

# ADA031840

2

NPS55PK76071 NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California



FACTORS INVOLVED IN THE VARIABILITY OF MONTHLY MAJOR AIRCRAFT ACCIDENT RATES 12 010 1

by

G. K. Poock, Ph.D.

Man-Machine Systems Design Laboratory

Naval Postgraduate School

July 1976

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Prepared for: Naval Safety Center Norfolk, Virginia 23511

# NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California

Rear Admiral I. W. Linder, USN Superintendent Jack R. Borsting Provost

The purpose of this report is to inform the sponsor of results obtained using multiple regression techniques to identify human and/or aircraft variables which account for the variability in monthly major aircraft accident rates.

This report was prepared by:

Tool

G. K. Poock / Department of Operations Research

Approved by:

overere M

M. G. Sovereign, Chairman Department of Operations Research

Released by:

R. R. Fossum Dean of Research Administration



41. (21 1 1. 1. 29 48. 41 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM **REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE** 2. GOVT ACCESSION MO 1. REPORT NUMBER RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NU Technical Rep NPS-55Pk76Ø71 Anrie TYPE OF REPORT MOD OVERED 4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Factors Involved in the Variability of Monthly Major Aircraft Accident Rates. 5. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 7. AUTHOR(a) S. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) 10 Gary K. Poock 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Naval Postgraduage School N6339376P00001 Monterey, CA. 93940 REPORT DATE 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Jul 76 Naval Safety Center 13. NUMBER OF PAGES Norfolk, Virginia 23511 14 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS UNCLASSIFIED 184. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract untered in Block 20, if different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Accidents Major Aircraft Accidents Multiple Regression. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number) Results are presented showing the development of regression equations to help determine human and/or aircraft variables which appear to account for the variability in monthly mjaor aircraft accident rates DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE S/N 0102-014- 6601 | SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (

# FOREWARD

This report is one of several being generated during a two year investigation into a variety of questions concerning major aircraft accidents.

NOTE: All reference to accident rates in this paper are in terms of the number of accidents per 10,000 flight hours.

- Sale

-

State of the state

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. II. III. IV. V. VI.	Introduction	1
	Equation Development	3
	Aircraft Analysis	5
	Command Analysis	7
	Summary	10
	References	11

C. C. Martin

### 1. Introduction.

Previous work by this author and military officers working for him has been reported in Poock (1976) and Maxwell and Stucki (1975).

This report represents the work of the author and two military officers, Lt. Gary Johnson and Lt. Cdr. Lawrence Bucher who are currently working with the author in an attempt to use multiple regression techniques to identify variables which account for the variability in monthly major aircraft accident rates. The research described here deals with the data at an aircraft type and command level, versus the work of Maxwell and Stucki (1975) which concentrated on a macro view of the Navy as a whole.

The purpose of this paper is to present the equations which have been developed during the past three months. Each equation is the best result at this point in time, after having tried approximately ten different forms of equations for each of the aircraft types and commands. As the reader is probably aware, the development of a regression equation is somewhat of an art because the number of variables and forms of those variables is limitless, i.e., one can use the raw data, the square of the raw data, the cube root of the raw data, etc., which is purely up to the equation developer. Hence what is presented here is the best equations developed so far, after having tried some ten different alternative forms and combinations of variables. Better equations accounting for more of the variability in major aircraft accident rates may be found in future efforts but no guarantee can be made, since the best combination of variables may already have been found. One should also note that the regression technique is used here to identify important variables rather than to be used as a predictive technique. Prediction would not always be possible as some variables are only known after the accident occurs. If possible, future efforts will examine "non-accident" pilots to compare distributions of their variables with "accident" pilot variable distributions.

the second contract of the second second

and the second second

### 2. Equation Development.

The regression program used was that of a forward inclusion type. It first brings in the independent variable most highly correlated with the dependent variable (monthly \_ accident rate) and then continues to search for the next variable if it will account for a significant additional a\_ount of the variability in the dependent variable. Equation development is termianted when unused variables would be of no useful benefit when inserted in the equation.

The following variables were mutually chosen by the investigator and Safety Center personnel for analysis at a command level and an aircraft type level. The analysis in each case uses data for the time period July 71 ~ June 74.

The dependent variable was monthly accident rate for the command or aircraft type.

Independent variables used from each accident were:

- DNA Years experience as a designated Naval aviator.
- TTIME Pilot's total flight time in aircraft model in which accident occurred.
- 3. AGE pilot's age
- 4. TOT90 Total flight hours in previous 90 days
- NITE90 Total night flight hours in previous 90 nights.
- CLDAY Number of day carrier landings in last 30 days.
- CLNITE Number of night carrier landings in last
   30 nights

- ACTOUR Number of aircraft tours for the aircraft.
- ACHRS Aircraft flight hours since last major or minor inpsection.
- DAY90 Total day flight hours in previous 90 days.

Various forms of the independent variables and some combinations of the variable were used to arrive at the following equations. The  $R_{adj}^2$  figure is the amount of variability in the dependent variable, accident rate (Y), accounted for by the independent variables in the equation. The  $(1 - \alpha)$ figure can be interpreted to refer to the confidence level with which one can assume that these variables properly belong in the equation. The  $\alpha$  level usually means there is an  $\alpha$  amount of chance that the equation is not statistically correct, but the reverse  $1 - \alpha$  interpretation may be easier for some to interpret or understand.

# 3. Aircraft Analysis:

and the second second

The following equations apply to the respective individual aircraft or combinations of aircraft as designated and for which sufficient data were available

A-7 
$$R_{adj}^2$$
 = .55016 (1 - a) of .99  
Y = 0.27170  $\sqrt{CLDAY}$  - 0.01856 (CLNITE)<sup>2</sup>  
+0.21346  $\sqrt{NITE90}$  + 4.01164  $\sqrt{DNA}$   
- 0.88896 (DNA) - 3.70545

<u>A-6</u>  $R_{adj}^2 = .40275$  (1 -  $\alpha$ ) of .75 Y = 16.28967 - 0.04604 (DNA)<sup>2</sup> + 2.33592  $\sqrt{DNA}$ - 20.30561  $\sqrt{ACTOUR}$  + 5.34649 (ACTOUR)

+ 0.05874 \TTIME

<u>A-4</u>  $R_{adj}^2 = .45907$  (1 -  $\alpha$ ) = .99

Y = -0.02157 (DNA)<sup>2</sup> + 0.00151 [ACTOUR x ACHRS] + 0.85168  $\sqrt{\text{DNA}}$  + 0.54683  $\sqrt{\text{NITE90}}$ 

- 0.0096 [TOT90 x NITE90] - 1.23815

<u>ATTACK</u>  $R_{adj}^2 = .74021$  (1 -  $\alpha$ ) = .99 (A3,A4,A5,A6,A7) Y = 1.01024 - 0.01845 (ACTOUR)<sup>2</sup> + 0.00445 (ACHRS) - 0.00763 [NITE90 x CLDAY] + 0.94584 /CLNITE

- 0.0001 [TTIME x DAY90]

 $\frac{\text{FIGHTERS}}{(F4, F8, F9)} R_{adj}^{2} = .40458 \qquad (1 - \alpha) = .99$   $Y = 1.21906 \sqrt{\text{ACTOUR}} + 0.23768 \sqrt{\text{DAY90}}$   $+ 0.01897 (\text{CLDAY})^{2} + 2.38695 \sqrt{\text{CLDAY}}$  - 0.92126 (CLDAY) - 2.48215  $\frac{\text{F-4}}{\text{F-4}} R_{adj}^{2} = 0.34799 \qquad (1 - \alpha) = .95$   $Y = 0.19142 \sqrt{\text{DAY90}} - 0.03663 (\text{CLNITE})^{2}$   $+ 0.17982 \sqrt{\text{TTIME}} - 0.01302 (\text{DNA})^{2}$  - 1.59073

<u>HELO</u>  $R_{adj}^2 = 0.09308$   $(1 - \alpha) = .90$ 

Y = 0,00062 (TTIME) + 0.65405

<u>PROPS</u>  $R_{adj}^2 = 0.43250$  (1 -  $\alpha$ ) = .95 Y = 0.00002 [TTIME x NITE90] - 0.00022 (NITE90)<sup>2</sup> - 0.00001 [AGE x TTIME] + 0.00108 [CLDAY x DNA] - 0.00595 [CLNITE]<sup>2</sup> + 0.35935

 $\frac{4. \text{ Command Analysis}}{\underline{\text{CNATRA}}} = \frac{R_{adj}^2}{R_{adj}^2} = .33 \quad (1 - \alpha) = .90$   $Y = - .31346 + .11248 \quad \sqrt{\text{ACHRS}}$   $- .00003 \quad (\text{ACHRS})^2 - .00002 \quad (\text{DAY90})^2$   $+ .03482 \quad \sqrt{\underline{\text{TTIME}}} = .00092 \quad \left(\underline{\text{TTIME}}\right)$ 

<u>MARPAC</u>  $R_{adj}^2 = .392$   $Y = 1.26335 - .32047 (ACTOUR)^2$   $+2.21178 (ACTOUR) + .01042 (NITE90)^2$   $-19.20769 \left(\frac{NITE90}{DAY90}\right) - .00565 (ACHRS)$  $- .00462 (WINGS)^2$ 

where WINGS = AGE - DNA

AIRLANT 
$$R_{adj}^2 = .429$$
  $(1 - \alpha) = .99$   
 $Y = .89771 + .11823 \sqrt{ACHRS} - .00002 (ACHRS)^2$   
 $- \frac{464.21653}{(TOT90)^2} - .00002 (DNA TTIME)^2$   
 $- 4.76996 \sqrt{TTIME}$   
AIRPAC  $R_{adj}^2 = .457$   $(1 - \alpha) = .995$   
 $Y = 1.47479 - \frac{670.77272}{(DAY90)^2} - .00134$  (WINGS)<sup>2</sup>  
 $+ .15733$  (ACTOUR)  $+ \frac{304.94442}{(ACHRS)^2}$   
 $+ .00001$  (ACHRS)<sup>2</sup>  
 $MAR LANT R_{adj}^2 = .565$   $(1 - \alpha) = .99$   
 $Y = - .17128 + .88657 \sqrt{DNA} + .52634 \sqrt{NITE90}$   
 $- .06351$  (NITE90)  $- 57.37027 (DNA TTIME)^2$ 

\*\*

ad and a

and the

8

1

ÿ

MARTC 
$$R_{adj}^2 = .799$$
 (1 - a) = .99  
 $Y = -.36132 + 65.28325 \left(\frac{NITE90}{DAY90}\right)$   
+ .00328 (TTIME) - .00008  $\left(\frac{TTIME}{DNA}\right)^2$   
- .41913 (NITE90) + .00385 (WINGS)<sup>2</sup>  
NAVAL RESERVES  $R_{adj}^2 = .813$  (1 - a) = .999  
 $Y = .83553 + .00084$  (NITE90)<sup>2</sup> - .56367  $\left(\frac{NITE90}{DAY90}\right)^2$   
-  $\frac{311.23233}{(ACHRS)^2} - .22889\sqrt{CLDAY}$   
+ .00002  $\left(\frac{TTIME}{DNA}\right)^2$ 

$$\frac{\text{NASC + RDTE}}{\text{NASC + RDTE}} = \frac{2}{\text{adj}} = .808 \qquad (1 - \alpha) = .95$$

$$Y = -1.21331 + .71262 \text{ (NITE90)}^{2}$$

$$+ .23598\sqrt{\text{TTIME}} + \frac{19.68521}{(\text{DNA})^{2}}$$

$$- .61421\sqrt{\frac{\text{DNA}}{\text{TTIME}}} + \frac{182.91525}{(\text{TOT90})^{2}}$$

+ .38527 FTOT90

A.

-----

1

# 5. Summary.

The foregoing represents purely mathematical results of an attempt to use regression analysis to help identify variables and/or combinations of functions of variables which help to explain the variability in monthly accident rates for major aircraft accidents.

Mathematical results were presented by aircraft type and also at a command level. Logical and meaningful explanations of why some variables may account for accident rate variability will be the subject of the next phase in the research, i.e. does it seem meaningful, besides being mathematically correct, that two variables may be combined in an equation and possibly make sense because they combine into what one might call pilot proficiency, or aircraft worthiness, etc. Logical analysis of the equations and possibly more advanced equations will be presented in the master's theses of Lt. Gary Johnson and Lt. Cdr. Lawrence Bucher in about October 1976.

### REFERENCES

Maxwell, J. S. and Stucki, L. V., <u>Analysis of the</u> <u>Variable Behavior Manifested in All Navy/Marine</u> <u>Major Aircraft Accident Rates.</u> Masters thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, September 1975.

a state

Poock, G. K., <u>Trends in Major Aircraft Accident</u> <u>Rates.</u> Technical Report NPS55Pk76061, Naval Postgraduate School, June 1976.

,

### INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314 Dean of Research (Code 023) Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93940 Library (Code 0212) Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93940 Library (Code 55) Department of OR/AS Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93940 Dr. G. K. Poock (55Pk) Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93940 Director, Aviation Safety Programs Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93940 Naval Safety Center Naval Air Station Norfolk, VA 23511 LCDR R. W. Mister, Code 1155 Naval Safety Center Naval Air Station Norfolk, VA 23511

and the second s

Copies

12

1

2

1

10

1

1

5