į

AFGL-TR-76-0124 AIR FORCE SURVEYS IN GEOPHYSICS, NO. 344



Improving the Global lonospheric Predictions of f_0F_2

B. S. DANDEKAR

10 June 1976

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

DC OCT 14 1976 D

IONOSPHERIC PHYSICS DIVISION PROJECT 7663

HANSCOM APB, MASSACHUSETTS 01731

AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND, USAF



This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

chief Scientist

Qualified requestors may obtain additional copies from the Defense Documentation Center. All others should apply to the National Technical Information Service.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
AEPOAT NUMBER	CESSION NO. 1. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
AFGL-TR-76-0124 AFGL-AF96-244	A CARDER SUCHER
TITLE (and Subilite)	S TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD SOVERED
IMPROVING THE GLOBAL IONOSPHERIC	C Scientific, Interim.
PREDICTIONS OF F	
(9* 2 -	AFSG No 344
AUTHOR(a)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+)
D.C. Dendelsen	
B.S. Dandekar	ALLAI
Air Force Geophysics Laboratory (LIB)	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Hanscom AFB	76630802
Massachusetts 01731	62101F
. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	Q REPORTANT
Air Force Geophysics Laboratory (LIB)	// 10 June 1976
Hanscom AFB	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Massachusetts 01/31	25 CEL
. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSIT ATTORNET TOM CONTROL	
	Unclassified
	15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING
Approved for public release; distribution	unlimited.
Approved for public release; distribution	unlimited. Il different from Report)
Approved for public release; distribution 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract enfored in Block 20, 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	unlimited.
Approved for public release; distribution 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed onlored in Block 20, 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	unlimited. // different from Report)
Approved for public release; distribution 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract enfored in Black 20, 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by	unlimited. // different from Report) block number)
Approved for public release; distribution 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract enfored in Black 20, 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 1. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Ionospheric mapping	unlimited. // different from Report) block number)
Approved for public release; distribution 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract enfored in Black 20, 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 1. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Ionospheric mapping Ionospheric prediction	unlimited.
Approved for public release; distribution 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 4. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Ionospheric mapping Ionospheric prediction 2 region critical frequency	unlimited. 11 different from Report) block number) f(o) F2
Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Ionospheric mapping Ionospheric prediction 2 region critical frequency	unlimited. 11 different from Report) block number) f(o) F2
Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Ionospheric mapping Ionospheric prediction 2 region critical frequency AD TRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by	unlimited. 11 different from Report) block number) f (0) F 2 block number)
Approved for public release; distribution 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 1. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Ionospheric mapping Ionospheric prediction 7. region critical frequency 5. AN TRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Using the method of Rush and Gibbs (unlimited. 11 different from Report) block number) f(0) F2 block number) (1973), weighted means of observed
Approved for public release; distribution Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Centilinue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Ionospheric mapping Ionospheric prediction 2 region critical frequency A STRACT (Centilinue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Using the method of Rush and Gibbs (values have been used to update the globa	unlimited. If different from Report) block number) f(o) F 2 block number) (1973), weighted means of observed 1 predictions of $f_0 F_{2,j}$ which are
Approved for public release; distribution Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Centilinue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Ionospheric mapping Ionospheric prediction 2 region critical frequency A STRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Using the method of Rush and Gibbs (values have been used to update the globa based on monthly median values derived f	unlimited. (f different from Report) (f diffe
Approved for public release; distribution 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. AND RACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Ionospheric mapping Ionospheric prediction 7. region critical frequency 9. AND RACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Using the method of Rush and Gibbs (values have been used to update the globa based on monthly median values derived f tions Sciences model (1969). This proces	unlimited. If different from Report) block number) f(o) F 2 block number) (1973), weighted means of observed 1 predictions of f F2, which are from the Institute of Telecommunica- dure improved the predictions for
Approved for public release; distribution 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. ANY NORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Ionospheric mapping Ionospheric prediction 7. region critical frequency 9. ANY RACY (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Using the method of Rush and Gibbs (values have been used to update the globa based on monthly median values derived f tions Sciences model (1969). This procee- magnetically quiet periods, for times nea-	unlimited. If different from Report) is block number) f(o) F 2 block number) (1973), weighted means of observed l predictions of f F2, which are from the Institute of Telecommunica- dure improved the predictions for ar minimum of the solar cycle phase, more a closer grid of ionsonde
Approved for public release; distribution 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. ASUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. ASUPPLEMENTARY 9.	unlimited. If different from Report) block number) f(o) F2 block number) (1973), weighted means of observed 1 predictions of (f F2,) which are from the Institute of Telecommunica- dure improved the predictions for ar minimum of the solar cycle phase, more, a closer grid of ionosonde the (f E) prediction. For the method
Approved for public release; distribution 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. ASUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. ASUPPLEMENTARY 9.	unlimited. If different from Report) block number) f(o) F2 block number) (1973), weighted means of observed 1 predictions of (F2,) which are from the Institute of Telecommunica- dure improved the predictions for ar minimum of the solar cycle phase, more, a closer grid of ionosonde i the (F2) prediction. For the method
Approved for public release; distribution Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed onlered in Block 20, SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Ionospheric mapping Ionospheric prediction 2 region critical frequency Using the method of Rush and Gibbs (values have been used to update the globa based on monthly median values derived f tions Sciences model (1969). This proceed magnetically quiet periods, for times nea and for the equinoctial months. Furthern stations resulted in reducing the error in	unlimited. If different from Report) block number) f(o) F2 block number) (1973), weighted means of observed 1 predictions of $f = F_2$, which are from the Institute of Telecommunica- dure improved the predictions for ar minimum of the solar cycle phase, more, a closer grid of ionosonde the $f = F_2$ prediction. For the method
Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Ionospheric mapping Ionospheric prediction T2 region critical frequency Maket (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Using the method of Rush and Gibbs (values have been used to update the globa based on monthly median values derived fi tions Sciences model (1969). This proceed magnetically quiet periods, for times nea and for the equinoctial months. Furthern stations resulted in reducing the error in PORM 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 68 IS OBSOLETE	unlimited. () different from Report) block number) f(o) F2 block number) (1973), weighted means of observed 1 predictions of $f F_2$, which are from the Institute of Telecommunica- dure improved the predictions for ar minimum of the solar cycle phase, more, a closer grid of ionosonde the $f F_2$ prediction. For the method f(o) F2 Unclassified

1

r

**

÷

407572

Linclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered) 20. Abstract (Continued) of Rush and Gibbs (1973) to be operationally successful in global predictions of (f_0F_2) however, a closer grid of ionosondes than is presently available is needed f(o)F2<u>.</u> Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

4-

-

Preface

ŝ,

The author wishes to thank Dr. Charles Rush and Major Wilson Edwards, USAF, for their interest in the work.

A Provide and the second se

Car Dates

ADDESSION for		
#715	White Section	N
Oirt	Butt Section	0
Mission of the		Ο
JUSTICE: TO		
····.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.,
P		
	1. iii	5
		u_
Λ		
N		
1'		

Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION	7
2.	DATA AND ANALYSIS	8
3.	RESULTS	12
4.	DISCUSSION	19
5.	CONCLUSIONS	23
RE	FERENCES	24

Illustrations

1 A.	An Example of a Prediction Map of f ₀ F ₂ for 1200 GMT for 25 September 1968	13
1 B.	Contours from Actual Observations of f ${}_{0}F_{2}$ from Ionosonde Stations for 1200 GMT for 25 September 1968	13
2 A .	Hourly RMS Errors in f.F. Mapping for Both the Monthly Median Predictions (solid lines) and the Updated Predictions (dashed lines for 11 June 1968) 14
2B.	Hourly RMS Errors in f ₀ F ₂ Mapping for Both the Monthly Median Predictions (solid lines) and the Updated Predictions (dashed lines for 25 September 1968) 14
3 A .	The Diurnal Dependence of the Prediction Error (Prediction)	17
3 B .	The Diurnal Dependence of the Prediction Error (Verification)	17
	5 / 🗅	DC
		T 14 1076

22. . . ·

WALK

ి లాండి

Illustrations

4A.	The Diurnal Dependence for the Equinoctial Months of March and September (Prediction)	18
4B.	The Diurnal Dependence for the Equinoctial Months of March and September (Verification)	18
5 A.	Similar to Figure 2, Using Updating Stations Distributed Uniformly Over the Grid (9 March 1968)	20
5 B .	Results for the Same Day for the Stations Grouped According to Longitude	20

Tables

1.	List of Ionosonde Stations Used in Present Investigation	9
2.	Dates for Which Data Are Used in the Analysis	11
3 A.	Frequency of Errors in Mapping f _O F ₂ and Improvement in the Errors Gained by Using Updating Procedure vs the Monthly Median Predictions	16
		10
3 B .	A Summary of the Results of the Analysis of the 1968 Data	22

6

æ

Improving the Global Ionospheric Predictions of f₀F₂

1. INTRODUCTION

At present long term ionospheric predictions can be routinely obtained from theoretical models¹ and statistical models.²⁻⁵ Due to insufficient knowledge of both, the number of parameters and their accurate values needed in the theoretical models, these models are useful for ionospheric prediction purposes only in a gross sense. Statistical models based on analysis of ionospheric observations deal with a limited number of parameters such as plasma frequency, height of

(Received for publication 8 June 1976)

- 1. Nisbet, J.S. (1971) On the construction and use of a simple ionospheric model, <u>Radio Science</u> 6:437-464.
- Jones, W.B. and Gallet, R.M. (1962) Representation of diurnal and geographic variations of ionospheric data by numerical methods, <u>ITU Tellecomm.J.</u> 29:129-149.
- 3. Lucas, D. L. and Haydon, G. W. (1966) <u>Predicting Statistical Performance</u> Indices for High Frequency Ionospheric Tele-communication Systems, Tech. Rpt ITSA-1, Environmental Science Service Administration.
- 4. Barghausen, A.F., Finney, J.W., Proctor, L.L., Schultz, L.D. (1969) Predicting Long-Term Operational Parameters of High Frequency Sky-Wave Telecommunications Systems, Tech. Rpt ERL 110-ITS-78 ESSA Research Laboratories, Institute for Telecommunication Sciences.
- Headrick, J.H., Thomason, J.F., Lucas, D.L., McCammon, S.R., Hanson, R.A., and Lloyd, J.S. (1971) <u>Virtual Path Tracing for HF Radar Including</u> <u>an Ionospheric Model</u>, Naval Research Laboratory Memorandum Rpt 226.

A. 140.00

maximum electron density, and their latitudinal, longitudinal, diurnal, and seasonal variation. The Institute for Telecommunication Sciences model (ITS), documented by Barghausen et al, ⁴ is based on such a statistical approach and is the most commonly used HF propagation prediction model. The ITS model provides monthly median predictions of HF propagation conditions. However, Air Force operational systems generally require ionospheric and propagation predictions on a shorter time scale, that is, a few hours in advance. Under these circumstances, the hour-to-hour and day-to-day variability displayed by the ionosphere becomes of paramount importance. Rush et al⁶ have shown that f_0F_2 , the critical frequency of the layer, is the most dominant parameter in determining HF propagation conditions for modes reflected by the F_2 layer. Furthermore, Rush and Gibbs⁷ have shown that, for a given location, predictions based on recent f_0F_2 observations afford an improvement over the monthly median predictions for predicting day-to-day variability.

The purpose of this report is to determine the magnitude of improvement in the global predictions of f_0F_2 achieved by updating the monthly median predictions with the weighted means of f_0F_2 observations.⁷ In the next section the data and analysis used in this investigation are described. In the third section the results are summarized and in the last section the implications of these results are discussed.

2. DATA AND ANALYSIS

Observations of $f_0 F_2$ from 32 ionosonde stations from the European-Asian sector in the northern hemisphere were used in this study. Table 1 contains a list of these stations along with their geographic and geomagnetic coordinates and their time zones. These stations were selected on the basis of availability of ionospheric data for the calendar years 1960, 1964, and 1968.

The mapping procedure of Miller and Gibbs⁸ and of Edwards et al⁹ was used for obtaining global maps of l_0F_2 predictions. These predictions were based on the

- Miller, D.C. and Gibbs, J. (1974) <u>Ionospheric Analysis and Ionospheric</u> <u>Modelling</u>, AFCRL-TR-74-0364.
- 9. Edwards, R.W., Rush, C.M., and Miller, M.D. (1975) <u>Studies on the</u> Development of an Automated Objective Ionospheric Mapping Technique. AFCRL-TR-75-0124.

^{6.} Rush, C. M., Miller, D., and Gibbs, J. (1974) The relative daily variability of f_0F_2 and h_mF_2 and their implications for HF radio propagation, <u>Radio</u> <u>Science</u> 9:749-756.

^{7.} Rush, C. M. and Gibbs, J. (1973) <u>Predicting the Day-to-Day Variability of the</u> <u>Midlatitude Ionosphere for Application to HF Propagation Predictions</u>, AFSG No. 168, AFCRL-TR-73-0335.

	*	Geog	raphic	Geoma	gnetic		
Stations	WDC Code	Lat. N	Long.	Lat.	Long.	Time Zone GMT	Remarks
Akita	539	39.7	1 40. 1	29.3	205.4	9	U4, L4**
Alma-Ata	343	43.2	76.9	33.2	150.8	5	U ₁ , L ₃
Ashkhabad	237	37.9	58.3	30.3	133.4	3	U4, L3
Beograd	145	44.8	20.5	43.7	100.9	1	U_4, L_1
Freiburg	048	48.1	7.6	49.5	90.0	0	U1, L2
Gorky	156	56.1	44,3	50.2	126.9	2	U_{4}, L_{1}
Irkutsk	352	52.5	104.0	40.9	174.4	6	U_1, L_3
Juliusruh	055	54.6	13.4	54.5	99.0	0	U_4, L_2
Kiruna	167	67.8	20.4	65.2	116.0	1	U, L
Leningrad	160	60.0	30.7	56,2	117.6	2	U2, L1
Lindau	050	51.6	10.1	52.3	94.1	0	U3, L2
Lycksele	164	64.7	18.8	62.7	111.4	1	U3, L
Miedzesyn	152	52.2	21.2	50.7	104.8	1	U_{4}, L_{1}
Moscow	155	55.5	37.3	50.8	120.7	2	U, L
Murmansk	168	69.0	33.0	64.0	126.8	2	U, L
Nurmijarvi	159	60.5	24.6	57.8	112.8	1	U, L
Okinawa	426	26.3	127.8	15.1	195.6	8	U ₁ , L ₄
Providenya	664	64.4	186.6	59.5	235.5	-11	U_2
Pruhonice	052	50.0	14.6	49.9	97.6	0	U4, L2
Roma	041	41.8	12.5	42.5	92.1	0	U3, L2
Rostov	149	47.2	39.7	42.4	119.4	2	U ₂ , L ₁
Salekhard	266	66.5	66.5	57.2	149.0	4	U_2, L_3
Slough	051	51.5	359.4	54.4	83.5	0	U ₁ , L ₂
Sodankyla	166	67.4	26.6	63.7	120.4	1	U, L
Sverdlovsk	256	56.7	61.0	48.3	140.8	4	U ₂ , L ₃
Taipei	424	25.0	121.2	113.5	189.5	8	U_1, L_4
Tehran	236	35.7	51.4	29.2	126.6	3	U3, L3
Tokyo	535	35.7	139.5	25.3	205.4	9	U1, L4
Uppsala	158	59.8	17.6	58.5	106.2	1	U_4, L_1
Wakkanai	545	45.4	141.7	35.1	206.0	9	U_1, L_4
Yakutsk	462	62.0	129.7	50.8	193.8	9	U3, L4
Yamagawa	431	31.2	130.6	20. 1	197.8	8	U3, L4

Table 1. List of Ionosonde Stations Used in Present Investigation

*WDC - World Data Center

.

** Letters U and L are for uniform and longitudinal geographic coverage, and subscript presents the group

.

monthly median values of f_0F_2 .² The monthly median global predictions were updated by observations of f_0F_2 in the following way. A first guess monthly median value of f_0F_2 is computed using the monthly median model values. This first-guess value is then updated in four iterative steps by the weighted mean of the f_0F_2 observations. The updating and iteration procedure has been described by Edwards et al.⁹ Rush and Gibbs⁷ tried 3-, 5-, and 7-day weighted means for f_0F_2 predictions only for locations at which f_0F_2 observations were available. As a compromise between improved accuracy and the need for the consequent length of the data base, they recommend an updating by 5-day weighted means for prediction. Accordingly the prediction value of f_0F_2 for a given station is computed from the formula,

weighted mean prediction of
$$f_0F_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (m - i + 1) D_{-i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} i}$$

ġŰ,

where m is the number of days used in computing the weighted mean, and D_{-i} is the value of the f_0F_2 on the ith day preceding the prediction day. In the present analysis, 6- day weighted means were readily available and therefore these values were used. The results of this study can be directly related to employing a 5-day weighted mean prediction since in most cases there is less than a 7-percent difference between the 5- and 6-day weighted means. Such small changes in prediction values do not produce any significant change in the final results.

One of the purposes of the present study was to determine the magnitude of improvement in the prediction of f_0F_2 , using the updating procedure of Rush and Gibbs⁷ compared with the monthly median predictions. Also investigated was the extent to which the improvement is dependent upon diurnal and seasonal variations of f_0F_2 , upon magnetically quiet and disturbed periods, and upon the solar cycle phase.

In Table 2 the dates for which $f_0 F_2$ predictions were made are listed. Data observed on these dates were used for measuring the error in prediction. For the prediction, $f_0 F_2$ values computed from the monthly median and from the updating of $f_0 F_2$, as suggested by Rush and Gibbs, ⁷ were used for the days preceding those listed in Table 2. The difference between the observation and prediction is a measure of the error.

10

1

11. 100

			Magneti	cally Quie	et Days			
Year	Month	Date	Year	Month	Date	Year	Month	Date
1960	Mar	21 22 23	1964	Mar	2 19 28	1968	Mar	7 8 9
	Jun	11 12 16		Jun	3 5 6		Jun	21 24 25
	Sep	16 20 21		Sep	13 14 15		Sep	25 26 27
	Dec	4 11 14		Dec	11 12 31		Dec	2 14 15
		ŗ	Magnetics	ally Distu	rbed Day	75		
Year	Month	Date	Year	Month	Date	Year	Month	Date
1960	Mar	11 16 31	1964	Mar	4 22 30	1968	Mar	14 24 30
	Jun	4 27 30		Jun	10 20 25		Jun	10 11 12
	Sep	4 24 30		Sep	22 28 30		Sep	8 13 23
	Dec	2 15 27		Dec	13 16 19		Dec	3 5 25

Table 2. Dates for Which Data Are Used in the Analysis

Rush and Miller, ¹⁰ Miller and Gibbs, ⁸ and Edwards et al⁹ have described in detail a procedure for the synoptic mapping of f_0F_2 over a uniform grid with separation of 10^0 in latitude and 15^0 in longitude. Initially the value of f_0F_2 for each grid point is computed from the ITS monthly median prediction program. Then, from a given number of locations, the value of f_0F_2 for each grid point is computed using predetermined weighting factors. These weighting factors are functions of

Rush, C. M. and Miller, D. (1973) <u>A Three-Dimensional Ionospheric Model</u> Using Observed Ionospheric Parameters, AFCRL-TR-73-0566, ERP, No. 455.

N-S and E-W separations of locations, and are based on a previous correlation analysis.¹¹ Predictions of f_0F_2 at a given location are determined by interpolation from the four-cornered grid enclosing that location. The error in the prediction of f_0F_2 is then computed as a root-mean-square value of the difference between observations and predictions for the locations used in the study.

An operational system may have a limited number of randomly distributed ionosonde stations that provide observations from which predicted maps of f_0F_2 can be made. It is necessary to know the accuracy with which predictions can be obtained for these observing locations, as well as for other locations for which f_0F_2 observations are not available. This situation was simulated in this study by dividing the ionosonde stations of Table 1 into four groups. The first group was treated as an observing and prediction set supporting an operational system. Remaining groups were treated as verification sets of nonobserving locations for which f_0F_2 predictions were needed. These f_0F_2 predictions were obtained from Group 1 stations. For each group, stations were selected to provide a uniform coverage of the geographic region. In the last column in Table 1 these groups are identified.

For the dates given in Table 2, predicted maps of f_0F_2 using the weighted mean prediction were generated at hourly intervals, using only stations of the first group. The root-mean-square error was determined for each group from predictions and observations of f_0F_2 . As all groups have uniform geographic coverage, the results should be nonbiased. Therefore the results of Groups 2 to 4 were added together. As a measure of improvement in the prediction by updating over that from the monthly median predictions, differences were computed between the respective errors of these predictions.

For studying the improvement in the prediction of f_0F_2 with respect to the diurnal, seasonal, magnetic, and solar dependence of f_0F_2 , prediction errors and their frequency of occurrence were determined by dividing the data (for the dates of Table 2) into the respective categories.

3. RESULTS

In Figure 1 Section A, an example is presented of a prediction map of f_0F_2 for 1200 GMT for 25 September 1968. The prediction is from the monthly median model. The f_0F_2 contours are labeled in MHz. For the map, the geographic range of latitude is 10° N to 70° N, and the geographic range of longitude is 80° to 240° . In Section B a map generated from observations at the Group 1 stations for the

Rush, C. M. (1972) Improvements in Ionospheric Forecasting Capability, ERP, No. 387, AFCRL-TR-72-0138.



ł

Figure 1A. An Example of a Prediction Map of f_0F_2 for 1200 GMT for 25 September 1968



Figure 1B. Contours from Actual Observations of $f_0\,F_2$ from Ionosonde Stations for 1200 GMT for 25 September 1968

13

---- -

same time is presented. In the prediction map of Section A, f_0F_2 values range from 17 MHz near the equator to 6 MHz at northern latitudes, whereas the corresponding values in Section B range from 13 to 3 MHz (actual observations). For this time the root-mean-square error in prediction 's 3 MHz.

-

Figure 2 is a graph showing an example of hourly errors in the mapping of f_0F_2 for both the monthly median prediction, and the updated monthly median values by the 5-day weighted means,⁷ Section A is for 11 June 1968 and Section B is for 25 September 1968. Continuous lines are for the monthly median predictions and the dashed lines are for the updated predictions. In each section the bottom graph presents the first group, supporting an operational system, and the upper graphs present the remaining 3 verification groups. Note that the updated prediction is much better than the monthly median prediction for 25 September 1968, but is not useful for 11 June 1968. As shown in the figure neither procedure is superior for routine predictions at all times.



Figure 2A. Hourly RMS Errors inf F_2 Mapping for Both the Monthly Median Predictions (solid lines) and the Updated Predictions (dashed lines) for 11 June 1968

Figure 2B. Hourly RMS Errors in f F_2 Mapping for Both the Monthly Median Predictions (solid lines) and the Updated Predictions (dashed lines) for 25 September 1968

In Table 3A is presented the percent frequency of occurrence of improvement in the $f_0 F_2$ predictions due to the monthly medians and due to the updating of the monthly medians. In the first three columns are listed the categories under which dates from Table 2 were grouped. The columns are year, month, and magnetic conditions, respectively. The improvement in the prediction of $f_0 F_2$ is measured as a difference between corresponding errors for the respective procedures. The table is further refined by comparing the magnitude of improvement in the intervals $\geq 0, \geq 0.3, \geq 0.5$ MHz. As explained before, Group 1 is used for prediction and the other groups are used for verification. Columns 4 and 5 list the number of cases available for hourly comparisons for prediction and verification, respectively. The percent frequency of occurrence of improvement for each procedure for the intervals $\geq 0, \geq 0.3, \geq 0.5$ MHz is listed in columns 6 to 17. The average improvement in the magnitude of the predicted value of $f_0 F_2$ using the updating, compared with that of the monthly median for prediction (Group 1) and verification (remaining groups), is listed in the last two columns.

In Table 3A we see that, for all days in Table 2, results from the updating are better than those from the monthly medians, for 71 percent of the time for prediction, and for 62 percent of time for verification. This percentage decreases rapidly as improvement of better than 0.3 and 0.5 MHz is sought. On the whole the improvement is 0.2 MHz in prediction and 0.1 MHz in verification.

When all data are divided into two groups, magnetically quiet and magnetically disturbed, the updated predictions look promising for the quiet periods, and are still as good as the monthly median predictions for the disturbed periods. The data were also grouped according to the calendar years. The solar activity was maximum in 1957 and 1968, and minimum in 1964. It is seen that in 1960, near the period of maximum solar activity, both methods yield comparable errors. It should be noted further that the magnetic activity in 1964, though descending from its peak of 1957, was stronger than the magnetic activity in 1968, for the dates chosen in this study. For 1968, the updating is better than the monthly medians 70 percent of the time for both prediction and verification, with an improvement in f_0F_2 mapping by 0.35 and 0.3 MHz, respectively.

When the data for each calendar year are divided in magnetically quiet and magnetically disturbed groups, it is found that neither of the procedures is better than the other for 1960, for either magnetic group. In 1968, for the magnetically quiet periods, the updating offers a significant improvement over the monthly median prediction for about 80 percent of the time, with an improvement in f_0F_2 of 0.6 and 0.45 MHz for prediction and verification, respectively. For all years during magnetically disturbed periods, only Group 1 prediction is improved by updating, compared with the monthly median predictions.

15

Updating
Using
ained by
crors G
in the E
vement
id Impro
2 2 ar
Mapping fol
- T.C.
Crors in Median 1
ency of Errors in Monthly Median 1
Frequency of Errors in a vs the Monthly Median I

. .

	Month	hi Manatic	No. of Case	is for	Percen	5	to se	mprove:	ent.	LoP 0	Mappi	ne for	Magni	tude		F	Magnitude o	f Improve-	
_		Condi- tions	Prediction	Verification		Ñ	퀽	╞╴		R	3 MHz			20.5	žĦ		ment by MU Mon. Med. f	umt over or (in Miz)	_
					Predic	tion	Verific	ation	Predic	tion	Verific	ation	Predic	tion	/erific	ation	Prediction	Verification	-
					HUNH	Mon. Med.	H-SH	Mon. Med.	HUNH	Hon. Hed.	MUN	Mon. Med.	H	Mo.i. Med .	HUNH	Mon. Med.			
				70.3	•••	ę	;	ļ	2		ę	:	,	-		5	, ,	-	_
		Deter	864	2592	28	5 2	7 8 9	20	¢ %	 	\$ 7	2 2	3 X	3 0	8	2 "	7.0	1.0	_
z	2	Disturbed	:	-	99	X	22	5	28	1	28	21	3.6	. 0		14	0.15	0.0	
36		Both	576	1728	99	40	50	50	33	14	22	28	61	~	1	18	0.1	1-0-	_
3	:	z	÷	=	78	22	66	*	59	~	18	•	14	-	÷	2	0.15	0.05	_
36	:	:	E	-	74	56	22	28	52	01	87	14	6	•	ŝ	6	0.35	0.3	_
986	<u>.</u>	Quiet	288	864	99	40	55	45	26	01	21	21	13	\$	01	6	0.05	-0.05	
3	•	5	2	F	78	16	11	29	28	-	18	Ē	13	-	v	-	0.2	0.05	_
3	:	:	*	:	8	11	62	21	58	~	52	÷	48	~	2	~	9.0	0.45	_
200		Dist ur bed	z	÷	60	6 0	45	55	40	17	22		25	•	16	ŝ	0.2	-0.1	-
196		t	E	=	72	28	61	60	8	7	11	6	15	-	~	~	0.15	0.0	
200	:	ŧ	2	2	65	ŝ	3	36	45	17	4	21	8	2	32	51	0.2	0. 15	_
Ē	Ĭ	Both	432	1296	68	32	19	39	38	01	31	21	26	Þ	22	Ξ	0.3	0 1	
: :	5	: :		: :	1 9	<u>s</u> :	5	47	2:	ц,	2:	2:20	23	~ (~;	4	0.05	-0.05	-
:		t	=	:	32	27	; 69	36	40	• ~	5 41	==	X 22		; 9]	e	0.2	0.1	
:	į	Outet	216	648	75	25	65	35	41	5	\$	17	29	1	26	10	0.4	0.2	_
=	9	ŧ	F	2	2	56	69	12	18		17	~	ø	~	2	4	0.05	0.0	
:	Sep	F	t	5	83	27	81	19	59	ŝ	47	ŝ	44	2	32	2	0.5	0.4	
<u>د</u>	ž	:	:	2	2	R	58	42	32	~	23	13	61	~	12	Ś	0.15	60.05	
:	Ĭ	Disturbed	•	÷	61	39	57	43	35	16	27	25	22	•	18	17	0.2	0.0	_
:	En la	z	2	:	49	5	37	63	23	22	15	33	11	13	13	24	-0.05	15	_
	Sep	: :	: :	:	78	22	3	98	97	~	35	1	24	~	22	10	0.3	0.15	_
:	ă	*	=	•	2	2	89	32	49	s	ŝ	•	Ξ	4	21	4	0.25	0.15	_
],			1	1	1	1]	1	1	1			

16

Since f_0F_2 shows a strong seasonal variation, it is expected that the accuracy of either prediction may display seasonal dependencies. It is seen that in Table 3A, in the equinoctial months of March and September, the updating yields better results compared with the monthly median predictions. For the solstitial months of June and December, there is no essential difference between the two procedures. The improvement in the magnitude of f_0F_2 on an hourly basis was also studied. The diurnal dependence of the prediction error is presented in Figure 3; Section A is an example of prediction and Section B of verification. The rows present magnetically quiet and magnetically disturbed periods for the years 1960, 1964, 1968. Overall, the updating is in general better than the monthly medians for both prediction and verification. Though the updating is better for the prediction groups, it does not offer any significant improvement to verification groups.









1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

Figure 4 is similar to Figure 3, as it is an illustration of the diurnal dependence for the equinoctial months of March and September. Again, for this period the updating shows significant improvement for both prediction and verification groups.



₹~

Figure 4A. The Diurnal Dependence for the Equinoctial Months of March and September (Prediction)



Figure 4B. The Diurnal Dependence for the Equinoctial Months of March and September (Verification)

18

17 14 1

- ..

4. DISCUSSION

We note that, in general, the updated predictions show an improvement over that of the monthly medians, in reducing the error in the prediction of f_0F_2 . Both the magnitude of the error and the frequency of occurrence of improvement are significant during (1) magnetically quiet periods, (2) the minimum of the solar cycle phase, and (3) equinoctial periods. Also, the updated prediction is never worse than the monthly medians, except during the solstitial period of June for the northern hemisphere. Therefore, before deciding on the use of updating routine operations, the following questions must be addressed: (1) How significant is the improvement afforded by the updating in terms of routine operation, and (2) What are the limitations? These two questions are considered together.

In the process of mapping f_0F_2 predictions, observations from every station contribute to every point of the f_0F_2 map to a varying degree depending on the separation between the observing point and the mapping point. Rush¹⁰ studied autocorrelation of various F-layer parameters for a few ionosonde stations. He finds that for a given station the autocorrelation of $N_m F_2(f_0 F_2)$ falls to 0.7 after 2 to 3 hours during magnetically quiet conditions and after 1 to 2 hours during magnetically disturbed conditions. Thus, in general, the persistence of f_0F_2 can be expected to last for about 2 hours at a given location. Considering the rotation of the earth this could also be interpreted as a persistence of f_0F_2 over a separation range of 1500 km except for the transition regions of sunrise and sunset. Indeed, Rush¹⁰ has found that the magnitude of covariation of $f_{0}F_{0}$ at two locations depends upon the separation between the locations. He has shown that this spatial dependence is important (correlation coeff. 0.8) up to separations of 750 km along N-S, and up to separations of 1500 km along E-W directions for ionosonde stations in the midlatitude region. The smaller separation of dependency distance along N-S could be due to the geomagnetic dependence of $f_{0}F_{0}$.

In the uniform geographic coverage case discussed above, which covered the European-Asian sector, the extreme separations amongst ionosonde stations, both in distance and time zone, are quite large. Further, in the uniform geographic coverage case, where an ionosonde station in each verification group is selected to represent geographically a corresponding one in the prediction group, it is not possible to assess the effect of geographic separation and difference in local time between the ionosonde stations on the error in the mapping of f_0F_2 . This difficulty can be overcome by dividing the ionosonde stations in Table 1 into four groups, in intervals along longitudes. For determining the effect of station separation and difference in local time between the ionosonde stations, it is better to designate the group of ionosonde stations at one end of longitude intervals as the prediction group and the successive groups as the verification group. But, for assuring a best

possible prediction of the f_0F_2 map, the group containing the largest number of the ionosonde stations was designated as the prediction group and the remaining were designated as the verification groups. These groups are listed in the last column of Table 1. As before, Group 1 was used for prediction, and Groups 2, 3, 4 were used for verification. The time zones of Groups 2, 3, 4 differ from the time zone of Group 1 by -1.5, +2.5, and +7 hours, respectively.

For determining the effect of distance and local time separation amongst ionosonde stations on the error in prediction and verification of f_0F_2 , data (in Table 2) grouped according to longitude were analyzed only for the calendar year 1968. For 9 March 1968, Figure 5A and 5B is a comparison of errors in the mapping of f_0F_2 for the sets of prediction and verification for two different distributions of ionosonde stations, uniform geographic coverage (described above), and grouped according to longitude. The error averaged for the day is shown on the right-hand side for each group.



Figure 5A. Similar to Figure 2, Using Updating Stations Distributed Uniformly Over the Grid (9 March 1968)

Figure 5B. Results for the Same Day for the Stations Grouped According to Longitude

12

20

In prediction-verification sets, one would normally expect small errors in prediction (Group 1 stations) as compared with those in verification (Group 2 to 4 stations). In Figure 5A, the results are shown using updating stations distributed uniformly over the grid (Table 1, Column 8). The magnitudes of errors for both prediction and verification happen to show no significant difference, but the degree of improvement in the prediction set is considerably greater. The point to be noted in Figure 5A is that the error for all the verification groups is of the same order of magnitude. This should be expected as the individual verification groups as well as the prediction group, in uniform geographic coverage case, are not biased as regards distance separations and local time differences amongst ionosonde stations.

In Figure 5B results for the same day for the stations grouped according to longitude are presented. The important point to be noted for Figure 5B is that the errors for verification Groups 1 and 2 are smaller and comparable to those for the prediction group. This is due to the fact that these verification groups are separated from the prediction group by small local time differences of -1.5 and +2.5 hours, respectively. In Figure 5B, for verification Group 3 (top section) with a separation of +7 hours from the prediction group, the mapping error is significantly larger than that for prediction. Results in Figure 5B indicate that predictions, using updating procedure, are good over a time range of about 2 to 3 hours. Considering the rotation of the earth, the range of distance for good predictions is about 1500 km.

In addition to considering the separation of the prediction and verification groups, separation of stations within a group was considered. In the case of the prediction groups of Figures 5A and 5B (bottom sections), the mapping error for the groups along longitudes is significantly reduced from that for the uniform geographic coverage case. This improvement in the mapping error in the former case may be due to the smaller separations both in distance and time of the ionosonde stations.

This is further illustrated by summarizing the results of the analysis of the 1968 data in Table 3B. This table is similar to Table 3A. Comparing the results for 1968 from Tables 3A and 3B we see that the updating offers a significant improvement over the monthly medians, in terms of percent time and the magnitude of reduction in the error of f_0F_2 for prediction (Group 1), and verification (Groups 2 and 3 only), during magnetically quiet periods, and also during the equinoctial months of March and September. However, the updating does not offer any improvement over the monthly median prediction for Group 4 and for the solstitial month of June. The tabulated results indicate that the improvement in error depends upon the spatial and temporal separation between ionosonde stations used for the prediction and verification of the f_0F_2 maps.

21

• ي

		Condi-	No. of Cast	verification	Percen	0	MHz.			~ 0 ~) MHz			0-	5 MHz		Reprint Los Maria	UNNE OVEL	, X
-					Predict	ion	erific	ation F	redict	ton V	erific	ation	Predic	tion	Verifi	cat ion	Prediction	Verification	
					HUNRI 1	n . . Pa	HIN	Mon. Med.	- HANNA	ed.	MUUN	Mon. Med.	MUM	Mon. Ned.	MUM	Mon. Med.			
968	IJ.	Bot h 	576	576	2:	28	62 68	38 32	50	4:		18 12	\$.	0.	10	<u>्</u> र छ	0.3	6.2 0.25	~. ~
:	:	:	:	;	:	:	57	43			2	ŝ	:	:	2.1	7	:	с. С	.•
: = :		Quiet	: : 58 73		50:::	<u>6</u>	85 85 63	822	Z: :	ao::	2 2 2	2 4 2	% ;;	v: :	3 5 8	5.00	5 °0	0.25	ri ~ 4
<i></i>	:::	Disturbe.	::: 	1 : 1	g. :	37	53.90	20 49	. . 2	<u>5</u> : :	283		አ . :	4::	6 2 Q	9 6 21	0.1 5 	0.1	~ ~ •
	March :	Both	4::	77: : 7	£= =	::3	60 61 22	28 39 40	\$:: 5	a0 : :	335	13	G ::	~: :	3 8 9	°0.4	9 ••••	0.5 0.1	* * *
2 7 2	June -	T : 1	: : :		1 7::	S: :	4 0 6 4 0 6	56 60 60		: : : 58	1 <u>1</u> 2	28 16 27	m: :	6 . : :	ac vo √t	25 13	-0.1	-0.2 -0.05 -0.15	τ. τ. γ.
1 2 7	sept ::	* : :	: : :		8: :	: : 33	86 86 76	32	\$::	 	55 70 63	52 6	S	or::	5 8 5 2 8 9	122	0.5	0.25 0.7 0.85	~~~~~
	ğ: :	: : :	: = -	: : :	80 : :	: : 12	62 67 52	38 48 48	29 : :	•••••	26 13	10 13 28	1 5 : :	• : :	26 28 15	∼ æ 0		0.15 0.2 -0.1	·· ~ 3

Table 3B. A Summary of the Results of the Analysis of the 1968 Data

22

17.44

...

والبلان ال

5. CONCLUSIONS

Briefly, the updating by the weighted means proposed by Rush and Gibbs⁷ offers some improvement over the monthly medians in the prediction of f_0F_2 maps. Using a working uncertainty of 0.5 MHz for routine operations, it is found that under present circumstances of wide separation of ionosonde stations, the updating is not able to yield improvement of 0.5 MHz in f_0F_2 predictions, compared with the monthly medians. In the presentation of Rush and Gibbs, 7f_0F_2 , being referred to a single location, has only the one dimension of temporal extrapolation. In the discussion presented above of the error in the mapping of f_0F_2 , where an additional dimension of spatial extrapolation, is offset by the error in spatial extrapolation in the mapping of f_0F_2 . For the updating⁷ to be operationally successful for the mapping of f_0F_2 , a closer grid of ionosonde stations than the one presently available is needed.

References

1. Nisbet, J. S. (1971) On the construction and use of a simple ionospheric model, Radio Science 6:437-464.

-

- Jones, W.B. and Gallet, R.M. (1962) Representation of diurnal and geographic variations of ionospheric data by numerical methods, <u>ITU Tellecomm.J.</u> 29:129-149.
- 3. Lucas, D. L. and Haydon, G.W. (1966) <u>Predicting Statistical Performance</u> <u>Indices for High Frequency Ionospheric Tele-communication Systems</u>, Tech. Rpt ITSA-1, Environmental Science Service Administration.
- 4. Barghausen, A.F., Finney, J.W., Proctor, L.L., Schultz, L.D. (1969) <u>Predicting Long-Term Operational Parameters of High Frequency Sky-Wave Telecommunications Systems</u>, Tech. Rpt ERL 110-ITS-76 ESSA Research Laboratories, Institute for Telecommunication Sciences.
- Headrick, J. H., Thomason, J. F., Lucas, D. L., McCammon, S. R., Hanson, R.A., and Lloyd, J. S. (1971) <u>Virtual Path Tracing for HF Radar Including</u> an Ionospheric Model, Naval Research Laboratory Memorandum Rpt 226.
- Rush, C. M., Miller, D., and Gibbs, J. (1974) The relative daily variability of f₀F₂ and h_mF₂ and their implications for HF radio propagation, <u>Radio</u> <u>Science</u> 9:749-756.
- 7. Rush, C. M. and Gibbs, J. (1973) Predicting the Day-to-Day Variability of the Midlatitude Ionosphere for Application to HF Propagation Predictions, AFSG No. 168, AFCRL-TR-73-0335.
- Miller, D.C. and Gibbs, J. (1974) <u>Ionospheric Analysis and Ionospheric</u> <u>Modelling</u>, AFCRL-TR-74-0364.
- 9. Edwards, R.W., Rush, C.M., and Miller, M.D. (1975) <u>Studies on the</u> <u>Development of an Automated Objective Ionospheric Mapping Technique</u>. <u>AFCRL-TR-75-0124</u>.
- 10. Rush, C. M. and Miller, D. (1973) <u>A Three-Dimensional Ionospheric Model</u> Using Observed Ionospheric Parameters, AFCRL-TR-73-0566, ERP, No. 455.
- Rush, C. M. (1972) Improvements in Ionospheric Forecasting Capability, ERP, No. 387, AFCRL-TR-72-0138.