



ADA031859 OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH Contract N00014-76-C-0228 WEW NR 202-078 FINAL TECHNICAL REPORT, DOOLAD A one-day preseasonal treatment in pollen allergy: proposed testing and injection procedures. by Mary H./Loveless, M. D. PARMA 22 Cavalry Road, NOV 11 1976 Westport, Connecticut 06880 JULU 30 Sep# 976 Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government Distribution of this report is unlimited Loveless (many H.) 392-197 Vestport, Com. Westport, Conn.

A ONE-DAY PRESEASONAL TREATMENT IN FOLLEN ALLERGY: Proposed Testing and Injection Procedures

Mary E. Loveless, M.D., Contract N00014-76-C-0228. Final Report due September 30, 1976.

The plan was to analyze data accumulated during the past two decades on 93 persons with pollen hay fever whose preseasonal therapy had been completed in a single session of several hours by means of repeated intracutaneous injections given at 10-minute intervals. The amounts of antigen had been highly individualized, the aim being to convey as much as could be tolerated in each injection. For the starting dose, tolerance was guaged, not only by the patient's description of the seasonal symptoms, but particularly by his current susceptibility to ocular instillations of allergen which uncovered his requirement for a minimal allergic reaction in the onjunctiva. (The relation between this requirement and an allergic patient's tolerance woward inhaled or injected antigen had been explored in earlier studies.) Once therapy had been inaugurated, the local response and any focal signs that were generated served to determine the size of the next dose. This tailoring of treatment to individual tolerance gave rise to a wide assortment of dosage patterns and to numerous adverse developments (fortunately, of mild and fleeting nature). Despite these obstacles, the time-saving quality and the typical efficacy of the once yearly treatment prompted a search through the 188 records for clues to suitable, pre-planned schedules. It was hoped that the availability of testing and injection procedures would encourage other allergists to appraise the 1-day immunization method.

Pertinent information surrounding each of the 188 1-day treatments given the 93 patients was transferred to single sheets, showing the succession of doses, the total dose, and the intensity of any untoward reactions that were encountered. After these sheets had been arranged according to the associated ocular requirement, a cursory examination of the doses and adverse results made it clear that the 11 different requirements could be consolidated into 4 eye classes. This promised to simplify the task of constructing dosage schedules. Before setting up comprehensive tables for analysis of each of the four ocular classes, however, it seemed prudent to reduce the risk of untoward developments by lowering the amount of allergen that had promoted focal responses in any past session. The courses, after this slight modification, were then examined in such a way that the first dose given each member of the group was listed in a column so that a range and a median value could be determined. The second and subsequent injections, as well as the cumulative total amount of allergen given (expressed in terms of protein N units) were handled in the same manner.

After these ranges and median values had been computed for each of the four ocular classes (which were symbolized by the letters, A, B, C and D), inquiry was made into the increment of allergen that had been involved between successive doses. It was found that the increment amounted roughly to 12½ per cent according to the median figures and that this applied to all four ocular classes. At the same time, the actual sizes of the doses (especially as reflected in the median values for the ocular group) had been smallest in Class A and had become gradually larger as the class shifted to B, C, and D. This combination of findings suggested that preliminary dosage schedules might be set up for each class on the basis of the median figures for the first, second and subsequent injections; also that a uniform 12½ per cent incremental schedule could be used for all Indeed, once the median first dose had been injected without focal se-1-day courses. quelse, one could follow the 122 per cent schedule of increases. In short, the ocular requirement of the patient would determine at what point on this uniform schedule his therapy would commence and, according to the median total dose taken by the ocular class, at what point it could be ended. Adaptations of this scheme could be made for persons who had exhibited past intolerance to the median starting dose of the eye class: the allotment being selected at a lower level but still within the group range. And for those who had tolerated this median first dose in earlier treatments but whose clinical result had proven less than optimal, the starting amount could be elevated by one or two steps on the 124 per cent schedule so as to increase the total dose for the current session. This approach was put to practical test in 51 pollen allergics who were scheduled for one-day therapy in 1976. -1-

Contract N00014-76-C-0228

In preparation for trials with the 122 per cent incremental dosage scheme, a plan for successive injections was set up (see lower half of the table) after the calculated allotments had been rounded out to amounts that could be measured in a routine 1- or 2-ml tuberculin syringe. All but a few of the 51 participants in the 1976 experiments had taken earlier one-day immunizations. After determining their current ocular requirements, most of them started this year's therapy with the median value found for the appropriate class in the past survey. The amount of allergen actually employed is expressed in terms of a range and a median value for the first injection, which will be seen in the table to have been larger as the ocular class shifted upward. Similarly, the cumulative total dose for the session was greater for successive ocular classes. The number of injections, however, wasesimilar, ranging from 9 to 12. Although 18 of these 489 divided doses generated untoward manifestations, only 2 stemmed from the initial injection, thereby vindicating the usefulness of the eye test as a clue to tolerance toward the allotted first dose. Of the remaining incidents, 8 were apparently invited by an inadvertent or an overoptimistic skip-ping of an allotment prescribed by the 12% per cent schedule. Eliminating these from con-consideration, the overall incidence of focal reactions associated with the schedule amounted to 20 per cent, as compared to one of 29 per cent uncovered by the survey of past empirical one-day immunizations. It will be noted in the table that none of the 1976 developments exceeded slight-plus in severity. Few failed to abate spontaneously when more than the routine 10-minute interval was allowed before therapy was continued. Recalculation of the median first dose after lowering the amounts given in the two provocative injections of 1976 should improve the incidence of tolerance next year, especially if the remaining injections adhere strictly to the 122 per cent increments.

Because occasional patients and allergists hold a bias against the idea of using the eye for sensitivity tests, scratch-testing of the skin was added to the regimen in 1976 to evaluate it as a substitute. When the paired results were inspected, the minimal requirement for the scratch reaction was found to be somewhat greater than for the ocular procedure and the difference carried significance at the 95 per cent level of confidence according to the t test. When paired end-points that had been procured for 54 pollenallergics in 1961 and 114 in 1960 were subjected to t test, the scratch requirement was again found to be somewhat higher. The overall impression given was that one could crudely estimate the ocular end-point by halving the strength of allergen required for a minimal response in the scratch test. Thus those who lack eye-test data might still be able to make use of the proposed schedules for one-day immunization described above.

Although further studies are indicated by the experiments with single-session therapy discussed in this report, encouraging progress has been made toward the original goal of developing testing and injection procedures for a one-day prophylactic treatment in inhalant allergy. The eye-test has given clues to what comprises a safe first dose, and a schedule for additional injections has been constructed after analyzing past, empirical, one-day sessions which were tailored solely to individual tolerance and which suggested that 12½ per cent incremental doses might be feasible. Although it was hoped to include mold-spore allergy in the year's studies, too few cases were available for meaningful results. However, the principle of single session immunization should be applicable, especially for patients whose symptoms are limited to the summer season of maximal spore production. For the lower concentrations in the air during winter, an additional one-day treatment might well be needed.



ust before lat injection (in FN unite) (in FN unite) (in FN unite) Silght-silght Silght Silght-silght Silght-silght Silght-silght Silght Silght	inimal ocul	Lar requ	ifrement	1st enderma	1 dose	Total dose	involved	No. of	injec-	No. of	adverse	incident	s arising
trength Ocular Number Range Median Range Median Range Median Range Median minus pius N unitre/ml) tieners of pass 24 to 25 10 43 to 318 193 7 to 14 9 2 2 0 0 to 60 A 6 24 to 25 10 374 538 to 1525 1,000 8 to 17 11-12 3 0 0 0 20 C 6 10 to 100 374 538 to 1525 1,905 5 to 14 10 2 1 2 2 0 0 0 20 C 6 10 to 100 374 538 to 1525 1,905 5 to 14 10 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ust before	lst in	jection	(in PN unit	(8)	UN NA UT)	118)	T SUOTA	Davio	Slight-	Slight	Slight-	Total
0 to 60 A 6 2½ to 25 10 43 to 318 193 7 to 14 9 2 2 0 0 to 2400 B 26 5 to 37½ 25 70 to 1200 390 2 to 14 9-10 5 1 2 20 C 6 10 to 100 37½ 538 to 1525 1,975 5 to 14 10 2 1 2 20 C 6 10 to 100 37% 538 to 1525 1,975 5 to 14 10 2 1 2 20 0 37 25 to 150 100 338 to 4075 1,975 5 to 14 10 2 1 2 40 51 51 0 38 to 4075 1,975 5 to 14 10 2 1 0 0 0 40 51 51 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <th>trength f allergen N units/ml)</th> <th>Ocular class</th> <th>Number of pa- tients</th> <th>Range</th> <th>Median</th> <th>Range</th> <th>Median</th> <th>Range</th> <th>Median</th> <th>sunia</th> <th></th> <th>plue</th> <th>number</th>	trength f allergen N units/ml)	Ocular class	Number of pa- tients	Range	Median	Range	Median	Range	Median	sunia		plue	number
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	0 to 60	V	9	2½ to 25	19	43 to 318	193	7 to 14	0	R .	2	0	4
0 C 6 10 co 100 374 538 co 1525 1,000 8 co 17 11-12 3 0 0 10 or more D 13 25 to 150 100 338 to 4075 1,975 5 to 14 10 2 1 0 0 to area 51 x Scienci unded Rounded Rounded Rounded A 2 1 0 2 1 0 0 0 ted doses doses dooees dooees dooees 40.0 11376 0.0 1350 1.00 1.00 1.1 7.5 2.0 (.05mi of 15.0) 17.5 22.5 150 1250 1250 1300 1.1 7.5 22.0 60.0 85.0 250 250 250 250 1.1 7.5 22.5 50 150 125 125 10.00 125 10.00 <td>) to 240</td> <td>• 🛱</td> <td>26</td> <td>5 to 37½</td> <td>25 .</td> <td>70 to 1200</td> <td>390</td> <td>2 to 14</td> <td>9-10</td> <td>S</td> <td>-</td> <td>2</td> <td>8</td>) to 240	• 🛱	26	5 to 37½	25 .	70 to 1200	390	2 to 14	9-10	S	-	2	8
10 or more D 13 25 to 150 100 338 to 4075 1,975 5 to 14 10 2 1 0 201 y SCHEDULE OF 12½ per cent INCRELENTAL DOSES used in 1976 0ne-Day Serial Injections 12 4 2 201 y SCHEDULE OF 12½ per cent INCRELENTAL DOSES used in 1976 0ne-Day Serial Injections 12 4 2 201 kounded Rounded Rounded Nounded 10 10 10 201 5.0 100 U/ml) 17.5 52.5 125 150 125 20.0 10.0 17.5 20.0 60.0 47.5 125 150 21.1 7.5 22.5 52.5 52.5 250 250 250 20.0 10.0 30.0 85.0 250 250 250	02	. ບ	9	10 to 100	375	538 to 1525	1,000	8 to 17	11-12	e	•	0	e
tell 51 12 4 2 Y SCHEDULE OF 12 ^½ per cent INCREMENTAL DOSES used in 1976 One-Day Serial Injections 12 4 2 Alcue Rounded Rounded Rounded Rounded Mounded 4 2 Alcue Rounded Bounded Rounded Rounded Rounded Mounded 4 2 5:0 5:0 100 U/mil) 15:0 47.5 125 1:00 5:0 5:0 100 U/mil) 17.5 52.5 1:00 125 5:1 7.5 52.5 1:00 200 60.0 200 0 10.0 10.0 30.0 85.0 250 250	to or more	A	13	25 to 150	100	338 to 4075	1,975	5 to 14	10	• • •	-	0	m
Y SCHEDULE OF 12½ per cent INCRELENTAL DOSES used in 1976 One-Day Serial Injections Alcue Rounded Rounded Rounded Rounded doses doses <thdose< th=""> doses doses</thdose<>	tal		51							12	4	2	18
Icu- Rounded Rounded Rounded Kounded ited doses doses doses doses doses doses 5.0 5.0 (.05ml of 15.0 15.0 40.06 40.0 125 (0.125 ml of 1,00 5.0 5.0 (.00 U/ml) 17.5 52.5 125 (0.125 ml of 1,00 7.1 7.5 20.0 60.0 47.5 125 (0.125 ml of 1,00 7.1 7.5 20.0 67.5 52.5 150 125 (0.125 ml of 1,00 7.1 7.5 20.0 67.5 52.5 150 125 (0.125 ml of 1,00 7.1 7.5 22.5 52.5 150 125 (0.125 ml of 1,00 0.0 10.0 20.0 60.0 67.5 20.0 200 10.0 30.0 30.0 85.0 250 250 250			SCHEDUL	E OF 12 ¹ / ₂ per	cent I	NCREPENTAL DI	OSES used	1 in 197	6 One-Da	v Serial	Injecti	suo	
doses dose doses doses <thd< td=""><td>deu- Rour</td><td>ded .</td><td></td><td>Rounded</td><td></td><td>Roui</td><td>nded</td><td></td><td></td><td>Kounde</td><td>P</td><td></td><td></td></thd<>	deu- Rour	ded .		Rounded		Roui	nded			Kounde	P		
0 5.0 (.05mi of 15.0 40.0 125(0.125 ml of 1,00 5.6 5.0 .100 u/ml) 15.0 47.5 125(0.125 ml of 1,00 5.1 7.5 52.5 17.5 52.5 150 7.1 7.5 20.0 60.0 175 150 7.1 7.5 22.5 52.5 150 175 7.0 10.0 30.0 60.0 85.0 226	100	n p		doses		door	68			doset	-		
5.6 5.0 100 U/ml) 15.0 5.3 5.0 100 U/ml) 15.0 7.1 7.5 52.5 17.5 5.0 17.5 52.5 17.5 7.1 7.5 20.0 60.0 7.0 10.0 22.5 75.0 0.0 10.0 30.0 85.0	5.0 5.	0 (.05m	ul of	15.0		40.	0			125(0).125 ml	of 1,00	(Tm/n 0
7.1 7.5 20.0 60.0 175 3.0 7.5 22.5 60.0 175 0.0 10.0 7.5 22.5 200 0.4 10.0 30.0 85.0 250	5.6 5.	0 .100	(1=/n	15.0		47.	20 10			125, 125			•
3.0 7.5 22.5 67.5 200 9.0 10.0 25.0 75.0 225 0.4 10.0 30.0 85.0 250	1 1	v	•	20.0		60.(6			175			
9.0 10.0 25.0 75.0 225 0.4 10.0 30.0 85.0 250	8.0 7.	ζ.v		22.5		67				200			
30.4 10.0 30.0 85.0 250	9.0 10,	0		25.0		75.	•			225			
	0.4 10.	0		30.0		85.	0			250			
14 12.5 32.5 95.0 275 2.8 12.5 37.5 100.0 300	1.4 12. 2.8 12.	νĵνĵ		32.5 37.5		100.(300		•	

and the second second second