

ADA030640

2

HEADQUARTERS  
OGDEN AIR LOGISTICS CENTER  
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE  
HILL AIR FORCE BASE, UTAH 84406

*Propellant Lab Section*

MINUTEMAN STAGE I  
MOTOR RELIABILITY  
IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM  
SURVEILLANCE

PROPELLANT LABORATORY SECTION

MANCP REPORT  
NR 354(76)

SEPTEMBER 1976

DDC  
RECEIVED  
OCT 12 1976  
REGULATED

*[Handwritten signature]*  
*1473*  
*D*

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE, DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

MANCP REPORT NR 354(76)  
MMEMP PROJECT M72632-5MP116P

ACCESSION FOR	
HTG	Write Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DGC	Dist Section <input type="checkbox"/>
UNANNOUNCED	<input type="checkbox"/>
JUSTIFICATION	
BY	
DISTR	
C	
A	

MINUTEMAN STAGE I  
MOTOR RELIABILITY  
IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM  
SURVEILLANCE

Author

*John A. Thompson*  
JOHN A. THOMPSON, Chemist  
Component & Combustion Test Unit

Engineering & Statistical Review By

*John K. Scambia*  
JOHN K. SCAMBIA, Project Engineer  
Service Engineering

*Dan L. Petersen*  
DAN L. PETERSEN, Statistician  
Data Analysis Unit

Recommended Approval By

JEANIDAS A. BROWN, Chief  
Component & Combustion Test Unit

*Jeanidas A. Brown*

Approved By

*Don F. Woods*  
DON F. WOODS, Chief  
Propellant Laboratory Section

*D D C*

OCT 12 1976

September 1976

Industrial Products & Ldg Gear Division  
Directorate of Maintenance  
Ogden Air Logistics Center  
United States Air Force  
Hill Air Force Base, Utah 84406

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release

## ABSTRACT

This report contains data and test results from the third time testing of the Minuteman Stage I Reliability Improvement Program (RIP) Surveillance. Thiokol (see TWR-7300) had tested and reported on this program for eight years up through 30 June 1973. The responsibility was then turned over to the Propellant Laboratory (MANCP) at OO-ALC.

In the previous report, data was compared with Thiokol data and was presented in the same format as in Thiokol's final report. In this report, Thiokol's data with the data from three years of testing in MANCP's laboratory were combined and regression analysis was made by MANCP's statistical group.

The purpose of testing is to provide early warning if any serious degradation trends occur in the components being evaluated.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Abstract	ii
List of Figures	iv
Introduction:	
Purpose	1
Background	1
Statistical Analysis	4
Test Results	5
Conclusions	7
Table 1	8
Distribution List	23
DD 1473	24

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure Nr</u>			<u>Page</u>
1	RS-1	Tenshear Tensile Adhesion 0° Angle	9
2	RS-1	Tenshear Tensile Adhesion 45° Angle	10
3	RS-3	Tensile Adhesion	11
4	RS-4	Tensile Adhesion	12
5	RS-20	Tensile Adhesion	13
6	RS-24	Tensile Adhesion	14
7	RS-5	Tensile Strength	15
8	RS-12	Tensile Strength	16
9	RS-19A	Tensile Strength	17
10	RS-21	Tensile Strength	18
11	RS-5	Elongation	19
12	RS-19A	Elongation	20
13	RS-12	Elongation	21
14	RS-21	Elongation	22

## INTRODUCTION

### A. PURPOSE:

Quality assurance testing was conducted by Thiokol for eight years on the RIP program to evaluate the effects of aging on components. Since July of 1973, testing has been performed by the Propellant Laboratory (MANCP) at OO-AIC.

Evaluation of the test data should provide early warning if serious degradation trends occur. Annual evaluation of the components provide data that can be put into engineering reliability and service life predictions. Testing was performed in accordance with MEMP Project M72632-5MP116P.

### B. BACKGROUND:

The Minuteman Stage 1 Reliability Improvement Program (RIP) Surveillance program was conducted from its inception through 30 June 1973 by Thiokol/Wasatch Division under SAMSO direction (TWR-7300, 15 Nov 1974). On 1 July 1973, responsibility for testing was turned over to Ogden Air Logistics Center, Hill Air Force Base, Utah.

#### 1. The primary program objectives were:

- a. Demonstrate that the various components and subsystems meet the service life requirements specified by the motor model specifications.
- b. Evaluate the storage behavior of components and subsystems so that the start of ageout in the operational force can be predicted or demonstrated in time to take corrective action.

c. Identify and verify failure modes in sufficient time to allow orderly replacement or rework of the missile force.

In addition, major program tasks were to evaluate the storage behavior of the RIP Stage I Minuteman motors under environmental conditions representative of the operational concept, and ultimately to aid in predicting the usable life of the operationally deployed motors.

2. Major tasks were:

a. Subject full scale motors to various simulated operational storage conditions and conduct tests and inspections to determine possible degradation due to storage.

b. Conduct thorough laboratory accelerated aging programs to support the full scale motor program.

c. Provide planning necessary for integrating operational and development motor surveillance programs as data becomes available from operational agencies.

d. Correlate and evaluate all data to establish criteria for determining modes of possible degradation due to aging.

e. Suggest means for reducing or preventing such degradation.

f. Evaluate the effects of aging on motor performance.

g. Provide meaningful estimates of the service life of stage one motors.

3. Component and laboratory specimens were stored at simulated in-situ conditions and elevated temperatures to induce accelerated

aging. Laboratory specimens were selected to evaluate the performance of suspected significant characteristics of the materials under study.

The objectives of the component and laboratory aging effort are:

- a. Evaluate the aging characteristics of components materials and interfaces affecting the performance of a complete motor.
- b. Evaluate the effects of interaction (by migration of materials that interface in the motor assembly).
- c. Correlate accelerated aging results with ambient aging results to allow extrapolation of aging trends when insufficient ambient aging data are available.
- d. Provide aging data to predict motor and component storage life.
- e. Evaluate test specimens and test techniques for improvement of overall surveillance quality.

Component and laboratory specimens prepared by Thiokol were tested by this laboratory. The results are shown in the figures and are included with the results from TWR-7300 report. The testing at this time does not cover the full range of component testing reported in TWR-7300.



## STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

In order to evaluate aging trends, the regression model  $Y = a + bX$  was employed to evaluate latest test results with respect to past data. Each regression was plotted to display individual test points with a least squares trend line through them. A tolerance band is given to indicate that at ninety percent confidence ninety percent of any data sampling can be expected to be within this interval. A dashed three sigma (three standard deviation) band parallels the least squares trend line. These bands are extrapolated twenty-four months beyond the age of the test materials at the time they were last tested. Regression data at ages eight years and upward represent MANCP laboratory testing while previous data were generated by Thiokol.

To obtain an overall view of which materials and test parameters have significant aging trends, a table of significance of regression slopes is given, see Table 1. Statements of significance are given at the five percent level. For those regression parameters having established failure criteria, a one-sided regression analysis was used.

## TEST RESULTS

### A. TENSILE ADHESION:

Tenshear (RS-1) tensile adhesion specimens show a statistically significant increase for both the 0° and 45° angle tensile testing (Figures 1 and 2). The failure mode for the 0° testing was about 40% cohesive in the propellant and 60% adhesive propellant to liner; and for the 45° testing about 48% cohesive in the propellant and 52% adhesive propellant to liner.

Regression analyses for RS-3 (Figure 3), RS-4 (Figure 4), RS-20 (Figure 5) and RS-24 (Figure 6) show no significant aging trends.

The failure mode for RS-3 and RS-4 was determined by examination of the surface area with the aid of a microscope. For RS-3, the failure mode appears to be about 90% adhesive to primer and 10% primer to fiber on four specimens while the fifth specimen showed about 85% adhesive to primer, 10% primer to fiber and 5% cohesive in UF 31-43. For RS-4, the failure mode was about 70% cohesive in adhesive, 25% adhesive to fiber and 5% fiber failure. The RS-20 failure mode was 100% metal to adhesive. For RS-24, low adhesion silicone rubber to inlet graphite, the failure mode was about 90% adhesive carbon to rubber and 10% cohesive in the rubber for three specimens, 99% adhesive carbon to rubber and 1% cohesive in the rubber for one specimen, and the remaining specimen showed about 25% adhesive failure carbon to rubber and 75% cohesive in the rubber.

**B. TENSILE STRENGTH:**

The tensile strength for RS-5, RS-19A and RS-21 do not show significant trends (Figures 7 thru 10).

**C. ELONGATION:**

RS-5 and RS-19A show no change (Figures 11 and 19A). RS-12 shows a statistically significant gradual decrease (Figure 13) and RS-21 shows a statistically significant gradual increase (Figure 14).

## CONCLUSIONS

The regressions show little or no change in trends from those previously established and are in good agreement with TWR-7300 data. Therefore, the service life of these components may be extended for at least two years beyond the last data point.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

To assure the extended capability of these component materials, it is recommended that testing be continued.

TABLE 1

## Significance of Regression Slopes

		<u>Slope</u>	<u>Significance of Slope</u>	<u>t-value</u>	<u>DF</u>
RS-1	Adhesion at 0 deg	+	S*	4.04	28
RS-1	Adhesion at 45 deg	+	S*	3.28	28
RS-3	Tensile adhesion (Sm)	-	NS*	0.15	49
RS-4	Tensile adhesion (Sm)	+	S*	1.84	52
RS-20	Tensile adhesion (Sm)	-	NS*	0.98	51
RS-21	Tensile strength (Sm)	+	NS	1.24	99
RS-24	Tensile adhesion (Sm)	+	NS	0.09	46
RS-5	Tensile strength (Sm)	+	NS	0.38	77
RS-12	Tensile strength (Sm)	-	NS	1.53	100
RS-5	Elongation (er)	+	NS*	0.90	75
RS-12	Elongation (er)	-	S	3.11	83
RS-19A	Elongation (er)	+	NS	0.64	68
RS-21	Elongation (er)	+	S	2.06	83
RS-19A	Tensile strength (Sm)	-	S*	1.93*	57


\* Single sided regression analysis employed when a failure criteria is used.

DF = Degrees of freedom

S = Significant

NS = Not significant


F = 16.351379  
 R = 0.607188  
 t = 4.043684  
 N = 30  
 STORAGE COND = 70 DGRS F  
 TEST COND = AMB TEMP/RH  
 Y = ( 132.020141 ) + ( 0.134360 ) \* X  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF F = SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF R = SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF t = SIGNIFICANT  
 DEGREES OF FREEDOM = 28  
 QY = 9.531795  
 SA = 0.033227  
 SF = 7.707618



R5-1 (TENSHEAR) ADHESION AT 0 DEG ANGLE. FAILURE CRITERION: 10 PSI

Figure 1

F = 10.758571  
 R = 0.526857  
 L = 3.280025  
 N = 30  
 STORAGE COND =  
 Y = ( 86.912475 ) + ( 0.085629 ) \* X  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF F = SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF R = SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF L = SIGNIFICANT  
 DEGREES OF FREEDOM = 28  
 TEST COND = AMB TEMP/RH  
 $Q_Y = 7.000902$   
 $S_B = 0.026106$   
 $S_e = 6.055767$



RS-1 (TENSHEAR) ADHESION AT 45 DEG ANGLE. FAILURE CRITERION: 10 PSI

Figure 2

F = 0.021540  
 R = -0.020962  
 U = 0.146766  
 N = 51  
 STORAGE COND = 70 DGRS F  
 TEST COND = AMB TEMP/RH  
 Y = ( 625.631103 ) + ( -0.060822 ) \* X  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF F = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF R = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF U = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 DEGREES OF FREEDOM = 49

Qr = 123.575683  
 Sb = 0.414412  
 Se = 124.802856





Figure 3



$Y = ( 425.174926 ) + ( 0.991024 ) * X$   
 SIGNIFICANCE OF F = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF R = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF t = SIGNIFICANT  
 DEGREES OF FREEDOM = 52  
 TEST COND = AMB TEMP/RH  
 STORAGE COND = 70 DGRS F  
 F = 3.397662  
 R = 0.247653  
 t = 1.843275  
 N = 54  
 $C_1 = 165.126098$   
 $S_0 = 0.537643$   
 $SE = 161.513163$




AS-4 TENSILE ADHESION (SM), FAILURE CRITERION: 25 PSI

Figure 4

$Y = ( 292.803955 ) + ( -0.262326 ) * X$   
 SIGNIFICANCE OF F = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF R = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF t = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 DEGREES OF FREEDOM = 51  
 TEST COND = AMB TEMP/RH  
 STORAGE COND = 70 DGRS F

$Q_T = 81.045410$   
 $S_B = 0.266988$   
 $SE = 81.072387$




RS-20 TENSILE ADHESION (SM), FAILURE CRITERION: 10 PSI

Figure 5

Y = ( 283.830322 ) + ( 0.038043 ) \* X  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF F = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF R = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF t = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 DEGREES OF FREEDOM = 46  
 TEST COND = 70 DGRS F  
 STORAGE COND = 70 DGRS F  
 QY = 119.829833  
 SB = 0.444950  
 SF = 121.115692  
 AMB TEMP/RH

F = 0.007310  
 R = 0.012605  
 t = 0.085500  
 N = 48



RS-24 TENSILE ADHESION (SM)

Figure 6


F = 0.144749  
 R = 0.043317  
 L = 0.380459  
 N = 79

Y = ( 1726.006835 ) + ( 0.259739 ) \* X  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF F = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF R = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF L = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 DEGREES OF FREEDOM = 77  
 70 DGRES F TEST COND =

STORAGE COND=

QY = 217.470581  
 SA = 0.682701  
 SE = 218.672729  
 AMB TEMP/RH

PARAMETER = MAXIMUM STRESS  
 UNIT OF MEASURE =  
 PSI  
 \*10<sup>3</sup>



RS-5 TENSILE STRENGTH (SM)

Figure 7

$F = 2.341966$                                   ) \* X  
 $R = -0.151274$                                 SIGNIFICANCE OF F = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 $t = 1.530349$                                 SIGNIFICANCE OF R = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 $N = 102$                                         SIGNIFICANCE OF t = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 STORAGE COND = 100                        DEGREES OF FREEDOM =  
     70 DGRS F                        TEST COND =




Figure 8

Y = ( 288.488647 ) + ( -0.654953 ) \* X  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF F = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF R = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF C = SIGNIFICANT  
 DEGREES OF FREEDOM = 57  
 TEST COND =  
 70 DGRS F  
 STORAGE COND =  
 AMB TEMP/RH  
 F = 3.707610  
 R = -0.247130  
 C = 1.925516  
 N = 59  
 OY = 65.695770  
 SB = 0.340144  
 SE = 64.214019




Figure 9

$Y = ( 9490.343750 ) + ( 3.053772 ) * X$   
 SIGNIFICANCE OF F = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF R = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF t = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 DEGREES OF FREEDOM = 99  
 TEST COND = AMB TEMP/RH  
 STORAGE COND =  
 70 DGRS F  
 N = 101  
 F = 1.526223  
 R = 0.123217  
 t = 1.2335404  
 S<sub>B</sub> = 2.471881  
 S<sub>E</sub> = 941.480224  
 C<sub>T</sub> = 943.954101





Figure 10

$Y = ( 2.153505 ) + ( -0.001498 ) * X$   
 SIGNIFICANCE OF F = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF R = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF t = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 DEGREES OF FREEDOM = 56  
 TEST COND =  
 STORAGE COND =  
 N = 58  
 F = 0.583859  
 R = -0.101580  
 t = 0.764107  
 C<sub>T</sub> = 0.462408  
 S<sub>B</sub> = 0.001960  
 S<sub>E</sub> = 0.464105  
 AMB TEMP/RH




RS-5 ELONGATION (ER). FAILURE CRITERION: 0.36 PERCENT STRAIN

Figure 11




Y = ( 90.513244 ) + ( 0.030144 ) \* X  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF F = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF R = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF t = NOT SIGNIFICANT  
 DEGREES OF FREEDOM = 68  
 TEST COND =  
 70 DGRS F  
 STORAGE COND =  
 F = 0.412919  
 R = 0.077690  
 t = 0.642587  
 N = 70  
 QY = 14.600261  
 S<sub>b</sub> = 0.046911  
 SE = 14.662773  
 AMB TEMP/RH



RS-19A ELONGATION (ER)

Figure 12


F = 9.679183  
 R = -0.323168  
 U = 3.111138  
 N = R5  
 STORAGE COND = 70 DGRS F  
 TEST COND = AMB TEMP/RH  
 $Y = ( 1.137422 ) + ( -0.001882 ) * X$   
 SIGNIFICANCE OF F = SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF R = SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF U = SIGNIFICANT  
 DEGREES OF FREEDOM = 83  
 $Q_V = 0.190735$   
 $S_B = 0.000605$   
 $SE = 0.181584$



R5-12 ELONGATION (ER)

Figure 13

Y = ( 0.520426 ) + ( 0.000537 ) \* X  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF F = SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF R = SIGNIFICANT  
 SIGNIFICANCE OF t = SIGNIFICANT  
 DEGREES OF FREEDOM = 83  
 TEST COND =  
 70 DGRS F  
 STORAGE COND =  
 N = 85  
 Cy = 0.080024  
 S<sub>B</sub> = 0.000261  
 S<sub>E</sub> = 0.078531  
 AMB TEMP/RH



RS-21 ELONGATION (ER)

Figure 14

DISTRIBUTION

	<u>NR COPIES</u>
OOALC	
MMWRMT	1
MMWRME	1
DDC (TISIR) Cameron Station, Alexandria, VA 22314	2
SAMSO, Norton AFB, CA 92409	
MNNP	1
TWR Systems, Norton AFB, CA 92409	
Attn: Mr. J. C. Metcalf, Bldg 523/315	1
AFPRO, Thiokol Chemical Corporation	2
Wasatch Division	
P.O. Box 524	
Brigham City, Utah 84302	
(Cy to R. E. Keating)	
AFRPL (MKPB) Edwards AFB, CA 93523	1
SAC (LGMB) Offutt AFB, NB 68113	1
U. S. Naval Ordnance Station, Indian Head, MA 20640	1
Attn: Dr. James H. Wiegand	
Fleet Support Dept., Propulsion	
System Development Division, Code FS7	
CPIA, Johns Hopkins University	1
Attn: Dr. P. L. Nichols	
8621 Georgia Avenue	
Silver Springs, MA 20910	
Naval Plant Branch Representative	1
Attn: Mr. David W. Pratt	
Bacchus Works	
Manga, Utah 84044	

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 354(76)	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 14/ MANCPO-354(76)	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
6. TITLE (and Subtitle) Minuteman Stage I Motor Reliability Improvement Program Surveillance		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Test Results - Semi Annual
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) John A. Thompson	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Propellant Lab Section Directorate of Maintenance OO-ALC Hill AFB, Utah 84406		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS MEMP <del>Project 472632</del> -5MP116P
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Service Engineering Division Directorate of Materiel Management OO-ALC Hill AFB, Utah 84406		12. REPORT DATE Sep 76
13. NUMBER OF PAGES 28		14. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
15. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) <i>(E) 27 p.</i>		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)  Approved for Public Release, Distribution Unlimited		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  Solid Propellant Minuteman		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  This report contains data and test results from the third time testing of the Minuteman Stage I Reliability Improvement Program (RIP) Surveillance. Thiokol (See TWR-7300) had tested and reported on this program for eight years up through 30 June 1973. The responsibility was then turned over to the Propellant Laboratory (MANCPO at OO-ALC).  In the previous report, data was compared with Thiokol data and was presented in the same format as in Thiokol's final report. In this report, Thiokol's data		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 68 IS OBSOLETE

24

404 77  
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

Block 20 continued

with the data from three years of testing in MANCP's laboratory were combined and regression analysis was made by MANCP's statistical group.

The purpose of testing is to provide early warning if any serious degradation trends occur in the components being evaluated.