				-	FG (
			OF THE ARMY AVIATION TEST Alabama 36360	BOARD	(1) 5p.)
STEPG-TD	/	6		7 OCT I	66/
	Letter Report				-11
[	Engine (Operat Acceleration C	ional Suit <u>abi</u> ontrol), USAT	Lity Testing of	of the Manual	or
		TEJ		<del>an ng pangalan kanang kanan</del>	••• <b>4</b>
<b>TO</b> :	See Distributi	on			
	CL	IPY AVAILAI	BLE TO DDC	DOES NOT	~

PERMIT FULLY LEGIBLE PRODUCTION

a. Letter, Lycoming Division of AVCO Corporation, 9 April 1965, subject: "CY 1964 Product Support and Product Improvement T53-L-11 Engine." 大学学校の主人である。

17

b. Message, AMCPM-IR-T 5-1168, Commanding General, US Army Materiel Command, 17 May 1965, subject: "Product Improved T53-L-11 Engine S/N LEO 9753."

c. Letter, AMSTE-BG, Headquarters, US Army Test and Evaluation Command, 1 June 1965, subject: "Test Directive, USATECOM Project No. 4-5-0101-(), Product Improvement Test, UH-1B Items."

d. Letter, SMOSM-EAA, Headquarters, US Army Aviation Materiel Command, 11 June 1965, subject: "Product Improvement Test, UH-1B Helicopter."

e. USATECOM Project Transcript Sheet, AMSTE-BG, 18 June 1965, USATECOM Project No. 4-5-0101-01, Product Improvement Test of T53-L-11 Engine, S/N LEO 9753.

f. Letter, Lycoming Division of AVCO Corporation, 24 June 1965, subject: "Recommended Plan of Test for Manual Acceleration Control."

g. Plan of Test, USATECOM Project No. 4-5-0101-(), "UH-1B ltems Product 'mprovement Test," US Army Aviation Test Board, 8 October 1965.

h. Letter, STEBG-TP-A, US Army Aviation Test Board, 19 October 1965, subject: "Iroquois Test Coordination Meeting."

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

• ,•

036 500

STEBG-TD SUBJECT: Letter Report, "Product-Improvement Test of the T53-L-11 Engine (Operational Suitability Testing of the Manual Acceleration Control), USATECOM Project No. 4-5-0101-01"

i. Plan of Test, USATECOM Project No. 4-5-0101-01/06, Product Improvement Test for T53-1-11 Engine, 30 November 1965.

j. Message, AMCPM-IK-T 34447, Commanding General, US Army Materiel Command, 14 July 1966, subject: "UH-1 Test Coordination Meeting."

k. Plan of Test, USATECOM Project No. 4-5-0151-01, "Product Improvement Test of T53-L-11 Engine Product Imp: ovement Items," US Army Aviation Test Board, undated.

2. Background.

-e: The UH-1() product-improvement program is a continuing attempt to correct those problem areas discovered during testing and field use of the helicopter, to improve the operational capabilities of the UH-1(), and to reduce the support requirements of the helicopter.

...b. Among the problems discovered during early testing were engine overspeeds, compressor surge, and resulting engine over temperature when the standard fuel control was switched from automatic mode to emergency (manual) mode. To avoid this condition, the throttle twist grip must be retarded to achieve gas-producer speed of 70 percent prior to engaging the emergency governor. In the emergency mode, the throttle twist grip must be moved very slowly and precisely to avoid engine overspeeds and over temperatures. The test assembly has been modified to permit switch-over from automatic to emergency mode, with less possibility of exceeding engine limits, at any gas-producer speed at pressure altitudes up to 6,000 feet. In addition, because of the slower engine acceleration characteristics, the throttle twist grip may be moved more rapidly while in the emergency mode.  $\chi$ 

c. The product-improvement test of the modified manual acceleration control was directed by the Commanding General, US Army Test and Evaluation Command (USATECOM), in reference c as supplemented by references e and j.

d. The modified manual fuel control was initially installed on the test UH-1B Helicopter on 1 October 1965. Because of difficulties in operation, however, it was removed on 18 December 1965 and returned to the manufacturer for testing and repair. On 25 April 1966, the test system was reinstalled on the helicopter. The origin 1 problem still existed and the system was again removed and returned to the manufacturer. SIEBG-TD SUEJECT: Letter Report, "Product-Improvement Test of the T53-L-11 Engine (Operational Suitability Testing of the Manual Acceleration Control), USATECOM Project No. 4-5-0101-01"

The problem was duplicated during manufacturer testing, and after modification the test system was reinstalled on the test helicopter on 18 August 1906, and the operational suitability test was initiated.

## 5. Objective.

To determine the operational suitability of the modified manual acceluation control.

## + Simmary of Results.

## a. Ground Operations.

(1) During engine starts with the twist grip in both fright idle and ground idle positions, the fuel control governor switch was placed in the emergency position when exhaust gas temperature (EGT) reacied 400 degrees. Emergency starting procedures were followed. Posiive control over fuel flow was available, and the capability of the test system to avoid hot starts was similar to that of the standard fuel control.

(2) Using engine run ups, with the gas-producer speed stabilized at various speeds from 60 percent up to 70 percent, the governor switch was placed in the emergency position. Engine response was immediate with the gas-producer speed decreasing and stabilizing at approximately 40 to 48 percent. When the governor switch was returned to automatic, the gas-producer speed stabilized at the initial throttle setting.

(3) The governor switch was placed in the emergency position with power turbine speeds up to 6,600 r.p.m., and the collective pitch control in the 1011 down position. Although immediate pilot reaction was necessary to prevent overspeeds of the power turbine and rotor, no unusual pilot techniques were required. Delays of 1.5 seconds resulted in maximum rotor operating r.p.m. EGT control was not a problem.

(4) The times for the engine to accelerate when the throttle was moved rapidly from a stabilized position to full open, with the governor switch in normal position and emergency position, were as follows: STERC-TD

SUBJECT: Letter Report, "Product-Improvement Test of the T53-L-11 Engine (Operational Suitability Testing of the Manual Acceleration Control), USATECOM Project No. 4-5-0101-01"

Gas Produce (perc		Normal (seconds)	Emergency (seconds)	
From	To			
40*	30	N/A	21.5	
40*	85	N, A	22.1	
62**	80	2.5	5.3	
62**	85	3.2	6.0	
70	80	1.6	2.9	
70	85	2.0	3.4	

## b. Flight Operations.

(1) The governor switch was placed in the emergency position during hovering flight, takeoffs, approaches, and landings. The requirement for radid pilot reaction was greatest during approaches. With the power turbine at 5,600 r.p.m. and collective pitch reduced for descele, threttle reduction had to be immediate to prevent exceeding engine limitations. Reaction time did not have to be as rapid during howering flight or takeoffs at high power settings. No unusual pilot techniques one required to continue the manuevers following switch-over tree cormed to continue the manuevers following switch-over itive to changes in threttle position with the test system than with the standard fuel control.

(2) During stabilized climbs at various rates of climb from 100 feet per minute (f.p.m.) to 1100 f.p.m. and gas-producer speeds from 85 to 95 percent, the governor switch was placed in emergency position. Falot reaction time had to be most rapid during climbs at the lower power setting to prevent exceeding engine limitations. No unusual techniques were required to continue climbing flight, and the power turbine speed and EGT were not excessively sensitive to changes in throttle position.

(3) The governor switch was placed in emergency position during various stabilized cruising airspeeds from 60 knots indicated

\*Throttle twist grip set at flight idle detent with governor in emergency.

\*\*Throtcle twist grip set at flight idle detent with governor in normal.

STEBG-fD SUBJECT: Letter Report, "Product-Improvement Test of the T53-L-11 Engine (Operational Suitability Testing of the Manual Acceleration Control), USATECOM Project No. 4-5-0101-01"

actspeed (1AS) to 100 knots TAS (6,600 r.p.m. power turbine speed at pressure altitudes of 1,000; 3,000; and 6,000 feet). No unusual pilot techniques were equired to continue cruising flight or to avoid exceeding engine limitations. Filot reaction time was least critical during cruising flights at high power settings. Power turbine speed and EGT were put excessively sensitive to changes in throttle position.

For through stabilized descents at various rates of descent is duited, action product the formation power turbine speed, the goverclosetable equated in the coerciney position. Pilot reaction time was must capted in the lower collective pitch control settings and imter flate reaction with necessar to prevent exceeding engine limitations. Secondard techniques acre required to recover from the descent, and seven while speed and toll were not excessively sensitive to changes in this the position.

(b) faring stabilized autorotative descents, the governor switch was placed in the emergency position. No unusual techniques were require a tened and reconstruction straight and level flight. Pilot reaction reconstruction because movement of the governor switch to over the state the at flight idle resulted in a decrease in gassource may be the former to the power turbine speed and ECT were not excesaction for the state to be throttle position. Power recoveries with the government of the state of a proximately 500 feet altitude beaction of the state of a control programmed by the test system.

(anotions of the test fuel control ocbried direction of the possed checks or the 20 hours of flight testblacks.

d. Installation and paintrnance instructions were not fur-

a. Conciesion

The modified manual acceleration control is operationally suitable for Army use on the 703-L-11 engine installed in the UH-1B Helicepter.

6. Recommendations. It is recommended that:

a. Installation and maintenance instructions be developed for the test system.

STEBG-TD

SUBJECT: Letter Report, "Product-Improvement Test of the T53-L-11 Engine (Operational Suitability Testing of the Manual Acceleration Control), USATECOM Project No. 4-5-0101-01"

b. Product-improvement testing (USATECOM Project No. 4-5-0101-06) be continued on the test system to determine durability.

AYMOND E. JOHNSON Colonel, Artillery President

Distribution: Commanding General 2 copies US Aimy Test and Evaluation Command ATTL: AMSTE-BG Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005

Commanding General US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCPM-IR Washington, D.C. 20315

Comman ling General

5 copies

10 copies

US Army Materiel Cosmond Field Office AITN: AMOPM-IRFO P.O. Boy 209, Mana Office St. Louis, Missouri 65166

Commanding General 5 copies US Army Aviation Materiel Command AITN: SMOSM-EAA P.O. Box 209, Main Office St. Louis, Missouri 63166