



ADA031896

Dinks

CURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)	
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION	NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
12	
ATTLE (and Subtitio)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
2	P 9 Technical Forter
Depolarized Rayleigh Scattering and Orientation	ial
worton of Polyechylene Glycol.	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR(.)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(.)
Dane R. Jones and C. H. Wang	N00014-75-0908
	Indapped - 75 5 dad
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	1511V00017-15-C-0900
	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
University of Utab SIC III 84112	NR 051-562
	NK 051-502
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
Office of Naval Research	October 6, 1976 // 16 CEL
Arlington VA 22217	22 B Hope
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Offi	ice) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of the septer)
	Unclassified
	154 DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING
	DSCHEDULE
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	Approved for petit
a. According to the attached distribution list	Distribution Collimited
b. Others may obtain copies of this report fro	om the Office of Technical
Service, Department of Commerce.	Inis
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differe	ant from Report)
	111 876
	11 15
	101 NON TID
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	A al tole.
To be published in J. Chem. Phys.	11155c
	- 0-
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block nu	imber)
Polymers Orient	tational Relaxation
Polyethylene Glycol Solutions	
Depolarized Rayleigh Light Scattering	
ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block nu	mber)
The depalarized Payleigh light coattoring	spectra of pure polyethylene
clycols and their solutions in an ontically isc	spectra of pure poryethylene
as a function of polymer molecular weight, cond	centration and temperature.
Reorientational relaxation times were obtained	by fitting the observed spectra
to Lorentzian functions convoluted with the exp	perimental spectrum. The re-
laxation times were found to increase with incr	reasing molecular weight and with
arracion, the depotatized Raylergh results for	the polyceny tenen grycors

- ATTINAT

· L'and it

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N00014-75-C-0908

Task No. NR 051-562

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 12

# DEPOLARIZED RAYLEIGH SCATTERING AND ORIENTATIONAL

### MOTION OF POLYETHYLENE GLYCOL

by

Dane R. Jones and C. H. Wang

Prepared for Publication

in the

Journal of Chemical Physics

NCCESSION for NTIS	White Section But Section	
DOC URANDONERU		
JUSTIC ICK III		
BY. DISTRICOT	IDN AVAILATILITY	DETES SP-GIAL
Dist	ATTL. Unit	
10	1 1	

Department of Chemistry University of Utah Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

October 6, 1976

Reproduction in whole of in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited.

Depolarized Rayleigh Scattering and Orientational Motion of Polyethylene Glycol

Dane R. Jonest and C.H. Wang\* Department of Chemistry University of Utah Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

4

+Present address: Department of Chemistry, California Polytechnic Sate University, San Luis Obispo, CA 93407

\*Alfred P. Sloan Fellow (1973-1977).

### Abstract

The depolarized Rayleigh light scattering spectra of pure polyethylene glycols and their solutions in an optically isotropic solvent were studied as a function of polymer molecular weight, concentration and temperature. Reorientational relaxation times were obtained by fitting the observed spectra to Lorentzian functions convoluted with the experimental spectrum. The relaxation times were found to increase with increasing molecular weight and with dilution. The depolarized Rayleigh results for the polyethylene glycols were compared with results for other polymer systems and with results obtained using other types of relaxation studies. By studying the dependence of the relaxation times on viscosity, temperature and concentration and comparing the results with theoretical predictions of molecular and end-group reorientations, we have associated the depolarized Rayleigh spectra of polyethylene glycol with rotation of the entire polymer molecule.

### I. Introduction

1

Dielectric relaxation, nuclear magnetic resonance spin-lattice relaxation and neutron scattering can yield information on the orientational motion of polymers in the liquid state. In a previous work, it was shown that polarized Brillouin - Rayleigh scattering could be used to determine the effects of density fluctuations in pure polymers.<sup>1</sup>

Depolarized Rayleigh light scattering could also be used to study molecular motion of polymer melts and solutions. The mechanisms for depolarized Rayleigh scattering, are density fluctuations and anisotropy fluctuations, as both can modulate the Rayleigh polarizability tensor. However, depolarized Rayleigh scattering due to density fluctuations is small relative to the anisotropy fluctuations, and in most cases an unambiguous separation of density and anisotropic effects can be obtained.

Depolarized Rayleigh scattering spectra have been measured for several small molecules by Pecora and co-workers<sup>2</sup> and in this laboratory<sup>3</sup>. It has been found that the depolarized spectra of small molecules are primarily determined by overall molecular rotational motion. Therefore, we expect that the spectra of polymers in solution might also be dependent on overall rotational motion.

In a previous paper<sup>4</sup> (hereafter referred to as I), we demonstrated how depolarized Rayleigh scattering could be used to study the segmental motion in pure polypropylene glycols. In polymers, at least three different types of motions can give rise to depolarized Rayleigh scattering. The first type of motion is rotation of the entire molecule. For a dilute solution of relatively small cylindrically symmetric polymer molecules, the depolarized Rayleigh spectrum is a single Lorentzian with half-width at half-height (HWHH) given by<sup>5</sup>

$$\Gamma = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right) \quad 6 \quad D_{\rm R}$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the HWHH in Hz and D<sub>R</sub> is the rotational diffusion coefficient. For asymmetric molecules, the molecular reorientational spectrum becomes the sum of as many as five Lorentzians. The depolarized Rayleigh relaxation time,  $\tau_{Ray}$ , is related to the HWHH of the experimental Lorentzian spectrum by

$$\tau_{\rm Ray} = (2\pi\Gamma)^{-1}$$

In contrast to Raman scattering, depolarized Rayleigh spectral power denisty for concentrated solutions of scattering molecules depends on both single particle motions and static and dynamic correlated motions of molecular pairs. In concentrated solutions pair correlations may become important<sup>6</sup> and the measured relaxation time,  $\tau_{Ray}$ , is related to the single particle relaxation time,  $\tau_s$ , by<sup>6</sup>

$$\tau_{Ray} = \tau_s \quad (\frac{1+fn}{1+gn})$$

where f and g measure the strength of static and dynamic pair correlations and n is the number density of the scatterers.

A second form of orientational motion in polymers which can give rise to depolarized Rayleigh scattering is the internal rotation of end groups or of unhindered side chains. This orientational motion is also characterized by a single relaxation time for orientational motion of a given cylindrically symmetric group and could also show effects due to pair correlations. In general, this relaxation time should be much shorter than the reorientation time for the entire molecule since it is expected that small groups will reorient very quickly.

Polymers can undergo a third type of motion through local movements of polymer segments (not the collective motion of the Rouse-Zimm type<sup>7</sup>). As we have discussed in I, this polymer backbone motion can give rise to depolarized Rayleigh scattering through two mechanisms: segmental reorientation and seg-

(1)

(2)

(3)

mental center of mass motion. The segmental center of mass motion which is the dominant mechanism for the polarized Brillouin scattering contributes to the depolarized Rayleigh scattering spectrum because the polarizability anisotropy of the segment depends on position.

The three types of motion are expected to have different concentration dependences. Polymer molecules generally expand in solution due to the excluded volume effect and solvent-solute interaction<sup>8</sup>. Thus, when viscosity and temperature effects are taken into account, the reorientation of the entire polymer molecule should become slower as the polymer concentration is decreased. On the other hand, the concentration effects on simple end groups and unhindered side chains should be small. However, if strong inter-group forces (e.g. hydrogen bonding) are present, the concentration effects could be fairly large and should depend on such factors as solvent and the presence of intramolecular interactions. Local backbone segmental motion is also expected to become slower as the polymer concentration is decreased since larger segments of the backbone are free to move as the polymer swells with dilution.

The molecular weight (or degree of polymerization) of the polymer can affect the Rayleigh relaxation time. The rotation of the entire molecule should be significantly slower for higher molecular weight samples of the same polymer. On the other hand, the end group or side chain reorientations and the backbone segmental motion will show little change with molecular weight.

We used these ideas in I to analyze the depolarized Rayleigh scattering spectra from a series of polypropylene glycols ranging in molecular weight from 425 to 4000. In this work, we report results of depolarized Rayleigh light scattering study of polyethylene glycols  $[H-O-(CH_2-CH_2-0)_{\bar{n}}H]$  as a function of molecular weight, concentration and temperature. The relaxation times obtained from the depolarized Rayleigh spectra are analyzed in light

of the above discussion in an attempt to relate the times to the various possible relaxation processes in this system of polymers.

## II. Experimental

The depolarized Rayleigh spectra were taken using the apparatus described in I. The single mode incident laser output at 488.0 nm ranged from 0.3 to 0.5 W. All spectra were obtained using a  $90^{\circ}$  scattering angle with the incident light polarized perpendicular to the scattering plane and the scattered light polarized parallel to the scattering plane. The scattered light was analyzed using a piezoelectrically scanned Fabry-Perot interferometer fitted with plane mirrors. For the spectra reported here, plate separations ranging from 0.989 to 5.46 cm were used, corresponding to free spectral ranges between 15.2 and 2.74 GHz. The total instrumental finesse ranged from over 70 at the smaller plate separations to 35 at the larger separations. The frequency analyzed light was amplified by a cooled photomultiplier and picoammeter. The resulting signal was displayed on X-Y recorder. The instrumental profile (laser plus interferometer and collection optics) was measured at each plate separation and was found to be fitted well by a Voigt function<sup>9</sup>. After correction for the instrumental contribution and the overlap of adjacent orders, all spectra were found to fit well by a single Lorentzian. There is no indication of a depolarized Brillouin doublet or spectral dip at the line center in any of the liquids studied.<sup>6</sup> The Brillouin spectra measured in the polarized scattering geometry for the pure samples were also obtained as a function of temperature and will be reported elsewhere.

The polyethylene glycol (PEG) samples having average molecular weights of 200 and 400 were obtained from Polysciences, Inc., Warrington PA. Triethylene glycol was obtained from Aldrich Chemical Company, Inc., Milwaukee WI. A 50/50 by volume mixture of carbon tetrachloride and tertbutyl alcohol was used as a solvent for the solution studied. The depolarized Rayleigh scattering from this solvent was measured and was found to contribute negligibly to the total sample-solvent scattering intensity. The samples were filtered through 0.22 µm Millipore filters into rectangular glass cells. The cell was placed in a brass holder, the temperature of which was controlled with a water-ethylene glycol solution circulated by a Haake FK-2 bath. The temperature is accurate to better than ±1 K. Kinematic viscosities and densities of the samples studied were measured using Cannon-Ubbelhode viscometers and a calibrated pyncnometer thermostated in a temperature bath. The absolute viscosities are accurate to better than ±5%. 5

### III. Results and Discussion

Depolarized Rayleigh light scattering spectra were obtained for pure PEG 200 and solutions of triethylene glycol, PEG 200 and PEG 400 in a 50/50 by volume mixture of carbon tetrachloride and tert-butyl alcohol as a function of temperature. Depolarized Rayleigh relaxation times,  $\tau_{Ray}$ , were obtained from the HWHH of the Lorentzian spectra using Eq. (2). These relaxation times were reproducible to better than 15%. The results of this study, along with the dielectric relaxation times,  $\tau_{DI}$ , of pure ethylene glycol, diethylene glycol, triethylene glycol and tetraethylene glycol (PEG 200) obtained by Koizumi<sup>10</sup> are presented in Table I. Pinnow, Candau and Litovitz<sup>11</sup> have obtained depolarized Rayleigh spectra for pure ethylene glycol, diethylene glycol, triethylene glycol and tetraethylene glycol. They fitted their data for each compound to an Arrhenius equation of the form

 $\tau_{Rav} = A \exp \left( \frac{E_a}{RT} \right).$  (4)

Using their A and  $E_a$  values, we calculated  $\tau_{Ray}$  vlaues for these compounds. These values are included in Table I. The viscosities of all solutions studied were

also measured and are given in Table I.

Our  $\tau_{Ray}$  values for a given concentration of a given molecular weight polymer could also be fitted by Eq. (4) and the results are plotted in Fig. 1 along with the results of Pinnow, <u>et al</u>. for pure triethylene glycol. The  $\tau_{Ray}$  values for pure PEG 200 are significantly larger than those reported by Pinnow, <u>et al</u>,<sup>11</sup> despited the fact that our measured  $\tau_{Ray}$  values for pure diethylene glycol are in good agreement with their. We are at present unable to explain the difference in  $\tau_{Ray}$  values for PEG 200 except pointing out that significantly larger Fabry-Perot plate separations have to be used for the study of pure PEG 200 than for the diethylene and triethylene glycol in order to resolve the depolarized Rayleigh component and enable the instrumental width to be properly corrected. At such large separations, great care was also needed to insure that the laser remained in a single mode for the duration of an experiment. We believe that our results represent better  $\tau_{Ray}$  values for PEG 200. 6

The  $\tau_{\rm DI}$  values for the four pure compounds can be compared with the  $\tau_{\rm Ray}$  values. At infinite dilution (i.e. for single particle motion), the dielectric and depolarized Rayleigh rotational relaxation times for an overall molecular reorientation process occuring by small anglar step rotational diffusion are related by  $\tau_{\rm DI} = 3 \tau_{\rm Ray}$ . A detailed discussion of the mechanism of molecular orientational relaxation required for this limiting relationship for the diffusion or jump process was given by Pinnow, <u>et al</u><sup>11</sup>.

It should be pointed out that this relationship is not necessarily valid for concentrated solutions since dielectric relaxation and depolarized Rayleigh scattering have very different pair correlation effects<sup>6</sup> and concentration dependences. Another possibility for  $\tau_{DI} \neq 3 \tau_{Ray}$  is that the dielectric relaxation and depolorized Rayleigh scattering do not probe the same motion. In this case, there would be no limiting relationship between  $\tau_{DI}$  and  $\tau_{Ray}$ . As is clear from the values given for pure compounds in Table I, the value of  $\tau_{Ray}$  is nearly always larger than that of  $\tau_{DI}$ , in disagreement with the prediction based upon the simple rotational diffusion model. It is also clear from Table I that the values of  $\tau_{Ray}$  decrease with decreasing polymer concentration. This result can be understood in terms of the effect of solution viscosity, as to be discussed below.

The activation energy data for solutions are the new results. Our  $E_a$  value for pure PEG 200 is 7.0 Kcal/mole, which is about 3 Kcal/mole less than the value reported by Pinnow, <u>et al</u>.<sup>11</sup> We are unable to explain this difference, except again pointing out the difficulty of measuring the  $\tau_{Ray}$  value for PEG 200 and higher molecular weight polymers using the interferometric technique. It is also interesting to point out that our  $E_a$  value is in good agreement with the spin-lattice relaxation result.<sup>13</sup> The spin-lattice relaxation, inelastic neutron scattering<sup>14</sup> and depolarized Rayleigh scattering in general probe similar types of molecular motion.

Activation energies obtained from the plots in Fig. 1 along with  $E_a$  values obtained by Koizumi<sup>10</sup> and Pinnow, <u>et al</u>.<sup>11</sup> are given in Table II. More discussion of the significance of these activation energies will be given shortly.

Orientational relaxation times can often be described by a Stokes-Einstein type equation of the form $^{2,3}$ 

$$\tau = C \frac{\eta}{T} + \tau_0$$
 (5)

where n is the solution viscosity, T the absolute temperature and the constant C is determined by the size and shape of the particle, boundary conditions at the surface of the orienting particle and other molecular constants. This linear dependence of reorientation time on n/T has been observed experimentally in many liquid systems.<sup>2,3,12</sup> In order to better characterize our relaxation times, we have plotted  $\tau_{Ray}$  versus n/T for the samples. The results are shown in Figs. 2-5. Linear least squares fits of the data to Eq. (5) are also shown.

The fitted values of the slopes C and intercepts  $\tau_0$  are given in Table III. Also given are the values of C and  $\tau_0$  obtained from the dielectric relaxation data of Koizumi<sup>10</sup> and the depolarized Rayleigh data of Pinnow et al.<sup>11</sup> Koizumi suggests that the t values for ethylene glycol reflect motion of the whole molecule and that the large  $\tau_{DI}$  values for diethylene glycol may be attributable to a ring structure of the molecule. For the higher oligomers, the orientation of the end OH groups is believed to be the largest contributor to the dipolar polarization. This interpretation is fairly consistent with the slopes from the  $\tau_{DI}$  versus n/T plots. For ethylene and diethylene glycol, the slopes are the same and the E<sub>a</sub> values from Table II are fairly close. Thus, the dielectric relaxation measurements are probing similar motions in these two molecules and the reorienting units have similar molecular dimensions. The overall length of ethylene glycol is comparable to the length of the diethylene glycol ring structure. For dielectric relaxation data, the triethylene and tetraethylene glycol slopes C are smaller but the activation energies are still ~8 kcal/ mole. For these molecules, dielectric relaxation is probing the motion of a smaller unit, such as -OH or - CH<sub>2</sub>OH, and not rotation of the entire molecule. Since the E<sub>a</sub> values for the four homologs are nearly the same, it is possible that they all have the same rate determining step (e.g. rotation of an -OH or -CH<sub>2</sub>OH).

The  $\tau_{Ray}$  values for the pure compounds increase monotonically with increasing molecular weight, in contrast to the  $\tau_{DI}$  data. The  $\tau_{Ray}$  values for the pure compounds are plotted versus  $\eta/T$  in Fig. 2. The slopes from this plot show a different behavior than the dielectric data. For ethylene and diethylene glycol, the depolarized Rayleigh slopes are the same and are smaller than the corresponding dielectric relaxation slopes. However, as the molecular weight increases further, the depolarized Rayleigh slopes increase significantly suggesting that the size of the rotating unit is increasing with molecular weight.

This is in contrast to our results in I for poly-propylene glycols (PPG). For PPG, the slope of the  $\tau_{Ray}$  versus n/T line was independent of molecular weight but the slope for more dilute solutions was greater than that for the more concentrated solutions. The depolarized Rayleigh scattering from PPG was associated with segmental motion of the polymer backbone. The increase in relaxation time with dilution was a result of the polymer swelling, allowing larger segments to move freely.<sup>4</sup>

9

In the case of PEG, the Rayleigh relaxation time data show a strong molecular weight dependence, and asymtotic behavior of  $\tau_{Rav}$  is reached slowly. Anderson, et al.<sup>15</sup> have measured the spin-lattice relaxation time of PEG as a function of molecular weight, and have shown that the relaxation time becomes insensitive only when the degree of polymerization is 30 or greater. That is when the molecular weight of PEG is about 1500 or greater. Although we do not imply the same situation to occur in light scattering, it is reasonable to expect that TRAV will become molecular weight dependent at about the same molecular weight range. For the present Rayleigh scattering experiment, we were unable to measure accurate  $\tau_{Rav}$  values even for pure PEG 400 at any Fabry-Perot plate separation due to the extremely small linewidth associated with orientational motion of the highly viscous polymer. The mechanism of backbone segmental motion which is responsible for the depolarized Rayleigh scattering of PPG is also expected in PEG. However, the observed spectra were not successful. This, thus, indicates either that the backbone segmental motion of PEG does not change the Rayleigh polarizability anisotrophy significantly or that the backbone motion is too slow to be resolved by the Fabry-Perot interferometric technique. Because of the unusual helical configuration of PEG,<sup>14</sup> it is likely that the second reason is applicable.

From Figs. 3-5 it is seen that for each system whose concentration dependence was studied, the relaxation times for the more dilute solutions show a stronger n/T dependence than the times for the concentrated solutions and pure polymer.

This result can be explained in terms of the expansion of the polymer molecule upon dilution. We have calculated volumes for the rotating units from the experimental C values using

$$C = \frac{V}{k}$$

(6)

where V is the volume of the rotating particle and k is Boltzmann's constant. This formulation assumes "stick" boundary conditions, where fluid adjacent to the rotating particle sticks to the particle and rotates with it. These volumes are included in Tabel III. It is realized these volumes are at best only order of magnitude values, but they should give some insight as to the size of the rotating particle. It is clear these volumes are too large to be associated with end groups, such as -OH or  $-CH_2OH$ . Also, these volumes increase as the degree of polymerization incerases, suggesting segmental reorientation is not responsible for the depolarized Rayleigh scattering. The values are consistent, however, if the scattering is interpreted as resulting from rotation of the entire molecule. Also as predicted according to this mechanism, the total molecular volume increases both with increasing molecular weight and with dilution because of the solute-solvent interaction and the excluded volume effect.

The intercepts of the t versus n/T plots also give information about the rotational motion being investigated. In I, positive non-zero intercepts were obtained for PPG. Thus, the relaxation time is finite at zero viscosity (or infinite temperature). This is reasonable for polymer backbone segmental motion since the segments are bonded to one another and are restricted in their motion even at zero viscosity. The PEG plots shown in Figs. 2-5 all have zero intercepts within the experimental uncertainty. This is consistent with our interpretation of depolarized Rayleigh scattering in these molecules occurring as a result of rotation of the entire molecule.

The activation energies for molecular reorientation obtained from the depolarized Rayleigh experiments agree well with each other and with the dielectric relaxation results (the uncertainties in the  $E_a$  values are of the order of 10%) but are significantly larger than values obtained for rotation of lower viscosity liquids composed of small molecules.<sup>2,3</sup> The depolarized Rayleigh  $E_a$  value for diethylene glycol is somewhat low. Allen, Connor and Pursey<sup>13</sup> have studied PEG using nuclear magnetic resonance spin-lattice relaxation.  $E_a$  values from their log  $T_1$  versus  $T^{-1}$  plots were 7 kcal/mole for diethylene glycol, triethylene glycol and PEG 200. Again these  $E_a$  values agree well with those obtained using other techniques suggesting all of the orientational motions involve the same type of process. It is likely this process involves the breaking of hydrogen bonds as it is necessary for hydrogen bonds to be broken both for the movement of end groups and for rotation of the molecule as a whole.

11

#### IV. Conclusions

In conclusion, it should be emphasized that although these polyethylene glycols are capable of undergoing several types of orientational motion, only one relaxation time was observed. End group and backbone motion possible give some small contribution to the scattering spectrum but, as is shown above, an interpretation based on rotation of the entire molecule is consistent with the experimental results.

In summary we have studied depolarized Rayleigh scattering spectra of a series of polyethylene glycol solutions as a function of molecular weight, concentration and temperature. The solvent used in this work was a mixture of carbon tetrachloride and tert-butyl alcohol which has negligible depolarized scattering of its own. The relaxation times were obtained by fitting the observed spectra to Lorentzian functions convoluted with the instrumental spectrum. By studying the dependence of the relaxation times on viscosity, concentration and temperature and comparing the results with theoretical predictions of molecular and end-group reorientations, we have concluded that rotation of the entire polymer molecule is responsible for the depolarized Rayleigh scattering in PEG solutions. This conclusion was reached by examining the various reorientational motions which could possibly contribute to depolarized Rayleigh scattering in these molecules. The relaxation times were found to increase with increasing molecular weight and with dilution. The present results were compared with dielectric relaxation times and other spectroscopic studies. The activation energies observed in depolarized Rayleigh scattering are consistent with those obtained using other techniques.

#### Acknowledgement

We acknowledge the Office of Naval Research for support of this research.

# References

1.	Y.Y. Huang and C.H. Wang, J. Chem. Phys. <u>61</u> , 1868 (1974); <u>ibid 62</u> , 120
	(1975); <u>ibid</u> 4847, (1976).
2.	See, for example, G.R. Alms, D.R. Bauer, J.I. Brauman, and R. Pecora, J.
	Chem. Phys. <u>58</u> , 5570 (1973).
3.	C.H. Wang, D.R. Jones and D.H. Christensen, J. Chem. Phys. <u>64</u> , 2820 (1976);
	C.K. Cheung, D.R. Jones, and C.H. Wang, J. Chem. Phys. <u>64</u> , 3567 (1976).
4.	D.R. Jones and C.H. Wang, J. Chem. Phys. <u>65</u> , 1835, (1976).
5.	R. Pecora in Photochemistry of Macromolecules (Plenum Press, New York, 1970).
6.	T. Keyes and D. Kivelson, J. Chem. Phys. <u>54</u> , 1796 (1971); <u>ibid</u> <u>56</u> , 1876 (1972);
	J. Phys. (Paris) 33, (C1), 231 (1972).
7.	P.E. Rouse, Jr. J. Chem. Phys., <u>21</u> , 1272 (1953); B.H. Zimm, J. Chem. Phys.
	<u>24</u> , 269 (1956).
8.	P.J. Flory, Principles of Polymer Chemistry, (Cornell University Press,
	Ithaca, New York, 1953), Chap. 14.
9.	H.W. Leidecker and J.T. LaMachia, J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 43, 143 (1968).
10,	N. Koizumi, J. Chem. Phys. <u>27</u> , 625 (1957).
11.	D.A. Pinnow, S.J. Candau, and T.A. Litovitz, J. Chem. Phys. <u>49</u> , 347 (1968).
12.	S. Claesson and D.R. Jones, Chemica Scripta, 9, 103 (1976).
13.	G. Allen, T.M. Connor, and H. Pursey, Trans Faraday Soc. 59, 1525 (1963).
14.	G. Allen, D.N. Brier, G. Goodyear, and J.S. Higgins, Faraday Sym. Chem.
	Soc. No. 6, 169 (1972).
15.	J.E. Anderson, K-J Liu and R. Ullman, Disc. Faraday Soc. No. 49, 257 (1970).

•••	TABL	51		
Orie	entational Re	laxation time	<u>s</u>	14
Sample	<u>T/K</u>	$\frac{\tau_{Ray}/ns^a}{1}$	$\tau_{\rm DI}/ns^{\rm b}$	n/cp
PEG 400,21 mole%	275.5	3.2		48.2
	280.8	2.6		35.6
	, 288.2	0.96		24.0
	293.7	0.88		18.6
	298.4	0.77		15.0
	306.9	0.63		10.5
	319.2	0.47		6.84
	327.2	0.35		5.22
PEG 400.35 mole%	298.0	1.35		27.5
	307.2	0.98		18.2
	314.6	0.77		13.6
	320.2	0.61		11.3
	326.8	0.46		. 9.2
	333.2	0.42		7.6
PEG 200, pure	278.2		0.53	175
	293.2	1.41 <sup>c</sup>	0.23	68.5
	302.7	1.34		40.5
	308.2	0.60 <sup>C</sup>	0.114	32.0
	312.7	0.77		26.5
	320.7	0.68		19.2
	323.2	0.28 <sup>C</sup>	0.066	17.7
	333.7	0.40		12.6
	339.7	0.375		10.5
PEG 200,35 mole%	283.4	1.27		28.2
	289.6	0.84		20.5
	293.7	0.77		17.5
	302.8	0.47		11.7
	311.7	0.30		8.6
Tricthylone clusel nume			0.55	110
iriechylene glycol, pure	2/8.2	0 70C	0.55	119 00 F
	293.2	0.70	0.24	99.5 22 7
	1 308.2	0.39	0.12	130 0
	353.2	0.070 <sup>c</sup>	0.000	5.4
		0.070		
Triethylene glycol, 35 mole%	289.0	.359		11.8
	294.8	. 262		9.25
	301.3	.211		7.10
	306.7	.188		5.85
	316.8	.127		4.25
Diethylene glycol pure	278.2		0.72	88
8-,, F	293.2	0.24 <sup>C</sup>	0.28	36.8
	308.2	0.15 <sup>C</sup>	0.145	18
	323.2	0.10 <sup>c</sup>	0.069	10.3
	353.2	0.052 <sup>c</sup>		4.35
Ethylene glycol, pure	278.2		0.34	44.5
	293.2	0.093	0.13	20.7
	308.2	0.040	0.072	11.5
	323.2	0.019	0.044	6.9
	353.2	0.005		3.2
a. this work unless otherwise	noted.			

b. all dielectric times are from Ref. 10.c. Ref. 11.

# TABLE II

# Orientational Activation Energies

System	1	Type of Experiment	$E_a/kcal mole^{-1}$
PEG 400,35 mole%		depolarized Rayleigh <sup>a</sup>	6.8
PEG 400,21 mole%		depolarized Rayleigh <sup>a</sup>	7.3
PEG 200, pure		depolarized Rayleigh <sup>a</sup>	7.0
		depolarized Rayleigh <sup>b</sup>	10.2
		dielectric relaxation <sup>C</sup>	8.3
		spin-lattice relaxaton <sup>d</sup>	~7
PEG 200,35 mole%		depolarized Rayleigh <sup>a</sup>	8.8
Triethylene glycol,pure		depolarized Rayleigh <sup>b</sup>	8.3
		dielectric relaxation <sup>C</sup>	8.3
		spin-lattice relaxation <sup>d</sup>	-7
Triethylene glycol, 35 mole%		depolarized Rayleigh <sup>a</sup>	6.5
Diethylene glycol, pure		depolarized Rayleigh <sup>b</sup>	5.2
		dielectric relaxation <sup>C</sup>	8.8
		spin-lattice relaxation <sup>d</sup>	~7
Ethylene glycol, pure	1	depolarized Rayleigh <sup>b</sup>	10.0
		dielectric relaxation <sup>C</sup>	8.0

- a) this work
- b) Ref. 11
- c) Ref. 10
- d) Ref. 13

### TABLE III

# Experimental Viscosity Dependences

System

PEG 400,35 mole% PEG 400,21 mole% PEG 200,pure

PEG 200,35 mole% triethylene glycol, pure

triethylene glycol, 35 mole% diethylene glycol, pure

ethylene glycol, pure

Type of Experiment Slo	$\frac{C}{ns \ K \ cp^{-1}}$	Intercept/ns	Volume/A
depolarized Rayleigh <sup>a</sup>	13.6 ± 0.8	0.12 ± 0.10	187
depolarized Rayleigh <sup>a</sup>	18.6 ± 2.0	-0.09 ± 0.17	257
depolarized Rayleigh <sup>a</sup>	9.25 ± 0.6	0.07 ± 0.06	128
depolarized Rayleigh <sup>b</sup>	6.3 ± 0.6	-0.06 ± 0.01	
dielectric relaxation <sup>C</sup>	0.91 ± 0.10	0.02 ± 0.05	
depolarized Rayleigh <sup>a</sup>	13.2 ± 0.6	-0.05 ± 0.04	182
depolarized Rayleigh <sup>b</sup>	4.6 ± 1.0	-0.06 ± 0.10	63
dielectric relaxation <sup>C</sup>	$1.23 \pm 0.03$	0.03 ± 0.01	
depolarized Rayleigh <sup>a</sup>	8.2 ± 0.6	0.02 ± 0.02	113
depolarized Rayleigh <sup>b</sup>	1.6 ± 0.2	0.04 ± 0.02	22
dielectric relaxation <sup>C</sup>	2.25 ± 0.05	0.05 ± 0.06	
depolarized Rayleigh <sup>b</sup>	1.4 ± 0.1	-0.01 ± 0.005	19
dielectric relaxation <sup>C</sup>	2.2 ± 0.1	-0.01 ± 0.01	

- a) this work
- b) Ref. 11

c) Ref. 10

### Figure Captions



Figure 2: Depolarized Rayleigh relaxation times as a function of n/T for pure liquids:



- Figure 3: Depolarized Rayleigh relaxation times for PEG 400 as a function of n/T: ••••• , 35 mole%; •••• , 21 mole%.
- Figure 4: Depolarized Rayleigh relaxation times for PEG 200 as a function of n/T: , pure (this work);  $\Diamond \Diamond \Diamond ---, 35$  mole % (this work); , pure (Ref. 11).
- Figure 5: Depolarized Rayleigh relaxation time for triethylene glycol as a function of n/T: ▲▲▲ , pure (Ref. 11); △△△ - , 35 mole% (this work).











# TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. C	Copies		No. Copie
Office of Naval Research Arlington, Virginia 22217 Attn: Code 472	2	Defense Documentation Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12
Office of Naval Research Arlington, Virginia 22217 Attn: Code 1021P	6	U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, North Caroli Attn: CRD-AA-IP	na 27709
ONR Branch Office 536 S. Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60605 Attn: Dr. George Sandoz	ı	Commander Naval Undersea Research & Developmen Center San Diego, California 92132	t
ONR Branch Office 715 Broadway		Attn: Technical Library, Code 133	1
New York, New York 10003 Attn: Scientific Dept.	l	Naval Weapons Center China Lake, California 93555 Attn: Head, Chemistry Division	1
ONR Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91106 Attn: Dr. R. J. Marcus	1	Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Port Hueneme, California 93041 Attn: Mr. W. S. Haynes	1
ONR Branch Office 760 Market Street, Rm. 447 San Francisco, California 94102 Attn: Dr. P. A. Miller	1	Professor 0. Heinz Department of Physics & Chemistry Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	
ONR Branch Office 495 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210 Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles	1	Dr. A. L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code Washington, D.C. 20380	RD-1) 1
Director, Naval Research Laboratory	r		
Attn: Library, Code 2029 (ONRL) Technical Info. Div. Códe 6100, 6170	6 1 1		
The Asst. Secretary of the Navy (Re Department of the Navy Boom 48736 Pentagon	⊾D)		
Washington, D.C. 20350	1		
Commander, Naval Air Systems Comman Department of the Navy	nđ		
Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser)	1		

m

:

:

#### TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

#### No. Copies

2

1

Dr. Stephen H. Carr Department of Materials Science Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201 1

53 04

Dr. M. Broadhurst Bulk Properties Section National Bureau of Standards U.S. Department of Commerce Washington, D.C. 20234

Dr. C. H. Wang Department of Chemistry University of Uteh Salt Lake City, Uteh 84112

Dr. T. A. Litovitz Department of Physics Catholic University of America Washington, D.C. 20017 1

Dr. R. V. Submaranian Washington State University Department of Materials Science Pullman, Washington 99103 1

Dr. M. Shen Department of Chemical Engineering University of California Berkeley, California 94720 1

Dr. R. S. Porter Polymer Research Institute and Polymer Science and Engineering University of Massachusetts Amherst, Massachusetts 01002 1

Dr. H. Freiser Department of Chemistry University of Arizona Tuscon, Arizona 85721

Dr. V. Stannett Department of Chemical Engineering North Carolina State University Raleigh, North Carolina 27607 1

Center for Materials Science and Engineering Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 1 Naval Surface Weapons Center White Oak 1 Silver Spring, Maryland 20910 Attn: Dr. J. M. Augl Dr. B. Hartmann Dr. G. Goodman Globe Union Inc. 5757 North Green Bay Avenue Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201 1 Picatinny Arsemal

Department of Metallurgy and Material Science

SMUPA-FR-M-D Dover, New Jersey 07801 Attn: A. M. Anzalone Bldg. 3401

Dr. D. R. Uhlman

Dr. J. K. Gillham Princeton University Department of Chemistry Princeton, New Jersey 08540

Douglas Aircraft Co. 3855 Lakewood Boulevard Long Beach, California 90846 Attn: Technical Library Cl 290/36-84 AUTO-Sutton

Dr. E. Baer Department of Macromolecular Science Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106

Dr. K. D. Pae Department of Mechanics and Materials Science Rutgers University New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903 1

No. Copies

1

1

1

No. Copies

1

1

1

1

No. Copies

1

1

NASA-Lewis Research Center 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135 Attn: Dr. T. T. Serofini, MS-49-11

Dr. Charles H. Sherman, Code TD 121 Naval Underwater Systems Center New London, Connecticut 1

Dr. William Risen Department of Chemistry Brown University Providence, Rhode Island 02912 1

Dr. Alan Gent Department of Physics University of Akron Akron, Ohio 44304

Mr. Robert W. Jones Advanced Projects Manager Hughes Aircraft Company Mail Station D 132 Culver City, California 90230 1

Dr. C. Giori IIT Research Institute 10 West 35 Street Chicago, Illinois 60616 Dr. David Roylance Department of Materials Science and Engineering Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02039 1

Dr. W. A. Spitzig United States Steel Corporation Research Laboratory Monroeville, Pennsylvania 15146

Dr. T. P. Conlon, Jr., Code 3622 Sandia Laboratories Sandia Corporation Albuquerque, New Mexico 87115

Dr. Martin Kaufmann, Head Materials Research Branch, Code 4542 Naval Weapons Center China Lake, California 93555

