



Calhoun: The NPS Institutional Archive

DSpace Repository

Theses and Dissertations

Thesis and Dissertation Collection

1976

On the stability of plane Poiseuille flow.

Newby, Lewis Raymond

http://hdl.handle.net/10945/17884

Downloaded from NPS Archive: Calhoun



Calhoun is a project of the Dudley Knox Library at NPS, furthering the precepts and goals of open government and government transparency. All information contained herein has been approved for release by the NPS Public Affairs Officer.

> Dudley Knox Library / Naval Postgraduate School 411 Dyer Road / 1 University Circle Monterey, California USA 93943

http://www.nps.edu/library

ON THE STABILITY OF PLANE POISEUILLE FLOW

Lewis Raymond Newby

AV LOSTGRA ATE SCHOOL MONTE Y CALIFORNIA 93940

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California



THESIS

ON THE STABILITY OF PLANE POISEUILLE FLOW

by

Lewis Raymond Newby

March 1976

Thesis Advisor:

T. H. Gawain

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION	PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM						
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.							
4. TITLE (and Subtitie)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED						
On the Stability of Plane		Master's Thesis; March 1976						
Poiseuille Flow		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER						
7. AUTHOR()		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(0)						
Lewis Raymond Newby								
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT TASK						
Naval Postgraduate School		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS						
Monterey, California 93940								
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE March 1976						
Naval Postgraduate School		March 1970						
Monterey, California 93940		65						
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different	from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of the report)						
Naval Postgraduate School		Unclassified						
Monterey, California 93940		15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE						
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	,							
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and								
	cipient Insta itical Instab							
Poiseuille	itical instab	llity						
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and	identify by block number)							
The stability of plane is theory developed by Harrison was introduced which reduces better insight into the bass the flow was examined from a was found to be progressive	n. A similar s computation ic relations. a Lagrangian	ity transformation time and provides The stability of viewpoint. Instability						
FORM 1470								
DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLD (Page 1) S/N 0102-014-6601	Uncl	assified						
(T (T (T) (T) (T) (T) (T) (T) (T) (T) (T	SECURITY CLA	SSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered						

.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Dete Entered

were identified, namely incipient, critical, and fully developed instability.

Results show that the critical Reynolds number can be lowered indefinitely if certain types of perturbations occur. Specifically these involve relatively abrupt changes in amplitude. This provides a possible explanation for the disagreement between earlier theory and experiment. On the Stability of Plane Poiseuille Flow

by

Lewis Raymond Newby Lieutenant Commander, United States Navy B.S., United States Naval Academy, 1964

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN AERONAUTICAL ENGINEERING

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL March 1976

ABSTRACT

The stability of plane Poiseuille flow was studied using theory developed by Harrison. A similarity transformation was introduced which reduces computation time and provides better insight into the basic relations. The stability of the flow was examined from a Lagrangian viewpoint. Instability was found to be progressive in nature and three distinct levels were identified, namely incipient, critical, and fully developed instability.

Results show that the critical Reynolds number can be lowered indefinitely if certain types of perturbations occur. Specifically these involve relatively abrupt changes in amplitude. This provides a possible explanation for the disagreement between earlier theory and experiment.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	THE	ORETICAL BACKGROUND AND APPROACH 10
	Α.	BACKGROUND 10
	В.	PROGRESSIVE INSTABILITY 11
	C.	TRANSFORMATION OF PARAMETERS 12
	D.	PHYSICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF STABILITY BOUNDARIES 15
	E.	EFFECT OF VARYING PARAMETERS 17
		1. Restrictions on λ_R 18
	F.	SCOPE OF PRESENT RESEARCH 20
	G.	PARAMETER G 21
		1. Definition of Parameter 21
		2. Utilization of Parameter 21
	Н.	REDUCTION TO CLASSICAL THEORY 23
II.	SIM	ILARITY TRANSFORMATION 25
III.	STA	BILITY CRITERION 30
	Α.	BACKGROUND 30
	Β.	LAGRANGIAN REFERENCE 30
	C.	TRANSFORMED STABILITY CRITERION 33
IV.	RES	ULTS35
	Α.	TRANSFORMED PARAMETERS 35
		1. $\phi^* = 90^\circ 35$
		2. $\phi^* = 95^\circ 37$
	Β.	RESULTS TRANSFORMED TO UNSTARRED PARAMETERS 43

	1.	Pert	urba	tion	Ra	te	Vec	tor	s,	Ma	gn	it	ud	le	-	-	43
	2.	Pert Dire				owt 	h F -	late 	Ve -	ect -	or -	`S, -	-	-	-	-	46
	3.	Pert Dire			0s -	ci1 	1at -	ion 	. Ra -	ate -	• V -	rec -	to -	rs -	•	-	48
	4.	Stab Para			und -	ari 	es -	in 	Uns -	sta -	rr -	ed -	-	-	-	-	53
v. conc	CLUSI	LONS	AND I	RECO	MME	NDA	TIC)NS-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	56
APPENDI	ζА-	- USE	OF 7	ГНЕ	СОМ	PUT	ER	PRO	GRA	٩W	-	-	-	-	-	-	58
APPENDI)	(В-		NGES REF.				ER -	PRO	GR/ -	AM -	-	-	-	-	-	-	62
COMPUTER	R PRO	OGRAM			-		-		~	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	64
LIST OF	REFE	ERENC	ES -		-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	85
INITIAL	DIST	RIBU	TION	LIS	T -		-		-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	86

LIST OF FIGURES

E	Figure	Pa	ge
	4 - 1	Transformed Stability Boundaries for $\emptyset^* = 90^\circ$	б
	4 - 2	Growth Rate for $\theta = 3^{\circ} 3$	8
	4 - 3	Growth Rate for $\theta = 6^{\circ} 3$	9
	4 - 4	Transformed Stability Boundaries for $p = 95^{\circ} 4$	0
	4 - 5	Growth Rate for $\theta = 0^{\circ} 4$	1
	4 - 6	Growth Rate for $\theta = 3^{\circ} 4$	2
	4 - 7	Vector Diagram for Parameter Transformation 4	. 4
	4 - 8	Parameter G versus Re/Re* 4	- 5
	4 - 9	Direction of Growth Rate Vector = for $\emptyset^* = 90^\circ$ 4	. 9
	4-10	Direction of Growth Rate Vector for $\emptyset^* = 95^\circ$ 5	60
	4-11	Direction of Oscillation Rate Vector for $\emptyset^* = 90^\circ$ 5	51
	4-12	Direction of Oscillation Rate Vector for $\emptyset^* = 95^\circ$ 5	52
	4-13	Stability Boundaries for $\emptyset^* = 90^\circ 5$	54
	4-14	Stability Boundaries for $\emptyset^* = 95^\circ 5$	55

LIST OF SYMBOLS

All quantities are expressed in dimensionless form by the use of a natural system of consistent units in which channel semi-height is the unit of length, the volumetric mean velocity of the fluid is the unit of velocity, and the density of the fluid is the unit of density. Then all other consistent derived units are fixed accordingly.

A*	wave number amplitude defined in Eq. 2.4
е	2.71828base of the natural logarithms.
G	stability parameter defined in Eq. 1-30.
G,H	complex stream functions.
G*,H*	transformed stream functions.
I(y)	complex auxiliary function defined in Eq. 2-14.
i	$(-1)^{1/2}$ the imaginary unit.
ī, <u>j</u> , k	unit vectors along x, y, and z axes, respectively.
J(y)	complex auxiliary function defined in Eq. 2-15.
J*(y)	transformed auxiliary function defined in Eq. 2-27.
Re	Reynolds number based on volumetric mean velocity and channel semi-height.
Re*	transformed Reynolds number defined in Eq. 2-18.
Τ(γ)	complex auxiliary function defined in Eq. 2-13.
t	time.
U	flow velocity.

- W complex vector potential of perturbation flow defined in Eq. 3-2.
- x,y,z coordinates in direction of mean flow, normal to walls and transverse to the mean flow, respectively.
- x',y,z coordinates in moving reference frame.
- α complex wave number of the perturbation in x direction.
- α* transformed wave number defined in Eq. 2-3.
- β complex wave number of the perturbation in the z direction.
- γ complex frequency of the perturbation in a fixed reference frame.
- Y' complex frequency of the perturbation in the moving reference frame.
- γ* transformed complex frequency defined in Eq. 2-21.
- θ phase angle parameter defined in Eq. 2-12.
- κ amplitude parameter defined in Eq. 2-19,
- Λ angle of plane of perturbation with respect to xy plane.
- $\Lambda_{\rm R}$ angle of resultant growth wave number vector $\overline{\lambda}_{\rm p}$ with respect to x axis.
- Λ_{I} angle of oscillation wave number vector $\overline{\lambda}_{I}$ with respect to x axis.
- $\overline{\lambda}_{R}$ growth wave number vector.
- $\overline{\lambda}_{T}$ oscillation wave number vector.
- wave number phase angle defined in Eq. 2-9.
 wave number phase angle defined in Eq. 2-7.
- ψ wave number phase angle defined in Eq. 2-2.

I. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND AND APPROACH

A. BACKGROUND

This research deals with the instability of plane Poiseuille flow, that is, plane flow between infinite parallel plates. The mean velocity of this flow is given by the expression

$$U = \frac{3}{2}(1-y^2) \quad . \tag{1-1}$$

The stability of such a flow field is determined by superimposing upon it an appropriate perturbation and determining whether this perturbation tends to grow or decay over time. In the present case the perturbations are expressed by a complex vector potential which is taken to be of the form

$$W = [\overline{J}G(y) + \overline{k}H(y)] \exp(\alpha x + \beta z + \gamma t) . \qquad (1-2)$$

The complex constants α and β fix the spatial characteristics of the perturbation and may be arbitrarily prescribed whereas the complex constant γ fixes the response in time and must be found by solving the vorticity transport equation. Moreover, since α , β and γ are all complex, they can be resolved into real and imaginary components in the form

$$\alpha = \alpha_{\rm R} + i\alpha_{\rm T} \tag{1-3}$$

$$\beta = \beta_{R} + i\beta_{T} \qquad (1-4)$$

$$\gamma = \gamma_R + i\gamma_I . \qquad (1-5)$$

Thus the spatial characteristics of the perturbation are seen to be completely defined by the four constants α_{R} , α_{I} , β_{R} , β_{I} . In addition, the mean flow is characterized by its Reynolds number Re.

Harrison's original analysis [Ref. 1] showed that the perturbation growth rate in time as seen by a fixed observer, and as expressed by the parameter γ_R , is a definite function of the five parameters α_R , α_I , β_R , β_I and Re, which characterize the perturbations and the flow. Thus

$$\gamma_{\rm R} = \gamma_{\rm R} [\alpha_{\rm R}, \alpha_{\rm I}, \beta_{\rm R}, \beta_{\rm I}, {\rm Re}] . \qquad (1-6)$$

B. PROGRESSIVE INSTABILITY

In a further development of Harrison's original approach, Section II of this thesis shows that three significant levels of instability can be defined which are termed incipient, critical and fully developed instability. The definition of these terms depends, in part, on the algebraic sign of α_R . However, Harrison showed that negative values of α_R have a definitely destabilizing effect. Consequently the present

analysis is restricted to the critical case of negative α_R . For this case the three levels of instability correspond to the following levels of γ_R , namely

Incipient Instability
$$\gamma_{p}$$
 = 0 (1-7)

Critical Instability
$$\gamma_R c = -\alpha_R$$
 (1-8)

Fully Developed
Instability
$$\gamma_R D = -\frac{3}{2} \alpha_R$$
. (1-9)

Numerical solution of the vorticity transport equations enables us to find the three corresponding Reynolds numbers at which the above stability levels are reached. Thus

Incipient Instability Re)_I = RE_I
$$[\alpha_R, \alpha_I, \beta_R, \beta_I]$$
 (1-10)

Critical Instability Re)_C =
$$\operatorname{Re}_{C}[\alpha_{R}, \alpha_{I}, \beta_{R}, \beta_{I}]$$
 (1-11)

Fully Developed
Instability
$$\operatorname{Re}_{D} = \operatorname{Re}_{D}[\alpha_{R}, \alpha_{I}, \beta_{R}, \beta_{I}]$$
 (1-12)

C. TRANSFORMATION OF PARAMETERS

In Section II of this thesis, a transformation is developed which relates the original parameters α_R , α_I , β_R , β_I , Re and γ_R to an alternative set of parameters which are symbolized as A^* , \emptyset^* , θ , Re^{*} and γ_R^* . This transformation can be expressed in several alternative but equivalent forms. In the present context it is convenient to write

$$\alpha_{\rm R} = A^* \cos\left(\phi^* + \theta\right) \tag{1-13}$$

$$\alpha_{I} = A^{*} \sin(\emptyset^{*} + \theta)$$
 (1-14)

$$\beta_{\rm R}^2 = \frac{A^{*2}}{2} \left\{ \left[(1 - \kappa^2)^2 + 4\kappa^2 \sin^2 \theta \right]^{1/2} + \cos 2\phi^* - \kappa^2 \cos 2(\phi^* + \theta) \right\}$$
(1-15)

$$\beta_{I}^{2} = \frac{A^{*2}}{2} \left\{ \left[(1 - \kappa^{2})^{2} + 4\kappa^{2} \sin^{2}\theta \right]^{1/2} - \cos 2\emptyset^{*} + \kappa^{2} \cos 2(\emptyset^{*} + \theta) \right\}$$
(1-16)

$$Re = Re^*/\kappa$$
(1-17)

and

$$\gamma_{\rm R} = \kappa \gamma_{\rm R}^* . \tag{1-18}$$

The important fact about this new set of parameters, which are somewhat loosely termed the starred parameters, is that their use permits the fundamental vorticity transport equation to be simplified. Specifically, the relation analogous to Eq. 1-1 reduces to

$$\gamma_{\rm R}^{*} = \gamma_{\rm R}^{*} [{\rm A}^{*}, \ \phi^{*}, \ \theta, \ {\rm Re}^{*}]$$
 (1-19)

The relations analogous to Eqs. 1-7, 1-8, and 1-9 reduce to

Incipient Instability $\gamma_{R}^{*})_{I} = 0$ (1-20) Critical Instability $\gamma_{R}^{*})_{C} = -\alpha_{R}^{*} = -\frac{3}{2} A^{*} \cos(\emptyset^{*}+\theta)$ (1-21) Fully Developed $\gamma_{R}^{*})_{D} = -\frac{3}{2} \alpha_{R}^{*} = -\frac{3}{2} A^{*} \cos(\emptyset^{*}+\theta)$ (1-22) Finally, the relations analogous to Eqs. 1-5, 1-6, and 1-7 simplify to Incipient Instability $Re_{I}^{*} = RE_{I}^{*}[A^{*}, \emptyset^{*}, \theta]$ (1-23)

Critical Instability $\operatorname{Re}_{C}^{*} = \operatorname{Re}_{C}^{*}[A^{*}, \phi^{*}, \theta]$ (1-24)

Fully Developed
$$\operatorname{Re}_{D}^{*} = \operatorname{Re}_{D}^{*}[A^{*}, \phi^{*}, \theta]$$
 (1-25)

The remarkable feature of Eqs. 1-19 through 1-25 is that none of these relations involve the parameter κ . Thus the number of independent parameters has been reduced from four in Eqs. 1-10, 1-11, and 1-12 to three in Eqs. 1-23, 1-24, and 1-25. This represents a very significant simplification of the problem, especially in view of the tremendous computational burden which these equations involve.

D. PHYSICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF STABILITY BOUNDARIES

The stability boundaries $\operatorname{Re}^*)_{I}$, $\operatorname{Re}^*)_{C}$, and $\operatorname{Re}^*)_{D}$ symbolized by Eqs. 1-23, 1-24, and 1-25 have physical significance which can be interpreted in a straightforward manner. Eq. 1-17 shows that Re^* can be regarded as the value of Re which corresponds to the reference case $\kappa = 1$. Thus $\operatorname{Re}^*)_{I}$, for example, is the Reynolds number of incipient instability for a perturbation which is characterized by the given values of parameters A^* , \emptyset^* , and θ and by the reference value $\kappa = 1$. Since the transformed quantities A^* , \emptyset^* , and θ may, at first, seem to be somewhat abstract in character, it is helpful to go back to Eqs. 1-13, 1-14, 1-15, and 1-16 and ascertain the corresponding values of the original untransformed parameters α_{R} , α_{I} , β_{R} , β_{I} .

However, there is far more to this solution than just the above reference case, $\kappa = 1$. In this connection, Eq. 1-17, when taken in conjunction with Eqs. 1-23, 1-24, and 1-25, reveals a most important result. It shows that for given values of parameters A^* , \emptyset^* , and θ , and hence for the corresponding values of $\operatorname{Re}^*)_{I}$, $\operatorname{Re}^*)_{C}$, or $\operatorname{Re}^*)_{D}$, the corresponding actual Reynolds numbers $\operatorname{Re})_{I}$, $\operatorname{Re})_{C}$, or $\operatorname{Re})_{D}$ can be lowered indefinitely, simply by increasing parameter κ to any desired extent. Notice that such a shift of the stability boundaries, while it involves no change in parameters A^* , \emptyset^* , and θ , does involve changes

in α_R , α_I , β_R , and β_I . It is therefore important to summarize the nature of these changes in the clearest possible way.

For this purpose, it is useful to regroup the four quantities $\alpha_{\rm R}^{}$, $\alpha_{\rm I}^{}$, $\beta_{\rm R}^{}$, and $\beta_{\rm I}^{}$ into two vectors $\overline{\lambda}_{\rm R}^{}$ and $\overline{\lambda}_{\rm I}^{}$ defined as follows:

$$\overline{\lambda}_{R} = \overline{1}\alpha_{R} + \overline{k}\beta_{R} \qquad (1-26)$$

$$\overline{\lambda}_{T} = \overline{I}\alpha_{T} + \overline{K}\beta_{T} \qquad (1-27)$$

(\overline{I} and \overline{k} are unit vectors in the x and z directions, respectively.)

Clearly $\overline{\lambda}_{R}$ represents the spatial growth rate in vector form, that is, in terms of magnitude and direction, while $\overline{\lambda}_{I}$ represents the spatial oscillation rate in like terms. Each of these vectors is characterized by a magnitude and a direction. In this case the magnitudes λ_{R} and λ_{I} turn out to be governed by the relations

$$\lambda_{\rm R}^2 = \frac{A^{*2}}{2} \left\{ \left(\left[(1 - \kappa^2)^2 + 4\kappa^2 \sin^2 \theta \right]^{1/2} - (1 - \kappa^2) \right] + 2\cos^2 \theta^* \right\}$$
(1-28)
$$\lambda_{\rm I}^2 = \frac{A^{*2}}{2} \left\{ \left(\left[(1 - \kappa^2)^2 + 4\kappa^2 \sin^2 \theta \right]^{1/2} - (1 - \kappa^2) \right] + 2\sin^2 \theta^* \right\} .$$
(1-29)

Likewise the two corresponding angles Λ_R and Λ_I which the above vectors make with respect to the x axis turn out to

be governed by the relations

$$\tan^{2}\Lambda_{R} = \frac{\left[(1-\kappa^{2})^{2}+4\kappa^{2}\sin^{2}\theta\right]^{1/2}+\cos 2\beta^{*}-\kappa^{2}\cos 2(\beta^{*}+)}{\kappa^{2}\left[1+\cos 2(\beta^{*}+\theta)\right]}$$
(1-30)

$$\tan^{2} \Lambda_{I} = \frac{\left[(1-\kappa^{2})^{2}+4\kappa^{2}\sin^{2}\theta\right]^{1/2}-\cos 2\emptyset^{*}+\kappa^{2}\cos 2(\emptyset^{*}+\theta)}{\kappa^{2}\left[1-\cos 2(\emptyset^{*}+\theta)\right]}$$
(1-31)

Thus the spatial form of the perturbations is now fully characterized by the four transformed parameters $\lambda_{\rm R}$, $\lambda_{\rm I}$, $\Lambda_{\rm R}$, and $\Lambda_{\rm I}$ which are in some respects more convenient than the four original parameters $\alpha_{\rm R}$, $\alpha_{\rm I}$, $\beta_{\rm R}$, and $\beta_{\rm I}$.

E. EFFECT OF VARYING PARAMETERS

The connection between the above perturbation characteristics and the Reynolds number is still expressed by the relation

$$Re = \frac{Re^*}{\kappa} . \qquad (1-32)$$

It is very instructive to study the trends revealed by Eqs. 1-28 through 1-32 when parameters A^* , \emptyset^* , and θ are held constant while κ is allowed to increase. Eq. 1-32 reveals that Re)_I, Re)_C, and Re)_D can be decreased indefinitely in this manner. On the other hand, Eq. 1-28 reveals that any such decrease in Reynolds number always entails a corresponding increase in the quantity λ_R . Recall that λ_R

represents exponential growth rate in space. This says then that stability boundaries are not absolute in character but depend significantly on the "abruptness" of the perturbation in space as measured by parameter λ_R . The greater this abruptness parameter, the lower the Reynolds number at which instability can occur.

Conversely, if the permissible magnitude of λ_R be limited in some definite manner, the reduction that can be achieved in Re)_I, Re)_C and Re)_D will be correspondingly limited as well. In that case, a systematic exploration over appropriate ranges of the parameters A^* , \emptyset^* , and θ should ultimately reveal corresponding ultimate stability limits and, in particular, some critical Reynolds number below which no instabilities occur. Notice, however, that such a critical Reynolds number is never absolute, but is always contingent upon the restriction that has been placed upon parameter λ_p .

1. Restrictions on λ_R

The most obvious and direct restriction that can be placed on $\lambda_{\rm R}$ is simply to limit it to some fixed value or, for study and comparison purposes, to some series of successive fixed values. In general, the boundaries which correspond to incipient, critical and fully developed instability will then depend on the designated value of $\lambda_{\rm R}$. The higher this value, the lower the values of Re at which the above boundaries will occur.

Any such restriction of the magnitude of $\lambda_{\rm R}$ implies a corresponding restriction on κ . To show this, invert Eq. 1-28, solving for κ as a function of $\lambda_{\rm R}$. The result is

$$\kappa^{2} = \frac{\left[\left(\frac{\lambda R}{A^{*}}\right)^{2} - \cos^{2} \emptyset^{*}\right] \left[\left(\frac{\lambda R}{A^{*}}\right)^{2} + \sin^{2} \emptyset^{*}\right]}{\left[\left(\frac{\lambda R}{A^{*}}\right)^{2} - \cos^{2} \emptyset^{*} + \sin^{2} \emptyset^{*}\right]}$$
(1-33)

This relation may be used in connection with Eq. 1-32 to express the final Reynolds number at which the designated stability boundary is reached. For incipient instability, for example, this boundary may be expressed in the form

$$\operatorname{Re}_{I} = \sqrt{\left[\left(\frac{\lambda_{R}}{A}\right)^{2} - \cos^{2} \phi^{*} + \sin^{2} \theta\right]} \left[\left(\frac{\lambda_{R}}{A^{*}}\right)^{2} - \cos^{2} \phi^{*}\right] \left[\left(\frac{\lambda_{R}}{A^{*}}\right)^{2} + \sin^{2} \phi^{*}\right]} \operatorname{Re}_{I}^{*}(A^{*}, \phi^{*}, \theta) \quad (1-34)$$

Analogous expressions apply to the boundaries for critical and fully developed instability.

Equation 1-34 shows quite clearly that the stability condition in question depends on the three characteristic parameters A^* , \emptyset^* , θ of the perturbation as well as on the limiting value assigned to parameter λ_R . This procedure of calculating stability boundaries for various assumed combinations of A^* , p^* , θ and λ_R has been carried out for several typical cases and the detailed results are summarized in Section IV of this paper. Of course, these examples, while representative, merely scratch the surface of the stability problem. The complication remains that the true and ultimate stability boundary represents the lowest possible Reynolds number at which an instability can just occur. This implies that all possible combinations of parameters A^* , p^* and θ must be examined to determine the particular combination which, for a given limit on λ_R , yields a stability boundary at the lowest possible value of Re. In other words, the true stability boundary amounts to the envelope of all the individual stability boundaries.

Each individual boundary is characterized by some specified combination of A^* , \emptyset^* and θ and, of course, also of λ_R . Since there is an unlimited number of such combinations, the amount of calculation involved in establishing the desired stability envelope is prodigious. Needless to say, no such attempt was made in the present thesis to accomplish anything so ambitious.

F. SCOPE OF PRESENT RESEARCH

The present research was restricted to the more modest and realistic aim of calculating stability boundaries for a few specific and typical combinations of A^* , β^* , θ and λ_R . This goal has been successfully attained.

G. PARAMETER G

1. Definition of Parameter

A detailed study of the relations summarized by Eqs. 1-28 through 1-32 reveals the possibility of expressing a restriction on the permissible magnitude of λ_R in a rather subtle and indirect way, through a change of variable. The particular algebraic form which the above relations assume suggests the utility of defining a new parameter, called G, as follows:

$$G^{2} = 1/2 \left\{ \left[(1 - \kappa^{2})^{2} + 4\kappa^{2} \sin^{2} \theta \right]^{1/2} - (1 - \kappa^{2}) \right\}$$
(1-35)

This relation can be readily inverted to give

$$c^{2} = \frac{G^{2}(G^{2} + 1)}{G^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta}$$
(1-36)

2. Utilization of Parameter G

Equations 1-35 and 1-36 may be used to eliminate parameter κ from Eqs. 1-28 through 1-32, replacing it by the new parameter G. In this way the following results are obtained.

The vector amplitudes λ_R and λ_I turn out to be related to the new parameter G in a fairly simple fashion.

Thus

$$\lambda_{\rm R} = A^* [(G^2 + \cos^2 \beta^*)]^{1/2}$$
(1-37)

$$\lambda_{I} = A^{*} [(G^{2} + \sin^{2} \phi^{*})]^{1/2}$$
(1-38)

On the other hand, the angles Λ_R and Λ_I are not simplified by the use of parameter G. Fortunately these quantities are less significant than the preceding ones. The governing equations become

$$\tan^{2}\Lambda_{R} = \frac{(G^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta)(G^{2} - \sin^{2}\beta^{*}) + G^{2}(G^{2} + 1)\sin^{2}(\beta^{*} + \theta)}{G^{2}(G^{2} + 1)\cos^{2}(\beta^{*} + \theta)}$$
(1-39)

and

$$\tan^{2} \Lambda_{I} = \frac{(G^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta) (G^{2} - \cos^{2} \beta^{*}) + G^{2} (G^{2} + 1) \cos^{2} (\beta^{*} + \theta)}{G^{2} (G^{2} + 1) \sin^{2} (\beta^{*} + \theta)}$$
(1-40)

The important Reynolds number relation below is once again simple. For definiteness it is written specifically for the case of incipient instability, by analogy with Eq. 1-34. Similar expressions apply also to the boundaries of critical and fully developed instability. Thus

Re)_I =
$$\sqrt{\frac{G^2 + \sin^2\theta}{G^2(G^2 + 1)}}$$
 Re^{*}_I(A^{*}, \emptyset ^{*}, θ) (1-41)

Equation 1-41 shows that the stability depends on the particular parameters A^* , β^* , θ and on the limiting value assigned to G. Hence G plays a similar role in relation to Eq. 1-41 that λ_R plays in relation to Eq. 1-34.

The results summarized elsewhere in this thesis are presented primarily from the perspective expressed by Eq. 1-34. The alternative version shown by Eq. 1-41 is included in this discussion because of its theoretical interest, but this version is not used in the presentation of calculated results.

Notice that in either version, assuming some assigned limit for λ_R or G, an exploration is still required over the domain of parameters A^* , \emptyset^* and θ to find the particular combination that yields the minimum Reynolds number. Of course, such extensive exploration could not be undertaken in the present thesis owing to time limitations.

H. REDUCTION TO CLASSICAL THEORY

It is pertinent to note that the classical theory of the stability of plane Poiseuille flow amounts to a special case of the more general theory discussed above. It

amounts, in fact, to the special case for which

$$\lambda_{\mathsf{R}} = 0. \tag{1-42}$$

Study of Eq. 1-28 reveals that Eq. 1-42 can be satisfied if and only if we set

$$\theta = 0. \tag{1-43}$$

and

$$\emptyset^* = \frac{\pi}{2} . \tag{1-44}$$

From Eqs. 1-28, 1-32, and 1-42 we may infer also that

$$\kappa = 1 \quad . \tag{1-45}$$

It then follows from Eq. 1-35 that

G = 0.

Moreover, we also find under these conditions that

 $\alpha_{\rm R} = 0$, $\beta_{\rm R} = 0$, and $\beta_{\rm I} = 0$. (1-46)

It is evident that the general theory discussed in this thesis is immensely more comprehensive than the classical theory as limited by Eqs. 1-42 through 1-46.

II. SIMILARITY TRANSFORMATION

The perturbation characteristics are fully defined, as in Ref. 1, by the four real parameters α_R , α_I , β_R , and β_I . α_R and α_I are the components of the complex wave number of the perturbation in the x direction and β_R and β_I are the components of the complex wave number of the perturbation in the z direction.

The above parameters satisfy the following relations:

$$\alpha = \kappa A^* e^{i\emptyset} = \alpha_R + i\alpha_I \qquad (2-1)$$

$$\beta = \sigma A^* e^{i\psi} = \beta_R + i\beta_I \qquad (2-2)$$

A very useful alternative set of parameters is α_R^* , α_I^* , θ and κ .

$$\alpha^{\star 2} = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 . \qquad (2-3)$$

A^{*}, \emptyset^* , α_R^* and α_I^* are defined by

$$\alpha^* = A^* e^{i\beta^*} = \alpha_R^* + i\alpha_I^*.$$
 (2-4)

 α_R^* and α_I^* are the components of α^* , the transformed complex wave number parameter and κ is the transformed perturbation amplitude parameter.

 κ and θ are defined by

$$\alpha = \alpha^* \kappa e^{i\theta}. \tag{2-5}$$

 \textbf{A}^{\star} and κ are positive by definition.

Given the original parameters α_R , α_I , β_R and β_I , the transformed parameters α_R^* , α_I^* , θ and κ may be deduced from equations 2-1 through 2-5 as follows:

$$A^{*} = \left[\left(\alpha_{R}^{2} - \alpha_{I}^{2} + \beta_{R}^{2} - \beta_{I}^{2} \right)^{2} + 4 \left(\alpha_{R}^{\alpha} \alpha_{I} + \beta_{R}^{\beta} \beta_{I} \right)^{2} \right]^{1/4}$$
(2-6)

$$\phi^{*} = 1/2 \arctan\left[\frac{2\left(\alpha_{R}\alpha_{I} + \beta_{R}\beta_{I}\right)}{\alpha_{R}^{2} - \alpha_{I}^{2} + \beta_{R}^{2} - \beta_{I}^{2}}\right]$$
(2-7)

$$cA^* = [\alpha_R^2 + \alpha_I^2]^{1/2}$$
 (2-8)

$$\emptyset = \arctan\left(\frac{\alpha_{\rm I}}{\alpha_{\rm R}}\right) \tag{2-9}$$

$$\alpha_{\rm R}^* = {\rm A}^* \cos \, \emptyset^* \tag{2-10}$$

$$\alpha_{I}^{*} = A^{*} \sin \phi^{*} \qquad (2-11)$$

$$\theta = (\not \theta - \not \theta^*) \tag{2-12}$$

The governing equations of Ref. 1, using the five independent parameters, Re, α_R , α_I , β_R , β_I are as follows:

Using the auxiliary expressions below,

$$T(y) = \frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}{Re} - \alpha \frac{3}{2} (1 - y^2)$$
 (2-13)

$$I(y) = \frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}{Re} + T(y)$$
 (2-14)

$$J(y) = (\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2})T(y) - 3\alpha$$
 (2-15)

the fundamental vorticity equation becomes

$$\left[\frac{1}{\text{Re}} H^{iv} + I(y)H'' + J(y)H\right] - \gamma[H'' + (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)H] = 0. \quad (2-16)$$

The associated vorticity equation is

$$\left[\frac{1}{\text{Re}} G'' + (T(y) - \gamma)G = \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} \left[\frac{1}{\text{Re}} H''' + (T(y) - \gamma)H' - 3\alpha yH\right] . (2-17)$$

The number of independent parameters in equations 2-13 through 2-17 can be reduced to four by utilizing the

following functional transformations.

$$Re = \kappa^{-1} Re^*$$
 (2-18)

$$\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = \alpha^{*2} \qquad (2-19)$$

$$\alpha = \alpha^* \kappa e^{i\theta} \qquad (2-20)$$

$$\gamma = \kappa \gamma^* \tag{2-21}$$

$$H(y) = \kappa^{-1} e^{-i\theta} H^{*}(y)$$
 (2-22)

$$G(y) = \kappa^{-1} e^{-i\theta} \beta G^{*}(y)$$
 (2-23)

Substitution of Eqs. 2-18 through 2-23 into the general solution, Eqs. 2-13 through 2-17 yields the three auxiliary functions

$$T^{*}(y) = \frac{\alpha^{*2}}{Re^{*}} - \alpha^{*}e^{i\theta} \frac{3}{2}(1-y^{2})$$
(2-24)

$$I^{*}(y) = \frac{\alpha^{*2}}{Re^{*}} + T^{*}(y)$$
(2-25)

$$J^{*}(y) = \alpha^{*2} T^{*}(y) - 3\alpha^{*} e^{i\theta}. \qquad (2-26)$$

The principal vorticity transport equation becomes

$$\left[\frac{1}{Re} + \frac{1}{Re} + \frac{1}{Y} +$$

The associated vorticity transport equation becomes

$$\left[\frac{1}{\text{Re}}G^{*''} + (T^{*}(y) - \gamma)G^{*} = \frac{1}{\alpha^{*2}}\frac{1}{\text{Re}}H^{*'''} + (T^{*}(y) - \gamma^{*})H^{*'} - 3\alpha^{*}e^{i\theta}yH^{*}\right] . \qquad (2-28)$$

Equations 2-24 through 2-28 now involve only four parameters Re^{*}, α_{R}^{*} , α_{I}^{*} and θ . κ , the fifth parameter, has cancelled out. κ becomes part of the solution again during the reverse transformation of results from starred parameters to the original parameters.

III. STABILITY CRITERION

A. BACKGROUND

Studies of the stability of Poiseuille flow have used various criteria for determining the stability of the flow from the solutions obtained. The growth rate in time, γ_{p} , is usually used when there is no real-exponential spatial variation [Salven and Grosch, 1972]. When exponential growth in space has been included [Garg and Rouleau, 1971] the real part of the spatial wave number has been used to give the instability but this procedure, while seemingly plausible at first inspection, cannot be really justified with any rigor. The Lagrangian approach described below is believed to be a superior method for dealing with this case. In other cases, stability has arbitrarily been evaluated with respect to a frame of reference moving downstream at the phase velocity of the perturbation but again, this procedure has no strict rational justification, and especially so in connection with the fully three-dimensional perturbations considered in this thesis.

B. LAGRANGIAN REFERENCE

For perturbations that are both oscillatory and have exponential rates of growth or decay in the streamwise and transverse directions a Lagrangian frame of reference proves useful. The fluid particles have velocities varying

from 0 to 1.5 depending on their distance, y, from the walls. The velocity distribution is given by

$$U = \frac{3}{2}(1 - y^2) \quad . \tag{3-1}$$

Consider a coordinate system moving in the x direction with the mean velocity of a given fluid particle. Let y be the mean vertical coordinate of the moving particle. Then the velocity of the moving reference frame is the same as the velocity of the streamline along which the above particle moves and is given by Eq. 3-1. Let x', y, z, t be the coordinates and α , β , γ' the complex wave numbers with respect to the moxing axes. The form of the perturbation vector potential for a given eigenvalue obtained as a solution is

$$\overline{W} = jG(y) + kH(y) \exp(\alpha x + \beta z + \gamma t) . \qquad (3-2)$$

The complex frequency γ' seen from this moving reference is different than from a fixed frame. To relate γ' to γ the perturbation vector potential is written in the moving frame and transformed into the form for the fixed frame.

$$\overline{W} = (\overline{j}G + \overline{k}H) \exp(\alpha x' + \beta z + \gamma't)$$

$$= (\overline{j}G + \overline{k}H) \exp(\alpha (x - Ut) + \beta z + \gamma't)$$

$$= (\overline{j}G + \overline{k}H) \exp(\alpha x + \beta z + (\gamma' - \alpha U)t)$$

$$= (\overline{j}G + \overline{k}H) \exp(\alpha x + \beta z + \gamma t) \qquad (3-3)$$

Therefore

$$\gamma' - \alpha U = \gamma \tag{3-4}$$

Solving for γ ' and splitting into real and imaginary parts yields

$$\gamma_{\rm R}^{\prime} = \gamma_{\rm R}^{\prime} + \alpha_{\rm R}^{\prime} U \qquad (3-5)$$

$$\gamma'_{I} = \gamma_{I} + \alpha_{I} U \qquad (3-6)$$

If γ_R^* is positive, zero, or negative, the perturbation is said to be unstable, neutral, or stable, respectively, with respect to the moving reference frame. Thus, the value of γ_R^* is taken to be a measure of the stability of each eigenvalue obtained.
C. TRANSFORMED STABILITY CRITERION

Consider now the transformation to the starred parameters. The condition of stability is determined by the value of γ_R^\prime which Eq. 3-5 gives as

$$\gamma_{\rm R}^{\prime} = \gamma_{\rm R}^{\prime} + \alpha_{\rm R}^{\prime} U \quad (3-5)$$

Now

$$\gamma_{R}^{*} = \kappa \gamma_{R}^{*}$$

$$\gamma_{R} = \kappa \gamma_{R}^{*}$$

$$(3-7)$$

$$\alpha_{R} = \kappa \alpha_{R}^{*}$$

Substitution of Eqs. 3-7 into Eq. 3-5 yields

$$\gamma_{R}^{*} = \gamma_{R}^{*} + \alpha_{R}^{*} U \qquad (3-8)$$

 $\alpha_{\rm R}^{\star}$ is defined by Eq. 2-10 as $\alpha_{\rm R}^{\star} = A^{\star} \cos(\phi^{\star} + \theta)$ (2-10)

Therefore

$$\gamma_{R}^{*} = \gamma_{R}^{*} + A^{*}\cos(\phi^{*}+\theta)$$
 (3-9)

The three stability boundaries, incipient, critical, and fully developed are determined by the condition that exists when $\gamma_R^{\star'} = 0$. Setting Eq. 3-9 equal to zero and solving for γ_R^{\star} yields

$$\gamma_{\rm R}^{*} = U {\rm A}^{*} \cos(\phi^{*} + \theta)$$
 (3-10)

Now incipient instability corresponds to zero growth rate with respect to a coordinate system which moves with the flow velocity at the wall, which is zero.

$$\gamma_{\rm R}^{*}$$
 = 0 (3-11)

Critical instability corresponds to zero growth rate with respect to a coordinate system which moves with the mean velocity of the flow, which is unity.

$$(3-12)_{\rm C}^{*} = -A^{*}\cos(\phi^{*}+\theta)$$

Fully developed instability corresponds to zero growth rate with respect to a coordinate system which moves with the velocity of the flow on the centerline.

$$\gamma_{\rm R}^{*})_{\rm D} = -\frac{3}{2} {\rm A}^{*} \cos{(\phi^{*}+\theta)}$$
 (3-13)

IV. RESULTS

A. TRANSFORMED PARAMETERS

Equations 2-24 through 2-28 were solved on the IBM-360 computer and the most unstable growth rate, γ_R^* , for a given \emptyset^* , θ , Re^{*}, A^{*} was obtained. The boundaries for incipient and critical instability were determined by the criteria explained in Section II. Fully developed instability did not occur for the case studies. Two values of \emptyset^* were studied at various values of θ , Re^{*}, and A^{*}.

1.
$$\beta^{\circ} = 90^{\circ}$$

Values of θ explored were 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6°. Because one-degree increments of θ yield graphical results that are extremely cluttered, this study will present the results only for $\theta = 0$, 3, and 6°. Figure 4-1 shows the stability boundaries for $\beta^* = 90^\circ$. The effect of changing θ , while holding β^* constant, can be seen. An increase in θ causes a degrease in Re^{*} for both incipient and critical instability. Also, for a given θ , the boundary for critical instability occurs at a higher Re^{*} than does the boundary for incipient instability.

There is only one curve for $\theta = 0^{\circ}$. In this case the criteria for incipient, critical, and fully developed instability turn out to be identical. Equation 3-10 shows

$$\gamma_{\rm R}^* = -UA^* \cos(\phi^* + \theta)$$
 (3-10)



and $\cos(90^\circ + 0^\circ) = 0$; thus the criterion for stability on the three boundaries is $\gamma_R^* = 0$.

Note that the boundary of incipient instability for $\theta = 3^{\circ}$ shows an abrupt distoncinuity represented by segment ab. This discontinuity is similar to that obtained by Harrison. It is expected that extension of the incipient boundary for other values of θ would reveal the same characteristic.

Figures 4-2 and 4-3 are plots of the growth rate, γ_R^* , versus Re^{*} for θ = 3° and 6°, respectively. Both plots show the locus of points that represent the boundaries of incipient and critical instability. It can be seen that fully developed instability is not reached even at Re^{*} = 100,000.

$$2. \quad \cancel{p}^{\circ} = 95^{\circ}$$

Due to a lack of time only two values of θ were explored, $\theta = 0$ and 3°. A comparison of Fig. 4-4 with 4-1 shows that the stability contours follow much the same pattern for both cases. However, increasing \emptyset^* to 95° causes a corresponding decrease in Re^{*}.

Figures 4-5 and 4-6 show the growth rate as a function of Re^{*} for θ = 0 and 3°, respectively. The locus of points that represent the boundaries of incipient and critical instability can again be seen. Fully developed instability is not reached at Re^{*} = 100,000.



. 38











B. RESULTS TRANSFORMED TO UNSTARRED PARAMETERS

In order to present the results in a manner consistent with Ref. 1, it is necessary to transform the results from starred to unstarred parameters. This transformation puts the results in a more easily understandable form.

From Fig. 4-7 the following relations can be deduced.

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_R}{A^*}\right)^2 = 1/2(\kappa^2 + [(1-\kappa^2)^2 + 4\kappa^2 \sin^2\theta]^{1/2} + \cos^2\theta^*) \quad (4-1)$$

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_{I}}{A^{*}}\right)^{2} = 1/2(\kappa^{2} + [(1-\kappa^{2})^{2} + 4\kappa^{2}\sin^{2}\theta]^{1/2} - \cos 2\emptyset^{*}) \qquad (4-2)$$

$$\tan^{2}\Lambda_{R} = \frac{\left[(1-\kappa^{2})^{2}+4\kappa^{2}\sin^{2}\theta\right]^{1/2}+\cos 2\theta^{*}-\kappa^{2}\cos 2(\theta^{*}+\theta)}{\kappa^{2}\left[1+\cos 2\theta^{*}+\theta\right]}$$
(4-3)

$$\tan^{2}\Lambda_{I} = \frac{[(1-\kappa^{2})^{2}+4\kappa^{2}\sin^{2}\theta]^{1/2}-\cos 2\theta^{*}+\kappa^{2}\cos 2(\theta^{*}+\theta)}{\kappa^{2}[1-\cos 2(\theta^{*}+\theta)]}$$
(4-4)

 $\lambda_{\rm R}$ and $\Lambda_{\rm R}$ are the magnitude and direction, respectively, of the perturbation and growth vector. $\lambda_{\rm I}$ and $\Lambda_{\rm I}$ are the magnitude and direction, respectively, of the perturbation oscillation vector.

1. Perturbation Rate Vectors, Magnitude

Figure 4-8 shows G as a function of Re/Re^{\circ} with θ as an independent parameter; the curves are valid for all



Figure 4.7. Vector Diagram for Parameter Transformation



.

values of \emptyset^* . For any fixed value of θ , G decreases as the Reynolds number ratio increases. In the case of $\theta = 0^\circ$ G decreases with increasing Reynolds number ratio until Re/Re^{*} = 1. G then maintains a constant value of zero for further increases in the Reynolds number ratio. Although values of θ above 6° were not explored, values of θ up to 60° are shown here to demonstrate the trend as θ increases.

2. Perturbation Growth Rate Vectors, Direction

The quantities of $\tan^2 \Lambda_R$ and $\tan^2 \Lambda_I$ are fixed by Eqs. 4-2 and 4-3, respectively. However, if $\tan^2 \Lambda_R$ be specified, this does not fix Λ_R uniquely as there are four angles, one in each quadrant, which have the specified value of $\tan^2 \Lambda_R$. Similar considerations apply also to the other angle Λ_I . Hence, to determine Λ_R and Λ_I uniquely it is necessary to consult auxiliary relations which fix the quadrant in which these angles really fall.

The components of $\lambda_{\rm R}$ which fix angle $\Lambda_{\rm R}$ are

$$\alpha_{\rm R} = \lambda_{\rm R} \cos \Lambda_{\rm R} = \kappa A^* \cos \left(\phi^* + \theta \right) \tag{4-5}$$

and

$$\beta_{R} = \lambda_{R} \sin \Lambda_{R} = \sigma A^{*} \cos \psi . \qquad (4-6)$$

The components of λ_{T} which fix angle Λ_{T} are

$$\alpha_{I} = \lambda_{I} \cos \Lambda_{I} = \kappa A^{*} \sin(\phi^{*} + \theta) \qquad (4-7)$$

and

$$\beta_{I} = \lambda_{I} \sin \Lambda_{I} = \sigma A^{*} \sin \psi . \qquad (4-8)$$

Moreover, in this study, the angle $(p^*+\theta)$ has been restricted to lie in the second quadrant so that

$$\alpha_{\rm p} \leq 0 \tag{4-9}$$

$$\alpha_{T} \ge 0 \tag{4-10}$$

Recall that negative values of α_R were shown by Harrison to be destabilizing. That is why the present study is restricted to negative values of α_R .

In order to determine the algebraic signs of components β_R and β_I , it is necessary to bracket the range of the angle ψ . A study of Fig. 4-7 reveals that for positive values of θ , the following limits apply.

$$\lim_{\kappa \to 0} = \emptyset^* \tag{4-11}$$

$$\lim_{\kappa \to 0} = (\emptyset^* + \theta) - \frac{\pi}{2}$$
(4-12)

It is also evident that the x-y plane is a plane of symmetry and that therefore a reversal of the perturbation characteristics with respect to the z axis is permissible and leaves the essential features of the solution otherwise unchanged. This amounts to saying that the angle ψ can always be changed by ±180°, with no significant effect upon the solution except for a reversal of the perturbations with respect to the plane of symmetry. For definiteness in this discussion, however, we limit the angle ψ as incicated by Eqs. 4-9 and 4-10. It is then evident that the above auxiliary relations, along with the basic relations of Eqs. 4-3 and 4-4, now suffice to fix $\Lambda_{\rm R}$ and $\Lambda_{\rm I}$ uniquely for any assigned values of the parameters \emptyset^* , θ and κ .

Figure 4-9 shows Λ_R as a function of Re/Re^{*} for $\phi^* = 90^\circ$ with θ as an independent parameter. This plot shows a constant value of $\Lambda_R = 90^\circ$, for $\theta = 0^\circ$ and Re < Re^{*}. When Re > Re^{*}, the vector magnitude, λ_R is zero. For all other values of θ the perturbation growth rate vector, $\overline{\lambda}_R$, rotates from near the transverse to near the upstream direction as Re/Re^{*} increases.

Figure 4-10 shows the rotation of the perturbation growth rate vector as Re/Re^{*} increases, for $\beta^* = 95^\circ$. Note that when $\beta^* = 90^\circ$, Λ_R varies between 90° and 180° whereas when $\beta^* = 90^\circ$, Λ_R varies between 90° and 270°.

3. Perturbation Oscillation Rate Vectors, Direction

Figures 4-11 and 4-12 are similar plots showing the rotation of the oscillation rate vector with changing Re/Re^* for $p^* = 90^\circ$ and 95°, respectively. Comparing











Fig. 4-11 with 4-9, for $p^* = 90^\circ$ and $\theta = 0^\circ$ both Λ_R and Λ_I have constant values when Re/Re^{*} < unity.

4. Stability Boundaries in Unstarred Parameters

Figures 4-13 and 4-14 show the stability boundaries for unstarred parameters. λ_R is 0.05 for both plots. A comparison with Figs. 4-1 and 4-4 shows that the character of the boundaries remains unchanged. However, Reynolds number is greater than starred Reynolds number.

Although it is not shown here, it was found that increasing $\lambda_{\rm R}$ causes the boundaries to move to the left and up. In other words, an increase in $\lambda_{\rm R}$ causes an increase in $\lambda_{\rm I}$ and a decrease in Reynolds number.



Figure 4-13. Stability Boundaries for \emptyset



V. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The research reported here is based largely on the theory developed by Harrison in Ref. 1. However, this theory is further extended in the present work by the introduction of a useful similarity transformation. The transformation reduces the number of independent parameters required in the computer solution from five to four and thereby significantly reduces computation time.

In Ref. 1, Harrison showed that negative values of α_R are destabilizing. The results presented here show that, for negative values of α_R , the critical Reynolds number for plane Poiseuille flow can be lowered indefinitely by proper selection of perturbation characteristics, provided that the corresponding increase in λ_R is acceptable. Thus the stability boundaries are not absolute in character but depend significantly on the "abruptness" of the perturbation in space as measured by parameter λ_R . The lowering of the critical Reynolds number in this way provides one possible explanation for the disagreement between earlier theory and experiment.

The stability of the flow along a particular streamline has been shown to depend on its velocity. Negative values of α_R yield the greater instabilities and streamlines with the lowest velocities, those nearest the walls, will be the most unstable. This seems to agree with experiment.

According to Schlichting, transition from laminar to turbulent flow is "characterized by an amplification of the initial disturbances and by the appearance of selfsustaining flashes which emanate from fluid layers near the wall along the tube."

Instability was found to be progressive in nature and two of the three defined stability boundaries were located, incipient and critical. Further research needs to be done for a wider range of parameters A^* , \emptyset^* , and θ to find the combinations that correspond to minimum Reynolds numbers at the above stability levels.

APPENDIX A

USE OF THE COMPUTER PROGRAM

It was found extremely useful to precompile the program on a disk thereby avoiding the inconveniences of reading in the complete card deck for each run. An additional advantage is a reduction in turn-around time of considerable magnitude. The following will give procedures and hints that can be found in the W. R. Church Computer Center but require timeconsuming search.

1. Pre-compiling Program

To compile the program, the following was read into the system // Green Job Card,Time =(0,59) // EXEC FORTCL

// FORT.SYSIN DD *

/*

Program Card Deck goes here. (no data) //LINK.SYSLMOD DD DSNAME-S2593.LIB(POIS),DISP=(NEW,KEEP) // UNIT=2321,VOLUME=SER=CEL006,LABEL=RETPD=220, // SPACE=(CYL,(6,1,1),RLSE)

2. Program Execution

Once the program is compiled, running the program consists of punching data cards in the namelist format and reading them in with the following deck of cards.

// Green Job Card,Time=(0,59)
// GO EXEC PGM=POIS,REGION=178K
//STEPLIB DD DSNAME=S2593.LIB,DISP=SHR,
// VOLUME=SER=CEL006,UNIT=2321
//FT06F001 DD sysout=A,DCB=(RECFM=FBA,LRECL=133,b1ksize=3325)
//FT05F001 DD *
&LIST N=30,REY=3000,TH=.052360,ASTAR=1.8,PHIS=95,&END
/*

Note: Column 1 is blank on the list card.

Three decks of these cards were used with each having a different job name, i.e., NEWBY 64A, NEWBY 64B, and NEWBY 64C. This proved useful as three jobs could be loaded at one time and one could keep track of what was already printed and what remained to be processed. It was also found to be useful to have three sets of job cards with each set having a different time. For Time=(0,59), 59 sec., one list card (data) was inserted. This was used for quick turn-around time and only a few points were being explored. For Time=(2,00), 2 minutes, three list cards could be read in and for Time=(4,00) six list cards could be used. Occasionally the four-minute time parameter would terminate execution after five list cards had been processed.

3. Program Alteration after Compilation

If changes were to be made in the program the file was scratched and a new file established with the changes

incorporated. To scratch the program on file the following deck was used.

// Green Job Card
// EXEC PGM=IEHPROGM
//SYSPRINT DD SYSOUT=A
//DD1 DD UNIT=2321,VOL=SER=CEL006,DISP=SHR
//SYSIN DD *
SCRATCH VOL=2321=CEL006,DSNAME=S2593.LIB,PURGE

/*

Note: The scratch card begins in column 3.

In all three decks the name of the program (POIS) appears. The choice of a program name is an individual choice but once chosen it must appear the same in all card decks. The only other item that appears with uniqueness is the individual user number. In the context of this paper that number was 2593 and must agree with the user number on the job card.

There are two possible selections on input parameters for obtaining data. Both are used in this study. It is possible to select values of \emptyset^* , θ , Re^{*}, and vary A^{*} to find a point. This method was used first and worked well when obtaining a solution from the computer. The problem arises when interpreting and transforming the results. It proves useful to have values for fixed A^{*} and this method does not provide this easily.

An alternative technique is to select \emptyset^* , θ , A^* , and then vary Re^{*}. To construct Figures 3-1 through 3-6 the fixed A^{*} technique provides data in an easily usable form.

APPENDIX B

CHANGES TO COMPUTER PROGRAM IN REFERENCE 1

The following changes were made to the computer program in Ref. 1 to convert to starred parameters.

1. Program #1

Statement number 0002 (COMPLEX *16 A,B) was deleted and two type declaration statements (REAL*8 TH) and (COMPLEX*16 A) were inserted. The namelist statement, number 0008, was revised to read: NAMELIST / LIST /N,REY, TH,ASTAR,PHIS,VEL. Statement number 0018, B = DCMPLX(BR,BI) was deleted and the following statements added: PHI = PHIS/57.2958, AR = ASTAR * (DCOS(PHI)), AI = ASTAR *(DSIN (PHI)), THD = (TH*180.0)/3.141592654. Other changes to program #1 were those required to write out the revised inputs.

2. Subroutine DEIGEO

One small change was made to this subroutine due to the fact that values required by external functions CHM1E1 and CHM2E1 were passed by DEIGEO. Statement 0010 of DEIGEO, B = BETA, was deleted and TH = THETA was inserted. The common statement and type declaration statements were revised to incorporate the change to starred parameters.

3. Functions CHM1E1 and CHM2E1

External functions CHM1E1 and CHM2E1 required extensive modification as follows:

```
The type declaration statement (REAL*8 TH,DUR) was added.
CH4M1(Y) = A/REY was changed to CH4M1(Y) = A*EI/REY.
CH2M1(y) was changed to equal
    -1.5DO*A**2*EI2*(1DO-y**2)+2DO*AEI*(A**2)/REY .
CHOM1(Y) was changed to equal
    -AEI*((A**2)*(1.5DO*AEI*(1DO-Y**2)-(A**2)/REY)
    +3DO*AEI)
CH2M2(Y) = A changed to CH2M2(Y) = AEI.
The following statements were added after CH2M2(Y) and
ENTRY CHM2E1(k,Y):
    DUR = 0.0
    DU = DCMPLX(DUR,TH)
```

- EI = CDEXP(DU)
- AEI = A*EI
- E12 = CDEXP(2*DU).

• PROGRAM #1 PROGRAM THE 3-D TO PRINT EIGENVALUES D POISEULLE FLOW PROBLEM FOR THIS PROGRAM SOLVES THE LINEARIZED NAVIER STOKES ECUATION FOR POISEULLE FLOW. THE EIGENVALUES RESULTING FROM THE FINITE DIFFERENCE APPROXIMATION AFE PRINTED. INPUT THE FOLLOWING MAY BE INPUT TO THE PROGRAM AS DATA USING NAMELIST, 'LIST'. NOTE, THE DEFAULT VALUES ARE ONLY SET INITIALLY AND VALUES SET BY THE USER WILL NOT BE CHANGED BETWEEN RUNS N - HALF OF THE NUMBER OF FINITE DIFFERENCE POINTS ACROSS THE CHANNEL NOT INCLUDING THE POINTS. N MUST BE LE. MDIM, WHICH IS THE DIMENSION OF THE MATRICES IN THIS PROGRAM. DEFAULTED TO THE VALUE OF NDIM, THAT IS THE DIMENSION OF THE MATRICES. SEE PROGRAM BELL THE DEFAULT VALUE. GRID BELOW FOR THE * REYNOLDS NUMBER (REAL*8) = 6000.0 REY -DEFAULT VALUE = AR, AI - THE THE STARRED DEFAULTED TO AND IMAGINARY PARTS NUMBERS (REAL*8) AND 1.0 RESPECTIVELY REAL WAVE OF VEL - THE VELOCITY OF THE MOVING COORDINAT REFERENCE SYSTEM FOR WHICH THE STABILITY I DETERMINED. (REAL*8) DEFAULTED TO 0.0 NT E I S **OUTPUT** THE OUTPUT OF THIS PROGRAM IS A TABULATION OF THE EIGENVALUES. TWO LISTS ARE PRINTED, ONE FOR THE EIGENVALUES CORRESPONDING TO EVEN EIGENFUNCTIONS AND ONE FOR THOSE CORRESPONDING TO ODD EIGEN-FUNCTIONS. THE STABLLITY OF EACH EIGENVALUE IS PRINTED AND THE LEAST STABLE EIGENVALUE IS MARKED WITH ASTERISKS. A PLOT OF THE EIGENVALUES IS ALSO PRINTED. SUERGUT INES THIS PROGRAM CALLS THE SUBROUTINE 'DEIGED' TO SOLVE FOR THE EIGENVALUES. SUBROUTINE 'PLCTP' IS USED TO PLOT THE EIGENVALUES ON THE PRINTER PRINTER. IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,G REAL*8 TH CCMPLEX*16 A REAL*4 GR4(60),GI4(60) REAL*8 GRE(60),GIE(60),GRO(60),GIC(60) CDMPLEX*16 XMAT(60,30,3) COMPLEX*16 YMAT(60,30),WVEC(60),BMAT(5,60) EQUIVALENCE(YMAT(1,1),XMAT(1,1,3)), (BMAT(1,1),XMAT(1,1,3)), (BMAT(1,1),XMAT(1,1,3)), (WVEC(1),XMAT(1,6,3)) * (WVEC(1),XMAT(1,6,3)) * (UVEC(1),XMAT(1,6,3)) . . .

```
INITIALIZE VARIABLES (SET DEFAULT VALUES)
C
           MDIM =
                        60
           N = 60

REY = 600000

TH = 000
           VEL = ODO
CCC
   READ NAMELIST AND SET ALPHA AND ASTAR
           READ(5,LIST,END=100)

PHI = PHIS/57.2958

AR = ASTAR *(DCOS(PHI))

AI = ASTAR * (DSIN(PHI))

A = DCMPLX(AR,AI)

THD = (TH*180.0)/3.141592654
        1
CC
   PRINT INPUT VALUES AS PAGE HEADING FOR EIGENVALUE LIST
 WRITE(6,9004)
9004 FCRMAT('1')
WRITE(6,250) PHIS
250 FORMAT('0',' PHI STAR =',2X,F10.7)
WRITE(6,9005) N,REY,A,THD,VEL
9005 FCRMAT(' N =',I4,/,' REY =',F10.2,8X,'ALPHA =',
2F12.7,8X,'THETA =',F12.7,/,'VEL =',F7.2)
WRITE(6,9055)ASTAR
9055 FORMAT('0','A-STAR =',F12.7)
CALL SUBROUTINE TO SOLVE FOR EIGENVALUES.
                   DEIGED(A,TH,REY,N,MDIM,GRE,GIE,GRO,GIO,XMAT,YMAT,
BMAT,WVEC)
           CALL
         *
CCC
    DETERMINE WHICH EIGENVALUE IS THE LEAST STABLE.
           TEMP = -1D10
MARK = 1
С
           DC 20 I=1,N
IF(GRO(I)+AR*VEL.LT.TEMP) GO TO
TEMP_= GRO(I)+AR*VEL
                                                                         20
            ITEMP =
                           I
           CCNTINUE
      20
C
           DO 40 I=1,N
IF(GRE(I)+AR*VEL.LT.TEMP) GO TO 40
TEMP = GRE(I)+AR*VEL
ITEMP = I
      40 CENTINUE
CCC
    LIST
             EIGENVALUES FOR ODD EIGENFUNCTIONS
           WRITE(6,9003)
FORMAT(///,6X,'GAMMA REAL',5X,'GAMMA IMAG',12X,'STAB')
WRITE(6,9006)
FORMAT('OEIGENVALUES FOR ODD EIGENVECTORS',/)
  9003
  9006
C
           DC 50 I=1,N
TEMP = GRO(I)+AR*VEL
WRITE(6,9000) GRO(I),GIO(I),TEMP
IF(I.EQ.ITEMP.AND.MARK.EQ.1) WRITE(6,9001)
CONTINUE
FORMAT('0',1P2D15.4,1PD20.4)
FORMAT('+',52X,'***')
  90 JO
  9031
CCCC
    LIST
              EIGENVALUES FOR EVEN EIGENVECTORS.
          WRITE(6,9007)
FORMAT('OEIGENVALUES FOR EVEN EIGENVECTORS',/)
DO 55 I=1,N
  9007
```

```
TEMP = GRE(I)+4R*VEL

WRITE(6,9000) GRE(I),GIE(I),TEMP

IF(I.EQ.ITEMP.AND.MARK.EQ.2) WRITE(6,9001)

55 CCNTINUE

C DUT EIGENVALUES INTO SINGLE PRECISION VECTORS TO PASS TO

SUBROUTINE TO DO PLOTTING FOR ODD FUNCTIONS.

C0 60 I=1,N

GR4(I) = SNGL(GRD(I))

60 GI4(I) = SNGL(GRD(I))

60 GI4(I) = SNGL(GI0(I))

CALL PLOTP(GR4,GI4,N,0)

WRITE(6,9005) N.REY,A,THD,VEL

WRITE(6,9055) ASTAR

C SIMILARLY PLOT EIGENVALUES FOR EVEN EIGENFUNCTIONS.

C GC 65 I=1,N

GR4(I) = SNGL(GRE(I))

65 GI4(I) = SNGL(GRE(I))

65 GI4(I) = SNGL(GIE(I))

WRITE(6,9004)

CALL PLOTP(GR4,GI4,N,0)

WRITE(6,9055) ASTAR

C IDC 65 I=1,N

GC TO 1

IJO GC TO 1

IJO WRITE(6,9004)

STOP

END

C STOP STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

STOP

ST
```

• • • • • • • • • • • • •	SUBROUTINE	DEIGEO	
PURPOSE			
FOR POISEU STARRED WA REYNULDS N	LLE FLOW. THE VE NO., ALPHA,	THETA *, AN	
USAGE			
	O(AL PHA, THETA, ODD, CDM, DM, BM,		M, WREVEN, WIEVER
DESCRIPTION	OF PARAMETERS		
	ING MUST BE SE ETA, REYND, N, MO		LLING PROGRAM.
ALPHA - TH DIRECTIO	E PERTURBATION N (X). (COMPL		R IN THE FLOW
	E REYNOLDS NUM)
(ND-1)/2 ACROSS T PROBLEM THE EIGE EIGENFUN	ACROSS THE HAL	HE NUMBER OF NOTEDEI(F CHANNEL TI PONDING TO F FOR THOSE	F DIVISIONS Geo Solves The
MDIM - THE DEIGEO U		TON OF THE P	MATRICES WHICH
THE FOLLOW	ING ARE OUTPUT IEVEN, WRODD, WI	BY DEIGED	
WREVEN,WIE EIGENVAL		AND IMAGIN	ARY PARTS OF T EVEN T LEAST N.
EIGÉNVAL	D - THE REAL A UES CORRESPOND CTIONS. DIMEN	DING TO THE (Y PARTS OF THE Odd T least N.
THE FOLLOW WORKSPAC	ING MATRICES &	UST BE INPUT	T TO DEIGED AS
BM(5,MDI	,MDIM) (COMPL MDIM) (REAL*8 M) (COMPLEX*1 (COMPLEX*16)	.6)	
NOTES			
	ES CAN BE OVER E, FOR N = 60		ONSERVE SPACE,
COM	PLEX*16 CDM(60 PLEX*16 DM(60 IVALENCE (DM(1 (BM(1) WVEC	30), WV(60),	,3)), ,3)),
NOTE THAT	IT IS ONLY THE THAT IS IMPOR		

OTHER ROUTINES NEEDED CCCCCCCCCC THE FOLLOWING ARE CALLED BY DEIGED CHM1E1, CHM2E2, MSET, CDMTIN, BMSET, MULDBM, CSPLIT, EFESSC, ELRHIC SUEROUTINE DEIGED(ALPHA, THETA, REYNO, N, MDIM, WREVEN, WIEVEN, WRODD, WIGCD, CDM, DM, BM, WV) IMPLICIT COMPLEX*16(A-H, 0-2) DIMENSION IVEC(100) REAL*8 WREVEN(1), WIEVEN(1), WRODD(1), WIODD(1) REAL*8 CDM(1), DM(1), BM(1), WV(1) REAL*8 REY, DELY, REYNO REAL*8 TH, THETA CCMMON / COEFNT / A, TH, G, REY, DELY EXTERNAL CHM1E1, CHM2E1 * CCCCCCCC THIS SUBROUTINE SOLVES THE EQUATION YV = GXV X AND Y ARE MATRICES, V IS THE EIGENVECTOR AND EIGENVALUE. THE EIGENVALUES ARE DETERMINED AN BACK TO THE CALLING PROGRAM IN WRODD, WIDDD, W XV WHERE AND G IS THE AND PASSED WREVEN ĀND WIEVEN. CCC SET UP MATRIX X FOR ODD EIGENVECTORS. CALL MSET(CDM, N, MDIM, 1, CHM2E1) CCC INVERT MATRIX X. CALL COMTIN(N,COM,MDIM,DETERM) 000 SET UP MATRIX Y IN BAND STORAGE MODE FOR ODD EIGENVECTORS. CALL BMSET(BM, N, MDIM, 1, CHM1E1) 00000 TO CONVERT MULTIPLY MATRIX Y BY THE INVERSE OF MATRIX X TO THE STANDARD EIGENVALUE PROBLEM WHICH HAS (Z-G)V = 0 where Z = (Y)(INVERSE(X)). TO CALL MULDBM(CDM, BM, N, 5, MDIM, WV) CCCC SPLIT MATRIX INTO REAL AND IMAGINARY PARTS AND CALL THE SUBROUTINES TO FIND THE EIGENVALUES. CALL DSPLIT(N, MDIM, CDM, CDM, DM) CALL EHESSC(CDM, DM, 1, N, N, MDIM, IVEC) CALL ELRHIC(CDM, DM, 1, N, N, MDIM, WRCCD, WIDDC, INERR, IER) IF(INERR.NE.O) WRITE(6,900) INERR, IER FCRMAT('OERROR NUMBER', I7,' ON EIGENVALUE', I7,///) 9000 REPEAT THE SOLUTION FOR EIGENVALUES FOR THE EVEN EIGENVECTORS CALL MSET(CDM,N,MDIM,2,CHM2E1) CALL CDMTIN(N,CDM,MDIM,DETERM) CALL BMSET(BM,N,MDIM,2,CHM1E1) CALL MULDBM(CDM,BM,N,5,MDIM,AV) CALL DSPLIT(N,MDIM,CDM,CDM,DM) CALL SHESSC(CDM,DM,1,N,N,MDIM,IVEC) CALL SHESSC(CDM,DM,1,N,N,MDIM,IVEC) CALL ELRH1C(CDM,DM,1,N,N,MDIM,WREVEN,WIEVEN,INERR,IER) IF(INERR.NE.O) WRITE(6,9000) INERR,IER ENC ENC
```
.....SUBROUTINE MULDBM.....
          PLRPOSE
             MULDBM PERFORMS THE MATRIX MULTIPLICATION BETWEEN A
SQUARE MATRIX X AND A BANDED MATRIX XB WHICH HAS
BEEN SET UP BY SUBROUTINE BMSET. THE RESULT IS
PLACED BACK IN X. THAT IS...
                                  N X. THAT
X = (X)(XB)
          USAGE
             CALL MULDBM(X,XB,N,NB,MDIM,TEMPV)
          DESCRIPTION OF PARAMETERS
             THE FOLLOWING MUST BE SET BY THE CALLING PROGRAM.
N,MDIM,NB,X,XB,TEMPV
             N - THE SIZE OF THE MATRICES.
             MDIM - THE DIMENSIONS OF THE MATRICES IN THE CALLING
                PROGRAM.
             NB - THE NUMBER OF BANDS IN THE BANDED MATRIX, XB.
             X - THE SQUARE N BY N MATRIX. CIMENSIONED
(MDIM, MDIM) IN THE CALLING PROGRAM (COMPLEX*16)
                           N BY N MATRIX WHICH IS STORED IN
DIMENSIONED (NB, MDIM) IN CALLING
             XB - THE
                                                                             IN
                                                                                  BANDED
                          - N
                FORM. DIMEN
(COMPLEX*16)
                                                                                 PROGRAM.
             TEMPV - A VECTOR WORKSPACE WHICH MUST BE PROVIDED
THE CALLING PROGRAM. DIMENSIONED AT LEAST (N).
(COMPLEX#16)
                                                                                PROVIDED BY
             THE FOLLOWING IS OUTPUT BY MULCEM.
                - SET TO THE PRODUCT OF X AND XB (MDIM,MDIM)
(COMPLEX#16)
          REQUIRED ROUTINES
             NONE
          SUBROUTINE MULDBM(X,XB,N,NB,MDIM,TEMPV)
CCMPLEX*16 X(MDIM,MDIM),XB(NB,MDIM),TEMPV(MCIM),TEMP
NBHM = (NB-1)/2
NBHP = (NB+1)/2
CCC
   LCCP EVER INDEX I
          CO 100 I=1,N
CCCC
   STERE COLUMN I OF MATRIX X TEMPORARILY
          \frac{\text{CC 10 } \text{J=1,N}}{\text{TEMPV(J)} = X(I,J)}
     10
FIND FRODUCTS FOR FIRST NBHM SPECIAL CASES, THAT IS WHERE THE BANDED MATRIX DOES NOT HAVE ITS FULL WIDTH
                                                                                       WHERE
          CC 22 J=1,NBHM
TEMP = (OCO,ODO)
JJ = NBHM + J
CC 21 K=1,JJ
JJJ = JJ-K+1
```

,

```
21 TEMP = TEMP+TEMPV(K)*XB(JJJ,K)
22 X(I,J) = TEMP
CG
CGMPUTE PRODUCTS FOR "REGULAR" COMBINATIONS CF ROWS AND
CGUMMNS, THAT IS, THOSE THAT ARE NOT TRUNCATED
AT THE BEGINNING OR END BY THE BOUNDARIES
JF = N-NBHM
CO 32 J=NBHP,JF
TEMP = (OCC,ODC)
CO 31 K=1,NB
JJJ = NB-K+1
31 TEMP = TEMP+TEMPV(J-NBHP+K)*XB(JJJ,J-NBHP+K)
32 X(I,J) = TEMP
C FIND PRODUCTS FOR LAST NBHM SPECIAL CASES.
DC 42 J=1,NBHM
TEMP = (ODO,ODO)
JJ = NB-J
DC 41 K=1,JJ
DC 41 K=1,JJ
C 100 CCNTINUE
RETURN
ENC
```

.....SUBROUTINE MSET PLRPOSE MSET SETS UP A MATRIX FOR THE FINITE DIFFERENCE PROBLEM OF POISEULLE FLOW WITH THE PROPER BOUNDARY CONDITIONS FOR THE VELOCITY VECTOR POTENTIAL FOR VISCOUS FLOW. USAGE CALL MSET(X, N, MDIM, MODE, CFMAT) CESCRIPTION OF PARAMETERS THE FOLLOWING MUST BE SET BY THE CALLING PROGRAM N, MDIM, MODE, CEMAT - THE SIZE OF THE MATRIX. IF MODE=0, N TO THE NUNBER OF POINTS OF THE FINITE D MESH ACROSS THE CHANNEL NOT INCLUDING T BOUNDARIES. IF MODE=1 OR MODE=2, N IS CNE-HALF OF THE NUMBER OF INNER POINTS FULL CHANNEL. IN THIS CASE, THE CHANNE CIVIDED INTO AN EVEN NUMBER OF POINTS S WILL BE AN INTEGER. N IS EQUAL DIFFERENCE EQUAL TO ACROSS TH ACROSS TH EL MUST BE SC THAT N To N THE MDIM -MUST THE COLUMN DIMENSION OF THE MATRIX X. MDIM .GE. N. ΒE MODE - IF MODE=O, THE MATRIX IS SET UP FOR THE CHANNEL. IF MODE=1 OR MODE=2, THE MATRIX IS UP FOR THE HALF CHANNEL AND THE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS ARE SET SUCH THAT THE ODD OR EVEN EIGENFUNCTIONS, RESPECTIVELY, ARE SOLVED FOR FULL Set EVEN FOR. CFMAT - THE NAME OF A FUNCTION SUBPROGRAM WITH TWO PARAMETERS, K AND Y, INDICATING WHICH TERM OF THE FINITE DIFFERENCING IS DESIRED, AND THE POSITION RELATIVE TO THE CENTER OF THE CHANNEL. MUST BE DECLARED EXTERNAL IN THE CALLING PROGRAM. (COMPLEX*16) THE FOLLOWING IS OUTPUT BY MSET COEFFICIENTS MUST BE ING PROGRAM. - THE N BY N MATRIX INTO WHICH OF THE FINITE DIFFERENCING ARE DIMENSIONED (MDIM, MDIM) IN THE THE COE PUT. M CALLING X -(COMPLEX*16) NCTES ... THE EIGENVALUES AND VECTORS OBTAINED BY USING MSET TWICE, WITH MGDE=1 AND MGDE=2, ARE THE SAME AS USING MSET ONCE WITH MODE=0, BUT WITH N TWICE AS LARGE. OTHER ROUTINES NEEDED THE FUNCTION SUBPROGRAM NAME PASSED IN 'CEMAT'. NONE - EXCEPT THE PARAMETER SUBROUTINE MSET(X, N, MDIM, MODE, CFMAT) REAL*8 REY, Y, DELY, DFLOAT CCMPLEX*16 A, G REAL*8 TH CCMMON / COEFNT / A, TH, G, REY, DELY CCMPLEX*16 CFMAT COMPLEX*16 X(MDIM, MDIM)

```
SIZE FOR FINITE DIFFERENCE MESH ACROSS
OR FULL CHANNEL
      CCMPUTE GRID
HALF CHANNEL
                 DELY = 2DO/DFLOAT(N+1)
IF(MODE.EQ.1.OR.MODE.EQ.2)
                                                                                              DELY = 2DO/DFLCAT(2*N+1)
C
C
C
      CHECK IF MATRIX DIMENSIONED LARGE ENOUGH
                IF(N.LE.MDIM) (
WRITE(6,9000)
FCRMAT('0* * *
ENOUGH *
                                                         GO TO
                                                                         1
                                                            ERROR
   9000
                                                                            -
                                                                                 ARRAYS NOT DIMENSIONED LARGE',
              *
                                                      * *
                                                                *!)
                  STOP
CCC
      ZERO ENTIRE MATRIX
                CC 10
DC 10
X(I,J)
                               I=1,N
J=1,N
) = (0D0,0D0)
            1
         10
CCCC
      DC SPECIAL CASE AT DISTANCE DELY FROM CHANNEL WALL
INCLUCING BOUNDARY CONDITIONS
                 Y = 1DO-DELY

X(1,1) = CFMAT(3,Y)+CFMAT(1,Y)

X(1,2) = CFMAT(4,Y)

X(1,3) = CFMAT(5,Y)
LCCC
                                                           DISTANCE 2*DELY FROM CHANNEL WALL
CONDITIONS
      DO SPECIAL CASE AT
INCLUCING BOUNDARY
      DO
                 Y = 1D0-2D0*DELY
X(2,1) = CFMAT(2,Y)
X(2,2) = CFMAT(3,Y)
X(2,3) = CFMAT(4,Y)
X(2,4) = CFMAT(5,Y)
00000
     DO ALL REGULAR POINTS IN BETWEEN, THAT
OF Y FOR WHICH ALL 5 FINITE DIFFERENCE
INTERIOR TO THE CHANNEL
                                                                                                                   IS,
GRID
                                                                                                                                THOSE VALUES
POINTS ARE
                 IL = N-2
DO 20 I=3,IL
K = I-3
Y = 1D0-DELY*DFLOAT(I)
DC 20 J=1,5
X(I,K+J) = CFMAT(J,Y)
         20
FINALLY DO THE TWO SPECIAL CASES WHICH OCCUR EITHER AT
THE CENTER OF THE CHANNEL OR AT THE OTHER WALL, DEPENDING
ON THE VALUE OF MODE. BOUNDARY CONDITIONS ARE SET UP
DEFENDING ON MODE
                  Y = 100-DELY*DFLOAT(N-1) 
 X(N-1,N-3) = CFMAT(1,Y) 
 X(N-1,N-2) = CFMAT(2,Y) 
 X(N-1,N-1) = CFMAT(2,Y) 
 X(N-1,N) = CFMAT(3,Y) 
 X(N-1,N) = CFMAT(4,Y) 
 IF(MODE • EQ • 1) X(N-1,N) = 
 IF(MODE • EQ • 2) X(N-1,N) = 
                                                                                 = CFMAT(4,Y)-CFMAT(5,Y)
= CFMAT(4,Y)+CFMAT(5,Y)
С
                  \begin{array}{l} Y = 100 - DELY \# DFLOAT(N) \\ X(N,N-2) = CFMAT(1,Y) \\ X(N,N-1) = CFMAT(2,Y) \\ IF(MODE * EQ * 1) X(N,N-1) = CFMAT(2,Y) - CFMAT(5,Y) \\ IF(MODE * EQ * 2) X(N,N-1) = CFMAT(2,Y) + CFMAT(5,Y) \\ X(N,N) = CFMAT(3,Y) + CFMAT(5,Y) \\ IF(MODE * EQ * 1) X(N,N) = CFMAT(3,Y) - CFMAT(4,Y) \\ IF(MODE * EQ * 2) X(N,N) = CFMAT(3,Y) + CFMAT(4,Y) \\ IF(MODE * EQ * 2) X(N,N) = CFMAT(3,Y) + CFMAT(4,Y) \\ \end{array} 
С
                 RETURN
```

C	ENC SUBROUTINE BMSET
000	PLRPOSE
	THE PURPOSE OF BMSET IS EXACTLY THAT OF MSET EXCEPT THAT BMSET TAKES ADVANTAGE OF THE BANDED NATURE OF THE FINITE DIFFERENCE MATRICES TO CONSERVE SPACE.
CCC	USAGE
ČC	CALL BMSET(X,N,MDIM,MODE,CFMAT) DESCRIPTION OF PARAMETERS
	THE PARAMETERS FOR BMSET ARE THE SAME AS THOSE FOR
COC	MSET WITH THE EXCEPTION THAT THE MATRIX X MUST BE DIMENSIONED (5, MDIM) IN THE CALLING PROGRAM.
	NCTE: THE PROCEDURE IS IDENTICAL TO THAT OF MSET. COMMENTS HAVE THEREFORE NOT BEEN INCLUDED IN BMSET.
č	
	SUBROUTINE BMSET(X,N,MDIM,MODE,CFMAT) REAL*8 REY,Y,DELY,DFLOAT CCMPLEX*16 CFMAT
	CCMPLEX#16 A,G CCMPLEX#16 X(5,MDIM)
	RÉAL [‡] 8 TH CCMMON / CDEFNT / A,TH,G,REY,DELY DELY = 2D 0 /DFLOAT(N+1)
	IF(MODE.EQ.1.OR.MODE.EQ.2) DELY = 2D0/DFLCAT(2*N+1) IF(N.LE.MDIM) GO TO 1 WRITE(6,900C)
9 0 00	FCRMAT('O* * * ERROR - ARRAYS NOT DIMENSIONED LARGE', *
1	STCP DC 10 I=1,5 DC 10 J=1,MDIM
10	X(I,J) = (0D0,0D0) Y = 1D0-DELY
	$\dot{X}(3,1) = CFMAT(3,Y)+CFMAT(1,Y)$ X(4,1) = CFMAT(4,Y) X(5,1) = CFMAT(5,Y)
	Y = 1DO-2DO*DELY X(2,2) = CFMAT(2,Y)
	X(4,2) = CFMAT(4,Y) X(5,2) = CFMAT(5,Y)
	IL = N-2 EO 20 I=3,IL Y = 1D0-DELY*DFLOAT(I)
20	DC 20 J=1,5 $X(J,I) = CFMAT(J,Y)$
	Y = $1DO-DELY*DFLOAT(N-1)$ X(1,N-1) = CFMAT(1,Y) X(2,N-1) = CFMAT(2,Y)
	X(3,N-1) = CFMAT(3,Y) X(4,N-1) = CFMAT(4,Y)
	$IF(MODE \cdot EQ \cdot 1) X(4, N-1) = CFMAT(4, Y) - CFMAT(5, Y)$ $IF(MODE \cdot EQ \cdot 2) X(4, N-1) = CFMAT(4, Y) + CFMAT(5, Y)$ Y = 100 - DELY + DFLOAT(N)
	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
	$IF(MODE \cdot eQ \cdot 1) X(2,N) = CFMAT(2,Y) - CFMAT(5,Y)$ $IF(MODE \cdot eQ \cdot 2) X(2,N) = CFMAT(2,Y) + CFMAT(5,Y)$ X(3,N) = CFMAT(3,Y) + CFMAT(5,Y)
	$IF(MODE \cdot EQ \cdot 1) X(3,N) = CFMAT(3,Y) - CFMAT(4,Y)$ IF(MODE \cdot EQ \cdot 2) X(3,N) = CFMAT(3,Y) + CFMAT(4,Y)

RETURN ENDSUBROUTINE DSPLIT..... • PURPOSE DSPLIT TAKES A MATRIX OF COMPLEX*16 NUMBERS AND SPLITS IT INTO TWO MATRICES, ONE CONTAINING THE PART OF THE ORIGINAL MATRIX, AND ONE CONTAINING IMAGINARY PART. REAL USAGE CALL DSPLIT(N, MDIM, A, AREAL, AIMAG) DESCRIPTION OF PARAMETERS N - THE SIZE OF THE MATRIX A, AN N BY N SQUARE MATRIX. MDIM - THE COLUMN DIMENSION OF MATRIX A A - THE INPUT MATRIX. MUST AT LEAST N IN THE CALLING BE DIMENSIONEC MDIM BY PROGRAM (COMPLEX*16) AREAL, AIMAG - THE OUTPUT MATRICES CONTAINING REAL AND IMAGINARY PARTS, RESPECTIVELY, OF MATRIX A. MUST BE DIMENSIONED (MDIM, MDIM) CALLING PROGRAM. THE IN THE NGTES ... MATRIX A AND MATRIX AREAL MAY OVERLAP IF THEY ARE DIMENSIONED IN THE CALLING PROGRAM AS FOLLOWS... COMPLEX*16 A(MDIM,MDIM) REAL*8 AREAL(MDIM,MDIM),AIMAG(MDIM,MDIM) EQUIVALENCE(A(1,1),AREAL(1,1)) OTHER ROUTINES NEEDED NONE SUBROUTINE DSPLIT(N,MDIM,A,AR,AI) REAL*8 A(2,MDIM,MDIM),AR(MDIM,MDIM),AI(MDIM,MDIM) С С RETURN ENC

```
SUBROUTINE COMTIN (CATEGORY F-1)
            PURPOSE
                  INVERT A COMPLEX*16 MATRIX
            USAGE
                  CALL CDMTIN(N,A,NDIM,DETERM)
            DESCRIPTION OF PARAMETERS
                                     ORDER OF COMPLEX*16 MATRIX TO BE INVERTED (INTEGER) MAXIMUM 'N' IS 100
                  N
                                     COMPLEX*16
INVERSE OF
                                                          INPUT MATRIX (DESTROYED). T
"A" IS RETURNED IN ITS PLACE
                  Α
                                                                                                                  THE
                                                                       H 'A' IS DIMENSIONED
'A' ACTUALLY APPEARING
STATMENT OF USER'S
                                     THE SIZE TO WHICH
(ROW DIMENSION OF
IN THE DIMENSION
CALLING PROGRAM)
                  NDIM
                                     COMPLEX*16 VALUE OF DETERMINANT OF 'A'
RETURNED BY COMTIN.
                  DETERM -
            REMARKS
                                      ' MUST BE A COMPLEX*8
'4' IS SINGULAR THAT
BE .LE. NDIM
                  MATRIX 'A'
IF MATRIX
'N' MUST B
                                                                                 GENERAL
MESSAGE
                                                                                                   MATRIX
IS PRINTED
                                     8E
            SUBROUTINES AND FUNCTIONS REQUIRED
                  ONLY BUILT-IN FORTRAN FUNCTIONS
            METHOD
                  GAUSSIAN ELIMINATION WITH COLUMN PIVOTING IS USED.
THE DETERMINANT IS ALSO CALCULATED. A DETERMINANT
OF ZERO INDICATES THAT MATRIX 'A' IS
SINGULAR.
                                                                    ....
            SLEROUTINE COMTIN (N,A,NDIM,DETERM)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
COMPLEX*16 A(NDIM,NDIM),PIVOT(100),AMAX,T,SWAP,
DETERM,U
INTEGER*4 IPIVOT(100),INDEX(100,2)
REAL*8 TEMP,ALPHA(100)
          *
CCCC
               INITIALIZATION
            CETERM = (1D0,0D0)

DC 20 J=1,N

ALPHA(J) = 0D0

CC 10 I=1,N

ALPHA(J)=ALPHA(J)+A(J,I)*DCONJG(A(J,I))

ALPHA(J)=DSQRT(ALPHA(J))

IFIVOT(J)=C

GO 6GO I=1,N
       10
       20
C
C
C
               SEARCH FOR PIVOT ELEMENT
            AMAX = (0C0,0D0)
D0 105 J=1,N
IF (IPIVOT(J)-1)
C0 100 K=1,N
IF (IPIVOT(K)-1)
                                               60,105,60
       60
                                               80,100,740
```

TEMP=AMAX*DCONJG(AMAX)-A(J,K)*DCONJG(A(J,K)) 80 IF(TEMP) 85, IRGW=J ICCLUM=K 85, 100 85 AMAX=A(J,K)CONTINUE CONTINUE IPIVOT(ICOLUM)=IPIVOT(ICOLUM)+1 100 105 CCC INTERCHANGE ROWS TO PUT PIVOT ELEMENT ON DIAGONAL IF(IRJW-ICOLUM) 140, 260, 140 DETERM=DETERM CD 200 L=1,N SWAP=A(IROW,L) A(IROW,L)=A(ICOLUM,L) A(ICOLUM,L)=SWAP SWAP=ALPHA(IROW) ALPHA(IROW)=ALPHA(ICOLUM) ALPHA(ICOLUM)=SWAP INDEX(I,1)=IROW INDEX(I,2)=ICOLUM PIVOT(I)=A(ICOLUM,ICOLUM) U=PIVOT(I) 140 200 260 U=PIVOT(I) TEMP=PIVOT(I)*DCONJG(PIVOT(I)) IF(TEMP) 330, 720, 330 CCC CIVIDE PIVOT ROW BY PIVOT ELEMENT 330 350 CCC REDUCE NON-PIVOT ROWS CO 550 L1=1,N IF(L1-ICOLUM) 400, 550, 400 T=A(L1,ICOLUM) A(L1,ICOLUM) = (0C0,0D0) CO 450 L=1,N U=A(ICOLUM,L) A(L1,L)=A(L1,L)-U*T CONTINUE 380 400 450 550 CONTINUE 600 C C C INTERCHANGE COLUMNS CC 710 I=1,N L=N+1-I IF(INDEX(L,1)-INDEX(L,2)) 630, 710, 630 JROW=INDEX(L,1) JCOLUM=INDEX(L,2) CC 705 K=1,N SWAP=A(K,JRCW) A(K,JROW)=A(K,JCOLUM) A(K,JCOLUM)=SWAP CONTINUE CONTINUE RETURN DG 710 I=1,N 620 630 705 ŻĨĆ RETURN WRITE(6,730) FORMAT(20H 720 730 740 MATRIX IS SINGULAR) RETURN END

ç.	EHESSC	.D	• • •	• •	• • •	• • •	• • •	• •	• • •	• • •		• •	• •				
CC	FUNCTION			RE	DUC	TI(QF			MP	LEC	Хі		RIX	ΤO	
	USAGE PARAMETERS	AR	-	IN	N R			UI	MA	718		0	1-	LIM:	EN 2 1	UN	
000000	EHESSC FUNCTION USAGE PARAMETERS PARAMETERS PARAMETERS LANGUAGE LATEST REVISE				ON COM BER POR RED		PÚT NEN FOR DN FIO	TS M AN N	ONI OF IN CI IN		NSEED WE		HEDR	REJ CEL IAN(LS C IAN(AL D H8 GUL4 DF T GUL4	ESSE AR THE AR	N
LUUU		AI	-	IN	PUR PUT CON	/01 TA	JN. JTP INI	UT NG	N T I	BY HE	'N IM	MAG	AT IN	RIX Ary	COL	JNTE	२
00000		К		IN	PAR PUT AND ELE SCA	MEI	DLU NT	MN T C	IN Be	ND E E R	EX ED	0 F UC		THE HE S BY ED	E RO STAP ROV	DW RTIN N	G
NOCO NOCO NOCO NOCO NOCO NOCO NOCO NOCO		L	-	IN	MAT PUT AND ELE	RI (S(C(ME)	CES CAL DLU NT	S AR MN	ET CC		A I	N I D F	ŇĢ		122) F N	
		N	_	TAL	SCA MAT	RIC	CES	S	ET	K K	18A = 		NC	tu tua	: 01	RDER	
		IA		IN	OF PUT	THE S(E M Cal	ATI	RI) CC	X T JNT	O AI	BENI	R I NG	EDU(ROV	SED. V	•	
CCC		ID	- 1	ົມ	DIM CAL TPU		NG	PR	DG R f	2 A M 7 F	1. I.F.	NG		I IN L (HE Fain	
CCC					ÍNĞ TRA NGL	NSI	= I A FOR	MA	5 L T I (DF DN.	тн	Ε					
UCC		IBILITY	-	Τ.,		AIF			W .	C⊦	101						
C.	LATEST REVIS	ION	-	F.	BRU	AR	Y 7	••	19	73	••	••	••	• • • •	• • •	••••	•
C C	SUBROUT INE	EHESSC	(Δ	R ,	AI,	K,1	L , N	, I.	Α,Ξ	ID)		`					
C	DIMENSION DOUBLE PREC COMPLEX*16	CISION	A	R , ,	IA, AI,	1) XR	,ΔΙ ,ΧΙ	(I ,Y	A , : R , Y	l), /I,	ID T1	(1), 2,	71 (2 ZERC	2) ,	2(2)
	CCMPLEX*16 EQUIVALENCI 1	≡ (X,	-T1	,Ý (1),X	R)	, (T	1(2)	,XI),	(Y	• T	2(1)),YF	?) ,	
	CATA LA=L-1		Ż	ÉŘ	(2) 0/0	. 0i	bó /										
	KP1=K+1 IF (LA LT)	KP1) (30	то	45												
	DO 40 M=KP: I=M XR=ZERO																
	XI=ZERO DO 5 J=/	M.L.					- / .						-				
	⇒ DAI	BŠ (AR (J) BS (XR) +[D 5	DAB	1) S()+D XI)	AB	S (A	Ι (Jţł	M—]	.))	• L	E.				
	XI = AI) 5 R(J,M-1 I(J,M-1)														
	5 CONTINUE 5 CONTINUE TD(M)=T	E															
C C	ID(M)=I IF (I •)	EQ. M) (60	TO II	20 NTE	RC	HAN	GĘ	R	JWS	A	ND	C		INS		
C	MM1=M-1 DO 10 J:	=MM1 • N						Д	K K J	4 Y 2	ς Δ	R	ANI	D A1	L		
	YR=AF AR(I	R(I,J) ,J)=AR(N ,J)=YR	1, J)													
	ALLA	- IA															

```
Y I = AI (I, J)
AI (I, J) = AI (M, J)
AI (M, J) = YI
DO 15 J = 1, L
YR = AR(J, I)
AR (J, I) = AR (J, M)
AR (J, I) = AR (J, M)
AR (J, I) = AR (J, M)
AI (J, I) = AI (J, M)
AI (J, I) = AI (J, M)
AI (J, I) = YI
DO 35 I = MP1, L
YR = AR (I, M-1)
YI = AI (I, M-1)
YI = AR (I, M-1)
YI = AI (I, M-1)
YI = AR (I, M-1)
YI = AR (I, M-1)
YI = AI (I, M-1) = YR
AI (I, M-1) = YR
AI (I, J) = AR (I, J) - YR * AR (M, J) + YI * AI (M, J)
AI (I, J) = AR (I, J) - YR * AI (M, J) + YI * AI (M, J)
AI (I, J) = AI (I, J) - YR * AI (M, J) - YI * AR (M, J)
AI (J, M) = AI (J, M) + YR * AI (J, I) - YI * AR (J, I)
AI (J, M) = AI (J, M) + YR * AI (J, I) + YI * AR (J, I)
AI (J, M) = AI (J, M) + YR * AI (J, I) + YI * AR (J, I)
AI (J, M) = AI (J, M) + YR * AI (J, I) + YI * AR (J, I)
AI (J, M) = AI (J, M) + YR * AI (J, I) + YI * AR (J, I)
AI (J, M) = AI (J, M) + YR * AI (J, I) + YI * AR (J, I)
AI (J, M) = AI (J, M) + YR * AI (J, I) + YI * AR (J, I)
AI (J, M) = AI (J, M) + YR * AI (J, I) + YI * AR (J, I)
AI (J, M) = AI (J, M) + YR * AI (J, I) + YI * AR (J, I)
AI (J, M) = AI (J, M) + YR * AI (J, I) + YI * AR (J, I)
AI (J, M) = AI (J, M) + YR * AI (J, I) + YI * AR (J, I)
AI (J, M) = AI (J, M) + YR * AI (J, I) + YI * AR (J, I)
AI (J, M) = AI (J, M) + YR * AI (J, I) + YI * AR (J, I)
AI (J, M) = AI (J, M) + YR * AI (J, I) + YI * AR (J, I)
AI (J, M) = AI (J, M) + YR * AI (J, I) + YI * AR (J, I) + YI * AR
```

С

	_RH1C	D		• • •		••	••	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	•	• •	• • •	• • •		
บนการกรรรกรรรรรรรรรรรรรรรรรรรรรรรรรรรรรร	FUNCTION		- (4	A C!	OMI	PLI	лс Е Х	C U	FPP	A L E R	L H	E I E S	GE	EN EN	V A I B E F	LUE RG	es c)F
	USAGE		-	CAL	1AT L [NF	EL	RH:	10	(H	R ,	ΗI	, к	, L	. • 1	۹,	IH	, WR	.,WI	9
	PARAMETER	HR	-	TALC	TIIC	M	A T 1		Y	OF THILE	E X	I M RE HE	ENAL					NTS	XIX
		ΗI	-	- F	AK	15	- 11		HE.	9	4 B	UV.	= •			UTI N RY I			ER
		К	-	I N F E		SI ND		7 A	IN		NT X	AI Fü	N I R	NO TH	4 5	II	VPL	.OWE	
		к	-	INF		S ND	CAL AR'	Y	IN	DE.	Х	50	ININ DR TI ALAN		HE.	11	VPU	JPPE JT RIC	
Č		N	-	INF	SET	L	CAI	_N _A	Ŗ	со	NT					TH	5 0	RDE	R
CCC		IH	-	INF	DF PUT DIM	TH S	E 1 CAI	4 A _ A	TR R	CO	NT	AI	NI	NO		TH	ER		JT.
UUUU		WR		TPU	IN JT ING	TH VE C				IN F NT	G L E S	PR NG DF		52) - 1 H	A M N E	ເວັ	NTA	IN	119
LCC		WI	οu	1	EIĞ JT ING	- I.	MAI	GΙ	NA	RY	LEC	NG GM	TH	 N8	N (E N			IN TH	ŧΕ
000000000000000000000000000000000000000		INFER	-	001 100	EIG TPU DE	EN.	VAI SC		ES	• C	лc	TA	IN	II		ТІ		INE	
				(GEN N	ER = 1	ATI RPNIEDV		IOA REUUU	HATET IAI	ED ED ED ED ED ED ED ED ED ED ED ED ED E	ERTIN	MH IR CT				C D L AFT J- DT	JR JL JL TER TH BEI EI	30 S0
Č C	PRECISION REQID IMSL R	OUTINES	-	SIN	NGL		DŎ	ĴΒ	ĽĒ	0		Ŭ	9.1	- 1 ()					
C	CODE RESPONS LANGUAGE	IBILITY	-	T. FOR	J. RŤR	A I AN	RD.	/ E	• W	•	СН	00							
	LATEST REVIS	ION	• - •	MAS	RC H	• •	2,	• 1	97	•?•	• •	••	• •	•	• •	• •			
	SUBROUTINE	ELRH1C	(н	R,H	HI,	к,	١,	N,	ΙH	, W	R,	WI	, I	N	FĒ	R,	IER	٤)	
С	DIMENSION DIMENSION COMPLEX*16		T X	1(7	IH, 2), ,Z	T 2	(2),	Т3	(2)								
	CCMPLEX*16 DOUBLE PRED DCUBLE PRED EQUIVALENC	CISION H CISION Z E	HR, ZER (HI 0,0 X, Y,	WR.	;T 1) 1)	WO ,XI ,YI	, S R) R)	R , (, (SI T1 T2	, X (2 (2	R,),),	XI XI	3	Y R. •	, T: , R:	3,F I,T	RDEL	Ē
	DATA DATA ZERO	D, ONE, RO	T	WÓI	12.	0D	0/								00	00	000)/	
	INFER=0 IER=0 D0 5_I=1,N																		
	IF (I WR(I)=H WI(I)=H 5 CONTINUE	R(I,I)	AND	•	I.	LE	•	L)	G	G	τo	5							

```
NN=L
TR=ZERO
                 ŤĨ=ŽĒRO
                       (NN .LT. K) GD TO 9005
С
                 IF
         10
                 ITS=0
NM1=NN-1
                                                                    TO 25
LOOK FOR SINGLE SMALL
ELEMENTS
                 TF.
                       (NN .EQ. K)
                                                           GO
C
                                                                                                                                  SUB-DIAGONAL
        15 NPL=NN+K
DC 20 LL=K,NM1
M=NPL-LL
MM1=M-1
                           F (DABS(HR(M,MM1)) + DABS(HI(M,MM1)) .LE.
RDELP*(DABS(HR(MM1,MM1)) + DABS(HI(MM1,MM1)) +
DABS(HR(M,M)) + DABS(HI(M,M)))) GO TO 30
                          IF
              12
                CONTINUE
M=K
IF (M .E
IF (ITS
         20
25
30
                         (M . EQ. NN) GO TO 110
(ITS .EQ. 30) GO TO 115
               IF (ITS .EQ. 30) GU TU IIS
FORM SHIFT
IF (ITS .EQ. 10 .OR. ITS .EQ. 20) GO TO 35
SR=HR(NN,NN)
SI=HI(NN,NN)
XR=HR(NM1,NN)*HR(NN,NM1)-HI(NM1,NN)*HI(NN,NM1)
XI=HR(NM1,NN)*HR(NN,NM1)+HI(NM1,NN)*HR(NN,NM1)
IF (XR .EQ. ZERO .AND. XI .EQ. ZERO) GO TO 40
YR=(HR(NM1,NM1)-SR)/TWO
Z=CDSQRT(DCMPLX(YR**2-YI**2+XR,TWD*YR*YI+XI))
IF (YR*ZR+YI*ZI .LT. ZERO) Z=-Z
X=X/(Y+Z)
SR=SR-XR
SI=SI-XI
GC TO 40
SR=DABS(HR(NN,NM1))+DABS(HR(NM1,NN-2))
SI=DABS(HI(NN,NM1))+DABS(HI(NM1,NN-2))
DC 45 I=K,NN
HR(I,I)=HR(I,I)-SR
HI(I,I)=HR(I,I)-SI
CONTINUE
TK=TR+SR
TI=TI+SI
ITS=ITS+1
LOOK FOR TWO CONSECUTIVE SMAL
                                                                                                    FORM SHIFT
С
         35
         40
         45
                LOOK FOR TWO CONSECUTIVE SMALL
SUB-DIAGONAL ELEMENTS
YR=DABS(HR(NM1,NM1))+DABS(HI(NM1,NM1))
ZR=DABS(HR(NN,NM1))+DABS(HI(NN,NM1))
ZR=DABS(HR(NN,NN))+DABS(HI(NN,NN))
NMJ=NM1-M
IE (NM1-FO
CC
                        (NMJ .EQ. 0) GO TO
FOR
                 IF
                                                                                55
MM=NN-1 STEP -1 UNTIL M+1 DO
С
                         50 J=1,NMJ
MM=NN-J
                 DO
                         M1 = MM - 1
                          YI=YR
                          YR = DABS(HR(MM, M1)) + DABS(HI(MM, M1))
                          XI = ZR
                          ZR = XR
                         XR=DABS(HR(M1,M1))+DABS(HI(M1,M1))
IF (YR.LE.RDELP*ZR/YI*(ZR+XR+XI)) GD TO 60
                CONTINUE
         50
55
С
                                                                                                    TRIANGULAR DECOMPOSITION
         60
                 MP1=MM+1
                 DO 85 I=MP1, NN

      IM1=I-1

      XR=HR(IM1,IM1)

      XI=HI(IM1,IM1)

      YR=HR(I,IM1)

      YI=HI(I,IM1)
```

```
IF(UABS(XR)+DABS(XI).GE.DABS(YR)+DABS(YI)) G
INTERCHANGE ROWS GF HR AND HI
ZR=HR(IM1,J)
HR(IM1,J)=HR(I,J)
HR(IM1,J)=HR(I,J)
HR(I,J)=ZR
ZI=HI(IM1,J)
HI(IM1,J)=HI(I,J)
HI(I,J)=ZI
CONTINUE
Z=X/Y
WR(I)=ONE
GO TC 75
Z=Y/X
WR(I)=-ONE
HR(I,IM1)=ZI
DO 80 J=I,NN
HR(I,J)=HR(I,J)-ZR*HR(IM1,J)+ZI*HI(IM1,J)
HI(I,J)=HI(I,J)-ZR*HI(IM1,J)+ZI*HR(IM1,J)
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
                           IF(DABS(XR)+DABS(XI).GE.DABS(YR)+DABS(YI)) GO TO 70
INTERCHANGE ROWS OF HR AND HI
С
         65
         70
         75
         80
         85
С
                                                                                                         COMPOSITION
                  DO
                          105 J=MP1,NN
                           JM1=J-1
                          XR=HR(J,JM1)
XI=HI(J,JM1)
HR(J,JM1)=ZERO
HI(J,JM1)=ZERO
                                                                     INTERCHANGE COLUMNS OF HR AND HI IF
NECESSARY
ZERO) GO TO 95
C
                          NECESSARY

IF (WR(J) .LE. ZERO) GO TO 95

DO 90 I=M,J

ZR=HR(I,JM1)

HR(I,JM1)=HR(I,J)

HR(I,J)=ZR

ZI=HI(I,JM1)

HI(I,JM1)=HI(I,J)

HI(I,J)=ZI

CONTINUE

DO 100 I=M,J

HR(I,JM1)=HR(I,JM1)+XR*HR(I,J)-XI*HI(I,J)

HI(I,JM1)=HI(I,JM1)+XR*HI(I,J)+XI*HR(I,J)

CONTINUE

TINUE
         90
95
      100
                 CONTINUE
GO TO 15
      105
С
                                                                                                          A RECT FOUND
                 WF(NN)=HR(NN,NN)+TR
WI(NN)=HI(NN,NN)+TI
NN=NM1
      110
                  GC
                         TO 10
                                                                                 ERROR-NO CONVERGENCE TO AN
EIGENVALUE AFTER 30 ITERATIONS
C
                                                                      SET
      115
                  INFER=NN
                  INFERENN
IERE129
CONTINUE
CALL UERTST (IER,6HELRH1C)
RETURN
END
   9000
   9005
```

SUBROUTINE UERTST (IER, NAME) ----LIBRARY-----UERTST----ERROR MESSAGE GENERATION CALL UERTST(IER,NAME) ERROR PARAMETER. TYPE + N WHERE TYPE= 128 IMPLIES TERMINAL ERROR 64 IMPLIES WARNING WITH FIX 32 IMPLIES WARNING N = ERROR CODE RELEVANT TO CALLING ROUTINE. INPUT VECTOR CONTAINING THE NAME OF THE CALLING ROUTINE AS A SIX CHARACTER LITERAL STRING. FUNCTION USAGE PARAMETERS _ -I ER NAME FORTRAN LANGUAGE LATEST REVISION - JANUARY 18, 1974 SLEROUTINE UERTST(IER, NAME) ITYP(5,4),IBIT(4) NAME(3) WARN,WARF,TERM,PRINTR (IBIT(1),WARN),(IBIT(2),WARF),(IBIT(3), TERM) /'WARN','ING(','WITH','FIX'.') 'TERM','ING(','WITH','FIX'.') 'J2,64,128,01 NTR / 6/ DIMENSION INTEGER*2 INTEGER EQUIVALENCE ≭ CATA ITYP * * * IBIT DATA IER2=IER IF (IER2 PRINTR .GE. WARN) GO TO - 5 С NON-DEFINED IER1=4TO 20 (IER2 ĞĈ .LT. 5 TERM) GO TO 10 С TERMINAL IER1=3 GO TO 20 IF (IER2 10 .LT. WARF) GO TO 15 С WARNING(WITH FIX) IER1=2 GC TO 20 С WARNING 15 IER1=1С EXTRACT 'N' 20 IER2=IER2-IBIT(IER1) PRINT ERROR MESSAGE WRITE (PRINTR,25) (ITYP(I,IER1),I=1,5),NAME,IER2,IER FORMAT(' *** I M S L(UERTST) *** ',5A4,4X,3A2,4X,I2, (IER = ',I3,')') С 25 * RETURN

ł

Current Control C CHM1E1 AND CHM2E1 RETURN THE VALUES (COMPLEX*16) OF THE COEFFICIENTS FOR THE MATRICES IN THE FINITE DIFFERENCE FORM OF THE LINEARIZED NAVIER-STOKES EQUATION FOR POISEUILLE FLOW. BOTH FUNCTIONS RESULT FROM THE LINEAR COMBINATION OF EQUATION 1 AND EQUATION 3 TO ELIMINATE THE VELOCITY VECTOR POTENTIAL COMPONENT G AND ARBITRARILY SETTING THE COMPONENT F TO ZERO. SJ, THEY APE THE COEFFICIENTS FOR THE VECTOR POTENTIAL COMPONENT H. CHM2E1 RETURNS THE TERMS WHICH ARE COEFFICIENTS OF THE EIGENVALUE, GAMMA, AND CHM1E1 RETURNS THE REMAINING TERMS. AND CHM2E1 MUST BE DECLARED COMPLEX*16 IN PROGRAM) BE SET BY THE CALLING - INDICATES THE POINT ON THE FINITE DIFFERENCE MESH RELATIVE TO THE CENTRAL POINT IN THE CENTRAL DIFFERENCING SCHEME. IF THE DIFFERENCE IS BEING FORMED ABOUT THE N-TH POINT THEN K=1 REFERS TO THE POINT N-2, K=2 REFERS TO THE POINT N-1, K=3 REFERS TO N, K=4 REFERS TO N+1, AND K=5 REFERS TO N+2. - INDICATES WHICH POINT ON THE FINITE DIFFERENCE MESH IS REFERRED TO THE CENTRAL POINT. IF THE DIFFERENCE IS BEING FORMED ABOUT THE N-TH POINT THEN K=1 REFERS TO THE POINT N-2, K=2 REFERS TO THE POINT N-1, K=3 REFERS TO N, K=4 REFERS TC N+1 AND K=5 REFERS TO N+2. N+1, THE CEN AT Y=+1 CENTER FUNCTION CHM1E1(K,Y) IMPLICIT COMPLEX*16 (A-H,O-Z) CCMMON / COEFNT / A,TH,G,REY,DEL REAL*8 REY,Y,DEL REAL*8 TH,DUR CCCCC THE FOLLOWING FUNCTIONS (M1) EVALUATE OF THE DERIVATIVES OF H FOR ALL TERMS CONTAINING GAMMA. THE COEFFICIENTS EXCEPT THOSE A*EI/REY -1.5D0*A**2*EI2*(100-Y**2)+2D0*AEI*(A**2)/ CH4M1(Y) CH2M1(Y) = = ŘÉY Y) * CHOM1(= $-AEI \neq ((A \neq 2) \neq (1 = 5DO \neq AEI \neq (1DO - Y \neq 2) - (A \neq 2)$

```
/REY)+3D0*AEI)
             *
00000
    THE REMAINING FUNCTIONS (M2) EVALUATE THE COEFFICIENTS
OF THE DERIVATIVES OF H WHICH ARE ALSO COEFFICIENTS
OF THE EIGENVALUE GAMMA.
               CH2M2(Y) = AEI
CH0M2(Y) = AEI * A*
CUR = 0.0
DU = DCMPLX(DUR,TH)
EI = CDEXP(DU)
AEI = A*EI
EI2 = CDEXP(2*DU)
                                                      ★ A ★ ★ 2
C
C
C
                     THE FINITE DIFFERENCE VALUES FOR INDEX K FOR M1
     SET
               UP
               GG TO (1,2,3,2,1),K

CHM1E1 = CH4M1(Y)/DEL**4

GC TO 100

CHM1E1 = -4D0*CH4M1(Y)/DEL**4+CH2M1(Y)/DEL**2

GG TO 100

CHM1E1 = 6D0*CH4M1(Y)/DEL**4-2D0*CH2M1(Y)/DEL**2

*CH0M1(Y)
           1
           2
           3
             *
     100 RETURN
C
C
C
     SET
               UP THE FINITE DIFFERENCE VALUES FOR INDEX K FOR M2
               ENTRY CHM2E1(K,Y)

CLR = 0.0

DL = DCMPLX(DUR,TH)

EI = CDEXP(DU)

AEI = A*EI

EI2 = CCEXP(2.0*DU)

GC TD (11,12,13,12,11),K

CHM2E1 = (0D0,0D0)

GC TO 200

CHM2E1 = CH2M2(Y)/DEL**2

GC TO 200

CHM2E1 = -2D0*CH2M2(Y)/DEL**2+CH0M2(Y)

RETURN

ENC
        11
        12
        13
     200
                ENC
```

.

LIST OF REFERENCES

- 1. Harrison, W. F., On the Stability of Poiseuille Flow, M.S. Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, 1975.
- Salwen, H., and Grosch, C. E., "The Stability of Poiseuille Flow in a Pipe of Circular Cross-section," Journal of Fluid Mechanics, v. 54, part 1, p. 93, 6 March 1972.
- Garg, V. W., and Rouleau, W. T., "Linear Spatial Stability of Pipe Poiseuille Flow," Journal of Fluid Mechanics, v. 54, part 1, p. 113, 25 November 1971.
- 4. Schlichting, H., <u>Boundary Layer Theory</u>, McGraw-Hill 1968, p. 516.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

	1	No.	Copies
1.	Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314		2
2.	Library, Code 0212 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940		2
3.	Department Chairman, Code 57 Department of Aeronautics Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940		1
4.	Professor T. H. Gawain, Code 57Gn Department of Aeronautics Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940		1
5.	LCDR Lewis R. Newby, USN 1026 Victory Drive St. Louis, Missouri 63125		1



\$