



1976

PHOTOELECTRON NOISE LIMITATIONS IN HIGH PERFORMANCE IMAGING SENSORS

RONALD, D. GRAFT, PhD U. S. ARMY NIGHT VISION LABORATORY FORT BELVOIR, VA 22060

INTRODUCTION

In the conventional signal-to-noise ratio analysis (1) of image forming sensors, the imaged area is broken into fictional "resolution elements" and the noise calculated as the square root of the total number of counts or events, n, falling within a single element. Since the signal is proportional to the total number of counts within the element, the signal-to-noise ratio is $n^{1/2}$. By postulating a minimum required, or threshold, signal-to-noise ratio, these calculations have led to expressions (2) for the limiting resolution of the device as a function of incident light level. The effects of a finite aperture have been considered by Schade (3) and more recently by Rosell (4). Schade's analysis led him to define the noise equivalent sampling area as the reciprocal of the noise equivalent passband. The concept of a noise equivalent sampling area has been used by Rosell to calculate the display signal-tonoise ratio and limiting resolution of a variety of television camera tubes. Analyses of this type have been extensively used to calculate the performance of military television systems.

In the following analysis some of the ambiguities of the Rosell-Schade approach are resolved. The apriori assumption of the existence of ficticious resolution elements is eliminated and reintroduced only after considerable development. Two equivalent square sampling apertures or areas are defined: a signal sampling aperature, x_s , and a noise sampling aperature, x_n . It will be shown that the noise equivalent sampling area of Schade is given by $x^* \equiv 1/N_e = x \frac{2}{9}/x_n$; the proper interpretation of x^* is thus as an equivalent aperture that weights both signal and noise, not noise alone.

GRAFT

The theoretical development is in the language of television or raster scan type sensors. This is not essential and with minor modification can be applied to other imaging sensors such as direct view intensifiers and discrete solid state arrays.

THEORY

General

For convenience and brevity of notation, a one dimensional imaging system is assumed. With certain simplifying assumptions, the extension to two dimensions is straightforward and will be indicated later. The input and output of a linear, spatially invariant system are related by (5)

$$g_{0}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x-x') g_{1}(x') dx'$$
 (1)

at a second by the

where g_i and g_o are the input and output respectively and h(x-x') is the impulse or point response of the system. That is, if $g_i(x') = \delta(x'-x'_0)$, then $g_o(x) = h(x-x'_0)$. It is assumed that the system has some characteristic integration time τ such that during the time interval t, $t + \tau$, K photoelectrons are emitted at positions x'_1 , x'_2 , x'_K . The input is thus $g_i(x') = \Sigma\delta(x'-x'_i)$ and the output image at time $t + \tau is g_o(x) = \Sigma h(x-x'_i)$. In general, the K emission positions and the value of K itself form a set of K + 1 independent random variables so that the average output, E $[g_o(x)]$, is given by (6):

$$E [g_0(\mathbf{x})] = \int_{\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{1}^{\infty} h(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}') p(\mathbf{x}', \cdots \cdot \mathbf{x}') p(\mathbf{K}) d\mathbf{x}' \cdots d\mathbf{x}' d\mathbf{K} (2)$$

$$i = 1$$

where $p(x'_1 \cdots x'_K) dx'_1 \cdots dx'_K$ is the probability that the K electrons are emitted at positions $x'_1, \cdots x'_K$ and p(K)dK is the probability that K electrons are emitted during the time interval. To evalute the output noise, the following expression for the variance is required:

$$\sigma^{2} \equiv E \left[\left| g_{0}(\mathbf{x}) - E[g_{0}(\mathbf{x})] \right|^{2} \right]$$

$$K$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{j}^{*}) h(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{j}^{*}) p(\mathbf{x}_{1}^{*}, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{K}^{*})$$

$$p(K) d\mathbf{x}_{1}^{*} \cdots d\mathbf{x}_{K}^{*} dK - E^{2}[g_{0}(\mathbf{x})]$$
(3)

The signal-to-noise ratio is defined as $S/N = E[g_0(x)]/\sigma$ and, in general, is a function of position. Equations (2) and (3) will be evaluated for four cases of special interest.



Uniform Background

For a uniformly illuminated background, the photoelectrons are emitted at purely random positions (Poisson process) so that

$$p(x'_{1}, x'_{2}, \cdots x'_{K}) dx'_{1} \cdot dx'_{K} = \sqrt[-K]{K} \frac{\pi}{1-1} dx'_{1}; \frac{-w}{2} \leq x'_{1} \leq \frac{w}{2}$$

$$= 0, |x'_{1}| > w/2$$
(4)

where w is the width of the photocathode. Furthermore, p(K)dK is given by

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{K}$$

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{K}) \mathbf{d}\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{K}$$

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{K}) \mathbf{d}\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{K$$

al a contrata data data data data tang tang tang tang ang ang ang

STAD SAME

where \overline{K} is the average number of photoelectrons emitted during the time interval. Substitution of Equation (4) into (2) gives

$$E[g_{0}(x)] = \int_{\infty}^{\infty} p(K) dK \left[\sum_{i=1}^{K} \frac{1}{w} \frac{w/2}{w/2} h(x-x'_{i}) dx'_{i} \right]$$
(6)

Neglecting edge effects, the expression in brackets can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{K} \frac{w/2}{w-w/2} h(x-x'_{i}) dx'_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \frac{1}{w-\infty} h(x-x'_{i}) dx'_{i} = \frac{K}{w-\infty} h(x) dx$$
(7)

Then Equation (6) becomes

$$E[g_{n}(x)] = v_{B} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x) dx \qquad (8)$$

where $v_B = \overline{K}/w$ is the average number of phoelectrons per unit distance emitted from the photocathodeduring the time interval. A similar calculation for the variance gives

$$\sigma^2 = v_B \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^2(x) dx$$
(9)

so that the signal-to-noise ratio is

GRAFT

$$\frac{S}{N} = \frac{\bigvee_{B \leq \infty} h(x) dx}{\left[\bigvee_{x \neq \infty} f^{\infty} h^{2}(x) dx \right]^{1/2}}$$

and is independent of x.

Isolated Point Source

Consider a small but finite "point" source of photoelectrons centered at $x' = x'_0$ with width a. Then

$$p(x_{1}^{*}, \cdots x_{K}^{*}) dx_{1}^{*} \cdots dx_{K}^{*} = a_{11}^{K} dx_{1}^{*}; x_{0}^{*} - \frac{a}{2} < x_{1}^{*} < x_{1}^{*} + \frac{a}{2}$$
(11)
= o otherwise

(10)

HALLEN DA

「日本語」の記念をないたいであると

141

With this substitution Equation (2) becomes

$$E [g_{0}(x)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(K) dK \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1/a_{i}}{x_{0}'} + \frac{a/2}{-a/2} \right]$$
(12)

Since a is small,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_{0}^{\prime} + a/2 \\ 1/a & \int h(x-x') & dx' \approx h(x-x') \\ x_{0}^{\prime} & -a/2^{i} & i & o \end{array}$$

and

$$E [g_{O}(\mathbf{x})] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Kp(K) dK h(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{O}^{\dagger})$$
(13)
$$\equiv \overline{K} h(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{O}^{\dagger})$$

A similar calculation for the variance gives

$$\sigma^2 = \overline{K} h^2 (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0^*) \tag{14}$$

and the signal-to-noise ratio is

GRAFT

77 % 2

$$S/N = \overline{K} \frac{1/2}{2}, \tag{15}$$

an easily anticipated result.

Point Source in a Uniform Background

The signal-to-noise ratio can be calculated using the same methods as before but a simpler procedure is to use system linearity and superimpose the previous results. It is found that

$$E [g_0(x)] = v_{B \int_{\omega}} h(x) dx + \overline{K} h (x - x_0')$$
(16)

and

$$\sigma^{2} = v_{B} \int_{\infty}^{\infty} h(x) dx + \overline{K} h^{2} (x-x_{o}')$$
(17)

The signal-to-noise ratio is then

$$\frac{S}{N} = \frac{\nabla_{B} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} + \overline{K} h(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{0}^{\dagger})}{[\nabla_{B} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^{2}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} + Kh(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{0}^{\dagger})]^{1/2}}$$
(18)

In Equations 16 - 18, \overline{K} is the average number of emitted phoelectrons due to the point source alone and v_B the average density of emitted photoelectrons due to background alone.

Periodic Input

A case of interest, particularly in the laboratory evaluation procedure, is the case of a periodic input for which the average brightness is $B(x') = B(o) [1 + c (2-c)^{-1} \cos \omega x']$. The quantity B(o) is the maximum brightness and c is the contrast. The probability density function is found to be

$$p(x_{1}^{*}, \dots, x_{K}^{*}) dx_{1}^{*} \dots dx_{K}^{*} = w^{-K} (1 + \frac{c}{2-c} \operatorname{sinc} \omega w)_{1=1}^{K} (1 + \frac{c}{2-c} \cos \omega x_{1}^{*}) dx_{1}^{*};$$

$$- \frac{w}{2} \leq x_{1}^{*} \leq w/2 \qquad (19)$$

$$= 0 , |x_{1}^{*}| > w/2$$

After straightforward but tedious calculation,

GRAFT

$$E[g_{o}(x)] = v_{p}[\mathcal{L}_{\omega}^{m}h(x)dx + \frac{c}{2-c}\cos\omega x\mathcal{L}_{\omega}^{m}h(x')\cos\omega x'dx'] \qquad (20)$$

$$\sigma^{2} = v_{p} \left[\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^{2}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} + \frac{c}{2-c} \cos \omega \mathbf{x} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^{2}(\mathbf{x}') \cos \omega \mathbf{x}' d\mathbf{x}' \right]$$
(21)

,如果是一些人的,我们就是一些人的。""你是一个人,这些人的,我们就是一个,你们们不是一个,你们就是一个人,我们就是一个人的。""你们,我们就是一个,你们就是有一些 第二十一章

where $\nu_{\mbox{p}} \mbox{is the peak photoelectron density given by}$

$$v_p \equiv \frac{\overline{K}}{\overline{w}} \left(1 + \frac{c}{2-c} \operatorname{sinc} \frac{\omega w}{2}\right)^{-1}$$

From Equations 20 and 21, the signal-to-noise ratio is

$$\frac{S}{N} = \frac{\sqrt{p}}{\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^2(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} + \frac{c}{2-c} \cos \omega x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\mathbf{x}') \cos \omega x' d\mathbf{x}'\right]} (22)$$

Definition of Averaging Distances

Equations 10, 15, 18 and 22 are expressions for the signal-tonoise ratio in terms of various integrals (or averages) over the point response function. Although not essential, it is convenient to rewrite these equations in terms of averaging distances or apertures so that a simple "resolution element" analysis can be used. Two such sampling distances are defined: the signal sampling distance, x_g , is defined as

h(o)
$$x_s = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x) dx$$

and the noise sampling distance, \mathbf{x}_{n} , as

$$h^{2}(o)x_{n} \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^{2}(x)dx$$

The signal-to-noise ratio for a point source on a uniform background (Equation 18) can then be rewritten as

$$\frac{S}{N} = \frac{v_{B}h(o) x_{s} + \overline{K}h (x-x_{o}')}{\left[v_{B}h^{2}(o)x_{n} + \overline{K}h^{2}(x-x_{o}')\right]^{1/2}}$$
(23)

and at the peak of the point source, $x = x_0^{\prime}$,

$$\frac{S}{N} = \frac{\nabla_B x_S + \overline{K}}{[\nabla_B x_n + \overline{K}]} 1/2$$
(24)

Equation 24 has the desired form of a ratio of signal counts to noise counts. For $\overline{K} = o$ (background alone), Equation 24 becomes

$$\frac{s}{N} = (v_B x^*)^{1/2}$$
(25)

where $x^{\star} \equiv x_{s}^{2}/x_{n}$. It is a straightforward matter to show that $x^{\star} \equiv 1/Ne$ and that Ne is the noise equivalent passband of Schade. Thus for a uniform background, a single averaging distance is sufficient to calculate the signal-to-noise ratio. However, when considering a point source and a background, a two parameter description is required. It is concluded that both signal and noise averaging distance of Schade is actually a combination of signal and noise averaging distances.

The signal-to-noise ratio for a periodic input, Equation 22, can be rewritten as

$$\frac{S}{N} = v_{p} \frac{1/2}{[x_{p} + \frac{c}{2-c}\cos\omega x] \{f(x)\}}} \frac{(x_{p} + \frac{c}{2-c}\cos\omega x] \{f(x)\}}{[x_{p} + \frac{c}{2-c}\cos\omega x] \{f^{2}(x)\}} \frac{1}{2}$$
(26)

where $f(x) \equiv h(x)/h(o)$ is the normalized impulse response and \mathcal{F} implies a Fourier transform.

Extension to Two Dimensions

If the system is spatially invariant in both dimensions so that h(x,x';y,y') = h(x-x'; y-y'), the calculation proceeds along the lines of the one dimensional model. One obtains the following modifications:

$$x_{n}^{+} a_{n} = \frac{1}{h(0,0)} \int_{\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\infty}^{\infty} h(x,y) dx dy$$
$$x_{n}^{+} a = \frac{1}{h^{2}(0,0)} \int_{\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\infty}^{\infty} h^{2}(x,y) dx dy$$

 $v_B \rightarrow$ average area density of emitted photoelectrons

Although in general a raster scan system is spatially variant in the direction perpendicular to the scan direction, the data of a following sections shows that SIT or EBS type television tubes closely approximate a continuous scan system for scan line densities in excess of about 40 scan lines per mm of raster height.

Some Useful Results

(1) The MTF and impulse response are Fourier transform pairs so that

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\infty} H(\omega) e^{i\omega x} d\omega$$

and

$$H(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x) e^{-i\omega x} dx$$

If the MTF is normalized at zero spatial frequency, then $\int_{\infty}^{\infty} h(x) dx = 1$ and $x_s = h^{-1}(o)$. Therefore The state of the s

and a subsequence

$$\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}} = 2\pi / \int \mathbf{\tilde{H}}(\omega) d\omega$$

Using Parsevals theorem, it can be shown that

$$\mathbf{x}_{n} = \frac{2\pi f_{\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{H}(\omega)^{2}| d\omega}{\left[\int_{\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{H}(\omega) d\omega \right]^{2}}$$

Thus if the MTF is known the signal and noise sampling distances can be calculated. Analogous results can be derived for a two dimensional system.

(2) A commonly observed impulse response is the Gaussian for which

h(x,x'; y,y') =
$$(2\pi\sigma_x\sigma_y)^{-1} e^{-\frac{(x-x')^2}{2\sigma_x^2}} e^{-\frac{(y-y')^2}{2\sigma_y^2}}$$

²^r^ox^oy

 $\pi \sigma \mathbf{x} \sigma \mathbf{y}$

a_s

an

then

and

$$a_n = a_s/2$$

Thus the noise sampling area is half as large as the signal sampling area for a Gaussian impulse response.

(3) The signal-to-noise ratio for a periodic input and Gaussian impulse response is found to be

$$\frac{S}{N} = \frac{(v_{p}a^{*})^{1/2}}{(1 + \frac{c}{2-c}\cos\omega x e^{-\sigma_{x}^{2}\omega^{2}/2})^{1/2}}$$
(27)

• •

÷

Equation 27 can be used to derive an expression for the sensor limiting resolution by redefining the signal to be the peak-to-peak signal and averaging the spatially dependent noise over the photocathode area. Then

$$\frac{S}{N} = \frac{(v_{p}a^{\star})^{2}}{2-c} e^{-\sigma^{2}x \omega^{2}/2}$$
(28)

if $\omega w >>1$. Assuming that the limiting resolution, ω_{ℓ} , occurs at some threshold signal-to-noise ratio k, then

$$\omega_{\ell} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sigma_{\rm x}} \left\{ \ln \frac{2c (v_{\rm p} \, e^{\star})^{1/2}}{(2-c)k} \right\}^{1/2}$$
(29)

Up to this point only photoelectron noise has been considered. In the likely event that an internal noise source contributes an effective noise count n_p , it can be added in quadrature with the photoelectron noise. For example, Equation 29 would be modified to read as

$$\omega_{\hat{x}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sigma_{x}} \{ \ln \frac{2c v_{p} a_{s}}{(2-c)k(v_{p} a_{n} + n_{p})} \frac{1}{2} \}$$
(30)

11

EXPERIMENTAL

Measurement Technique

All uniform background data were taken using the US Army Night Vision Laboratory Television Test Set. This extremely flexible system permits a wide range of measurements under non-standard conditions. For example, line and frame rates are variable from 100-2000 lines/frame and from 1-60 frames/sec. An on-line Varian 6201 minicomputer and a Computer Lab Model 615 A/D converter are used for real time data collection and processing. Video is digitized into 6 bit brightness words at a 15 MHz sampling rate. A buffer memory accepts up to 2048 words which are then clocked into the computer at a 200 Khz rate. Thus up to 2048 points in a single frame of video can be sampled and fed to the computer. The sampled points can be adjacent points on a single line or selected segments of successive lines. The same points can be sampled over successive frames for a multi-frame average. The photoelectron noise is measured by calculating the standard deviation of the collected data and correcting for preamplifier noise.

Experimental Results

The photoelectron noise due to a uniform background is shown in Figure 1. These data were taken at 750, 525, and 300 scan lines per frame on a Westinghouse 31841G EBS tubes. This tubes has a 40 mm S~20 photocathode, a 25 mm deep-etched metal cap silicon array target, and a target gain of 1800 at 10 KV acceleration potential. Values of $a^* = a_s /a_n$ calculated from the data of Figure 1 are plotted in Figure 2 as a function of scan line density. The horizontal line is the expected a* value for a symmetrical, continuous sampling system as calculated from measured MTF data. The slowing line is the expected value for a purely discrete sampler in the y direction and a continuous sampler in the x direction. The σ_x value is determined from horizontal MTF measurements. It is seen that the data approach a continuous sampling model for scan line densities in excess of approximately 700 scan lines/frame.

这时天,此时在18月1日,其他的时候的"这时也"的此时

Figure 3 is a plot of photoelectron noise current versus signal current for a point source and a uniform background taken separately. These data were taken on an RCA SIT Tube Type 4826. This tube has a 25 mm photocathode, an 18 mm diode array target, and a target gain of 700 at 6 KV. Assuming a symmetrical, continuous scan model and using measured measured MTF data, the calculated value of a* is 2.4 x 10^{-2} mm². The measured value from Figure 3 is 2.3 x 10^{-2} mm. It thus appears that 525 scan lines on an 18 mm target are sufficient for an

GRAFT



[]

的现在的过去分词 自然的 的复数加利用 化自己化化 化合金 化加利用化物的 化可加化过程 化加利用

· 法法律规则法律

のかちのなるので

GRAFT

÷



effective continuous scan in the y direction. Since the larger format (25 mm) Westinghouse tube requires 700 scan lines approximately 40 scan lines per mm of raster heighth is required in each instance.

It is noted that the noise current at the peak of a point source is larger than for a uniform background of equal signal current. It is easily shown that the expected noise currents should differ by $(a_g/a_n)^{1/2}$. For a Gaussian impulse response, $(a_g/a_n)^{1/2} = \sqrt{2}$. Reference to Figure 3 shows that the experimental noise measurements do indeed differ by $\sqrt{2}$.

Figure 4 is a plot of photoelectron noise measured at the peak of a point source immersed in a constant uniform background of 108 nanoamperes. The noise is plotted as a function of total signal current (point source current plus background current). At large signal currents when the point source current is large compared to the background, the data approach the point source alone data of Figure 3. The single point at 108 na corresponding to zero point source current falls on the curve of background alone in Figure 3. Thus for any combination of point source and background current, the measured noise current will be on or between the two straight lines of Figure 3.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The effect of a finite aperture on photoelectron noise has been described in some detail. Two equivalent sampling areas or apertures, a_s and a_n , were defined which permit a rapid calculation of signal-to-noise ratio when viewing a uniform scene, a point source immersed in a uniform scene, or a periodic pattern. The averaging areas may be visualized as ficticious areas on the photocathode over which photo-electrons are effectively averaged by the imaging device. The necessity for two sampling areas was demonstrated by calculating the signal-to-noise ratio for a point source in a uniform background. When considering background alone, Schade's N_e parameter is sufficient and was shown to be related to the averaging areas by N_e⁻² (a_s^2/a_n) = a^4 .

Data from two intensifier silicon type television tubes shows that a_s and a_n can be calculated from measured MTF data and the assumption of continuous sampling in the y direction. The latter assumption is valid for scan line densities equal to or greater than about 40 scan lines per mm of raster heighth.

7

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to thank Dr. D.W. Tufts and Mr. W.V. McCollough of the University of Rhode Island for the point source measurements and to acknowledge the assistance of Mr. R.L. Brooks and Mr. R.E. Franseen of the Night Vision Laboratory

REFERENCES

1. A. Rose, J. Optical Soc. Am. <u>38</u> (2), 196 (1948).

2. F.A. Rosell, "The Limiting Resolution of Low Light Level Imaging Sensors," in <u>Photoelectronic Imaging Devices</u>, Vol I, Plenum Press, 1971.

3. O.H. Schade, RCA Review 9, 1948.

4. F.A. Rosell and R.H. Willson, "Recent Psychophysical Experiments and the Display Signal-to-Noise Ratio Concept," in <u>Perception of Displayed Information</u>, Plenum Press, 1973.

5. J.W. Goodman, <u>Introduction to Fourier Optics</u>, McGraw Hill, 1968.

6. W.B. Davenport and W.L. Root, <u>Random Signals and Noise</u>, McGraw Hill, 1958.

White Bati s	Sactian Joctine	
9eti (lectine	ō
VAILABI		163
		<u> </u>
16. 100/	W WEL	<u>.</u>
	1	ļ
		1
ł		
	VAILABI IL. and/	VAILABILITY 60

IV IV