AD-A031 736

ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY ABERDEEN PROVING GR--ETC F/G 6/6
TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT AI3-357--ETC(U)
NOV 76 K C SWENTZEL, D L BUMGARDNER
UNCLASSIFIED

USAEHA-51-0804-77

NL

END
DATE
FILMED
12.176


TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT A13-35716-aGb N-HEXYLVALERAMIDE STUDY NUMBER 51-0804-77

AUGUST 1975 - SEPTEMBER 1976

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited







ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21010

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Ente REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION N 51-0804-77 TITLE (and Substitle) Topical Hazard Evaluation of Candidate Insect Repellent AI3-35716-aGb N-Hexylvaleramides CONTRACT OR GRANT N K. Clark/Swentzel Donald L. Bumgardner PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Commander 13. NUMBER OF PAGES US Army Health Services Command 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited SAEHA-51-0804-77 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Sprague-Dawley Wistar-derived rats AI3-35716-aGb photochemical New Zealand White rabbits skin sensitization guinea pigs approximate lethal dose insect repellent Topical Hazard Evaluation skin irritation N-Hexylvaleramide eye irritation ue on reverse side if necessary and identify by b A hazard evaluation of AI3-35716-aGb was conducted using New Zealand White rabbits for skin and eye studies, Hartley guinea pigs for a skin sensitization study and Sprague-Dawley, Wistar-derived rats for determination of oral toxicity. It was recommended that AI3-35716-aGb be approved for further testing as a candidate insect repellent.

DD . TONE 1473

EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Date Entered)





### DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY U. S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

HSE-LT/WP

4 NOV 1976

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT AI3-35716-aGb N-HEXYLVALERAMIDE STUDY NUMBER 51-0804-77 AUGUST 1975 - SEPTEMBER 1976

### 1. AUTHORITY.

- a. Letter, US Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Southern Region, Insects Affecting Man Research Laboratory, Gainesville, FL, 14 August 1975.
- b. Memorandum of Understanding Between the US Department of the Army, Office of The Surgeon General, The US Army Health Services Command, The US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency, the Armed Forces Pest Control Board and the US Department of Agriculture, effective December 1970 with Amendment No. 1, effective August 1974.
- 2. REFERENCE. Toxicology Division Procedural Guide, US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency (USAEHA), 1972.
- 3. PURPOSE. The purpose of this program is to provide guidance for further entomological testing of the candidate insect repellent AI3-35716-aGb.
- 4. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS. A hazard evaluation of the candidate repellent AI3-35716-aCD N-hexylvaleramide was conducted by this Agency using New Zealand White rabbits for skin and eye studies, Hartley guinea pigs for a skin sensitization study and Sprague-Dawley, Wistar-derived rats for determination of oral toxicity. A tabular presentation of animal toxicity data developed in this Agency follows:\*

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

<sup>\*</sup> The experiments reported herein were conducted according to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," as prepared by the Committee on Revision of the "Guide for Laboratory Animal Facilities and Care," of the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Research Council (1972)

Study No. 51-0804-77, Aug

### 9 TABULAR PRESENTATION

Rains

soft as

ENGINE'S

别曾 網網

## Skin Irritation Studies

19.1.1.20

Single 24-hour application to intact
and abraded skin of New Zealand
White rabbits.

0.5 ml technical grade compound
applied to each of six rabbits. hite rabbits.

but it is and will all take interested on bitter but becoming

Walling Style

itticia kon jaringa

0.5 ml technical grade

USAEHA Category I (ref Appendix). There is no restriction for acute application of this compound to the human skin.

hours at the intact skin one of six rabbits in 24 very slight erythems in

site and very slight

rabbits as well as very

2世紀7月1日北

eda to english

ed in section

Carrier action

aborat net apost in the gargery

4

## TABULAR PRESENTATION OF DATA

5000	Results	Interpretation
Bye Irritation Studies		
Rabbits		
Single 24-hour application of 0.1 ml technical grade compound to one eye of of each of six New Zealand White rabbits.	A13-35716-acb did not produce an irritation reaction in the eyes.	USAEHA Category A (Reference Appendix). Irritation of human eyes is not expected if the compound should accidentally get into the eyes, provided it is washed out as soon as possible.
Approximate Lethal Dose (MD)		
Rats (male) - com oil diluent.	ALD >4311 mg/kg	Presents little lethal hazard from acute accidental ingestion.

000

White Section Buff Section

OKTRIBETION, AVAILABILITY CODES Blat, AVAIL and/or SPIDIAL

## TABULAR PRESENTATION OF DATA

# Interpretation Results

### Sensitization Studies

### Guines Pigs (Male)

Intradermal injections of 0.1 ml of a 0.1 percent solution (w/v) of AI3-35716-acb or of a 0.1 percent suspension of dinitrochlorobenzene (DMCB)\* in a mixture containing 1 volume of propylene glycol and 29 volumes of normal saline.

Ten test guinea pigs received and challenged with a 0.1 percent solution of Al3-35716-acb.

Challenge dose of A13-35716aCb (last intradermal injection) produced a slight irritation reaction in 1 of 10 guinea pigs, however, an irritation reaction was also observed in 2 of 5 cage controls.

Test compound did not sensitize guinea pigs and is not expected to cause a sensitization reaction

in humans.

Positive control (DNCB) produced sensitization in 10 of 10 guinea pigs.

Ten cage control guinea pigs

cent suspension of DNCB.

Ten positive control guinea pigs received and challenged with 0.1 per-

Five receiving challenge dose of AI3-35716-aGb at 0.1 percent without prior sensitizing doses.

Five receiving challenge dose of DNCB at 0.1 percent without prior sensitizing doses.

<sup>\*</sup> A known skin sensitizer.

## TABULAR PRESENTATION OF DATA

Results Interpreta
10
18
13
131
13
12
17
18
12
1
12
10
H
Test
Test Results Interpreta

# Photochemical Skin Irritation Studies

### Rabbits

A single application (0.05 ml) of a 25 percent (w/v) solution of the compound and of a 10 percent (w/v) oil of Bergamot solution (positive control) in 95 percent ethyl alcohol were applied to the intact skin of six New Zealand White rabbits.
Five minutes after application, the rabbits were exposed to UV light (365 mm) for 30 minutes at a distance of 10 to 15 cm. Application at 24, 48, and 72 hours.

crease was not sufficient

ach, however, this in-

pound as a photochemical

irritant.

to classify this com-

erythems and edema after application of AI3-35716-

UV irradiation caused an

overall increase of

### Control

Pollowing UV exposure of the rabbits, 0.05 ml of the test compound, positive control, and diluent were applied to additional skin areas to serve as unirradiated control sites.

Compound did not cause a a photochemical irritation reaction under test conditions and is not expected to cause a photochemical irritation reaction in humans.

- 5. CONCLUSION. AI3-35716-aGb did not produce a positive irritation reaction in any of the tests conducted and should not present a toxic hazard to humans under proposed use conditions.
- 6. RECOMMENDATION. Under the provisions of the Memorandum of Understanding (reference paragraph 1b), it is recommended that AI3-35716-aGb be approved for further testing as a candidate insect repellent.

K. CLARK SWENTZEL Biologist Toxicology Division

Donald L. Bumgardner

DONALD L. BUMGARDNER

SSG

Veterinary Specialist

Toxicology Division

APPROVED:

ARTHUR H. McCREESH, Ph.D. Chief, Toxicology Division

BRENDAN E. JOYCE

LTC, MSC

Director, Laboratory Services

### APPENDIX

### TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM DEFINITIONS OF CATEGORIES OF COMPOUNDS BEING CONSIDERED FOR ACUTE SKIN APPLICATION

CATEGORY I - Compounds producing no primary irritation of the intact skin or no greater than mild primary irritation of the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: No restriction for acute application to the human skin.)

CATETORY II - Compounds producing mild primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should be used only on human skin found by examination to have no abrasions or may be used as a clothing impregnant.)

CATEGORY III - Compounds producing moderate primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should not be used directly on the skin without a prophetic patch test having been conducted on humans to determine irritation potential to human skin. May be used without patch testing, with extreme caution, as clothing impregnants. Compound should be resubmitted in the form and at the intended use concentration so that its irritation potential can be reexamined using other test techniques on animals.)

CATEGORY IV - Compounds producing moderate to severe primary irritation of the intact skin and of the skin surrounding an abrasion and, in addition, producing necrosis, vesiculation and/or eschars. (INTERPRETATION: Should be resubmitted for testing in the form and at the intended use concentration. Upon resubmission, its irritation potential will be reexamined using other test techniques on animals, prior to possible prophetic patch testing in humans, at concentrations which have been shown not to produce primary irritation in animals.)

CATEGORY V - Compounds impossible to classify because of staining of the skin or other masking effects owing to physical properties of the compound.

(INTERPRETATION: Not suitable for use on humans.)

### EYE CATEGORIES:

- A. Compounds noninjurious to the eye. INTERPRETATION: Irritation of human eyes is not expected if the compound should accidentally get into the eyes, provided it is washed out as soon as possible.
- B. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes.

C. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea, and in addition some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes and mucosa.

THE STREET SHALL STREET STREET SHALL SHALL SEE

- D. Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes.
- E. Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea, and in addition producing some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes and mucosa.
- F. Compounds producing severe injury to the cornea and to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution. It is recommended that use be restricted to areas other than the face.

the property of the last a constraint of the last world have de property and the property of the property of

The could be to be an obert the course of th