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Abstract

This report describes a stage of development of Surface Ray Methods for mutual coupling in conformal arrays of aperture elements on conducting cylindrical surfaces, with a subsequent generalization to conical surfaces. Asymptotic techniques are used to develop expressions for the dyadic Green's function for a circumferential and axial magnetic current sources. These expressions are to lowest order in $\frac{1}{k\alpha}$ and to two asymptotic orders in $\frac{1}{kD}$, where $k\alpha$ is the cylinder circumference in free space wavelengths and $kD$ is the length in radians of the geodesic distance from the source to the observation point on the cylinder surface. The region of validity of these expressions does not include the paraxial region. Rigorous transition functions for this region have not yet been derived, but instead, in this report, approximate transition functions have been developed. These transition functions are employed to obtain numerical results for the mutual admittance—or the coupling coefficients between "single mode" circumferential and axial slots on cylinders with $k\alpha \approx 10$ or 20. The numerical results are compared with those obtained via harmonic series and via GTD formulation.
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I. Introduction

The theoretical determination of mutual admittance coefficients in arrays of aperture elements in a conducting surface requires the knowledge of the dyadic Green's function of the surface. This Green's function (G. F.) represents the magnetic field excited on the unperforated surface by a magnetic point-current element located on the surface. For the case of separable geometry G. F. is obtained by modal techniques. However, even for a separable geometry such as a conical surface, the modal series are very slowly convergent. Furthermore, modal analysis is inapplicable to non-separable geometries. A more efficient technique, also suitable to nonseparable geometry, of constructing the dyadic G. F. is that of the Geometric Theory of Diffraction (GTD) and its extensions which we may term as Surface Ray Methods. These are based, similarly to GTD, on high frequency asymptotic solutions of problems in canonical geometries, such as a circular cylinder or a sphere. These solutions are then generalized on the basis of locality of the high frequency propagation to other geometries. However in contrast to GTD which considers only lowest order asymptotic terms, Surface Ray Methods include also higher order terms.

This report describes a stage of development of Surface Ray Methods for analysis of mutual coupling in arrays of aperture elements on circular-cylindrical surfaces with application to conical surfaces.

A comprehensive survey of the state of the art of GTD as applied to both the far and the surface fields radiated by elemental magnetic currents (short slots) located on smooth curved conducting surfaces of large radii of curvature is found in Ref. [1]. Recently, this procedure has been
successfully applied, after certain modifications that preserve continuity of polarization, to the determination of far field patterns of slots on conducting conical surfaces [2].

These calculated radiation patterns are based on the lowest order asymptotic results [1] and furthermore do not include the cone tip scattering. Nevertheless, they compare favorably with the numerical solutions based on harmonic series backed by experimental data [3]. While the lowest order asymptotic far field expressions seem to yield sufficient accuracy even for curvatures $ka \approx 9.5$, a different situation arises in calculation of surface fields, which are required for the determination of mutual admittance coefficients.

It is found that for a current element on a conducting circular cylinder, the validity of the lowest order GTD result is restricted to observation points not too close to the source and in addition these expressions do not hold in the paraxial and the circumferential regions.

In order to determine the near zone fields of the source, which are essential for calculation of the nearest neighbor mutuals, asymptotic expansions for the Green's function are required to higher order in $\frac{1}{kD}$, where $D$ is the geodesic distance between the source and the observation point.

In this report a rigorous asymptotic expansion is obtained for both circumferential and axial magnetic current element on a conducting circular cylinder. The expressions are to lowest order in $\frac{1}{ka}$ and to second order in $\frac{1}{kD}$. These expressions correctly reproduce the H-plane behavior of the Green's functions for a circumferential current element.
However, these asymptotic expressions based on Fock type integrals [4] are invalid in the paraxial region of a source. This is due to the fact that the Airy function approximation of the Hankel function \( H_{1/2}^{(2)}(z) \) breaks down for small values of \( z \). As a result, e.g., the lowest order TM (soft) and the next order TE (hard) \( H_{0} \) contributions for a circumferential magnetic current element become unbounded as the observation point tends to \( \varphi = 0 \), i.e., to the cylinder generator through the source.

As anticipated, the angular region of validity of the asymptotic expansions increases with larger values of \( k\alpha \).

The asymptotic evaluation of surface fields in paraxial region (E-plane) of a circumferential magnetic current element will necessitate a different representation than that used in this report and merits a separate study. Here we have chosen to concern ourselves with the development of an approximate "Modified Planar Formula" for the surface Green's function which provides a transition to the paraxial region. The Modified Planar Formula which is cast in an invariant vector form, includes a provision for arbitrary orientation of the tangential magnetic sources. It reduces to the planar dyadic Green's Function to \( 0(\frac{1}{(kD)^2}) \), when the observation point tends to the source. When the Modified Planar Formula is specialized to the case of \( H_{\varphi} \) for a circumferential magnetic current element, it will be referred to as the "Full Formula".

The "Full Formula" matches in the \( H \)-plane the exact asymptotic expansion to second order in \( \frac{1}{kD^2} \), is finite for \( \varphi = 0 \) and reduces to the planar result near the source. A variant of the "Full Formula" is also considered in which one term of higher order in \( \frac{1}{k\alpha} \) has been neglected and
the soft polarization term is discarded for observation direction close to the E-plane.

In contrast to the case of circumferential sources, the asymptotic expansion to $O\left(\frac{1}{(kD)^2}\right)$ for $H_z$ due to an axial magnetic current element and based on the Airy function approximation of $H_v^{(2)}(z)$, is formally well behaved in every direction. From the comparison of the numerical data for mutual admittance between axial slots on a conducting circular cylinder of $ka \approx 9.5$ with the harmonic series results [5], one may conclude that this approximation is fairly accurate for calculation of mutual admittances between axial slots and by GTD generalization, for radial slots on conical surfaces.

The structure of this report is as follows:

Chapter II develops the asymptotics to second order in $\frac{1}{kD}$ for the surface fields excited by magnetic point sources located on a conducting circular cylinder. In Section 1, the scalar TE and TM potentials are constructed for the case of an infinitely extended conducting circular cylinder. In Section 2, an integral representation suitable for development of the surface ray formalism is presented. Section 3 is devoted to the evaluation of curvature terms. Section 4 addresses the asymptotic evaluation of integrals developed in Section 2. Section 5 discusses the approximate formulae used in this report for numerical evaluation of the mutual admittance $Y_{12}$ between rectangular slots. Section 6 presents a list of the various formulae.

Chapter III is devoted to numerical results.

Chapter IV deals with the GTD generalization from the cylinder to a cone.

Conclusions and discussion are found in Chapter V.

Appendix A reviews the theory of the alternative representations for scalar potentials.
Appendix B considers the details of analysis of the curvature terms (Fock type integrals).

Appendix C summarizes the derivation of asymptotic series for Laplace type integrals.

Appendix D deals with the vector form of the planar Green's function.

Appendices E and F contain computer program listings.
II. Surface Fields Excited by a Magnetic Current Element
(Short Slot) on a Large Conducting Circular Cylinder

For evaluation of mutual coupling in conformal arrays one is particularly interested in surface magnetic fields excited by elemental magnetic currents placed tangentially on the surface of a perfectly conducting, large, circular cylinder, with the geometry shown in Figure II-1.

Following Ref. [6, p.197] one finds for the total, time harmonic (\(e^{j\omega t}\) dependent) magnetic field excited by a magnetic point current source, the following result:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') &= -j\omega \varepsilon_0 (\nabla' \times \mathbf{\hat{z}}) (\nabla \times \mathbf{\hat{z}}) S'(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \cdot M + \frac{1}{j\omega \mu_0} (\nabla' \times \mathbf{\hat{z}}) (\nabla' \times \mathbf{\hat{z}}) S'(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') M,
\end{align*}
\]

where: \(M\) denotes the magnetic point source current density, \(\varepsilon_0\) and \(\mu_0\) the free space permittivity and permeability, \(\mathbf{\hat{z}}\) the axial unit vector, and \(\nabla'\) and \(\mathbf{r}'\) refer to the source coordinates.
1. Scalar Potentials for the Circular Cylinder Problem

The aim of this study is to establish the high frequency surface ray formalism suitable for mutual coupling applications in cylindrical and conical arrays. This is facilitated by the particular integral representation of the potentials \( S' \) and \( S'' \). From Appendix A (9), (13), (19) and (21a) one finds

\[
S(r, r') = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \oint_{c_z} \frac{e^{-j\sqrt{\lambda_{\mu}} |z-z'|}}{2j\sqrt{\lambda_{\mu}}} \oint_{c_\phi} \frac{\cos\sqrt{\lambda_{\nu}}(\pi-|\phi-\phi'|)}{2\sqrt{\lambda_{\nu}} \sin\sqrt{\lambda_{\nu}} \pi} \, dx \, dy
\]

\[\tag{1}
\frac{j\pi}{4} \left[ H^{(2)}_{\lambda_{\nu}}(k_t a) \right] \frac{Q_H}{\sqrt{\lambda_{\nu}}(k_t a)} \frac{1}{k_t^2}, \tag{2}
\]

where for \( S' \), \( Q=1 \), for \( S'' \), \( Q=\frac{3}{\lambda_{\nu}} \), and \( k_t = \sqrt{k^2 - \frac{3}{\lambda_{\nu}}} \).

With \( \lambda_{\nu} = \nu^2 \), the contour \( C_\phi \) in the \( \lambda_{\nu} \)-plane maps into a straight line from \(-\infty\) to \(+\infty\) just below the real axis in the \( \nu \)-plane.

\[
S(r, r') = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \oint_{c_z} \frac{e^{-j\sqrt{\lambda_{\mu}} |z-z'|}}{2j\sqrt{\lambda_{\mu}}} \oint_{c_\phi} \frac{\cos\sqrt{\lambda_{\nu}}(\pi-|\phi-\phi'|)}{2\sqrt{\lambda_{\nu}} \sin\sqrt{\lambda_{\nu}} \pi} \, dx \, dy
\]

\[\tag{1}
\frac{j\pi}{4} \left[ H^{(2)}_{\lambda_{\nu}}(k_t a) \right] \frac{Q_H}{\sqrt{\lambda_{\nu}}(k_t a)} \frac{1}{k_t^2}, \tag{2}
\]

where \( \delta \) is an arbitrarily small positive quantity. The only singularities
in the \( \nu \) -plane are simple poles at \( QH_\nu \left( k_t a \right) = 0 \) and at \( \nu = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \).

Another transformation \( \lambda = k_{z}^{2} \) maps the \( \lambda \) -plane into \( k_{z} \) -plane, where one finds two branch points at \( k_{z} = \pm k \). The complex \( k_{z} \) -plane is the usual two sheeted Riemann surface of the \( k_t = \sqrt{k^2 - k_{z}^2} \). The proper branch of \( k_t \) can be determined by the large argument expansion of the Hankel function \( H_{\nu} \left( k_t \varphi \right) \) in (3). For \( \left| k_t \varphi \right| >> \left| \nu \right| \),

\[
H_{\nu} \left( k_t \varphi \right) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{j \pi k_{t}^{\nu}}} e^{-j \pi k_{t}^{\nu}} \left( \frac{|\nu|}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right).
\]

The radiation condition requires that for \( 0 < k_{z}^2 < k_{t}^2 \), \( k_t = \sqrt{k^2 - k_{z}^2} > 0 \) and for \( k_{z}^2 > k_t^2 \), \( \text{Im}(k_t) < 0 \) on the integration contour running along the real axis in the \( k_{z} \) plane, as shown in Fig. II-2. With the branch cut as indicated, \( \text{Im}(k_t) < 0 \) in un-shaded region and \( \text{Re} k_t > 0 \) on the entire top sheet. In this fashion one finds for \( S' \) and \( S'' \) the expression

\[
S(x, x') = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d\, k_z \left| z - z' \right| \frac{\cos \nu \left( \varphi' - \varphi \right)}{\sin \nu \pi}.
\]

\[
- \left[ \frac{QH_\nu \left( k_t a \right)}{QH_\nu \left( k_t a \right)} \right] \left[ H_{\nu} \left( k_t \varphi < \right) H_{\nu} \left( k_t \varphi > \right) \frac{1}{k_t} \right],
\]

\[
\text{Figure II-2. Top Sheet of } k_{z} \text{-plane.}
\]
where $\varepsilon'$ is an arbitrarily small positive quantity.

In order to cast $S$ into a form appropriate to the surface ray formalism, it is necessary to convert the angular Green's function into a traveling wave series.

\[
\frac{\cos \nu (\pi - |\varphi - \varphi'|)}{\sin \nu \pi} = j \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} e^{-j \nu (\varphi_i + 2\pi \ell)}
\]

(6)

where $\varphi_1 = |\varphi - \varphi'|$, $\varphi_2 = 2\pi - |\varphi - \varphi'|$ and $-\pi \leq \varphi, \varphi' < \pi$. Consequently

\[
S(r, r') = -\frac{j}{16\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \int dk_z \int d\nu \ e^{-jk \mid z - z' \mid -j \nu (\varphi_i + 2\pi \ell)}
\]

(1)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_t a) & H_{\nu}^{(2)}(k_t c_\varphi) \\
H_{\nu}^{(2)}(k_t c_\varphi) & H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_t a)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(2)

(7)

One notes that for a given value of $\nu$, the two waves $e^{-j\nu \varphi_1}$ and $e^{-j\nu \varphi_2}$ propagate in opposite directions. The terms with $\ell \neq 0$ represent waves that arrive at $\varphi$ after having traveled $\ell$ complete circuits around the cylinder. If the cylinder radius $(ka)$ is not too small, the terms with $\ell \neq 0$ are negligible, because of the exponential attenuation of the creeping rays, as will be shown. Also for the same reason, if $\nu_2 > \nu_1$, the contributions of the $\ell = 0, \varphi_2$ term may be neglected compared to that of $\varphi_1$ term.
Thus, it will be sufficient, except near $|\varphi'| = \pi$, to consider only
the $l=0, \varphi_1$ term, i.e.

$$S(x, x') = -\frac{j}{10\pi} \int dk_z e^{-jk_z |z-z'|} \int dv e^{-jv\varphi} x$$

$$= \frac{QH_\nu(k_a)}{QH_\nu(k_1a)} H_\nu(k_c) H_\nu(k_2) \frac{1}{k_2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(8)}$$

In the above, $\varphi_1$ was replaced by $\varphi$ and the integration contours have been
shifted to the real $v$ and $k_z$ axes.
2. Integral Representation for the Field Components

There are two independent tangential source configurations on the surface of a conducting cylinder giving rise to different solutions. One, an axial, the other a circumferential (transverse) magnetic current element.

a. Circumferential Magnetic Current Element (Short Slot)

In this case

\[ M = M_0 \hat{n} \]

and therefore, from (1) one has

\[
H_C^c (r, r') = \frac{M_0}{j\mu_0 \sigma_0} \left( \frac{2}{\sigma_0} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z'^2} + \frac{2}{\sigma'} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z'^2} \right) S''(r, r') - j\mu_0 M_0 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial z'} S'(r, r') \quad (9)
\]

\[
H_z^c (r, r') = \frac{M_0}{j\mu_0 \sigma_0} \left( -\nabla_t^2 + \nabla'_t^2 \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial z'} S''(r, r') \quad (10)
\]

where the superscript "c" denotes quantities excited by a circumferential magnetic current source.

In view of the relation [Ref. 6, Eq. 33b, p. 198]

\[
-\nabla_t^2 S''(r, r') = -\nabla'_t^2 S'(r, r') = G''(r, r') \quad (11)
\]

(10) may also be written in the form

\[
H_z^c (r, r') = \frac{M_0}{j\mu_0 \sigma_0} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial z'} G''(r, r') \quad (12)
\]
The formal modal representation of the scalar Green's function $G''$ is [Ref. 6, Eq. 33b, p. 198]

$$G''(r, r') = \sum_i \psi_i''(\omega) \psi_i''(\omega') g_z(z, z').$$ (13)

Upon combining (A2), (A18) and (13) one finds that $G''$ satisfies

$$(\nabla^2 + k^2) G''(r, r') = -\delta(r-r'),$$ (14)

with

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} G''(r, r') \bigg|_{\phi=\phi'|=a} = 0.$$ (15)

There is no TM contribution to the $z$-component of the magnetic field.

Upon introducing the Wronskian

$$H_v^{(1)}(z) H_v^{(2)'}(z) - H_v^{(1)'}(z) H_v^{(2)}(z) = \frac{4j}{\pi z},$$ (16)

one finds that for $\phi = \phi' = a$, i.e. the case of surface magnetic field $H_c^\phi$, excited by a circumferential magnetic current element located on the surface

$$H_c^\phi = H_c^\phi + H_c^\phi,'$$ (17)

where $H_c^\phi$ and $H_c^\phi,'$ denote the TE and TM contributions, respectively.
From (8), (9) and (16) one has

\[ H^C_{\phi} (r, r') = \frac{jM_{\phi}}{4\pi^2 \omega_0 a^3} \int dk_z \frac{-jk_z |z-z'|}{k_z^2} \int dv e^{-j\nu \psi} \frac{H_{\psi}(k, a)}{H_{\psi}(k, a)} \]  

(2)

and

\[ H^C_{\psi} (r, r') = \frac{-jM_{\psi} \omega_0}{4\pi^2 a} \int dk_z \frac{-jk_z |z-z'|}{k_z} \int dv e^{-j\nu \psi} \frac{H_{\psi}(k, a)}{H_{\psi}(k, a)} \]  

(2')

Similarly, for \( H_z \), one obtains from (8), (12) and (16)

\[ H^C_z (r, r') = \text{sgn}(\varphi - \varphi') \text{sgn}(z - z') \frac{jM_{\psi}}{4\pi^2 \omega_0 a^2} \int dk_z \frac{-jk_z |z-z'|}{k_z} \int dv e^{-j\nu \psi} \frac{H_{\psi}(k, a)}{H_{\psi}(k, a)} \]  

(20)

with

\[ \text{sgn} u = \begin{cases} 1, & u > 0, \\ -1, & u < 0. \end{cases} \]  

(21)

The reason for appearance of the \( \text{sgn} u \) in (20) is the operation \( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z' \partial \varphi} \) in (12); the absence of \( \text{sgn} u \) in (18) and (19) is brought about by the operations \( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial z'} \) and \( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi \partial \varphi'} \) in (9) which because of the presence of \( |z-z'| \) and \( |\varphi - \varphi'| \) in the exponents, do not give rise to sign changes.
b. Axial Magnetic Current Element (Short Slot)

In this case

\[ M = M_z \hat{z} \]  
(22)

and in view of (1), one has

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{H}^a (r, r') &= \frac{M_z}{j \omega \mu_o} (\nabla \times \nabla \times \hat{z}) (-\nabla^2) S'' (r, r') \\
&= \frac{M_z}{j \omega \mu_o} \nabla \times \nabla \times \hat{z} G'' (r, r') ,
\end{align*}
\]
(23)

where the relation (11) has been used. Therefore,

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{H}^a (r, r') &= \frac{M_z}{j \omega \mu_o} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + k^2 \right) G'' (r, r') \\
&= -\frac{M_z}{j \omega \mu_o} \frac{\nabla^2}{\partial z^2} G'' (r, r') .
\end{align*}
\]
(24)

and

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{H}^\phi (r, r') &= \frac{M_z}{j \omega \mu_o} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi \partial z} G'' (r, r') .
\end{align*}
\]
(25)

Following an analogous procedure as in (18), one obtains, via (8), (11) and (16), the following expressions

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{H}^a (r, r') &= -j M_z \int_{\partial \omega = a}^{\infty} dk_e \frac{\nabla}{\nabla} - j k_z |z-z'| \left( \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \left( \frac{H_{\nu} (k_z)}{k_t} \right)^{(2)} \\
&+ \int_{\partial \omega = a}^{\infty} dv \left( -j v \frac{H_{\nu} (k_z)}{k_t} \right)^{(2)} .
\end{align*}
\]
(26)

and

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{H}^\phi (r, r') &= \text{sgn}(m-\omega') \text{sgn}(z-z') \int_{\partial \omega = a}^{\infty} dk_e \frac{\nabla}{\nabla} - j k_z |z-z'| \left( \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \left( \frac{H_{\nu} (k_z)}{k_t} \right)^{(2)} \\
&+ \int_{\partial \omega = a}^{\infty} dv \left( -j v \frac{H_{\nu} (k_z)}{k_t} \right)^{(2)} .
\end{align*}
\]
(27)
where the superscript "a" denotes the field excited by an axial magnetic current element.

It is noted, following a similar reasoning as for the case of a circumferential magnetic current element, that $H^a_z$ does not change sign for $z > z'$ or $\phi > \phi'$, but $H^a_\phi$ may do so.

3. The Curvature Terms

Relations (18-20) and (26-27) involve two contour integrals with respect to the angular and the axial wavenumbers. They contain two independent large parameters, one $ka$ the circumference of the cylinder in wavelengths, the other $kD$ the free space phase delay along the geodesic distance from the source to the point of observation. The asymptotics will be carried out to lowest order in $1/k_a$ in each polarization and to $O\left(\frac{1}{(kD)^{3/2}}\right)$ in the deep shadow, or equivalently to $O\left(\frac{1}{kD}\right)$ in the near zone. An evaluation to the lowest order in $1/k_D$ is insufficient for applications to mutual coupling in conformal arrays, because the elements of the array are not necessarily far apart. Furthermore, for the case of circumferential slots, the lowest order TE contribution vanishes in the $H$-plane and a consistent expansion to second order in $1/k_D$ is essential, as will be seen in Section 4.

One notes that, in principle, it is sufficient to carry out the evaluation of the fields in the first quadrant, $0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, those in other quadrants follow, in view of (18-20 and 26-27) via the various $\text{sgn} u$ relations. This procedure, however, will be replaced by an equivalent method that conforms naturally to the surface ray description. In this simple alternative scheme that avoids the use of the $\text{sgn}$ symbols and will be explained with the aid of final formulae, the observation angle $\theta$ (Figure II-7) ranges over all four quadrants.
Following a standard procedure (e.g. Ref. 4) one transforms variables via
\[ \nu = k_t a + f_t t \]
where
\[ f_t = \left( \frac{k_t a}{2} \right)^{1/3} \]
so that
\[ \nu^2 = k_t^2 a^2 + 2 k_t a f_t t + f_t^2 t^2 \]

Substituting (30) into (18) and making use of the Airy function approximation for the Hankel functions to the lowest order in \( \frac{1}{ka} \) (see Appendix B), one finds

\[
H_n^c (r, r') = - \frac{j M_w}{4 \pi^2 w o} \left\{ \int dk_z e^{-jk_z |z-z'|} \left[ k_t^2 f_t^2 z \right] \frac{1}{k_t} V_0 (x) \right. \\
+ \int dk_z e^{-jk_z |z-z'|} \left[ k_t^2 a \frac{2}{3} k z \right] \frac{1}{k_t} V_1 (x) \\
+ \int dk_z e^{-jk_z |z-z'|} \left[ k_t^2 a \frac{4}{3} k z \right] \frac{1}{k_t} V_2 (x) \left\} 
\]

where \( x = k_t z \) and \( V_n (x) \) denote the Fock type integrals:

\[
V_0 (x) = \int dt e^{-jxt} \frac{w_2 (t)}{w'_2 (t)} \\
V_1 (x) = \int dt e^{-jxt} t \frac{w_2 (t)}{w'_2 (t)} 
\]

and
\[ V_2(x) = \int_{\tau} e^{-jxt} \frac{w_2(t)}{w'_2(t)} \, dt. \]  

The integration contour \( \tau \) is depicted in Figure II-3.

The validity of the Airy function approximation of Hankel function \( H_{\nu}^{(2)}(z) \) is predicated upon the condition of large \( z \) and \( |\nu-z| < 0 \) \((z^{1/3})\).

If \( z \) is not large as, e.g. in the paraxial region \( \frac{\nu}{\pi} \approx 0 \),

\[ \text{Im } t \quad \text{Re } t \]

\( \pi/6 \)

\[ t \]

Figure II-3. Contour of Integration for Curvature Terms.

where the value of \( k_a \) at the saddle point as in (31) becomes small, this approximation of \( H_{\nu}^{(2)}(k_a) \) breaks down, and the above method of asymptotic evaluation becomes invalid. The extent of the paraxial region depends on the value of \( ka \); the larger the value of \( ka \) the narrower will be the paraxial region where approximation (B1) does not hold. To date no rigorous asymptotic transition function is available for the paraxial region, but in Section 5 we shall derive an approximate transition function, which will be employed in Chapter III to obtain numerical results for mutual coupling between rectangular slots on circular cylindrical surfaces. In spite of this deficiency, it will be seen from comparison of the numerical results with those obtained via harmonic series, that the overall agreement is very reasonable for \( ka \approx 10 \) and 20, including the paraxial region for circumferential and axial slots.
In the deep shadow, i.e. for sufficiently large values of the parameter $x$, the Fock type integrals are evaluated by closing the contour at infinity in the lower half of the $t$-plane. The resulting residue series at the simple poles $\bar{t}_p$ of the integrand with $w'_2(\bar{t}_p) = 0$ will give rise to surface rays, one for each pole. This representation becomes poorly convergent for small value of $x$, necessitating too many residue terms. To amend the situation, an alternative, power series representation of $V_n(x)$ is employed near the source. This series converges well for small values of $x$. The results are (see Appendix B)

$$V_0(x) = -2\pi j \frac{e^{j\pi/4}}{\sqrt{\pi} x^{3/2}} v_0(x), \quad (35)$$

where

$$v_0(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 - \frac{j\pi}{4} x^{3/2} + \frac{j\pi}{60} x^{3} + \frac{7j\pi}{\sqrt{f} 512} x^{9/2} + \ldots, & \text{for small } x \\
\sqrt{\pi} x^{3/2} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-j\pi t_p}}{p}, & \text{for large } x \\
e^{-j\pi/4}, & (x > 0.6),
\end{cases} \quad (36)$$

$$V_1(x) = -2\pi j \frac{e^{j\pi/4}}{2\sqrt{\pi} x^{3/2}} v_1(x), \quad (37)$$

where

$$v_1(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 + \frac{j\pi}{2} x^{3/2} - \frac{j\pi}{12} x^{3} - \frac{7j\pi}{\sqrt{f} 64} x^{9/2} + \ldots, & \text{for small } x \\
2\sqrt{\pi} x^{3/2} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} e^{-j\pi t_p} p, & \text{for large } x \\
e^{-j\pi/4}, & (x > 0.6).
\end{cases} \quad (38)$$
and

\[ V_2(x) = -2\pi \frac{3 e^{-j\frac{\pi}{4}}}{4\sqrt{\pi} x^{5/2}} v_2(x), \]  

(39)

where

\[ v_2(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 + \frac{i7}{12} x^3 + \frac{7\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt[3]{32}} x^{9/2} + \ldots, & \text{for small } x \ (x < 0.6) \\
\frac{4\sqrt{\pi} x^{5/2}}{4} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{-j\pi x^p}{p}, & \text{for large } x \ (x > 0.6). 
\end{cases} \]  

(40)

Here \( \bar{f} \) is the derivative of the Airy function \( Ai(y) \).

Another type of Fock integral that represents the TM polarization contributions is defined by

\[ U_0(x) = \int_{\tau} dt e^{-jxt} \frac{w'(t)}{w(t)} \]  

(41)

where \( \tau \) is the same contour as in Figure II-3.

Similarly to \( V_n(x) \), \( U_0(x) \) becomes a residue series for large values of \( x \) and may be expanded in a power series for small values of \( x \) as follows:

\[ U_0(x) = -2\pi j \frac{e^{-j\frac{\pi}{4}}}{2\sqrt{\pi} x^{3/2}} u_0(x), \]  

(42)

where
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{V}_0(x) &= \begin{cases} 
1 - \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} x^{3/2} + \frac{j5}{12} x^3 + \frac{5\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{3} 64} x^{9/2} + \ldots, & \text{for small } x \ (x < 0.6) \\
\frac{2\sqrt{\pi} x^{3/2}}{e^{j\frac{\pi}{4}}} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} e^{-j\pi p} & \text{for large } x \ (x > 0.6). 
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

Here \( t = |t| e^{-j\frac{\pi}{3}} \), with \( \text{Ai}(-|t|) = 0 \).

Figures (II-6, II-8) show the residue series-power series crossover regions for the curvature terms \( \mathcal{V}_0(x) \), \( \mathcal{V}_1(x) \) and \( \mathcal{V}_0(x) \). From the computed data it is found that the smoothest crossover is obtained for \( x = 0.6 \), where the difference \( \Delta \) between the sum of ten residue terms and the sum of four power series terms is as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta |\mathcal{V}_0(x)| &= 0.0025\% \quad \Delta \text{arg } \mathcal{V}_0(x) = 0.012^\circ \\
\Delta |\mathcal{V}_1(x)| &= 0.42\% \quad \Delta \text{arg } \mathcal{V}_1(x) = 0.126^\circ \\
\Delta |\mathcal{V}_2(x)| &= 1.7\% \quad \Delta \text{arg } \mathcal{V}_2(x) = 0.101^\circ \\
\Delta |\mathcal{V}_0(x)| &= 0.2\% \quad \Delta \text{arg } \mathcal{V}_0(x) = 0.10^\circ 
\end{align*}
\]

In actual computations, the crossover value of \( x = 0.8 \) was employed, with

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta |\mathcal{V}_0(x)| &= 0.13\% \quad \Delta \text{arg } \mathcal{V}_0(x) = 0.012^\circ \\
\Delta |\mathcal{V}_1(x)| &= 0.77\% \quad \Delta \text{arg } \mathcal{V}_1(x) = 0.13^\circ \\
\Delta |\mathcal{V}_0(x)| &= 1.26\% \quad \Delta \text{arg } \mathcal{V}_0(x) = 0.28^\circ 
\end{align*}
\]

The integrals \( \mathcal{V}_n(x) \) arise from the TE polarization in the cylindrical geometry or from the Neumann boundary conditions. In the terminology borrowed from acoustics, the latter corresponds to a hard boundary. Accordingly,
the TE polarization is termed the hard polarization, $V_n(x)$ are the hard curvature terms and the residue contributions arising from $V_n(x)$ at poles $\bar{r}_p$ will give rise to hard surface (creeping) rays. The $V_1(x)$ and $V_2(x)$ are, within a numerical factor, the first and second derivatives of $V_0(x)$. The TM curvature term $U_0(x)$ is called the soft curvature term, since it corresponds to the Dirichlet (soft) boundary conditions. The residue terms resulting from evaluation of $U_0(x)$ at the poles $t_p$ will give rise to the soft surface rays.


Returning to (31) and denoting by $D$ the geodesic distance between the source and observation point (see Figure II-7)

\[
D = \left[ (z-z')^2 + a^2(\theta-\theta')^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{44}
\]

one finds that for observation points in the first quadrant the exponent in (31) may be rewritten in the form

\[
4. \quad \text{Asymptotic Evaluation of Surface Fields.}
\]

\[\text{a. Circumferential Magnetic Current Element.}\]

\[\text{Returning to (31) and denoting by } D \text{ the geodesic distance between}\]

\[\text{the source and observation point (see Figure II-7)}\]

\[\text{with}\]

\[D = \left[ (z-z')^2 + a^2(\theta-\theta')^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{44}\]

\[\text{one finds that for observation points in the first quadrant the exponent in}\]

\[\text{(31) may be rewritten in the form}\]
\[ k_z |z-z'| + k_t a_a = D(k_z \sin \theta + k_t \cos \theta), \] (45)

where

\[ \frac{|z-z'|}{a^2} = \tan \theta. \] (46)

Introducing the change of variable

\[ k_z = k \sin \alpha \] (47)

with

\[ k_t = k \cos \alpha, \] (48)

where the positive sign is chosen in order that for \( k_z = 0 (\alpha = 0) \), \( k_t = k \), and

substituting (47) and (48) into (45), one finds

\[ k_z |z-z'| + k_t a_a = kD \cos (\alpha - \theta). \] (49)

Combining (49), and \( dk_z = k_t \, da \), with (31), one obtains, for observation points

in the first quadrant, the hard (TE) contributions

\[
H_\omega^{(C)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \bigg|_{\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{z}' = a} = -\frac{j M_\omega}{4\pi^2 \omega \omega_0 a} \left[ k^2 f^2 \int d\alpha \, e^{-jkD \cos (\alpha - \theta)} \cos^{2/3} \alpha \sin^2 \alpha V_0(x) \right. \\
+ k^2 \int d\alpha \, e^{-jkD \cos (\alpha - \theta)} \sin^2 \alpha V_1(x) \\
+ \left. \frac{f^4}{2} \int d\alpha \, e^{-jkD \cos (\alpha - \theta)} \sin^2 \alpha \cos^{4/3} \alpha V_2(x) \right],
\] (50)

where

\[ f = \left( \frac{ka}{2} \right)^{1/3}. \] (51)

The integration contour \( P \) is the \( \alpha \)-plane is depicted in Figure 11-8.
To perform the asymptotic evaluation of the integrals in (50), one first deforms the contour of Figure II-8 into the steepest descent path (SDP) through the saddle point \( \alpha_s \), as depicted in Figure II-9. The SDP intersects the real \( \alpha \)-axis at an angle \( \frac{\pi}{4} \). From (C1) one has for the first integral in (50)

\[
q(\alpha) = -j \cos(\alpha - \delta) = -j s^2
\]

\[
F(\alpha) = \sin^2 \alpha \cos^{2/3} \alpha V_0(x)
\]

and

\[
G(s) = F(\alpha) \frac{d\alpha}{ds}.
\]
From (52), the saddle point is
\[ \alpha_s = \theta. \]  
(55)

The even power terms in the Taylor series expansion of (54) about \( s=0 \) are found below
\[ G(0) = F(\theta) \alpha'_s \]  
(56)
\[ G''(0) = F''(\theta) (\alpha'_s)^3 + 3 F'(\theta) \alpha'_s \alpha''_s + F(\theta) \alpha'''_s, \]  
(57)
where
\[ \alpha_s^{(n)} = \left. \frac{d^n \alpha}{ds^n} \right|_{s=0} \]  
(58)
and
\[ F^{(n)}(\theta) = \left. \frac{d^n F(\alpha)}{d\alpha^n} \right|_{\alpha=\theta}. \]  
(59)

From (53) one finds
\[ F'(\theta) = -\frac{2}{3} \cos^{-1/3} \theta \sin^3 \theta V_0(x_s) + 2 \cos^{5/3} \theta \sin \theta V_0(x_s) + \cos^{2/3} \sin^2 \theta V_0(x_s) x_s', \]  
(60)
\[ F''(\theta) = -\frac{2}{9} \cos^{-4/3} \theta \sin^4 \theta V_0(x_s) - \frac{4}{3} \cos^{-1/3} \theta \sin^3 \theta V_0'(x_s) x_s' - \frac{16}{3} \cos^{2/3} \sin^2 \theta V_0(x_s) \]  
\[ + 2 \cos^{8/3} \theta V_0(x_s) + 4 \cos^{5/3} \theta \sin \theta V_0'(x_s) x_s' + \cos^{2/3} \sin^2 \theta V_0''(x_s)(x_s')^2 \]  
\[ + \cos^{2/3} \sin^2 \theta V_0(x_s) x_s'' , \]  
(61)
where
\[ x_s = x \left. \frac{kD}{2 f} \right|_{\alpha=\theta} = f \cos^{1/3} \theta \]  
(62)
with \( f \) defined in (51) and
\[ f_g = \left( \frac{k p g}{2} \right)^{1/3} = \left( \frac{ka}{2 \cos^2 g} \right)^{1/3} \]  
(63)

\[ x_s' = \frac{dx}{da} \bigg|_{a=\theta} = -\frac{1}{3} x_s \tan \theta , \]  
(64)

and

\[ x_s'' = \frac{d^2x}{da^2} \bigg|_{a=\theta} = -\frac{2}{9} x_s \tan^2 \theta - \frac{1}{3} x_s . \]  
(65)

The mapping derivative can be found via (52) and the proper branch

is determined by the condition that at \( a=\theta \), \( \arg \left( \frac{da}{ds} \right) = \frac{\pi}{4} \). Therefore,

\[ \frac{da}{ds} = \sqrt{2j} \left( 1 - j \frac{s^2}{2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} , \]  
(66)

and

\[ a_s' = \sqrt{2j} . \]  
(67)

Consequently, the higher order derivatives become

\[ x_s''' = 0 , \]  
(68)

\[ x_s'''' = j\sqrt{2} \frac{s^2}{2} . \]  
(69)

As a result, one has

\[ G(0) = \sqrt{2j} \sin^2 \theta \cos^{2/3} \theta V_0(x_s) \]  
(70)

and

\[ G'(0) = 2j \sqrt{2j} \left[ \frac{2}{3} \cos^{4/3} \theta \sin^4 \theta V_0'(x_s) + \frac{4}{3} \cos^{1/3} \theta \sin^{3/3} \theta V_0'(x_s) x_s' 
- \frac{16}{3} \cos^{2/3} \theta \sin^2 \theta V_0(x_s) + 2 \cos^{1/3} \theta \sin \theta V_0'(x_s) + 4 \cos^{5/3} \theta \sin \theta V_0'(x_s) x_s' 
+ \cos^{2/3} \theta \sin^2 \theta V_0'(x_s)(x_s')^2 + 2 \cos^{2/3} \sin^2 \theta V_0'(x_s) x_s'' \right] 
+ j \sqrt{2} \sin^2 \theta \cos^{2/3} \theta V_0(x_s) . \]  
(71)
Thus, one has, with $\Omega = kD$

\[
H_{c,1}^{\infty}(r, r') = \frac{-j M^2 k^2 f^2}{4 \pi^2 \omega \omega_0 a} \left\{ \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 3 \theta V_0(x_s) e^{-jkD} (kD)^{3/2} \right. \\
+ \left. \frac{1}{2} \left[ (2 \cos^3 \theta - \frac{61}{12} \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \theta - \frac{2}{9} \cos^{-4/3} \theta \sin^2 \theta) V_0(x_s) \right]^{1/2} \right. \\
+ \cos^2 3 \theta \sin^2 \theta V_0(x_s) \right. \\
+ \cos^2 3 \theta \sin^2 \theta V''_0(x_s)(x_s')^2 e^{-jkD} (kD)^{3/2} \right. \}
\]

(72)

where the second subscript "1" denotes the first integral in (50).

Carrying out the various derivatives in the bracket, one finds

\[
H_{c,1}^{\infty}(r, r') = \frac{-j M^2 k^2 f^2}{4 \pi^2 \omega \omega_0 a} \sqrt{2 \pi i} V_0(x_s) \cos^2 3 \theta \sin^2 \theta e^{-jkD} \\
+ \frac{-1}{2} \left[ (2 \cos^3 \theta - \frac{61}{12} \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \theta - \frac{2}{9} \cos^{-4/3} \theta \sin^2 \theta) V_0(x_s) \right]^{1/2} \right. \\
+ \cos^2 3 \theta \sin^2 \theta V_0(x_s) \right. \\
+ \cos^2 3 \theta \sin^2 \theta V''_0(x_s)(x_s')^2 e^{-jkD} (kD)^{3/2} \right. \}
\]

(73)

where the term with $V_2(x_s)$ has been neglected due to its lower order in $1/ka$. One observes that the three terms in (73) have the same exponential order in $ka$, because the residue series for $V_0(x)$, $V_1(x)$ contains the same "hard" exponents (see 36 and 38). However, the algebraic orders in $1/ka$ of the terms differ. Therefore, for consistency, the third term is also discarded.

If one transforms $V_0(x)$ into the near zone form $v_0(x)$ via (35), one finds the form
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\[
H^{c}_{\varphi,1}(r,r') \bigg|_{\varrho=\varrho'=a} \sim -j M_{\varphi} \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \sin^2 \theta \nu_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{kd} \\
+ M_{\varphi} \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \left( \cos^2 \theta - \frac{61}{24} \sin^2 \theta - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sin^4 \theta}{\cos^2 \theta} \right) \nu_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{(kd)^2}
\]

where for small values of \( x_s \) one uses for \( \nu_0(x_s) \) the power series expressions and for large values of \( x_s \) the residue series (see (36)).

The second integral yields

\[
H^{c}_{\varphi,2}(r,r') \bigg|_{\varrho=\varrho'=a} \sim -M_{\varphi} \frac{k^2}{4\pi^2 \omega} \sin^2 \theta \nu_1(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{(kd)^*} + O\left( \frac{1}{ka} \right) O\left( \frac{1}{(kd)^{3/2}} \right)
\]

Because \( H^{c}_{\varphi,2} \) is \( O\left( \frac{1}{ka} \right) \), it is also discarded, as compared to \( O\left( \frac{1}{ka} \right)^{1/3} \) of the first two terms in (73).

The TM contribution follows via an analogous procedure. From (19) one finds

\[
H^{c}_{\varphi}(r,r') \bigg|_{\varrho=\varrho'=a} \sim \frac{j \omega e_{\varphi} M_{\varphi}}{4\pi^2 a} \int \frac{d\varphi}{P} e^{-jkD \cos(\varphi - \theta)} U_0(x) ,
\]

where the integration contour \( P \) is same as for the TE case.

The asymptotic evaluation yields

\[
H^{c}_{\varphi}(r,r') \bigg|_{\varrho=\varrho'=a} \sim \frac{j \omega e_{\varphi} M_{\varphi}}{4\pi^2 a} \left[ \sqrt{2\pi} \right] \frac{U_0(x_s) e^{-jkD}}{(kd)^*} + i \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\varphi}} \frac{U_0(x_s) e^{-jkD}}{(kd)^{3/2}} \right]
\]

\[
+ O\left( \frac{1}{(ka)^{5/3}} \right) O\left( \frac{1}{(kd)^{3/2}} \right)
\]

The \( O\left( \frac{1}{(kd)^{3/2}} \right) \) term results in the near zone in \( \frac{1}{(kd)^{3/2}} \). To \( O\left( \frac{1}{(kd)^2} \right) \) in the near zone one needs to consider only the leading soft contribution.
Combining (74) and (78), one has the total $\varphi$-component of the surface magnetic field excited by a circumferential magnetic current element:

$$
\left. H^C_{\varphi}(r, r') \right|_{\varphi=\varphi'=a} = -M_\varphi \frac{k^2 \gamma}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\cos^2 \theta} u_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{(kD)^2}
$$

Besides those already neglected additional terms of order $\frac{1}{(k\alpha)^2}$ but of lower order in $\frac{1}{k\alpha}$ appear when the uniform expansion of $H^{(2)}_{\varphi}(z)$ is used. These terms are similarly discarded.

The above asymptotic evaluation is valid as long as $ka \cos \theta$ is not too small, because otherwise the Airy function approximation breaks down. The failure of the Airy function approximation of $H^{(2)}_{\varphi}(z)$ for small values of $z$ manifests itself in appearance of a spurious algebraic branch point at $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$ in the various integrands and of $\frac{\hat{s}}{2}$ and $\frac{\hat{s}}{3} - \frac{1}{2}$ factors (see 30, 35 and 62) with $V_0(x) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} - \frac{1}{\hat{s}^{1/2}}$, $U_0(x) - \frac{1}{x^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{\hat{s}^{3/2}}$ as $\hat{s} \rightarrow 0$ or $\hat{s} \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}$. Although the proximity of the saddle point to the branch point for paraxial propagation could be taken into account by appropriate parabolic cylinder transition function [7], the presence of $\frac{\hat{s}}{2}$ and $\frac{\hat{s}}{3} - \frac{1}{2}$ factors yields infinity in the axial direction ($\hat{s} = 0$) which cannot be removed by constructing a transition function based on the assumed validity of (B1). An approximate transition function and
its variant which render the field finite for \( \theta = 0 \) will be given in Section 5.

From (20) one finds, in a similar manner, for the \( z \)-component of the surface magnetic field to lowest order in \( \frac{1}{ka} \):

\[
H_z^c(r, r') \bigg|_{\rho = \rho' = a} = -\text{sgn}(\varphi - \varphi') \text{sgn}(z - z') \frac{j M_{\varphi} k^2 f^2}{4\pi^2 \mu_0 a} \int_{SDP} d\alpha e^{-jkD} \cos(\alpha - \theta) \sin \alpha \cos^{5/3} \alpha V_0(\alpha).
\]

(80)

Following the same procedure as in (79), one finds

\[
H_z^c(r, r') \bigg|_{\rho = \rho' = a} = -\text{sgn}(\varphi - \varphi') \text{sgn}(z - z') j M_{\varphi} \frac{k^2 f^2}{2\pi} \sin \theta \cos \theta V_0(x_s) e^{-jkD}.
\]

(81)

Again the second order terms are inadequate as \( \theta - \frac{\pi}{2} \). As mentioned previously, the \text{sgn} symbols in (81) may be removed when the range of \( \theta \) is extended to all four quadrants i.e. \( 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi \).

b. Axial Magnetic Current Element

In contrast to the case of circumferential magnetic current element, in spite of the fact that the Airy function approximation of \( H_\nu(z) \) breaks down in the paraxial region, the asymptotic series terms \( O \left( \frac{1}{(kD)^2} \right) \) are bounded.

The \( z \)- and \( \varphi \)-components of the surface magnetic field may be obtained from (26) and (27). For mutual coupling between axial slots, one is particularly interested in \( H_z \). Proceeding in a similar manner as in Section (4a) with (28) and (32) one has

\[
H_z^a(r, r', \varphi) \bigg|_{\rho = \rho' = a} = -\frac{jM_z k^2 f^2}{4\pi^2 \mu_0 a} \int_{SDP} d\alpha e^{-jkD} \cos(\alpha - \theta) \cos^{8/3} \alpha V_0(x_s).
\]

(82)

where SDP is shown in Figure II-9 and \( V_0(x_s) \) is the hard curvature term, with \( x_s \) given by (62).
One finds in (82)

\[ \alpha_s = \theta \tag{83} \]

\[ F(\alpha) = \cos \frac{8}{3} \alpha V_0(x) \tag{84} \]

\[ F''(\alpha) = \frac{40}{9} \cos \frac{2}{3} \alpha \sin^2 \alpha V_0(x) - \frac{8}{3} \cos \frac{8}{3} \alpha V_0(x) - \frac{16}{3} \cos \frac{5}{3} \alpha \sin \alpha V'_0(x)x' + \cos \frac{8}{3} \alpha V''_0(x)(x')^2 + \cos \frac{8}{3} \alpha V'_0(x)x'' \tag{85} \]

Therefore

\[ G(0) = \sqrt{2j} \cos \frac{8}{3} \theta V_0(x_s) \tag{86} \]

and

\[ G''(0) = 2j \sqrt{2j} \left( \frac{40}{9} \cos \frac{2}{3} \theta \sin^2 \theta V_0(x_s) - \frac{8}{3} \cos \frac{8}{3} \theta V_0(x_s) - \frac{16}{3} \cos \frac{5}{3} \theta \sin \theta V'_0(x_s)x'_s + \cos \frac{8}{3} \theta V''_0(x_s)(x'_s)^2 + \cos \frac{8}{3} \theta V'_0(x_s)x''_s \right) \tag{87} \]

In accordance with (C6), one has

\[ H^2_z(r, r') \bigg|_{\rho = \rho'} = \frac{-j M_z k^2 f^2}{4 \pi^2 \omega \mu_o a} \sqrt{2j} \cos \frac{8}{3} \theta V_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{\sqrt{kD}} \]

\[ + \frac{i \sqrt{2j}}{2} \frac{40}{9} \cos \frac{2}{3} \theta \sin^2 \theta V'_0(x_s)x'_s + \cos \frac{8}{3} \theta V'_0(x_s)x''_s \]

\[ + \cos \frac{8}{3} \theta V''_0(x_s)(x'_s)^2 \bigg] \frac{e^{-jkD}}{(kD)^{3/2}} \tag{88} \]
Carrying out the derivatives in the bracket yields

\[ H_z(\xi, \xi') \mid \rho = \rho' = a \approx \frac{-j M_z k^2 f^2}{2 \pi^2 w_0 a} \sqrt{2 / \pi j} V_0(x_s) \cos^{8/3} \theta e^{-jkD} \]

\[ - \frac{j M_z k^2 f^2}{4 \pi^2 w_0 a} \frac{\sqrt{2 / \pi j}}{2} V_0(x_s) \left( \frac{40}{9} \cos^{2/3} \theta \sin^{2} \theta - \frac{29}{12} \cos^{8/3} \theta \right) e^{-jkD} \frac{1}{(kD)^{3/2}} \]

\[ \frac{j M_z k^2}{4 \pi^2 w_0 a} \frac{\sqrt{2 / \pi j}}{2} V_1(x_s) \left( - \frac{7}{9} \cos^{2} \theta \sin^{2} \theta + \frac{1}{6} \cos^{4} \theta \right) e^{-jkD} \frac{1}{(kD)^{1/2}} . \] (89)

Note, the last term is of \( O\left( \frac{1}{(ka)^{2/3}} \right) \) below the leading term and is therefore neglected.

Finally one arrives at the following simpler form

\[ H_z^a(\xi, \xi') \mid \rho = \rho' = a \approx \frac{-j M_z k^2 Y}{2\pi} \cos^{2} \theta V_0(x_s) e^{-jkD} \frac{1}{kD} \]

\[ + M_z \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \left( \frac{20}{9} \sin^{2} \theta - \frac{29}{24} \cos^{2} \theta \right) V_0(x_s) e^{-jkD} \frac{1}{(kD)^2} \] (90)

Because of a similarity between (25) and (12), \( H_\phi^a \) component excited by an axial magnetic current element becomes

\[ H_\phi^a(\xi, \xi') \mid \rho = \rho' = a \approx j M_z \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \sin \theta \cos \theta V_0(x_s) e^{-jkD} \frac{1}{kD} \]

\[ - M_z \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \left( - \frac{23}{4} \sin^{2} \theta \cos \theta + \frac{5}{9} \sin^{2} \theta \right) V_0(x_s) e^{-jkD} \] \[ \frac{1}{(kD)^2} , 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi \] (91)

5. Approximate Formulae

a. The "Full Formula" for Circumferential Current Element.

Since the limits of validity of the rigorous asymptotic expansion (79),
(81) and (91) do not extend to the paraxial region, we shall derive an approximate, simple transition function which is finite for \( \theta - \frac{\pi}{2} \), matches to \( O\left(\frac{1}{kD}\right) \) the rigorous formula at \( \theta = 0 \) and reduces to a planar result to \( O\left(\frac{1}{kD^2}\right) \) when the observation point approaches the source.

The derivation of the "Full Formula" is given below.

Starting with (31), we immediately discard the integral containing \( V_2(x) \), since it gives rise to a lower order of \( \frac{1}{kD} \) than considered here.

The first integral in (31) is replaced by

\[
\frac{j M_\infty}{4 \pi^2 \omega_0 a} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \int dk_z e^{-ik_z |z-z'|} - j k_t a^\frac{\theta}{t} e^{\frac{2}{k_t} V_0(x)}
\]

while the second integral is left in its original form which contains \( k_z \).

Hence,

\[
H_\infty^c(\rho, \rho') = - \frac{j M_\infty}{4 \pi^2 \omega_0 a} \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \int d\alpha e^{-ikD \cos(\alpha-\theta)} f_t^2 V_0(x) \right] P
\]

\[
- k^2 \int d\alpha e^{-ikD \cos(\alpha-\theta) \sin^2 \alpha V_1(x)} P
\]

The contour \( P \) is deformed into SDP of Figure 11-9 and the asymptotic evaluation yields the leading terms

\[
\int d\alpha e^{-ikD \cos(\alpha-\theta)} f_t^2 V_0(x) - f_t^2 V_0(x_s) \cos^{2/3} \theta \frac{2\pi j}{\sqrt{kD}} e^{-jkD}
\]

SDP

and

\[
\int d\alpha e^{-ikD \cos(\alpha-\theta) \sin^2 \alpha V_1(x)} V_1(x_s) \sin^2 \theta \frac{2\pi j}{\sqrt{kD}} e^{-jkD}
\]

SDP

where \( x_s \) denotes the value of \( x \) evaluated at the saddle point \( \alpha_s = \theta \).
In view of the relation (35) and (37) one finds

\[ f^2 V_0(x_s) \cos^{2/3} \theta \int \frac{2\pi i}{kD} e^{-jkD} = 2\pi ka v_0(x_s) e^{-jkD} \]  \hspace{1cm} (96)

and

\[ V_1(x_s) \sin^{2} \theta \int \frac{2\pi i}{kD} e^{-jkD} = -j 2\pi k^3 a v_1(x_s) \frac{\sin^{2} \theta}{\cos^{2} \theta} e^{-jkD} \] \hspace{1cm} (97)

Substituting (96) and (97) into (93), one has approximately

\[ H^c_{\rho}(r, r') \bigg|_{\rho = \rho' = a} \approx \frac{j M_\phi}{4\pi^2 \omega a} \int \frac{2\pi ka \partial^2}{\partial z^2} \left[ v_0(x_s) e^{-jkD} \right] + j 2\pi k^3 a v_1(x_s) \frac{\sin^{2} \theta}{\cos^{2} \theta} e^{-jkD} \] \hspace{1cm} (98)

Rigorously, the asymptotic evaluation of the integral in (94) should be carried out to next order.

Since for \( x < 1 \), \( v_0(x_s) \) is slowly varying (see Figure II-4), one may employ the approximation

\[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \left[ v_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{kD} \right] \approx v_0(x_s) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \frac{e^{-jkD}}{kD} \approx -k^2 v_0(x_s) \left( \sin^{2} \theta - \sin^{2} \theta \frac{i}{kD} + \cos^{2} \theta \frac{2j}{kD} - \frac{i}{kD} \right) e^{-jkD} \] \hspace{1cm} (99)

where after differentiation terms \( O\left( \frac{1}{(kD)^3} \right) \) have been neglected. Meanwhile, the next term in (95) is \( O\left( \frac{1}{(kD)^{3/2}} \right) \) in the deep shadow, so that in view of (37) the corresponding near zone expression will be \( O\left( \frac{1}{(kD)^3} \right) \) and is neglected.

Substituting (99) into (98), one finds the approximate field expression

\[ H^c_{\rho}(r, r') \bigg|_{\rho = \rho' = a} \approx M_\phi \frac{k^2 \gamma}{2\pi} \left[-j \left( \sin^{2} \theta - \sin^{2} \theta \frac{2j}{kD} \right) \right] \frac{-\sin^{2} \theta}{\cos^{2} \theta} \frac{1}{kD} v_1(x_s) e^{-jkD} \] \hspace{1cm} (100)
The soft contribution is obtained directly from (78). Combining (78) with (100) one finds the "Full Formula"

\[ \begin{align*}
H^c_{\Omega}(r,r') |_{\phi=\phi'=a} & \approx -j M_\phi \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \left( \sin^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta \frac{j}{kD} \cos^2 \theta \frac{2j}{kD} \right) \nu_0(x_s) e^{-jkD/kD} \\
& \quad - M_\phi \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \left[ \nu_0(x_s) + \frac{\sin^2 \theta \nu_1(x_s) - u_0(x_s)}{\cos^2 \theta} \right] e^{-jkD/(kD)^2} 
\end{align*} \]

The properties of this Full Formula are as follows:

a) it matches to \(O\left(\frac{1}{(kD)^2}\right)\) the asymptotic formula (79) for \(\theta=0\), i.e. in the circumferential (H-plane),

b) it is finite on \(\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}\), and

c) as \(D \to 0\) \(H^c_{\Omega}\) tends to the planar result to \(O\left(\frac{1}{(kD)^2}\right)\).

This is seen as follows:

\[ \begin{align*}
w(x_s) & = \nu_0(x_s) + \frac{\sin^2 \theta \nu_1(x_s) - u_0(x_s)}{\cos^2 \theta} \\
& = \frac{\sqrt{-j\pi}}{4} \left( \frac{fD}{a} \right)^{3/2} \left( 1 + 3\sin^2 \theta \right) \left( \frac{fD}{a} \right)^3 \left( 18 + 42 \sin^2 \theta \right) \cos^2 \theta + O\left( \frac{fD}{a} \right)^{9/2} 
\end{align*} \]

Consequently \(w(x_s) \to 0\) as \(D \to 0\) and (101) reduces to the planar result for \(H^c_{\Omega}\), where \(\zeta\) denotes the Cartesian coordinate in the direction of the magnetic dipole on a ground plane and \(\theta\) is the angle of the radius vector with the \(\zeta\) direction.

Following the same line of reasoning one has from (12) and in the first quadrant

\[ \begin{align*}
H^c_{\Omega}(r,r') |_{\phi=\phi'=a} & = \frac{j M_\phi}{4\pi^2 \omega \sigma a^2} \frac{\delta^2}{\delta z' \delta z} \int e^{-jk_z |z-z'|} \frac{1}{k_t} \int dv e^{-jv\theta} \frac{H^{(2)}_{\nu}(k_t a)}{H^{(2)}_{\nu}(k_t a)} 
\end{align*} \]
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After certain amount of algebra one finds

\[
H_z^c (r, r') \bigg|_{\rho = \rho' = a} \approx -j \frac{M_p Y}{2\pi a} v_0(x_s) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z' \partial \varphi'} e^{-jkD} \frac{e^{-jkD}}{kD} \tag{104}
\]

where the integrals were evaluated to lowest order in \( \frac{1}{kD} \) and \( v_0(x_s) \) was assumed slowly varying. Hence approximately

\[
H_z^c (r, r') \bigg|_{\rho = \rho' = a} \approx jM_p \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \sin \theta \cos \theta \left( 1 - \frac{3j}{kD} \right) v_0(x_s) e^{-jkD} \frac{e^{-jkD}}{kD} \tag{105}
\]

b. Variant of the "Full Formula"

This formula is obtained from (101) by retaining, in addition to the soft polarization contribution \( u_0(x) \), only hard terms to lowest order in \( \frac{1}{ka} \) in line with the rigorously asymptotic procedure used in (74). Examining in the deep shadow the hard polarization terms \( v_0(x) \) and \( v_1(x) \) one observes, in view of (35) and (37), that for a given distance \( D \)

\[
\frac{v_1(x)}{v_0(x)} = O \left( \frac{1}{(ka)^{2/3}} \right), \tag{106}
\]

since from (62) and (63) one has \( x = \frac{kD}{2f^2} = \frac{kD}{2} \left( \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{ka} \right)^{2/3} \). Thus, for consistency, the term involving \( v_1(x_s) \) should be discarded. As a result, in the paraxial region, for \( x_s < 0.8 \) or \( 0.6 \), it is necessary to neglect the soft polarization term \( u_0(x) \), which becomes unbounded for \( \theta \approx \frac{\pi}{2} \).

Thus, the variant formula becomes
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\[ H_0^z (r, r') \begin{cases} \approx -j M_z \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \left( \sin^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta \frac{2j}{kD} + \cos^2 \theta \frac{j}{kD} \right) v_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{kD}, \\ \text{for } x > 0.6 \end{cases} \]

\[ + M_z \frac{k^2 Y u_0(x)}{2\pi} \frac{e^{-jkD}}{\cos^2 \theta (kD)^2}, \]

\[ \approx -j M_x \frac{k^2 Y u_0(x)}{2\pi} \frac{e^{-jkD}}{\cos^2 \theta (kD)^2}, \]

\[ \text{for } x \leq 0.6 \]  

It should be noted that in the \( \theta = 0^\circ \) (H-plane) both the "Full Formula" and its variant coincide with the rigorously asymptotic result of (79).

c. Axial Magnetic Current Element

From (8), (11), (16) and (24) one has

\[ \frac{j M_z}{4\pi^2 w u_0} \int \frac{e^{-jk |z-z'|} |z-z'|}{\kappa t} \frac{1}{\kappa t} \sum_{v} e^{-jv_0 H \nu (k t a)} \]

\[ \text{for } x > 0.6 \]

Again, assuming that \( v_0(x_s) \) is slowly varying for \( x_s < 1 \), one finds

\[ \frac{j M_z}{4\pi^2 w u_0} \int e^{-jk D \cos(\alpha - \theta)} \cos \frac{2/3}{\alpha} v_0(x). \]  

In a similar manner, retaining in the integral of (109) only the leading term, one has

\[ H^a z (r, r') \begin{cases} \approx -j M_z \frac{Y}{2\pi} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + k^2 \right) v_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{kD}, \\ \text{for } x > 0.6 \end{cases} \]

Again, assuming that \( v_0(x_s) \) is slowly varying for \( x_s < 1 \), one finds

\[ H^a z (r, r') \begin{cases} \approx -j M_z \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \left( \cos^2 \theta - \cos^2 \theta \frac{2j}{kD} + \sin^2 \theta \frac{2j}{kD} \right) v_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{kD}, \\ \text{for } x \leq 0.6 \end{cases} \]

In view of the identical form of (25) and (12) and because
\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial \varphi} G'' = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z' \partial \varphi'} G'',
\]
one has, via (105)

\[
H^a_z (\xi, \xi') \bigg|_{\varphi = \varphi'} = j M_z \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \cos \theta \sin \theta \left( 1 - \frac{3i}{kD} \right) \nu_0 \left( \kappa \right) e^{-jkD} \frac{z - z'}{a(\varphi - \varphi')}
\]

(112)

The range of \( \theta \) in (101) and (105) and (111-112) is \( 0 < \theta < 2\pi \), i.e. over all four quadrants. This feature automatically accounts for the sign changes from quadrant to quadrant, as required by (80) and (91).

d. Modified Planar Vector Formula for Arbitrary Orientation of Current Source

The set of approximate relations (101), (105), (111) and (112) can be cast in a vector form similar to that for the planar case (see Appendix D).

In Figures II-10(a) and (b), the unit vectors \( \hat{\mathbf{e}} \) and \( \hat{\mathbf{e}}' \) are tangent vectors, \( \mathbf{b} \) and \( \mathbf{b'} \) binormals to a typical surface ray and \( \mathbf{s} \) denotes the source direction. The prime indicates source coordinates while the unprimed quantities refer to the observation point. The angle \( \theta \) is given to

\[
\tan^{-1} \frac{z - z'}{a(\varphi - \varphi')}
\]

One now obtains for a circumferential magnetic current element (Figure II-10a); i.e. \( \hat{s} = \hat{\mathbf{e}} \)
\[ \sin^2 \theta = \hat{s} \cdot \hat{b}' \cdot \hat{s}, \quad (113) \]
\[ \cos^2 \theta = \hat{s} \cdot \hat{t}' \cdot \hat{s}, \quad (114) \]

and

\[ \sin \theta \cos \theta = \hat{s} \cdot \hat{b}' (-\hat{b} \cdot \hat{z}) = (\hat{s} \cdot \hat{t}'(\hat{t} \cdot \hat{z})). \quad (115) \]

Therefore,

\[ H_C^C (r, r') \bigg|_{\theta = \theta'} = -j M_{\infty} \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \hat{s} \cdot \left[ \hat{b}' \cdot \hat{s} \left( 1 - \frac{i}{kD} \right) + \hat{t}' \cdot \hat{s} \frac{2j}{kD} \nu_0 (x_s) e^{-(jkD)} \right] \]
\[ - M_{\infty} \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \cdot \nu_0 (x_s) e^{-(jkD)} \quad (116) \]

The second term in the bracket in (105) may also be written

\[ \sin \theta \cos \theta + 2 \cos \theta \sin \theta. \] Therefore, one has, via (115),

\[ H_C^C (r, r') \bigg|_{\theta = \theta'} = -j M_{\infty} \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \hat{s} \cdot \left[ \hat{b}' \cdot \hat{s} \left( 1 - \frac{i}{kD} \right) + \hat{t}' \cdot \hat{s} \frac{2j}{kD} \nu_0 (x_s) e^{-(jkD)} \right], \quad (117) \]

Making use of the unit dyadic \( \hat{\nabla} \hat{\nabla} + \hat{z} \hat{z} = 1 \), one finds

\[ H_C^C (r, r') \bigg|_{\theta = \theta'} = \hat{\nabla} H_C^C (r, r') + \hat{z} H_z^C (r, r') \]
\[ \approx - j M_{\infty} \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \hat{s} \cdot \left[ \hat{b}' \hat{s} \left( 1 - \frac{i}{kD} \right) + \hat{t}' \cdot \frac{2j}{kD} \nu_0 (x_s) e^{-(jkD)} \right] \]
\[ - M_{\infty} \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \cdot \nu_0 (x_s) e^{-(jkD)} \quad (118) \]

For an axial \( M_z \) (see Figure II-10b)

\[ \cos^2 \theta = \hat{s} \cdot \hat{b}' \hat{b} \cdot \hat{z} \quad (119) \]
\[ \sin^2 \theta = \hat{s} \cdot \hat{t}' \cdot \hat{t} \cdot \hat{z} \quad (120) \]

and
\[ \cos \theta \sin \theta = -\hat{s} \cdot \hat{e} \cdot \hat{e} \cdot \varphi = \hat{t} \cdot \hat{s} \cdot \hat{t}. \quad (121) \]

Hence, in a similar manner as in (118), one has

\[
H^a (r, r') \approx -j M_z \frac{k^2 \gamma}{2\pi} \hat{s} \cdot \left[ \hat{e} \cdot (1 - \frac{i}{kD}) + \hat{t}' \cdot \hat{t} \frac{2i}{kD} \right] v_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{kD} \quad (122)
\]

Combining both slot orientations, one finally has

\[
H (r, r') \approx -j \frac{k^2 \gamma}{2\pi} M \cdot \left[ \hat{e} \cdot (1 - \frac{i}{kD}) + \hat{t}' \cdot \hat{t} \frac{2i}{kD} \right] v_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{kD} - \frac{\hat{M} \cdot \hat{e}}{2\pi} \frac{k^2 \gamma}{w(x_s)} \frac{e^{-jkD}}{(kD)^2} \quad (123)
\]

where \( \hat{M} \) denotes an arbitrarily oriented magnetic point source. Note, this formula is identical with the planar formula (D8), save for the curvature terms \( v_0(x_s) \) and \( w(x_s) \).

The analysis of the circular cylinder problem was carried out in terms of the \( \varphi \) and \( z \) coordinates which simultaneously constitute the directions of principal curvature of the surface. In its generalization to a conical surface \( \varphi - \varphi \) and \( z - r, \varphi \) and \( r \) again being the principal curvature directions on a cone (see Chapter IV).

For a more general surface of revolution, the tangential \( M \) will have to be decomposed prior to further treatment into components along the two principal directions.

6. Summary of Formulae

a. Strictly Asymptotic Expressions to Lowest Order in \( \frac{1}{ka} \) and to Second Order in \( \frac{1}{kD} \):

A. Circumferential Magnetic Current Element
\[
H_C^r (r, r') \bigg|_{\rho = \rho' = a} = -j M_c \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \sin^2 \theta \nu_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{kD} \\
+ M_c \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \left( \cos^2 \theta - \frac{61}{24} \sin^2 \theta - \frac{1}{9} \sin^2 \theta \frac{2^2}{\cos^2 \theta} \right) \nu_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{(kD)^2} \\
+ M_c \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\cos^2 \theta} u_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{(kD)^2} 
\]

(124)

\[
H_C^z (r, r') \bigg|_{\rho = \rho' = a} = -j M_c \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \sin \theta \cos \theta \nu_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{kD} \\
- M_c \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \left( -\frac{23}{8} \sin \theta \cos \theta + \frac{5}{9} \cos \theta \nu_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{(kD)^2} \right) 
\]

(125)

B. Axial Magnetic Current Element

\[
H_a^z (r, r') \bigg|_{\rho = \rho' = a} = -j M_z \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \cos^2 \theta \nu_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{kD} \\
+ M_z \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \left( \frac{23}{9} \sin^2 \theta - \frac{29}{24} \cos^2 \theta \right) \nu_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{(kD)^2} 
\]

(126)

\[
H_a^\varphi (r, r') \bigg|_{\rho = \rho' = a} = -j M_z \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \sin \theta \cos \theta \nu_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{kD} \\
- M_z \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \left( -\frac{23}{4} \sin \theta \cos \theta + \frac{5}{9} \cos \theta \nu_0(x_s) \right) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{(kD)^2} 
\]

(127)

Validity of these formulae is restricted to $\theta$ not too close to $\pi/2$.

b. The Modified Planar Formula

This formula is not strictly asymptotic. It applies to an arbitrary $M$, and is written in a vector form.
\[ H(r, r') \mid_{\rho = \rho'} = -j k^2 Y M \cdot \left[ 6' \delta (1 - \frac{j}{kD}) + \frac{j}{kD} \right] v_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{kD} \]

\[-M \cdot \delta' \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} w(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{(kD)^2} \]

where

\[ w(x_s) = v_0(x_s) + \frac{\sin^2 \theta v_1(x_s) - u_0(x_s)}{\cos^2 \theta} \]

The expression for \( H_\varphi \) excited by a circumferential magnetic current element derived in (101) or obtainable from (128) - (129) is termed here the "Full Formula."

c. The "Full Formula"

The expression for \( H_\varphi \) excited by a circumferential magnetic current element derived in (101) or obtainable from (128) - (129) is termed here the "Full Formula."

\[ H_\varphi^C(r, r') \mid_{\rho = \rho} = -j M \cdot \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \left( \frac{\sin^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta}{kD} \right) \frac{j}{kD} v_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{kD} \]

\[-M \cdot \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} w(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{(kD)^2} \]

where \( w(x_s) \) is shown in (129).

d. The Variant of the "Full Formula"

\[ H_\varphi^C(r, r') \mid_{\rho = \rho} = -j M \cdot \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \left( \frac{\sin^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta}{kD} \right) \frac{j}{kD} v_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{kD} \]

\[ + M \cdot \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\cos^2 \theta} u_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{(kD)^2} \]

for \( x_s > 0.6 \)

\[ -j M \cdot \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \left( \frac{\sin^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta}{kD} \right) \frac{j}{kD} v_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD}}{kD} \]

for \( x_s < 0.6 \)

where \( x_s = \frac{kD}{2f\rho^2} \), \( f = \left( \frac{jg}{2} \right) \) and \( \rho = \frac{a}{\cos^2 \theta} \) (see 62 and 63).

The curvature terms \( v_0(x_s), v_1(x_s), \) and \( u_0(x_s) \) are listed in (35-43).
III. Numerical Results

This chapter is devoted to numerical tests of validity of surface ray methods for mutual coupling in conformal arrays. Specifically, these methods are applied to mutual coupling between slots on conducting circular cylindrical surfaces. Once the limits of validity of the surface ray techniques have been established, the asymptotic results of the cylindrical problem can easily be extended in a GTD manner to conical surfaces. This is described in Chapter IV.

A literature search has disclosed the availability of numerical and experimental results for mutual admittance between "single mode" rectangular slots in a conducting circular cylinder[5], [9]. These were obtained via harmonic series in \( \tau \) and a numerically evaluated Fourier integral with respect to the axial wave-number spectrum. To enforce convergence, a small loss was assumed in the surrounding medium. The authors were helpful in supplying us with some of their numerical data in a computer print-out form. They also made available their computer program to Drs. Kummer and Villeneuve of Hughes Aircraft Co., Culver City, California, who in turn furnished us with additional numerical harmonic series results.

The surface ray computations of mutual admittances between "single mode" rectangular slots in an infinite conducting circular cylinder were carried out by numerical integration of the mutual admittance integral

\[
Y_{12} = \frac{\int_{\text{Aperture 2}} \mathbf{E}_2 \times \mathbf{H}_{21} \cdot \hat{n}_2 \, dS}{V_1 V_2}
\]

where \( \mathbf{E}_2 = V_2 \mathbf{e}_2 \), \( \mathbf{E}_1 = V_1 \mathbf{e}_1 \), denote the tangential fields in aperture (2) and (1) respectively, \( \mathbf{e}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{e}_2 \) representing the respective TE_{10} mode functions,
normalized so that

\[ \iint_{\text{Aperture 2}} |e_2|^2 \, dS = \iint_{\text{Aperture 1}} |e_1|^2 \, dS = 1, \tag{2} \]

and \( H_{21} \) is the surface magnetic field intensity due to aperture 1 on the unperforated surface in the location of aperture 2. The surface magnetic current density is

\[ \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{E} \times \hat{n} \, dS \tag{3} \]

where \( \hat{n} \) is the local outward unit normal of the cylindrical surface and \( dS \) denotes an infinitesimal area in the slot. In the case of circumferential slots, it follows from (1) and (3) that only \( H_y \) needs to be considered, while for axial slots only \( H_z \) is required.

To compare to Stewart-Golden's data, the slot dimensions were chosen those of a standard X-band 0.9" x 0.4" waveguide.

a. Circumferential Slots

Two formulae for \( H_y \) were used in obtaining the numerical values for \( Y_{12} \), the "Full Formula" (II-101) and its variant, (II-107, 108) as described in Section 5 of Chapter II.

In the following, \( Z_o \) and \( \phi_o \) denote the axial and the angular center-to-center separation of two circumferential slots (see Fig. III-1.

For further comparison, curves based on Hwang and Kouyoumjian's GTD formulation [10] are also included. These formulae consist solely of the dominant hard and soft terms of (II-123). For a circumferential
Figure III-1. (a) Two Circumferential Slots on a Cylinder of Radius \( a = R \), (b) Slot Geometry, (c) Partitioning of Slots for Numerical Integration.

magnetic current element, in our notation, these formulae are

\[
H^c_\varphi (r, r') \bigg|_{\rho = \rho' = a} = - j M_\varphi \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \sin^2 \theta \, v_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD \rho}}{kD} + M_\varphi \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\cos^2 \theta} \, u_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD \rho}}{kD} \tag{4}
\]

and for an axial magnetic current element,

\[
H^a_z (r, r') \bigg|_{\rho = \rho' = a} = - j M_z \frac{k^2 Y}{2\pi} \cos^2 \theta \, v_0(x_s) \frac{e^{-jkD \rho}}{kD} \tag{5}
\]

We shall consider the mutual admittance between circumferential 0.9" x 0.4" single mode slots in conducting cylinder with \( a = R = 1.991" \) at \( f = 9 \) GHz (\( ka \approx 9.5 \)) and subsequently with \( a = 3.777" \) at \( f = 0.975 \) GHz (\( ka \approx 19.6 \)). In each case the data are normalized to the magnetic of the self admittance \( |Y_{11}| \), where \( Y_{11}/Y_g = 0.8588 + j 0.3834 \) for \( a = 1.991" \) at 9 GHz and \( Y_{11}/Y_g = 0.8440 + j 0.4040 \) at 9.75 GHz, for \( a = 3.777" \), \( Y_g \) being the \( TE_{10} \) modal admittance of the rectangular feed waveguide with 0.9" x 0.4" I.D. The computed points are in 10° steps of angular separation \( \frac{\pi}{4} \) for...
a = 1.991" and in 10.1333° for a = 3.777".

The procedure of numerical integration of (1) for circumferential slots was based on a subdivision of each slot into 14 × 2 = 28 elemental areas ΔS of equal size (Figure III-1c). An increase of the number of subdivisions to 14 × 4 = 56 reduced the difference between S-G and PINY by 0.3 DB for the near slots (θ < 50°) but did not appreciably affect the numerical results for the far apart slots.

We shall begin with the H-plane coupling (Z₀ = 0) and increase Z₀ in steps. Figure (III-2) shows |Y₁₂/Y₁₁| calculated by the Full Formula (PINY) for Z₀ = 0, in comparison with the harmonic series data (S-G), and GTD. The PINY curves show good agreement with S-G, but the GTD curve markedly deviates from S-G and shows a different slope. As discussed in Chapter I, the reason for this discrepancy is that the lowest order in $\frac{1}{kD}$ hard contribution vanishes for θ = 0 and the behavior of $H₉$ is dominated not by the soft contribution, but rather by the second order in $\frac{1}{kD}$ hard contribution, the exponential attenuation rate of which is identical with that of the lowest order hard contribution, the attenuation constant of the dominant hard surface ray being $\frac{1}{2}$ that of the dominant soft surface ray for the same geodesic. Figure III-3 shows the effect of neglecting the $v₁(x)$ term in (II-101) and in addition discarding the soft contribution $u₀(x)$ for x < 0.3 (actually x = 0.6 would be more appropriate). In the H-plane the $v₁(x)$ term has a negligible effect, because its pattern $\frac{\sin^2θ}{\cos^2θ}$ has a null for $θ = 0$.

Table I gives the phase comparison. It is seen that the "Full Formula" (F.F.) yields, except for $θ = 110°$, a maximum phase difference of $\approx 13°$ from S-G.
Figure III-4 shows $\frac{Y_{12}}{Y_{11}}$ for the case of $Z_o = 0.5''$. The departure of the F.F. from the S-G result increases and the departure of GTD decreases. Table II summarizes the phase comparison and it is seen that the correlation of the F.F. to S-G is very good, next comes the variant formula and finally GTD.

Figure III-5 shows the $Z_o = 1''$ case. The F.F. curve is now above S-G and so is the variant formula which shows now a better amplitude correlation than F.F. with the harmonic series result. The phase comparison is shown in Table III. Again best phase correlation is obtained via F.F.

Figure III-6 and III-7 and Table IV present the case of $Z_o = 2''$. The F.F. is about 2 DB higher than S-G, while GTD correlates better for $\theta_o > 30^0$, but tends to diverge for small $\theta_o$ values. On the other hand the variant formula result, to the accuracy of the graph, is indistinguishable from S-G except towards the E-plane where it falls below S-G by about 1 DB. Similar comments apply to the case $Z_o = 3''$, represented Figure III-8 and III-9 and Table V. It is seen that in Figure III-8 the difference between the F.F. and the harmonic series result is ~2 DB. On the other hand the variant formula and GTD track S-G quite well.

Figures III-10 and III-11 and Table VI summarize the comparison for the case of $Z = 4''$. There is about 1.7 DB difference in the E-plane for either F.F. or the variant formula. The F.F. phases track very well, next best is the variant formula.

Figure III-12 and Table VII present the results for $Z = 8''$. The maximum deviation of the F.F. result from that of harmonic series is about 3 DB. However, it is to be noted that in view of the assumed loss, i.e. $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_o (1 - j 0.0025)$ the actual discrepancy should be reduced to no more than 2 DB.
As a general comment, the GTD formulae show good agreement in amplitude with the harmonic series for \( Z_0 > 2'' \) and \( \theta > 30^\circ \). The variant formula matches very well to S-G in amplitude over the entire range of \( Z_0 \) including the H-plane, but falls below the harmonic series result in the E-plane. Also the phase of the variant formula tracks better than that of S-G than the GTD result. The phase of the F.F. tracks best of all. The discrepancy in \( \left| \frac{Y_{12}}{Y_{11}} \right| \) is increasing with \( Z_0 \), but seems to level off for large values of \( Z_0 \), in view of the loss assumed in S-G program.

Figures III-13 to III-17 and Tables VIII to XII show the amplitude and phase of \( \frac{Y_{12}}{Y_{11}} \) for \( a = 3.777'' \), \( f = 9.75 \) GHz and \( 0.9'' \times 0.4'' \) apertures for different axial slot separations \( Z_0 \). In this case, the harmonic series computer program employed a loss tangent of 0.003. As expected, for this increased value of \( k a \approx 19.6 \), the correlation between the harmonic series and the asymptotic surface ray results is improved in amplitude.

b. Axial Slots

Since the expressions (II-90) are finite as \( \theta - \frac{\pi}{2} \), they may be adequate for the description of the field in the neighborhood of a slot uniformly in all directions and thus, suitable for the determination of the mutual admittance. One observes that for axial slots only the hard polarization is excited, so that the soft transition function \( u_0(x) \) does not appear in (II-90).

Samples of numerical results, obtained via harmonic series, for mutual coupling between single mode axial slots on a circular cylinder can be found in [9]. These data are in the form of isolation \( 20 \log_{10} |S_{12}| \) between two open-ended rectangular waveguides feeding the slot apertures, where \( S_{12} \) is the scattering (coupling coefficient between the waveguides).
MUTUAL ADMITTANCE OF CIRCUMFERENTIAL SLOTS
ON A CONDUCTING CYLINDER

\[ 20 \log_{10} \left( \frac{y_{12}}{y_{11}} \right) \]

--- STEWART - GOLDEN

\( a = 0.9" \)
\( b = 0.4" \)
\( f = 9 \text{ GHz} \)

Figure III-2. Mutual Admittance of Circumferential Slots
on a Conducting Cylinder, \( a = 1.991" \), \( Z_0 = 0 \)
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ELIMINATE $v_1(x)$ AND LEAVE OUT $u_0(x)$ FOR $x < 0.8$

STEWART - GOLDEN

Figure III-3. Mutual Admittance of Circumferential Slots on a Conductor Cylinder.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. $V_1$</th>
<th>Full Formula</th>
<th>GTD</th>
<th>S-G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEGREES</td>
<td>DEGREES</td>
<td>DEGREES</td>
<td>DEGREES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-60.23</td>
<td>-62.77</td>
<td>-56.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>176.68</td>
<td>179.36</td>
<td>178.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>71.18</td>
<td>69.28</td>
<td>60.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-38.10</td>
<td>-39.66</td>
<td>-54.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-145.75</td>
<td>-147.10</td>
<td>-169.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>107.89</td>
<td>106.63</td>
<td>75.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>-38.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-102.09</td>
<td>-103.25</td>
<td>-153.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>153.70</td>
<td>152.82</td>
<td>92.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MUTUAL ADMITTANCE OF CIRCUMFERENTIAL SLOTS ON A CONDUCTING CYLINDER

- --- FULL FORMULA
- --- ELIMINATE \( v_1 \), AND NEGLECT \( u_0 \) FOR \( x < 0.3 \)
- --- GTD
- --- STEWART - GOLDEN

\[ Z_o = 0.5'' \]
\[ R = 1.991'' \]
\[ f = 9.0 \text{ GHz} \]

**Figure III-4. Mutual Admittance of Circumferential Slots on a Conducting Cylinder.**
### Table II

Phase Comparison Arg. \( Y_{12} \)

\( Z_0 = 0.5'', R = 1.991'', f = 9 \) GHz

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \theta )</th>
<th>No ( V_1 ) DEGREES</th>
<th>Full Formula DEGREES</th>
<th>GTD DEGREES</th>
<th>S-G DEGREES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-81.84</td>
<td>-70.85</td>
<td>-72.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-85.57</td>
<td>-77.63</td>
<td>-77.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-99.46</td>
<td>-107.29</td>
<td>-105.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-151.36</td>
<td>-172.00</td>
<td>-144.44</td>
<td>-172.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-117.03</td>
<td>103.28</td>
<td>108.76</td>
<td>103.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>19.18</td>
<td>8.77</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>7.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-81.43</td>
<td>-90.17</td>
<td>-104.95</td>
<td>-92.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>176.65</td>
<td>168.89</td>
<td>146.80</td>
<td>168.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>74.26</td>
<td>67.15</td>
<td>38.17</td>
<td>67.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-28.18</td>
<td>-34.79</td>
<td>-70.49</td>
<td>-41.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-130.46</td>
<td>-136.65</td>
<td>-178.93</td>
<td>-142.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>127.49</td>
<td>121/69</td>
<td>73.03</td>
<td>131/22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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\[ Z_0 = 1.0'' \]
\[ R = 1.991'' \]
\[ f = 9.0 \text{ GHz} \]

\[ 20 \log_{10} \left( \frac{Y_2}{Y_1} \right) \]

\[ \phi \text{ DEGREES} \]

Figure III-5. Mutual Admittance of Circumferential Slots on a Conducting Cylinder, \( a = 1.991'', Z_0 = 1'' \)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \theta )</th>
<th>No ( V_1 )</th>
<th>Full Formula</th>
<th>GTD</th>
<th>S-G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DEGREES</td>
<td>DEGREES</td>
<td>DEGREES</td>
<td>DEGREES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>152.62</td>
<td>152.99</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>154.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>151.24</td>
<td>142.84</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>144.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>134.53</td>
<td>111.19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>113.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>86.51</td>
<td>57.95</td>
<td>101.53</td>
<td>61.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>9.01</td>
<td>-12.30</td>
<td>13.62</td>
<td>-7.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-75.81</td>
<td>-93.86</td>
<td>-77.03</td>
<td>-88.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-165.88</td>
<td>177.49</td>
<td>-172.19</td>
<td>-176.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>100.36</td>
<td>84.48</td>
<td>89.47</td>
<td>91.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>-11.27</td>
<td>-10.91</td>
<td>-3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-93.40</td>
<td>-108.69</td>
<td>-112.58</td>
<td>-100.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>168.07</td>
<td>152.88</td>
<td>144.99</td>
<td>161.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>69.00</td>
<td>53.87</td>
<td>42.12</td>
<td>61.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure III-6. Mutual Admittance of Circumferential Slots on a Conducting Cylinder. $a = 0.9\text{"}, Z_0 = 2\text{\ohm}$. $b = 0.4\text{"}$, $f = 9\text{ GHz}$. $\phi_0 = 1.99\text{"}$.
Figure III-7. Mutual Admittance of Circumferential Slots on a Conducting Cylinder, a = 1.991", Z_0 = 2".

- ELIMINATE v_1 (x) AND LEAVE OUT u_0 (x) FOR x < 0.8
- STEWARD - GOLDEN
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\phi^\circ$</th>
<th>No V₁ DEGREES</th>
<th>Full Formula DEGREES</th>
<th>GTD DEGREES</th>
<th>S-G DEGREES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-113.06</td>
<td>-118.21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-117.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-120.75</td>
<td>-126.10</td>
<td>(-10.33)</td>
<td>-124.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-143.46</td>
<td>-149.45</td>
<td>(-14.44)</td>
<td>-147.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-178.14</td>
<td>172.64</td>
<td>-133.74</td>
<td>175.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>140.10</td>
<td>121.90</td>
<td>156.78</td>
<td>125.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>81.44</td>
<td>60.48</td>
<td>87.81</td>
<td>65.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>-9.56</td>
<td>13.48</td>
<td>-4.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-68.76</td>
<td>-86.36</td>
<td>-66.25</td>
<td>-80.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-151.37</td>
<td>-168.32</td>
<td>-150.49</td>
<td>-161.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>122.46</td>
<td>105.78</td>
<td>115.55</td>
<td>115.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>33.33</td>
<td>16.87</td>
<td>31.12</td>
<td>26.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>-57.59</td>
<td>-73.26</td>
<td>-61.35</td>
<td>-69.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure III-8. Mutual Admittance of Circumferential Slots on a Conducting Cylinder. \( a = 1.991" \), \( Z_o = 3" \).
MUTUAL ADMITTANCE OF CIRCUMFERENTIAL SLOTS ON A CONDUCTING CYLINDER

\[
\frac{Y_{12}}{Y_{11}} = \begin{cases} 
\text{GTD} & \text{for } x < 0.8 \\
\text{STEWART - GOLDEN} & \text{for } x \geq 0.8
\end{cases}
\]

Figure III-9. Mutual Admittance of Circumferential Slots on a Conducting Cylinder. \(a = 0.9\)", \(b = 0.4\)", \(f = 9\) GHz.
TABLE V  Phase Comparison, Arg. \( Y_{12} \)

\( Z_0 = 3'' \), \( R = 1.991'' \), \( f = 9 \text{GHz} \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \phi^o )</th>
<th>No ( V_1 )</th>
<th>Full Formula</th>
<th>GTD</th>
<th>S-C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-22.89</td>
<td>-32.33</td>
<td>-30.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-28.67</td>
<td>-38.19</td>
<td>(75.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-45.76</td>
<td>-55.67</td>
<td>(72.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-71.91</td>
<td>-84.27</td>
<td>-22.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-103.96</td>
<td>-123.14</td>
<td>-83.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-147.55</td>
<td>-171.15</td>
<td>-140.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>153.96</td>
<td>132.82</td>
<td>+158.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>88.94</td>
<td>69.90</td>
<td>93.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>19.01</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>22.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-55.24</td>
<td>-72.46</td>
<td>-52.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-133.40</td>
<td>-150.12</td>
<td>-131.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>145.59</td>
<td>129.69</td>
<td>146.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure III-10. Mutual Admittance of Circumferential Slots
on a Conducting Cylinder, \( a = 1.991" \), \( Z_0 = 4" \)
MUTUAL ADMITTANCE OF CIRCUMFERENTIAL SLOTS
ON A CONDUCTING CYLINDER

\( a = 0.9'' \)
\( b = 0.4'' \)
\( f = 9 \) GHz

\[ \log_{10} \left( \frac{Y_{12}}{Y_{11}} \right) \]

ELIMINATE \( u_1(x) \) AND LEAVE OUT \( u_0(x) \) FOR \( x < 0.8 \)

STEWART - GOLDEN

Figure III-11. Mutual Admittance of Circumferential Slots on a Conducting Cylinder, \( a = 1.001'' \), \( Z_o = 4'' \)
**TABLE VI**  
Phase Comparison, Arg. $Y_{12}$  
$Z_0=4''$, $R=1.991''$, $f=9$ GHz

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\theta^\circ$</th>
<th>No $V_1$ DEGREES</th>
<th>Full Formula DEGREES</th>
<th>GTD DEGREES</th>
<th>S-G DEGREES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>65.14</td>
<td>52.98</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>60.54</td>
<td>48.33</td>
<td>(161.79)</td>
<td>49.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>46.83</td>
<td>34.45</td>
<td>(158.99)</td>
<td>35.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>24.31</td>
<td>11.57</td>
<td>81.11</td>
<td>13.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-6.57</td>
<td>-19.81</td>
<td>25.54</td>
<td>-17.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-45.21</td>
<td>-58.98</td>
<td>-24.85</td>
<td>-56.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-90.97</td>
<td>-105.25</td>
<td>-76.76</td>
<td>-101.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-143.16</td>
<td>-157.94</td>
<td>-132.75</td>
<td>-154.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>159.01</td>
<td>143.71</td>
<td>166.84</td>
<td>147.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>95.98</td>
<td>80.36</td>
<td>101.94</td>
<td>84.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>28.81</td>
<td>12.66</td>
<td>33.31</td>
<td>18.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>-42.76</td>
<td>-58.53</td>
<td>-39.34</td>
<td>-50.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure III-12. Mutual Admittance of Circumferential Slots on a Conducting Cylinder $a = 1.991''$, $Z_0 = 8.0''$, $R = 1.991''$, $f = 9.0 \text{ GHz}$
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\phi^\circ$</th>
<th>$\text{DEGREES}$</th>
<th>Full Formula $\text{DEGREES}$</th>
<th>$\text{GTD DEGREES}$</th>
<th>$\text{S-G DEGREES}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>51.61</td>
<td>33.42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>56.82</td>
<td>30.84</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>71.02</td>
<td>23.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>78.17</td>
<td>10.29</td>
<td>98.60</td>
<td>10.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>53.09</td>
<td>-7.66</td>
<td>56.43</td>
<td>-7.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>15.30</td>
<td>-30.32</td>
<td>17.55</td>
<td>-29.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-21.60</td>
<td>-57.52</td>
<td>-19.13</td>
<td>-56.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-58.87</td>
<td>-89.05</td>
<td>-56.35</td>
<td>-87.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-98.08</td>
<td>-124.69</td>
<td>-95.58</td>
<td>-122.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-139.91</td>
<td>-164.18</td>
<td>-137.45</td>
<td>-161.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>175.38</td>
<td>152.71</td>
<td>177.77</td>
<td>155.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>127.73</td>
<td>106.19</td>
<td>130.05</td>
<td>70.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure III-13. Mutual Admittance of Circumferential Slots on a Conducting Cylinder $a=3.777''$, $Z_0 = 0$. 

$Z_0 = 0''$

$R = 3.777''$

$f = 9.75$ GHz
### TABLE VIII

*Phase Comparison, Arg. \( Y_{12} \)*

\( Z_0 = 0, \ R = 3.777", \ f = 9, 75\text{GHz} \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No ( V_1 )</th>
<th>Full Formula</th>
<th>GTD</th>
<th>S-G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEGREES</td>
<td>DEGREES</td>
<td>DEGREES</td>
<td>DEGREES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.27</td>
<td>178.24</td>
<td>177.92</td>
<td>176.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.40</td>
<td>-45.28</td>
<td>-45.63</td>
<td>53.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.53</td>
<td>97.81</td>
<td>97.55</td>
<td>82.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.67</td>
<td>-116.29</td>
<td>-116.52</td>
<td>-140.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.80</td>
<td>31.46</td>
<td>31.26</td>
<td>-3.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.93</td>
<td>180.73</td>
<td>180.55</td>
<td>133.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81.07</td>
<td>-28.80</td>
<td>-28.96</td>
<td>-89.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91.20</td>
<td>-122.44</td>
<td>122.29</td>
<td>48.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101.33</td>
<td>-85.98</td>
<td>-86.11</td>
<td>-173.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111.47</td>
<td>65.68</td>
<td>65.46</td>
<td>-35.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure III-14. Mutual Admittance of Circumferential Slots on a Conducting Cylinder: $a = 3.777\,"$, $Z_0 = 0.455\,"$, $R = 3.777\,"$, $f = 9.75\,GHz$
TABLE IX

Phase Comparison, Arg. \( Y_{12} \)
\( Z_0 = 0.455", R = 3.777", f = 9.75\) GHz

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \phi )</th>
<th>No ( V_1 )</th>
<th>Full Formula</th>
<th>GTD</th>
<th>S-G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DEGREES</td>
<td>DEGREES</td>
<td>DEGREES</td>
<td>DEGREES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-81.78</td>
<td>-64.43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-66.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>-111.94</td>
<td>-98.63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-98.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.27</td>
<td>118.33</td>
<td>109.17</td>
<td>112.06</td>
<td>105.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.40</td>
<td>-83.20</td>
<td>-87.79</td>
<td>-100.20</td>
<td>-92.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.53</td>
<td>69.35</td>
<td>65.99</td>
<td>44.90</td>
<td>61.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.67</td>
<td>-139.68</td>
<td>-142.50</td>
<td>-171.76</td>
<td>-146.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.80</td>
<td>11.22</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td>-29.22</td>
<td>3.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.93</td>
<td>162.64</td>
<td>160.34</td>
<td>113.27</td>
<td>158.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81.07</td>
<td>-45.27</td>
<td>-47.37</td>
<td>-103.72</td>
<td>-57.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91.20</td>
<td>107.33</td>
<td>105.42</td>
<td>40.24</td>
<td>109.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111.47</td>
<td>52.80</td>
<td>51.28</td>
<td>-27.91</td>
<td>65.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure III-15. Mutual Admittance of Circumferential Slots on a Conducting Cylinder $a = 3.777''$, $Z_o = 2''$
TABLE X

Phase Comparison, Arg $Y_{12}$

$Z_o = 2''$, $R = 3.777''$, $f = 9.75$ GHz

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\phi^o$</th>
<th>No V1 DEGREES</th>
<th>Full Formula DEGREES</th>
<th>GTD DEGREES</th>
<th>S-G DEGREES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-157.72</td>
<td>-159.06</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-158.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>178.45</td>
<td>171.24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>173.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.27</td>
<td>109.69</td>
<td>86.80</td>
<td>117.89</td>
<td>91.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.40</td>
<td>-25.78</td>
<td>-40.14</td>
<td>-21.49</td>
<td>-32.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.53</td>
<td>176.63</td>
<td>164.75</td>
<td>177.88</td>
<td>176.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.67</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>-7.87</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.80</td>
<td>179.35</td>
<td>168.71</td>
<td>175.27</td>
<td>-171.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.93</td>
<td>-10.92</td>
<td>-21.46</td>
<td>-17.40</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81.07</td>
<td>154.59</td>
<td>144.02</td>
<td>145.82</td>
<td>171.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91.20</td>
<td>-42.70</td>
<td>-53.37</td>
<td>-53.70</td>
<td>-27.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101.33</td>
<td>118.09</td>
<td>107.29</td>
<td>104.92</td>
<td>145.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111.47</td>
<td>-82.52</td>
<td>-93.46</td>
<td>-97.81</td>
<td>-69.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure III-16. Mutual Admittance of Circumferential Slots on a Conducting Cylinder $a = 3.777''$, $Z_0 = 4''$
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\theta^\circ$</th>
<th>No $V_1$</th>
<th>Full Formula</th>
<th>GTD</th>
<th>S-G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-25.69</td>
<td>-32.26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-31.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>-35.18</td>
<td>-49.52</td>
<td>-48.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.27</td>
<td>-67.04</td>
<td>-100.03</td>
<td>-58.55</td>
<td>-97.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.40</td>
<td>-160.52</td>
<td>-179.47</td>
<td>-156.31</td>
<td>-175.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.53</td>
<td>88.30</td>
<td>73.46</td>
<td>91.82</td>
<td>81.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.67</td>
<td>-40.36</td>
<td>-53.06</td>
<td>-37.61</td>
<td>-41.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.80</td>
<td>175.89</td>
<td>164.21</td>
<td>177.86</td>
<td>179.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.93</td>
<td>20.10</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td>21.29</td>
<td>27.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81.07</td>
<td>-145.11</td>
<td>-155.98</td>
<td>-144.67</td>
<td>-134.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91.20</td>
<td>42.35</td>
<td>31.61</td>
<td>42.05</td>
<td>57.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101.33</td>
<td>-135.96</td>
<td>-146.62</td>
<td>-136.97</td>
<td>-118.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111.47</td>
<td>41.15</td>
<td>30.51</td>
<td>39.45</td>
<td>64.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MUTUAL ADMITTANCE OF CIRCUMFERENTIAL SLOTS ON A CONDUCTING CYLINDER

— No \( \nu_1(x) \)
— PINY
— GTD
x x x S&G

\( |\gamma_{12}|/|\gamma_{11}| \)

\( \phi_0 \) DEGREES

--- and --- coalesce from \( \phi = 20^\circ \) to \( 120^\circ \)

Figure III-17. Mutual Admittance of Circumferential Slots on a Conducting Cylinder \( a = 3.77^\circ, \ z_o = 8^\circ \)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\phi^\circ$</th>
<th>No $V_1$ DEGREES</th>
<th>Full Formula DEGREES</th>
<th>GTD DEGREES</th>
<th>S-G DEGREES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-131.01</td>
<td>-142.09</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-140.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>-133.94</td>
<td>-151.40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-150.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.27</td>
<td>-134.94</td>
<td>-179.04</td>
<td>-119.36</td>
<td>-177.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.40</td>
<td>167.65</td>
<td>135.71</td>
<td>169.93</td>
<td>138.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.53</td>
<td>95.66</td>
<td>73.59</td>
<td>98.00</td>
<td>78.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.67</td>
<td>13.91</td>
<td>-3.74</td>
<td>16.15</td>
<td>2.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.80</td>
<td>-79.61</td>
<td>-94.97</td>
<td>-77.51</td>
<td>-85.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.93</td>
<td>175.36</td>
<td>161.32</td>
<td>177.30</td>
<td>173.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81.07</td>
<td>59.72</td>
<td>46.51</td>
<td>61.48</td>
<td>61.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91.20</td>
<td>-65.48</td>
<td>-78.13</td>
<td>-63.91</td>
<td>-60.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101.33</td>
<td>160.79</td>
<td>148.54</td>
<td>162.17</td>
<td>170.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111.47</td>
<td>19.47</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>20.66</td>
<td>32.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To arrive at a comparison, it was necessary to convert the $Y_{12}$ values obtained via (1) and (3) to $S_{12}$ via

$$S_{12} = \frac{-2 Y_g Y_{12}}{(Y_g + Y_{11} + Y_{12})(Y_g + Y_{11} - Y_{12})}$$

where, again $Y_g$ is the characteristic admittance of the dominant feed-waveguide mode and $Y_{11}$ the slot self-admittance. To save computer time the number of subdivisions for the axial slot was chosen $10 \times 2 = 20$, and the tests with $14 \times 4 = 56$ subdivisions changed the result by 0.1 dB even for the nearby slots.

Figure III-19 and III-20 show the comparison between the harmonic series and the surface ray results of E-plane coupling ($Z_0 = 0$) and $Z_0 = 1.5''$ at 9 GHz, for $ka \approx 9.5$. A very good agreement is found everywhere within the angular separation of $20^\circ$ to $150^\circ$ for the case of $Z_0 = 0$, and $0^\circ$ to $150^\circ$ for $Z = 1.5''$. The GTD formula is completely inadequate in the H-plane (axial direction).

From [9], $\frac{Y_{11}}{Y_g} = 0.748 + j 0.434$. 
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Figure III-19. Isolation of Axial Slots on a Conducting Cylinder, $a = 1.991$, $Z_0 = 0$. 

ISOLATION OF AXIAL SLOTS ON A CONDUCTING CYLINDER

\[ \text{dB} \]

\[ 20 \log_{10} |S_{12}| \]

\[ \phi_0 \text{ DEGREES} \]
ISOLATION OF AXIAL SLOTS ON A CONDUCTING CYLINDER

Figure III-20. Isolation of Axial Slots on a Conducting Cylinder, $a = 1.991''$, $Z_0 = 1.50''$. 
IV. GTD Generalization to Conical Surfaces

a. GTD Generalization of the Curvature Factors to Surfaces with Variable Curvature

The generalization will be discussed for \( v_0(x) \), the other curvature terms are treated in a similar fashion.

For a circular cylinder one has from (II-36)

\[
v_0(x) = e^{-\frac{j \pi}{4} \sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{x}} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-jx/p}}{p}, \quad \text{in deep shadow},
\]

\[
v_0(x) = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4} x^{3/2} + \frac{j7}{60} x^3 + \frac{7\sqrt{\pi}}{512} x^{9/2} + \ldots, \quad \text{in the near zone},
\]

where

\[
x = \frac{kD}{2 \tau g}, \quad f_g = \left( \frac{k \rho_g}{2} \right)^{1/3}, \quad \rho_g = \frac{a}{\cos^2 \theta}
\]

and \( \rho_g \) is the principal radius of curvature of the ray trajectory. On a circular cylinder \( \rho_g \) is constant along a ray. On a cone however, or other surfaces of variable curvature \( \rho_g = \rho_g(s) \), varies along the ray, where \( s \) is distance parameter along a ray.

The generalization of \( v_0(x) \) to a conical surface proceeds as follows. Firstly \( x \) in the exponent is replaced by \( \bar{x} \) such that for an infinitesimal distance along a ray

\[
d \bar{x}(s) = \frac{k ds}{2 f_g^2(s)}
\]

and consequently

\[
\bar{x} = \int Q \frac{k ds}{2 f_g^2(s)}
\]
In (4) \( Q' \) denotes the source point, \( Q \) the observation point and the ray trajectory passes along a geodesic path from \( Q' \) to \( Q \).

Secondly, in (1a), \( \sqrt{x} \) which represents the product of the launching and attachment coefficients \([1]\), is symmetrized in order to preserve reciprocity with respect to an interchange of the source point \( (Q') \) and observation point \( (Q) \). Thus,

\[
\sqrt{x} = \sqrt{\frac{kD}{2f_g}} - \sqrt{\frac{kD}{2f_g(Q')f_g(Q)}},
\]

where

\[
f_g(Q') = \left[ \frac{k \rho_g(Q')}{2} \right]^{1/3}
\]

and

\[
f_g(Q) = \left[ \frac{k \rho_g(Q)}{2} \right]^{1/3}
\]

Therefore,

\[
v_0(x) - e^{-j \frac{\pi}{4}} \sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{kD}{2f_g(Q')f_g(Q)}} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} e^{-j \frac{x}{\tau_p}} = v_0(\bar{x})
\]

But from (1a)

\[
e^{-j \frac{\pi}{4}} \sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{x}{k}} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} e^{-j \frac{x}{\tau_p}} = v_0(\bar{x})
\]

Consequently,

\[
v_0(x) = \sqrt{\frac{kD}{2x f_g(Q') f_g(Q)}} v_0(\bar{x}) = \tilde{v}_0(\bar{x})
\]

Similarly,
\[ v_1(x) \equiv \left[ \frac{kD}{2X_f g(Q')} f_g(Q) \right]^{3/2} u_1(x) = \bar{v}_1(x) \] (11)

and

\[ u_0(x) \equiv \left[ \frac{kD}{2X_f g(Q')} f_g(Q) \right]^{3/2} u_0(x) = \bar{u}_0(x) \] (12)

b. Example:

**Generalization of the Full Formula to a Conical Surface**

The formula (11-101) generalizes for a conical surface to:

\[ H_{\phi} = -j M \frac{2Y}{2\pi} \sin \theta' \sin \theta - \frac{2j}{kD} \sin \theta' \sin \theta + \frac{j}{kD} \cos \theta' \cos \theta \left( e^{-jkD}\right) \]

\[ + M \frac{2Y}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\cos \theta' \cos \theta} \left[ \bar{u}_0(x) - \sin \theta' \sin \theta \bar{v}_1(x) \right] e^{-jkD}/kD^2 \] (13)

**Figure IV-1. Surface Ray on a Cone**

In (13) on a conical surface the pattern factors \( \sin^2 \theta \) and \( \cos^2 \theta \) have been replaced by \( \sin \theta' \sin \theta \) and \( \cos \theta' \cos \theta \) (see Figure IV-1), in order to preserve reciprocity.

Samples of numerical results for circumferential slots on a conical surface may be found in [2].
V. Conclusions

This report is a study of the validity of Surface Ray Methods for application to mutual coupling in conformal arrays. The study consists of an analytical part and a numerical part.

The classical GTD [1] includes leading terms in either polarization of the high frequency asymptotic expansion. These correspond to rays which propagate along geodesic trajectories of the unperforated conducting array surface with a near free-space velocity, and attenuate exponentially during their travel. Furthermore, because of the ray spreading there is an algebraic ray divergence coefficient \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{kD}} \).

In this report it is shown that, in addition to the surface ray terms, diffraction of surface rays must also be included in certain angular regions. These diffraction effects amount to the inclusion of terms \( O\left(\frac{1}{(kD)^{3/2}}\right) \) in the deep shadow or \( O\left(\frac{1}{kD}\right)^{2} \) in the near zone. The significance of the \( O\left(\frac{1}{(kD)^{3/2}}\right) \) terms shows up clearly in the circumferential (H-plane) coupling which would be grossly underestimated on the basis of \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{kD}} \) terms. The \( \frac{1}{kD^{2}} \) terms in the near zone are also necessary for the near neighbor coupling.

A basic difficulty is observed in the paraxial (E-plane) region for circumferential slots. It has to do with the break-down of the Airy function approximation of \( H^{(2)}_{\nu}(z) \) for small values of the argument \( z \). A correct treatment of the dyadic Green's function in this region requires a different approach than used in this report. For example a harmonic series could be used. Here, the Fourier integral with respect to the axial wavenumber would be evaluated asymptotically to second order of the inverse distance from the source. This representation which is not a surface ray representation
should yield a smooth, valid transition to the surface ray representation. A further question that arises is the generalization of this transition function to the conical geometry.

Instead of proceeding along these lines this report establishes first the correct asymptotic expansion of the surface $H_\theta$ for circumferential magnetic current element on a circular cylinder. This expression is valid in the circumferential plane and its angular neighborhood. An approximate transition function is then constructed that blends with the above representation in the circumferential plane, is finite along the axis (E-plane) and reduces to the planar Green's function to $O\left(\frac{1}{(kD)^2}\right)$ in the proximity of the source. A variant of this formula is also provided.

The correlation of the numerical results in Section III with those obtained on the basis of harmonic series for cylinders with $k\alpha \approx 9.5$ and $19.6$ justifies the use of these approximate formulae. These above approximate formulae are easily generalized to conical surfaces.

As mentioned, the numerical data for $Y_{12}$ between circumferential slots indicate a good agreement with the harmonic series results for cylinders with $k\alpha \approx 9.5$ and an improved correlation for $k\alpha \approx 19.6$.

The agreement for $Y_{12}$ between axial slots on a cylinder with $k\alpha \approx 9.5$ and based on formula (II-90) is very good, although no phase comparison is available.
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Appendix A. Review of the Theory of Alternative Representations

The procedure for obtaining electromagnetic field solutions in cylindrical regions of uniform cross-section, utilize various representations of fields and their sources in terms of a complete set of vector eigen functions, with the resultant scalarization of the relevant vector problem.

The scalar potentials are defined via [Ref. 6, Eqs. 24a and 25a, pp. 196-197]

\[
g_{(r)(z)}(r, r') = \sum_{i} \frac{\psi_i^{(r)(z)}(p) \psi_i^{(r)(z)}(p')}{k_{r_i}(z)} \frac{g_z(z, z')}{2}
\]

In (1) the prime and double prime denote TM- and TE- polarizations, respectively, \( p \) and \( p' \) are the transverse position vectors of the observation and of the source point, and \( g_z(z, z') \) is given in (A18). The subscript \( i \) stands for a double index, one corresponding to the radial, the other to the angular domain. The mode potentials \( \psi_i'(p) \) and \( \psi_i''(p) \) individually satisfy in the cross-section the Helmholtz equation

\[
\nabla^2 \psi_i^{(r)(z)}(p, \varphi) + k_{t_i}^{(r)(z)} \psi_i^{(r)(z)}(p, \varphi) = 0
\]

with periodicity of \( 2\pi \) in \( \varphi \) of the function and its \( \varphi \) derivative, and with

\[
\psi_i'(a, \varphi) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \psi_i''(p, \varphi) \bigg|_{p=a} = 0
\]

In (1) and (2), \( k_{t_i} \) denote the radial eigenvalues (wavenumbers).

The normalization is such that
\[ (dS)^2 = e_i^{(1)(s)}(\rho)^2 \, d\rho = 1, \quad (4) \]

cross-section

where

\[ e_i'(\rho) = -\frac{\nabla_i \Psi'(\rho)}{k_i'}, \quad \text{and} \quad e_i''(\rho) = -\frac{\nabla_i \Psi''(\rho)}{k_i'}, \quad (5) \]

To facilitate conversion of the various alternative representations, it is useful to define three one-dimensional characteristic Green's functions as follows:

a. Angular Green's Function

This Green's function satisfies

\[ \left( \frac{d^2}{d\varphi^2} + \lambda \right) g_{\varphi}(\varphi, \varphi'; \lambda, \nu) = -\delta(\varphi - \varphi'), \quad (6) \]

subject to the periodicity conditions

\[ g_{\varphi}(\varphi + \pi, \varphi'; \lambda, \nu) = g_{\varphi}(\varphi - \pi, \varphi'; \lambda, \nu) \quad (7) \]

and

\[ \frac{d}{d\varphi} g_{\varphi}(\varphi + \pi, \varphi'; \lambda, \nu) = \frac{d}{d\varphi} g_{\varphi}(\varphi - \pi, \varphi'; \lambda, \nu) \quad (8) \]

This Green's function is found in Ref. [6, Eq. 51c, p. 310] and is given below

\[ g_{\varphi}(\varphi, \varphi'; \lambda, \nu) = -\frac{\cos \frac{\lambda}{\nu} \left( \pi - |\varphi - \varphi'| \right)}{2\sqrt{\lambda \nu} \sin \sqrt{\lambda \nu} \pi}, \quad -\pi \leq \varphi - \varphi' < \pi. \quad (9) \]

The corresponding completeness relation Ref. [6, p. 277] reads

\[ -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_C \frac{d\lambda}{\nu} g_{\varphi}(\varphi, \varphi'; \lambda, \nu) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\nu=0} \varepsilon_{\nu} \cos \nu(\varphi - \varphi') = -\delta(\varphi - \varphi'), \quad (10) \]

where \( \varepsilon_{\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \) for \( \nu = 0 \) and \( \varepsilon_{\nu} = 1, \ \nu \neq 0. \)

The simple pole singularities of \( g_{\varphi} \) and the contour \( C_{\varphi} \) enclosing them
are shown in Figure A-1.

Figure A-1. Complex $\lambda_\nu$-plane

Figure A-2. Complex $\lambda_\mu$-plane

b. The Radial Green's Function

This Green's function is defined by

$$
\left[ \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \left( k^2 - \lambda_\mu \right) - \frac{\lambda_\nu}{\rho^2} \right] g_\rho (\rho, \rho'; \lambda_\mu; \lambda_\nu) = - \frac{\delta (\rho - \rho')}{\rho},
$$

subject to the boundary conditions:

$$
g_\rho \bigg|_{\rho = a} = 0, \quad \text{for the TM case} \quad (12a)
$$

$$
\frac{d}{d\rho} g_\rho \bigg|_{\rho = a} = 0, \quad \text{for the TE case} \quad (12b)
$$

and the radiation condition at $\rho \to \infty$ for either polarization. While $g_\rho$ is a function of one parameter $\lambda_\nu$, $g_\rho$ depends on two parameters $\lambda_\nu$ and $\lambda_\mu$.

This feature follows from the separation of variables for Helmholtz equation in cylindrical coordinates. One finds [Ref. 6, p. 277]
\[
g_{\rho}(\rho, \rho'; \lambda; \lambda') = -\frac{j\pi}{4} \left[ H^{(1)}_{\lambda}(\sqrt{k^2 - \lambda} \rho) - \frac{QH^{(1)}_{\lambda}(k^2 - \lambda) a}{\sqrt{\lambda} \rho} \right] \times
\]
\[
\frac{QH^{(2)}_{\lambda}(k^2 - \lambda) a}{\sqrt{\lambda} \rho} H^{(2)}_{\lambda}(\sqrt{k^2 - \lambda} \rho) + \frac{QH^{(1)}_{\lambda}(k^2 - \lambda) a}{\sqrt{\lambda} \rho} H^{(2)}_{\lambda}(k^2 - \lambda) \rho
\]

where \( Q = 1 \) for a TM-case, \( Q = \frac{3}{a} \) for a TE-case, and \( \rho', \rho \) denote the greater and the lesser of \( \rho \) and \( \rho' \), respectively.

There is no branch point at \( \lambda = 0 \), since, in view of the relation
\[
H^{(1,2)}_{\lambda}(Z) = e^{\pm j\pi/2} H^{(1,2)}_{\lambda}(Z),
\]
g_{\rho} is an even function of \( \sqrt{\lambda} \).

It is convenient to introduce the transformations \( \sqrt{\lambda} = \nu \) and \( \sqrt{k^2 - \lambda} = \kappa \), whereupon \( g_{\rho} \) becomes
\[
g_{\rho}(\rho, \rho'; \lambda; \lambda') = -j\pi \left[ H^{(1)}_{\nu}(\kappa \rho) - \frac{QH^{(1)}_{\nu}(\kappa \rho)}{QH^{(2)}_{\nu}(\kappa \rho)} H^{(2)}_{\nu}(\kappa \rho) \right] H^{(2)}_{\nu}(\kappa \rho).
\]

The singularities of \( g_{\rho} \) in the \( \lambda \)-plane are simple poles at the zeros of \( QH^{(2)}_{\nu}(k \kappa) \). They are indicated in Figure A-1, which also shows the contour of integration \( C_{\rho} \) enclosing these singularities in the completeness relation [Ref. 6, Eq. 3-4-98, p. 326]

\[
-\frac{1}{2\pi j} \int \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} g_{\rho}(\rho, \rho'; \lambda; \lambda') = -j\pi \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \nu_{\lambda} H^{(2)}_{\nu}(\kappa \rho) H^{(2)}_{\nu}(\kappa \rho) \frac{QH^{(1)}_{\nu}(k \kappa)}{\nu_{\nu} \nu_{\nu}^{(2)} (k \kappa)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{\nu}} QH^{(2)}_{\nu}(k \kappa)
\]

\[
= -\rho' \delta (\rho - \rho')
\]
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In the $\lambda_\mu$-plane $g_\rho$ exhibits a branch point at $\lambda_\mu = k^2$. The choice of the proper branch of $\sqrt{k^2 - \lambda_\mu}$ is such as to satisfy radiation condition for $\rho \to \infty$. A convenient branch cut and the path of integration $C_\mu^\rho$ for the completeness relation [Ref. 6, Eq. 99, p. 327]

$$- \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint d\lambda_\mu \frac{g_\rho(\rho, \rho'; \lambda_\mu; \nu^2)}{C_\mu^\rho} = \frac{\delta(\rho - \rho')}{\rho'}$$

(17)

is shown in Figure A-2.

c. The Axial Green's Function

This Green's function satisfies

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + \lambda_\mu\right)g_z(z, z'; \lambda_\mu) = -\delta(z - z')$$

subject to radiation condition for $|z| \to \infty$. Therefore

$$g_z(z, z'; \lambda_\mu) = \frac{-i\sqrt{\lambda_\mu}}{2j(\sqrt{\lambda_\mu})} |z - z'| \quad ; \quad \text{Im} \sqrt{\lambda_\mu} \leq 0.$$  

(19)

One observes that $g_\rho$ has a branch point singularity at $\lambda_\mu = 0$. The proper sheet of $\sqrt{\lambda_\mu}$ is defined as $0 \geq \arg(\lambda_\mu) > -2\pi$. For convenience, if the positive real $\lambda_\mu$-axis is chosen as the branch cut (see Figure A-2), one obtains the completeness relation for the $z$-domain

$$- \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint d\lambda_\mu g_z(z, z'; \lambda_\mu) = -\delta(z - z')$$

(20)

To facilitate the conversion between alternative representations one transforms the modal potentials $S'$ and $S''$ of (A1) into the integral representation of (21a) involving the above three one dimensional Green's functions.

This representation, in turn, enables one to deduce the various alternative
representations merely by deforming the paths of integration \( C^\mu \) or \( C_z \) into \( C^\rho \) or \( C_c \) respectively. Examples of alternative representations for \( S \) are:

\[
S(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \begin{cases}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbf{C}_z} \frac{d\lambda}{k^2 - \lambda^2} \frac{1}{k^2 - \lambda^2} g_\rho(\rho', \lambda; \rho; \lambda) g_{C^\rho}(\kappa, \nu; \lambda) g_z(z, z'; \lambda)
\end{cases}
\] (21a)

\[
= \int_{\mathbf{C}_z} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{k^2 - \lambda^2} g_\rho(\rho', \lambda; \rho; \lambda) g_\rho(\nu, \nu; \lambda) g_z(z, z'; \lambda)
\] (21b)

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos \nu_j \pi}{\sin \nu_j \pi} \int_{\mathbf{C}_z} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{k^2 - \lambda^2} H_{\nu_j}(\sqrt{k^2 - \lambda^2} \rho) H_{\nu_j}(\sqrt{k^2 - \lambda^2} \rho') g_z(z, z'; \lambda)
\] (21c)

where \( Q=1 \) for \( S=S' \) and \( Q = \frac{3}{3a} \) for \( S=S'' \).

Representation of the potentials \( S' \) and \( S'' \) in (A1) follows from the relation [Ref. 6, p. 198 Eqs. (32b), (33b)]

\[
\psi^2_t S^{(r)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = G^{(r)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')
\] (22)

where

\[
G^{(r)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \sum_i\psi_i^{(r)}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_i^{(r)}(\mathbf{r}')^* g_z(z, z')
\] (23)

In a similar fashion (21a) follows from [Ref. 6, p. 285 Eq. 37] and (A-22).

To obtain (21b), the integral in (21a), with contour \( C^\rho \), is evaluated as a sum of residues at the simple poles of \( g_\rho \). To derive (21c), the contour \( C^\rho \) is deformed into \( C^\nu \) and the resulting integral is expressed as a residue series at the simple poles \( \nu_j \) of \( g_\rho \).
Appendix B: Curvature Terms and their Derivatives

Basically, there are two curvature terms, hard and soft.

They are derived from the integrals \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-j\nu t} \frac{H^{(2)}_{\nu}(Z)}{H^{(2)}_{\nu}(Z)} \, d\nu \) and

\( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-j\nu t} \frac{H^{(2)}_{\nu}(Z)}{H^{(2)}_{\nu}(Z)} \, d\nu \).

a. The hard curvature term and its derivatives

For large and almost equal argument and order the asymptotic expansion of the Hankel function \( H^{(2)}_{\nu}(Z) \) and its derivative with respect to argument is found in the form of a combination of the Airy functions\(^[4]\). The leading term of this expansion is

\[
H^{(2)}_{\nu}(Z) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-t} w_2(t) \quad \text{for } \nu \approx Z \text{ and } |Z| >> 1 , \\
H^{(2)}_{\nu}'(Z) \sim -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-t} f^{-2} w_2'(t)
\]

where

\[
t = \frac{\nu - Z}{f} , \quad f = \left( \frac{Z}{2} \right)^{1/3}.
\]

Here

\[
w_2(t) = \sqrt{\pi} \left[ B_1(t) - j A_1(t) \right],
\]

where \( A_1(t) \) and \( B_1(t) \) are the two independent real solutions of the Airy differential equation. Therefore \( w_2(t) \) satisfies

\[
\left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2} - t \right) w_2(t) = 0.
\]

The lowest order (in \( Z \)) asymptotic term of the ratio of Hankel functions is, from(B1)
\[
\frac{H^{(2)}_v(Z)}{H^{(2)}_v(Z)} - f \frac{w_2(t)}{w_2'(t)} .
\]

If \( Z \gg 1 \), this ratio exhibits a peak value near the poles of the integrand. Therefore the major contribution of the Fourier transform of this ratio is derived from the region of the \( \nu \)-plane where \( \nu \approx Z \). For \( Z \gg 1 \), one may use the asymptotic form of this ratio. Thus,

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-j\psi} \frac{H^{(2)}_v(Z)}{H^{(2)}_v(Z)} \, dv - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-jZ\psi} e^{-jft} \frac{w_2(t)}{w_2'(t)} \, dt ,
\]

where \( \psi > 0 \), is a parameter, and the integration contour \( \tau \) depends on \( Z \).

one notes that for \( |t| \to \infty \), \( w_2(t)/w_2'(t) \) is algebraic [Ref. 6, pp. 394-395]. When \( \arg Z = 0 \), \( \arg t = 0 \) and \( \tau \) runs from \(-\infty\) to \(+\infty\) along the real axis in the \( t \)-plane, the valley regions being the entire lower half \( t \)-plane. But when \( \arg Z = -\frac{\pi}{2} \), \( \arg t = -\frac{5\pi}{6} \) as \( \nu - \infty \), and \( \arg t = \frac{\pi}{6} \) as \( \nu + \infty \),

with the valley regions below the

Figure B-1. Complex \( t \)-plane and the Integration Contours for \( \arg Z = 0 \) and \(-\frac{\pi}{2}\).
contour $\tau$. Figure B-1 shows the contours $\tau$ for both $\arg Z=0$ and $-\frac{\pi}{2}$.

To find a contour valid for either case, one deforms that part of the straight line with $\text{Im} \ t > 0$ (for $\arg Z = -\frac{\pi}{2}$) to the real $t$ axis and, in this fashion, recovers the usual, broken contour $\tau$ of Figure II-3 running from $e^{-\frac{\pi}{6}}$ to $+\infty$.

The hard curvature term $V_0(x)$ is defined by

$$V_0(x) = \int_{\tau} e^{-jxt} \frac{w_2(t)}{w_2'(t)} \, dt$$

where $x = f^5$.

There are two expressions for $V_0(x)$; one is for large, the other for small values of $x$. For large values of $x$, one may close the contour $\tau$ in the respective valley regions (i.e. those of $\arg Z=0$ and $-\frac{\pi}{2}$) at infinity in the lower half plane. This procedure results in a residue series evaluated at the simple poles of $w_2'(t) = 0$:

$$V_0(x) = -2\pi j \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} e^{-jx\bar{\tau}_p} \frac{w_2(\bar{\tau}_p)}{w_2'(\bar{\tau}_p)}$$

where $\bar{\tau}_p = |\bar{\tau}_p| e^{-j\frac{\pi}{3}}$, with $\text{Ai}(-|\bar{\tau}_p|) = 0$. Numerical values of $|\bar{\tau}_p|$ may be found in [7].

From (B4), one finds

$$V_0(x) = -2\pi j \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} e^{-jx\bar{\tau}_p}$$

For large values of $x$, this residue series converges rapidly. In contrast, for small values of $x$ the residue series becomes poorly convergent.
An alternative, more efficient representation in this range is a "power series" in $x$ which can be established by an asymptotic expansion for large values of $t$ of $\frac{w_2(t)}{w_2'(t)}$ and a term by term integration. To this end, one may rotate the contour $\tau$ clockwise by $90^\circ$. For arg $Z = 0$, $\tau$ maps into a Bromwich contour $\tau'$ by letting

$$t = js,$$

whereupon

$$V_0(x) = j \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{x s} \frac{w_2(js)}{w_2'(js)} \mathrm{d}s.$$

For arg $Z = -\frac{\pi}{2}$ one rotates the contour clockwise by $120^\circ$ by the transformation

$$t = je^{\frac{\pi}{6}} s,$$

which again yields the same Bromwich contour $\tau'$, i.e.

$$V_0(x) = j e^{\frac{\pi}{6}} \int_{\tau'} e^{x |s|} s \frac{w_2^\prime(\frac{j e^{\frac{\pi}{6}} s}{s})}{w_2^\prime(\frac{j e^{\frac{\pi}{6}} s}{s})} \mathrm{d}s.$$

Following [8], one has

$$\frac{w_2(js)}{w_2'(js)} \sim e^{-\frac{j\pi}{4}\left[\frac{1}{s^4} - \frac{\sqrt{j}}{4s^5} + \frac{7j}{32s^5\sqrt{2}} + \frac{21}{4s^7\sqrt{2}} + O(s^{-13/2})\right]}$$

Similarly, one finds
Each term of expansion (B14) is an inverse Laplace transform and is evaluated explicitly below.

\[
\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left\{ \frac{w_2(j e^{\frac{j}{6} \pi} s)}{w_2'(j e^{\frac{j}{6} \pi} s)} \right\} = e^{-\frac{j}{4} \frac{\pi}{2}} \left[ \frac{e^{-j \frac{12}{4} \frac{\pi}{2}}}{s^{1/4}} - \frac{e^{-j \frac{3}{4} \frac{\pi}{2}}}{4 s^2} + \frac{7 j e^{-j \frac{7}{12} \pi}}{32 s^{7/2}} + \frac{21 e^{-j \frac{5}{6} \pi}}{\sqrt{j} 64 s^5} + \left( s^{-13/2} \right) \right]
\]

(15)

where \( \mathcal{L}^{-1} \) denotes the inverse Laplace transform. Therefore for arg \( Z=0 \), one finds

\[
V_0(x) = 2\pi \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left\{ \frac{w_2(js)}{w_2'(js)} \right\}
\]

\[
= -2\pi j e^{\frac{j}{4} \frac{\pi}{2}} \left( 1 - \frac{\sqrt{j} \pi}{4} x^{3/2} + \frac{j 7}{60} x^3 + \frac{7 \sqrt{j} \pi}{512 \sqrt{j}} x^{9/2} + \ldots \right)
\]

(17)

and for arg \( Z = -\frac{\pi}{2} \), one obtains

\[
V_0(x) = 2\pi j e^{\frac{j}{6} \frac{\pi}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left\{ \frac{w_2(j e^{\frac{j}{6} \pi} s)}{w_2'(j e^{\frac{j}{6} \pi} s)} \right\}
\]

\[
= -2\pi e^{-j \frac{12}{4} \frac{\pi}{2}} \left[ e^{-j \frac{12}{4} \frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{j} |x|^{1/4}} - \frac{e^{-j \frac{3}{4} \frac{\pi}{2}}}{4 |x|^{3/4}} |x| + \frac{7 j e^{-j \frac{7}{12} \pi}}{8 |x|^{5/4}} \frac{15 \sqrt{j}}{15 \sqrt{j}} + 21 e^{-j \frac{5}{6} \pi} \frac{|x|^{4/2}}{24 |x|^{4/2}} + \ldots \right]
\]

(18)
\[ -2\pi j \frac{e}{\sqrt{\pi} x^{3/2}} \left( 1 - \frac{\sqrt{3/2}}{4} x^{3/2} + \frac{7}{60} x^{3} + \frac{7\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{\pi} 512} x^{9/2} + \ldots \right) \]  

Note that (B17) and (B18) have the same form, but the former is valid for real \( x \) while the latter for \( x \) complex. In either case, if one defines \( v_0(x) \) as the "power series" shown in the bracket of (B17) or (B18)

\[
v_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{\sqrt{3/2}}{4} x^{3/2} + \frac{7}{60} x^{3} + \frac{7\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{\pi} 512} x^{9/2} + \ldots, & \text{for small } x \end{cases}
\]

\[
\begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{\pi} x^{3/2}}{4} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-jxt_p}}{t_p}, & \text{for large } x \end{cases}
\]

and

\[ V_0(x) = -2\pi j \frac{e}{\sqrt{\pi} x^{3/2}} v_0(x) \]

The two series in (B20) and (B21) connect smoothly both in the amplitude and phase. If one considers ten residue terms and four power series terms, then at \( x = 0.6 \), the difference between the two series is about 0.0025 percent in amplitude and 0.012 degree in phase. Figure II-4 shows the cross-over for \( v_0(x) \).

The derivatives of the curvature terms can be obtained by a direct differentiation of \( V_0(x) \). Thus, for the first derivative of the hard curvature term, one has

\[ V_0'(x) = -j \int_\tau e^{-jxt} \frac{w_2'(t)}{w_2(t)} \, dt = -j V_1(x), \]

where
Defining $v_1(x)$ as

$$v_1(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} x^{3/2} - \frac{j 7}{12} x^3 - \frac{7 \sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{5}} x^{9/2} + \ldots, & \text{for small x} \\
\frac{2 \sqrt{\pi} x^{3/2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} e^{-j \frac{\pi}{4} x_p}, & \text{for large x}
\end{cases}$$

one has $V_1(x) = -2 \pi j \frac{e^{-j \frac{\pi}{4}}}{2 \sqrt{\pi} x} v_1(x)$.

For ten residue terms and four power series terms, the difference between (B25) and (B26) at $x = 0.6$ is about 0.42 percent in the amplitude and 0.126 degree in the phase. Figure II-5 shows the cross-over for $v_1(x)$.

The second derivative of the hard curvature term is

$$V_0''(x) = - \int_{\tau} t^2 e^{-j xt} \frac{w_2(t)}{w_2'(t)} dt = - V_2(x),$$

With

$$v_2(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 + \frac{j 7}{12} x^3 + \frac{7 \sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{5}} x^{9/2} + \ldots, & \text{for small x} \\
\frac{4}{3} \sqrt{\pi} e^{j \frac{\pi}{4} x^{5/2}} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} e^{-j \frac{\pi}{4} x_p}, & \text{for large x}
\end{cases}$$

one has

$$V_2(x) = \frac{3 \sqrt{\pi}}{2 x^{5/2}} v_2(x).$$
The cross-over point is in the proximity of \( x = 0.6 \) where the difference between (B29) and (B30) is about 1.7 percent in the amplitude and 0.101 degree in phase.

b. The Soft Curvature Term

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-jv^\delta} \frac{H_v^{(2)\prime}(Z)}{H_v^{(2)}(Z)} dv = e^{-jZ^\delta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-jxt} \frac{w_2'(t)}{w_2(t)} dt
\]

(30)

where \( x = f^\delta \), and \( \tau \) is the same contour as shown in Figure B-1.

The soft curvature term \( U_0(x) \) is defined by

\[
U_0(x) = \int_{\tau} e^{-jxt} \frac{w_2'(t)}{w_2(t)} dt
\]

(31)

In an analogous fashion, the residue series representation of \( U_0(x) \) is

\[
U_0(x) = -2\pi j \sum_{p=1} \frac{e^{-jxt_p}}{e^{j\pi/3}}
\]

(32)

where \( t_p = |t_p| e^{-j\frac{\pi}{3}} \), \( \text{Ai}(-|t_p|) = 0 \). This series (B34) converges rapidly for large \( x \).

Similarly for small \( x \), with \( t = js \), one has (since \( \arg Z = -\frac{\pi}{2} \) will not generate a new function, we consider only the case \( \arg Z = 0 \) here)

\[
\frac{w_2'(js)}{w_2'(js)} = e^{j\frac{\pi}{4}} \left[ \frac{1}{s^{3/2}} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{s} \right] - \frac{15}{32 s^{3/2}} - \frac{15}{\sqrt{64} s^{3/2}} + O\left(s^{-13/2}\right)
\]

(33)

Hence,
\[ U_0(x) = 2\pi e^{-1} \frac{w'_2(js)}{w_2(js)} \]

\[ \sim 2\pi e^{\frac{j\pi}{4}} \left[ \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} x^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{j\sqrt{j}}{4} x - \frac{5j}{32} x^{5/2} + \frac{8}{15\sqrt{j}} x^{9/2} - \frac{16}{\sqrt{j} 24} x^{4} + \ldots \right) \right]. \]  \hspace{1cm} (34)

Consequently,

\[ U_0(x) \sim -2\pi j e^{\frac{j\pi}{4}} \left( 1 - \frac{\sqrt{j}}{2} x^{3/2} + \frac{5}{j 12} x^{9/2} + \ldots \right). \]  \hspace{1cm} (35)

Defining \( u_0(x) \) via

\[ u_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{\sqrt{j}}{2} x^{3/2} + \frac{5}{j 12} x^{9/2} + \ldots, & \text{for small } x \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{2\sqrt{j}}{x^{3/2}} e^{-j\pi p} e^{-\frac{j\pi}{4}} \right. \]

\[ \left. e^{-\frac{j\pi}{4}} \right. \]

\[ \text{for large } x \]  \hspace{1cm} (36)

\[ \left. \text{for large } x \right. \]  \hspace{1cm} (37)

one has

\[ U_0(x) = -2\pi j e^{\frac{j\pi}{4}} \frac{u_0(x)}{2\sqrt{j} x^{3/2}}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (38)

The two series in (B38) and (B39) connect smoothly at \( x = 0.6 \), if one takes ten residue terms and four power series terms. The difference is 0.2 percent in the amplitude and 0.10 degree in the phase.

There is no need for the derivative of the soft curvature term, since it yields terms of higher order in \( \frac{1}{ka} \) than considered here.
Appendix C. Asymptotic Series for Laplace Type Integrals

Derivation of the complete asymptotic series for the case of an isolated saddle point for the following canonical integral is reviewed below.

\[ I(\Omega) = \int_{\text{SDP}} e^{\Omega q(\alpha)} F(\alpha) \, d\alpha \tag{1} \]

where \( \Omega >> 1 \), SDP denotes the steepest descent path while \( F \) and \( q \) are analytic functions of \( \alpha \).

If \( q(\alpha) \) has a first order saddle point, i.e. \( q'(\alpha_s) = 0 \) but \( q''(\alpha_s) \neq 0 \), then one transforms \( q(\alpha) \) into a simpler function \( \tau(s) \) via

\[ q(\alpha) = \tau(s) = -j - s^2 \tag{2} \]

With this transformation the saddle point \( \alpha_s \) maps into the origin of the \( s \)-plane. Therefore

\[ q(\alpha_s) = -j . \tag{3} \]

Consequently, the integral becomes

\[ I(\Omega) = e^{\Omega q(\alpha_s)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(s) e^{-\Omega s^2} \, ds \tag{4} \]

where

\[ G(s) = F(s) \frac{d\alpha}{ds} . \tag{5} \]

The proper branch of \( s = \sqrt{-j - q(\alpha)} \) is determined by the orientation of the SDP, i.e. by the phase angle of \( \frac{d\alpha}{ds} \) at the saddle point. One then expands \( G(s) \) into a power series about \( s = 0 \), and evaluates the integral in (4) by carrying out the integration term by term. The radius of convergence of this power series will be determined by the numerical distance, or the distance from \( s = 0 \) to the nearest singularity of \( G(s) \). The numerical distance will determine the error of the asymptotic expansion. Thus,
\[ I(\Omega) = e^{\Omega q(\alpha_s)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ G(0) + s G'(0) + \frac{s^2}{2!} G''(0) + \ldots \right] e^{-\Omega s^2} ds \]

\[ = e^{\Omega q(\alpha_s)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{G^{(2n)}(0)}{(2n)!} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2n+1}{2}\right)}{\Omega \frac{2n+1}{2}} \]

where \( \Gamma(Z) \) denotes the Gamma function and \( G^{(2n)}(s) \) the 2nth derivative of \( G(s) \). Note, odd terms do not appear since

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s^n e^{-\Omega s^2} ds = 0 \text{ for } n \text{ odd.} \]
Appendix D. Vector Form of Planar Green's Function

The fields radiated by a \( z \) directed magnetic point current source \( \mathbf{M} = \hat{\mathbf{z}} M_z \) on an infinite ground plane which coincides with the \( yz \) plane of a Cartesian coordinate system, are

\[
\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = jM_z \frac{k^2}{2\pi} \sin \theta \left[ \hat{\mathbf{\theta}} \left( \sin \theta - j \sin \theta \frac{1}{kr} \sin \theta \frac{1}{(kr)^2} \right) \right. \\
\left. - \hat{\mathbf{r}} \left( j \frac{2}{kr} \cos \theta \frac{1}{(kr)^2} + 2 \cos \theta \frac{1}{(kr)^2} \right) e^{-jkr} \right] \\
\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = - jM_z \frac{k^2}{2\pi} \sin \theta \left( 1 - j \frac{1}{kr} \right) e^{-jkr} \\
\]  

(1)

(2)

where \( r, \theta \) and \( \phi \) are the standard spherical coordinates.

Formulae (1) and (2) may be cast into a ray vector form. The ray trajectories are straight lines emanating radially from the source to the observation point. Let the unit vectors \( \hat{\mathbf{t}}, \hat{\mathbf{t}}' \) and \( \hat{\mathbf{g}}, \hat{\mathbf{g}}' \) denote the tangent (radial) and the binormal directions of the ray at the observation point and at the source point, respectively. Furthermore let \( \hat{\mathbf{h}} \) be the unit vector in the direction of the source and \( \hat{n} \) the unit normal to the ground plane, so that

\[
\hat{\mathbf{g}} = \hat{\mathbf{t}} \times \hat{n} \\
\]  

(3)

and

\[
\hat{\mathbf{r}} = \hat{\mathbf{t}}. \\
\]  

(4)

Referring to Figure D-1, one has

\[
\hat{\mathbf{g}} \sin \theta = - \hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{g}}' \hat{\mathbf{g}} \\
\hat{\mathbf{g}} \sin \theta = \hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{g}}' \hat{n} \\
\cos \theta = \hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{t}}' \\
\]  

(5)

(6)

(7)

Therefore,
Figure D-1. Surface Ray on a Ground Plane

\[ H(r, r') = - j \frac{k^2}{2\pi} M \cdot \delta' \left( 1 - j \frac{1}{kD} - \frac{1}{(kD)^2} \right) \]

\[ + \hat{r} \cdot \hat{r}' \left( 2j \frac{1}{kD} + 2 \frac{1}{(kD)^2} \right) e^{-jkD} \]  (8)

and

\[ E(r, r') = - j \frac{k^2}{2\pi} M \cdot \delta' \hat{n} \left( 1 - j \frac{1}{kD} \right) e^{-jkD} \]  (9)

Here \( M \) denotes an arbitrarily oriented magnetic point source and \( r \) has been replaced by \( D \) the distance between the source and the observation point.

Formulae (8) and (9) are in a vector form and are thus invariant to the choice of coordinate system.
Appendix E. $Y_{12}$ and $S_{12}$ for Circumferential Slots

This program calculates the mutual admittance $Y_{12}$ (and $S_{12}$) between "single mode" circumferential rectangular slots on a Conducting Circular Cylinder. The slot illumination is $TE_{10}$. 

The geometry is simply illustrated on a developed cylinder.

\[ Z\text{SEP} = (z - z') \]

\[ \alpha \phi = Q2(IP1) \]

Figure E-1. Developed Cylinder-Circumferential Slots.

In Figure E-1 the center of the reference slot is fixed at $r = a$, $\varphi' = 0$, $z' = 0$ of a standard cylindrical coordinate system. Thus, the reference slot is located at

- $Q1(1) =$ Radius of the cylinder,
- $Q1(2) = \varphi' = 0^\circ$,
- $Q1(3) = z' = 0$.

The locations of the centers of the other slots are generated by DO LOOP 56. Each step of increase in $\delta_0$ is $10^\circ$ for $R = 1.991''$ and $10.133^\circ$ for $R = 3.777''$. For different steps value the step size in DO LOOP 56 should be modified. The symbols are

- $Q2(I) =$ radius of the cylinder
- $Q2(IP1) = \delta_0 = \varphi_{center}$
- $Q2(IP2) = z_{center}$
The long side of the slot is divided into \( N \) subdivisions and the short side \( M \) subdivisions. Thus, the total number of sub-areas in one aperture is \( MN \).

The Green's function of the surface magnetic field \( \mathbf{H}^s \) is found in the subroutine "Fock", where

\[
\text{HTD} = \mathbf{H}^c
\]

If \( ITT = 1 \), denotes \( Y_{12} \) (or \( S_{12} \)) calculated by the "variant" formula.

If \( ITT \neq 1 \), the "Full Formula" is used.

In the program:

- \( Y_{11} \) is the self-admittance of the aperture in \( TE_{10} \) illumination,
- \( Y_g \) - the characteristic admittance of the feeding waveguide,
- \( Z_{SEP} \) - the axial separation of the reference slot and the second slot,
- \( WL \) - the wavelength.

The curvature terms \( v_0 (x) \), \( v_1 (x) \) and \( u_0 (x) \) are termed in the program \( VV \), \( VV_1 \) and \( UU \), respectively. \( X = f(\xi) \) is called \( XX \) in subroutine "Fock".

Aperture size is \( a \times b \).

The program is composed by three parts; (1) the main part, (2) the subroutine \( SLOCA \) (which treats the locations of the subdivisions of the second slot.) and (3) the subroutine \( Fock \).
C MUTUAL ADMITTANCE OF CIRCUMFERENTIAL SLOTS ON A CYLINDER

COMPLEX HT, HR, YY(200), SM, Y1, CEXP
DIMENSION Q2(200), Q1(3)
REAL K, LQ
COMMON /AREA1/ ITT, N, M, PI, AA, BB, CC, K, WL, DEL, SX, SY

C Q1(1)=r, Q1(2)=PHI, Q1(3)=z.
C IN THE INPUT WL IS IN CMS, ALL OTHERS ARE IN INCHES.
READ(5, 411) Q1(1), Q1(2), Q1(3), WL, ZSEP
READ(5, 412) YG, Y1

411 FORMAT (5F15.5)
412 FORMAT (5F15.5)

ITT=1
C IF ITT=1 DENOTES THE FORMULA OF NO VI AND DROPPING VO FROM X<.3.
R1=Q1(1)*2.54
PI=3.14159
TF=2.33811
TFH=1.01879
ND1=19/6
ND2=ND1/2
ND3=ND1/3

C Y1=SELF ADMITTANCE, YG=CHARACTERISTIC ADMITTANCE
Y1=Y1*YG
YA=SQRT(REAL(Y1)**2+AIMAG(Y1)**2)
YP=ATAN2(AIMAG(Y1),REAL(Y1))*180./PI

C WAVEGUIDE SIZE=AA#2#BB**, WL=WAVELENGTH IN CM.
AA=.9*2.54
BB=.4#2.54
K=2.*PI/WL
SSX=AA
N=14
M=2
NNM=N*M
WRITE(6, 35) NNM
35 FORMAT (1X, 'POINTS IN THE APERTURE, CIRCUMSLOTS')

C GENERATE THE ARRAY OF THE SECOND SLOTS.
WRITE(6, 2214)
2214 FORMAT (20X, 'R. CYL.', 1X, 'Z IN CM.', 3X, 'PHI. IN DEG.')
DO 56 1=1, ND1, 3
IP1=I+1
IP2=I+2
II=IP2/3
Q2(II)=Q1(1)
Q2(IP1)=10.*IP2/3-1)*PI/180.
Q2(IP2)=ZSEP*2.54
IF(1.GT.ND1/2) Q2(IP1)=-2.*PI+Q2(IP1-ND1/2)
QP=Q2(IP1)*180./PI
56 WRITE(6, 82) II, Q2(1), Q2(IP1), Q2(IP2), QP
WRITE(6, 83) N, M, AA, BB, WL
81 FORMAT (1X, 'R1=', F12.5, 10X, 'PH 1=', F12.5, 10X, 'TH 1=', F12.5)
WRITE(6,976) Y1,YA,YP,YG

976 FORMAT(1X,'SELF-ADMIT=',2E20.6,1X,'MAG=',E15.5,1X,'PH=',F15.5,
1X,'CH4C. ADMIT.=',E20.5)
PQ1=QL(2)*PI/180.
SX=AA/H
SY=BB/M
DEL= SX*SY
WRITE(6,191)

191 FORMAT(1H1,1X,'Y DENOTES MUTUAL ADMITTANCE, S ISOLATION')
C' NUMERICAL INTEGRATION BEGINS
WRITE(6,547)

547 FORMAT(1X,'PHI',1X,'REAL',1X,'IMA',1X,'MAG',1X,'PHASE',1X,'DB')
DO 50 I=1,NN,3
IJK=(I-1)/3+1
YY(IJK)=1.0
IF(IJK.GT.18.AND.IJK.LT.31)GO TO 50
IF(IJK.GT.36)GO TO 50
IP1=I+1
IP2=I+2
XQ2=Q2(IP1)*R1
YQ2=Q2(IP2)
HT=(0.,0.)
HR=(0.,0.)
IF(YQ2.NE.0.0)GO TO 1074
IF(IP2/3.LT.4)GO TO 50
1074 CONTINUE

DO 41 I=1,N
SNX=(FLOAT(N+1)/2.-FLOAT(I))*SX
E2=COS(PI*SNX/(SX*N))
XQ2C=XQ2+SNX
DO 41 J=1,M
SMY=(FLOAT(M+1)/2.-FLOAT(J))*SY
YQ2C=YQ2-SMY
41 CALL SLOCA(E2,R1,XQ2C,YQ2C,HT,HR)
YY(IJK)=-HT*2./(AA*BB)
IF(IJK.GT.12)GO TO 50
IJK=IJK-1
TYY=SQR(REAL(YY(IJK))**2+AIMAG(YY(IJK))**2)
TYP=ATAN2(AIMAG(YY(IJK)),REAL(YY(IJK)))*180./PI
TYL=20.* ALOG10(TYY/YA)
WRITE(6,958)IJK,YY(IJK),TYY,TYP,TYL
SM=-2.*YG*YY(IJK)/((YG+Y1+YY(IJK))*(YG+Y1-YY(IJK)))
SA=SQR(REAL(SM)**2+AIMAG(SM)**2)
SP=ATAN2(AIMAG(SM),REAL(SM))*180./PI
SL=20.* ALOG10(SA)
WRITE(6,959)SM,SA,SP,SL
50 CONTINUE
NN=NN/6
DO 31 I=1,NN
IF(IJ.LT.13)GO TO 31
IJK=10*(IJ-1)
YY(IJ)=YY(IJ)+YY(IJ+NN)
IV.  CLEVEL 21 MAIN DATE = 76190  13/14/21

SM=-2.*YG*YY(IJJ)/LYG+YL+YY(IJJ)*{(YG+YL-YY(IJJ))}
SA=SQRT(REAL(SM)**2+AIMAG(SM)**2)
SP=ATAN2(AIMAG(SM),REAL(SM))*180./PI
SL=20.*ALOG10(SA)
YA=SQRT(AIMAG(YY(IJJ))**2+REAL(YY(IJJ))**2)
YA+SM=YM/YY
YAP=ATAN2(AIMAG(YY(IJJ)),REAL(YY(IJJ)))*180./PI
YARR=-360.0+YAP
TYL=20.*ALOG10(YAM/YA)
TYPD=YAR-YP
DISK=1.0
TYPDD=TYPD-DISK
TYOUC=YAR-DISK
WRITE(6,958)IJK,YY(IJJ),YAM,YAR,TYL
WRITE(6,959)SM,SA,SP,SL
31 CONTINUE
63 FORMAT(1X,'S=',2E14.5,5X,'AMP=',E14.5,5X,'PHA=',E14.5,5X,
1'DE-S=','E14.5)
337 FORMAT(3X,'YG',13,'=',2E20.6,10X,'AMG=',E15.5,10X,'PH=',F15.5,
13X,F7.2)
827 FORMAT(1X,'DB-Y=',2E20.6,10X,'FH-DIF=',3F15.5)
33 FORMAT(1X,'YO',12,'=',2E20.6,/)
SUBROUTINE SLOCA(E2,R1,XQ,SC,YQ,C,H,T,HR)
COMPLEX HHT,HTD,HTS,H,T,HR
REAL K,LW
COMMON /AREA1/ITT,N,M,P1,AA,BB,CC,K,WL,DEL,SX,SY
DEL=SX*SY
DO 11 I=1,N
SNX=(FLOAT(N+1)/2.-FLOAT(I))*SX
EC=COS(PI*SNX/(SX*N))
XD=XQ2C-SNX
DO 11 J=1,M
SMY=(FLOAT(M+1)/2.-FLOAT(J))*SY
YD=YQ2C+SMY
C IF(XC.EQ.0.0)GO TO 21
TCL=ATAN2(YD,XD)
GO TO 23
21 TCL=PI/2.
C IF(YD.LT.0.)TCL=-PI/2.
23 LQ=R1/(COS(TCL)*2)
FF=EXP(ALOG(K+K1*ABS(COS(TCL))/2.)/3.)
ANG=XD/LQ
XX=FF*ABS(ANG)
SOK=SQR(T(X)**2+YU**2)
CALL FOCK(ITT,K,1,CC,XX,P1,FF,LQ,SOK,TCL,HTD,HTS)
HHT=HTD+HTS
HT=HHT*EC=E2*DEL**2+HT
HR=(Q0,Q0)
11 CONTINUE
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE FOCK(IIT, K, R1, C, XX, PI, FF, LQ, DIS, T, HTD, HTS)

COMPLEX H4, H5

COMPLEX VV, VV0, VV1, VV2, VD, CEXP, HTD, HTS, H3, H1, VV1, VV2

COMPLEX*8 KKDTP, B3B, AA1, AA2, TTO, YYYZ, KKD, KKD, TTOP, CONJG

REAL K, LQ

DIMENSION TFB(10), TF(10)

TFB ARE THE ROOTS OF THE AIRY FCT DERIVATIVE, TF THE ROOTS OF AIRY FCT.

DATA TFB/1.01879, 3.24819, 4.83122, 5.20104, 6.16331, 7.37218, 8.48849, 19.53545, 10.5277, 11.4715, 12.3848/

DATA TF/2.33811, 4.08795, 5.52056, 6.78671, 7.94413, 19.02265, 10.0402, 11.0085, 11.9360, 12.8288/

PI = 3.14159

FRQ = SQRT(PI) / 2.

C = R / COS(T) ** 2

C TLG = R / SIN(T) ** 2

H5 = CEXP((0., 1.) * PI / 4.)

H8 = SQRT(PI)

Y = 1. / 377.

YYY = K * DIS / (2. * EXP(ALOG(K * R / 2.) * 2.) * 3.)

TF (XX < LT 0.0000) GO TO 53

VVD = (0., 1.) * XX * CEXP((0., 1.) * PI / 4.)

Vv = (0., 0.)

Vv1 = VV

Vv2 = VV

U = VV

C RESIDUE SERIES

DO 37 I = 1, 10

Vv = CEXP(VP * TFB(I)) + VV0 / TFB(I) + VV

Vv1 = CEXP(VP * TFB(I)) + VV1

Vv2 = TFB(I) * CEXP(VP * TFB(I)) - VV0 + VV2

37 UU = CEXP(VP * TF(I)) + UU

Vv = VV * SQRT(PI * XX) * CEXP((C, - 1.) * PI / 4.)

Vv1 = VV1 * 2. * SQRT(PI * XX ** 3) * CEXP(0.0, 1.) * PI / 4.)

Vv2 = VV2 * 4. * (0., 1.) * CEXP(0., 1.) * PI / 4.) * SQRT(PI * XX ** 5) / 3.

UU = UU * 2. * SQRT(PI * XX ** 3) * CEXP(0., 1.) * PI / 4.)

GO TO 54

C POWER SERIES

53 VV = (1. - CEXP((0., 1.) * PI / 4.) * SQRT(PI * XX ** 3) / 4.)


Vv1 = 1. + CEXP((0., 1.) * PI / 4.) * SQRT(PI * XX ** 3) / 2. - T * (0., 1.)


Vv2 = 1. + T * (0., 1.) * XX ** 3 / 12. + 7. * CEXP((0., - 1.) * PI / 4.) * SQRT(PI * XX ** 9) / 1.32.

UU = (1. - CEXP((0., 1.) * PI / 4.) * SQRT(PI * XX ** 3) / 2.


C H4 = (1. + SIN(T) ** 2) * H5 * SQRT(PI * YY ** 3) / 2. + (5. + 7. * SIN(T) ** 2)

C 1. * (0., 1.) * YY ** 3 * COS(T) ** 2 / 2. * (5. + 7. * SIN(T) ** 2) * SQRT(PI ** YY)

C 1. * (0., 1.) * COS(T) ** 4 / 64.) * H5

54 CONTINUE

H1 = (K ** 2 * Y / 12. * PI) * CEXP((0., - 1.) * K * DIS) / (K * DIS)

HTD = (0., - 1.) * VV * (SIN(T) ** 2 - 2. * SIN(T) ** 2 * 2. * (0., 1.) / (K * DIS) + COS(T) ** 2
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amplitude and phase angle are printed out.

\[
\text{DB in Y denotes } 20 \log_{10} \left| \frac{Y_{12}}{Y_{11}} \right|
\]

\[
\text{DB in S is } 20 \log_{10} \left| S_{12} \right|
\]
C MUTUAL COUPLING OF AXIAL SLOTS ON A CYLINDER

COMPLEX HT, HR, YY(200), SM, Y1, COMPLX

DIMENSION C2(200), Q1(3)

REAL K, L

COMMCN /AREA1/N, M, PI, AA, EB, CC, K, WL, DEL, SX, SY

C IN THE INPUT WL IS IN CMS, ALL OTHERS ARE IN INCHES.

C Q1(1) = R, Q1(2) = PHI, Q1(3) = Z.

READ (5, 411) Q1(1), Q1(2), Q1(3), WL, ZSEP

READ (5, 412) YG, Y1

411 FORMAT (5F10.5)

412 FORMAT (3E15.5)

R1 = Q1(1) * 2.54

P1 = 3.14159

ND1 = 19 * 6

ND2 = NC1 * 2

ND3 = ND1 * 3

Y1 = Y1 * YG

YA = SQRT (REAL(Y1)**2 + AIMAG(Y1)**2)

YP = ATAN2(AIMAG(Y1), REAL(Y1)) * 180. / PI

C APERTURE SIZE = AA**2 * BB

AA = 4 * 2.54

BB = 9 * 2.54

K = 2 * P1 / WL

SSX = AA

N = 2

M = 10

NNM = N * M

WRITE (6, 35) NNM

35 FORMAT (1X, 'PCIIÈTS IN THE APERTURE, AXIAL SLOTS')

C GENERATE THE ARRAY OF THE SECOND SLOTS.

WRITE (6, 2214)

2214 FORMAT (20X, 'P', CYL, 16X, 'Z IN CM', 3X, 'PHI. IN DEG')

DO 56 I = 1, ND1, 3

IP1 = I + 1

IP2 = I + 2

II = IP2 / 3

Q2(I) = Q1(1)


Q2(IP2) = ZSEP * 2.54

IF (I .GT. ND1/2) Q2(IP1) = -2 * PI + Q2(IP1 - ND1/2)

QP = Q2(IP1) * 180. / PI

56 WRITE (6, 82) II, Q2(I), Q2(IP1), Q2(IP2), QP

WRITE (6, 81) (Q1(I), I = 1, 3)

WRITE (6, 83) N, M, AA, BB, WL

C

81 FORMAT (1X, 'R1 = ', F12.5, '10X, 'PH 1 = ', F12.5, '10X, 'TH 1 = ', F12.5)


976 FORMAT (1X, 'FQ1 = Q1(2) * PI / 180.')
IV G LEVEL 21  

MAIN

DATE = 76182 11/32/41

SX=AA/\ 
SY=BB/M 
DEL=SX*SY 
WRITE(6,191)

191 FORMAT(1H1,1X,'Y DNECTES MUTUAL ADMITTANCE, S ISOLATION')
C 
NUMERICAL INTEGRATION BEGINS
WRITE(6,547)

547 FORMAT(1X,'PHI',11X,'REAL',18X,'IMA',14X,'MAG',16X,'PHASE',15X, 
L'DB')
DO 50 I2=1,ND,3
IJK=(I2-1)/3+1 
YY(IJK)=(1,1,1)
IF(IJK.LT.3.AND.ZSEP.EQ.0.)GO TO 50
IF(IJK.GT.19.AND.IJK.LT.31)GO TO 50
I1=I2+1
I2=I2+2
XQ2=Q2(IP1)*R1 
YQ2=Q2(IP2)
HR=(0,0,0)
DO 41 I=1,N
SNX=(FLOAT(N+I)/2.,-FLOAT(I))*SX 
XQ2C=XQ2+SNX 
DO 41 J=1,N
SMY=(FLOAT(M+J)/2.,-FLOAT(J))*SY 
E2=COS(P1*SMY/(SY*M))
YQ2C=YQ2-SMY 
C 
SLOCA TREATS THE SUBDIVISIONS OF THE 2ND APERTURE.
41 CALL SLOCA(E2,RI,XQ2C,YQ2C,HT,HR 
YY(IJK)=-T*2./(AA*B1) 
IF(IJK.GT.12)GO TO 50
TYY=SQR(REAL(YY(IJK))**2+AIMAG(YY(IJK))**2)
TYP=ATAN2(AIMAG(YY(IJK)),REAL(YY(IJK)))*180./PI 
TYL=20.*ALCG10(TYY/ YA)
IJK=IJK+1
WRITE(6,958)IJ,YY(IJK),TYY,TYP,TYL
SM=-2.*YG*YY(IJK)/(YG+Y1-YY(IJK)) 
SA=SQR(REAL(SM)**2+AIMAG(SM)**2)
SP=ATAN2(AIMAG(SM),REAL(SM))**180./PI 
SL=20.*ALCG10(SA)
WRITE(6,955)SM,SA,SP,SL 
50 CONTINUE

(nn=ND1/6
DO 31 IJ=1,NN
IF(IJ.LT.13)GO TO 31 
IJK=10*(IJ-1)
YY(IJ)=YY(IJ)+YY(IJ+NN)
YAM=SQR(AIMAG(YY(IJ))**2+REAL(YY(IJ))**2)
YAR=ATAN2(AIMAG(YY(IJ)),REAL(YY(IJ)))*180./PI 
TYL=20.*ALCG10(YAM/ YA)
SM=-2.*YG*YY(IJ)/(YG+Y1+YY(IJ))**2+AIMAG(SM)**2)
SP=ATAN2(AIMAG(SM),REAL(SM))**180./PI 

119
IV G LEVEL 21  MAIN  DATE = 76182  11/32/41

SL=20.*ALOG10(SA)
WRITE(6,958) IJK,YY(IJ),YAM,YAR,TYL
WRITE(6,959) SM,SA,SP,SL

CONTINUE

958 FORMAT(1X,I3,5X,'Y=',2E15.5,5X,E15.5,5X,F15.5,5X,E15.5)
959 FORMAT(9X,'S=',2E15.5,5X,E15.5,5X,F15.5,5X,E15.5,/) STOP
END
SUBROUTINE SLOCA(E2,R1,XC2C,YQ2C,HT,HR)

COMMON /AREA1/N,M,PI,AA,EB,CC,K,WH,DEL,SX,SY

REAL K,LQ

DEL=SX*SY

DO 11 I=1,N

SNX=(FLOAT(N+1)/2.-FLOAT(I))$X

XD=XQ2C-SNX

DO 11 J=1,M

SMY=(FLOAT(M+1)/2.-FLOAT(J))$Y

EC=COS(PI*SMY/(SY*M))

M=YG2C+SMY

IF(YC.EQ.0.0)GO TO 21

TCL=ATAN2(YD,XD)

GO TO 23

11 TCL=0.0

IF(XC.LT.0.0)TCL=PI

LQ=R1/(COS(TCL)**2)

FF=EXP(ALOG(K*R1*ABS(COS(TCL))/2.)/3.)

ANG=XC/R1

XX=FF*ABS(ANG)

SOK=SQRT(XX**2+YD**2)

FOCK TREATS THE CURVATURE TERMS AND THE GREEN'S FUNCTION.

CALL FOCK(K,R1,CC,XX,PI,FF,LQ,SOK,TCL,HTD,HTS)

HT=HTD+HTS

HT=HHT+EC*E2*DEL**2+HT

HR=(0.0,0.0)

11 CONTINUE

RETURN

END
SUBROUTINE FOCK(K,R1,CC,XX,PI,FF,LQ,D1S,T,HTD,HTS)
COMPLEX VV,UU,VVD,VP,CEXF,HTD,HTS,H3,H1,VV1,VV2,H4,H5
REAL K
DIMENSION TFB(10),TF(10)

TFB ARE THE ROOTS OF THE AIRY FCT DERIVATIVE, TF THE ROOTS OF AIRY FCT.
DATA TFB/1.01879,3.24819,4.82010,6.16331,7.37218,8.48849,
19.53545,10.5277,11.4751,12.3848 /
DATA TF/ 2.33811, 4.08795, 5.52056, 6.78671, 7.94413, 
19.02265, 10.0402, 11.0085, 11.9360, 12.8288/
PI=3.14159
H5=CEXP((0.,1.)*PI/4.)
H8=SQRT(PI) 

YYY=K*DIS/(2.*CEXP(ALOG(K*R1/2.)*2./3.*)) 
IF (XX.LT.0.8000 ) GO TO 53 
VVD=(0.,1.)*PI/3. 
VP=(0.,-1.)*XX*CEXP((0.,-1.)*PI/3.) 
VV=(0.,0.) 
VV1=VV 
VV2=VV 
LU=VV 

RESIDUE SERIES
DO 37 I=1,10 
VV=CEXP(VP*TFB(I)+VVD)/TFB(I)+VV 
VV1=CEXP(VP*TFB(I))+VV1 
37 VV2=TFB(I)*CEXP(VP*TFB(I)-VVD)*VV2 
VV=VV/SQRT(P*X+1)*CEXP((0.,-1.)*PI/4.) 
VV1=VV1*2.*SQRT(P*X+3)*CEXP((0.,1.)*PI/4.) 
VV2=VV2*4.0*(0.,1.)*CEXP((0.,1.)*PI/4.)*SQRT(P*X+3)/3. 
GO TO 54 

POWER SERIES
37 VV=1.+CEXP((0.,1.)*PI/4.)*SQRT(P*X+3)/4. 
1+7.0*(0.,1.)*XX**3/60.+7.*CEXP((0.,-1.)*PI/4.)/512. 
VV1=1.+CEXP((0.,1.)*PI/4.)*SQRT(P*X+3)/2.-7.*(0.,1.) 
VV2=1.+7.*(0.,1.)*XX**3/12.+7.*CEXP((0.,-1.)*PI/4.)*QQPP((P*X+9))/64. 
1/32. 
GO 10 54

CONTINUE 
HI=(K**2*Y/(2.*PI))*CEXP((0.,-1.)*K*DIS)/(K*DIS) 
THE GREEN'S FUNCTION 
/HTD=HI*(((0.,-1.)*COS(T)**2+(20.*SIN(T)**2/9.-29.*COS(T)**2/24.)/ 
1/((K*DIS)^2)) 
HTS=(0.,0.) 
RETURN 
END
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R. CYL.</th>
<th>Z IN CM.</th>
<th>PHI IN DEG.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.17453</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.34907</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.52360</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.69813</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.87266</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.04720</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.22173</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.39626</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.57079</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.74533</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.91986</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.09439</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.26892</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.44346</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.61799</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.79252</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.96706</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>3.14159</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-6.28318</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-6.10865</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-5.93411</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-5.75958</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-5.58505</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-5.41051</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-5.23598</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-5.06145</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-4.88692</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-4.71239</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-4.53785</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-4.36332</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-4.18879</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-4.01425</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-3.83972</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-3.66519</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-3.49066</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-3.31612</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-3.14159</td>
<td>3.810000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R1 = 1.99100
PH1 = 0.0
TH1 = 0.0

A = 0.01600CMS
B = 2.28600CMS
WAVELENGTH = 3.33333CMS

SELF-ADMIT = 0.135792E-02
CHAR - ADMIT = 0.18154E-02

MAG = 0.15699E-02
PH = \(30.1225\)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Y DENOTES MUTUAL ADMITTANCE, S ISOLATION</th>
<th>REAL</th>
<th>IMAG</th>
<th>MAG</th>
<th>PHASE</th>
<th>DB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Y= -0.47427E-04</td>
<td>0.1792E-04</td>
<td>0.50771E-04</td>
<td>159.26601</td>
<td>-0.29816E02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S= 0.11383E01</td>
<td>-0.1292E-01</td>
<td>0.17224E-01</td>
<td>-48.63435</td>
<td>-0.35277E02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Y= -0.30513E-04</td>
<td>0.35392E-04</td>
<td>0.4678E-04</td>
<td>130.82185</td>
<td>-0.30519E02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S= 0.35523E02</td>
<td>-0.15479E-01</td>
<td>0.15882E-01</td>
<td>-77.67510</td>
<td>-0.35982E02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Y= -0.24114E-04</td>
<td>0.39336E-04</td>
<td>0.46170E-04</td>
<td>58.42708</td>
<td>-0.30630E02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S= 0.13504E01</td>
<td>-0.75705E-02</td>
<td>0.15680E-01</td>
<td>-149.44890</td>
<td>-0.36093E02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Y= -0.48343E-04</td>
<td>0.22501E-04</td>
<td>0.53323E-04</td>
<td>-24.95874</td>
<td>-0.29379E02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S= -0.10934E01</td>
<td>0.14437E-01</td>
<td>0.18111E-01</td>
<td>127.13921</td>
<td>-0.34841E02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Y= -0.18018E-04</td>
<td>0.54904E-04</td>
<td>0.57785E-04</td>
<td>-108.16835</td>
<td>-0.26861E02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S= 0.14124E01</td>
<td>0.13621E-01</td>
<td>0.19622E-01</td>
<td>43.96063</td>
<td>-0.34145E02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Y= -0.54674E-04</td>
<td>0.12849E-04</td>
<td>0.56164E-04</td>
<td>166.77483</td>
<td>-0.28928E02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S= 0.14371E01</td>
<td>0.12548E-01</td>
<td>0.19078E-01</td>
<td>-41.12636</td>
<td>-0.34309E02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Y= -0.96467E-05</td>
<td>0.49334E-04</td>
<td>0.50268E-04</td>
<td>78.93602</td>
<td>-0.29892E02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S= -0.10728E01</td>
<td>0.13277E-01</td>
<td>0.17069E-01</td>
<td>-128.94998</td>
<td>-0.35356E02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>Y= -0.41735E-04</td>
<td>0.85217E-05</td>
<td>0.42567E-04</td>
<td>-11.54849</td>
<td>-0.31336E02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S= -0.11165E01</td>
<td>0.91854E-02</td>
<td>0.14458E-01</td>
<td>140.55673</td>
<td>-0.36798E02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Y= -0.85666E-05</td>
<td>0.33677E-04</td>
<td>0.34748E-04</td>
<td>-104.26260</td>
<td>-0.33099E02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S= 0.79181E02</td>
<td>0.87499E-02</td>
<td>0.11800E-01</td>
<td>47.85364</td>
<td>-0.38562E02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Y= -0.26208E-04</td>
<td>0.89118E-05</td>
<td>0.27681E-04</td>
<td>161.21977</td>
<td>-0.35074E02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S= 0.64512E02</td>
<td>0.68309E-02</td>
<td>0.94015E-02</td>
<td>-46.67137</td>
<td>-0.40536E02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Y= -0.90866E-05</td>
<td>0.19702E-04</td>
<td>0.21694E-04</td>
<td>65.25457</td>
<td>-0.37191E02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S= -0.58553E02</td>
<td>0.44718E-02</td>
<td>0.73766E-02</td>
<td>-142.62994</td>
<td>-0.42653E02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Y= -0.14280E-04</td>
<td>0.88776E-05</td>
<td>0.16814E-04</td>
<td>-31.86893</td>
<td>-0.39404E02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S= -0.28762E02</td>
<td>0.49333E-02</td>
<td>0.57105E-02</td>
<td>120.24239</td>
<td>-0.44866E02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>