

PARAMETRIC STUDY OF A COMPACT SHEAR FRACTURE SPECIMEN BY FINITE ELEMENT TECHNIQUES

Daniel Hoyniak

ADA 028932

7

Technical Memorandum File No. TM 76-196 June 14, 1976 Contract No. N00017-73-C-1418

Copy No. 5

AIIG 27 1976

The Pennsylvania State University Institute for Science and Engineering APPLIED RESEARCH LABORATORY Post Office Box 30 State College, PA 16801 University

> APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

NAVY DEPARTMENT

NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS COMMAND

REPORT NUMBER IN 76-196 IN 76-197 IN 76-196 IN 76-197 IN 76-196 IN 76-197 IN 76-1		PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS	7
TH-76-196 4 TTL: Control of A COMPACT SHEAR PRACTURE PRANNETIC STUDY OF A COMPACT SHEAR PRACTURE PERFORMING ORGANIZATION RAME AND ADDRESS TH 76-196 4. TTL: Control of Cont	I. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	1
	TM-76-196			
ARAMETRIC STUDY OF A CONPACT SHEAR ERACTURE SECONDEN BY FINITE ELMENT IECRNIQUES. A UTHOR() Daniel/Hoyniak PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS The Pemsylvania State University Applied Research Laboratory P. O. BOS 03, State College; TA 16801 Univ Park Performing Organization of the Navy Applied Research Laboratory P. O. BOS 03, State College; TA 16801 Univ Park Mark State University Applied Research Laboratory P. O. BOS 03, State College; TA 16801 Univ Park Mark State University Applied Research Laboratory P. O. BOS 03, State College; TA 16801 Univ Park Mark State Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20362 M. Controlling General M. S. CEURITY CLASS (of this meroid Unclassified, Unlimited The DecLASSI(CATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE M. S. CEURITY CLASS (of the meroid Unclassified, Unlimited The DECLASSI(CATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE M. S. SCHEDULE M. S. CONTROLING OFFICIENT (of the defrect mixed in Block 20, 1/ different from Report) M. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the defrect mixed in Block 20, 1/ different from Report) M. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the defrect mixed in Block 20, 1/ different from Report) M. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the defrect mixed in Block 20, 1/ different from Report) M. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the defrect mixed in Block 20, 1/ different from Report) M. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the defrect mixed in Block 20, 1/ different from Report) M. S. TREES INFENSITY FACTOR FRACTURE SPECINEN DISTRICTION STATEMENT (of the defrect mixed in Block 20, 1/ different from Report) ADSTRACT (Confinement mixed in Increasely and Identify by block member) STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE ND 2 STREES INFENSITY FACTOR FRACTURE SPECINEN DISTRICTIONING STATEMENT (of the defrect mixed in Block 20, 1/ different from State (In sector) ADSTRACT (Confinement mixed in Increasely and Identify by block member) STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE ND 2 STREES INFENSITY FACTOR FRACTURE SPECINEN DISTRICTIONING STATEMENT (of the defrect mixed in defrect the track length,	6. TITLE (and Subtitle)	and the second	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED]
SPECTMEN BY FINITE ELEMENT TECHNIQUES AUTHORY Daniel/Royniak Authory Daniel/Royniak S. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS The Pennsylvania State University Applied Research Laboratory P. O. Box 30, Stete Collegy; TA 16801 (Ani, Fr., Authory of Contact in University Applied Research Laboratory P. O. Box 30, Stete Collegy; TA 16801 (Ani, Fr., Authory of Paulier) C. MUMBER of Paulier Naval Sea Systems Command Department of the Navy Weshington, D. C. 20362 II. CONTROLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Sea Systems Command Department of the Navy Weshington, D. C. 20362 II. SUMPORT GREAT ALL ADDRESS (different from Controlling Office) II. SUMPORT ALL ADDRESS (different for Controlling Office) II. SUMPORT ALL ADDRESS (different from Controlling Office) II. SUMPORT ALL ADDRESS (different for Controlling Office) II. SUMPORT ADDRESS (Naval Sea Systems Command), 7/15/76 II. ONTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release, distribution unlimited, per NSSC (Naval Sea Systems Command), 7/15/76 II. SUMPORT ADDRESS RATE MOD 2 STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE MOD 2 ADJUST of Continue Sections and Identify by block mather) ADJUST (Continue Sections and Incomercy and Identify by slock mather) ADJUST (Continue Sections and Incomercy and Identify by slock mather) ADJUST (Continue Sections and Incomercy and Identify by slock mather) ADJUST (Continue Sections and Incomercy and Identify by slock mather) ADJUST (Co	PARAMETRIC STUDY OF A COMPACT SHE	AR_FRACTURE	M.S. Thesis, August 1976	
7. AUTHOR(0) The Jef-196 Daniel/Hoyniak 5. PEGRAMET OR GART AUDREEN(0) 8. PEGRAM CORAMIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 6. PROPAM ELEMENT PADJECT, TASK The Pennsylvania State University 9. PEGRAM ELEMENT PADJECT, TASK Applied Research Laboratory 9. PEGRAM ELEMENT PADJECT, TASK P. O. Box 30, State - College, TA 16801 Univ Park 10. PROPAM ELEMENT PADJECT, TASK Maxal Sea Systems Command 9. Picture Department of the Navy 10. NUMBER OF PADES Washington, D. C. 20362 9. Security CLASS (of this report) University 10. NUMBER OF PADES M. NONTORNA AUGUEV NAME & ADDRESS(II dilinear from Controlling Office) 10. SECURITY CLASS (of this report) Unclassified, Unlimited 10. Security CLASS (of this report) Unclassified, Unlimited 10. Security CLASS (of this report) M. DONTORNO AUGUEV VAME & ADDRESS(II dilinear from Controlling Office) 10. SECURITY CLASS (of this report) Unclassified, Unlimited 10. Security CLASS (of this report) M. ONTORNO AUGUEV VAME & ADDRESS(II dilinear from Controlling Office) 10. Security CLASS (of this report) M. ONTORNO AUGUEV VAME & ADDRESS(II dilinear from Controlling Office) 10. Security CLASS (of this report) M. ONTORNO AUGUEV VAME & ADDRESS(II dilinear from Cont	SPECIMEN BY FINITE ELEMENT TECHNI	QUES •	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	1
7. AUTORY O Daniel/Royniak 3. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS The Pennsylvania State University Applied Research Laboratory P. O. Box 30, State College, TA 16801 (Int Content of the State University From Control Ling Office University P. O. Box 30, State College, TA 16801 (Int Content of the Control Ling Office) 1. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS The Pennsylvania State University P. O. Box 30, State College, TA 16801 (Int Content of the Content of the Name Washington, D. C. 20362 1. PERFORM ELEVENT FOR THE ADDRESS (Int different from Control Ling Office) 1. SECURITY CLASS of flag and the second of the Name Washington Address of the Name Mashington Statement of the Namy Washington Statement of the Namy Mashington Statement of the State State Interesting and Name Statement of public release, distribution unlimited, per NSSC (Naval Sea Systems Command), 7/15/76 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If Statement from Report) 18. Supple EMENTARY NOYES 19. Statement of the state state in necessary and Identify by block number) STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE MD 2 STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE MD 2 A compact shear fracture spectmen has been proposed for the study of the edge sliding mode, Mode II, of crack propagation. The purpose of this investiga- tion was to numerically determine the effect that crack length, specimen geometry, and applied load, has on the Mode II stress intensity factors for this specimen. Numerical results were compared to those			TM 76-196	1
Daniel/Hoyniak Deniel/Hoyniak PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS The Pennsylvania State University Applied Research Laboratory P. O. Box 30, State - Goltage, TA 16801 Univ Park University Applied Research Laboratory Naval Sea Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20362 The ONTROLING OFFICE NAME ADDRESS Naval Sea Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20362 The ONTROLING AGENCY NAME ADDRESS Naval Sea Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20362 The ONTROLING AGENCY NAME ADDRESS Naval Sea Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20362 The ONTROLING AGENCY NAME ADDRESS Naval Sea Systems Controlling Office Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20362 The ONTROLING AGENCY NAME ADDRESS Address of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20362 The ONTROLING STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release, distribution unlimited, per NSSC (Naval Sea Systems Command), 7/15/76 The SUPPLEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE MOD 2 STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE MOD 2 STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE MD 2 STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE MD 2 STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE MD 2 STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE MD 2 STRAIN CONTROL STATEMENT FACTOR FRACTURE SPECIMEN DISPLACE TECHNIQUE Adomand applied load, has on the Mode II stress intensity factors for this specimen. Numerical results were compared on the study of the edge sliding mode, Mode II, of crack propagation. The purpose of this investiga- tion was to numerically determine the effect that crack length, specimen geometry, and applied load, has on the Mode II stress intensity factors for this specimen. Numerical results were compared to those obtained independently by another investigator using boundary collocation and photoelastic techniques A compact shear fracture of the observe compared to those obtained independently by another investigator using boundary collocation and photoelastic techniques A compacti	7. AUTHOR(2)	(IP	CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+)	
	Daniel/Hoyniak	13	NØ9917-73-C-1418	
The Permaylivania State University Applied Research Laboratory P. O. Box 30, State College, TA 16801 4/01/ Park Washington, D. C. 20362 Washington, D. C. 20362 Washin	9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	-	10. PROGRAM EL EMENT, PROJECT, TASK	1
Approved for public release, distribution unlimited, per NSSC (Naval Sea Systems Command), 7/15/76 Is RUMPLENERGY FATENERT (of the second and the Block 20, If different free Report) W. DEVERGY RELEASE RATE MOD 2 STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE MOD 2 STRAI	The Pennsylvania State University		12 97	1
 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Sea Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20362 12. MONITORING AGENCY NAME A ADDRESS/// different from Controlling Office 95 pages & figures 95 pages & figure	P. O. Box 30, State College, PA	16801 Unit Park	C I P	
Naval Sea Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 2036 T. WUMBER OF PAGES Dages & figures J. WUMBER OF PAGES J. WUMER OF PAGES J. WUMBER OF PAGES J. WUMBER OF PAGES J. WUMER OF	11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12 REPORT DATE	1
Department of the Navy 95 pages & figures Washington, D. C. 20362 95 pages & figures It MONITORING AGENCY NAME 3 ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 15 SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified, Unlimited 15 SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified, Unlimited 15 SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Inclassified, Unlimited 15 SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Approved for public release, distribution unlimited, per NSSC (Naval Sea Systems Command), 7/15/76 16 Distribution STATEMENT (of the shaffed entered in Block 20, II different from Report) It. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE ND 2 STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR FRACTURE SPECIMEN Displace for the study of the edge sliding mode, Mode II, of crack propagation. The purpose of this investigation was to numerically determine the effect that crack length, specimen geometry, and applied load, has on the Mode II stress intensity factors for this specimen. Numerical results were compared to those obtained independently by another investigator using boundary collocation and photoelastic techniques (and the section was purplied load, has on the Mode II stress intensity factors for this specimen.	Naval Sea Systems Command		4 / June 10 76	
The DATA Server of the address of the second of the se	Washington D. C. 20362		95 pages & figures	
Unclassified, Unlimited Unclassified, Unclassified, Unclassified, Unclassified Unclassified, Unclassified,	TA. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(I dilleren	t from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)	1
Yechnical memory Ise DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING Key UCRN STATEMENT (of this Report) Key WORDS (Continues on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Key WORDS (Continues on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Key WORDS (Continues on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Key WORDS (Continues on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Key WORDS (Continues on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Key WORDS (Continues on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Key WORDS (Continues on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Key WORDS (Continues on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Key WORDS (Continues on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A compact shear fracture specimen has been proposed for the study of the edge sliding mode, Mode II, of crack propagation. The purpose of this investigation was to numerically determine the effect that crack length, specimen geometry, and applied load, has on the Mode II stress intensity factors for this specimen. Numerical results were compared to those obtained independently by another investigator using boundary collocation and photoelastic techniques in a purpose in the intendent in the intendent is propagation. The purpose is intensity factors for this specimen. Numerical results were compared to those obtained independently by another investigator using boundary collocation and photoelastic techniques in a public load, has on the Mode II stress intensity factors for this specimen. Numerical results were compared to those obtained independently by another investigator using boundary collocation and photoelastic techniques in a photoelastic techniques in the stress intensity factors for this specimen. Numerical results were compared to those obtained independently by another investigator using boundary collocation and photoelastic techniques in a photoelastic techniques in a photoelastic techniques in a	am i . i	10.047 B	Unclassified, Unlimited	
 Approved for public release, distribution unlimited, per NSSC (Naval Sea Systems Command), 7/15/76 I7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) I8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOVES I8. According to an reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE MOD 2 STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR FRACTURE SPECIMEN DISPLACE TECHNQUE MABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A compact shear fracture specimen has been proposed for the study of the edge sliding mode, Mode II, of crack propagation. The purpose of this investigation was to numerically determine the effect that crack length, specimen geometry, and applied load, has on the Mode II stress intensity factors for this specimen. Numerical results were compared to those obtained independently by another investigator using boundary collocation and photoelastic techniques (Application) and photoelastic techniqu	y Technical memo	ン	150. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	1
Approved for public release, distribution unlimited, per NSSC (Naval Sea Systems Command), 7/15/76 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the adatred entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOYES 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOYES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE MOD 2 STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR FRACTURE SPECIMEN DISPLACE TECHNIQUE 19. AdSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A compact shear fracture specimen has been proposed for the study of the edge sliding mode, Mode II, of crack propagation. The purpose of this investiga- tion was to numerically determine the effect that crack length, specimen geometry, and applied load, has on the Mode II stress intensity factors for this specimen. Numerical results were compared to those obtained independently by another investigator using boundary collocation and photoelastic techniques 	16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	non more		1
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE MOD 2 STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR FRACTURE SPECIMEN DISPLACE TECHNIQUE A compact shear fracture specimen has been proposed for the study of the edge sliding mode, Mode II, of crack propagation. The purpose of this investiga- tion was to numerically determine the effect that crack length, specimen geometry, and applied load, has on the Mode II stress intensity factors for this specimen. Numerical results were compared to those obtained independently by another investigator using boundary collocation and photoelastic techniques In addition, the initial angle of propagation was numerically determined for 	per NSSC (Naval Sea Systems Comma	and), 7/15/76	-cu •	
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE MOD 2 STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR FRACTURE SPECIMEN DISPLACE TECHNIQUE ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by slock number) A compact shear fracture specimen has been proposed for the study of the edge sliding mode, Mode II, of crack propagation. The purpose of this investiga- tion was to numerically determine the effect that crack length, specimen geometry, and applied load, has on the Mode II stress intensity factors for this specimen. Numerical results were compared to those obtained independently by another investigator using boundary collocation and photoelastic techniques 	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered	in Block 20, II dillerent fre	aa Repart)	
 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE MOD 2 STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR FRACTURE SPECIMEN DISPLACE TECHNIQUE ABSYRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A compact shear fracture specimen has been proposed for the study of the edge sliding mode, Mode II, of crack propagation. The purpose of this investiga- tion was to numerically determine the effect that crack length, specimen geometry, and applied load, has on the Mode II stress intensity factors for this specimen. Numerical results were compared to those obtained independently by another investigator using boundary collocation and photoelastic techniques in addition, the initial angle of propagation was numerically determined for in addition. 				
NET WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE MOD 2 STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR FRACTURE SPECIMEN DISPLACE TECHNIQUE NABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A compact shear fracture specimen has been proposed for the study of the edge sliding mode, Mode II, of crack propagation. The purpose of this investiga- tion was to numerically determine the effect that crack length, specimen geometry, and applied load, has on the Mode II stress intensity factors for this specimen. Numerical results were compared to those obtained independently by another investigator using boundary collocation and photoelastic techniques In addition, the initial angle of propagation was numerically determined for	18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE MOD 2 STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR FRACTURE SPECIMEN DISPLACE TECHNIQUE ABJYRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary and identify by block number) A compact shear fracture specimen has been proposed for the study of the edge sliding mode, Mode II, of crack propagation. The purpose of this investiga- tion was to numerically determine the effect that crack length, specimen geometry, and applied load, has on the Mode II stress intensity factors for this specimen. Numerical results were compared to those obtained independently by another investigator using boundary collocation and photoelastic techniques	18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			ļ
NOD 2 STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR FRACTURE SPECIMEN DISPLACE TECHNIQUE ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A compact shear fracture specimen has been proposed for the study of the edge sliding mode, Mode II, of crack propagation. The purpose of this investiga- tion was to numerically determine the effect that crack length, specimen geometry, and applied load, has on the Mode II stress intensity factors for this specimen. Numerical results were compared to those obtained independently by another investigator using boundary collocation and photoelastic techniques	18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary un	id identify by block number,)	
FRACTURE SPECIMEN <u>DISPLACE TECHNIQUE</u> ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde II necessary and identify by block number) A compact shear fracture specimen has been proposed for the study of the edge sliding mode, Mode II, of crack propagation. The purpose of this investiga- tion was to numerically determine the effect that crack length, specimen geometry, and applied load, has on the Mode II stress intensity factors for this specimen. Numerical results were compared to those obtained independently by another investigator using boundary collocation and photoelastic techniques	18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary an STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE	d Identify by block number,)	
DISPLACE TECHNIQUE ABJYRACT (Continue on reverse elde II necessary and identity by block number) A compact shear fracture specimen has been proposed for the study of the edge sliding mode, Mode II, of crack propagation. The purpose of this investiga- tion was to numerically determine the effect that crack length, specimen geometry, and applied load, has on the Mode II stress intensity factors for this specimen. Numerical results were compared to those obtained independently by another investigator using boundary collocation and photoelastic techniques	10. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary an STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE MOD 2 STRESS INTENSITY FACT/D	d Identify by block number,)	
A compact shear fracture specimen has been proposed for the study of the edge sliding mode, Mode II, of crack propagation. The purpose of this investiga- tion was to numerically determine the effect that crack length, specimen geometry, and applied load, has on the Mode II stress intensity factors for this specimen. Numerical results were compared to those obtained independently by another investigator using boundary collocation and photoelastic techniques	 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if metersary an STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE MOD 2 STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR FRACTURE SPECIMEN 	id identify by block number,)	
a compact shear fracture spectmen has been proposed for the study of the edge sliding mode, Mode II, of crack propagation. The purpose of this investiga- tion was to numerically determine the effect that crack length, specimen geometry, and applied load, has on the Mode II stress intensity factors for this specimen. Numerical results were compared to those obtained independently by another investigator using boundary collocation and photoelastic techniques	 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary an STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE MOD 2 STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR FRACTURE SPECIMEN DISPLACE TECHNIQUE 	d Identify by block number,)	
tion was to numerically determine the effect that crack length, specimen geometry, and applied load, has on the Mode II stress intensity factors for this specimen. Numerical results were compared to those obtained independently by another investigator using boundary collocation and photoelastic techniques	 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary an STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE MOD 2 STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR FRACTURE SPECIMEN DISPLACE TECHNIQUE ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and A gommant shown for a boot of the state of the	d Identify by block number, d Identify by block number)	and for the study of the sdee	
geometry, and applied load, has on the Mode II stress intensity factors for this specimen. Numerical results were compared to those obtained independently by another investigator using boundary collocation and photoelastic techniques	 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary an STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE MOD 2 STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR FRACTURE SPECIMEN DISPLACE TECHNIQUE ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and A compact shear fracture speciment sliding mode. Mode II. of crack r 	d (dentify by block number, d (dentify by block number) h has been propos propagation. The	sed for the study of the edge purpose of this investiga-	
by another investigator using boundary collocation and photoelastic techniques	 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary an STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE MOD 2 STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR FRACTURE SPECIMEN DISPLACE TECHNIQUE ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and A compact shear fracture speciment sliding mode, Mode II, of crack p tion was to numerically determined 	d identify by block number, d identify by block number) h has been propos propagation. The b the effect that	sed for the study of the edge e purpose of this investiga- t crack length, specimen	
In addition, the initial angle of propagation was numerically determined for	 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary an STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE MOD 2 STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR FRACTURE SPECIMEN DISPLACE TECHNIQUE ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and A compact shear fracture specimen sliding mode, Mode II, of crack p tion was to numerically determine geometry, and applied load, has c 	d identify by block number, d identify by block number) h has been propos propagation. The e the effect that on the Mode II st	sed for the study of the edge e purpose of this investiga- t crack length, specimen tress intensity factors for	
The addression and a factor and a second sec	 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary an STRAIN ENERGY RELEASE RATE MOD 2 STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR FRACTURE SPECIMEN DISPLACE TECHNIQUE ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and A compact shear fracture speciment sliding mode, Mode II, of crack p tion was to numerically determine geometry, and applied load, has of this specimen. Numerical results by another investigator using box 	d identify by block number, d identify by block number, h has been propos propagation. The be the effect that on the Mode II st s were compared to andary collocatio	sed for the study of the edge e purpose of this investiga- t crack length, specimen tress intensity factors for to those obtained independent1	

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Dote Entered)

20. ABSTRACT (continuted)

selected specimen configurations and crack lengths. The Mode II stress intensity factors were generated by using displacement and strain energy release rate methods. The initial angle of propagation was obtained by the strain energy density technique.

The optimum specimen configuration established during this investigation has a tang width H = 1.0 inch. This model configuration exhibited a relatively constant nondimensionalized Mode II stress intensity factor for varying crack lengths. For a tang width of 0.5 inch, the nondimensionalized Mode II stress intensity factors were found to be load dependent for short crack lengths. For a tang width H = 1.5 inch, the nondimensionalized Mode II stress intensity factors were influenced by bending of the specimen over the entire range of crack lengths studied. Boundary effects were also noticed, for all specimen configurations studied, as the crack tip approached the upper and lower boundaries of the specimen. The numerically determined initial angle of propagation was found to be 77° for the specimen configurations and crack lengths selected to be investigated. This study also showed the usefulness of the finite element method in determining the Mode II stress intensity factors for different loading conditions and model geometries.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author expresses sincere gratitude to Dr. Joseph C. Conway, Associate Professor of Engineering Mechanics, for his encouragement and assistance in the research and preparation of this thesis. Appreciation is also extended to Mr. Hiroshi Honda for his assistance in the programming of the strain energy subroutine, and to the Applied Research Laboratory of The Pennsylvania State University for use of their facilities and support for this research under contract with the Naval Sea Systems Command.

	tinte toilles Ch
NG Maxinguiniteu	
	a an
	ويترجع والمتركب والمترجع والمتحر والمترود والمترود والمتروب
	ANALANI LIN COMM
Bist /	WAH. and/ar art Da.
N T	
11 1	
H	1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. 1. I.

1.65

· · ·

							P	age
ACKNO	WLEDG	EMENTS	•	••	•	٠	•	11
LIST	OF TA	BLES	•	•••	•	•	•	v
LIST	OF FI	GURES	•	• •	•	۲	٩	vi
I.	INTR	ODUCTION TO PROBLEM						
	1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	General Introduction	•	• •	•	• • •	• • •	1 3 3 5
11.	FINI FRAC	TE ELEMENT TECHNIQUES APPLIED TO LINEAR ELAST TURE MECHANICS	IC					
	2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4	Introduction	•	a 1 7 0 1 0	•	• • •	• • •	6 6 9 12
111.	MODE NUME	L USED IN THE INVESTIGATION AND VERIFICATION (OF					
	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5	Introduction	•	e v 4 9 • • • •	• • • • •	•	• • *	14 14 16 18 18
IV.	ANAL	YSIS OF RESULTS						
	1. 1					_		24
	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	Introduction	•	• •	•	•	•	24 30 41

111

のの日本のないのためたいの

ins also and

.

.

.

Page

BIBLIOGRAPHY	
APFENDIX A:	Finite Element Program Used in the Investigation 48
APPENDIX B:	Closed Form Solution
APPENDIX C:	Grid Generation

Ĵ

.....

¥.

• •

LIST OF TABLES

v

in the second

Store and

<u>Table</u>		Pag	<u>e</u>
1.	Model Parameters Used in the Investigation	• 1	7
2.	Numerically Generated Mode II Stress Intensity Factors	• 2	5
3.	Numerically Predicted Initial Angle of Propagation for Selected a/W Ratios, with a Tang Width H = 1.0		
		• 43	2

LIST OF FIGURES

のないというないが

Figur	<u>e</u>	Pa	ige
1.	Modes of Crack Deformation	•	2
2.	Compact Shear Fracture Specimen	•	4
3.	Crack Tip Coordinate System	•	8
4.	Mode II Stress Intensity Factor Versus r/a for $a/W = 0.5$, H = 1.0 Inch and a Point Load of P = 1.0 Pound	•	10
5.	Typical Grid Pattern, a/W = 0.5	•	15
6.	Boundary Conditions for (a) Point Load with Center Point of Tangs Fixed (b) Uniform Load with Upper Tang Boundary Fixed	•	19
7.	Compact Tension Specimen	•	20
8.	Mode I Stress Intensity Factor Versus r/a for Compact Tension Specimen	•	23
9.	Nondimensionalized Mode II Stress Intensity Factor Versus a/W Ratio for Selected Tang Widths H	•	26
10.	Nondimensionalized Mode II Stress Intensity Factor Versus a/W Ratio for a Tang Width H = 0.5 Inch	•	28
11.	Nondimensionalized Mode II Stress Intensity Factor Versus a/W Ratio for a Tang Width H = 1.0 Inch	•	29
12.	Nondimensionalized Mode II Stress Intensity Factor Versus a/W Ratio for a Tang Width H = 1.5 Inches	•	31
13.	Stress Boundary Conditions Assumed in Boundary Collocation Study	•	33
14.	Numerically Generated Stress Distribution Along Upper Tang Boundary for $a/W = 0.5$, $H = 1.0$ Inch, Point Load, Center Point of Tangs Fixed, and Plane Strain Conditions	•	34
15.	Numerically Generated Stress Distribution Along Upper Tang Boundary for $a/W = 0.5$, $H = 1.0$ Inch, Uniform Loading, Center Point of Tangs Fixed, and Plane Strain Conditions .	•	35

vi

۰.

5

we are the second to the second second

No. 10

Figure

1. 10

16.	Numerically Generated Stress Distribution Along Vertical Axis of Symmetry for $a/W = 0.5$, $H = 1.0$ Inch, Point and Uniform Loading, Center Point of Tangs Fixed, and Plane Strain Conditions	36
17.	Numerically Generated Stress Distribution Along Upper Tang Boundary for $a/W = 0.5$, $H = 1.0$ Inch, Point Load, Upper Tang Boundary Fixed, and Plane Strain Conditions	37
18.	Numerically Generated Stress Distribution Along Upper Tang Boundary for $a/W = 0.5$, $H = 1.0$ Inch, Uniform Loading, Upper Tang Boundary Fixed, and Plane Strain Conditions	38
19.	Numerically Generated Stress Distribution Along Vertical Axis of Symmetry for $a/W = 0.5$, $H = 1.0$ Inch, Point and Uniform Loading, Upper Tang Boundary Fixed, and Plane Strain Conditions	39
20.	Global Coordinate System Used in SSAP	50
21.	Local Coordinate System Used in SSAP	50
22.	Center Cracked Infinite Strip Used in Closed Form Solution	55
23.	Notched Plate Used in Sample Problem	58
24.	Grid Pattern for Notched Plate Without Triangular Transition Elements	59
25.	Completed Grid Pattern for Notched Plate	61
26.	Listing of Input to Grid Generation Program for Notched Plate	62

vii

Page

Constraints with

and the second states and the second s

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION TO PROBLEM

1.1 General Introduction

Q

The use of high-strength materials in the design of engineering structures has lead to the development of theories which can be used to predict the reduced strength of these structures caused by induced or inherent flaws in the material. Engineering fracture mechanics utilizes the concepts of stress intensity factor, K, and critical stress intensity factor, K_c , to predict this reduced strength. The stress intensity factor, K, is a function of applied load and geometry, while the critical stress intensity factor, K_c , is an experimentally determined constant for a given material and mode of deformation.

There are three possible modes of deformation associated with a crack as shown in Figure 1: opening mode, Mode I; edge sliding mode, Mode II; and tearing mode, Mode III. Until recently, Mode I has been considered as the most significant mode of failure. As a consequence, preceding investigations in fracture mechanics have dealt primarily with this mode, and data on critical stress intensity factors is restricted to Mode I loading. Recent investigations, however, indicate that Mode II may be a significant mode of failure in certain cases. Jones and Chisholm [1] established a compact shear fracture specimen to study the phenomenon of Mode II fracture and determine accurate critical stress intensity data.





MODE I, OPENING MODE



MODE I, ECSE SLIDING MODE



MODE III, TEARING MODE

Figure 1. Modes of Crack Deformation

The object of this investigation was to check the performance of the compact shear fracture specimen by numerically generating stress intensity factors using finite element techniques. In addition, initial crack propagation angles were numerically predicted.

1.2 Purpose of Investigation

This study was conducted to investigate, by finite element techniques, the behavior of the compact shear fracture specimen developed by Jones and Chisholm. In particular, Mode II stress intensity factors and stress boundary conditions were numerically generated for comparison with those obtained by Jones using boundary collocation and photoelastic methods. In addition, the initial angle of propagation which could not be obtained by Jones was determined for selected specimen geometry and crack lengths.

1.3 Scope of Investigation

This study utilized the compact shear fracture specimen established by Jones and Chisholm to numerically determine the effect of changing crack length, applied load, and specimen geometry on the Mode II stress intensity factor, K_{II} . The specimen, shown in Figure 2, has a specimen height W, thickness B, crack length a and tang width H. The model parameters varied in this investigation were crack length a, and tang width H.

Point and uniform loads were utilized in this investigation to simulate conditions that could be achieved in a rigid loading frame, and also to approximate as closely as possible the loading conditions adopted by Jones. The stress distribution along the upper boundary and



4

(1,2,2,2,2)

「日本のない」のないので、「「日本のない」



vertical axis of symmetry obtained for selected load conditions and model configurations were compared to those assumed in the boundary collocation study.

The initial angle of propagation of the crack, for a given crack length, applied load, and model geometry, was also determined.

1.4 Approach Used in the Investigation

A numerical solution, the finite element method, was employed to generate displacements along the crack flanks, the normal and tangential stress distribution along the upper tang boundary, and the normal stress distribution along the vertical axis of symmetry. A plane strain condition was utilized for the numerical analysis throughout this investigation.

The stress intensity factors for the various configurations studied were determined by the displacement [2] and strain energy release rate methods [2]. Numerical results were compared to those obtained in a closed form solution for a model with similar configuration and loading conditions, and to the boundary collocation results. A discription of the closed form solution is given in Appendix B.

The numerically generated normal and tangential stresses along the upper boundary and vertical axis of symmetry represented the stress boundary conditions actually occurring in the model for a given load and model configuration. These were compared to the stress boundary conditions assumed by Jones and Chisholm.

A strain energy density technique [6] was used to numerically obtain the initial angle of propagation of the crack for selected crack lengths and specimen geometry.

CHAPTER II

FINITE ELEMENT TECHNIQUES APPLIED TO LINEAR ELASTIC FRACTURE MECHANICS

2.1 Introduction

The finite element method was utilized to generate displacements along the free flanks of the crack, and the normal and tangential stress distributions along the vertical axis of symmetry and upper boundary of the compact shear fracture specimen under consideration. The methods used to calculate Mode II stress intensity factors for given specimen configuration, crack length and applied loading were the displacement method and the method of strain energy release rate. A brief description of the finite element program used is given in Appendix A. The initial angle of propagation for selected cases was numerically determined by the strain energy density method.

2.2 Displacement Method

The displacement method [2] utilized the displacements of nodal points along the crack flanks and equations describing the displacement field near the crack tip. The equations describing the displacement field are those derived by Westergaard [3], and shown below for Mode I and Mode II plane strain deformations. For Mode I loading,

$$u_{I} = \frac{K_{I}}{\mu} \left[\frac{r}{2\pi}\right]^{1/2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \left[1 - 2\nu + \sin^{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right] \qquad (2.1)$$

$$v_{I} = \frac{K_{I}}{\mu} \left[\frac{r}{2\pi}\right]^{1/2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \left[2 - 2\nu - \cos^{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right], \qquad (2.2)$$

7

while for Mode II loading,

$$u_{II} = \frac{K_{II}}{\mu} \left[\frac{r}{2\pi}\right]^{1/2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \left[2 - 2\nu + \cos^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right]$$
(2.3)

and

$$v_{II} = \frac{K_{II}}{\mu} \left[\frac{r}{2\pi}\right]^{1/2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \left[-1 + 2\nu + \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right] , \quad (2.4)$$

where μ is the shear modulus, ν is Poisson's ratio, r, θ , uand v are defined in Figure 3. K_{I} and K_{II} are the Mode I and Mode II stress intensity factors.

By using nodal displacements, u* and v*, along the crack flank as determined by the finite element method, a stress intensity factor K_{I}^{*} or K_{II}^{*} can be found at each nodal point by use of Equations (2.1) through (2.4). Chan [2] found that the most accurate values of K_{I}^{*} and K_{II}^{*} are attained by using the equations for v_{I} and u_{II} with $\theta = 180^{\circ}$. Thus,

$$\kappa_{I}^{*} = \left[\frac{(2\pi)^{1/2}E}{4(1-\nu^{2})}\right] \frac{\nu_{I}^{*}}{r^{1/2}}$$
(2.5)

and

$$K_{II}^{*} = \left[\frac{(2\pi)^{1/2}E}{4(1-\nu^{2})}\right] \frac{u_{II}^{*}}{r^{1/2}}.$$
 (2.6)

and



and the second second



1.00

and the second of the second second second

If the exact displacements were used as r approaches zero, the exact stress intensity will be determined. Since the finite element method gives accurate solutions along the flanks, and very inaccurate solutions at the crack tip, a tangent extrapolation must be used to determine stress intensity factors.

This is accomplished by plotting a graph of the stress intensity factor, K^* , versus the nondimensionalized distance from the crack tip, r/a, Figure 4, using Equations (2.5) and (2.6). A tangent to the straight line region of this curve is then extrapolated back to the point where it intersects the stress intensity axis. This intercept is taken as the value of the stress intensity factor K. A least squares fit to the data in the straight line region was used to determine the intercept in this study. As can be seen in Figure 4, the straight line region does not extend the length of the crack flank. The observed nonlinearity is due to the boundary condition imposed at the load points, and the fact that the equations for displacement, Equations (2.1) through (2.4), are strictly valid near the crack tip. The procedure used in this investigation was to perform a least squares fit in the region of r/a ratios ranging from 0.1 to 0.2.

2.3 Strain Energy Release Rate

The concept of strain energy release rate [2] states that whenever the strain energy released by the structure is greater than the energy needed to create new crack surface area, the crack will propagate unstably. Mathematically, strain energy released rate, G, can be written as:



· • •

うち、日本市では、日本市場の「日本市」であった。

$$G = \frac{dU}{dA} , \qquad (2.7)$$

where U is the strain energy stored in the structure and A is the new crack surface area formed as the crack extends. Strain energy release rate is related to Mode I and Mode II stress intensity factors by the relation [4]

$$K_{I}^{2} + K_{II}^{2} = \frac{EG}{1 - v^{2}}$$
 (2.8)

This equation is valid for plane strain conditions.

The finite element method can be used to solve for the strain energy release rate, expressed by Equation (2.7), by the following means. The total strain energy of the structure, U_T , is determined by numerically summing the strain energy of each element of the structure. The crack is then extended by a small incremental length, Δa , and the total strain energy, U_T^{\dagger} , is again determined. For plane strain analysis, with the thickness of the model set equal to unity, Equation (2.7) can now be rewritten as:

$$G = \frac{U_T' - U_T}{\Delta a} . \qquad (2.9)$$

Utilizing Equation (2.9) and the fact that for the fracture specimen being studied, Mode I stress intensity factors were negative and therefore could be neglected, K_{II} can be determined from the relation:

$$K_{I}^{2} + K_{II}^{2} = \frac{E}{1 - v^{2}} \left[\frac{U_{T}^{*} - U_{T}}{\Delta a} \right].$$
 (2.10)

2.4 Strain Energy Density

Because cracks which are not oriented perpendicular to the applied load tend to propagate in a direction other than along the axis of the crack, Sih [5] proposed the concept of strain energy density in order to analytically determine the direction of crack growth. Sih determined that the magnitude of the energy field in the vicinity of the crack tip can be written as:

$$S = a_{11}K_{1}^{2} + a_{12}K_{1}K_{11} + a_{22}K_{11}^{2}$$
(2.11)

where

$$a_{11} = \frac{1}{16\mu} [(1 + \cos \theta)(\kappa - \cos \theta)] ,$$

$$a_{12} = \frac{1}{16\mu} \sin \theta [2 \cos \theta - (\kappa - 1)]$$

and

$$a_{22} = \frac{1}{16\mu} \left[(\kappa + 1)(1 - \cos \theta) + (1 + \cos \theta)(3 \cos \theta - 1) \right] .$$

In these equations, $\kappa = 3 - 4\nu$ for plane strain and $(3 - \nu)(1 + \nu)$ for plane stress problems, θ is defined in Figure 3, μ is the shear modulus and K_{II} and K_{II} are the Mode I and Mode II stress intensity factors. The concept of strain energy density states that a crack will propagate in the direction for which the strain energy density S possesses a stationary minimum value, or

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta} = 0 \quad . \tag{2.13}$$

The initial direction of propagation can now be determined by first using finite element techniques to solve for the stress intensity factors, K_I and K_{II} . Equations (2.11) and (2.12) are then used to numerically identify the value of θ which will result in a minimum value of S. In this study, the solution of this problem produced two values of θ which yielded a minimum value of S with the correct value being determined by a physical argument.

CHAPTER III

MODEL USED IN THE INVESTIGATION AND VERIFICATION OF NUMERICAL PROCEDURE

3.1 Introduction

As stated earlier, the model considered in this study is a fracture specimen proposed by Jones and Chisholm and previously shown in Figure 2. This model was gridded for generation of displacements and stresses by the finite element method. The boundary and load conditions applied to the model are discussed in the following paragraphs and verification of the gridding program and finite element technique is established.

3.2 Grid Pattern

A typical grid pattern utilized in this investigation is shown in Figure 5. The pattern consists of a fine region at the crack tip, a transition region and a course region. In the fine region, a typical ratio of element area to the square of crack length, A/a^2 , is 2.6 x 10^{-3} for a/W = 0.5. This ratio for the course region is 1.04 x 10^{-2} . Ratios were chosen in each region to assure optimal convergence to the true solution [2]. The material above the loading pins was not included in the finite element model because it did not contribute any significant stiffness. The fine grid region was moved with the crack tip as crack length was varied. This required that the



Figure 5. Typical Grid Pattern, a/W = 0.5

mesh be regenerated each time a new crack length was considered. In order to decrease the amount of time spent in regridding the model, a grid generation code obtained from another finite element program (SAAS-Stress Analysis of Axisymmetric Solids)[6] was modified to grid the specimen. The modification and required input into this program is discussed in detail in Appendix C. Briefly, this program interpolates the position of internal nodal points from those specified on the external boundaries. The program then eliminates nodal points as described in Appendix C in order to achieve the desired reduction of the grid pattern. Finally, the element information is obtained by using generated nodal point data.

3.3 Model Geometry

A list of the parameters considered in this investigation is given in Table I. The ratio of crack length to specimen height, a/W, was varied from 0.1 to 0.8. This range was selected to determine the effect that the model boundaries have on the Mode II stress intensity factors for short and long cracks. The dependence of the Mode II stress intensity factor on distance from the load point to crack flank was studied by changing the tang width, H, of the specimen. Three widths were considered; H = 1.5 inches, H = 1.0 inch, and H = 0.5 inch. For H = 1.5 inches, the same grid pattern utilized for H = 1.0 inch was adopted with the outer boundary elements expanded to give the desired tang width. The grid pattern for H = 0.5 inch had to be regenerated. This was easily accomplished by a modification of the griding program which allowed it to accept data for H = 1.0 inch, and grid the model

TABLE I

MODEL PARAMETERS USED IN THE INVESTIGATION

H (INCHES)	a/W	W (INCHES)	B (INCHES)
0.5	0.2	3.115	1.00
0.5	0.3	3.115	1.00
0.5	0.5	3.115	1.00
0.5	0.7	3.115	1.00
1.00	0.1	3.115	1.00
1.00	0.2	3.115	1.00
1.00	0.3	3.115	1.00
1.00	0.4	3.115	1.00
1.00	0.5	3.115	1.00
1.00	0.6	3.115	1.00
1.00	0.7	3.115	1.00
1.00	0.8	3.115	1.00
1.50	0.2	3.115	1.00
2., 50	0,4	3.115	1.00
1.50	0.5	3.115	1.00
1.50	0.6	3.115	1.00
1.50	0.7	3.115	1.00
1.50	0.8	3.115	1.00

for H = 0.5 inch. The modification restricted the range over which the program will search for nodal points, thus giving the desired tang width.

3.4 Load and Boundary Conditions

The loading conditions used in this investigation consisted of point and uniform loads applied to the specimen as shown in Figures 6a and 6b, respectively. In order to simulate the loading conditions used in the boundary collocation analysis [1], it was necessary to pin the load points in the y-direction as shown in Figure 6a. The left boundary of the model was pinned in the y-direction because it is a line of symmetry. The uniform loading condition, with the nodal points on the upper boundary pinned in the y-direction as shown in Figure 6b, was selected to simulate a fixed grip loading frame. The crack tip was pinned in the z-direction to eliminate any rigid-body movement of the crack flanks in the z-direction.

3.5 Verification of Gridding Program and Numerical Techniques

Verification of the gridding program and numerical techniques for obtaining stress intensity factors was accomplished by generating a representative grid pattern and loading the compact shear specimen as a compact tension specimen. The transformation from compact shear to compact tension specimen was easily made by simply applying the loads at the side and vertical axis of symmetry along a line perpendicular to the crack axis as shown in Figure 7. The Mode I stress intensity factor was then numerically obtained using both the displacement and strain energy release rate techniques and compared to an available closed form





Figure 7. Compact Tension Specimen

20

Construction of the second second

in here a little here a

all a star and a star she

こうちょう いいちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょうちょうちょう

1. 19 3 . A. S. A. S.

solution. A closed form solution for the compact tension specimen, shown in Figure 7, is given by Sih [10] as:

$$k_{I} = \frac{P(2W + a)}{\sqrt{\pi} h(W - a)^{3/2}} F\left(\frac{a}{W}, \frac{b}{W}, \frac{c}{b}\right)$$
 (3.1)

where $F\left(\frac{a}{W}, \frac{b}{W}, \frac{c}{b}\right)$ is given for various parameters by Sih [10] and will be used here only for the geometry considered. The boundary conditions necessary for numerical generation of the Mode I stress intensity factor are shown in Figure 7. With these boundary conditions and the model configuration for a compact shear fracture specimen with a/W = 0.5 and H = 1.0 inch , the physical parameters of Equation (3.1) become

> a = 0.775 inch b = 1.00 inch W = 2.340 inches $\frac{c}{b} = 0.3$ P = 100 pounds h = 1.0 inch .

A $\frac{c}{b}$ ratio of 0.3 was chosen because this was the closest value listed by Sih which fit the loading conditions applied to the compact tension specimen. It also should be noted that the value of stress intensity factor given by Equation (3.1) is not the same as that determined by the displacement technique. The relation between these two values is given by

 $K_{I} \simeq \sqrt{\pi} k_{I} , \qquad (3.2)$

where k_{I} is determined by Equation (3.1) and K_{I} is determined by the displacement method.

A graph of stress intensity factor versus nondimensionalized distance from the crack tip for the compact tension specimen is given in Figure 8. A least squares fit was performed on the points in the region r/a from 0.1 to 0.2 and K_I , the intercept, was found to be $K_I = 500 \text{ psi} \sqrt{\text{in}}$. The value determined from Equations (3.1) and (3.2) with $F\left(\frac{a}{W}, \frac{b}{W}, \frac{c}{b}\right) = 2.010$ is $K_I = 493 \text{ psi} \sqrt{\text{in}}$. These values are in good agreement with each other and therefore verify the displacement technique and grid generation program.



Figure 8. Mode I Stress Intensity Factor Versus r/a for Compact Tension Specimen

23

ale and the second for the first state and the second

CHAPTER IV

ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

4.1 Introduction

Having verified the numerical methods and grid generation program used in this investigation, the behavior of the compact shear fracture specimen as a function of crack length, loading condition and specimen geometry is discussed. Mode II stress intensity factors generated by finite element techniques are compared to those determined by boundary collocation analysis, and to a closed form solution for geometry and loading conditions that closely approximate those of the compact shear fracture specimen. The numerically generated stress distribution on the upper model boundary and vertical axis of symmetr; are compared with those assumed by Jones and Chisholm [1] in a boundary collocation study. The initial angle of propagation is also given for selected crack lengths and specimen tang widths.

4.2 Stress Intensity Factors

Numerically generated Mode II stress intensity factors for selected nondimensionalized crack lengths and tang widths are listed in Table II. This data is graphically portrayed in Figure 9 where the Mode II stress intensity factors have been nondimensionalized by dividing K_{II} by the normal stress in the tang, $\sigma = P/BH$, and the square root of crack length, \sqrt{a} . The curves shown in Figure 9

TABLE II

NUMERICALLY GENERATED MODE II STRESS INTENSITY FACTORS

	Mode II Stress Intensity Factors (psi vin.)						
a/W	H = 0.5 In	ch	H = 1.00 Inch		H = 1.50 Inches		
	Displacement	Energy	Displacement	Energy	Displacement	Energy	
0.1	-		63.29	58.35	-	-	
0.2	148.09	137.30	78.59	69.00	97.21	46.52	
0.3	152.49	145.10	92.80	92.50	-	-	
0.4	-	-	115.83	107.00	101.69	92.90	
0.5	137.68	136.70	122.06	118.00	114.71	107.00	
0.6	-	-	145.85	139.00	142.41	129.50	
0.7	158.44	166.44	173.09	164.00	169.48	152.40	
0.8	-	-	209.27	199.79	-		



indicate that the specimen having a tang width H = 1.0 inch is the most stable, as evidenced by a relatively constant nondimensionalized Mode II stress intensity factor. The curves for H = 0.5 inch and H = 1.5 inches exhibit rather wide variations in the nondimensionalized Mode II stress intensity factors with varying crack length. These cases will, therefore be examined in more detail.

Figure 10 shows the variation of the nondimensionalized Mode II stress intensity factor with crack length for a tang width H = 0.5 inch. Excellent correlation is shown between results obtained by finite element techniques and those determined from a closed form solution [7]. However, the boundary collocation data does not agree with the numerical and closed form results. The curves for the finite element and closed form solutions show a region of constant nondimensionalized Mode II stress intensity factors from a/W = 0.4 to a/W = 0.7. For a/Wratios greater than 0.7, the lower specimen boundary influencies the Mode II stress intensity factors. At a/W ratios lower than 0.4, the curves show a load dependence as the load is now being applied close to the crack tip. The boundary collocation curve exhibits constant nondimensionalized Mode II stress intensity factors over the entire range of a/W ratios because of incorrect stress boundary conditions assumed in the boundary collocation analysis. This error in assumed stress boundary conditions will be discussed in detail in a later section.

Figure 11 shows the variation of nondimensionalized Mode II stress intensity factors with crack length for a tang width H = 1.0 inch. All curves are in good agreement with each other and have a region of constant nondimensionalized Mode II stress intensity factors


and the second second

. . .

o i.*. *



.

فكمش فكالا فالمقتدانية لا

and have been a

÷

• • • • • • • • •

المرابع المراجع والمراجع والمراجع

1.18

.



* **

.

29

;

2

÷

Ş

from a/W = 0.2 to a/W = 0.6. At a/W ratios above 0.6 and below 0.2, the specimen boundaries are being sensed by the crack tip, causing the curves to turn upward.

Figure 12 gives the variation of nondimensionalized Mode II stress intensity factors with crack length for a tang width H = 1.5 inches. The finite element and closed form results do not exhibit a region over which the nondimensionalized Mode II stress intensity factors are constant because the specimen is now behaving like a beam in three point bending. The influence of bending is evident at all a/W ratios particularly those less than a/W = 0.3, for in this region the curves were expected to turn upward as the crack tip sensed the upper boundary of the specimen. The boundary collocation data exhibits a different behavior from that of the finite element and closed form results because of incorrect stress boundary conditions assumed in the boundary collocation study.

4.3 Stress Boundary Conditions

Stress boundary conditions were determined along the upper boundaries of each tang and along the vertical axis of symmetry in order to check those assumed in the boundary collocation analysis and to provide useful data for future investigations utilizing boundary value techniques. Of particular interest in this investigation was the stress distribution along the upper tang boundary and vertical axis of symmetry for application of a point load with the load points fixed in a direction perpendicular to the direction of loading, as shown in Figure 6a. This method of loading was of interest because it simulates that used by



Jones and Chisholm so that resultant numerically generated stress distributions could be used to check the stress boundary conditions assumed by Jones and Chisholm. A uniform load with each nodal point on the upper tang boundary fixed in a direction perpendicular to the direction of loading, as shown in Figure 6b, was also applied in order to determine the stress boundary conditions needed in order to perform boundary value analyses for this common type of loading. The two loading conditions just discussed are obtainable through a rigid loading frame. The stress distribution for two other loading conditions are also discussed in this section. These loading conditions are a point load with all nodal points on the upper tang boundary fixed in a direction perpendicular to the direction of loading, and a uniform load with the center point of each tang fixed in a direction perpendicular to the direction of loading. Although these conditions are easily simulated in a numerical study, they are very difficult to obtain experimentally and were considered in this investigation simply to determine the sensitivity of stress intensity factors to changes in boundary conditions.

The stress boundary conditions assumed in the boundary collocation analysis are shown in Figure 13. The stress discribution along the upper tang boundary consists of a uniform tensile stress on the outside tang, a uniform compressive stress along the center tang and a cosine distribution of tangential stress along the top of both tangs. A bilinear bending stress was assumed along the vertical axis of symmetry.

The numerically generated stress distributions along the upper tang boundary and vertical axis of symmetry for a/W = 0.5, H = 1.0inch and plane strain conditions are shown in Figures 14 through 19 for



Figure 13. Stress Boundary Conditions Assumed in Boundary Collocation Study

. .

Se and in the second





ċ,

Figure 15. Numerically Generated Stress Distribution Along Upper Tang Borndary for a/W = 0.5, H = 1.0 Inch, Uniform Loading, Center Point of Tangs Fixed, and Plane Strain Conditions 35

. . .



Figure 16. Numerically Generated Stress Distribution Along Vertical Axis of Symmetry for a/W = 0.5, Center Point of Tangs Fixed, and Plane Strain Conditions

Ś.





2

re 18. Numerically Generated Stress Distribution Along Upper Tang Boundary for a/W = 0.5, H = 1.0 Inch, Uniform Loading, Upper Tang Boundary Fixed, and Plane Strain Conditions



Figure 19. Numerically Generated Stress Distribution Along Vertical Axis of Symmetry for a/W = 0.5, H = 1.0 Inch, Point and Uniform Loading, Upper Tang Boundary Fixed, and Plane Strain Conditions

selected load and displacement boundary conditions. Figures 14 through 16 show the stress distributions for a point and uniform load with the center point of each tang fixed in a direction perpendicular to the direction of loading. Figures 17 through 19 show the stress distributions for a point and uniform load with each nodal point along the upper tang boundary fixed in a direction perpendicular to the direction of applied load.

As can be seen by referring to Figures 14 and 17, the normal and tangential stress distribution along the upper tang boundaries caused by the application of a point load does not change as the displacement boundary conditions are varied. Figures 15 and 18 show that the tangential stress distribution along the upper tang boundaries caused by the application of a uniform load is in fact the only distribution affected by variation in displacement boundary conditions. Figures 16 and 19 show practically no change in the normal stress distribution along the vertical axis of symmetry for variation in displacement boundary conditions.

Comparison of Figures 13, 14 and 17 indicates that the numerically generated stress distributions for point loading are not in good agreement with those assumed in the boundary collocation analysis. The major differences occur in the normal stress distribution along the upper tang boundary. This difference in stress distribution is responsible for the discrepancies observed in the nondimensionalized Mode II stress intensity factors determined by numerical techniques and boundary collocation analysis.

The stress distributions caused by the application of a uniform load with all the nodal points along the upper tang boundary fixed, as shown in Figure 18, were somewhat similar to those assumed in the boundary collocation analysis, shown in Figure 13. The major difference in the two distributions is that the numerically generated tangential stress distribution on the center tang is not a cosine distribution as assumed in the boundary collocation analysis. Figures 16 and 19 show that the bending stress along the vertical axis of symmetry for both point and uniform loading is not a simple bilinear distribution as shown in Figure 13.

Numerically generated stress distributions were also obtained for other a/W ratios and tang widths resulting in distributions having the same form but different magnitudes then those shown in this section. Plane stress conditions were also tried for selected load conditions and model geometries resulting in no difference in stress distributions when compared to those determined using plane strain corditions. The Mode II stress intensity factors were unaffected by changes in loading and displacement boundary conditions discussed in this section.

4.4 Initial Angle of Crack Propagation

The angle of propagation θ is defined in Figure 3. By use of the strain energy density technique proposed by Sih [5] and discussed in Chapter II, the angle of propagation was determined for a/W = 0.5through 0.8 with H = 1.0 inch. These values are listed in Table III. These particular values of crack length and specimen tang width were chosen because the experimental work performed by Jones considered an

TABLE III

NUMERICALLY PREDICTED INITIAL ANGLE OF PROPAGATION FOR SELECTED a/W RATIOS WITH A TANG WIDTH H = 1.00 INCH

a/W	THETA O				
0.5	77°				
0.6	77°				
0.7	77°				
0.8	75°				

2. 出始本法的法律

2017 C

a/W .ratio of 0.8 with specimen tang width, H = 1.0 inch . With these parameters, and notching the model along the plane of the crack, Jones and Chisholm were able to obtain a straight fracture of the specimen, $\theta = 0^{\circ}$. As can be seen from Table III, the strain energy density technique predicts that the initial angle of propagation is 75° for a/W = 0.8 and 77° for a/W = 0.5 to 0.7. The path of the crack was not investigated after the initial angle of propagation because of the amount of computer time necessary to accomplish this.

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusions

The effect of crack length and specimen geometry on the Mode II stress intensity factors for the compact shear fracture specimen shown in Figure 2 was investigated. Stress intensity factors were generated by the displacement and strain energy release rate methods and compared to those determined by boundary collocation. Both numerical and boundary collocation results obtained for a tang width H = 1.0 inch show that nondimensionalized Mode II stress intensity factors are constant for this specimen over a wide range of crack lengths. This configuration of the compact shear fracture specimen will therefore be most suitable for determining critical Mode II stress intensity factors, K_{IIC} .

Numerical results for H = 0.5 inch and H = 1.5 inches show that nondimensionalized Mode II stress intensity factors are not as stable with increasing crack length as the boundary collocation results suggest. The stress intensity factors obtained for a tang width H = 1.5 inches are affected by bending of the specimen, a fact that was not brought out by the boundary collocation results. Results for tang width H = 0.5 inch indicated that the nondimensionalized Mode II stress intensity factors were influenced by applied load for a/W ratios less than 0.4. Because of these nonlinearities, the configurations having tang width H = 0.5 inch and H = 1.5 inches are not suitable for determining the critical Mode II stress intensity factor, K_{IIc} . For the crack lengths and specimen geometries investigated, the nondimensionalized Mode II stres intensity factors were found to be independent of the applied load, and uninfluenced by the lower free boundary through the intermediate ranges of crack lengths.

Numerical results indicated that the tangential stress along the upper boundary of the center tang did not follow a cosine distribution as assumed in the boundary collocation analysis. In addition the numerically determined bending stress distribution along the vertical axis of symmetry was not found to be the simple bilinear distribution which was used in the boundary collocation investigation. Therefore, incorrect stress boundary conditions assumed in the boundary collocation analysis were responsible for differences observed in the behavior of the nondimensionalized Mode II stress intensity factors when numerical and collocation results are compared.

資源 通り ディング りょう

The initial angle of propagation was found numerically to be 77° for the geometry and crack lengths considered. This differs from the experimental work performed by Jones and Chisholm [1], which showed an angle of 0° of crack propagation. The angle of 0° might have been the result of notching the fracture specimen along the plane of the crack. The difference between the numerically predicted angle of propagation and experimental "esults might not have been so great if it were possible to numerically determine the complete trajectory of the crack. This was not done because of the amount of computer time involved.

This study also showed the usefullness of the finite element method in determining Mode II stress intensity factors, for different loading conditions and specimen parameters. The accuracy of the finite element techniques discussed in this investigation was brought out by the good agreement with a closed form solution.

5.2 Recommendations

1.50 J. 1.1.1 1. 1984

States & Mr. C. S. S. S. S. S.

It is recommended that:

1) An experimental verification of the stress boundary conditions and Mode II stress intensity factors predicted by the finite element techniques used in this investigation by performed.

2) A verification of the crack trajectory by experimental or numerical techniques, with a notch introduced into the model for comparison with the experimental work performed by Jones and Chisholm be undertaken.

-

3 373 64

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Jones, D. L. and Chisholm, D. B., "An Investigation of the Edge-Sliding Mode in Fracture Mechanics," School of Engineering and Applied Science, George Washington University, Technical Report, No. 18 (1974).
- Chan, S. K., Tuba, I. S. and Wilson, W. K., "On the Finite Element Method in Linear Fracture Mechanics," <u>Engineering Fracture Mechanics</u>, Pergamon Press (1970), pp. 1-17.
- Paris, P. C. and Sih, G. C., "Stress Analysis of Cracks," <u>Fracture</u> <u>Toughness Testing and Its Applications</u>, ASTM STP 381 (1965), pp. 39-76.
- 4. Experimental Techniques in Fracture Mechanics, Society for Experimental Stress Analysis Monograph, No. 1 (1973), pp. 7-14.
- Sih, G. C., "Some Basic Problems in Fracture Mechanics and New Concepts," <u>Engineering Fracture Mechanics</u>, Pergamon Press (1973), pp. 365-377.
- Haney, J. E. and Bannister, K. A., "NWL-SAAS III User's Guide," Naval Weapons Laboratory Technical Note TN-K-61173 (1973).
- 7. Tada, H., Paris, P. C. and Irwin, G. R., <u>The Stress Analycis of</u> <u>Cracks Handbook</u>, Del Research Corporation (1973).
- 8. Wilson, E. L., Solid SAP, University of California, Berkley (1972).
- 9. Desi, C. S. and Abel, J. E., Introduction to the Finite Element Method, Van Nostrand Reinhold (1972).
- 10. Sih, G. C., <u>Handbook of Stress Intensity Factors for Researchers</u> and Engineers, Lehigh University (1973).

APPENDIX A

FINITE ELEMENT PROGRAM USED IN THE INVESTIGATION

A.1 Finite Element Program

The finite element program utilized in this investigation was SSAP-2 (Static Analysis Program For Solid Structures). A complete listing of the program as well as a description of the elements and input to the program is given in the program manual [8]. A brief description of the plate element used in the study will be given here.

A.2 Isoparametric Elements

A isoparametric element [9] is defined as one where both the displacement and geometry of the element are described by the same parameter. This means that the relation between the local and global coordinate systems as well as the displacement approximation for the element are given in terms of the same interpolation function. An interpolation function is one that has a unit value at one nodal point and is zero at all others in the element. Two advantages of using interpolation functions are:

1) If continuity of geometry and displacement both within and between adjacent elements are satisfied, compatibility is satisfied in global coordinates.

2) If the interpolation function is able to give rigid body displacements in the local coordinate system, rigid body displacement

48

مدلشكلهك موليه

and constant strain are satisfied in the global system. This is necessary for convergence of the method.

The interpolation functions used in SSAP will now be discussed. For the quadrilateral element shown in Figures 20 and 21 the local and global coordinates are related by

and

 $y = \sum_{\substack{i=1}}^{4} h_i y_i ,$

where the interpolation functions, h_{i} , are given as:

$$h_{1} = \frac{1}{4} (1 - s)(1 - t) ,$$

$$h_{2} = \frac{1}{4} (1 + s)(1 - t) ,$$

$$h_{3} = \frac{1}{4} (1 + s)(1 + t)$$

$$(A.2)$$

$$h_{3} = \frac{1}{4} (1 - s)(1 + t)$$

Sec. Sec.

ie.

and

where s and t are defined in Figure 21.

The displacements of the element are written in terms of the same interpolation functions as follows:

$$u_{x}(s,t) = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i=1}}^{4} h_{i}u_{i}$$

(A.1)



Figure 20. Global Coordinate System Used in SSAP



Figure 21. Local Coordinate System Used in SSAP

50

and a second s

51

the second of a local second second

and

$$u_{y}(s,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} h_{i}u_{i}. \qquad (A.3)$$

The element strains can now be written as

$$\varepsilon_{xx} = \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} h_{i,x} u_{xi},$$

$$\varepsilon_{yy} = \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial y} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} h_{i,y} u_{yi}$$
(A.4)

and

$$\varepsilon_{xy} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} h_{i,y} x_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{4} h_{i,x} y_{i}$$

These equations can be written in matrix form as:

$$\underline{\varepsilon} = \underline{a}(s,t)\underline{u} = \begin{bmatrix} H, & 0 \\ ,x & 0 \\ 0 & H \\ ,y \\ H, & H, \\ x \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{u} \\ \underline{x} \\ \underline{u} \\ \underline{y} \end{bmatrix} , \quad (A.5)$$

where $\underline{u}_{\underline{X}}$ and $\underline{u}_{\underline{Y}}$ are the displacements in the x and y directions, respectively and

$$h_{,x} = [h_{1,x} h_{2,x} h_{3,x} h_{4,x}]$$

and

$$H_{,y} = [h_{1,y} h_{2,y} h_{3,y} h_{4,y}] , \qquad (A.6)$$

where

$$h_{i,x} = h_{i,\theta} + t_{i,t}, x$$

and

$$h_{i,y} = h_{i,s} + h_{i,t} + h_{i,t}$$

Since the h_i are given in terms of the natural coordinates, s and t, the chain rule must be applied in order to compute the derivatives in terms of the x and y coordinate system. The result of the application of the chain rule is shown in matrix form below as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} s, x & t, x \\ s, x & t, y \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{J} \begin{bmatrix} y, t & -y, s \\ y, t & s \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (A.7)$$

where J is the Jacobian which is defined by

 $J = x_{,s} y_{,t} - x_{,t} y_{,s} ,$ $x_{,s} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} h_{i,s} x_{i} ,$ $x_{,t} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} h_{i,t} x_{i} ,$ $y_{,s} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} h_{i,s} y_{i} ,$ (A.8) $y_{,s} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} h_{i,s} y_{i} ,$ $y_{,t} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} h_{i,t} y_{i} .$

and

Now Equations (A.7) and (A.8) can be used with Equations (A.6) to determine the strain-displacement matrix of Equation (A.5).

A.3 Element Stiffness

The element stiffness for unit thickness is given as

$$\underline{\mathbf{K}} = \int \underline{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathrm{T}} \underline{\mathbf{c}} \underline{\mathbf{a}} \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{A} \, . \tag{A.9}$$

 \underline{c} is the stress-strain matrix and the integration is performed over the area of the element. This equation can be written in terms of the natural coordinate system as

$$\underline{K} = \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \underline{s}^{\mathrm{T}} \underline{c} \underline{a} \mathrm{J} \mathrm{ds} \mathrm{dt} . \qquad (A.10)$$

Standard numerical integration is used to determine \underline{K} for a given element.

A.4 Total Stiffness Matrix

Once the element stiffnesses have been determined, the total stiffness matrix is obtained by summing element stiffnesses in the conventional manner,

$$\underline{K}_{\text{TOTAL}} = \Sigma \underline{K}_{\text{ELEMENT}}$$
 (A.11)

A complete description of the finite element method is given by Desi [9].

APPENDIX B

CLOSED FORM SOLUTION

B.1 Geometry and Equations

The verification of the finite element results was accomplished by comparison with a closed form solution for a model with geometry and loading conditions similar to those of the compact shear fracture specimen being studied. Since a geometry and loading condition that exactly matched this model was not available, a configuration was considered that would give a lower bound to the finite element results. The loading, geometry and closed form solution were chosen from a handbook by Tada [7] and the model is shown in Figure 22. This configuration was chosen because the loading applied is similar to the loading on the compact shear fracture specimen. The configuration will give a lower bound to the problem because it is an infinite strip and therefore stiffer than the actual plate. The equations for the Mode II stress intensity factor, K_{II} , are given below:

$$K_{II} = \frac{Q}{\sqrt{2b}} \{F_{II}(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{s}{b})\},$$
 (B.1)

where

$$F_{II}\left(\frac{a}{b},\frac{s}{b}\right) = f\left(\frac{a}{b},\frac{s}{b}\right) \left[1 - \frac{\alpha \frac{\pi s}{2b} \tanh \frac{\pi s}{2b}}{\left[\frac{\cosh \frac{\pi s}{2b}}{\cos \frac{\pi s}{2b}}\right]^2}\right] F_{III}\left(\frac{a}{b},\frac{s}{b}\right), (B.2)$$



Figure 22. Center Cracked Infinite Strip Used in Closed Form Solution

$$F_{III}(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{s}{b}) = \left\{ \sqrt{\tan \frac{\pi a}{2b}} \right\} \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{\cos \frac{\pi a}{2b}}{\cosh \frac{\pi s}{2b}}\right)^2}, \quad (B.3)$$

$$f(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{s}{b}) = 1 + \{0.297 + 0.115(1 - \operatorname{sech} \frac{\pi s}{2b}) \sin \frac{\pi a}{b}\} (1 - \cos \frac{\pi a}{2b}) \quad (B.4)$$

and

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{1+\nu}{2} & \text{plane stress} \\ \\ \frac{1}{2(1-\nu)} & \text{plane strain} \end{cases}$$
(B.5)

APPENDIX C

GRID GENERATION

C.1 Introduction

The mesh generation program utilized in this investigation is a modified version of one used in SAAS [6]. The modification is in the element generation subroutine and nodal point interpolation. Element generation was changed in order to generate triangular elements in regions of transition from course to fine gridding and nodal point interpolation was modified to make grid reduction possible.

C.2 Input Required

Input into the gridding program will be described by considering the gridding of a plate with a notch as shown in Figure 23. The requirements for gridding a crack problem, without the use of special crack tip elements, are that a fine grid be placed in the crack tip region to accommodate the high stress gradient there. Also, in order to save computer time and storage, a relatively course grid should be applied at the boundaries. These two requirements can be accomplished by a transition region of triangular elements. The procedure for obtaining input data for this program is as follows. Determine what type of grid pattern is needed at the crack tip, using only quadralateral elements. This will give a very fine element distribution as shown in Figure 24. Each horizontal line with the same z-coordinate is



Figure 23. Notched Plate Used in Sample Problem



Figure 24. Crid Pattern for Notched Plate W.thout Triangular Transition Elements

assigned a J index to identify it, starting with the z = 0 line. The same is done with every vertical line with the same R coordinate, except these are assigned I indices; therefore, every intersection, nodal point, has an I,J index to identify it. The transition can now be accomplished by eliminating sections of J rows and I columns in order to achieve the desired grid pattern. The only restriction is that a section of eliminated J row cannot intersect a portion of I column that will be eliminated because the program will not be able to generate a valid element. Figure 25 shows a completed grid pattern.

The sequencing of the input data will now be described. A listing of input data for this problem is given in Figure 26. The first three cards are control cards and are described in the manual for SAAS and the control data for the finite element program has been eliminated. The cards and formating are described below.

Title Card

Describe grid pattern Format (8A10) Columns 1-60 Title

Job Control Card

Format (12, 13, 15, 12, 13, 515, 13, 12, 215, 15.0, 315) Columns 3-5 Start paraweter

= 1 Fresh set of data

Column. 6-10 Stop parameter

= 1 Stop after mesh generation

= 33 Punch mesh data on cards

60



Figure 25. Completed Crid Pattern for Notched Plate

SAN	APLE	PF	ROBL	EM:	NCT	TCHE	DPL	ATE	
1	1]	L				1		1
4 () ()			1					
1	1	0.0)	0.	0				
S	1	1.0	000	0	• 0				
4	1	2.0	000	0	• 0				
8	1	3.(000	0	• 0				
10	1	4.0	100	0	• 0				
11	Į.	3.0	000	0	•0				
, <u>†</u>	1		J	0	• 0	•			
4	3		ן א	2	• • • • •				
1	13		<i>)</i> 1		0.04	u n			
-3	15	0.01	5	3	• • • •	U			
	1	12	2	5		6	6	7	
4	1			7	1	i i	12	ı	
	•	•		•	•	•	**		
2.	500	0	3.	000					
	6								
	4	4	•	8					
	5		3	9					
	6	4	•	8					
	8	4	•	8					
	9		3	9					
1	10	4	•	8					
	4								
	3		3	11					
	5		5	15					
	7		5	12					
	9 		5	11					
	ľ,		2	3			1.0		
	Ŧ		L	11		7	15		

Ì

Figure 26. Listing of Input to Grid Generation Program for Notched Plate

Columns 21-25 Finite element mesh generation parameter

≠ 0 Generate mesh using line segment data

= 0 Mesh data read from cards

Columns 26-30 Temperature field

= 0 Must be set equal to zero

Columns 31-34 Number of materials (6 maximum)

These are the only parameters needed to generate a mesh, the rest can be set equal to zero.

Mesh Generation Card

Format (415, 2F10.0, 215)

Columns 1-5 Number of line segment cards. The actual number of cards may be less than this, as long as a line segment terminator card follows them.

Columns 11-15 Number of material assignment cards

Line Segment Cards

They describe the boundary of the model.

Format (213, 2F8.3, 15)

Columns 1-3 12 (or II if this is the first card)

I - index of point

Columns 4-6 J2 (or J1 if first card)

J - index of point

Columns 7-14 R2 R coordinate of point

Columns 15-22 Z2 Z coordinate of point
Columns 45-49 IPTION Integer value that determines type of line segment

IPTION Description of Line Segment

Jump to this point from last, no mesh data will be generated and no line will connect the points.
Same as -1 except a line will be drawn between points.
Connect last point specified on preceding card to this one and interpolate into equal parts as specified by I, J data.

Line Segment Terminator Card

Format (I3)

Columns 2-3 = -1 Signals end of line segment cards. Must be included if number of line segment cards is less than number specified on mesh generation card.

> -2 The program was modified to fix the nodal points of each vertical line in the same position, same Z coordinate, as specified on the external boundary line.

Internal Nodal Point Generation

If -2 is specified on the line segment terminator card, the program has been modified to fix the Z coordinate of the internal nodal points to those specified on the external boundary. If this option is specified, it is only necessary to specify the positions of nodal points on two external boundaries. For example, the boundaries with the Z and R coordinates of zero.

First Card Format (1615)
Columns 1-5 IBMAX-the I index of the last column on which
nodal points will be fixed.
Columns 6-10 JBMAX-the J index of the last row on which
nodal points will be fixed.
Columns 11-15 NUMJ-the number of J indices to be read in on
the following card.

Columns 16-20 ICT-I index of the column passing through the center of the crack.

Columns 21-25 JCT-JCT is set equal to ICT.

Columns 26-30 JCMAX-J index of crack tip.

Second Card Format (1615) - This card contains the J indices, in sequential order, specified on the boundary with Z coordinate of zero.

A Blank Card Must Appear Here

Shift Axis Card

If coordinate axis are to be moved from the position specified on line segment card, the move can be made with this card. If change is not necessary, leave blank. Format (2F10.0). Columns 1-10 R shift-shift in R coordinate.

Columns 11-20 Z shift-shift in Z coordinate.

The following data is needed to instruct the program how to perform the transition from course to fine grid.

J Omit Card

Specified the number of J rows which will have segments omitted. Format (I5) JOMAX

J Segment Cards

Specifies segment of J row that is to be included. Cards must be in sequential order starting from lowest J-index.

Format (315)

Columns	1-5	JOMIT	J index of :ow which segments are to
			be omitted.
Columns	6-10	MSTART	I index of first nodal point, with
			J index JOMIS that is to be included.
Columns	11-15	MSTOP	I index of last nodal point, with J
			index JOMIT that is to be included.

1 OMIT Cards

Specifies the number of I columns which will have segments omitted.

Format (15)

IOMAX

I Segment Cards

Specifies segment of the I column which is to be included in the grid pattern. Cards must be in sequential order starting with lowest I index.

Format (315)

Columns	1-5	IOMIT	I index of column which segments are
			to be omitted.
Columns	6-10	LSTART	J index of first nodal point, with
			I index of IOMIT that is to be
			included.
Columns	11-15	LSTOP	J index of last nodal point, with I
			index of IOMIT that is to be included.

Expansion Parameter Card

The information on this card signifies the beginning and the end of the fine gridding in the crack tip region, in terms of the J index. Format (315)

- Columns 1-5 IEXPAN The J index of the row following the last JOMIT index specified in the J segment data.
- Columns 6-10 IIEXD I index of a node point which tells the program that after this point is passed it is to begin generating triangular elements when the nodal points are in the correct formation. This point is directly below the

crack tip and in the row with a Jindex one less than the first JOMIT index specified in the J segment data. JJEXP J index of the nodal point described in IIEXP.

Material Block Assignment

Each card assigns a material number to a block of elements defined by I-J data. The number of cards must agree with the number specified in columns 11-15 of mesh control data card.

Format (515)

Columns 11-15

Columns	1-5	Material definition number
Columns	6-10	Minimum I
Columns	11-15	Maximum I
Columns	16-20	Minimum J
Columns	21-25	Maximum J

```
C
        MAIN PROGRAM
C
     SAAS III, FINITE ELEMENT STRESS ANALYSIS OF AXISYMMETRIC AND PLANE
C
     SQLIDS WITH DIFFERENT URTHOTROPIC. TEMPERATURE-DEPENDENT MATERIAL
С
     PROPERTIES IN TENSION AND COMPRESSION INCLUDING THE EFFECTS OF
С
      INTERNAL PORE FLUID PRESSURES AND THERMAL STRESSES.
С
      COMMON/BASIC/NUMNP,NUMEL,NUMPC NUMSC,ACELZ,ANGVEL,TREF,VOL,IFREQ
      COMMON/NPDATA/R(1000)+CODE(1000)+XR(1000)+Z(1000)+XZ(1000)+T(1000)
     DOUBLE PRECISION CRZ+XI+RR+ZZ+S+RRR+ZZZ
      COMMON/ELDATA/IX(1000,5),EMR(1000),ALPHA(1000),PST(1000)
      COMMON/SOLVE/NCODE (30,100) + NUMTC
      COMMON/TD/IMIN(100),IMAX(100),JMIN(30),JMAX(30),MAXI,MAXJ,NMTL,NBC
          +MINI+MINJ
     1
      COMMON /OHG4/ ISTOP
      COPMON/LC2/ KP+RP(250)+ZP(250)
      COMMON/JEH/NCHC, IMIV (30), IMAV (30), JMIV (30), JMAV (30)
      COMMON/OHG5/ JSTOP
      INTEGER TITLE (20)
     C
      READ AND WRITE INPUT DATA CARD IMAGES FOR ALL CASES
C
C # #
      JSTOP=U
200 CONTINUE
      CALL DATA
      ZFORCE = 0.0
      ELMASS = 0.0
      VOLM#0.0
€3
С
      READ AND WRITE CONTROL INFORMATION
     ****
C (
      READ(9+1000) TITLE+NPP+ISTART+ISTOP+IDEF+IPLOT+NNLA+IMESH+NUMTC+
     1
          NPORPR IN UMMAT, NUMPC, NUMSC, TREF, NTCA, IFREQ
     2
         . IBPLT, NUMNP, NUMEL
      #RITE(0+2000)(TITLE(1)+1=1+15)+
                                    ISTART. ISTOP. IDCF. IPLOT. NNLA. IMESH.
     1
          NUNTC . NFORPR . NUMMAT . NUMPC . NUMSC . TREF
     2 .IUPLT
C
                    ...................
      GENERATE FINITE ELEMENT MESH
C
C # 4
215
     CALL MESH
С
      INITIALIZE
C
C
Coa
      READ AND WHITE NODAL POINT AND ELEMENT DATA
r
  225 CALL POINTS
     ------
      OUTPUT ELEMENT DATA
     **************
      PRINT 3100
      HPRINT=0
      DO 350 N=1.NUMEL
      IF (MPRINT.NE.0)GO TO 300
```

```
WRITE(6+2008)
     MPRINT=50
 300 MPRINT=MPRINT+1
     NSTRSS=20
     THICK=0.281
     WRITE(6:2009) N. (IX(N.I), I=1.5). ALPHA(N). T(N). PST(N). NSTRSS. THICK
350
     IF ( ISTOP .NE. 33 ) 60 TO 362
     DO 360 NPUN=1,NUMEL
     PUNCH 3002, NPUN, (IX (NPUN, I), I=1,5), NSTRSS, THICK
 360
     CONTINUE
     DO 361 NP=1+NUMNP
     PUNCH 3200+NP+R(NP)+Z(NP)
     CONTINUE
361
     CONTINUE
362
 370 IF(ISTUP.E0.1.0R.ISTOP.E0.11)60 TO 910
 910 IF( NPP .NE. 0 ) GC TO 200
     IF ( ISTOP .EQ. 1 ) GO TO 200
     IF ( IFREQ .EQ. 0 ) GO TO 200
     SUM THE ELEMENTS OF THE Z-DIRECTION BOUNDARY FORCE MATRIX
С
     00 905 IFOR =1+NUMNP
 905 ZFORCE = ZFORCE + XZ(IFOR)
     ZFORCE # ZFORCE # 2. # 3.1415927
      ELMASS = ELMASS/2.0
      WEIGHY = ELPASS+12.0+32.17
      GFORCE = EUNASSHACELZ
      ELMASS # EUMASS#12.0
     WRITE (6,3000) ELMASS
     WRITE (6+3001) ZFORCE
      WRITE(6,3003)WEIGHT
      WRITE (6+3004) GFORCE
     GO TO 200
С
1000 FORMAT(2044+/+
                 12,13,15,12,13,315,13,12,215,F5.0,615)
    1
 1001 FORMAT (4F10.0)
2000 FORMAT(1H1+15A4+/+
    STOP FARAMETER------
    2 33H0
            IF 1. PLOT CEFLECTIONS
                                        +14+/
      33H0
    3
            IF 1. SHALL PLOT. IF 2. LARGE./
      35H0
            PLOT. OTHERWISE NO PLOT. ----- 14./
    5
      33H0
            33H0
    6
            33H0
    7
            NUMBER OF TEMPERATURE CARDS----- 14./
      33H0
    8
            NUMBER OF MATERIALS-----------
    3
      33H0
            NUMBER OF EXTERNAL PRESSURES ..... 14./
      33H0
    ٠
           NUMBER OF SHEAR CARDS------------
    5
      33H0
      33H9 REFERENCE TENPERATURE------E12.4./
    6
      33HO BOUNDARY PLCT OPTION
                                        • ž4•//)
     7
 2001 FORMAT (77H & FUNDAMENTAL FREQUENCY WILL BE COMPUTED. A LONGER RUN
    1 TIME WILL BE OBSERVED/BOH DUE TO THE NEED TO RECOMPUTE EACH ELEME
    2NT STIFFNESS MATRIX IN SUBHOUTINE STRESS)
 2002 FORMAT (24H THE ANGULAR VELOCITY IS.EI2.4./31H AND THE AXIAL ACCEL
     1ERATION IS .E12.4)
 2003 FORMAT (23H THE R ACCELERATION IS .E12.4/27H AND THE Z ACCELERATIO
```

IN IS .L12.4)

5

2004 FORMAT (//42+ THE PLANE STRAIN OPTION HAS BEEN SELECTED) 2005 FORMAT (//42F THE PLANE STRESS OPTION HAS BEEN SELECTED) 2008 FORMAT (88H1 EL 1 PRESSURE PRINT JKL I MATERIAL TEMPERATURE ANGLE THICKNESS 1 2009 FORMAT(15,414,18,F11,1,2F13,3+5X,15,5X,F10,4) 2013 FORMAT (30H1 PRESSURE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS) 2015 FORMAT (27H1 SHEAR BOUNDARY CONDITIONS) 2020 FORMAT (/29H THE PROCEDURE CONVERGED IN ,12,34H TENSION - COMPRES **ISICN ITERATIONS**) 2021 FORMAT (/36H THE FROCEDURE DID NOT CONVERGE IN ,12,33H TENSION -**ICOMPRESSION ITERATIONS**) 2022 FORMAT (/29H THE PROCEDURE CONVERGED IN ,12,30H NONLINEAR ELASTIC 1 ITERATIONS) 2023 FORMAT (/36H THE PROCEDURE DID NOT CONVERGE IN +12+30H NONLINEAR **1ELASTIC ITERATIONS**) 2030 FORMAT (/51H NUMBER OF TENSION-COMPRESSION APPROXIMATIONS-----1 14//) 3000 FORMAT(1H +//+10X+ 4HMAS5+20(2H++)+E20+14+3X+5HSLUGS) 3001 FORMAT(1H ./. 10X. 35HAXIAL FORCE DUE TO NORMAL STRESSES./. 10X. 40HSHEAR STRESSES: AND CONCENTRATED RADIAL. /. 51H *10X* 16HAND AXIAL FORCES +14(2H...) + E20.14,3X,3HLBF) 3003 FORMAT(1H ./.10X. GHWEIGHT.19(2H..), E20.14.3X. 3HL8F) 3004 FORMAT(1H +/+10X+20HAXIAL INERTIAL FORCE +12(2H++)+E20+14+3X+ 1 3FLBF) 3002 FORMAT(615,20X,15,5X,F10.4) 3100 FORMAT(1H . 12HELEMENT DATA) 3200 FORMAT(15.40%+2F10.4) END

 1.845×1.4

a that a bar the second

MESH-- THIS ROUTINE GENERATES THE MESH GIVEN LINE SEGMENT INPUT C SUBROUTINE MESH C # FOR BOUNDARY DEFINITION POINTS NCODE(I + J) = 1С FOR INTERPOLATED BOUNDARY POINTS Ç 3 2 FOR INTERPOLATED INTERIOR POINTS C a .3 С FOR EXTERIOR POINTS z 4 C a .5 FOR VOID POINTS С IPTION = 0FCR SINGLE POINTS ¢ FCR STRAIGHT LINES = 1 C # 2 FCR INTERNAL DIAGONAL С FGR 3-PCINT ARC 編 З FOR 2 POINT + CENTER ARC C 2 4 С = 5 FCR 2 PCINT + HADIUS ARC(INITIALIZATION ONLY) C FCR 2 PCINT + HADIUS ARC = 6 C C COMMON/TD/IMIN(100).IMAX(100).JMIN(30).JMAX(30).MAXI.MAXJ.NMTL.NBC +MINI+MINJ 1 COMMON/NPDATA/R(1000)+CODE(1000)+XR(1000)+Z(1000)+X2(1000)+T(1000) COMMON/ELDATA/IX(1000+5)+EMR(1000)+ALPMA(1000)+PST(1000) COMMON/LC2/ KP+RP(250) +2P(250) COMMUN/BASIC/NUMNP+NUMEL+NUMPC+NUMSC+ACELZ+AN@VEL+TREF+VOLcIFREQ COMMON/OHG4/ISTOP CUPMON/JEH/NCHC+IMIV(30), IMAV(30), JNIV(30), JMAV(30) COMMON/SOLVE/NCODE (30+100) + NUMTC DIFENSION AH (30+100) + AZ (30+100) DIMENSION JJ8(20) EQUIVALENCE(F(1)+AF(1+1))+(Z(1)+AZ(1+1)) C MESH CUNTROL INFORMATION £ READ (9.1000)NSEG#NBC+NMTL+NLIM+CONI+CONJ+ISET,JSET wRITE(6.2000)NSEG#NHC+NMTL.NLIM.CONI.CONJ.ISET.JSET C INITIALIZE **C** • K123] ISEG=0 PI=3.1415927 II=1 10#0 DO 110 J#1,100 00 100 I=1,30 NCCDE (1.J) #4 AR(1+J)=0. 100 AZ(I+J)=0. ININ(J)#30 110 IHAX(J)=0 DO 150 1#1.30 0=(1)XAHL 120 JHIN(I)=100 LINE SLOHENT CARDS PRINT 2003

```
READ(9+1001)12+J2+R2+Z2
     IPTION=1
 130 PRINT 2005
     PRINT 2010,12, J2, R2, 22
 200 ISEG=ISEG+1
     11=12
     J1=J2
     R1=R2
     Z1=22
     AR(I1,J1)=R1
     AZ(I1+J1)#Z1
     RP(KP)=R1
     IF (IPTION.LT.0) RP (KP) ==R1
     ZP(KP)=Z1
     KP=KP+1
     NOUDE (I1+J1)*1
     CALL MNIMX (11, J1)
     IF(ISEG.EG.NSEG) GC TO 500
     IF(IC .EQ. 1 ) GO YO 249
 250 RLAD(9+1001) I2+J2+R2+Z2+I3+J3+R3+Z3+IPVION
249 IF(12.NE. -2.AND. 10.EQ.0) GO TO 251
     IF(12.NE. -2) GO TO 252
     READ(9.5000) IBMAX.JBNAX.NUMJ.ICT.JCT.JCNAX
5000 FONMAT(1615)
     READ (9.5000) (JJB (A) . N=1. NUMJ)
     11=11+1
     1941
     12=11
     15=JJB(1)
     H2#AR(12+1)
     22#AZ(1+J2)
     IPTION=-1
     I3×0
     J3=0
     N3=0.0
     23#0.0
     16#1
     GO TU 251
252
      IF(12 .GT. IBHAX ) GO TO 255
     IF (J2.LQ. JOHAX) GO TO 253
     146666
     15*11
     15#118(11)
     IF(12.GE.ICT.AND.12.LE.JCT.AND.J2.GT.JCHAX) GO TO 253
     IPTION#1
     GO TO 254
253 11=11+1
     14=1
      15+11
     IF(12.6T. IBPAX) OC TO 255
     (1) BUL#S2
      IPTION==1
     R2=AH(12+1)
254
     22=AZ(1,J2)
     IC#1
     GO TO 251
```

1. 1. 1. 1. N. O. O.

a service and a service and a

at and the second state

÷ . 5

i)

73

.

ried and the second

. . .

١

Said and a gard of the second

```
255 IC=0
      15=-1
      GO TO 251
 251 IF(I2 .EQ. -1 ) GO TO 500
      IF (IPTION.LT.0) GO TO 130
      WRITE(6,2010)12, J2, R2, Z2, I3, J3, R3, Z3, IPTION
      IPTION=IPTION+1
      GO TO ( 200:300:300:346:346:346:346) . IPTION
***
С
     GENERATE STRAIGHT LINES ON BOUNDARY
300 DI=ABS(FLOAT(I2-I1))
     DJ=ABS(FLOAT(J2-J1))
      ISTRT=11
     ISTP=12
     JSTRT=J1
     JSTP=J2
     DIFF=AMAX1(DI+DJ)
     ITER=DIFF=1.
     IINC=0
     JINC=0
     IF(I2.NE.I1) IINC=(I2-I1)/IABS(I2-I1)
     IF(J2.NE.J1) JINC=(J2-J1)/IABS(J2-J1)
     KAPPA≔↓
     IF(I2.NE.I1.AND.J2.NE.J1.AND.IPTION.NE.3) KAPPA=2
     IF(KAPPA.EQ.2) DIFF=2.*DIFF
     RINC=(R2=R1)/DIFF
     ZINC=(Z2-Z1)/DIFF
     WRITE(6,2002) DI,DL,DIFF,RINC,ZINC,ITER,IINC,JINC,KAPPA
C
Ç
     CHECK FOR INPUT ERROR
¢
     IF (IPTION.EQ.3 .AND.DI.NE.DJ) GO TO 310
     IF (KAPPA.NE.2.OR.DI.EW.DJ) GO TO 320
  310 WRITE(6,2003)
     GO TO 200
Ç
С
     INTERPULATE
C
  320 I=11
     J⊴ul
     WRITE(6+2004)
     WRITE (6,2004)
     DO 340 M=1, ITER
     IF (ITEH.EQ.O.AND.IPTION.EQ.2) GO TO 345
     IF (KAPPA.EQ.2) GO TO 330
     IQLD≡I
     I=I+IINC
     JQLD≖J
     ປ≓u+JINC
     AR(I;J) = AR(ICLD;JQLD) + RINC
     AZ(I+J)=AZ(ICLD+JOLD)+ZINC
     WRITE(6,2005) I, J, AR(I, J), AZ(I, J)
     CALL MNIMX(I+J)
     IF (NCODE (I+J) .NE.4; WRITE (6+2100) I+J
     NOCDE(I+J)=2
```

• • •

```
GO TO 340
 330 IQLD=I
     I≠I+IINC
     AR(I+J) =AR(ICLD+J)+RINC
     AZ(I + J) = AZ(ICLO + J) + ZINC
     WRITE(6,2005) I,J,AR(I,J),AZ(I,J)
     IF (NCODE(I+J) .NE.4) WRITE(6+2100) I+J
     NOODE(I,J)=2
     CALL MNIMX(I)J)
     JQLD≠J
     J≊u+JINC
     AR(I,J) = AR(I,JOLD) + RINC
     AZ(I_{J}J) = AZ(I_{J}JULD) + ZINC
     IF (NCOUE (1, J) .NE.4) WRITE (6, 2100) I.J
     NOGDE (I,J) = 2
     WRITE(6,2005) I.J.AR(I.J)+AZ(I.J)
     CALL MNIMX(I+J)
 340 CONTINUE
 345 IF (KAPPA.EQ.1) GO TO 200
     IULD=1
      I=I+IINC
     AR(I,J)=AR(TCLU,J)+RINC
     AZ(I_{fJ}) = AZ(ICLD_{fJ}) + ZINC
     IF (NCOUE(I+J) +NE+4) WRITE(6+2100) I+J
     NOCDE(I+J)=2
      WRITE(0,2005) I, J, AR(I, J), AZ(I, J)
     CALL MNIMX(I,J)
     GO TO 200
346 WRITE(6+4001)
4001 FORMAT(+0+,+**** INPUT ERROR ----VALUE OF IPTION GREATER THEN 1
     :----EXECUTION TERMINATED*)
     STICP
500 MAXI=0
     MAXJ=0
      MINI=30
     MDNJ=100
      00 503 I=1,30
      IF (JMAX(I).GT.MAXJ)MAXJ=JMAX(I)
      IF(JMIN(I).LT.MINJ)MINJ=JMIN(I)
  503 CONTINUE
      DO 507 J=1,100
      IF (IMAX(J).GT.MAXI)MAXI=IMAX(J)
      IF (IMIN(J).LT.MINI)MINI#IMIN(J)
  507 CONTINUE
      READ (9+1000) NOHC
      IF (NUHC.EQ.0) GO TO 511
      WRITE(6,8500)
      D0 508 N0=1#NOHC
      READ (9,8000) INI, DNA, JMI, JMA
      WRITE (6,8000) INI, DYA, JMI, JMA
      IMIV(NU)=IMI
      IMAV(NU)=IMA
      JMIV(NU)=JMI
      JMAV (NU) = JMA
      DO 508 JEJMI JMA
```

ŝ

```
DC 508 I=IMI,IMA
  508 NOCDE(I,J)=5
 8000 FORMAT(415)
8500 FORMAT(1H0. 20HVOID SPECIFICATIONS ,/,
     1
                 ( AML IMI IMA JMI JMA )
      IF (NUHC.LE.30) GO TC 511
      WRITE(6+9000)
 9000 FOHMAT (33H0****ERRCR****TOU MANY VOID CARDS)
      STCP
C # #
   **********************
      CALCULATE COCRDINATES OF INTERIOR POINTS
С
511 IF ( MAXI+1 .LE. 2 ) GO TO 530
      Il=MINI+1
      I2=MAXI-1
      IF(NLIM.LT.1) NLIM = 100
     D0 520 N=1+NLIM
     RESID=0.
     DO 510 I=I1,I2
     J1=JMIN(I)+1
     J2=JMAX(I)-1
     D0 510 J=J1+52
     KODE=NCODE(I,J)
     GO TO(510,510,509,506,510) +KODE
  506 NOCDE(I,J)=3
  509 DR=(AR(I+1,J)+AR(I-1,J)+AR(I,J+1)+AR(I,J-1))/4.-AR(I,J)
    1 +CONI * (AR(I+1,J) = AR(I+1,J))/FLOAT(8*(I+ISET))
    2 + CONU * (AR(I+J+1) = AR(I+J=1)) / FLOAT(8*(J+JSET))
     DZ= {AZ (I+1,J) +AZ (I-1,J) +AZ (I,J+1) +AZ (I,J-1))/4.-AZ (I,J)
    1 + CONJ * (A2(I,J+1) - AZ(I,J-1))/FLOAT(8*(J+JSET))
    2 + CONI * (A2(I+1+)) = AZ(I-1+J)) / FLOAT(8*(I+ISET))
     RESID=RESID+ABS(DR)+ABS(DZ)
     AR(I+J)=AR(I+J)+1+8*DR
     AZ(I+J)=AZ(I+J)+1+8+DZ
  510 CONTINUE
     IF(N.EQ.1) RESI=RESID
     IF (N.EU.1.ANC.RESIC.EU.0) GO TO 530
     IF (RESID/RES1.LT.1.E-4) GO TO 530
 520 CONTINUE
 530 WRITE(6,2009) N
     KP=KP=1
 600 CONTINUE
 999 WRITE(6,4000)
4000 FORMAT(1H , 9HEND MESH )
C****************
C#####
С
1000 FORMAT(415.
                      2F10.0.3I5)
1001 FORMAT (2(213,2F8.3),15)
2000 FORMAT (30H1 MESH GENERATION INFORMATION//
           NUMBER OF LINE SEGMENT CARDS-----. I3./
    3 41H0
           NUMBER OF BOUNDARY CONDITION CARDS----,13,/
    4 41H0
    5 41H0
           NUMBER OF MATERIAL BLOCK CARDS-----+13+/
           NUMBER OF BOUNDARY CONDITION CARDS----+13+/
    4 41H0
           NUMBER OF MATERIAL BLOCK CARDS------, 13,/
    5 41H0
    6 41HO NUMBER OF ITERATIONS
```

Ĵ

「「「「「「「」」」

8 41H0 POLAR COORDINATE PARAMETER J ----+E12.4./ R 2001 FORMAT(1H0, 81H INPUT I J Z I2 J2 R2 IPTION) 1 Z 2002 FORMAT(1H + 5H DI=+F4.0, 5H DJ=+F4.0, 7H DIFF=+F4.0, 7H ZINC=+F8.3+7H ITER=+I3+ 7H JINC=+I.3+ 8H KAPPA=+I2) 1 7H RINC=.F8.3. 7H IINC≈,I3, 2 2003 FOHMAT (1x,38H**BAD INPUT--THIS LINE IS NOT DIAGONAL) 2004 FORMAT (30H I AR AZ) J 2005 FORMAT (215,2F10.3) 2006 FORMAT (51H ** BAD INPUT - THESE POINTS DO NOT DEFINE A CIRCLE./. 13X+6F12.4,10X+2E20.8) 2007 FORMAT(1H , 21H CENTER COORDINATE (,F8.3,1H,,F8.3,1H)) 2008 FOHMAT(1H , 7H ANG1=,F9.6,7H ANG2=,F9.6,7H DIFF=,F3.0, 1 9H DELPHIS,F9.6) 2009 FORMAT(1H0, 30H CCORUINATES CALCULATED AFTER .13. 1 11H ITERATIONS) 2010 FORMAT(7X,2(214,2F8.3),16) 2100 FORMAT(54H****WARNING***** NODAL POINT WITH (I+J) COORDINATES (. 1 I2+1H++I2+21H) HAS BEEN RE-DEFINED) 3000 FOHMAT(1615) 3100 FORMAT(2E15.8,110) 3200 FORMAT(8F10.E) RETURN END

```
POINTS -- THIS RCUTINE ASSIGNS MATERIALS, TEMPERATURES, ETC.
C
      SUBROUTINE PCINTS
С
      COMMON/BASIC/NUMNP,NUMEL,NUMPC,NUMSC,ACELZ,ANGVEL,TREF,VOL,IFREQ
      COMMON/NPDATA/R(1000), CODE(1000), XR(1000), Z(1000), XZ(1000), T(1000)
      COMMON/ELDATA/IX(1000+5)+EPR(1000)+ALPHA(1000)+PST(1000)
      DOUBLE PRECISION X, Y, TEM
      COMMON/SOLVE/NCODE (30,100), NUMTC
      COMMON/TD/IMIN(100) . IMAX(100) . JMIN(30) . JMAX(30) . MAXI. MAXJ. MAXJ. NMTL. NBC
     1
          +MINI+MDNJ
      COPMON/PLANE/NPP
      COMMON/JEH/NCHC+IMIV(30)+IMAV(30)+JMIV(30)+JMAV(30)
      COMMON/OHG4/ ISTOP
      DIMENSION AR (30,100), AZ (30,100), MATRIL (20,5), BLKANG (20)
      DIMENSION IORDER(30,100)
      DIMENSION JONIT (40) , MSTART (40) , MSTOP (40)
      DIMENSION IGMIT(40), LSTART(40), LSTOP(40)
      DATA IURDER/3000+0/
      EQUIVALENCE (R(1) + AR(1+1)) + (Z(1) + AZ(1+1))
C#######
      READ(9,4999) RSHIF,ZSHIF
4999 FORMAT(2F10.0)
                                                            < C
      READ(9+5000) JOMAX
5000 FORMAT(15)
      00 7 JU=1, JONAX
      READ(9,5001) JOMIT(J0),MSTART(J0),MSTOP(J0)
5001 FORMAT(315)
     CONTINUE
     READ(9:5004) 10MAX
5004 FOHMAT(15)
     DO 6 IU=1,IONAX
     READ(9,5001) IOMIT(I0), LSTART(I0), LSTOP(I0)
6
     READ(9.5001) IEXPAN.IIEXP.JJEXP
     NP=0
     J0#1
     DO 120 JEMINU,MAXJ
     IF( J .EQ, JCMIT(J0) ) GO TO 90
     NSTART=IMIN(~)
     NSTOP=IMAX(J)
     GO TO 91
     NSTART=MSTART (J0)
90
     J0=J0+1
91
     10 = 1
     DO 120 I=NSTART.NSTOP
     IORDER(I+J)=0
     IF (NCOUE(I.J).EQ.4) GO TO 120
     IF(I.NE.IOMIT(10)) GO TO 96
     IF(J.LE.LSTOP(IO) ) GO TO 92
     GO TO 93
92
     IF(J .GE. LSTART(IO) ) GO TO 95
     I0=I0+1
93
     GO TO 120
95
     I0#I0+1
96
     NP=NP+1
     RONP) =AR(I.J)
```

```
Z(NP) = AZ(I,J)
      IORDER(I,J) MAP
 120 CONTINUE
      NUMNPENP
READ AND ASSIGN BOUNDARY CONDITIONS
C
C*****
               ****
Ç
      INITIALIZE
C
      DO 150 I=1+1000
      T(I) = 0.0
  150 PSY(I)=0.0
      900 200 I=1, NUMNP
      COUE(I)=0.
      IF(R(I) \bullet EQ \bullet 0 \bullet \bullet AND \bullet NPP \bullet EQ \bullet 0) CODE(I) = 1 \bullet
      XR(I)=0.
      XZ(I)=0.
  200 CONTINUE
С
      IF (NHC.EU.0) GO TO 220
      DO 210 IBCON=1,NBC
      READ(9+1002) II+I2+J1+J2+CUN+RCON+ZCON
      DO 210 I=I1.12
      D0 210 J≠J1+J2
      NP=IORDER(I++)
      CODE (NH) = CON
      XR(NP)=RCON
  210 XZ(NP)=ZCON
  220 MPHINT=0
      PRINT 1300,NUMNP
      NP=0
      J0#1
      DO 240 JEMINUSMAXJ
      IF( J .EQ. JOMIT(J0) ) GO TO 225
      NSTART=IMIN(+)
      NSTUP=IMAX(J)
      GO TO 226
 225 NSTART=MSTART(J0)
      NSTOP=MSTOP (...e)
      10=10+1
 226 IO=1
      DO 240 I=NSTART,NSTOP
      IF ( NCODE(I:J) .EG. 4 ) GO TO 240
      IF(I.NL.IOMIT(IO) ) GO TO 256
      IF(J .LE.LSTCP(IO) ) GU TO 254
      GO TO 257
 254
      IF(J .GE. LSTART(IO) ) GO TO 255
 257
      I0=I0+1
      GO TO 240
 255
     I0=I0+1
 256 NP#NP+1
      IF (MPRINT.NE.0) GO TO 230
      WRITE(6+2000)
      MRRINT=50
  230 MRRINT=MPRINT=1
      R (NP) = R (NP) = RSHIF
```

```
Z(NP)=Z(NP)=ZSHIF
     wRITE(6,2001)I+J,NF+CODE(NP)+R(NP)+Z(NP)+XR(NP)+XZ(NP)+NCODE(I+J)
 240 CONTINUE
    ***
C#
С
     ASSIGN MATERIALS IN BLOCKS
C****
                             ****
     DO 300 M1=1,1000
 300 IX (M1.5)=0
     00 310 IMTL=1,NMTL
     READ (9,1000) MTL, (MATRIL(IMTL, IM), IM=2,5), BLKANG(MTL)
  310 MATRIL(IMTL+1)=MTL
C##
     ******
                                     ******
C
     ESTABLISH ELEMENT INFORMATION
C*
   ******
     JJMAX=MAXJ=1
     IOGDE=1
     JOCDE ≠1
     JJCODE=1
     NEL=0
     11=0
     II=0
     GO TO 312
311 CONTINUE
312 JJ#JJ+1
     IF(JJ .GT. JUMAX) GO TO 400
     JJCODE#1
     II=0
     NSTANT=IMIN (UJ)
     NSTOP=IMAX(Ju)
     II=NSTART
      GC TU 314
313 II=II+1
     IF(II.GE.NSTCP) GO TO 311
314 IF (IURDER (II+JJ) .EQ. 0 ) GO TO 313
     IIP=II+1
     JUB=JJ+1
     IF ( NCODE(II.JJ) .EQ. 4 ) GO TO 317
     1F(NCODE(11P+JJ ).EQ.4)G0 TO 317
     IF (NCOUE(II +JJP) +EQ.4)GO TO 317
     IF (NCODE (IIP+JJP) +EQ-4) GO TO 317
     IF (JJ.GE.JJEXP.AND.II.GE.IIEXP) GO TO 316
     IF (NCODE(II +JJ ) +NE+5) GO TO 318
     IF (NCODE (IIP+JJ ) JNE+5) GC TO 318
     IF (NCODE (II + JUP) INE . 5) GO TO 318
     IF (NCOUE(IIP, JJP) JNE.5) GO TO 318
     DO 315 NU=1+NOHC
     IF(II.GE.IMIV(NO).AND.II.LE.IMAV(NO).AND.
        JJ.GE.JMIV (NO) . AND.JJ.LE.JMAV (NO) . AND.
    1
        IIP.GE.IMIV(NO).AND.IIP.LE.IMAV(NO).AND.JJP.GE.JMIV(NO).AND.
    2
        JJP.LE.JMAV(NO)) GQ TO 313
    з.
315 CONTINUE
    JJC0DE=S
316
     GO TO 318
317
     JUCODE=2
     GO TU 313
318 CONTINUE
```

```
CHECK FOR DIRECTION OF EXPANSION
С
      IF (JJCODE.EG.2) GO TO 320
 319
      CONTINUE
      IF (IORDER (II, JJ).GT.O.AND.IORDER (II, JJP).GT.O)GO TO 333
      IF (IORDER (II, JJ).GT.O.AND.IORDER (IIP, JJ).GT.O) GO TO 321
      WRITE(6,6000) II,JJ,IIP,JJP
 6000 FORMAT(! !:!****ERRCR*** POINTS!:415: DO NOT DEFINE A VALID
     * EXPANSION EXECUTION TERMINATED*)
      STOP
 320 CONTINUE
      IF( JJ .GE. IEXPAN ) GO TO 319
      IF (IURDER (IIP+JJ) .EQ. 0 ) GO TO 313
      IF (IORDER (IIF+JJP)+EQ+0) GO TO 321
      GO TO 319
      CONTINUE
321
C
      EXPANSION IN J-DIRECTION
      IF(IORDER(II+JJP)+EQ+0+AND+IORDER(IIP+JJP)+EQ+0) GO TO 331
      IF (IORDER (II+JUP)+GT+0+AND+IORDER (IIP+JUP)+GT+0) GO TO 329
      IF(IURDER(II+JUP)+EQ.0) GO TO 322
      IITEMP=II
      JJTEMP=JJP
      JCCDE=3
      GO TO 331
 322 IITEMP=IIP
      JUTEMPBJJP
      JOODE=2
      GO TU 331
      CONTINUE
 153
      TRIANGULAR ELEMENTS
C
      IA=IORDER(II+JJ)
      IB=IORDER(IIF,JJ)
      IC=IORDER(IITEMP, JUTEMP)
      IDaIC
      GO TO 332
      IA#IORUER(II+JJ)
 324
      IB=IORDER (IITEMP, JUTEMP)
      IC=IORDER(II.JJP)
      IDuIC
      JOCDE=4
      60 TO 332
 325 IA#IURDER(II+JJP)
      INDIORDER (IITEMP.J.TEMP)
      IC=IORDER(IIF+JJP)
      ID=IC
      JCODE=1
      GO TO 332
      IA=IORDER(II+JJ)
 359
      IB=IORDER(IIF,JJ)
      IC=IORDER(IITEMP+JUTEMP)
      ID#1C
      GO TO 332
 327
      IA=IURUER(IIF+JJ)
      IB=IORDER(IIF+JJP)
      IC=IORDER(IITEMP+JUTEMP)
      ID=IC
      JOODE=5
```

×

4. . .

```
GO TO 332
      IA=IORDER(IIF,JJP)
328
      IB=IORDER(II,JJP)
      IC=IORDER(IITEMP,JUTEMP)
      ID=IC
      JOGDE=1
      GO TO 332
     GO TO (330,323,326) .JCODE
329
     IA=IORDER(II,JJ)
330
      IB=IORDER(IIP+JJ)
      IC=IORUEH(IIF+JJP)
      ID=IORDER(II.JJP)
      GO TO 332
331
     JJP=JJP+1
      IF(JJP.GT.MAXJ) CALL ERROR(1.JJP.MAXJ.II)
      60 70 321
332 NEL = NEL +1
      IF (NEL.GT.1000) CALL ERROR (2.NEL.1000.0)
      IX(NEL+1)=IA
      IX(NEL+2)=IB
      IX(NEL+3)=IC
      IX(NEL+4)=ID
      IX (NEL:5) = MTL
      ALPHA (NEL) =BLKANG (PTL)
      GO TU ( 345+324+327+325+328 )+JCODE
333
      CONTINUE
С
      EXPANSION IN I-DIRECTION
      IF(IURDER(IIF+JJ).EQ.0.AND.IORDER(IIP+JJP).EQ.0) GO TO 337
      IF(IQRDER(IIF+JJ).GT+0.AND+IORDER(IIP+JJP).GT+0) GO TO 335
      IF (IONDER (IIF+JJ)+EQ.0) GO TO 334
      IITEMP=IIP
      JUTEMPEJU
      ICODE=2
      GO TU 337
334
      IITEMP=IIP
      JUTEMPEJJP
      IOCDE=3
      GO TO 337
335
     GO TO (336+342+339) +ICOUE
     IA=IURDER(II.JJ)
336
      IN=IOBDER(IIE+O)
      IC=IORUER(IIF,JJP)
      ID=IORDER(II+JJP)
      II=IIP+1
      GO TU 338
337 IIP#IIP+1
      IF(IIP.GT.NSTOP) CALL ERROR(3+IIP+NSTOP+JJ)
      GO TU 333
 338
     NEL=NEL+1
      IF (NEL.GT. 1000) CALL ERRON (2.NEL. 1000.0)
      IX(NEL+))#IA
      IX (NEL+2)=18
      IX (NEL+3)=IC
      IX(NEL+4)=ID
      IX (NEL+5) =MTL
      ALPHA (NEL) =BLKANG (PTL)
```

, è

×u

E. S. Same

```
GO TO ( 345+343+340+341+344 )+ ICODE
 339
      CONTINUE
C
      TRIANGULAR ELEMENTS
      IA=IORDER(II+JJ)
      IB=IORDER (IITEMP, JUTEMP)
      IC=IURDER(II.JJP)
      ID=IC
      GO TO 338
 340 IA=IOHDEH(II+JJ)
      IB=IORDER(IIF,JJ)
      IC=IORDER('ITEMP+JUTEMP)
      ID=IC
      IOCDE=4
      GO TU 338
 341 IA=IORDER (IIF + UJ)
      IB=IORUER(IIF+JJP)
      IC=IORDER (IITEMP, JUTEMP)
      ID=IC
      II=IIP=1
      IOCDE#1
      GO TO 338
 342 IA=IORUER(II.JJ)
      IB=IORDER(IITEMP+JUTEMP)
      IC=IORDEN(II+JJP)
      ID=IC
      GO TO 238
 343 IA=IORDER(II+JJP)
      IB=IORDER(IITEMP+JUTEMP)
      IC=IURDER(IIF+JJP)
      ID#IC
      IOCDEas
      GO TU 338
     IA=IORDER(IIF+JJ)
 344
      IB=IURDER(IIP+JJP)
      IC=IORDER (IITEMP+JUTEMP)
      ID#IC
      II=IIP=1
      IOCOE#1
      60 TO 338
 345 DO 360 INTL#1+NMTL
      IF(II.LT.NATFIL(INTL.2))GO TO 360
      IF(II.GE.MATRIL(INTL.3))GO TO 360
      IF (JJ.LT.MATRIL (IMTL.4)) GO TO 360
      IF (JJ.GE.MATRIL (INTL.5)) GO TO 360
      NTL = MATRIL(INTL+1)
      GO TO 380
  360 CONTINUE
      PRINT 2100+NEL+II+44
      HTL#1
  380 IX (NEL+5) #HTL
      ALPHA (NEL) #BLKANG OFTL)
      GO TO 313
  400 CONTINUE
      NUMELWNEL
  480 IF (NUMNP.GT.1000) WRITE (6.2002)
C..........
          ***********************
```

```
SET NOUAL POINT TEMPERATURE TO REFERENCE TEMPERATURE
С
C****
                               IF (NUMTC.NE.0) GO TC 550
     DO 500 N=1,NLMNP
 500 T(N)=THEF
 550 WRITE(6+4000)
4000 FORMAT(1H . 10HEND POINTS )
     RETURN
С
1000 FORMAT (515,F10.0)
1002 FONMAT (415+3F10.0)
1300 FORMATIING, 40HNODAL POINT DATA---NO. OF NODAL POINTS= .15)
2000 FOHMAT( 104H1
                   I
                        J
                              NP
                                        TYPE
                                                               Z-ORDINA
                                                 R-ORDINATE
    ITE H LOAD OF DISPLACEMENT Z LOAD OF DISPLACEMENT)
2001 FONMAT (215, 16, F12, 1, F12, 3, F14, 3, E26, 7, E24, 7, 115)
2002 FORMAT (35H BAD INPUT - TUO MANY NODAL POINTS)
2100 FORMAT(1H0, BHELEMENT +14+3X+23HWITH (I+J) COORDINATES(+12+1H++13+
    1
                 31H) HAS BEEN ASSIGNED MATERIAL 1 )
     ENC
```

С DATA--- THIS ROLTINE READS CARDS AND PUTS THEM ON TAPE NO.9 SUBROUTINE DATA COMMON/OHG5/ JSTOP DIMENSION CARD(20) REWIND 9 N=0 IF (JSTOP .EQ. 1) GO TO 400 READ(5+1000) CARD 10 WRITE(6+1002) GO TO 200 READ(5+1000+END=300)CARD 100 200 WRITE(6+1003)CARD WRITE(9,1000) CARD NaN+1 GO TU 100 300 ENCFILE 9 NEWIND 9 WRITE(6+1004) JSTOP=1 RETURN 400 WRITE(6+1005) STUP 1000 FORMAT(20A4) 1002 FORMAT(11) /13X,2H10,8X,2H20,8X,2H30,8X,2H40,8X,2H50; 18X+2H6V+8X+8F70+8X+2H80/5X+80H123456789012345678901234567890123456 2789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901 1003 FORMAT(5X,20A4) 1004 FORMAT(1H . 11MEND OF DATA) 1005 FORMAT(1M , 10HEND OF JOB) ENC

「たちになったい」という

and a state of the second state of the second second second of the second second second second second second s

C MNINX-- THIS IS A UTILITY ROUTINE SUBROUTINE MNIMX(I.J) C C COMMON/TD/IMIN(100).IMAX(100).JMIN(30).JMAX(30).MAXI.MAXJ.NMTL.NBC 1 .MINI.MINJ 1 .MINI.MINJ C IF(J.LT.JMIN(I)) JMIN(I)=J IF(J.GT.JMAX(I)) JMAX(I)=J IF(I.LT.IMIN(J)) FMIN(J)=I IF(I.GT.IMAX(J)) FMAX(J)=I C RETURN END

€

а,

```
С
          NUDE-- A SMALL LTILITY ROUTINE WHICH MAY BE NEEDED BY MESH OR POINTS
      FUNCTION NODE (I.J)
C
      COMMON/TO/IMIN(100),IMAX(100),JMIN(30),JMAX(30),MAXI,MAXJ,NMTL,NBC
MINI,MINJ
     1
С
      NOCE=0
      DO 100 JJE144
NSTART=IMIN(4J)
      NSTUP=IMAX (J.)
      DO 100 II=NSTART.NSTOP
      NODE=NUDE+1
      IF (JJ.LQ.J.AND.II.EQ.I) RETURN
  100 CONTINUE
С
      RETURN
ENC
```

: **₹**

.

•

.

```
SUBROUTINE ERROR (N+M+L+K)
    GO TU (1+2+3)+N
    WRITE(6+10) #+L+K
    1
10
    GO TO 50
    WRITE(0+11) W+L
FORMAT(* +++NEL = ++15+*EXCEEDS MAXNEL = ++15)
2
11
    GO TO 50
    FORMAT(1 +++11P = ++15++EXCEEDS NSTOP = ++15++JJ = ++15)
3
12
    WRITE(6,13)
FOHMAT(* ***EXECUTION TERMINATED*)
50
13
    STOP
     ENC
```

DISTRIBUTION

• • • • • • • • • • •

Commander (NSEA 09G32) Naval Sea Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, DC 20362

Commander (NSEA 0342) Naval Sea Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, DC 20362 Copies 1 and 2

Copies 3 and 4

Defense Documentation Center 5010 Duke Street Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314

Via: Commander (NSEA 09G32) Naval Sea Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, DC 20362 Copies 5 through 16