

Research Spontantic by the Office of Mount Research under Contract 1000014-76-C-0000 and the Ristional Science Econolistics. under Grant ENG 78-03274



UCLA-ENG-7550 Date: 1070

DIAMETER AND CONNECTIVITY CONSIDER 4 TIONS IN THE DEGIGN OF COMMUNICATION NETWOPKS

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



published by

PUBLICATION SERVICES CENTER SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND APPLIED SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES 90024

ъ

REPORT DOCUMENT	ATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION	HEFORE COMPLETING FORM
4. TITLE (and Sublitie)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVE
Diameter and Connectivity C	onsiderations in th	10
Design of Communication Net	works,	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBE
7. AUTHOR(s)	1	UCLA-ENG-7656 B. CONTRACT OF GRANT NUMBER(*)
Lohuda Hastman	(15	N00014=75-C-0609
Jenuar nar chan	C	MSF-ENG- 75- 0322
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND A University of California	ADDRESS em	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Los Angeles, California 900	24	NB042-291
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRE	ESS	12. REPORT DATE
Statistics and Probability P: Office of Naval Research	rogram	June 1976
Arlington, Virginia 22217	It different from Controlling Office	93 ce) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
(10)	(ab)	Unclassified
(12)	190p.]	15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADIN SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report, Reproduction in whole or in p United States government.	part is permitted f	or any purpose of the
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report, Reproduction in whole or in p United States government.	part is permitted f	or any purpose of the
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report, Reproduction in whole or in p United States government. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the about action of the state of the s	part is permitted f	or any purpose of the
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report, Reproduction in whole or in p United States government. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide If nece 	part is permitted f t entered in Block 20, 11 dilleren	for any purpose of the nt from Report)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report, Reproduction in whole or in p United States government. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abeliant) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if nece Communication Networks Graph Theory 	part is permitted f t entered in Block 20, 11 differen eeeery and identify by block nur Graph Com	nber)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report, Reproduction in whole or in p United States government. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abeliance 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if nece Communication Networks Graph Theory Reliable Networks 	part is permitted f t entered in Block 20, if different essery and identify by block num Graph Coni Graph Dian Computer (for any purpose of the (from Report) (from Report) nectivity meter Communication Networks
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report, Reproduction in whole or in p United States government. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abeliant) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if nece Communication Networks Graph Theory Reliable Networks 	part is permitted f t entered in Block 20, if different entered in Block 20, if different Graph Cont Graph Diat Computer (for any purpose of the for any purpose of the for (rom Report) (from Report) nectivity meter Communication Networks
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report, Reproduction in whole or in p United States government. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abeliant) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if nece Communication Networks Graph Theory Reliable Networks 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if nece 	part is permitted f t entered in Block 20, if different eeeery and identify by block num Graph Conn Graph Dian Computer (Invulneral	or any purpose of the (from Report) (from Report) nectivity meter Communication Networks ble Networks ber)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report, Reproduction in whole or in p United States government. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if nece Communication Networks Graph Theory Reliable Networks 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if nece A communication network is mo multiple edges. The maximal in terms of the diameter of t ered: a given minimal degree 	part is permitted f t entered in Block 20, if different c entered in Block 20, if different conserv and identify by block num Graph Com Graph Dian Computer (Invulneral computer (Invulneral consistence of the state odeled by an undirect message delay indep the graph. Three re c, edge-connectivity	for any purpose of the if from Report) nber) nectivity meter Communication Networks ble Networks ble Networks ber) cted graph without loops and k, in the network, is express eliability measures are consi v and vertex connectivity.

Section States

404637 4

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

20. consists of the graphs in $H_1(k,d)$ with exactly n vertices (i=1,2,3). Let $f_1(k,d)$, $g_1(k,d)$ be the minimum number of vertices and edges, respectively, that an $H_1(k,d)$ -graph must have and let $g_1(n,k,d)$ be the minimal number of edges of an $H_1(n,k,d)$ -graph (i=1,2,3). In Chapter 2 and 3 our main concern is to calculate the values of $f_1(k,d)$, $g_1(k,d)$ and $g_1(n,k,d)$ for arbitrary natural numbers n,d,d (i=1,2,3). Furthermore, graphs attaining the minimal number of vertices and edges are constructed.

Motivated by the problem of designing communication networks whose maximal message delay does not exceed a prescribed value, even if a number of communication links fail, we define a new class of graphs. A graph G is called an (l,d)-graph if the removal of at least l edges from G is required in order that the resulting graph would have a diameter larger than d. G is called *l*-distance stable if the removal of at least *l* edges from G is required to increase the distance between any pair of nonadjacent vertices of G. In Chapter 4, classes of (l,d)-graphs and l-distance stable graphs are constructed and various properties of these graphs are given. In particular, we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for graphs to belong to some special classes of (2,d)-graphs and a Menger type theorem for L-distance stable graphs. Finally, we consider some extremal problems related to (2,d)-graphs of diameter d, called 2-diameter stable graphs. More specifically, the minimal number of vertices and edges of a 2-diameter stable graph of diameter d is obtained and bounds on the minimal number of edges of a 2-diameter stable graph on n vertices are calculated.

X

3

AREC 1998 18 5.73 255

网络教育中国主义之后的财产性 1

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

UCLA-ENG-7656 JUNE 1976

DIAMETER AND CONNECTIVITY CONSIDERATIONS

and the second of the second of the second of the second second second second second second second second second

IN THE DESIGN OF COMMUNICATION NETWORKS

by

Jehuda Hartman

Principal Investigator: Izhak Rubin

Research Sponsored by Office of Naval Research Under Contracts N00014-75-C-0609 and N00014-75-C-0609-Mod P00001 and the National Science Foundation Under Grant ENG 75-03224

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

Department of System Science School of Engineering and Applied Science University of California Los Angeles, California

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to express his appreciation to his Ph.D. Committee consisting of Professors Izhak Rubin, Chairman, David Cantor, Leonard Kleinrock, Bruce Rothschild and Ernst G. Straus. In particular, he wishes to thank Professor Izhak Rubin for his advice and guidance and Professor E. G. Straus for his helpful suggestions during the course of this work and for reviewing the manuscript.

Special gratitude is owed to the author's wife, Avigail, whose unrelenting patience and understanding enabled the completion of this work.

聖法を定認える

This work was partially supported by the Office of Naval Research under Grant N00014-75-C-0609 and by the National Science Foundation under Grant ENG-75-03224.

ABSTRACT

William and the state

A communication network is modeled by an undirected graph without loops and multiple edges. The maximal message delay index, in the network, is expressed in terms of the diameter of the graph. Three reliability measures are considered: a given minimal degree, edgeconnectivity and vertex connectivity.

Let $H'_{1}(k,d)$ be the class of all graphs with diameter d and minimal degree k, $H'_{2}(k,d)$ is a subclass of $H''_{2}(k,d)$ consisting of all k-edge connected graphs in $H''_{1}(k,d)$ and $H''_{3}(k,d)$ is the subclass of all k-vertex connected graphs in $H''_{2}(k,d)$. $H''_{1}(n,k,d)$ consists of the graphs in $H''_{1}(k,d)$ with exactly n vertices (i=1,2,3). Let $f''_{1}(k,d)$, $g''_{1}(k,d)$ be the minimum number of vertices and edges, respectively, that an $H''_{1}(k,d)$ graph must have and let $g''_{1}(n,k,d)$ be the minimal number of edges of an $H''_{1}(n,k,d)$ -graph (i=1,2,3). In Chapter 2 and 3 our main concern is to calculate the values of $f''_{1}(k,d)$, $g''_{1}(k,d)$ and $g''_{1}(n,k,d)$ for arbitrary natural numbers n,k,d (i=1,2,3). Furthermore, graphs attaining the minimal number of vertices and edges are constructed.

Motivated by the problem of designing communication networks whose maximal message delay does not exceed a prescribed value, even if a number of communication links fail, we define a new class of graphs. A graph G is called an (ℓ,d) -graph if the removal of at least ℓ edges from G is required in order that the resulting graph would have a diameter larger than d. G is called ℓ -distance stable if the removal of at least ℓ edges from G is required to increase the distance between any pair of nonadjacent vertices of G. In Chapter 4, classes of

DING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

(l,d)-graphs and l-distance stable graphs are constructed and various properties of these graphs are given. In particular, we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for graphs to belong to some special classes of (2,d)-graphs and a Menger type theorem for l-distance stable graphs. Finally, we consider some extremal problems related to (2,d)graphs of diameter d, called 2-diameter stable graphs. More specifically, the minimal number of vertices and edges of a 2-diameter stable graph of diameter d is obtained and bounds on the minimal number of edges of a 2-diameter stable graph on n vertices are calculated.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A the second state of the

بالمراجع والمحافظ

Page

all we wanted

お客や

「「「「「「「「「「「」」」」」

ŝ

Section.

ł.

LIST OF FIC	GURES
CHAPTER 1.	INTRODUCTION
	1.1 Motivation 1 1.2 Terminology and Notation 4 1.3 Outline 5
CHAPTER 2.	GRAPHS WITH PRESCRIBED DIAMETER AND MINIMUM DEGREE 9
	2.1Introduction92.2Vertex Extremal $H_1(k,r)$ -Graphs102.3Some Results for $g_1(k,d)$ 142.4Inequalities Concerning the Class $H_1(n,k,d)$ 19
CHAPTER 3.	GRAPHS WITH PRESCRIBED DIAMETER AND CONNECTIVITY 29
	3.1 Introduction 29 3.2 A Class of H ₃ (k,d)-Extremal Graphs 30 3.3 Inequalities Generating the Recomptons of
	3.5 Inequalities concerning the variance of $H_3(n,k,d)$
	Edge Connectivity
CHAPTER 4.	ON THE DIAMETER STABILITY OF GRAPHS
	4.1 Introduction 49 4.2 Examples of (l,d)-Graphs 52 4.3 Some Results for (l,d)-Graphs 57 4.4 Critical and Superfluous Edges and
	2-Diameter Stable Graphs
	4.5 Some Extremal Problems for 2~Diameter Stable Graphs
CHAPTER 5.	CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER PROBLEMS
REFERENCES	

LIST OF FIGURES

18:3-1

THE REAL PROPERTY AND DESCRIPTION OF

のないのであるのである

間に、「「「「「「」」」

10 M 10

Figur	res						Page
2.1	A Vertex Extremal $H_1(3,6)$ -Graph.	•••	• • •	••	• •	• •	. 12
2.2	A Vertex Extremal H ₁ (3,7)-Graph	••	• • •	••	• •	•••	. 13
2.3	A Vertex Extremal H ₁ (3,8)-Graph	••		••	• •	• •	. 14
2.4	An H ₁ (4,9)-Graph	••		••	• •	••	. 15
2.5	An H ₁ (5,7)-Extremal Graph	•••		•••	• •	• •	. 17
2.6	An H ₁ (4,7)-Graph	•••		••	• •	• •	. 17
2.7	A 5-Regular H ₁ (5,8)-Graph	•••		••	• •	• •	. 18
2.8	An $H_1(4,8)$ -Graph	••		••	• •	• •	. 18
2.9	An H ₁ (30,2,7)-Graph	• -			••	• •	. 21
2.10	т _{д д}	••			•••	•••	. 22
2.11	Combined $T_{m k}$'s				••	• •	23
2.12	A 4-Regular H ₁ (17,4,4)-Graph			••		• •	. 23
2.13	A 4-Regular H ₁ (17,4,4)Graph	• •		• •	••		. 24
2.14	An $H_1(15, 4, 4)$ -Graph	••			• •	• •	. 25
2.15	An H ₁ (25,3,6)-Extremal Graph	• •			• •	• •	. 26
3.1	A Vertex Extremal H ₃ (3,4)-Graph	•••		• •	••	• •	. 31
3.2	G_6^3	• •			• •	• •	. 32
3.3	G_5^3	• •		, .	• •	• •	. 34
3.4	G_5^4				• •		. 34
3.5	An $H_3(10,3,3)$ -Graph				• •		. 38
3.6	An H ₃ (16,6,3)-Extremal Graph					• •	. 39
3.7	An $H_2(4,4)$ -Graph			••	• •		. 43
3.8	An $H_{0}(4,5)$ -Graph					• •	. 43

1x

EEGEDING PARE BLANK

ΝŬ.

LIST OF FIGURES (Cont'd)

ana ta un

Figu	res	Page
3.9	A Vertex-Extremal H ₂ (4,8)-Graph	45
3.10	An H ₂ (6,8)-Graph	45
4.1	A (2,d)-Stable Graph	53
4.2	A 4-Diameter Stable Graph with Diameter 4	54
4.3	A 3-Diameter Stable Graph with Diameter 2	55
4.4	A 2-Diameter Stable Graph with Diameter 6	56
4.5	A 3-Distance Stable Graph of Diameter 5	57
4.6	A Counter Example	59
4.7	A 2-Diameter Stable Graph with Diameter 3 and Girth 4	60
4.8	A 2-Diameter Stable Graph with Diameter 4 and Girth 5	60
4.9	Superflows Edges	64
4.10	Critical Edges	65
4.11	H_6	68
4.12	\overline{H}_{6}	68
4.13	An H $(2,d)$ -Graph with Even Diameter (8); Graph with Odd Diameter (9)	71
4.14	An H ₂ (20,2,8)-Graph	73
4.15	An $H_{c}(28, 2, 10)$ -Graph	73
4.16	An $H_{g}(17,2,7)$ -Graph	74

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Motivation

43.000 M

In this dissertation, a communication network is topologically modeled by a finite linear graph, whose vertices represent the stations (transmitting, receiving or relying information) while the edges represent the communication channels. Computer-communication, satellite and telephone networks are examples.

In designing a communication network, reliability (survivability, invulnerability) and message delays are of prime importance as performance indices. In studies of communication networks, reliability has been defined in various ways (See [28]). The most common definition assumes the network to be operational, under channel or station failures, provided there exists a communication path between any pair of stations. Thus, under the latter definition even if a set of stations whose number does not exceed a prescribed value fail, it is still possible to form communication paths between all pairs of functioning stations. The latter measure of network reliability is called in graph theoretical terms the vertex connectivity of the underlying graph. Similarly, one can use the edge connectivity of the underlying graph as a reliability measure and require that even if a set of communication links, whose number does not exceed a prescribed value, fail, it is possible to communicate between any pair of stations in the network. A weaker form of reliability is the requirement that each station should be directly linked to at least a given number of stations. All these three reliability measure are considered in this research.

Meanages, arriving at random at the network source terminals and round (brough the network towards a destination terminals, experience quenating and transmission time dolays. The maximal delay experienced by a measuage flowing through the network can be measured in terms of the diameter of the underlying graph (representing the maximal distance between any two vertices of the graph). The latter clearly yields the maximal measage delay if the message delays across the channels in the network are of comparable value. Furthermore, for any store-and-forward communication network, under a fixed routing discipline, it has been shown [1] that the product of the prescribed maximal message delay γ and the associated minimal overall network capacity C. is characterized by a unique Delay-Capacity product function (γ C). The latter is shown in [1] to be the sum of two terms. The first term is γ_{λ_T} , where λ_T is the overall internal traific flow. The second term, called the Delay-Capacity Product number, $(\gamma C)^*$, is uniquely determined by the routing discipling and the topological structure of the communication network, and is independent of the terminal traffic intensities. It is readily observed that for any network with m lines and diameter d, by assigning equal delays across the channels, one obtains $(\gamma C)^* \leq md$. Furthermore, It has been shown [3], [4] that $(\gamma C)^* = md$ for many networks under a variety of general routing disciplines, while for other cases md serves as a tight bound. One also notes that the overall internal flow λ_{τ} can be expressed as $\lambda_{T} = \bar{n}\lambda_{E}$, where λ_{E} is the prescribed multiterminal flow value (network throughput) while n denotes the average route length. Generally, n S d. Furthermore, for a uniform traffic matrix (and other situations) \overline{n} is proportional to m. Hence, if the diameter

d of a network is prescribed, the topological structure which yields the minimal (γ C) product needs to have the minimal number of lines. Consequently, we study the characteristics of reliable (as expressed by a prescribed number of minimal links joined to each vertex, vertex connectivity or edge connectivity) graphs with minimal number of lines having a prescribed diameter. The latter will represent reliable topological structures for communication networks, attaining the minimal delay-capacity product, under a diameter (delay, maximal number of message hops) constraint. For perturbation techniques used for the design of computer communication networks with connectivity and diameter constraints, see Lavia and Manning [25].

HIERONAL STORAGE STORAGE

The use of connectivity indices of the underlying graph as a reliability measure is based on the assumption the network is operational in the presence of failures provided there is at least one communication path remaining between any pair of stations in the network. However, under failures the resulting network may have an excessively large diameter, which may result in intolerable queueing delays while routing a message through the network. We thus study here graphs whose diameter does not exceed a prescribed value even if a number of communication links fail. In grap! theoretical terms, the underlying graph of such a network will defined to be diameter-stable. Properties of diameter-stable graphs, and diameter stable graphs having the minimal number of vertices, are investigated in the sequel.

Extremal graphs of diameter two with prescribed minimum degree were studied by Bondy and Murty [14]. Studies [10] and [11] deal with connectivity problems without the diameter constraint. Some properties

of graphs with prescribed connectivity and diameter are studied in [7], [8], [12], [13], [14], [25] and [27]. Special problems associated with extremal diameter stable graph (having mainly diameters 2, 3 and 4) were considered by Bollobás, Murty, Vijayan and Caccetta in [15]-[22], while in [23] vertex distance stability problems are studied. For an extensive summary of methods of analysis and design of communication network, the reader is referred to [28]. Further references to the above-mentioned papers are made in the appropriate sections of this work.

1.2 Terminology and Notation

All graphs considered in this paper are undirected, without loops and multiple edges. By V(G) and E(G) we denote the set of vertices and the set of edges of the graph G, respectively. The number of elements of a set A is denoted by |A|. The <u>degree</u> of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is defined as the number of vertices adjacent to v, and is denoted by deg(v). A graph all whose vertices have the same degree k is called a <u>k-regular</u> graph. An <u>almost k-regular</u> graph is a graph which has one vertex of degree k + 1, while all the other vertices are of degree k. The edge with end-vertices v and w is denoted by vw.

A graph G with $|V(G)| \ge k + 1$ is called <u>k-vertex connected</u>, or simply <u>k-connected</u> (<u>k-edge connected</u>) if between any pair x, y of distinct vertices of G, there are at least k vertex (edge) disjoint x, y-paths in G. It is obvious that a k-connected (k-edge connected) graph cannot be disconnected by removing less than k vertices (edges) from the graph. The converse is also true. Hence, a graph is k-connected (k-edge connected) if and only if $|V(G)| \ge k + 1$ and it is

4

\$

3

X

3

1

Z

impossible to disconnect G by removing k - 1 or fewer vertices (edges) from G, (Menger-Whitney theorem and the corresponding edge version by Ford, Fulterson and others, see [9] Chapter 5).

The <u>distance</u> $d_G(x,y)$ between two vertices $x, y \in V(G)$ is the length of the shortest path in G joining x and y. In cases where no confusion can occur we may omit the index G from the function $d_G(x,y)$. The diameter of G, d(G), is defined as

$$d(G) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \max_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y} \in V(G)} d_{G}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) .$$

A pair of vertices $x, y \in V(G)$ such that $d_G(x, y) = d(G)$ is called a <u>diametrical pair</u> of vertices.

In many cases in the following chapters we will have a function g(n,k,d) (where n denotes the number of vertices of a graph G, d is the diameter and k is some reliability measure) bounded by an upper and a lower bound, which will also be functions of n, k and d. To estimate the tightness of the inequality a <u>tightness measure</u> will be applied to the inequality by dividing each bound by n and taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The latter yield per vertex asymptotic measures.

 K_n , $K_{m,n}$ and C_n will denote the complete graph on n vertices, the complete bipartite graph on n and m vertices and the cycle on n vertices, respectively. [x] denotes, as usual, the integral value of a real number x. For further definitions used in this dissertation the reader is referred to [9].

1.3 Outline

Most of the work reported in this dissertation is concerned with synthesis of graphs under reliability and diameter constraints. While

design results were at time the main objective, analysis of certain classes of graphs are also presented.

Chapter 2 is concerned with constructions of graphs with prescribed diameter d, and minimum degree k, called $H_1(k,d)$ -graphs. The minimal number of vertices and edges that an $H_1(k,d)$ -graph must have, is calculated and classes of extremal graphs, in this sense, are synthesized. Then, we consider $H_1(n,k,d)$ -graphs, which are $H_1(k,d)$ -graphs with exactly n vertices, and obtain bounds on the minimal number of edges that an $H_1(n,k,d)$ -graph must have.

In Chapter 3 graphs with prescribed diameter d and connectivity (edge-connectivity) k, called $H_3(k,d)$ -graphs ($H_2(k,d)$ -graphs) are considered. The minimal numbers of vertices and edges of an $H_3(k,d)$ -graph are obtained, extremal graphs are constructed and the family of $H_3(k,d)$ graphs having n vertices is studied. In section 3.4, some results concerning $H_2(k,d)$ -graph are given.

In Chapter 4 we study diameter stable graphs. A graph G is called an (l,d)-graph if the removal of at least l edges from G is required for the resulting graph to have a diameter larger than d. (l,d(G))graphs are called l-diameter stable graphs. A graph G with the property that at least l edges are to be removed from G in order to increase the distance between any pair of non-adjacent vertices of G, is called an l-distance stable graph. Classes of (l,d)-graphs, l-diameter stable graphs and l-distance stable graphs are constructed for any arbitrary $l, d \ge 2$, in Section 4.2. Various properties of the latter classes of graphs are presented in Section 4.3. In particular, a Mengerian type characterization of l-distance stable graphs,

indicating the appropriate similarity of l-distance stable graphs to l-edge connected graphs, is obtained. In Section 4.4, (2,d)-graphs are considered. Two classes of 2-diameter stable graphs are characterized by necessary and sufficient conditions. In Section 4.5, a few extremal problems, similar to those in Chapters 1 and 2, are solved for 2-diameter stable graphs.

Finally, in Chapter 5, we conclude with summary of the work presented, indicating further problems for future research.

material and the product of the section of the sect

ALL AND ALL AND A COMPANY AND A SAME AND A

CHAPTER 2

GRAPHS WITH PRESCRIBED DIAMETER

AND MINIMUM DEGREE

2.1 Introduction

Consider a communication network of stations in which certain pairs of vertices are linked directly and other pairs must communicate indirectly by means of a sequence of direct links. The given communication network is represented as usual by a graph G whose vertices and edges correspond respectively to the stations and the direct links of the communication network. Assume that if a failure occurs at a station it can rely for support only upon those stations to which it is directly linked. Reliability considerations may require therefore that each station should be directly linked to at least k stations. Furthermore, in order to have a reasonable message delay when it is routed over the network, we may require that each pair of stations must be able to communicate by means of a sequence of direct links which does not exceed a given integer $d \ge 1$. In graph theoretical terminology, it is required to construct a graph with prescribed minimal degree of the vertices - k, and a given diameter - d.

Let $H_1(k,d)$ denote the class of all graphs with minimal degree k and diameter d. The subclass of graphs in $H_1(k,d)$ having exactly n vertices is denoted by $H_1(n,k,d)$. The graphs in $H_1(k,d)(H_1(n,k,d))$ are called $H_1(k,d)$ -graphs $(H_1(n,k,d)$ -graphs).

Let

$$f_{1}(k,d) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} Min | V(G)|,$$

$$G \in H_{1}(k,d)$$

$$g_{1}(k,d) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} Min | E(G)|,$$

$$G \in H_{1}(k,d)$$

$$g_{1}(n,k,d) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} Min | E(G)|.$$

$$G \in H_{1}(n,k,d)$$

 $H_1(k,d)$ -graphs with $f_1(k,d)$ vertices are called <u>vertex extremal graphs</u> of $H_1(k,d)$, and $H_1(k,d)$ -graphs ($H_1(n,k,d)$ -graphs), having $g_1(k,d)$ ($g_1(n,k,d)$) edges are simply called <u>extremal graphs</u> of $H_1(k,d)$ ($H_1(n,k,d)$).

In this chapter we investigate the above functions and find some extremal graphs.

Considering the case d = 2, J. A. Bundy and U. S. R. Murty [14] proved that if $n > k^3 + \alpha(n) \cdot \alpha(k) \cdot k + 1$ (where for an integer t, $\alpha(t) = 0$ or 1 according as t is odd or even), then

$$g_1(n,k,2) = \left[\frac{(n-1)(k+1) + 1}{2}\right]$$

and every $H_1(n,k,2)$ -extremal graph has a vertex of degree n - 1. They have also obtained a characterization of vertex extremal $H_1(n,k,2)$ -graphs.

2.2 Vertex Extremal H₁(k,d)-graphs

Assume G to be a graph of diameter d, then there exists a diametrical path x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_d in G, $(\mathbf{x}_i \in V(G))$. Define w.r. to the vertex $x_0, V_i \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \{ v \in V(G) \mid d(v, x_0) = i \}$, $0 \le i \le d$. Clearly $V_0 = \{ x_0 \}$, $x_i \in V_i$, $1 \le i \le d$. Let

のないない。

$$n_{i} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} |V_{i}|$$
, $n \stackrel{\Delta}{=} |V(G)| = \sum_{i=0}^{d} n_{i}$.

If in addition the minimal degree of G is k, we must have;

$$n_{i-1} + n_i + n_{i+1} \ge k + 1, \quad 2 \le i \le d - 2.$$
 (2.1)

$$n_0 + n_1 \ge k + 1$$
, $n_{d-1} + n_d \ge k + 1$. (2.2)

$$n_0 + n_1 + n_2 \ge k + 2$$
, $n_{d-2} + n_{d-1} + n_d \ge k + 2$. (2.3)

Inequality (2.1) follows by noting that deg (v) $\geq k, \forall v \in V(G)$, while (2.2) and (2.3) follow from deg $(x_0) \geq k$, deg $(x_d) \geq k$. The following theorem determines $f_1(k,d)$.

Theorem 2.1. For all integers $k \ge 2$, $f_1(k,1) = k+1$, $f_2(k,2) = k+2$ and for $\ell \ge 1$,

$$f_1(k, 3l + i) = (k+1)(l+1) + i \quad i = 0, 1, 2.$$
 (2.4)

<u>Proof.</u> It is easy to verify $f_1(k,1) = k + 1$ and $f_2(k,2) = k + 2$. For $d \ge 2$ we examine three cases of $d \pmod{3}$.

<u>Case 1</u>: $d = \Im \ell$, $\ell \ge 1$.

By (2.2) $n_0 + n_1 + n_{d-1} + n_d \ge 2(k+1)$, and if $\ell > 1$ then by (2.1)

$$\sum_{\substack{j=3m \\ \leq m \leq \ell-1}} (n_{j-1} + n_j + n_{j+1}) \ge (k+1) \ (\ell-1).$$

Hence,

1

ce,
$$n = \sum_{i=0}^{d} n_i \ge 2(k+1) + (k+1)(\ell-1)$$

and,

$$f_1(k,3l) \ge (k+1)(l+1).$$
 (2.5)

We now construct an $H_1(k,3k)$ -graph with (k+1)(l+1) vertices,

Choose

$$n_0 = 1, n_1 = k, n_2 = 1, n_3 = k-1, n_4 = 1, \dots$$

$$n_{d-1} = k$$
, $n_d = 1$.

ŝĵ

\$

Two distinct vertices $p \in V_i$, $q \in V_j$ are joined by an edge if and only if $|i-j| \leq 1$, (Figure 2.1). The graph obtained is obviously an $H_1(k,3\ell)$ -graph.



Figure 2.1. A Vertex Extremal H₁(3,6) - Graph.

Thus, by (2.5)

 $f_1(k, 3l) = (k+1)(l+1).$ (2.6)

<u>Case 2</u>: d = 3l + 1, $l \ge 1$. By (2.2) and (2.3),

$$n_{o} + n_{1} + n_{d-2} + n_{d-1} + n_{d} \ge 2(k+1) + 1,$$

and if l > 1 by (2.1)

$$\sum_{\substack{j=3m\\1 \le m \le \ell-1}} (n_{j-1} + n_j + n_{j+1}) \ge (k+1)(\ell-1)$$

Hence,

$$n = \sum_{i=0}^{n} n_{i} \ge 2(k+1) + 1 + (k+1)(\ell-1)$$

and,

$$f_{1}(k, 3l+1) \ge (k+1)(l+1) + 1.$$
 (2.7)

An $H_1(k, 3l + 1)$ -graph with (k+1)(l+1) + 1 vertices may be constructed as follows.

Choose,

and the second second

$$n_0 = 1, n_1 = k, n_2 = 1, n_3 = k-1, n_4 = 1, ...$$

 $n_{3j+2} = 1, n_{3j+3} = k-1, n_{3j+4} = 1, ...$
 $n_{d-2} = 1, n_{d-1} = k, n_d = 1.$

As in Case 1, join $p \in V_i$, $q \in V_j$ by an edge if and only if $|i-j| \leq 1$, (Figure 2.2).



Figure 2.2. A Vertex Extremal H1(3,7) - Graph.

This construction shows equality in (2.7) is attained, thus,

$$f_1(k, 3l + 1) = (k+1)(l+1) + 1,$$
 (2.8)

<u>Case 3</u>: d = 3l + 2, $l \ge 1$.

By (2.3)

$$n_{o} + n_{1} + n_{2} + n_{d-2} + n_{d-1} + n_{d} \ge 2(k+2),$$

and if l > 1 by (2.1)

1

$$\sum_{\substack{j=3m \\ \leq m \leq \ell-1}} (n_{j-1} + n_j + n_{j+1}) \ge (k+1)(\ell-1).$$

As before,

$$f_1(k, 3l + 2) \ge (k+1)(l+1) + 2.$$
 (2.9)

To construct the vertex extremal graph in this case, take

$$n_{o} = 1, n_{1} = k, n_{2} = 1, ...$$

 $n_{3j} = 1, n_{3j+1} = k-1, n_{3j+2} = 1, ...$
 $n_{d-2} = 1, n_{d-1} = k, n_{d} = 1,$

and proceed as before, (Figure 2.3).



Figure 2.3. A Vertex Extremal H₁ (3,8) - Graph.

Hence from (2.9),

$$f_1(k, 3l+2) = (k+1)(l+1) + 2.$$
 (2.10)

(2.4) follows from (2.6), (2.8) and (2.10).

Q.E.D.

2.3 Some Results for $g_1(k,d)$

Clearly,

$$g_1(k,d) \ge \frac{f_1(k,d) \cdot k}{2}$$
 (2.11)

We shall show that $g_1(k,d)$ differs from the right hand side of (2.11) by at most 1. As before we again treat three cases of d(mod 3).

Theorem 2.2. For $k \ge 3$, $d \ge 2$, we have

$$\frac{f_1(k,d) \cdot k}{2} + 1 \ge g_1(k,d) \ge \left[\frac{f_1(k,d) \cdot k + 1}{2}\right].$$
(2.12)

For $k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $d \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}$ the lower bound is attained, so that

$$g_1(k,d) = \left[\frac{f_1(k,d) \cdot k + 1}{2}\right].$$
 (2.13)

<u>Proof.</u> In all the three cases of d(mod 3) n_i 's are defined and chosen as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, and two distinct vertices $p \in V_i$, $q \in V_j$ ($2 \leq i \leq j \leq d-2$) are joined by an edge if and only if $|i-j| \leq 1$. Further, join by an edge all the vertices of V_1 and V_{d-1} to V_0 and V_d , respectively. Finally join an arbitrary vertex of V_1 to x_0 and an arbitrary vertex of V_{d-1} to x_d , so that the resulting graph is connected. Call the graph obtained \overline{G} , and distinguish between three cases of $d \pmod{3}$. In each case we will complete \overline{G} , by addition of lines, to an $H_1(k,d)$ -graph.

Case 1: d = 3ℓ, ℓ ≥ 1.

The partial graph \overline{G} constructed in the previous paragraph can be completed to a k-regular $H_1(k,d)$ -graph (which, of course, will yield an $H_1(k,d)$ -extremal graph), if and only if it is possible to construct on V_1 (and V_{d-1}) a graph G_1 with k-1 vertices of degree k-1 and a single vertex of degree k-2. But then the sum of degrees of the vertices of G_1 is $(k-1)^2 + (k-2)$, which is an odd integer $\forall k$, and therefore such a G_1 cannot exist. Instead, take on V_1 (and V_{d-1}) a complete graph on k vertices, K_k . The graph obtained is obviously an $H_1(k,d)$ -graph, and it has $\frac{(k+1)(\ell+1)\cdot k}{2}$ edges, (Figure 2.4). Therefore,



Figure 2.4. An H1(4,9) - Graph.

(2.14) proves (2.12) for case 1.

<u>Case 2</u>: $d = 3l + 1, l \ge 1$.

Complete the partial graph \overline{G} as follows. As in case 1 join by an edge any two distinct vertices of V_1 , and join also k-1 arbitrary vertices of V_{d-1} to V_{d-2} . We would like to construct on V_{d-1} a graph G_{d-1} with k-1 vertices of degree k-2 and a single vertex of degree k-1. This is possible only if the degree sequence $(k-1, \frac{k-2}{k-1}, \frac{k-2}{k-1}, \frac{k-2}{k-1})$ is graphical (which means that there exists a graph having the given degree sequence), (see Chapter 6 [9] and [26]). By Hakimi's Theorem [26], such a sequence is graphical if and only if the sum of the degrees $(k-1)^2$ is even, and the degree sequence, $(k-3, k-3, \dots, k-3)$ is graphical. $(k-1)^2$ is even if and only if k is odd, and a (k-3)-regular graph on k-1 vertices exists for k odd, (see [7], [8], [10]). Therefore, if k = 2m+1, $(m \ge 1)$, then the final graph obtained has $\frac{((2m+2)(k+1) + 1)}{2}$ edges, and if G_{d-1} is constructed as in [7] and [8], the graph is clearly an $H_1(2m+1, 3k+1)$ -graph, (Figure 2.5). By (2.4) and (2.11),

$$(m+1)((l+1)(2m+1) + 1) \ge g_1(2m+1,3l+1) \ge \frac{((2m+2)(l+1) + 1)(2m+1)}{2}$$
(2.15)

Since the lower bound in (2.15) is not a whole number, whereas the upper bound is a whole number differing from the lower bound by $\frac{1}{2}$, we conclude

$$g_1(2m+1, 3l+1) = (m+1) ((l+1)(2m+1) + 1),$$
 (2.16)

which proves (2.13) for $k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $d \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.





Figure 2.5. An $H_1(6,7)$ - Extremal Graph.

if on the other hand k is even $(k = 2m, m \ge 1)$, construct on V_{d-1} a (k-2)-regular graph on k vertices as before, and addition of a single line will satisfy the degree requirements, (Figure 2.6). This settles (2.12) for $k = 0 \pmod{2}$.



Figure 2.6. An H1(4,7) - Graph.

Case 3: d = 3l + 2, $l \geq 1$.

and shinan can have been an and a shinan a shina

To complete the partical graph \overline{G} , join by an edge k-1 arbitrary vertices of V₁ and V_{d-1} to V₂ and V_{d-2} respectively.

If $k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ we establish on V_1 and V_{d-1} a graph with one vertex of degree k-1 and k-1 vertices of degree k-2, (as in Case 2, this is

a and the second and the second second as the second second second second second second second second second se

possible if k is odd). Let k = 2m + 1 (m ≥ 1) then we obtain (2m+1)regular $H_1(2m+1, 3l+2)$ -graph which is obviously an extremal graph, (Figure 2.7). Thus,

$$g_1(2m+1, 3\ell+2) = ((m+1)(\ell+1)+1)(2m+1),$$
 (2.17)

allow What the busice of the same second

when a mean second processing and a second second

(1

3

which proves (2.13) for $k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $d \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.

TANGOTOGY



Figure 2.7. A 5-Regular H₁(5,8) - Graph.

If on the other hand $k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, take in V_1 and V_{d-1} a (k-2)-regular graph on k vertices as in Case 2, $(k \equiv 0 \pmod{2})$, and add two additional edges to satisfy the degree requirements, (Figure 2.8).



Figure 2.8. An H1(4,8) - Graph.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.4.

It should be noted that all the extremal- $H_1(k,d)$ graphs obtained in Section 2.3, are obviously also vertex extremal $H_1(k,d)$ -graphs.

2.4 Inequalities Concerning the Class H₁(n,k,d)

First we note that if the diameter is not prescribed and a graph on n vertices whose minimal degree is k, is to be constructed, then a k-regular graph or an almost k-regular graph on n vertices can always be obtained.

Lemma 2.3. For all integers n > k > 0, there exists a k-regular, or almost k-regular graph on n vertices.

One way to construct such graphs is given in [10], where k-connected graphs on n vertices having minimal number of edges are obtained. The graphs of [10], have degrees \geq k and satisfy our requirement here, but their diameter is not prescribed.

As mentioned before $g_1(n,k,2)$ was computed in [14]. We consider thus here $H_1(n,k,d)$ with $d \ge 3$.

Clearly,

 $g_1(n,k,d) \ge g_1(k,d)$

for

 $n \ge f_1(k,d)$.

Considering a graph in $H_1(n,k,d)$, we derive in the next theorem relations between the parameters n,k and d.

<u>Theorem 2.4</u>. If there exist graphs in $H_1(n,k,d)$, $k,d \ge 1$, with d = 3l + i ($l \ge 1$, $0 \le i \le 2$), then, (a) $n \ge (k+1)(l+1) + i$

and n can be arbitrarily large.

Q.E.D.

(b)
$$3\left[\frac{n-i}{1+k}-1\right]+i \ge d \ge 1$$
.
(c) $\left[\frac{n-i}{\left\lfloor\frac{d}{3}\right\rfloor+1}-1\right]\ge k\ge 1$.

Furthermore, all bounds are best possible.

<u>Proof.</u> (a) was proved in Theorem 2.1. To show that n could be as large as desired, take the $H_1(k,d)$ vertex extremal graphs constructed in the proof of Theorem 2.1, then join each of the $n-(k+1(\ell+1)-i$ remaining vertices to the k vertices of V_1 (the notation of the proof of Theorem 2.1 is used here). The resulting graph has, by symmetry, diameter d and its minimal degree is no less than k. From this graph we conclude the following upper bound on $g_1(n,k,d)$ (the lower bound is obvious).

$$\frac{n \cdot k}{2} \le g_1(n,k,d) \le g_1(k,d) + (n - f_1(k,d))k .$$
 (2.18)

The upper bounds in (b) and (c) are derived from (a) and by the construction in (a) one sees that the bound are best possible. The lower bounds in (b) and (c) are achieved when one takes a complete graph on n vertices (k < n) and a tree with diameter d on n vertices, respectively. O.E.D.

The upper bound in (2.18) will be improved considerably in the following, but first to estimate the "tightness" of the bounds in (2.18) divide the inequality by n and take the limit as $n \neq \infty$, as indicated in the introduction.

Since $g_1(k,d)$ and $f_1(k,d)$ do not depend on n we obtain from (2.18)

20

$$\frac{k}{2} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g_1(n, k, d)}{n} \leq k .$$
(2.19)

To obtain a better upper bound on $g_1(n,2,d)$, let n = d+2+(d-1)m+t, ($0 \le t \le d-2$). Connect two vertices by a path of length d + 1, a path of length t + 1, and m paths of length d, (Figure 2.9). The resulting graph G

the system convertibilities distributed



Figure 2.9. An H1 (30,2,7) - Graph.

has diameter d, n vertices, and its minimal degree is not less than 2. Hence, by counting the edges of the resulting graph, (the lower bound is obvious),

$$n \leq g_1(n,2,d) \leq n + \left[\frac{n-d-2}{d-1}\right] = n - 1 + \left[\frac{n-3}{d-1}\right]$$
 (2.20)

Applying the tightness measure to (2.20) yields

$$1 \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g_1(n, 2, d)}{n} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{d-1} .$$
 (2.21)

which for d >> 1 is much better than (2.19).

and a star which a show a star and a star a star

We present now a method to construct $H_1(n,k,d)$ -graphs for k > 2with a "small" number of edges, provided that n is "large" enough. These graphs will improve the upper bound in (2.18).

The second s

First let d = 2m, $m \ge 1$. Let $T_{m,k}$ be a hierarchic tree with m + 1 levels of vertices, such that there is one vertex of degree one in the first level, then m - 1 levels of vertices having degree k and all vertices m the last level have degrees equal to one, (Figure 2.10).

and the second states of the second second



Figure 2.10. T_{4.4}.

For T we have,

$$v_{m,k} = |V(T_{m,k})| = \frac{(k-1)^{m}-1}{k-2} + 1$$
,

$$e_{m,k} = |E(T_{m,k})| = \frac{(k-1)}{k-2} \cdot \frac{1}{k}$$

For given m and k let $n = s(v_{m,k}-1) + t + 1$, $(0 \le t < v_{m,k} - 1)$, s $\ge k$. We assume that $n \ge (v_{m,k} - 1) \cdot k + 1$.

If t = 0 take s copies of $T_{m,k}$ and identify in each of them the vertex of the first level. The graph obtained is a tree with one vertex of degree s $\geq k$, s(k-1)^{m-1} vertices of degree 1 and all the other vertices have degree k.



and the second second

のないというない

ながの見

MARY AND AN ANTANGARAMAN CONTRACTOR

Figure 2.11. Combined T_{m,k}'s.

We then complete each group of k - 1 vertices of degree 1, belonging to a common tree $T_{m,k}$, to a complete graph on k - 1 vertices, K_{k-1} , (Figure 2.11). Finally, to satisfy the degree requirements join by an edge the corresponding vertices of successive K_{k-1} 's. If s is even, the resulting graph is illustrated in Figure 2.12.



Figure 2.12. A 4-Regular H₁(17,4,4) - Graph.

For odd s the degrees are attained similarly. The resulting graph has the required minimal degree and is of diameter d. 3

X

Note that after combining the s copies of $T_{m,k}$, on the $s(k-1)^{m-1}$ vertices of degree 1 one may also construct a (k-1)-regular or almost (k-1)-regular graph using the methods of [10], (Figure 2.13). This also can be done with the final diameter being exactly d. This construction will have higher connectivity and will be used in the next chapter.



Figure 2.13. A 4-Regular H1(17, 4,4) - Graph.

If t > 0, construct as before an hierarchic tree on t vertices with vertices of degree k, combine this tree to the previous tree and proceed as before, (Figure 2.14). If only one level or less can be constructed then the graph corresponding to the t vertices is a star.



Figure 2.14. An H₁ (15, 4,4) - Graph.

If d = 2m + 1, $m \ge 1$, then we take (s-1) hierarchic trees $T_{m,k}$ and one hierarchic tree $T_{m+1,k}$ and proceed as before.

In all cases we are able to construct a graph which has one vertex of degree s and all other vertices have degree k or k + 1. This is possible provided that n is large enough.

By these constructions the upper bound of (2.13) is improved, and is a near optimal value for $g_1(n,k,d)$.

For example, if d = 2m, k = 3 and $n = (2^m - 1)s + 1 + t$, $0 \le t \le (2^m - 1)$ then, (t = 3) (See Figure 2.15)

$$g_1(n,3,2m) \le s(2^m+2^{m-1}-1) + 5, (s \ge 3)$$
 (2.22)


Figure 2.15. An H₁(25,3,6) - Extremal Graph.

If we substitute in (2.22) the value of s and use the obvious lower bound on $g_1(n,3,2m)$ we obtain, (for t = 0),

$$\frac{(n-1)}{2^{m}-1} \left(2^{m}+2^{m-1}-1\right)+5 \ge g_{1}(n,3,2m) \ge \frac{3}{2}n \quad . \tag{2.23}$$

The tightness measure on (2.23) yields,

$$\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2^{m} - 1} \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g_1(n, 3, 2m)}{n} \ge \frac{3}{2}$$
 (2.24)

The asumptotic bounds in (2.24) are the same for any n such that $0 \le t \le v_{m,k} - 1$, since t is bounded by a function independent of n.

If m >> 1 then (2.24) is a substantial improvement over (2.19), (when k = 3). Similar asymptotic bounds may be obtained for odd d.

In general for m ≥ 3 from the previous family of graphs that arises from the trees $T_{m,k}$, (t = 0)

$$\frac{nk-k+1+s}{2} \ge g_1(n,k,2m) \ge \frac{k\cdot n}{2}$$

3

or after substituting the value of s, (t = 0),

Wind the management of the second states and the second

いたとうないのとうで

$$\frac{(n-1)k+1}{2} + \frac{(n-1)(k-2)}{2((k-1)^{m}-1)} \ge g_1(n,k,2m) \ge \frac{k \cdot n}{2}.$$
(2.25)

By applying the tightness measure to (2.25) we obtain,

Toole of a the the first of the second of the second s

$$\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(k-2)}{(k-1)^{m} - 1} \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g_1(n, k, 2m)}{n} \ge \frac{k}{2} , \qquad (2.26)$$

which for m >> 1 improves (2.19). Note that if t > 0 we still get (2.26) and that a similar inequality for d odd may be obtained in the same manner. We may therefore summarize,

Theorem 2.5. For
$$k \ge 3$$
, $d \ge 2$ and $n \ge (v \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d}{2} \end{bmatrix}, k^{-1}) \cdot k + 1$,
$$\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{k-2}{(k-1)} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d}{2} \end{bmatrix}_{-1}^{-1} \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g_1(n,k,d)}{n} \ge \frac{k}{2} .$$

CHAPTER 3

GRAPHS WITH PRESCRIBED DIAMETER AND CONNECTIVITY

3.1 Introduction

Two fundamental considerations in the design of a communication network are its reliability or survivability and its associated maximal transmission delay between any pair of stations. These characteristics depend on the topological configuration of the network. Based on a graph theoretical model of the communication network, many different reliability or survivability criteria may be defined. The simplest criterion used is the minimum number of edges or vertices which must be removed from the graph in order to break all paths between any remaining pair of vertices. Those measures are called the edge connectivity and the vertex connectivity, respectively. In a network where the failure of links is more likely to occur, one uses edge-connectivity as a reliability measure. Whereas in a network whose stations are more likely to fail, vertex connectivity is a more appropriate reliability measure. For given diameter and connectivity values it is generally desirable to construct a network with minimal number of edges. Graphs with given number of vertices and given connectivity, having minimal number of edges, were constructed by F. Harary [10]. However, many of these graphs have a large diameter, and the diameter cannot be prescribed. In this chapter we construct graphs for which the diameter as well as the connectivity are prescribed.

To this end let $H_2(k,d)$ $(H_3(k,d))$ denote the class of k edge connected (k vertex connected) graphs of diameter d. The subclass of graphs in $H_2(k,d)$ $(H_3(k,d))$ having exactly n vertices is denoted by

29

 $H_2(n,k,d)$ ($H_3(n,k,d)$). For i = 2,3 we call the graphs in $H_1(k,d)$ ($H_1(n,k,d)$), $H_1(k,d)$ -graphs, ($H_1(n,k,d)$ -graphs).

Let

$$f_{i}(k,d) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \min_{\substack{G \in H_{i}(k,d)}} |V(G)|,$$

$$g_{i}(k,d) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \min_{\substack{G \in H_{i}(k,d)}} |E(G)|,$$

$$g_i(n,k,d) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} Min |E(G)| .$$

 $G \in H_i(n,k,d)$

The graphs in these classes with minimal number of vertices are called vertex extremal graphs of the respective classes, and those having minimal number of edges will be called simply <u>extremal graphs</u>. Since a k-connected graph is also k edge connected, and a k-edge connected graph must have a minimal degree larger or equal to k, we have,

$$H_1(k,d) \supset H_2(k,d) \supset H_3(k,d)$$
(3.1)

$$H_{1}(n,k,d) \supset H_{2}(n,k,d) \supset H_{3}(n,k,d)$$
(3.2)

The extremal graphs constructed in Chapters 2 and 3 show that equality does not hold in (3.1) and (3.2). Some properties of the functions $g_3(k,d)$ and $g_3(n,k,d)$ were studied in [7], [8]. In this chapter the above functions are considered and some extremal graphs are given.

3.2 <u>A Class of H₃(k,d)-Excremal Graphs</u>

It is known [12] that,

$$f_{3}(k,d) = k(d-1) + 2, \quad (k,d \ge 1), \quad (3,3)$$

and vertex extremal graph are obtained as follows. Let $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_{d-1}$

be disjoint copies of K_k , such that every vertex of H_i is joined by an edge to every vertex of H_{i+1} ($1 \le i \le d-2$). Finally join a vertex u to all vertices of H_1 and a vertex v to all vertices of H_{d-1} , (Figure 3.1).



Figure 3.1. A Vertex Extremal H₃ (3,4) - Graph.

Using (3.3) and the fact that every vertex of a k-connected graph has degree k or more, we obtain,

$$g_{3}(k,d) \ge \left[\frac{f_{3}(k,d)k+1}{2}\right] = \left[\frac{(k(d-1)+2)k+1}{2}\right]$$
 (3.4)

In (3.4) we have used the fact that the sum of the degrees of the vertices of a graph is always even.

The exact value of $g_3(k,d)$ is calculated in Theorem 3.1. Theorem 3.1. For any integers k,d ≥ 2 ,

$$g_{3}(k,d) = \left[\frac{(k(d-1)+2)k+1}{2}\right]$$
 (3.5)

<u>Proof.</u> To prove (3.5), it is enough to construct a family of graphs in $H_3(k,d)$ having exactly $\left[\frac{(k(d-1)+1)k+1}{2}\right]$ edges. The construction of these graphs, which we denote by G_k^d will depend on k being even or odd.

CAND 1: K + 28, 8 21.

Label the k vertices of the complete graph on k vertices, K_k , by v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k . Delete from K_k the edges $v_1 v_{i+\ell}$ ($1 \le i \le \ell$), and denote the resulting graph by H (if $\ell = 1$, H is a pair of vertices v_1 and v_2). H is one of the graphs constructed in [10] and shown to be (k-2)-connected. Let $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_{d-1}$ be d-1 disjoint copies of H, and denote the vertices of H_j by: $v_{1j}, v_{2j}, \ldots, v_{kj}$ ($1 \le j \le d-1$). Form $d_{2\ell}^d$ in the following way. Join v_1 to v_1 by an edge for all $1 \le i \le k$ and $1 \le j \le d-2$. Then join a new vertex u_1 adjacent to all the vertices of H_{d-1} , (Fig. 3.2).



Figure 3.2. 63.

Counting the edges we obtain

$$|E(G_{2k}^{d})| = (l(d-1)+1)2l \qquad (3.6)$$

<u>Case 2</u>: $k = 2l + 1, l \ge 1$.

Ŷ

We begin by drawing a k-cycle C_k , with vertices v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k . Then we join 2 vertices v_i and v_j if and only if $|i-j| \equiv m \pmod{k}$, where $2 \leq m \leq l - 1$ (if l = 2, we obtain a 5-cycle). Finally join v_i to v_{i+l} by an edge for $1 \leq i \leq l$ (see [10]). The resulting graph is again denoted by H (if l = 1, H is a disconnected graph composed of an edge v_1v_2 and an isolated vertex v_3). We have, in H,

$$deg(v_{i}) = k - 2, \qquad 1 \le i \le 2l,$$

$$dg(v_{2l+1}) = k - 3.$$

Let H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{d-1} be d-1 disjoint copies of H. Denote the vertices of H_j by $v_{1,j}, v_{2,j}, \dots, v_{k,j}$, $1 \le j \le d-1$, in such a way that $deg(v_{1,j}) = deg(v_{2,j+1}) = k - 3$ (s-odd).

To construct $H_3(2\ell+1,d)$ -graphs, two subcases are considered.

(1) $d = 2n+1, n \ge 1$.

To form G_{2k+1}^{2n+1} join v_{i_j} to $v_{i_{j+1}}$ by an edge for all $1 \le i \le k$ and $1 \le j \le d$. Then join new vertices u_1 and u_2 to all the vertices of H_1 and H_{d-1} , respectively and finally join v_{1_s} to $v_{2_{s+1}}$ for s odd ($1 \le s \le d-1$), (Figure 3.3). We then have

$$|E(G_{2l+1}^{2n+1})| = (n(2l+1)+1)(2l+1) . \qquad (3.7)$$





(II) d = 2n, n ≥1.

Colorin Condensation and the consideration

The construction of G_{2k+1}^{2n} is similar to the construction in (I), except that v_{1_s} is joined to $v_{2_{s+1}}$ for s odd only for $1 \le s \le d-3$, and $v_{1_{d-1}}$ is connected by an edge to $v_{1+\ell_{d-1}}$, (Figure 3.4).



Figure 3.4. G₅⁴,

X

By counting the edges we obtain,

$$|E(G_{2\ell+1}^{2n})| = \frac{((2\ell+1)(2n-1)+2)(2\ell+1)+1}{2} .$$
 (3.8)

summarizing (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) we conclude,

$$|E(G_k^d)| = \left[\frac{(k(d-1)+2)k+1}{2}\right],$$
 (3.9)

for all k,d ≥ 2 .

Each pair of vertices in G_k^d (k,d ≥ 2) is contained in a cycle of length $\le 2d$, therefore, $d(G_k^d) \le d$. Since $d(u_1, u_2) = d$, we conclude,

$$d\left(G_{k}^{d}\right) = d.$$

To complete the proof, the k-connectivity of G_k^d must be established. To that end we state the following definition and assertion.

We shall say that a vertex $v_{ij} \in H_j$ has the star property if v_{ij} is adjacent to all vertices of H_j except one vertex, say v_{i_0} .

<u>Assertion</u>: If the vertices $v_{i\ell} \in H_{\ell}$ and $v_{jn} \in H_n$ ($1 \leq \ell < n \leq d-1$), both have the star property, then there are k-vertex disjoint $v_{i\ell}$, v_{jn} paths in G_k^d .

To prove the assertion consider the following paths,

 $v_{i_{\ell}}v_{m_{\ell}}v_{m_{n}}v_{j_{n}} \qquad 1 \leq m, k \qquad m \neq i_{o}, j_{o} .$ $v_{i_{\ell}}u_{1}v_{i_{o_{\ell}}}v_{i_{o_{n}}}v_{j_{n}}$ $v_{i_{\ell}}v_{j_{o_{\ell}}}v_{j_{o_{n}}}u_{2}v_{j_{n}}$

Note that i may be equal to j, i to m etc. Since the above k v_1 , v_j_n paths are vertex disjoint, the assertion is proved.

Let a, b $\epsilon V(G_k^d)$, if $\{a,b\} = \{u_1,u_2\}$ then clearly there are k vertex disjoint a,b-paths in G_k^d . Otherwise, a few cases and subcases need to be considered.

Case 1: $k = 2\ell$, $\ell \ge 1$.

I. $a = u_1$, $b \in H_i$ (and the symmetric case).

Since b has the star property, one easily asserts the existence of the required paths.

II. a, b € H₄.

 H_i is (k-2)-connected and therefore there are k-2 vertex disjoint a,b-paths in H_i . Furthermore, there is a cycle through a, b, u_1 and u_2 which has (,y a and b in common with the previous k-2 a,b-paths.

III. $a \in H_i$, $b \in H_i$ and $i \neq j$.

Since both a and b have the star property, the existence of the vertex disjoint a,b-paths follows by the assertion.

By the Menger-Whitney Theorem, G_{2l}^d is k-connected.

Case 2: $k = 2l + 1, l \ge 1$

Consider only the case d odd (d even is treated similarly)

I. $a = u_1$, $b \in H_j$ (and the symmetric case). If b has the star property in H_j then the paths exist like in Case 1 (I). Otherwise, $b = v_1$ or $b = v_2$ for some $1 \le s \le d-1$, and again the existence of the paths is easily observed.

II and III. a, b \in H_i and a does not have the star property in H_i (there is only a single such vertex in H_i). Or a \in H_i, b \in H_j, and a or b or both do not have the star property. Then in a similar manner, we establish the k disjoint a,b-paths and the k-connectivity follows. Q.E.D.

It should be noted that the $H_3(k,d)$ -extremal graphs obtained in this section, are $H_1(n,k,d)$ -extremal graphs, where n has the appropriate value.

3.3 Inequalities Concerning the Parameters of H₂(n,k,d)

Considering a k-connected graphs with n vertices and diameter d, we derive a few relationships between the parameters n, k and d.

Theorem 3.2. For all $H_3(n,k,d)$ -graphs

(a)
$$n \ge (d-1)k + 2$$
 (3.10)

and n can be as large as desired.

(b) If k = n - 1, then d = 1If k < n - 1 then

 $\left[\frac{n-2}{k}+1\right] \ge d \ge 2 . \tag{3.11}$

(c)
$$\left[\frac{n-2}{d-1}\right] \ge k \ge 1$$
, (3.12)

and all the bounds are best possible.

Proof. (a) As mentioned before, (3.10) is proved in [12].

To construct a graph $G \in H_3(n,k,d)$, with any given n, provided that $n \ge (d-1)k + 2$, take the $H_3((d-1)k + 2, k,d)$ -extremal graph as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Then add the remaining n-(d-1)k-2 vertices, and join each of them to all the k vertices of H_1 by an edge, (the notation of the proof of Theorem 3.1 is used here). The resulting graph (Figure 3.5) has, by symmetry, diameter d and is k-connected, therefore, it is an $H_3(n,k,d)$ -graph. The latter can be used to establish an upper bound on $g_3(n,k,d)$,

$$\frac{k}{2} (2n-kd+k-2) \ge g_3(n,k,d) \ge \frac{nk}{2} .$$
 (3.13)



Figure 3.6. An H3 (10,3,3) -- Graph.

(b) If $G \in H_3(n,k,d)$ and k=n-1 then, $|E(G)| \ge \frac{(n-1)n}{2}$. Hence, G=k_n. Otherwise, k < n-1 and d ≥ 2. Using Harary's method [10], a (k-1)connected graph on n-1 vertices may be constructed. By adding a new vertex adjacent to all previous n-1 vertices an $H_3(n,k,2)$ -graph is obtained, which proves that the lower bound in (3.11) achieved.

The upper bounds in (3.11), (3.12) are derived from (3.10) and the graph constructed in part (a) attains them.

(c) To show that for any n and d these exists a 1-connected graph with n vertices and diameter d, simply take a path of length d and join all the other n > d + 1 vertices to any vertex of degree two on the path. Q.E.D.

X

1

3.4 Bounds on $g_2(n,k,d)$

Clearly, any k-regular graph in $H_3(n,k,d)$ will be $H_3(n,k,d)$ extremal. The following theorem gives the construction of such a class of graphs for k even, for a limited range of n.

Theorem 3.3. For
$$l \ge 2$$
 and
 $(d-1)2l+2 \le n \le (d-1)(l-1)4+2$,
 $g_2(n,2l,d) = nl$.
(3.14)

<u>Proof.</u> Since $g_3(n, 2k, d) \ge nk$, any construction of 2k-regular graphs in $\Pi_3(n, 2k, d)$, for $(d-1)2k+2 \le n \le (d-1)(k-1)4+2$ will prove (3.14)

and the all on the second second the second second

If n = (d-1)2l+2, the extremal graph was constructed in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Assume $n-(d-1)2l-2 = m \ge 0$, and start with the $H_3((d-1)2l+2, 2l, d)$ -extremal graph of Theorem 3.1. Add the other m vortices w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m , $(1 \le m \le (d-1)(2l-4))$ to the extremal graph as follows. If $m \le 2l - 4$ join each of $w_1(1 \le i \le m)$ to all vertices of H_1 , and change H_1 , which is a (2l-2)-regular, (2l-2)-connected graph on 2l vertices, to a (2l-2-m)-regular (2l-2-m)-connected graph on the 2l vertices of H_1 , using the methods of [10]. If $m \ge 2l - 4$ connect the remaining vertices to H_2 , while reducing the regularity and connectivity of H_2 appropriately, then do the same to H_3 etc. (Figure 3.6). The total number of vertices one may add to the extremal graph in this way is (2l-4)(d-1). It is easy to verify the resulting graph is 2l-regular 2l-connected and has diameter d. Q.E.D.



Figure 3.6. An H₃ (16,6,3) - Extremal Graph.

Note that this method of modifying the extremel graph can be applied to graphs in $H_3(n,k,d)$ with k odd and restricted to appropriate values of n and hence obtain a class of $H_3(n,k,d)$ -extremel graphs for k odd, (which will be k-regular or almost k regular). Further, it can also be applied to obtain $H_1(n,k,d)$ -extremel graphs mentioned in Chapter 2.

3

If $n \ge (d-1)(l-1)4+2$, an upper bound on $g_3(n, 2l, d)$ may be obtained by adding new vertices to the $H_3((d-1)(l-1)4+2, 2l, d)$ -extremal graph, and joining each of them by an edge to all vertices of H_1 . Thus an improvement of (3.13) is obtained (the lower bound is obvious),

 $nl \leq g_3(n,2l,d) \leq l(2n-(d-1)(4l-4)-2)$. (3.15)

Applying the tightness measure to (3.15) we obtain,

$$\ell \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g_3(n, 2\ell, d)}{n} \leq 2\ell$$
, (3.16)

which is similar to (2.19).

It should be noted that some of the graphs constructed in Section 2.4 of Chapter 2 (Figures 2.9-2.13), which were of diameter d, minimal degree k and having exactly n vertices, are also k-connected and therefore some of the constructions may be used to obtain $H_3(n,k,d)$ and $H_3(n,k,d)$ -extremal graphs.

Since the $H_1(n,2,d)$ -graph constructed in Section 2.4, (See Figure 2.9), is clearly 2-connected, inequalities (2.20) and (2.21) are true for $g_3(n,2,d)$ also.

Hence,

hanna hanna martari da a tara tazar na sadana ta ta ta ma ma ma ma ma

$$n \leq g_{3}(n, 2, d) \leq n + \left[\frac{n-d-2}{d-1}\right],$$
 (3.17)

and,

他的代码 中国的 化四氟酸盐 化四氟酸化 化

サイトにおいてい

È

の日本になっていていていたのである

$$1 \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{R_3(n, 2, d)}{n} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{d-1}$$
 (3.18)

Since the $H_1(n,3,d)$ -graph obtained in Section 2.4 (Fig. 2.15) is also 3-connected we have the equivalent of (2.24) for $g_3(n,3,d)$ as follows,

$$\frac{3}{2} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g_3(n, 3, d)}{n} \leq \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]_{-1}}$$
(3.19)

For k > 3 take the s copies of $T_{m,k}$ already combined as described in Section 2.4 and on the $s(k-1)^{m-1}$ vertices of degree construct a (k-1)regular, (k-1)-connected, or almost (k-1)-regular, (k-1)-connected graph by the methods of Harary [10] as illustrated in Figures 2.13 and 2.14. The resulting graph is obviously k-connected on n vertices and has diameter $\leq d$. Therefore if we denote the class of k-connected graph on n vertices with diameter $\leq d$ by $\overline{H}_3(n,k,d)$, $(\overline{H}_3(n,k,d) \supset H_3(n,k,d))$ and the minimal number of edges of an $\overline{H}_3(n,k,d)$ -graph by $\overline{g}_3(n,k,d)$ then, for t = 0 we obtain from (2.25),

$$\frac{k \cdot n}{2} \le \overline{g}_{3}(n,k,2m) \le \frac{(n-1)k+1}{2} + \frac{(n-1)(k-2)}{2((k-1)^{m}-1)} .$$
(3.20)

Corresponding to Theorem 2.5 we obtain for $k \ge 3$, $d \ge 2$ and

$$n \geq (v_{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right],k}^{-1)k+1} \frac{k}{2} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\overline{g_3(n,k,d)}}{n} \leq \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{k-2}{(k-1)^{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]-1}}$$
(3.21)

3.5 Remark on Graphs with Prescribed Edge Connectivity

Considering the synthesis of reliable communication networks with respect to link failures, the following problem is of interest. Given integers d, $k \ge 2$, we wish to find the minimal number of vertices and edges that an k-edge connected graph with diameter d must have. Fulkerson and Shapley [11] solved the problem of finding the minimal number of edges that an k-edge connected graph on n vertices must have, but in their work the diameter is not prescribed. We, on the other hand, will use both edge connectivity and diameter constraints.

In terms of the definitions made in Section 3.1, we will obtain bounds on the functions $f_2(k,d)$, $g_2(k,d)$ and $g_2(n,k,d)$. First note that due to (3.1) we have for integers k, $d \ge 2$,

$$f_1(k,d) \le f_2(k,d) \le f_3(k,d)$$
 (3.22)

$$g_1(k,d) \le g_2(k,d) \le g_3(k,d)$$
 (3.23)

For $n \ge (d-1)k+2$ we also have from (3.2),

$$g_1(n,k,d) \le g_2(n,k,d) \le g_3(n,k,d)$$
 (3.24)

However, one can obtain tighter bounds on $f_2(k,d)$ and $g_2(k,d)$ as follows. Consider the class of $H_3(k,d)$ -extremal graphs constructed in the proof of Theorem 3.1 (Figures 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4), using the same notation as in the theorem. Instead of H_1 , H_3 , H_5 ,..., H_{2k+1} , as defined in Section 3.2, we take single vertices. The graphs obtained in this way are clearly of diameter d and are k-edge connected, thus being $H_2(k,d)$ -graphs (Figure 3.7, 3.8). By counting the number of vertices of such graphs, we obtain an upper bound on $f_2(k,d)$.





Figure 3.7. An H₂ (4,4) - Graph.



Figure 3.8. An H₂ (4,5) - Graph.

Furthermore, (using the notation of Section 2.2) an $H_2(k,d)$ -graph must have at least k edges between the vertices of V_i and V_{i+1} , $0 \le i \le d-1$. Otherwise, the graph cannot be k-edge connected.

Hence, we must have,

and the second state of th

$$n_{i} \cdot n_{i+1} \ge k$$
, $0 \le i \le d - 1$. (3.26)

From (3.26) we obtain

$$n_i + n_{i+1} \ge 2\sqrt{k}$$
, $0 \le i \le d - 1$. (3.27)

Inequality (3.27) follows by noting that if we assume,

 $n_{i} + n_{i+1} < 2\sqrt{k}$,

together with using inequality $n_{i+1} \ge \frac{k}{n_i}$, we obtain $n_i + \frac{k}{n_i} < 2\sqrt{k}$, or $n_i^2 + k < 2\sqrt{k} n_i$, or $(n_i - k)^2 < 0$, which is a contradiction. Incorporating inequalities (2.2) which must be satisfied by any $H_2(k,d)$ -graph, we have for $d \ge 3$,

$$\sum_{i=2}^{d-2} n_i \ge 2(k+1) + (d-3)\sqrt{k}.$$

Combining the latter inequality with the upper bounds in (3.25) we obtain

$$\max_{k,d \ge 2} \left\{ f_1(k,d), 2(k+1) + (d-3)\sqrt{k} \right\} \le f_2(k,d) \le \begin{cases} \frac{(k+1)d}{2} + 1, d-even \\ (3.28) \\ \frac{(k+1)(d+1)}{2}, d-odd \end{cases}$$

In some special cases the exact value of $f_2(k,d)$ can be obtained. In other cases, tighter bounds than in (3.28) may be obtained, but the general formula for $f_2(k,d)$ seems to be much more difficult to get than the formula for $f_1(k,d)$ and $f_3(k,d)$.

We list a few special cases.

- (1) $f_2(k,1) = k + 1$, $f_2(k,2) = k + 2$, these are readily proved.
- (2) For k = 4, $d \ge 6$, the following graph attains the lower bound in (3.28) (Figure 3.9).

The advector of the contract o



where the lower bound is derived from (3.28) and the upper bound from Figure 3.10.

By counting the number of edges of the previously constructed graphs (Figures 3.7 and 3.8), upper bounds on $g_2(k,d)$ are obtained. By imposing the existence of at least k edges between V_i and V_{i+1} $0 \le i \le d - 1$, lower bound are attained.

Thus, we lind

$$dk \leq g_{\gamma}(k,d) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{dk(k+2)}{4} & d-even, \ k-even \\ \frac{k(k(d+1)+2(d-1))}{4} & d-odd, \ k-even \\ \frac{(k+1)(4k+(d-3)(k+1))}{4} & d-odd, \ k-odd \\ \frac{d(k+1)^{2}}{4} & d-even, \ k-odd \end{cases}$$
(3.30)

As in calculating bounds on $f_2(k,d)$, the upper bounds on $g_2(k,d)$ can be improved using the above mentioned techniques.

Noting that the construction in Section 2.4, used to obtain an upper bound on $g_1(n,2,d)$ (Figure 2.9), is clearly an $H_2(n,k,d)$ -graph we obtain,

$$n \leq g_2(n,2,d) \leq n + \left[\frac{n-d-2}{d-1}\right].$$
 (3.31)

Therefore,

$$1 \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g_2(n, 2, d)}{n} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{d-1}.$$
 (3.32)

Similarly, from the $H_1(n,3,d)$ -graphs in Section 2.4 (Figure 2.15), which are also $H_2(n,3,d)$ -graphs, we may write,

$$\frac{3}{2} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g_2(n, 3, d)}{n} \leq \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor_{-1}} \qquad (3.33)$$

£

For k > 3, we take the s copies of $T_{m,k}$ and combine them as in Section 2.4. On the s(k-1)^{m-1} vertices of degree 1 of the resulting graph, we construct a (k-1)-regular, or almost (k-1)-regular, (k-1)connected graph, by the methods of [10] or [11] to obtain a k-edge connected graph on n vertices with diameter $\leq d$. Therefore, if $\overline{H}_2(n,k,d)$ denotes the class of k-edge connected graphs on n vertices with diameter $\leq d$, and the minimal number of edges of an $\overline{H}_2(n,k,d)$ graph is denoted by $\overline{g}_2(n,k,d)$, we obtain using the graphs thus constructed, (k > 3)

in such that the set of the set of the sub-sub-such

「おうちんないない」 あいのの

į.

いれたなどの教室の

$$\frac{k}{2} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g_2(n, k, d)}{n} \leq \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{k-2}{(k-1) \left[\frac{d}{2}\right]_{-1}}$$
(3.34)

CHAPTER 4

ON THE DIAMETER STABILITY OF GRAPHS

4.1 Introduction

語の和な思想が必要な

「おんでい

We consider a communication network such as a store and forward message switching computer communication network. The network is topologically described by an underlying graph whose vertices represent the network terminals and switches and whose edges represent the network communication channels. Messages arriving at random at the network source terminals and routed through the network towards the corresponding destination terminals, experience queueing and transmission time delays. When we use the maximal average message delay as the network delay measure, the diameter of the underlying graph can be shown to serve as an index of the network message delay performance (see [1]-[2]). The use of connectivity indices of the graph as a reliability measure is based on the assumption that the network is operational in the presence of failure provided there is at least one path remaining between every pair of nodes. However under failures the resulting network may have an excessively large diameter, which as previously indicated may result in intolerable queueing delays while routing a message through the network. Therefore, a more meaningful reliability measure for a computer network would be the minimum number of nodes or links that must fail in order for the diameter of the graph to exceed a specifided value. (See also Wilkov [28]).

In this chapter we assume that it is required to construct the network in such a way that the maximal message delay will not exceed a prescribed upper bound, even if a number of communication links fail.

49

DINI PAGE BLANK

Hence the following graph theoretical parameters are defined and investigated.

A graph G is defined to be an (l,d)-graph (with respect to edges) if

 $d(G-E) \leq d$,

 $\forall E \subset E(G)$ such that $|E| \leq l-1$. Or equivalently a graph G is an (l,d)-graph if and only if for any two distinct vertices x,y and any l-1 disjoint edges $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{l-1}$, there exists an x,y-path of length not exceeding d, which avoids $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{l-1}$.

To show the equivalence of the definitions let G be an (ℓ,d) -graph, then by removing $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{\ell-1}$ from G the diameter of the resulting graph, G - $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{\ell-1}\}$, does not exceed d, consequently for any pair of distinct vertices x,y there is an x,y-path of length \leq d avoiding $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{\ell-1}$. To show the sufficiency of the condition, let a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k (k < ℓ) be a minimal set of edges of G whose elimination results in a graph of diameter > d. Therefore, there exists x,y ϵ V(G) such that $d_{G-A}(x,y) > d$, where $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k\}$. Choose ℓ -k-1 other distinct edges $a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \ldots, a_{\ell-1}$. According to the condition in the definition there exists an x,y-path of length \leq d, avoiding $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{\ell-1}$ contrary to our assumption. Hence G is an (ℓ,d) -graph.

(l,d)-stable graphs (with respect to vertices) are defined similarly, as graphs G with the property

 $d(G-V) \leq d$,

 $\forall V \subset V(G)$ such that $|V| \leq \ell - 1$.

By removing a vertex $v \in V(G)$ from G, yielding G - {v}, we mean removing v and all edges incident with v. Since only (l,d)-graph with respect to edges are investigated in this chapter, we call them simply $(\underline{l},\underline{d})$ -graphs.

Clearly, $d \ge d(G)$. An (l,d)-graph with diameter d is called an <u>l-diameter stable</u> graph. Note that an (l,d)-graph is well defined only if l, $d \ge 2$ and that the diameter of an l-diameter stable graph must be at least 2.

A pair of nonadjacent vertices x,y ϵ V(G) will be called an <u>*l*-dis</u>tance pair if

$$d_{G}(x,y) = d_{G-E}(x,y),$$

 $\forall E \subset E(G)$ such that $|E| \leq l-1$.

「現然意志」と

ないである

A graph is called <u> ℓ -distance stable</u> if and only if all pairs of nonadjacent vertices of the graph are ℓ -distance pairs. In an ℓ -diameter stable graph one has to remove at least ℓ edges from the graph in order to increase its diameter. Whereas in an ℓ -distance stable graph at least ℓ edges must be removed from the graph in order to increase the distance between any pair of nonadjacent vertices of the graph. (ℓ ,d)graph are in particular ℓ edge connected graphs and the following relations between the respective classes exist.

{ $l-edge \text{ connected graphs} \supset {(l,d)-graphs} \supset {l-diameter stable graph} \supset {l-distance stable graphs}.$

The above inclusions are sharp as will be shown in the examples of Section 4.2. It is easy to find graphs that are *l*-edge connected and not (*l*,d)-graphs, etc.

If d is "large" then the class of (l,d)-graphs is identical with the class of k-edge connected graphs.

3

The above definition of stability with some results appeared in [5] and [6]. Some properties of l-distance stable graphs (mainly with respect to vertices) were obtained independently in [23], where they are called l-geodetically line (vertex)-connected graphs. Some examples of (l,d)-graphs may be found in [15]-[22], where the main problem is to find the minimal number of edges that an (l,d)-graph with diameter δ and n vertices must have and to construct classes of extremal graphs in this sense. Later in the chapter we will refer to those works. In the following, some properties of (l,d)-graphs, l-diameter stable graphs and l-distance stable graphs are derived and some related extremal problems are investigated.

4.2 Examples of (l,d)-graphs

Example 4.2.1

A class of (l,d)-graphs having n vertices may be obtained by a simple application of a result obtained by J. W. Moon in [24]. There the function g(n,d) (n-1 > d > 2) is defined as the least integer r such that if the degree of every vertex of G (|V(G)| = n) is greater or equal to r, then d(G) \leq d. Moon obtained

$$g(n,d) = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ t \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } d = 3t - 4 \\ \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ t \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } d = 3t - 3 \\ \begin{bmatrix} n-2 \\ t \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } d = 3t - 2. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, if all vertices of G satisfy

$$\operatorname{deg}(\mathbf{v}) \geq \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \frac{\mathbf{n}}{\mathbf{t}} \right\rfloor^{2} + \ell - 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{d} = 3t - 4 \\ \left\lfloor \frac{\mathbf{n} - 1}{\mathbf{t}} \right\rfloor^{2} + \ell - 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{d} = 3t - 3 \\ \left\lfloor \frac{\mathbf{n} - 2}{\mathbf{t}} \right\rfloor^{2} + \ell - 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{d} = 3t - 2, \end{cases}$$

then G is an (l,d)-graph. Note that if the number of vertices is prescribed, an (l,d)-graph can be obtained by using the methods of Chapter 2 and 3. However, the latter graphs will have many extra edges.

Example 4.2.2.

Republic March 200 and 200 March 1999 And 199

- 3046

- W. M. W. W.

というないない、ハードたいと

Consider a collection of (2m+1)-cycles all sharing exactly one vertex and let $m \leq \frac{d}{3}$. The graph obtained is (2,d)-stable.



Figure 4.1. A (2,d) - Stable Graph.

Note that this graph is not a 2-diameter stable graph.

We now state three lemmas that will be used for constructing (l,d)-graphs. The proofs are obvious and therefore omitted.

Lemma 4.1. If between any pair of vertices of G there are at least ℓ edge disjoint paths not longer than d, then G is an (ℓ,d) -graph.

Lemma 4.2. If G_1 and G_2 are 2-diameter stable graphs and $x_1, y_1 \in V(G_1)$ is a diametrical pair of G_1 (1=1,2), then the graph G obtained by identifying the vertex $x_1 \in V(G_1)$ with $x_2 \in V(G_2)$ is an 2-diameter stable graph of diameter $d(G_1) + d(G_2)$.

Lemma 4.3. If for any pair of nonadjacent vertices $x, y \in V(G)$, there are at least l edge disjoint x, y-paths of length $d_G(x, y)$, then G is an l-distance stable graph.

Example 4.2.3.

Let $l,d \ge 2$ be any arbitrary integers. Let H_1 , H_2 , ..., H_{d-1} be d-1 disjoint copies of the complete *l*-vertex graph K_l , where the vertices of H_j are denoted by v_1 , v_2 , ..., v_k $(1 \le j \le d-1)$. Join v_i to v_i by an edge for all $1 \le i \le l$ and $1 \le j \le d-1$. Then join a new vertex u, adjacent to all vertices of H_1 , and a vertex u, adjacent to all vertices of H_{d-1} , (Fig. 4.2). The resulting graph H is an (l,d)graph by Lemma 4.1. Since d(H)=d, H is an *k*-diameter stable graph. Note that H is not an *k*-distance stable graph.



Figure 4.2. A 4-Diameter Stable Graph With Diameter 4.

Example 4.2.4.

的特征和特殊的关键和性的数据的特别的第三人称单数的数据的数据的 Starter and a starter of a mana structure of a mana structure of a starter of a

휦

正正人民になるというないというというないないとないというないのである

In [17], G_E (n, δ , d, l-1) was defined as the class of (l, d)-graphs with diameter $\leq \delta$ on n vertices, $g(n, \delta, d, l-1)$ denoted the minimal number of edges of graphs within $G_E(n, \delta, d, l-1)$.

U. S. R. Murty proved [16] that if

$$n > \frac{(3+\sqrt{5})\ell}{2}$$
, then
 $g(n,2,2,\ell-1) = \ell\left(n - \frac{\ell+1}{2}\right),$
(4.1)

and the corresponding unique extremal graph is obtained from the complete bipartite graph $K_{\ell,n-\ell}$ by adding all the edges within the class of ℓ vertices (Fig. 4.3). The resulting graph is denoted as $\Gamma_n(\ell)$.



Figure 4.3. A 3-Diameter Stable Graph With Diameter 2.

Using the previous construction ℓ -diameter stable graphs with even diameter and arbitrary number of vertices can be obtained by taking $\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]$ disjoint copies of $\Gamma_n(\ell)$ and in each two successive $\Gamma_n(\ell)$'s identify two vertices from the class of n- ℓ vertices, (Fig. 4.4). The resulting graph is by Lemma 4.2 ℓ -diameter stable with diameter 2 $\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]$.



and wands on the test test the date

1.

Figure 4.4. A 2-Diameter Stable Graph with Diameter 6.

Using this construction an upper bound on g(n, 2m, 2m, l-1) is obtained, by using (4.1), and the fact that an *l*-diameter stable graph is in particular *l*-edge connected, yields a lower bound. Thus,

$$\frac{n\ell}{2} \leq g(n, 2m, 2m, \ell-1) \leq \ell\left(n-1 - \frac{m(\ell-1)}{2}\right).$$

Since,

$$g(n, 2m+1, 2m+1, l-1) \leq g(n, 2m, 2m, l-1),$$

analysis and the second of the

we have,

$$\frac{n\ell}{2} \leq g(n,d,d,\ell-1) \leq \ell \left(n-1 - \left[\frac{d}{2}\right] (\ell-1) \frac{1}{2}\right).$$
(4.2)

Asymptotically, applying the tightness measure to (4.2) we obtain

$$\frac{\ell}{2} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n, d, d, \ell-1)}{n} \leq \ell.$$
(4.3)

The previous graph is not *l*-distance stable.

Example 4.2.5.

l-distance stable graphs with diameter d are obtained as follows.
Take d-1 disjoint copies of the complement of
$$K_{\ell}$$
 H₁, H₂, ..., H_{d-1},
where the vertices of H_j are denoted by v_{1j} , v_{2j} , ..., v_{ℓ} ,
 $(1 \le j \le d-1)$. Join v_{mj} to v_{nj+1} for all $1 \le m$, $n \le \ell$ and $1 \le j \le d-1$.

Then join new vertices u_1 and u_2 adjacent to all vertices of H_1 and H_{d-1} respectively. The resulting graph has diameter d and by Lemma 4.3 is also an ℓ -distance stable graph, (Fig. 4.5).



Figure 4.5. A 3-Distance Stable Graph of Diameter 5.

By adding new vertices all adjacent to the vertices of H_{d-1} , ℓ -distance stable graphs with diameter d having prescribed number of vertices may be constructed.

As can be seen from these examples and many others, there are "many" different (l,d)-graphs and l-diameter stable graphs. In the next section some of their properties will be investigated.

4.3 Some Results for (l,d)-graphs

如果指示的自动的方法指指运行员是自由认识和考虑的合理。

時間の時におけた。日本にはないのできた。ためれ、なまっていたとうというです。

調査

X

An edge $e \in E(G)$, will be called <u>cyclic</u> if there exists a cycle in G containing e. To each cyclic edge assign a natural number $g(e) \ge 3$, which is the length of the shortest cycle in G containing e. If e is a bridge then $g(e) \ge \infty$. The <u>girth</u> of G, girth (G), is defined as

girth(G)
$$\Delta$$
 Min g(e).
e ϵ E(G)

The following properties of (l,d)-graphs are quite obvious. Theorem 4.4. Let G be an (l,d)-graph, $(l,d \ge 2)$, then

$$g(e) \leq d+1, \forall e \in E(G)$$
 (4.4)

and this result is best possible.

<u>Proof.</u> If G is an (l,d)-graph $(l \ge 2)$, then G is in particular 2-edge connected and hence all of its edges are cyclic. Let ab=e be an edge of G and assume

g(e) > d+1. Then $d(G-e) \ge d_{G-e}(a,b) > d$.

To show that (4.4) best possible, consider the cycle C_{d+1} which is a (2,d)-graph and g(e) = d+1 $\forall e \in E(C_{d+1})$.

O.E.D.

Theorem 4.5. Let G be an l-diameter stable graph, $l \ge 2$, then

$$g(e) \leq d(G) + 1, \quad \forall e \in E(G). \tag{4.5}$$

Furthermore, (4.5) is best possible.

Proof. G is 2-edge connected and if g(e) > d(G) +1 then

 $d(G-e) \geq d_{G-e}(a,b) > d(G),$

where

「なるないないない」となっていた。

e = ab,

contradicting the assumption that G is an *l*-distance stable graph.

To show that (4.5) cannot in general be improved we construct for any arbitrary integer $d \ge 2$ an *L*-diameter stable graph with at least one edge e such that g(e) = d(G) + 1. Let G_1 and G_2 be distinct *L*-diameter stable graphs with diameters d_1 and d_2 respectively, and let x_i, y_i be a diametrical pair of vertices of G_i (i=1,2). Assume in addition that G_i contains a triple x_i, y_i, z_i such that

$$d_{i} = d_{C_{i}}(x_{i}, y_{i}) = d_{C_{i}}(x_{i}, z_{i}) = d_{C_{i}}(y_{i}, z_{i}), \quad i=1, 2.$$

By Lemma 4.2, the graph G' generated from G_1 and G_2 by identifying the vertex $x_1 \in V(G_1)$ with the vertex $x_2 \in V(G_2)$ is an *l*-diameter stable graph with diameter d_1+d_2 . Finally define the graph $G = G + y_1y_2$, obtained from G by joining the vertices y_1 and y_2 by an edge y_1y_2 . G is clearly an *l*-distance stable graph which contains an edge y_1y_2 such that $g(y_1y_2) = d(G) + 1$, where $d(G) = d_1+d_2$ by the above requirement of three diametrical pairs in each graph.

O.E.D.

6)

Inequality (4.5) (and also 4.4) does not yield a sufficient condition for a graph G to be an l-diameter stable graph. Take for instance the graph H, composed of 3 5-cycles C_1, C_2, C_3 with vertices v_i^k 1 i \leq 5, 1 \leq k \leq 3, such that

 $C_1 \cap C_2 = v_2'v_3'$, $C_1 \cap C_3 = v_4'v_5'$ and $C_2 \cap C_2 = \phi$.

Although every edge of H is contained in a cycle of length $\leq d(H)+1$, $d(H - v_3' v_4') = 6 > d(H) = 5$ (Figure 4.6).



Figure 4.6. A Counter Example.

In particular we conclude from (4.5) that if G is *l*-diameter,

stable, then,

$$girth(G) \leq d(G) + 1. \tag{4}$$

We do not know whether for d > 4, (4.6) can be improved. In other words if one can construct for an arbitrary natural number d an ℓ -diameter stable (or 2-diameter stable) graph G such that d(G) = d and g(e)= d(G) + 1 $\forall e \in E(G)$. If d=2 (4.6) cannot be improved. If d=3, 4 Figures 4.7 and 4.8 respectively show a realization for (4.6).







Figure 4.8. A 2-Diameter Stable Graph with Diameter 4 and Girth 5.

The next theorem gives a NASC for a pair of vertices to be an V-distance pair.

Theorem 4.6. A pair of nonadjacent vertices $x, y \in V(G)$ is an ldiatance pair it and only if there are at least l edge disjoint x, y-paths of length $d_G(x, y)$ in G.

Proof. Clearly, if there are at least ℓ edge disjoint x,y-paths of length $d_G(x,y)$ in G, then x,y is an ℓ -distance pair. To prove that if x,y is an ℓ -distance pair then there are at least ℓ edge disjoint x,v-paths of length $d_G(x,y)$ in G, we use the digraph version of Menger's Theorem (see [9] Chap. 5). The only part of the graph G relevant to the proof of the theorem is the set W of the x,y-paths of length $d_G(x,y)$. We can direct the edges of W to form a directed graph D on W with the property that the directed x,y-paths correspond exactly to the x,ypaths in W. By applying the digraph version of Menger's theorem with respect to edges one obtains that the maximum number of edge disjoint directed paths of length $d_G(x,y)$ from x to y is equal to the minimum number of edges whose removal from D cuts all directed x,y-paths in W. This is exactly an equivalent statement to the theorem. The vertex version of this theorem was done in [23].

A constructive proof of the theorem can also be given. First consider the case l=2.

Define the following sets.

$$\Lambda_{\underline{1}}(\mathbf{x}) \triangleq \{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{V}(G) : d_{\overline{G}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \underline{1} \} \quad 0 \leq \underline{1} \leq d(G),$$

and

 $E_{i}(x) \triangleq \left\{ e \in E(G): ab=e, a \in A_{i}(x), b \in A_{i+1}(x), \right\} 0 \leq i \leq d(G) - 1.$

Since x,y is a 2-distance pair there are obviously at least two x,ypaths of length $d_{G}(x,y)$ in G. We shall prove that one can always find at least two <u>edge disjoint</u> such paths. If $d_{G}(x,y) = 2,3$ the proof follows immediately. Assume therefore $d_{G}(x,y) > 3$, and let $d_{G}(x,y) = d_{o}$. If there are no two edge disjoint x,y-paths of length d_{o} in G, there must be a maximal integer i $(1 < i < d_{o})$ so that there are two x,y-paths P_{1} and P_{2} of length d_{o} with edge disjoint subpaths from x to a vertex x_{i} , in $A_{i}(x)$, (note that P_{1} and P_{2} may have common edges in $E_{m}(x)$ only if $m \ge i$). If i=0 x,y is not a 2-distance pair, and i=1 is impossible in a graph without multiple edges. Let $e \in E_{i}(x)$ be an edge contained both in P_{1} and P_{2} , with end vertices x_{i} and x_{i+1} , $(x_{i} \in A_{i}(x),$ $x_{i+1} \in A_{i+1}(x))$. Since x,y is a 2-distance pair, there must be a vertex $y_{i} \in A_{i}(x)$ and a y_{i} ,y-path of length d_{o} -i not containing e. Otherwise,

lifelitetikin systemati 1946). Letter i san synthetical talaha an interes a manari tanan tana - i a mari - i ma

 $d_{G}(x,y) < d_{G-e}(x,y)$. Denote this path by P_{3}^{*} . By definition there exists an x, y_{1} -pach P_{4}^{*} of length 1. If $P_{1}(P_{2})$ and P_{4}^{*} are edge disjoint, define $P = P_{3}^{*} \cup P_{4}^{*}$. $P_{1}(P_{2})$ and P are two x, y-paths of length d_{0}^{*} , such that if there exists $e_{1} \in E_{m}(x)$ and $e_{1} \in P_{1} \cap P$ ($e_{1} \in P_{2} \cap P$) then m > 1. This contradicts the maximality of 1.

If P'_4 , P_1 and P'_4 , P_2 have common edges let k < i be the greatest integer such that there is an edge $e_2 \in E_k(x)$ and $e_2 \in P_1 \cap P'_4$ or $e_2 \in P_2 \cap P'_4$, $e_2 = x_k x_{k+1}$, $x_k \in A_k(x)$ and $x_{k+1} \in A_{k+1}(x)$. Define a new path P' composed of P_1 resp. P_2 from x to x_k and of P'_4 from x_k to y_i . As before the paths P_2 resp. P_1 and P' contradict the maximality of i. This completes the proof for l=2. The same type of constructive proof for l > 2 is valid but seems to be too tedious to present here.

Q.E.D.

Since any diametrical pair of vertices in an *l*-diameter stable graph must be an *l*-distance pair, the following necessary condition for *l*-diameter stable graphs is obtained by applying Theorem 4.6.

ふんなないないないないで

いいい いんしょうがい

theorem 4.7. If G is an l-diameter stable graph and x,y is a diametrical pair of vertices in G, then there are at least l edge disjoint x,y-paths of length d(G) in G.

Note that the statement in Theorem 4.7 cannot serve as a sufficient condition for *L*-diameter stability, as can be seen for instance from a cycle of even length, C_{2m} , which is not 2-diameter stable, but each diametrical pair of vertices in C_{2m} is joined by two edge disjoint paths of length m, which is the diameter of C_{2m} .

We conclude this section by stating a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph to be *l*-distance stable, which follows by Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 4.6.

<u>Theorem 4.8.</u> A graph G is *l*-distance stable if and only if between any pair of nonadjacent vertices $x, y \in V(G)$ there are at least *l* edge disjoint paths of length $d_G(x,y)$.

There is a clear analogy between Theorem 4.8 characterizing *L*-distance stable graphs and the edge version of the Menger-Whitney Theorem (see [9], Chapter 5), derived by Ford and Fulkerson, Elias, Feinstein, Shanon, Kotzig and others.

It should be noted that due to the similarity between *k*-distance stable and *k* edge connected graphs, other analogs of connectivity theorems may be proved for *k*-distance stable graphs (e.g., the Dirac's fan theorem).

The next section is devoted to (2,d)-graphs.
4.4 Critical and Superfluous Edges and 2-Diameter Stable Graphs

An edge $e \in E(G)$ is called <u>superfluous</u> if d(G-e) = d(G), otherwise e is said to be a (diameter) <u>critical</u> edge. By definition, a graph is 2-diameter stable if a only if all its edges are superfluous. A graph is called (diameter) critical if all its edges are critical. Critical graphs were studies in [29] - [32].

Examples of critical edges are a bridge, any edge of C_n and any edge of K_n . On the other hand all edges of the diameter stable graphs mentioned in Section 4.2 are of course superfluous. A few properties of superfluous and critical edges and consequently results on (2,d)-graphs are now given.

Theorem 4.9. If $e \in E(G)$ is a superfluous edge of a graph G, then

$$g(e) \le d(G) + 1$$
 (4.7)

and this result is best possible.

的影响着中国的影响的影响。

<u>Proof.</u> If e is superfluous it is not a bridge and therefore it is a cyclic edge. Let e = ab and assume g(e) > d(G) + 1. But then $d(G-e) \ge d_{G-e}(a,b) > d(G)$ contradicting the ensumption that e is superfluous. To show that (4.7) is best possible, take a cycle of length d+1 (d \ge 3, integer) and a path of length $\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]$ sharing exactly one vertex with the cycle (Figure 4.9).







The resulting graph is of diameter d and contains at least one superfluous edge whose minimal cycle is of length d + 1.

Q.E.D.

It is easy to see that the converse of Theorem 4.9 is not true. The edges denoted by e' in Figure 4.10 are critical although their minimal cycle is of length less than or equal to d(G) + 1.





the trade of a second of the second where the second of the second of the



A simple consequence of Theorem 4.9 is Theorem 4.10. Theorem 4.10. If for an edge $e \in E(G)$ of a graph G

$$g(e) > d(G) + 1,$$
 (4.8)

then e is critical.

Theorem 4.11. If $e \in E(G)$ is a cyclic edge of a graph G, then

 $d(G) \leq d(G-e) \leq 2d(G)$ (4.9)

Proof. The left hand side of (4.9) is obvious.

Let

An the file of the second s

 $x, y \in V(G)$

be a diametrical pair of vertices in G-e, and define

 $A_{i} = \{ v \in V(G) : d_{G-e}(x,v) = i \} \quad 0 \le i \le d(G-e).$

65

A CARLES AND A CARLES AND A CARLES AND A CARLES AND A CARLES

Let e = ab, and let $a \in A_m$, $b \in A_n$ $0 \le m \le n \le d(G-e)$. Define $\ell = \left[\frac{n-m}{2}\right]$ and take a vertex $z \in A_{m+\ell}$. There exists an x,z-path P₁ in G-e of length m+ ℓ , and a z,y-path P₂ in G-e, of length $d(G-e) - m-\ell$. The shortest x,z-path in G does not contain e, otherwise it would be of length at least m+1+ ℓ . Similarly the shortest z,y-path in G does not contain e, since otherwise it will be of length at least $d(G-e)-n+1+\ell$, which is not shorter than $d(G-e)-m-\ell$ due to the specific value we have chosen for ℓ . Therefore the length of P₁ and P₂ does not exceed d(G)and P₁UP₂ yields an x,y-path of length not exceeding 2d(G) in the graph G-e, which proves (4.9).

To show that (4.9) cannot be improved take an odd cycle C_{2k+1} .

$$2k = d(C_{2k+1} - e) = 2d(C_{2k+1}) = 2k.$$

Q.E.D.

3

If G is 2-edge connected, then

 $d(G) \leq d(G-e) \leq 2d(G), \quad \forall \in \mathbf{C} \in E(G).$

We thus conclude the following,

<u>Theorem 4.12</u>. All 2-edge connected graphs G are (2, 2d(G))-stable. Another simple bound on d(G-e), where e is a cyclic edge is,

 $d(G-e) \leq d(G) + g(e) - 2.$

We thus obtain the following property.

Theorem 4.13. If G is a 2-edge connected graph and $g(e) \leq g$ $\forall e \in E(G)$ for some integer g,

then,

$$d(G-e) \leq d(G) + g-2$$
 $\forall e \in E(G).$

The following theorem gives a sufficient condition for a graph to be a (2,d)-graph.

Theorem 4.14. If for some integer $m \ge 0$, we have for a graph G,

- (1) $g(e) \leq 3+m$, $\forall e \notin E(G)$.
- (2) Any pair of vertices x,y € V(G), such that d_G(x,y) ≥ d m is joined by at least two edge disjoint paths of length not exceeding d;

Then G is a (2,d)-graph.

<u>Proof.</u> Take any $e \in E(G)$ and let $x, y \in V(G-e)$. If $d_G(x,y) \ge d-m$, then by (2) $d_{G-e}(x,y) \le d$. Assume therefore, $d_G(x,y) \le d-m-1$, then by (1) $d_{G-e}(x,y) \le 3+m-1+d-m-2=d$.

Q.E.D.

Theorem 4.15 is a consequence of Theorem 4.14 for m=0 and Theorem 4.7.

<u>Theorem 4.15.</u> Let G be a graph such that $g(e) = 3 \quad \forall e \notin E(G)$. Then G is 2-diameter stable if and only if every pair of diametrical vertices in G is joined by at least two edge disjoint paths of length d(G).

By Theorem 4.15, the following class of graphs with arbitrary diameter, is a class of 2-diameter stable graphs. Take a cycle with even number of vertices labeled v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2m} , to each pair of adjacent vertices $v_1, v_{i+1} \pmod{2n}, 1 \le i \le 2n$ join a vertex w_i , such that w_i is adjacent to v_i and to $v_{i+1} \pmod{2n}, 1 \le i \le 2n$. The resulting graph H_{2n} (Fig. 4.11) is of diameter n+1, and is by Theorem 4.15 2-diameter stable graph.



BURNESS CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER O

warate war stateled hear sold of which was south a second war south a second state and the second second second

Figure 4.11. H₆.

The graph H_{2n} shows that Lemma 4.1 is not a necessary condition for 2-diameter stability, since for instance the vertices x and y (Fig. 4.11) are <u>not</u> joined by two edge disjoint paths of length $\leq n+1 = d(H_{2n})$. By joining in H_{2n} , w_1 to w_2 , w_3 to w_4 etc., one obtains a graph H_{2n} with diameter n+1 which is 3 diameter stable and does not satisfy the converse of Lemma 4.1 (Fig. 4.12).



Figure 4.12. He.

Fig. 4.11 shows a 2-diameter stable graph of diameter 4 which does not satisfy the converse of Lemma 4.1. If d < 4 then Lemma 4.1 is a necessary and sufficient condition for 2-diameter stability, as stated in the next theorem.

X

<u>Theorem 4.16.</u> A graph G with diameter 2 or 3 is 2-diameter stable if and only if there are at least two edge disjoint paths of length not exceeding d(G), between any pair of vertices of G.

<u>Proof.</u> Sufficiency follows from Lemma 4.1. If d(G) = 2 then the necessity follows from Theorems 4.4 and 4.7. If d(G) = 3 then for any pair of vertices $x, y \in V(G)$ such that $d_G(x, y) = 1, 3$, the necessity again is derived from Theorems 4.4 and 4.7. Therefore, let $x, y \in V(G)$ be two vertices such that $d_G(x, y) = 2$, and denote the shortest x, y-path by P_1 ($P_1 = xzy$). Since G is 2-diameter stable, there exists an x, y-path $P_2 \neq P_1$ and $2 \leq |P_2| \leq 3$. If $|P_2| = 2$ then P_1 and P_2 are edge disjoint. If all x, y-paths ($\neq P_1$) are of length 3 and none of them is disjoint from P_1 , take such a path $P_3 = xaby$, and assume without loss of generality that xz = xa. There must be an x, y-path P_4 of length 3 ($P_4 = x c dy$) such that $xc \neq xz$, otherwise $d_{G-xc}(x, y) > 3$, contradicting the 2-diameter stability of G. In this case P_3 and P_4 are edge disjoint x, y-paths of length 3.

4.5 Some Extremal Problems for 2-Diameter Stable Graphs

Similar to the classes defined in Chapter 2, we denote by $H_g(k,d)$ the class of all k-diameter stable graphs with diameter d, and by $H_g(n,k,d)$ the subclass of $H_g(k,d)$ containing all graphs with exactly n vertices.

Let

March & Barrow & Barrow & State & Stat

ž,

and the second second

$$f_{g}(k,d) \land Min | V(G) |,$$

 $G \notin H_{g}(k,d)$

$$g_g(k,d) \triangleq Min | E(G) |,$$

 $G \in H_g(k,d)$

and

$$g_{g}(n,k,d) \triangleq Min | E(G) |.$$

 $G \in H_{g}(n,k,d)$

As before we call the graphs in $H_{g}(k,d)$, $H_{g}(k,d)$ -graphs etc., and the $H_{g}(k,d)$ -graphs with minimal number of vertices and edges are called vertex extremal and extremal graphs of the respective classes. As mentioned before Murty [16] showed that if $n > \frac{(3+\sqrt{5})k}{2}$, then

$$g_2(n,k,2) = k\left(n - \frac{k+1}{2}\right)$$
,

3

Y,

黨

\$

and obtained the unique
$$H_{g}(n,k,2)$$
-extremal graph realization (see Figure 4.3).

In this section we deal only with the case k=2. First the value of $f_s(2,d)$ is given.

Since there is no 2-diameter stable with diameter = 1, we set $d \ge 2$.

Theorem 4.17. For $d \ge 2$

$$f_{g}(2,d) \approx \left[\frac{d+1}{2}\right] + d + 1.$$
 (4.10)

<u>Proof</u>. Let $G \in H_{g}(2.d)$ have a diametrical arc x_0, x_1, \dots, x_d and

$$V_{i} \triangleq \left\{ x \in V(G) : d(x_{0}, x) = i \right\}, \quad 0 \le i \le d.$$

Define

$$n_i = |V_i|, \qquad 0 \le i \le d.$$

G is bridgeless and hence $n_i + n_{i+1} \ge 3$ $\forall 0 \le i \le d-1$.

Therefore

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d} n_i \ge \left[\frac{d+1}{2}\right] + d + 1$$

and,

CARLES STATE

んないにない、これにいいます

$$f_{g}(2,d) \geq \left[\frac{d+1}{2}\right] + d + 1.$$

On the other hand consid.r. the following class of graphs of arbitrary diameter $d \ge 2$, as in Fig. 4.13, which by Lemma 4.1 are 2-diameter stable.



Figure 4.13.

This class shows

$$f_{s}(2,d) \leq \left[\frac{d+1}{2}\right] + d + 1.$$

and (4.10) follows.

<u>Theorem 4.18</u>. $g_{g}(2,2) = 5$, and for $d \ge 3$ $g_{g}(2,d) = 2(d+1)$ (4.11)

Q.E.D.

<u>Proof</u>. The value of $g_{g}^{(2,2)}$ can easily be verified. The graphs described in Fig. 4.13 show

> $g_{g}(2,d) \leq 2(d+1).$ (4.12)

On the other hand if

 $G_{i} = \{(u,v) \in E(G) : u \in V_{i}, v \in V_{i+1}\} \quad 0 \leq i \leq d-1,$

- har in the stand and the

then $|G_i| \ge 2$ $\forall 0 \le i \le d-1$, otherwise elimination of a single edge disconnects the graph.

Therefore, $2d \leq g_g(2,d)$.

Let $\{x_0\} = V_0$ $\{x_1, y_1\} = V_1$ $(x_1 \neq y_1)$, $x_2, y_2 \in V_2$ assume x_0y_1 , $x_0x_1 \in G_0$, x_1x_2 , $y_1y_2 \in G_1$, where V_1 are defined for a 2-diameter stable graph G, as in the proof of Theorem 4.17, (C is a vertex extremal $H_s(2,d)$ -graph). If $x_1y_1 \notin E(G)$ then there must be an edge in G_1 $(\neq x_1x_2)$ incident with x_1 , otherwise $d_{G-x_1x_2}(x_1, x_d) > d \implies$ $|G_1| \ge 3$. If $x_1y_1 \in E(G)$ then again there is an extra edge in G. The same argument applies to the G_{d-2} , G_{d-1} and therefore $2(d+1) \le g_s(2,d)$, which together with (4.12) proves (4.11). Q.E.D.

Theorem 4 to gives asymptotic bounds on
$$g_{g}(n,2,d)$$
.
Theorem 4.19. For $d \ge 4$ and $n \ge \left[\frac{d+1}{2}\right] + d + 1$,
 $1 \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g_{g}(n,2,d)}{n} \le \begin{cases} \frac{d}{d-2} & d \text{-odd} \\ \frac{d-1}{d-3} & d \text{-even.} \end{cases}$
(4.13)

٣.

<u>Proof.</u> The lower limit in (4.13) is simply due to the 2-edge conn. of any $H_g(n,2,d)$ -graph. To show the upper limit we start with the $H_g(2,d)$ -extremal graphs shown in Fig. 4.13 and add to them vertices so that the resulting graph is a 2-diameter stable with diameter d. Denote by x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_d the vertices of a diametrical arc of the $H_g(2,d)$ -extremal graph described previously.

Let d be even, then consider two cases.

<u>Case 1</u>: d=4m , m≥1.

and the second second

Connect x_{2m-1} , x_{2m+1} by edge disjoint paths of length 2m,

and possibly one single path of length less than 2m, so that the resulting graph has exactly n vertices (Fig. 4.14).



Figure 4.14. An H_S (20,2,8) - Graph.

The graph obtained is clearly 2-diameter stable with diameter d with $8m+2+\left[\frac{n-6m-1}{2m-1}\right] 2m+t+1$, edges, where n-6m-1 = (2m-1) + t, $0 \le t \le 2m$ Hence,

$$g_{g}(n,2,4m) \leq 8m + \left[\frac{n-6m-1}{2m-1}\right] 2m + t + 3,$$
 (4.14)

where t < 2m-1.

<u>Case 2</u>: d = 4m-2, $m \ge 1$.

Similar to Case 1 we obtain a family of $H_g(n,2,4m+2)$ -graph of diameter 4m+2, (Fig. 4.15), with $8m+6 + \left[\frac{n-6m-4}{2m}\right](2m+1) + t + 1$, where $n-6m-4 = 2m \ s + t$, $0 \le t < 2m$.



Figure 4.15. An H_S (28,2,10) - Graph.

Hence,

$$g_{s}(n,2,4m+2) \leq 8m + \left[\frac{n-6m-4}{2m}\right](2m+1) + t + 7$$
 (4.15)

where t < 2m.

If in inequalities (4.14) and (4.15) we substitute the appropriate values of m, we obtain in both cases after dividing both sides by n and taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{g_s(n,?,d)}{n} \leq \frac{d}{d-2},$$

which proves the upper bound for (4.13) when d is even.

If d is odd the following construction (Fig. 4.16) yields an $H_{2}(n,2,d)$ -graph.



Figure 4.16. An H_S (17,2,7) - Graph.

By counting the number of edges of the resulting graph, one obtains the upper bound in (4.13) for d odd.

Q.E.D.

¥.

AAPTER 5

CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER PROBLEMS

In this dissertation, we have considered graph theoretical problems motivated by quantions related to the design of reliable communication networks with a bounded maximal messa is delay.

In the first part of the research, consisting of Chapter 2 and Chapter 3, extremal problems related to the classes of graphs $W_{L}(k,d)$ and $W_{1}(n,k,d)$ (f = 1,2,3), defined in Sections 2.1 and 3.1, were studied. For the related functions we have obtained the following results.

$$(1 + 1_1)(k, 3\ell+1) = (k+1)(\ell+1) + 1, 1 = 0, 1, 2, k \ge 2, \ell \ge 1.$$

(2)
$$\frac{1}{2} (k,d) = \frac{1}{2} (k,d) + 1$$
.

In some cases the lower bound in (2) was shown to be attained. It seems that the lower bound may be attained in other cases as well, but we were not able to prove them.

(3) For k, d ≥ 2,

ANALASTA STATES STATES AND STATES AND AND STATES STATES STATES STATES STATES AND STATES

$$\max_{k,d \ge 2} \left\{ f_1(k,d), 2(k+1) + (d-3)\sqrt{k} \right\} \le f_2(k,d) \le \left\{ \frac{\frac{(k+1)d}{2} + 1}{\frac{(k+1)(d+1)}{2}}, d-even \right\}$$

(4)

$$kd \leq g_{2}(k,d) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{dk(k+2)}{4}, d-even, k-even \\ \frac{k(k(d+1)+2(d-1))}{4}, d-odd, k-even \\ \frac{(k+1)(4k+(d-3)(k+1))}{4}, d-odd, k-odd \\ \frac{d(k+1)^{2}}{4}, d-even, k-odd \end{cases}$$

In special cases tighter bounds on $f_2(k,d)$ and $g_2(k,d)$ can be obtained.

(5)
$$f_{3}(k,d) = k(d-1) + 2, k, d \ge 1.$$

(6) $g_{3}(k,d) = \left[\frac{(k(d-1)+2)k+1}{2}\right]$ $k,d \ge 2.$
(7) For $i = 1,2,3$, $(d > 1)$
 $n \le g_{1}(n,2,d) \le n + \left[\frac{n - d - 2}{d-1}\right]$,

and asymptotically,

$$1 \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g_1(n, 2, d)}{n} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{d-1}$$

(8) For k = 3, and i = 1, 2, 3,

$$\frac{3}{2} \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g_1(n, 3, d)}{n} \le \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]_{-1}}$$

(9) For $k \ge 3$, $d \ge 2$, $n \ge (v [\frac{d}{2}], k + 1)$,

$$\frac{k}{2} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g_1(n,k,d)}{n} \leq \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{k-2}{(k-1)}$$

For i = 2, 3,

$$\frac{\frac{k}{2}}{2} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g_1(n,k,d)}{n} \leq \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{k-2}{\binom{d}{2}_{-1}}.$$

(10) For

d, $l \ge 2$ and $(d-1)2l + 2 \le n \le (d-1)(l-1)4 + 2$, we have shown that

$$g_1(n, 2^{\ell}, d) = n^{\ell}$$
.

Considering network design applications, the previously listed results may be used to calculate the "additional cost", in terms of vertices and edges, required for a higher reliability constraint measure on the network. Thus, for instance, if a network with diameter d and minimal degree k is to be "modified" to a k-vertex connected network, at most (l-1)(2k-1) + i(k+1) (d=3l+i, i=0,1,2) vertices must be added to the network. Note that this modification may require a new arrangement of the vertices and edges.

The obvious further problems to be investigated as suggested by the latter results are, of course, those of finding the exact values of the respective function, for which only bounds are given here.

In the second part of this research, Chapter 4, new reliability criteria, motivated by maximal message delay considerations in communication networks, were defined and analyzed. (l,d)-graphs, l-diameter stable and l-distance stable graphs were introduced (see Section 4.1) and different classes of those graphs were constructed. The main results concerning those classes are listed below.

I. Concerning (l, d)-graphs we obtained;

(1) For an (l,d)-graph G

BROOK STATISTIC MANAGES STATISTICS

三二年二 でんたまる

3. 2.

> 2 7 b

> > g(e) \leq d + 1, \forall e \in E(G),

where g(e) was defined as the minimal cycle containing an edge $e \in E(G)$.

(2) All 2-edge connected graphs G were proven to be (2, 2d(G))-graphs.

(3) The following, is a sufficient condition for a graph to be a(2,d)-graph;

If for some integer m ≥ 0 , we have for a graph G,

(a) $g(e) \leq 3 + m$, $\forall e \in E(G)$.

(b) Any pair of vertices x, y ϵ V(G), such that $d_{G}(x,y) \ge d-m$ is joined by at least two edge disjoint paths of length not exceeding d. Then G is a (2,d)-graph.

II. For *l*-diameter stable graphs we obtained the following:

(1) If G is an l-diameter stable graph, $l \geq 2$, then

 $g(e) \leq d(G) + 1$, $\forall e \in E(G)$.

(2) If G is an l-diameter stable graph and x, y is a diametrical pair of vertices in G, then there are at least l edge disjoint x, y-paths of length d(G) in G.

(3) If G is a graph with the property g(e) = 3, $\forall e \in E(G)$, then G is 2-diameter stable <u>if and only if</u> every pair of diametrical vertices in G is joined by at least two edge disjoint paths of length d(G).

(4) A graph G with d(G) = 2, 3 is 2-diameter stable <u>if and only if</u> there are at least two edge disjoint paths, of length not exceeding d(G), between any pair of vertices of G.

(5) For the functions $f_s(k,d)$, $g_s(k,d)$ and $g_s(n,k,d)$, defined in section 4.5, we have,

$$f_{g}(2,d) = \left[\frac{d+1}{2}\right] + d + 1, \quad d \ge 2.$$

$$g_{g}(2,d) = 2(d+1).$$

$$1 \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g_{g}(n,2,d)}{n} \le \begin{cases} \frac{d}{d-2}, d - odd \\ \frac{d-1}{d-3}, d - even. \end{cases}$$

III. For $\underline{\ell}$ -distance stable graphs we obtained an analog of the well known Menger Whitney Theorem (see [9], Chapter 5), as follows:

A graph G is ℓ -distance stable <u>if and only if</u> between any pair of nonadjacent vertices x, y $\in V(G)$, there are at least ℓ edge disjoint paths of length $d_G(x,y)$.

From the variety of open problems directly arising from this research, we mention only a few:

(1) In (4.6) we had for an ℓ -diameter stable graph, G girth(G) \leq d(G) + 1.

We could not decide whether (4.6) can be improved, or, whether for any arbitrary integers l,d, one can always find an l-d tameter stable graph with diameter d and girth d + 1

(2) Finding a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph G, to be an (l,d)-graph on an l-diameter stable graph, remains an open problem. The sufficient condition in Theorem 4.14 for a graph to be a (2,d)-graph, does not seem to be a necessary condition for (2,d)stability. But to prove that, a counter example is needed.

(3) Computation of the values of the functions $f_{g}(k,d)$, $g_{g}(k,d)$ and $g_{g}(n,k,d)$ for k > 2 (for k = 2, we obtained various results) is needed.

(4) Analogous problems for (l,d)-stable, *l*-diameter stable and *l*-distance stable graph with <u>respect to vertices</u> may be obtained. For instance, a graph G is *l*-distance stable (w.r. to vertices) if and only if between any pair of nonadjacent vertices of G there are at least *l*-vertex disjoint x, y-paths of length $d_G(x,y)$ in G. We have not

pursued similar questions for (l,d)-graphs and l-diameter-stable graphs, with respect to vertices.

(5) Extremal problems analogous to those considered in this research may be posed for k-distance stable graphs.

Red Determined to the state of the state of

CLARK AND SUBSCIENCES

REFERENCES

「開放」

御道: 武士

- 1. I. Rubin, "The Delay-Capacity Product for Store and Forward Communication Networks; Tree Networks," <u>Applied Mathematics and</u> <u>Optimization</u>, 1975.
- I. Rubin, "Optimal Reliable Topological Structures for Message-Switching Communication Networks," <u>Proceedings 1976 Conference on</u> <u>Information Sciences and Systems</u>, The Johns Hopkins University.
- I. Rubin, "Communication Nerworks: Delay Capacity Functions and Reliable Topological Structures," <u>UCLA Technical Report</u>, UCLA-ENG-7595, Nov., 1975.
- I. Rubin, "On Reliable Topological Structures for Message-Switching Communication Networks," <u>UCLA Technical Report</u>, UCLA-ENG-7623, March, 1976.
- 5. J. Hartman and I. Rubin, "On Diameter Stability of Communication Networks," <u>Proceedings 1976 Conference on Information Sciences and</u> Systems, The Johns Hopkins University.
- J. Hartman and I. Rubin, "On the Diameter Stability of Graphs," <u>Proceedings of the International Conference on the Theory and</u> <u>Applications of Graphs</u>, Western Michigan University, May 11-15, 1976.
- 7. J. Hartman and J. Rubin, "Communication Networks Under Delay and Connectivity Constraints," <u>Proceedings of the 13th Annual Allerton</u> <u>Conference on Circuit and System Theory</u>, October 1975.
- 8. J. Hartman and I. Rubin, "Communication Networks with Prescribed Diameter and Connectivity," (submitted to "Networks").
- 9. F. Harary, "Graph Theory," Addison Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969.
- 10. F. Harary, "The Maximum Connectivity of a Graph," Proc. National Academy of Science, 48(1952), pp. 1142-1146.
- D.R. Fulkerson, L.S. Shapley, "Minimal k-arc Connected Graphs," Networks, 1(1971) pp. 91-98.
- 12. M.E. Watkins, "A Lower Bound for the Number of Vertices of a Graph," <u>American Mathematical Monthly 74</u>, Part 1, Jan.-May, 1967, pg. 297.
- H.P. Friedman, "A Design for (d,k)-Graphs," <u>IEEE Trans. Elect.</u> <u>Comp.</u> (Short Notes), Vol. EC-15, pp. 253-254, April.
- J.A. Bundy and U.S.R. Murty, "Extremal Graphs of Diameter Two with Prescribed Minimum Degree," <u>Studia Sci. Math. Hung</u>. (1972), pp. 239-241.

- U.S.R. Murty, "On Some Extremal Graphs," <u>Acta. Math. Acad. Sci.</u> <u>Hung.</u>, 19(1968), pp. 69-74.
- U.S.R. Murty, "On Critical Graphs of Diameter 2," <u>Math. Mag.</u> 41(1968), 138-140.
- 17. B. Bollobás, "Graphs of Given Diameter," Proc. Coll. at Tihany (Hung.) 1968, 29-36.
- 18. B. Bollobás and P. Erdös, "An Extremal Problem of Graphs with Diameter 2," to appear.
- 19. B. Bollobás and S. Eldridge," On Graphs with Diameter 2," to appear.
- 20. B. Bollobás, "A Problem of the Theory of Communication Networks," Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 19(1968) pp. 75-80.
- K. Vijayan and U.S.R. Murty, "On Accessibility in Graphs," Sankhya, Series A, Vol. 26, pp. 299-302.
- 22. L. Caccetta, "Extremal Graphs of Diameter 4", to appear in Jr. of Comb. Theo.
- 23. R.C. Entringer, D.E. Jackson and P.J. Slater, "Geodetic Connectivity of Graphs," to appear.
- 24. J.W. Moon, "On the Diameter of a Graph," <u>Mich. Math</u>. Jr. 12(1965) pp. 349-351.
- 25. A. Lavia and E.G. Manning, "Perturbation Techniques for Topological Optimization of Computer Networks," <u>Proc. of the 4th Data Comm.</u> <u>Symp.</u>, October 1975, Quebec City.
- 26. S. Hakimi, "On the Realizability of a Set of Integers as Degrees of the Vertices of a Graph," <u>Jr. Siam Appl. Math.</u> 10(1962), pp. 496-506.
- R.S. Wilkov, "Construction of Maximally Reliable Communication Networks with Minimum Transmission Delay," <u>Proc. 1970 IEEE Int</u>. Conf. Communications," Vol. 6, June 1970, pp. 4210-4215.
- 28. R.S. Wilkov, "Analysis and Design of Reliable Computer Networks," IEEE Trans. on Comm. Vol. Com-20, No. 3, June 1972, pp. 660-678.
- F. Gliviak, "On Certain Classes of Graphs of Diameter Two Without Superflous Edges," <u>Acta F.R.N. Univ. Com. Math.</u>, 21, 1968, pp. 39-48.
- F. Gliviak, P. Kys and J. Plesnik, "On the Extension of Graphs with a Given Diameter Without Superflous Edges," <u>Mat. Cap.</u> 19, 1969, pp. 92-101.

- 31. J. Plesník, "Critical Graphs of Given Diameter," <u>Acta F.R.N. Univ.</u> <u>Com. Math.</u>, 1975, pp. 71-93.
- 32. J. Plesník, "Note on Diametrically Critical Graphs," "<u>Recent</u> <u>Advances in Graph Theory</u>," Proc. Symp. Praha 1974, Academia Praha 1975, pp. 207-221.

S.

Ē