigated to date are the desired radial field points in a plane adjacent to one of the resonator mirrors or desired radial points in the integrated far-field intensity profile. Standard techniques can be used to calculate the radial mode profile  $\hat{U}$  at the specified coordinates for a given resonator geometry and radial mirror figures which are dependent on the  $\beta$ 's. The problem could be structured even more generally so as to optimize azimuthal variation in the mirror figures provided the total field was calculated without restriction on the type of azimuthal symmetry.

The problem is to compute those estimates of the parameters which will minimize

$$\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i [u_i - \hat{u}_i]^2$$
(B3)

where  $\hat{u}_i$  is the value of  $u(r_i)$  predicted at the i-th data point and  $W_i$ is the associated weight for that point error. (u-magnitude and/or phase of U).

The Taylor series aspect of the combined Marquardt Method will now be described. Writing the perturbed model in a Taylor series through the linear terms gives

$$\langle u(r_{i}, \underline{b} + \underline{\delta}_{t}) \rangle = f(r_{i}, \underline{b}) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial b_{j}} (\partial_{t}) j$$
 (B4)

or

$$\langle u \rangle = \underline{f}_{0} + \underline{P} \underline{\delta}_{\underline{f}}$$
 (B5)

In (B4),  $\underline{\beta}$  is replaced by  $\underline{b}$ , the converged value of  $\underline{b}$  being the least squares estimate of  $\beta$  and  $f_i$  is the value of the function evaluated at the i-th data point. The vector  $\underline{\delta}_t$  is a small correction to  $\underline{b}$ , where the subscript t is used to designate the  $\underline{\delta}$  was calculated using the Taylor series method, and P is an [n x k] matrix whose elements are  $\partial f_i / \partial b_j$ . The brackets <> are used to distinguish predictions based on the linearized model from those based on the actual non-linear model. Therefore, the estimated sum of squares error (SSE) is designated as

$$\zeta_{\phi} > = \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i} [u_{i} - \langle u_{i} \rangle]^{2}$$
 (B6)

In order to minimize <>> the critical point(s) must be found, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial \langle \phi \rangle}{\partial \delta_j} = 0, j = 1, 2, ..., k$$
 (B7)

which requires from (B4) and (B6) that

$$\langle \phi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i} [u_{i} - f_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial b_{j}} (\delta_{t})_{j}]^{2}$$
(B8)

 $\frac{\partial \langle \phi \rangle}{\partial \delta_{g}} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i} [u_{i} - f_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial b_{j}} (\delta_{t})_{j}] \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial b_{g}} = 0$ (B9)

or

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i} \left[ u_{i} - f_{i} \right] \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial b_{\ell}} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} W_{i} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial b_{j}} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial b_{\ell}} \left( \delta_{\ell} \right)_{j} = 0$$
(B10)

the ifnest terms fines

or in matrix notation

$$A\underline{\delta}_{\mathbf{L}} = \underline{g} \tag{B11}$$

where

$$A^{[k \times k]} = P^{T} DP$$
(B12)

 $P^{[n \times k]} = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial b_{j}}\right), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n; \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, k \quad (B13)$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} k \times 1 \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i} \begin{bmatrix} u_{i} - f_{i} \end{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial b_{j}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k \quad (B14)$$

$$= P^{T}D(\underline{u} - \underline{f})$$
(B15)

and  $\underline{\delta}_t^i$  is the new perturbation as influenced by the weighting and  $D^{[n \times n]}$  is a diagonal matrix of the weights. In practice <u>b</u> is only corrected by a factor of  $\underline{\delta}_t^i$  so that extrapolation does not go beyond the region where f is adequately described by (B4). Failure to do so would cause divergence of the iterates.

The steepest descent method takes a step in the direction of the negative gradiaent of  $\Phi$  from the current trail value. Thus,

$$\underline{\delta}_{\mathbf{g}} = -\left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial b_1}, \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial b_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial b_k}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$$
(B16)

Here again the step size requires careful control to insure convergence. Having noted the inadequacies of both the Taylor and the steepest descent methods, note also that any proper method must result in a correction vector that is within 90° of the negative gradient or else  $\phi$ would increase with the iterate. Marquardt's Method is an interpolation between  $\underline{\delta}_{t}$  and  $\underline{\delta}_{g}$  for monotonic convergence of the procedure. This method has its foundation in three theorems which will be stated without proof. Proof of these theorems may be found in Marquardt [7] or Meeter [8]. Meeter states and proves a somewhat stronger version of Theorem 1.

Theorem 1: Let  $\lambda \ge 0$  be arbitrary and let  $\delta_0$  satisfy the equation

$$(\mathbf{A} + \lambda \mathbf{I})\underline{\delta}_{\mathbf{B}} = \underline{\mathbf{g}}$$
(B17)

169

then  $\delta$  minimizes  $\langle \phi \rangle$  on the sphere whose radius  $||\delta||$  satisfies

$$||\delta||^2 = ||\delta||^2$$
.

Theorem 2: Let  $\underline{\delta}(\lambda)$  be the solution of (B17) for a given value of  $\lambda$ . Then  $||\underline{\delta}(\lambda)||^2$  is a continuous decreasing function of  $\lambda$ , such that as  $\lambda + \infty$ ,  $||\delta(\lambda)||^2 \rightarrow 0.$ 

Theorem 3: Let  $\gamma$  be the angle between  $\underline{\delta}_0$  and  $\underline{\delta}_1$ . Then  $\gamma$  is a continuous monotone decreasing function of  $\lambda$  such that as  $\lambda \leftrightarrow \infty$ ,  $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $\delta_{\lambda}$  is independent of  $\lambda$ , it follows that  $\delta_{0}$  rotates toward δ as λ+∞.

Since the Marquardt Method combines both the Taylor series method and the steepest descent method, it is necessary to note one relevant property of these methods. The solution  $\underline{\delta}'_{1}$  of (B11) is invariant under linear transformation of the b-space. However, the steepest descent solution is not scale invariant. It becomes necessary to scale the bspace in some convenient manner. Therefore, the b-space is scaled in units of the standard deviations of the derivatives  $\partial f_i / \partial b_i$ , taken over the sample points i = 1, 2, . . ., n. This choice of scale causes the A matrix to be transformed into the matrix of simple correlation coefficients among the of 1/ob.

Thus, the scaled matrix A\* and the scaled vector g\* become

$$A^* = (a_{ij}^*) = \left(\frac{a_{ij}}{\sqrt{a_{ii}}\sqrt{a_{jj}}}\right)$$

(B19)

(B18)

 $\underline{g}^{\star} = (g_{j}^{\star}) = \left(\frac{g_{j}}{\sqrt{a_{j,j}}}\right)$ 

(B20)

170

and the Taylor series solution leads to

$$\frac{d}{d} = g^*$$

Then

\* States

$$j = \delta_j^* / \sqrt{a_{jj}}$$
 (B22)

(B21)

The algorithm is now clear. Specifically, at the r-th iteration the equation

$$(A^{*(r)} + \lambda^{(r)} I)\delta^{*(r)} = \underline{g}^{*(r)}$$
 (B23)

is constructed. This equation is solved for  $\underline{\delta}^{*(r)}$  and (B22) is used to obtain  $\underline{\delta}^{(r)}$ . The new trial parameter vector

$$b^{(r+1)} = b^{(r)} + \underline{\delta}^{(r)}$$
 (B24)

will lead to a new sum of squares error  $\phi^{(r+1)}$ . It is essential to select  $\lambda^{(r)}$  such that

$$\phi^{(r+1)} < \phi^{(r)} \tag{B25}$$

It is clear from the foregoing theory that a sufficiently large  $\lambda^{(r)}$  always can be found such that (B25) is satisfied, except when  $\underline{b}^{(r)}$  is already a minimum of  $\Phi$ . Therefore, a trial and error procedure is required to find a value of  $\lambda^{(r)}$  such that (B25) is satisfied and rapid convergence insured, i.e. minimize  $\Phi$  in the (approximately) maximum neighborhood over which the linearized function will give adequate representation of the non-linear function.

The strategy employed is as follows:

Let  $\nu > 1$ . Let  $\lambda^{(r-1)}$  denote the value of  $\lambda$  from the previous iteration Initially, let  $\lambda^{(0)} = 10^{-2}$ , for example. Compute  $\Phi(\lambda^{(r-1)})$  and  $\Phi(\lambda^{(r-1)}/\nu)$  (see note below).

- 1. If  $\Phi(\lambda^{(r-1)}/\nu) \leq \Phi^{(r)}$ , let  $\lambda^{(r)} = \lambda^{(r-1)}/\nu$ 11. If  $\Phi(\lambda^{(r-1)}/\nu) > \Phi^{(r)}$ , and  $\Phi(\lambda^{(r-1)}) \leq \Phi^{(r)}$ , let  $\lambda^{(r)} = \lambda^{(r-1)}$ .
- iii. If  $\Phi(\lambda^{(r-1)}/\nu) > \Phi^{(r)}$  and  $\Phi(\lambda^{(r-1)}) > \Phi^{(r)}$ , increase  $\lambda$ by successive multiplication by  $\nu$  until for some smallest  $\omega$ ,  $\Phi(\lambda^{(r-1)}\nu^{\omega}) \leq \Phi^{(r)}$ . Let  $\lambda^{(r)} = \lambda^{(r-1)}\nu^{\omega}$ .
- NOTE: If  $\lambda^{(r-1)}$  is already negligible by comparison with 1.0 to the number of significant figures carried, then go to test if or if immediately without computing  $\Phi(\lambda^{(r-1)}/\nu)$ , and ignore comparisons involving  $\Phi(\lambda^{(r-1)}/\nu)$ .

On occasion in problems where the correlations among the parameter estimates are extremely high ( > 0.99), it can happen that  $\lambda$  will increase to unreasonably large values. It has been found helpful for these instances to alter test iii. The revised test is:

 $\underline{b}^{(r+1)} = b^{(r)} + K^{(r)} \underline{\delta}^{(r)}, \quad K^{(r)} \leq 1$ Noting that the angle  $\gamma^{(r)}$  is a decreasing function of  $\lambda^{(r)}$ , select a criterion agale  $\gamma_o < \frac{\pi}{2}$  and take

$$K^{(r)} = 1$$
 if  $\gamma^{(r)} \ge \gamma_0$  (B27)  
However, if test iii is not passed even though  $\lambda^{(r)}$  has been increased

until  $\gamma^{(r)} < \gamma_0$ , then do not increase  $\lambda^{(r)}$  further, but take  $K^{(r)}$ sufficiently small so that  $\phi^{(r+1)} < \phi^{(r)}$ . This can always be done since  $\gamma^{(r)} < \gamma_0 < \frac{\pi}{2}$  [7].

The procedure is considered converged when  $(|\delta_j^{(r)}|/(\tau+|b_j^{(r)}|) < \varepsilon$ , for all j = 1, 2, ..., k and suitable choices for  $\varepsilon$  and  $\tau$ , for example  $10^{-5}$  and  $10^{-3}$ , respectively.

172

## REFERENCES

- Siegman, A. E. and Miller, H. Y., "Unstable Optical Resonator Loss Calculations Using the Prony Method", Appl. Opt., Vol. 9, No. 12, pp. 2729-2736, December, 1970.
- Personal communication, J. A. Hanlon, Air Force Special Weapons Center, Kirtland Air Force Base, Albuquerque, New Mexico, June 1974.
- Lax, M., C. E. Greninger, W. H. Louisell, W. B. McKnight, "Large Mode-Volume Stable Resonators," J. Opt. Soc. Am. <u>65</u>, p. 642, June 10, 1975.
- Siegman, A. E., "Unstable Optical Resonators for Laser Applications", IEEE Proc., <u>53</u>, 277, (1965).
- 5. Churchill, R. V. <u>Complex Variables and Applications</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1960.
- 6. Kogelnik, H. and Li, T., "Laser Beams and Resonators", Proc. of the IEEE, Vol. 54, No. 10, pp. 1312-1329, October 1966.
- Marquardt, Donald W., "An Algorithm for Least-Squares Estimation of Nonlinear Parameters", J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math., Vol. 11, No. 2, pp. 431-441, June 1963.
- Meeter, Duane A., "Problems in the Analysis of Nonlinear Models by Least Squares", a Ph.D. thesis, The University of Wisconsin, 1964.