# AMRL-TR-74-102



# SAMPLING AND DATA GATHERING STRATEGIES FOR FUTURE USAF ANTHROPOMETRY

WEBB ASSOCIATES, INC. YELLOW SPRINGS, OHIO

FEBRUARY 1976

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited



AEROSPACE MEDICAL RESPARCH LABORATORY AEROSPACE MEDICAL DIVISION AEROSPACE Systems Command

# NOTICES

When US Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than a definitely related Government procurement operation, the Government thereby incurs no responaibility nor any obligation whatsoever, and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise, as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or cosporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

Do not return this copy. Retain or destroy.

Please do not request copies of this report from Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory. Additional copies may be purchased from:

National Technical Information Service 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, Virginia 22151

This report has been reviewed and cleared for open publication and/or public release by the appropriate Office of Information (OI) in accordance with AFR 190-17 and DODD 5220.0. There is no objection to unlimited distribution of this report to the public at large, or by DDC to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

FOR THE COMMANDER

CHARLE

Chief, Human Engineering Division Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory

# DISCLAIMER NOTICE



THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY AVAILABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE BEFORE COMPLETING FORM 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. PECISIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER MRL HP-74-192 COVERED SAMPLING AND DATA GATHERING STRATEGIES FOR Final Report. UTURE USAF ANTHROPOMETRY . 114 10 17 10 CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(A) Edmund Churchill John T. McConville NEW F33615-73-C-4066 . PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Webb Associates, Inc. P. O. Box 308 718448 Task No. Yellow Springs, Ohio 45387 Work Unit 1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory Pabe Aerospace Medical Division (AFSC) 138 Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433 S. SECURITY CLASS, (of this Unclassified THE DECLASSIFICATION DOWN GRADING 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, il different from Report) 18. BUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identity by block number) Anthropometry Sample design Sample strategies Statistics Sample error Regression equations 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Beginning with a comprehensive review of anthropometric resources already available, this report serves as a guide to more refined and less costly methods of acquiring needed anthropometric data to meet changing military requirements and to accommodate changing military populations. Many sampling schemes are described and evaluated for their utility in meeting specific USAF needs. Various measurement and sampling errors-DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered 4012861

<u>11 (112)</u>	and a stranger	A. A. B. B. B. B. B. Carlos	ter and the	In the second section is	ويتكر والمطاور المتكر			÷
				and the state of the second		COLUMN STREET,	· And the second second	Q

No.

į.

東京の部にため、「「「「「「「「「「「」」」」」」」

THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPE

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Dets Entered)

20. ABSTRACT (continued) are discussed and the effects of each type of error on the statistics of major importance in design problems are explained. The authors offer a definition of adequate accuracy based on a detailed statistical analysis and demonstrate that such accuracy can be obtained from random samples of 350 and matched samples of much fewer subjects. They suggest that with the completion of currently on-going anthropometric surveys no further data-gathering of U.S. military personnel on a massive scale need be undertaken. >>> A multi-facated plan for the future acquisition of USAF anthropometric data is recommended. The plan incorporates specific steps designed to up-date basic population data, follow and project secular trends and devise surveys tailored to obtain specific task-oriented information. .. . ACCESSION IN White Section NTH 800 Butt Sectles 📋 UNANNOUNCED. Ω JL. HEIGATION ...... 87 **DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY CODES** AVAIL, had, of SPECIAL fint.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

ing in the

and the second second

A TANKA CALL AND A STATE AND A

This study was conducted under Project 7184, "Human Engineering in Advanced Systems," Task 718408, "Anthropology for Design," Work Unit 71840821, "Air Force Body Size Variability," with support provided from the Laboratory Director's Funds.

Project scientists were Mr. Edmund Churchill and Dr. John T. McConville, Anthropology Research, Project, Webb Associates, under contract AF33615-73-C-4066, with Mr. C. E. Clauser acting as contract monitor for the Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory.

The authors are deeply indebted to Dr. Melvin J. Warrick, then Senior Scientist of the Human Engineering Division, Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory, for his critical review of the manuscript and for his numerous helpful suggestions for its improvement.

Ms. Ilse Tebbetts and Ms. Jane Reese, Webb Associates, edited and prepared the manuscript for publication.

A laboratory M director's R fund

iii

Practical in a mound south balance on a south of the south state

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

- A Destroy

5

語言を見た

1

1.4

. .....

1.3

671

Chapter		Page
I	INTRODUCTION	1
II	MILITARY ANTHROPOMETRIC SURVEYS: A HISTORICAL REVIEW	5
III	BODY SIZE DIMENSIONS AND DYNAMICS	11
	Inter-individual Variations	15
	Secular Variation	22
IV	CURRENT ANTHROPOMETRIC RESOURCES	25
	Anthropometric Data Resources	25
	Resources for Understanding Body Size Interrelationships	32
۲.	Statistical Properties of Body Size Data	36
	Resources Based on Computational Procedures	39
	Non-standard Data Gathering Procedures	41
v	SAMPLING DESIGNS	51
	Random - Quasi-quota - Microcosm Sampling	51
	Plateau Samples	57
	Stratified Samples	58
	U-Shaped Samples	5 <b>9</b>
	W-Shaped Samples	59
	L-Shaped Samples	60
VI	USAF ANTHROPOMETRIC NEEDS AND SURVEY STRATEGIES	61
	Understanding the Statistical Nature of Body Size Data	62
	Data for Specific Design Problems	63
	"Head-Count" Data - Tariffs and Pro- portions-Disaccommodated	65
	Long Term Trends in Size and Shape	74

iv

in a calcular sign

.....

Chapter		Page
VI	Data Relating Body Size to Low Probability Incidents	80
	Data Related to Racial and Other Group Differences	82
VII	MEASUREMENT AND SAMPLING ERRORS AND A DEFINITION OF ACCURACY	85
	The Relationships Between Measurement Errors and Accuracy	86
	Appropriate Levels of Accuracy	93
VIII	SMALL MICROCOSM SAMPLESMATCHED AND UNMATCHED	99
IX	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	113

# Appendix

.

いたりために、「などのない」というないというないで、「ないない」というないないというない」というないで、

Sould wind it in

. . .

enderer ( )

1

I	A LISTING OF VARIABLES IN THE AMRL DATA BANK	115
II-A	REGRESSION EQUATIONS BASED ON MEASURED HEIGHT AND MEASURED WEIGHT	121
II-B	REGRESSION EQUATIONS BASED ON REPORTED HEIGHT AND REPORTED WEIGHT	125
	REFERENCES	129

v

T. T. Start

1

لعتر وللطلاف وديد

and the

MILLING ....

÷ .,

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
1	The Normal Curve	13
2	Distributions of Stature and Weight for U. S. Air Force Personnel - Male and Female	18
3	Schematic Guide to Correlations of Principal Measurements	33
4	Distribution of Correlation Coefficients	34
5	Multiple Correlations for Measured Height and Weight vs. Those for Reported Height and Weight	49

# LIST OF TABLES

1

# Table

I to contract a second to mark the work they are the to weather weather a second of the

I	Current Holdings in AMRL Anthropometric Data Bank	9
II	Mean Stature, Weight and Age of U. S. Army Soldiers	15
III	Comparison of Male and Female Body Size Values (USAF Data)	16
IV	Racial/Ethnic Origins of U.S. Population	19
v	Height and Weight of Racial/Ethnic Groups - U. S. Army Survey 1966	21
VI	Brief Summaries of Flying Personnel	٦٥

vi

netterin andre in finnetteringen in der soner in der soner in der soner in der soner im der soner im der soner

. .

# LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

の一日の一日の一日の

Table		Page
VII	Comparisons of Measured and Reported Heights and Weights	43-45
VIII	Bivariate Tables of Reported and Measured Heights and Weights	46
IX	Design Ranges and Tariffs for the MC-1 Oral-Nasal Oxygen Mask	67
x	Tariffs for Six-Size Height-Weight Programs (Based on Artificial Bivariates)	71
XI	Mean Statures for USAF and Navy Officers by Year of Birth	76
XII	Relationship Between Coefficient of Var- iation, Standard Deviation (SD), and Suggested Acceptable Sample Size (N)	100
XIII	Distributions of Standard Deviations and Coefficients of Variation for Variables Measured in Anthropometric Surveys of USAF Flying Personnel (FP) and Women of the Air Force (WAF)	102
VIX	Mean Values for Random Samples of 350 (No Adjustments)	109
xv	Mean Values for Random Samples of 250 - Values Adjusted on the Basis of Reported Height and Weight	110

1. 1. B. Balansa and an on the states of

81 G 18.11

١

vii

×

1

هأب الكبو كالتحققية التر

THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF

# CHAPTER I

È

.

Ν' Γ

**K S** 

ί.

A a della come

#### INTRODUCTION

"Anthropometry...is a growing discipline in which new and more sophisticated approaches are sought to handle old problems" (Zeigen et al., 1960).

It is now a quarter of a century since the USAF's first major anthropometric data-gathering operation was launched. This 1950 survey of USAF flying personnel was in many ways a precedent-establishing enterprise. It measured men far more thoroughly than men in groups of thousands had ever been measured before. Its subjects were members of the USAF on active duty rather than, as in most earlier military surveys, men at the point of leaving or entering the service.

The survey report itself broke new ground. In its selection of statistics and method of presenting them and in its documentation of the measuring techniques and survey procedures, it has served as a model for reports of most major military anthropometric surveys conducted throughout the world since that time. For almost two decades the 1950 document was the major source of body size data employed in the design of clothing and equipment used by American adult males--civilian as well as military. Of equal importance is the fact that material in the report served as the basis for studying the statistical nature of body size data.

Today, almost twenty major military anthropometric surveys later, substantial resources are available to assist USAF

------

the second by the second by the second s

anthropometrists in the planning and execution of their work. The sheer bulk of the available USAF and other body size data, the wealth of experience gained during the past twenty or so years in using these data and the broad knowledge of their statistical properties, as well as the means for rapid computational analysis and numerical approximations, have immeasurably expanded the array of tools which can be brought to bear in solving problems of designing and fitting. The military population has undergone changes with time as have individuals within that population; clothing which must be designed to fit and equipment which must be devised to accommodate its users have altered considerably but the essential problem--that of dealing with the considerable variability of the human subject -- remains. It seems highly appropriate, therefore, to consider how best to use the substantial resources at our command in the search for "more sophisticated approaches... to...old problems."

の言いために、

ţ.

The initial step in the application of anthropometric data to problems of design and fit is, of course, the acquisition of the material. It is reasonable, therefore, that a search for new approaches begin with a consideration of methods of data acquisition. This report is primarily concerned with that subject. We will review the resources currently available, discuss the types of data which the USAF needs, and outline a variety of sampling strategies. We will discuss in some detail various kinds of measurement and sampling errors and the effects of each type of error on the statistics of major importance in

design problems. We will offer an objective definition of "adequate accuracy", and demonstrate that this accuracy can be obtained from random samples of 350 and matched samples of substantially fewer subjects.

.

ŗ

1

ことに、どうなどがほかとなるときの必要ななななどの時間に動作用になっていたのではないないない。

The report will conclude with recommendations for a multi-faceted plan for the ongoing acquisition of USAF body size data. The approach we suggest would be less costly and more responsive to the needs of the USAF than periodic massive surveys similar to the 1950 and 1967 surveys of flying personnel.

#### CHAPTER II

# MILITARY ANTHROPOMETRIC SURVEYS: A HISTORICAL REVIEW

日本の記述を

State of the second

Land Marine Statistics

and the second second

The anthropometric surveys of U.S. military forces have a long and honorable history in documenting the body size variability of the American population. The earliest such studies were those conducted at the close of the Civil War and reported by Gould (1869) and Baxter (1875). The former study was limited to the measurement of stature, weight, and chest circumference but was the first systematic large-scale sampling of the body sizes of U. S. military males. Baxter's study of Union soldiers at demobilization, was conducted on a smaller scale but included a number of other body measurements of interest to anthropologists and clothiers. It was not until the close of World War I, when the Adjutant General issued instructions that 100,000 men be measured at demobilization, that additional anthropometry of U. S. military men was obtained. In this study all the linear and circumferential dimensions considered to be of interest to anthropologists were measured.

The Civil War studies were medically oriented. Emphasis in the World War I study was on data related to the sizing of uniforms but it is apparent that the information gathered, while of considerable anthropological value, was little used for sizing purposes. The chief application of all the data obtained until World War II was in the establishment of recruitment standards for body size, health and stamina.

5

PRECEDING PADE, BLANK-NOT FILMED

In the summer of 1940, Colonel Otis O. Benson, Jr. of the Aero Medical Research Unit at Wright Field, Ohio, became aware of the increasing importance of body sizing problems in aviation. Prior to this time the small Army Air Force had maintained relatively stringent body size limits for flight personnel. Fighter pilots, for example, could not exceed a maximum stature of 70 inches or a maximum weight of 180 pounds. With the need for rapid expansion of the Army Air Force on the entry of the United States into World War II, it became necessary to broaden the body size limits to obtain the large number of flying personnel needed. Even so, the Army Air Force flying personnel continued to be a very select group and its expansion alone would not have spurred the AAF to seek anthropometric data of the kind available to the Ground and Service Forces from earlier World War I studies. It remained for a plaquing problem related to the design of gun turrets to provide the impetus.

ļ

ľ

4.

والمعاطية فالمعادية للضوار كالمناه

The design of the turrets had initially been dictated by the air frame configuration and the performance requirements established for the aircraft. The resulting turret imposed severe limitations on the physical size of its human occupants and consequently on the number of gunners able to operate it. Acting on the recommendations of Dr. E. A. Hooten, who had been called in as a consultant, Colonel Benson organized an anthropology group at Wright Field whose first task was to conduct a general anthropometric survey to determine: the body size of the then current cadets and gunners; what proportion of the men

could use existing equipment; what size criteria should be used in the future selection of air crew members, and how existing equipment might be modified and future equipment designed to accommodate the largest possible number of air crew men.

North Bally State

The entry of the U.S. into World War II occurred during the planning of the survey but, despite the pressure of conflicting priorities, the Air Surgeon directed that the survey proceed. The body of data gathered during this period provided the engineering anthropometry for the design of the majority of World War II aircraft and equipment (Randall, et al., 1946). This survey was followed by a number of limited studies, such as the facial survey of 1943, which were needed to supplement the original survey data for specific items of equipment. It is interesting to note that a modified A-13 oxygen mask, the facepiece for which was based on the 1943 facial data, is still being manufactured and sold both in the United States and abroad.

The work of the anthropologists at Wright Field provided a model for similar groups that were formed to work with the other services. The Armored Forces Anthropometric Survey, a Navy Aviation Survey. and the Army Quartermaster's Survey of 100,000 male ground forces and 8,000 nurses and other women at demobilization broadened the knowledge of the body size of the U. S. military population.

Following World War II, the advent of new aircraft, new missions and, above all, new classes of personal protective equipment such as partial and full pressure suits required that additional anthropometric data be obtained on the U. S. Air

Force population. To that end a survey of the flying population was conducted in 1950 (Hertzberg, et al., 1954). By way of contrast to the three body dimensions measured on Union troops at the end of the Civil War and the thirty-three measured on Army aviation personnel in 1942, 132 dimensions were measured on the subjects in the 1950 survey. Since the 1950 USAF Survey, each of the military services has conducted one or more anthropometric surveys so that we now have a wealth of body size data on the military population of the United States.

A massive quantity of complete survey data is currently stored in the Anthropometric Data Bank at the Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory (AMRL). Table I lists the available material including survey population, date, number of variables measured, and number of subjects. Supplementing the information in the data bank are a number of detailed studies on such subjects as the anthropometry of the head and working positions\* which are also available at AMRL for further analysis and study as the need arises.

A production of the water of the

<sup>\*</sup> For a comprehensive listing of these studies, see the citations in Reid, Betty, 1973, <u>An Annotated Bibliography of USAF Applied Physical Anthropometry</u>, <u>January 1946 - May 1973</u>, <u>AMRL-TR-73-51</u>.

# TABLE I

# CURRENT HOLDINGS IN AMRL ANTHROPOMETRIC DATA BANK

i.

ľ

in the second

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROP

Survey	Number of Anthropometric <u>Variables</u> *	Approximate Sample <u>Size</u>
1946 U.S. Army Survey, Male	66	25000
Female	66	8000
1950 USAF Flying Population	133	4000
1952 USAF Female Basic Trainees	s 63	850
1952 USAF Male Basic Trainees	60	3000
1957 USAF PhotoMetriC	107	2200
1959 U.S. Army Pilots	43	500
1960 NATO Turkish Military	149	1000
1960-1 NATO Greek Military	149	1100
1961 NATO Italian Military	149	1400
1961 Korean Air Force Survey	133	250
1962 Japanese Air Force Survey	62	250
1964 Vietnam Ground Forces	51	2200
1964 U.S. Navy Flying Personnel	1 98	1500
1965 USAF Survey	161	4000
1965-6 U.S. Army Ground Forces	73	6500
1965-6 U.S. Navy Enlisted Men	73	4000
1965-6 U.S. Marine Enlisted Mer	n 73	2000
1967 USAF Survey (Flying Person	nnel) 190	2500
1968 USAF Women	140	2000
1967-8 German Air Force Survey	154	1500
1968-9 Iranian Military	71	9000
1970 U.S. Army Aviators	88	1500
1970-1 RAF Aircrew	72	2000
1972 RAF Aircrew Heads	45	500
1974 NEL Law Enforcement Office	ers 23	3000

\* Including, for some surveys, age, muscle strength and reported stature and weight as well as body size measures.

9

100

1 ...

an totay Abra, bet 198

While the number of dimensions measured in a given survey has increased markedly from the 33 measurements taken in the 1942 Army Air Force Survey to 187 in the most recent 1967 USAF Survey of flying personnel, the available data are not yet complete and do not provide information on body dimensions for every conceivable design problem of the future. Nevertheless, the AMRL Anthropometric Data Bank is unique. Its comprehensive compilation of anthropometric data can provide users with an excellent understanding of the interrelationships among the measured variables as well as a powerful knowledge of past and present trends in the body size of military populations.

#### CHAPTER III

#### BODY SIZE DIMENSIONS AND DYNAMICS

One has only to view a group of people to be struck by the range of diversity in the size and shape of mankind. This diversity, often visually aesthetic, can be a source of annoyance to the designer. For those involved in design problems, the human body has an inordinate number of irregularly curved surfaces and angular projections, as well as an assortment of appendages, all of which tend to impede a straightforward design solution. Altogether, man lacks the proper degree of reproductive quality control to make a satisfactory design subject.

Despite the quality of the subject material, the designer of military equipment and systems must arrive at a design solution which will be adequate to accommodate the irregularities of size and shape of potential users. It is of value, therefore, to have as detailed a quantification of body size variability of the design population as possible.

Man, individually and collectively, is a manifestation of his genetic heritage, modified by external factors such as nutrition, disease, and trauma. One can, in a general fashion, classify the total human morphological variability into the three broad categories of intra-individual, inter-individual, and secular variability. Intra-individual variability pertains to those changes which take place in an individual through time, primarily as a function of growth, maturity and senescence.

Such variability is generally of minor significance in an adult of military age since the major changes occur during childhood, adolescence and old age. This is not to say that an individual is in an absolute state of morphological stability between the ages of 18 and 55. Among American adults there is often an increase in body weight with accompanying increases in associated body girths during maturity. In general, however, these changes are not significant and their effects can safely be ignored for our purposes.

Of principal concern to USAF are inter-individual differences.

The differences between the sexes are a major source of such variability with the female having, in general, a smaller overall body size with far less pronounced or rugged features than the male. A second source of such variability lies in ethnic and racial origins. While all living people belong to a single biological species, the species, like other life forms, is not geographically uniform; it is differentiated into a number of local variants or breeding groups. These variants frequently differ in a number of morphological traits such as skin, eye and hair color, body size and proportions, with a particular trait often highly characteristic for a single strain. It is not necessary here to probe for the reasons behind these morphological differences between variants of man but only to acknowledge their existence and attempt to deal with them in terms of sizing and design requirements. This variability is of some importance here because of the many ethnic and racial groups that constitute the American military population.

In biological populations many morphological traits, particularly of size and shape, are continuous rather than discrete and are distributed "normally". For many traits the frequency of measured values approximates the "normal" bell shaped distribution curve illustrated in Figure 1, below.

AND DESIGN

ķ.!

Sale and the second

k.

ł



Figure 1. The Normal Curve.

The width of the curve approximates the range of variability for a particular dimension from smallest to largest and the height of the curve the anticipated frequency for any particular measured value. For a particular trait, these values tend to cluster around the center or mean value and are less frequent toward the ends of the curve. The standard deviation (SD), describes the variation in the distribution around the mean value with about two-thirds of the measured values lying within  $\pm$  1SD of the mean, about 95% within  $\pm$  2SD's of the mean, etc. The two tails of the distribution represent those individuals who are most dissimilar from the majority of the population for that particular trait. These individuals may be clinically normal but exceptional in size and shape; for example, Wilt Chamberlain, a professional basketball player, Dave Foley, a professional football player, and Eddy Arcaro, a professional jockey, are extremely divergent in size and shape but could all conceivably be found in a military population. The normal range of variability in terms of size and shape is, therefore, quite broad even without such factors as sex, race and ethnic origin.

ß

1

ĺ

1

A CONTRACTOR OF LAND

There are, in addition, individuals in the active working population of the U. S. who suffer from malnutrition or diseases such as pituitary dwarfism or acromegalia but, in all probability, such people would not be found in the military population.

A final source of human variability which is here termed secular concerns changes which occur from generation to generation. Though not well understood this factor is of some importance in systems design. The lengthy lead time required for the production of modern aircraft and weapons systems is such that the crew members who will eventually use them are often not even of military age when the design specifications are fixed. It is of more than casual interest, therefore, to determine what the physical size and proportions of the military population will be at a given point in the future.

There has been a generally perceptible increase in body size of the military over the past century. The magnitude of this change is demonstrated in Table II below which compares

the mean stature and weight of U. S. Army populations at different periods of time.

#### TABLE II

MEAN STATURE, WEIGHT AND AGE OF U. S. ARMY SOLDIERS\*

Stature	Weight	<u>Age</u>
67.5	136.0	
67.7	139.0	
67.5	141.5	
68.4	154.8	22.2
68.7	159.1	24.3
	<u>Stature</u> 67.5 67.7 67.5 68.4 68.7	Stature Weight   67.5 136.0   67.7 139.0   67.5 141.5   68.4 154.8   68.7 159.1

\* Stature in inches, weight in pounds, age in years.

It is unlikely that such increases will continue indefinitely but even with a diminution in magnitude, secular changes in body size will probably continue to be sufficient to warrant consideration in design problems.

Thus, while it may be feasible to disregard intraindividual variation in the design of military equipment and systems, it is apparent that inter-individual and secular body size variability must be considered.

## Inter-individual Variations

Since we have amassed a considerable body of knowledge on the subject of morphological variation, it is possible to quantify this variability to determine its significance in design studies. Differences in body size between the sexes can be assessed by using the U. S. Air Force male (1967) and female (1968) survey data. Selected body dimensions are compared in Table III.

COMPARISON	OF	MALE	AND	FEMALE	BODY	SIZE	VALUES

(USAF Data)\*

	USAF X	Flie (SD)	ers V	USA X	F Won (SD)	nen V	Ratio of Mean Values (F/M) x 100
Age	29.5	6.3		22.9	6.4	المتياني للتك	
Stature	177.3	6.2	3,5%	162.1	6.0	3.7	91.4
Weight	78.7	9.7	12.3%	57.7	7.5	13.0%	73.3
Sitting Ht	93.2	3,2	3.44	85.6	3.2	3.7%	91.8
Thumb-Tip Reach	80.3	4.0	5.0%	74.1	3.9	5.3%	92.2
Buttock/Knee Lgth Vertical Trunk	60.4	2.7	4.5%	57.4	2.6	4.5	95.0
Circumference	168.1	7.2	4.3%	154.4	6.9	4.5%	91.8
Cube Root of Wt	4.3	0.2	4.1%	3.9	0.2	4.3	90.7

ť

 $^{\circ}$ .4

1

ì

 \* Age in years, weight in kg, all other measured values in cm.

The male fliers are older, larger, and heavier than the Air Force women, as might be expected. It has been an accepted rule of thumb that female measurements tend to average about 92% of comparable male values. The ratios shown in Table III indicate that for linear measurements (i.e., all but weight) the rule holds reasonably well for these samples. The coefficients of variation of the linear measurements are quite similar for the two samples. The mean and standard deviation of the women's weights are about three-quarters of those for the men, a pattern similar to that seen in the 1962 U. S. Health Survey for similar male and female age groups. To properly equate weight, an essentially three-dimensional quantity, with the linear measures, the cube roots of the weights are computed. When this is done, the female to male ratio becomes 90.2%, a value clearly consistent with the 92% rule of thumb.

If the male/female differences in the mean values for most body dimensions average only about 8%, then what is the significance of this difference for design purposes? A bivariate distribution of height and weight for the samples is shown in Figure 2. Each ellipse encompasses ~95% of its respective sample. While there is considerable overlap, it is readily apparent that the two groups are quite distinct in these two variables and, because of the well known relationship of many other body dimensions to height and weight, in other aspects of body size as well. Since the standard deviations of body size values, male or female, average about 5% of the mean, a difference of 8% would mean, in general, that the body size of females approximately one standard deviation above the female mean value would tend to match the body size of the males approximately one standard deviation below the male mean value. This means that system or equipment design based on the anthropometry of the male fliers, for example, must be modified if it is to accommodate the body size differences of female users -- a matter of some importance as women are now assuming far broader roles than ever before in the military services.

a states and

ķ.

I.

No. of Concession, Name

こうちょうちょう あいまち いちちょう いうない ちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょう

Body size variability related to ethnic/racial groups is of considerable interest because of the broad spectrum of national origins which characterizes the American population. Some information on the ethnic and racial makeup of the U. S. population, as obtained from the 1970 Census, is shown in Table IV.



I

#### TABLE IV

RACIAL/ETHNIC ORIGINS OF U. S. POPULATION\*

	Number	
	in	
Group	Thousands	Percent
White	177,784	87.5
Spanish Speaking	10,115	4.9
Black	22,580	11.1
Other	2,882	1.4
Indian	793	0.4
Japanese	591	0.3
Chinese	435	0.2
Filipino	343	0.2
Other	720	0.4

\* Source: Bureau of Census, April 1970.

In one study the two largest racial groups were compared in some detail using anthropometric data from the USAF 1965 survey (Long and Churchill). Almost 400 of the subjects classified themselves as Blacks and these were matched with Whites on the basis of age, length of military service, and region of birth. Some 343 reasonable matches were made and the anthropometric data for the matched samples compared. The two groups were almost identical in weights and heights, differing by less than half a kilogram in weight and by about a millimeter in height. Despite this, there are significant differences in the mean values for about three quarters of the measurements. The Blacks have legs, arms, hands and feet which, on the average, are longer than those of Whites; the reverse is true for measurements of the torso. The Blacks tend to have longer heads, wider faces and less body fat. The group means for height are virtually identical but the

Black subjects are, on the average, 2.6 cm longer in leg length and some 3.2 cm shorter in eye-height/sitting.

While individual values for Whites and Blacks overlap to a large extent (partly as a result of greater variability in the White sample), the body size differences cited above are of sufficient magnitude to warrant consideration in the design of systems and equipment to be used by both Whites and Blacks.

Other racial/ethnic comparisons can be made by using the 1966 U. S. Army anthropometric survey data. In this survey the subjects were asked to record their ethnic derivation or national extraction. There were three categories in which national extraction was not otherwise specified: American White (29.4 percent); American Black (14.6 percent), and American Indian (1.5 percent) These categories represent approximately 45 percent of the total sample. The remainder of the sample was self-classified into 37 national origins. It is of some interest to compare these groups in terms of gross body size. Using only the dimensions of height and weight, such a comparison is given in Table V for those groups containing ten or more respondents. The table lists the mean and standard deviation for the total sample and shows the deviation of each group from these values.

The sample sizes of some of the subsets are rather small but they are adequate to indicate the diversity which exists in the various racial/ethnic components of the military population. These differences, which are often quite large, do not in themselves tell the complete story of body size differences.

#### TABLE V

٢

ŝ.

.

5

÷.

a 12

- Participant

Ethnic Group	Number Subjects	Height	(cm) 	Weight	(1bs) SD
TOTAL SAMPLE	6682	174.52	6.61	159.09	23.35
American White	1960	.58	20	80	24
American Black	982	.02	.04	2.34	.62
American Indian	120	~.08	0.00	-1.97	-1.38
Mexican	113	-4.05	32	-2.82	-2.89
Puerto Rican	125	-6.09	18	-13.11	-2.82
Spanish	74	-3.48	02	-7.83	-1.42
Filipino	13	-7.02	1.40	-8.47	1.04
Hawaiian	10	-1.25	40	10.35	4.18
Japanese	26	-5.75	55	-9.61	-3.15
English	558	.61	20	1.17	.35
Irish	864	.68	44	.39	41
Scottish	169	. 94	18	3.32	1.48
Welsh	21	. 96	42	-1.85	.96
French	273	82	42	-3.26	1.54
German	1080	.68	19	2.44	.88
Austrian	14	91	37	-4.73	2.02
Polish	218	.43	27	1.86	69
Swedish	134	1.61	18	2.75	~.31
Dutch	147	28	38	-2.66	-2.29
Italian	319	-2.04	47	32	-1.80

# HEIGHT AND WEIGHT OF RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUPS -U. S. ARMY SURVEY 1966\*

\* Total sample mean and standard deviation with subgroup deviations.

Americans of Japanese ancestory are shown to be, on the average, some 5.75 cm shorter than the total group. This is, of course, a significant difference for design purposes. There is, in addition, a significant difference in proportionality. In a study of Japanese pilots, their average height was found to be equal to the eighth percentile of U. S. Air Force pilots, but their leg length and sitting height were comparable to the first and fortieth percentiles, respectively (Alexander, et al., 1964).

terres to an entropy to any the second se

#### Secular Variation

A final source of body size variability is that associated with the passage of time. It is commonly acknowledged that military recruits are, on the average, taller and heavier than their predecessors although neither the reasons for the increase nor its magnitude are generally understood. First, evidence clearly shows that the physical growth of children is being completed at an earlier chronological age. The worldwide data on age of puberty are amazingly consistent and point conclusively to the fact that girls have experienced menarche and boys puberty at a progressively earlier chronological age. During the past 100 years this change averages three to four months per decade with puberty now being attained two and a half to three years earlier than in the previous century. The result of this is that adult body size is attained at an earlier chronological age. At the turn of the century men reached adult height at approximately 26; now they do so at approximately 23 (Roche and Davila, 1972).

المغر هادكما لطفاسما والمحارف

The secular changes in body size are not merely a function of earlier maturity but of greater adult size as well. There has been, in most Western European countries, an increase in male and female adult height of between a quarter and a third of an inch per decade from about 1870 to the present. In general, adults are from two and a half to three and a half inches taller today than they were a century ago (Tanner, 1968).

In the United States, between the years 1910 and 1940, the increase in adult size was approximately a quarter of an inch

per decade and from 1940 to 1960 this rate continued for Blacks but averaged closer to an eighth of an inch per decade for Whites. That such changes and rates of change in adult body size will continue indefinitely seems unlikely; indeed, there is some evidence that the trend toward earlier maturity and increased adult size is leveling off.

í.

Ŀ

Í.

Whatever the trend, the secular changes in body size are of sufficient magnitude to be significant in systems and equipment design. As Kennedy (1973) noted, the USAF flying personnel measured in 1967 differed in a number of important respects from those measured in 1950 and, as a result, the "...Seat Reference Point to the cockpit eye line, as specified in MIL-STD-1333 (Cockpit Geometry, Department of Defense, 1969a) and MIL-STD-33574, 5 and 6 (Basic Cockpit Dimensions, Department of Defense, 1969 b, c, d) was increased by 0.5 inches from 31.0 to 31.5 inches. Such dimensions as sitting height, buttock-knee length, and knee height, sitting, to name just a few, are extremely critical in determining the basic vertical and fore-and-aft ejection clearance dimensions in the aircraft cockpit."

Increases in body measurements of USAF fliers documented between 1950 and 1967 are probably attributable, at least in part, to secular increases in body size although this cannot be demonstrated conclusively. The realization that changes in human body size are occurring over time is of importance to those engineers and designers involved in developing systems and equipment for the future.

In summary, it is essential to recognize that the body size of the military population is in a dynamic state and that body size changes must be documented continuously if systems and equipment are to be designed effectively.

#### CHAPTER IV

#### CURRENT ANTHROPOMETRIC RESOURCES

The search for new methodology in data acquisition must be based on available resources. We have outlined summaries of several of the more important general resources that will help provide a basis for this search. These resources have been grouped into five categories: the available basic anthropometric data; data providing an understanding of the interrelationships among body size measurements; data relating to the statistical properties of body size; computational procedures available for simplifying and extending the analyses of these data, and non-standard data gathering procedures. The wealth of experience gained by USAF and other anthropologists in actually applying anthropometric data to design and fit problems, while not summarized here, is still another major resource.

# Anthropometric Data Resources

í.

Since the closing days of World War II, a great mass of body size data has been accumulated from U. S. military personnel and from individuals in the military services of other countries. The size of the accumulation is suggested by the following partial list of major surveys.

I The United States

A. The Air Force:

survey of flying personnel, 1950, 4063 subjects, 132 measurements; survey of WAF basic trainees,

1952, 852 subjects, 63 measurements; PhotoMetriC survey, 1957, 2191 subjects, 30 direct measurements plus four-view standing and seated photographs from which measurements can be made; survey of 1965, 3868 subjects of whom most (2527) were basic trainees, 792 enlisted men, 549 flying and non-flying officers, 158 measurements; flying personnel survey, 1967, 2420 subjects, 187 measurements; Women of the Air Force survey, 1968, 1905 subjects, 1357 enlisted and 548 officers (mostly nurses), 124 measurements plus 13 measurements repeated over foundation garments.

B. The Army:

ł

Ż

1

ş

「「中国」というというというないない

survey of World War II dischargees, 1946, ~100,000 male subjects, ~8000 female subjects, 65 measurements; survey of Army pilots, 1959, 500 subjects, 42 measurements; soldier survey (companion to Navy and Marine surveys), 1965-1966, 6682 subjects (including 125 aviators), 70 measurements; Army aviator survey, 1970, 1482 subjects, mostly helicopter crews, 85 measurements.

C. The Navy:

Navy aviator survey, 1964, 1529 subjects, 97 measurements; enlisted survey (companion to 1965-1966 Army and Marine surveys), 1965-1966, 4095 subjects, 70 measurements. D. The Marines:

enlisted survey (companion to 1966 Army and Navy surveys), 1965-1966, 2008 subjects, 70 measurements.

# II European Countries

A. England:

air crew survey, 1970/71, 2000 subjects, 72 measurements; head and face survey, 1972, 500 subjects, 45 measurements; Armoured Corps Servicemen, 1972, 500 subjects, 62 measurements.

B. Germany:

flying personnel survey, 1967-1968, 1466 subjects, 153 measurements.

C. Italy:

NATO survey (with Greece and Turkey), 1961, 1342 subjects from all services, 148 measurements.

D. Greece:

NATO survey (with Italy and Turkey), 1960/61, 1071 subjects from all services, 148 measurements.

> ogradu – Karris Domani – Karris

- III Asian Countries
  - A. Turkey:

NATO survey (with Italy and Greece), 1960, 912 subjects, 148 measurements.

33 A 1
B. Iran:

à. 3

ARPA sponsored survey, 1968-1969, 9414 subjects from all services, primarily trainees, 68 measurements.

C. Vietnam and Thailand:

surveys conducted by R. M. White, U. S. Army, 1964, 2129 Vietnamese subjects, 50 measurements, and 2950 Thai subjects, 52 measurements.

D. Japan:

flying personnel survey, conducted with participation of M. Alexander, 1962, 239 subjects, 62 measurements; flying personnel survey, 1971, 2024 subjects, 108 measurements.

E. Korea:

flying personnel survey - an effort by the Korean Air Force to duplicate the USAF 1950 survey, 1961, 264 subjects, 132 measurements.

Numerous other surveys have been conducted.\* These include a wide range of valuable small-scale surveys of separate segments of the body, studies of the body in nonclassical positions (as, for example, working positions) or encumbered by special flight clothing, investigations of

<sup>\*</sup> Many of the special surveys are listed in Reid, 1973; a number of the older surveys are summarized by Hansen and Cornog, 1958; additional foreign studies are covered by Garrett and Kennedy, 1971.

reach capabilities in a multiplicity of directions, and many others. Similarly, a number of surveys of small military groups such as navy divers have been carried out. For general research purposes, however, the surveys listed above seem most useful, and for most of them the original data are stored in the AMRL data bank.

Summary statistics from most of these surveys and for subgroups within a number of them have also been assembled in the AMRL data bank. A list of the dimensions for which statistics are available is given in Appendix 1 to this report. The number of entries for a single dimension ranges from one to a dozen or more.

Non-military data can be valuable in the solution of military problems. However, little appropriate material exists. The most important source of civilian anthropometric data is the Health Examination Survey (HES) conducted in the early 60's by the U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Some 15 body dimensions were measured on a nation-wide probability sample of 3581 women and 3091 men in the 18-79 year age range. Most of these dimensions were also measured in the 1967 flying personnel and WAF surveys, and provide a basis for comparing civilian and military body sizes. The HES survey is scheduled to be repeated every 10 years (the data have been gathered for the second group of adults) and should be of help in studying long term trends. The age range covered by the HES data also makes this material useful for studying body size changes with age.

The primary sources of information for solving current USAF sizing and design problems are the 1967 flying personnel and 1968 WAF surveys; a brief summary of the data available from these surveys is given in Table VI.

10 A 10 A

÷

i

Age

#### TABLE VI

BRIEF SUMMARIES OF FLYING PERSONNEL AND WAF SURVEYS

	1967 Flying Pers	onnel	WAF			
	Total Sample:	2420	Total Sample:	1905		
	Pilots Navigators Other	1692 693 35	Nurses Other Officers Officer Trainees Enlisted Women Basic Trainees	389 73 86 1024 333		
:	5%ile 22.4 yrs 50%ile 28.6 yrs 95%ile 42.4 yrs		5%ile 18.3 yrs. 50%ile 21.0 yrs 95%ile 38.9 yrs			

Number	Dimensions Measured	WAF Number
1	Weight	1
9	Skinfolds	4
38	Heights, reaches, long measurements	31
11	Torso breadths and depths	11
12	Torso circumferences and hori- zontal surface measures	19
23	Limb breadths and circumferences	20
18	Hand and foot measures	6
47	Head and face	29
27	Vertical surface measures	2
	Over foundation garment measures	13

Additional foreign data are expected in the near future from the French. R. M. White is presently obtaining data in Saudi Arabia. With the inclusion of this material in the AMRL data bank, adequate data will be available for handling most, if not all, major efforts to design equipment and

30

WWW. TE

workspace which are to be used jointly by male U. S. military personnel and those of its allies. Designs intended to serve:

a. European allies can be based on U. S., British, German, French, Italian, and Greek data;

A STATE OF

ないというというというというない

į.

Distantian in

b. Near-East allies can be based on Turkish, Iranian, and Saudi-Arabian data;

c. Far-East allies can be based on Japanese, Korean, Vietnamese and Thai data.

Unfortunately, we have virtually no data on foreign female military personnel. Some anthropometrists have been prone in the past to emphasize differences among national averages while overlooking the substantial ranges of values within each national group.

A further weakness in the AMRL data bank is the scarcity of data for ethnic minority groups in the United States. Fair sized groups of Black basic trainees, both male and female, have been measured in USAF and U. S. Army surveys but very little data exist for Blacks over 21 years of age or for Black officers. The situation is similar for Chicanos; for U. S. Orientals, almost no data exist. There is a need for data on these groups both to treat present equipment and fitting problems and to provide a basis for predicting body size patterns which will exist in the USAF if changing military or economic factors alter the rate at which members of these groups enlist.

#### Resources for Understanding Body Size Interrelationships

Science is based in many ways on observing interrelationships and interactions among many variables. Anthropometry obviously is not a discipline like physics in which the study of observed interactions and variations can be expected to lead to the discovery of causal relationships and quasi-exact mathematical formulations. Nonetheless, anthropometry is a field in which a knowledge of the relationships among the variables with which it deals is important for the solution of its problems, and is even more important for the conceptualization of these problems and the development of approaches to their solutions.

いた。 「たい」のでは、 のために、 のため、 のため、
のため、 のため のため、 のため のた のため、 のため、 のため、 のため、 のため、 のため、

有限が少したかないとうと思

Our knowledge of how body size measurements interrelate has vastly expanded since World War II. In 1946 Randall, Damon, and their colleagues were fully aware of the importance of body size interrelationships in carrying out their work but all they had were some fifty interrelationships classified as either low and useless or as usable (see Figure 3). They had no correlation coefficients, no regression equations, no basis for judging the degree of a relationship between one variable and a set of two or more variables (such as height and weight)--in short, few of the statistical tools of the trade in common use today.

By way of contrast 22 years later, the 1968 WAF report incorporated some 386 pages of material (excluding bivariate frequency tables) based on interrelationships of the dimensions

	Weight	Squatting Diagonal	Anterior Arm Reach	Shoulder-Elbow Height	Span-Akimbo	Bi-deltoid	Sitting Height	Bi-epicondylar (elbows)	Abcominal Depth	Bi-trochanteric	Buttock-Knee	Patella Height	Leg Length (subtractive)	
Stature	*	0	*	*	*	0	*	0	0	0	*	*	+	
Weight		0	0	0	0	*	0	*	<u>с</u>	*	ο	0	+	
Squatting Diagonal			+	4	+	+	*	+	0	†	0	+	+	
Anterior Arm Reach				+	*	+	0	†	+	†	+	+	†	
Shoulder-Elbow Height					*	+	ο	+	+	+	+	t	t	
Span-Akimbo						0	0	†	+	+	*	*	+	
Bi-deltoid							0	o	+	о	+	ο	+	
Sitting Height								0	0	0	о	*	0	
Bi-epicondylar (elbows)									0	0	+	+	+	
Abdominal Depth										0	ο	+	t	
Bi-trochanteric											о	0	+	
Buttock-Knee												*	+	
Foot Length												*	+	

\* denotes utilizable correlation 0 denotes low, useless correlation † denotes correlation not attempted

and the second second

3

Figure 3. Schematic Guide to Correlations of Principal Measurements (adapted from Randall, et al., <u>Human Body Size</u> in <u>Military Aircraft and Personal Equipment</u>, AAF-TR-5501, 1946).

and a second of the second second

measured in that survey. It may be worth noting the types of material included in these tables. They include:

1. over 8,000 simple correlation coefficients for age, grip strength, and body size variables. A distribution graph of the coefficients for age and body measurements, taken from the WAF report, appears as Figure 4;

ţ.



 regression equations for estimating one variable from another (equations for all pairs of variables with correlation coefficients in excess of 0.316) and the corresponding standard errors of estimate;

3. estimated values of all other measurements for women of specified heights, weights, and combinations of height and weight; 4. multiple regression equations for estimating other measurements in terms of height and weight, in terms of height and bust circumference, and in terms of ten similar combinations, as well as multiple regression equations for estimating head and face measurements in terms of head length and head breadth and in terms of other combinations of head measurements;

.

ł.

-----

12.12

5. two sets of stepwise regression equations. These equations were prepared by a computer program which proceeds as follows for each variable:

the variable having the highest correlation with the given one is determined; using this variable as the predictor variable, the "best" univariate regression equation is calculated;

the two variables having the highest bivariate correlation are then determined; using this combination, the "best" bivariate equation is calculated;

next, the three variables having the highest trivariate correlation are determined, and so forth.

The WAF report provides equations based on one to eight predictor variables; the equations are accompanied by the multiple correlation coefficients and standard errors of estimate. The second of the two sets of equations differs from the first set only in that height and weight were automatically included as predictors for all other variables;

35

The Philade Contraction of the State

6. tables of partial correlation coefficients measuring the relationship between pairs of variables for women of the same weight, for women of the same height, for women of the same height and the same weight, and for women of the same height, the same weight, and the same age;

7. analysis of the magnitude of the correlations between various anatomically similar groups of measurements.

Similar material, including over 16,000 correlation coefficients, is available for the 1967 flying personnel survey data. The complete correlation matrix for the 1950 flying personnel survey is also available and a full presentation of the correlation coefficients has been included in the published reports of several of the surveys listed above.

Correlational data lend themselves to many types of analysis. A recent factor analysis study of race- and sexspecific anthropometric data from United States, European, and Asian sources (Churchill, 1974) is an example of the sort of study which adds to our understanding of body size data.

None of the aforementioned data is presented here since the substance of the material is not particularly relevant to our present purposes. What is important is that great quantities of such data are available and that much more material can be created as the need arises.

#### Statistical Properties of Body Size Data

If one is to make optimum use of available data, a knowledge of its statistical properties is usually required.

A major assumption which undergirds anthropometric data handling is that most body size data for healthy individuals of military age is, in the jargon of the statistician, approximately multivariate normal. An important corollary of this assumption is that the information contained in the original data is completely contained in the basic summary statistics--the means, standard deviations, and correlation coefficients.

Multivariate normal variables are linearly related, i.e., their relationships can be expressed in the equations of the form Y=A+BX, Y=A+B<sub>1</sub>X<sub>1</sub>+B<sub>2</sub>X<sub>2</sub>, Y=A+B<sub>1</sub>X<sub>1</sub>+...+B<sub>k</sub>X<sub>k</sub>. These relationships are homoscedastic; that is, the variation around the regression line or plane is independent of the values of the predictor variables. This means, for example, that the standard deviation of head breadth is, at least approximately, the same for long-headed men, medium-headed men, and short-headed men.

The assumption of approximate multivariate normality makes it possible to compute, without recourse to the original data, such material as:

1. percentile values obtained by adding or subtracting multiples of the standard deviation from the mean;

2. percentile values of computed variables obtained by adding or subtracting two or more of the original variables;

3. the proportion of a population of values which lies within an interval of values of one variable or within any combination of intervals for a group of variables;

37

4. the proportion of individuals who will be disaccommodated by any univariate or multivariate design;

5. the mean, standard deviation, and percentiles for any variable for any subset of the original population based on one or more anthropometric measures;

6. estimates of the mean, standard deviation and percentiles for the total population based on data from restricted or truncated samples;

7. estimates of the sampling error for any of these statistics from microcosm samples, plateau samples, and other probability samples.

Another significant fact about most anthropometric measures (weight and skinfold measures excluded) is that the coefficients of variation are (1) fairly small and (2) relatively the same for anatomically similar dimensions. A consequence of the small size of the coefficients of variation is that the computations listed in 1-7 above can usually be done for non-linear functions (indices, etc.) of the original variables as well as for linear ones (Churchill, 1963). The second characteristic of the coefficient of variation provides a basis for estimating standard deviations for unmeasured variables. This can be important in designing sampling procedures for variables, since the sampling errors for a variable are closely related to the variable's standard deviation.

The fact that most anthropometric data have an approximately multivariate normal distribution with small coefficients

of variation has two important implications relevant to the development of sampling strategies:

ŀ.

- in the

1. the theoretical basis exists for mathematically evaluating various sampling procedures, for estimating the sampling errors associated with any procedure and sample size, and for providing a basis for selecting the optimum procedure;

2. the possibility clearly exists for computing design values for sub-ranges of a population on the basis of the data for the entire range. This is perhaps the most significant concept in this section since its application would enable us to design, say, a narrow-long face mask using the full range of facial measurements rather than just the data obtained from a few prospective wearers of this size. If this is done, the sampling error of our design values would be related to the size of the entire sample and not that of a small subgroup; the sample size needed to provide adequately small sampling errors would thus be substantially reduced.

Work remains to be done in the area of the statistical properties of body size data. Although the word "approximately" will never be completely removed from the phrase "approximately multivariate normal," there remain a number of points concerning the nature and extent of the approximations on which we could use additional information.

## Resources Based on Computational Procedures

It is unnecessary to belabor the extent to which the modern computer is a major resource in the handling of body

- Harrison Harrison Co

size data. The XVAL and EDIT programs, developed under AMRL sponsorship, are now widely used to isolate and eradicate the inevitable recording and processing errors contained in great quantities of survey data, and to provide clean data without which all later analyses would be less precise and useful. The stepwise correlation program whose complex computations are described above is clearly a child of the computer. Artificial bivariate and proportions-disaccommodated programs are examples of how information which previously could be obtained only by tedious extraction from often obscure tables can now be quickly and painlessly obtained in a more useful form. Programs such as the one which draws the ellipses shown in Figure 2 (Chapter III), without generating new data, present old material in a form which facilitates a better understanding of it. Reference was made earlier to the voluminous amount of correlation material incorporated in the 1968 WAF report and to the one-page table of 50 or so correlation values which appeared in a 1946 AAF technical report. The time needed by the computer to generate the entire 386 pages of WAF correlational material was less than that required by the data processing machinery of two decades earlier to produce a single point on the AAF table.

No information can be considered genuinely valuable unless its potential value exceeds the cost and the delays incurred in obtaining it. A major contribution of the computer has been to vastly increase the range of information which meets this criterion.

## Non-standard Data Gathering Procedures

•:

ĥ

.

4.1.1

1111

Ŗ

÷

!

ā

į

. 14

ļ

b

í

ċ

Low Many Low

Unfortunately, most of the resources in the area of nonstandard data gathering are negative. A wide variety of procedures has been developed, utilized for varying periods of time, and then abandoned, bequeathing to us little more than the knowledge that we should pass them by. The U. S. Navy had a measuring rig which they abandoned when it proved riddled with all sorts of sources of error. The AMRL contourometer surely produced far more anguish than useful data and has long been retired. The PhotoMetriC system used for a USAF survey in 1957 did produce a modicum of data, some of it unique, but it is doubtful that anyone has ever seriously suggested the system be used for another survey. Stereophotography is currently being touted as the successor to standard anthropometry, but it is a very expensive, slow procedure.

Photography has been widely used as a substitute for direct measurement, often with indifferent results. One source of difficulty has been that many of these photographs were taken primarily for somatotyping rather than for measurement. Another source of difficulty has been the practice of using total body photographs for measuring small segments which may represent only two percent or so of the negative's length. Little evidence exists in the literature to suggest that careful planning and experimentation have preceded many photographically oriented surveys. We believe, however, that photography, carefully planned and executed, has real potential

41

the state of the s

and an experience of the

for surveys designed for specific goals and we have recently accepted responsibility under an AMRL research contract to demonstrate that this is so.

È,

E. F.

A. Samera

ļ

÷

1 1

と見たいが

Of all the non-standard methods of gathering anthropometric data, the simplest and, it would appear, one of the most potentially useful ones, is that of simply asking individuals how tall they are and how much they weigh. While these questions have long been asked of military personnel, little has been done until recently to ascertain the reliability of the answers. Data from seven recent military,surveys relating to this subject are summarized in Table VII. Table VIII, reproduced from the WAF report, illustrates the relationship between the measured and reported heights and weights obtained in that survey.

For 15 of the survey samples and subsamples, correlation coefficients (r's) are listed in Table VII. The median of the measured-reported weight correlations is about 0.96 and that of the measured-reported height correlations is about 0.94, indicating quite close relationships between the measured and reported values. These correlations are probably higher than the test-retest correlations we would find for a great many standard anthropometric measures. For the 1967 survey, actual weight can be more accurately estimated from reported weight than from any of the 185 direct measurements; height can be more accurately estimated from reported height than from any but three direct measurements (cervicale, acromial, and suprasternale heights).

## TABLE VII

¢.,

È.,

.

È,

I will be about a mark of any cases a superior of the particular and the second of the particular second of the

## COMPARISONS OF MEASURED AND REPORTED HEIGHTS AND WEIGHTS

I. U. S. Army Survey - 1966 (N = 6082)

Height							Weight							
Measured	X =	68.7	SD	•	2.6	x	-	159.	1	SD	= 23	3.4		
Reported	<del>x</del> -	69.8	SD	-	2.7	X	•	161.	4	SD	= 23	3.3	•	
		r	<b>.</b> .	935					r۰	• .	951			
Reported-Measured 1	leig	hts				Re	<u>apc</u>	orted	-M	988	ured	We	lg)	its
Basic Trainees	Δ =	1.0	r =	. 9	32	Δ		0.8	r	-	.963	N		2639
Infantrymen	Δ =	1.2	r =	.9	39	۵	=	3.3	r	-	.941	N	=	3428
Armored Personnel	Δ .	1.3	r =	. 9	32	۵		3.8	r		.955	N		488
Aviators	Δ =	1.1	r n	, 9	34	Δ		2.4	r	-	. 948	N	•	125
	II	. U.	<b>s.</b> 1	Nav (N	y Enli = 4095	st( )	be	- 19	66					
<u>!</u>	Keig	ht						W	eig	ght	-			
Measured	<del>x</del> -	<b>69.</b> 0	SD	•	2.6	x		157.	8	SD	= 23	3.3		
Reported	x -	69.9	SD	=	2.7	x		158.	8	SD	= 2:	3.9		
-		r	н,	937					r :	• ,	975			
	111	. U.	s.	Mar (N	ines E = 2008	nl: )	is	ted -	1	966	•			
1	Heig	ht						W	ei	ght				
Measured	<u>x</u> -	68.7	SD	-	2.5	x	м	160.	2	SC	) = 19	<b>9.</b> 7		
Reported	<del>x</del> =	70.2	SD	**	2.6	x	=	163.	9	SC	) = 19	9.3		
		r		91 <b>9</b>					<b>x</b> -		955			
	IV	'. U.	s.	Arm (N	y Avia = 1482	to: )	r#	- 19	70					
1	Heig	ht						W	lei	ght				
Measured	<del>x</del> =	68.7	SD	w	2.5	x		171.	2	SĽ	) = 2	3.8		
Reported	x *	70.1	SD		2.6	x	-	170.	9	SD	) = 2	1.8		
		r	= .	943	l				r		965			

43

# TABLE VII (continued)

V. USAF 1965 Survey Basic Trainees (N = 2653) Weight Height  $\overline{X} = 151.5$  SD = 22.5 Measured  $\overline{X}$  = 68.9 SD = 2.5 Reported  $\overline{X}$  = 69.6 SD = 2.7  $\overline{X}$  = 153.2 SD = 22.5 r = .982r = .943 Officers (N = 549)Measured  $\overline{X}$  = 69.7 SD = 2.5  $\overline{X}$  = 171.4 SD = 20.4 Reported  $\overline{X}$  = 70.3 SD = 2.5  $\overline{X}$  = 171.3 SD = 20.0 r = .981 r = .967 Enlisted (N = 789) Measured  $\overline{X} = 68.8$  SD = 2.7  $\overline{X} = 162.0$  SD = 24.8 Reported  $\overline{X} = 69.6$  SD = 2.7  $\overline{X} = 163.4$  SD = 23.8 r = .977 r = .958VI. USAF Flying Personnel - 1967 (N = 2420)Weight Height  $\overline{X} = 173.6$  SD = 21.4 Measured  $\overline{X} = 69.8$  SD = 2.4  $\vec{X} = 173.6$  SD = 19.7 Reported  $\overline{X}$  = 70.6 SD = 2.4 r = .974r = .956 VII. Women of the Air Force - 1968 Total (N = 1903) Weight Height  $\overline{X} = 127.3$  SD = 16.6 Measured  $\overline{X} = 63.8$  SD = 2.4 X = 125.4 SD = 15.8 Reported  $\overline{X} = 64.8$  SD = 2.4 r = .973 r = .961Officers (N = 547)Measured  $\overline{X} = 64.1$  SD = 2.4  $\overline{X} = 131.5$  SD = 18.5 Reported  $\overline{X} = 65.1$  SD = 2.5  $\overline{X} = 130.6$  SD = 17.2 r = .978r = .970

#### TABLE VII (concluded)

(VII. Women of the Air Force - 1968) Enlisted (N = 1356) Height Weight

HeightWeightMeasured  $\overline{X} = 63.7$  SD = 2.3 $\overline{X} = 125.5$  SD = 15.4Reported  $\overline{X} = 64.7$  SD = 2.4 $\overline{X} = 123.3$  SD = 14.7r = .957r = .970

There is in all these data evidence of a tendency to overestimate one's height. The mean differences were fairly consistent; of the 15 listed differences, 10 fell in the 0.8 - 1.2 inch range.

All but one comparison based on male surveys showed reported weights generally above actual ones; for the women the reverse was true. Weight differences for men ranged from an underestimate of 0.3 pounds to an overestimate of 3.8 pounds. The three women's figures fall in the range of oneto two-pound underestimates. Considered relative to the standard deviations for weight, these differences are not large. Anecdotal evidence from members of several of the survey teams suggests, in fact, that the weight differences could be accounted for by the work and eating patterns of the survey subjects at the time they were measured.

The "reported" data presented in Table VII were all obtained by asking survey subjects their heights and weights immediately prior to their being measured. The question of whether people will give more accurate answers knowing that their answers will

attent and Care Care and Barry Barry 131 1

how and the second s

no bear of a far all all and all the

#### TABLE VIII



r

the second

ł

語としたというというとなったので、

(WAF Survey Data -- The Total Series)



Weight as Reported by Subjects (1bs.)



		Mean		<u>sta. Dev</u>	<u>• K</u>
Measured	Weight	127.26	1bs	16.58 1b	в 0.973
Reported	Weight	125.40	1bs	15.83 1b	8

46

1. 9

be quickly checked than they would have done otherwise has been raised. One further set of data provides evidence on this point.

In October 1966, a world-wide survey of the USAF, which covered a 13% sample of all non-general officers on duty anywhere in the world except in active fighting areas, included questions about the height and weight of the respondents. Almost 12,000 responses, slightly fewer than half from officers on flying status, were received. Similar data were obtained for enlisted men. While we will report here only on the flying personnel data, it is worth noting that this survey constitutes the only source of height and weight data for large groups of non-flying officers and enlisted men beyond basic training. The data were also available by command and age breakdowns.

For the 5,700 officers on flying status, the results were:

Reported weight:  $\overline{X}$  = 173.1, SD = 18.8, Median = 172.3 lbs Reported height:  $\overline{X}$  = 70.5, SD = 2.4, Median = 70.5 in

These values agree exceedingly well with the results of the 1967 survey taken six months later (mean reported weight 173.6 pounds, mean reported height 70.6 inches). Among the subjects of this survey were 196 men who had also been subjects of the world-wide sample survey cited above. For these men, we have three sets of heights and weights:

1. values reported on a "mail" questionnaire with no
immediate likelihood of direct measurement (October-reported);

2. values reported four-five months later just prior to being measured (winter-reported);

3. actual measured values (winter-measured). We obtained the following results:

たけであるとのないというないでしたというないのである

		Weight					<u>Height</u>					
October-reported	x	-	172.4	SD	×	18.2	x	٤.,	70.5	SD	NT.	2.5
Winter-reported	x	=	174.2	SD		19.4	x	12	70.6	SD	*	2.4
Winter-measured	x	-	173.9	SD	-	20.4	x	-	69.9	SD	-	2.5
r (1, 2	2)		0.949						0.904			
r (1, 3	3)	-	0.925						0.888			
r (2, 3	3)	-	0.971						0.954			

A comparison of the means and standard deviations seems to indicate that answers can be obtained on "mail" surveys which are very similar to those obtained by asking the same questions immediately prior to actual measurement. While all the correlation coefficients just reported are high, the October-reported correlations with winter-measured are clearly lower than the winter-reported correlations with wintermeasured. The difference in the weight values may be due, in part, to actual changes in weight, but this can hardly be true of the heights.

We raise one final question about reported heights and weights: how well could they serve in estimating other body dimensions? An answer to this question is given in Figure 5 in which the multiple correlations with reported weight and reported height are plotted against those with measured weight and measured height for all the measured variables in the 1967 survey except the skinfolds and head and face measurements.

48

A subscription of the set of the set of the set of the set



A NUMBER OF THE PARTY OF THE PA

h

「たい」のようない

ί, s

ļ,

)) (

ľ

ţ

A state of the sta



Clearly there is substantial agreement as there is, in fact, among the measured height and reported height correlations and among the measured weight and reported weight values. In a few cases the simple correlations with reported heights and weights are higher than the corresponding correlations with measured heights and weights. In no case, however, are the multiple correlations based on the measured values lower than those based on the reported ones. The median absolute differences for all three sets of comparisons are in the neighborhood of 0.02.

Two non-military studies in this field are worthy of brief note. In the first, workers in several Dayton and Cincinnati area factories were supplied with a paper tape measure and asked to report their heights, sitting heights, chest circumferences, and weights. Within a day or two they were measured at their places of employment. As with the military series, agreement between reported and measured heights and weights was good, and agreement for chest circumference was quite satisfactory. The reported data for sitting height, however, proved to be so inaccurate as to render it almost worthless. The second study, recently reported by the Federal Aviation Administration, shows major discrepancies between measured and reported heights. Their report, however, leaves little doubt that the major error sources were a faulty questionnaire and the absence of any basis for classifying ambiguous data.

The material presented in this section suggests that reported height and weight data, even those obtained by "mail" surveys, have considerable potential for designing sample plans and for matching samples and populations. They can also be useful as a basis for translating values obtained from one population (e.g., flying officers).to a second population (e.g., non-flying officers). The utility of reported measurements might be even further increased by devoting a modest effort to improving the basic questions and designing one or two additional questions (perhaps related to clothing sizes) which would provide a basis for clarifying ambiguous answers.

「日本」という日本になる日本の目的

「「「「「「」」」」というないで、「」」」

50

ALL LAND

# CHAPTER V SAMPLING DESIGNS

Collectors of anthropometric data often overlook the fact that there is a wide variety of sampling schemes, each with its own strengths and flaws. We shall describe here a number of these plans and offer some evaluation of each. On the basis of these evaluations we make a single generalization, to wit, that no sampling plan will be best for all types of data collection and that for any particular survey a variety of plans should be scrutinized to determine the one best for the survey at hand.

## Random - Quasi-quota - Microcosm Sampling

なないというないというないないないで、ないないないないないないないで、

We have grouped here all the schemes designed to obtain a sample which is representative of the population under study. Most anthropometric surveys and all major USAF surveys have used such sampling. Usually the word "random" is associated with this type of smapling but, as strictly defined by statisticians,\*

<sup>\*</sup> Statisticians define a random sampling plan as one in which, a priori, every individual has an equal chance of being selected, and in which an individual's chance of being selected is independent of every other individual's chances. The second condition keeps a survey such as the USAF world-wide survey, referred to in the previous chapter, from being truly random. In this survey each individual with a serial number ending in any one of certain combinations was included. Under this plan the first segment of this definition was satisfied since each individual did have an equal chance, ahead of time, of being included. However, the second segment was not satisfied since two men with serial numbers ending in the same digits would both be included or both excluded. However, this condition would seem to be of little importance in sampling from populations as large as the major segments of the USAF.

it is doubtful whether true random sampling has ever been, or ever will be, used in military surveys. This is not to say, of course, that anthropometrists must abandon the effort to secure data broadly representative of the population as a whole. Major surveys, such as the 1950 and 1967 surveys of flying personnel, were organized on the basis of quasi-quotas to include men of different commands, different ranks, and different ages, stationed at bases throughout the country. We have presented evidence, based on reported heights and weights, that in the later survey, at least, there was a close similarity between the entire flying officer population and the survey sample. This kind of sample-population matching can, however, be done only when the sample is, by standards we will later develop, unnecessarily and expensively large.

Comparable results can usually be achieved by construction of a microcosm sample which can be defined as a group of subjects selected to correspond to the larger population in a limited number of significant characteristics such as height, weight and age. That is, the <u>distribution</u> of values in the microcosm sample makes it a scaled down version of the larger population in terms of the significant characteristics.

Microcosm samples can be tied in several ways to the population from which they are chosen or to a population very like it providing we possess some information about the population. If, for example, reported heights and weights of the USAF flying personnel are known, a microcosm sample could be selected to match these values. One method of doing this would be to

As all and

divide the bivariate distribution of these variables into a number of boxes, each representing a proportion of the total population. A sample would then be drawn by selecting appropriate numbers of individuals from each of the boxes. A second method, useful if potential subjects with known reported heights and weights are available, is to select a sample which agrees with the population statistics (means, standard deviations, and correlation coefficients) for these variables.\* When the latter method is feasible it is preferred to the former because the former, while providing a sample likely to agree closely with the population with respect to mean values, will usually give samples with standard deviations smaller than those of the population.

تعمد ملاحظت المسلمات المساحين

No. of Concession, Name

ŀ.

ŀ

1 d. W. Oak tourstinning on thilly and some bet

If limitations of time, location and available subjects prevent construction of a microcosm sample which corresponds exactly to the larger population, it is possible to scale the results up or down as needed. This is done by adjusting the mean values of all measured variables by means of regression equations. Thus, if the goal is a sample similar to a population with known reported heights and weights, it is possible after the data have been collected to compute regression equations for all other variables in terms of these two, and to replace the sample mean values with the values obtained by putting the desired height and weight values in these equations. This approach should considerably reduce the sampling error for

\* Computer programs have been prepared for the use of either of these approaches.

TELLER BLACK BALLER

the mean values for those variables highly related to height and weight. It will, however, not affect the sampling error of the standard deviation.

1

Condition of the strength of the

Statistical analyses of data from microcosm sampling will ordinarily by simpler than those of data from other kinds of samples and will involve fewer assumptions. Further, by making reasonable statistical assumptions, the data from such samples can be used for estimating design values for every size. This can also be achieved with the data from other types of samples, but it is usually simpler to do this from microcosm samples.

Having expounded at some length on the advantages of microcosm sampling, it must now be said that this can be an inefficient and wasteful type of sample selection for surveys aimed at seeking solutions to certain specific problems.

There are two broad categories of design problems in which body size data play a major role. One of these is the singlesize design problem where, with respect to one or more dimensions, the primary concern is that an item be big enough for a big man and small enough for a small man. There will be occasions in which the genuinely useful data for such a design will come from the lowest and highest, say, 10% of a microcosm sample, with the remaining 80% being of almost no direct value.



Better information would undoubtedly be provided by a sample consisting of twice as many men in the two tails of the distribution--and none in the middle. Occasionally, only one end of a distribution is important; one may well ask, for example, what the 3000 men whose height was measured as 70 inches or less in the 1950 survey tell us that is of value in determining doorway heights or bed lengths that isn't better told by the considerably fewer men 71 inches tall or taller. It is true, of course, that we would not ordinarily conduct a survey specifically to determine the proper height of doorway; it is also true that if we accept the premise that heights are normally distributed, we can estimate the proper upper design value for such heights even from a sample with the upper end of the distribution missing.

The second major type of design problem involves multi-size designs. A simple example is that of the six-size helmet liners used by the USAF. For this, the survey sample was divided into six groups on the basis of head circumference. The data for each of these groups were then analyzed separately and the design values obtained. Similar sizing procedures were used for the various items (partial pressure suits, etc.) sized on the basis of the height-weight sizing system and for the oral-nasal face mask, though the size-subgroups were based in these instances on two variables rather than on one.

For this type of data analysis, microcosm sampling is simultaneously inadequate and wasteful. Consider, for example, the use of the 1967 survey data in the design of the helmet

liners. Since there is some pooling of the data in estimating a common within-a-size standard deviation, subsamples of 200 each may be assumed to be of adequate size for each liner size. Thus:

> Six-Size Head Circumference System (Based on 1967 Data)

Size	Range (cm)	Required Sample	Actual	Deficit	Excess
I	53.85-55.15	200	98	102	
II	59.15-56.45	200	485		285
III	56.45-57.75	200	801		601
IV	57.75-59.05	200	675		475
v	59.05-60.35	200	286		86
VI	60.35-61.65	200	_60	140	
		1200	2405	242	1447

For two of the six sizes, the available data fall far short (<50%) of the necessary sample size, suggesting the potential for serious design error. On the other hand for the four remaining sizes, the samples are anywhere from 43% to 300% too large. Roughly 60% of the entire sample was-relative to this design system--superfluous.



The samples for the extreme sizes, in addition to being too small, are seriously biased. The sample for size 1, for example, includes three times as many subjects above the

midpoint of the size interval as are below it, and the resulting design values will be ones appropriate for a range of head circumferences of about 54.10-55.40 cm rather than 53.85-55.15 cm.

## Plateau Samples

事していたまた

ļļ I

したいことが、 たまないの時間、 とうかくだい

|

}· ·

Plateau sampling was introduced, without being named, in the preceding paragraphs. A sample consisting of 200 men in each of the six size categories for head circumferences would be a plateau sample. The major drawback to be considered here is that a plateau sample will be a plateau sample only with respect to a single variable. Our head circumference sample would, unfortunately, not provide equal numbers of subjects for a head length or a head breadth sizing system. One could devise a sampling scheme based on the initial selection of the smallest group of individuals from which a head circumference plateau sample, a head breadth plateau sample, and head length sample could be chosen but this seems an unnecessarily complicated approach.

Plateau sampling is probably best suited to dealing with "latent data" by which we mean data that can be ascertained from available records (generally photographs) but which, because of the work involved, are not read until needed. Head circumference was measured directly in the 1957 PhotoMetriC survey and is available on the magnetic tape record of this survey. If data for designing a head circumference sizing system were now wanted, it would be a simple procedure to have

the computer select an appropriate plateau sample from the men included in this survey and then make the necessary measurements on their photographs. If it were subsequently decided to use these same measurements for a head length sizing system, the computer could select the relatively few additional subjects whose photographs would need to be measured.

Plateau sampling also has potential value in selecting subjects for anthropometric research, particularly when the statistical nature of the relationships among the background and experimental factors are not well established. Thus, for example, in a study in which age is an important factor, an experimental panel might well be chosen to include equal numbers of subjects within each five-year interval over the desired age span.

#### Stratified Samples

No of all in the second station while a raise best in which the boot in the line

and the second second second second second second

In conducting the 1967 survey a conscious effort was made to obtain navigators as well as pilots, students in pilot training programs as well as students at the staff school at Maxwell Air Force Base, and subjects in a variety of other strata. This was done for the purpose of creating a sample which closely resembled the population. True stratified sampling is based on a system of subsamples designed to minimize the sampling error of the resulting statistics; to achieve this, the sizes of the subsamples are usually not proportionate to the relative sizes of the strata in the population. Sampling schemes of this type are most uneful when the differences between the strata are

large, whereas in most USAF anthropometry the within-group differences, rather than the between-group differences, are the large ones. The major exception to this is the difference between male and female groups. We can think of the 1967 and the WAF surveys as constituting a single, stratified sample of USAF personnel, noting that the sample sizes for the two strata are roughly equal and do not reflect the relative numbers of men and women in the USAF.

## U-Shaped Samples

のないである。

正言語の

ġ.

STATISTICS -

When analysis of a design problem makes it clear that a design which accommodates both small and large men will of necessity accommodate those in between, it makes sense to sample only small and large men. This may be particularly true for arm-reach envelope studies, for example, where the sample size is severely restricted because of the considerable time required to obtain the data from each subject. In this case, useful results more than compensate for the difficulties of selecting subjects and obtaining information.

#### W-Shaped Samples

And Annual Street Provide Street

When a design problem depends basically on only the largest and smalllest men, it may still be of interest to include representatives of the medium-size potential users in the research sample. One might in such a case choose a sample like the following:

ļ	Heigh	<u>it</u>	(ir	<u>1.)</u>	Number	of	Subjects
64	1/4	-	66			25	
69	1/4	-	70	1/2		25	
73	3/4	-	75	1/2		25	

Such a sample, based on the extremes and the middle can be described as a W-sample.

### L-Shaped Samples

We down in the Property is

Į,

State States

Sec. 1

- P. 9. (1) - 1

Ì

Strand Strand Barrow

A STATE OF A

Other design problems may relate only to men at one extreme of the population. Such problems are illustrated by the difficulties recently experienced by large pilots at Hill Air Force Base in wearing the SRU-21/P survival vest/body armor along with he, y flight clothing. A study of this problem was conducted at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base using as subjects the available SAC flight personnel who weighed over 200 pounds. Samples of this type with data from only a narrow range of values (209 to 244 pounds in this case) are designated as L-shaped.

Other types of sampling strategies do exist. The more complicated the strategy, the more complicated the problem of selecting the subjects may be and, for some strategies, the more complicated the analysis may be. For studies requiring considerable time per subject, however, such complexities may be worth facing. For any type of study, the feasibility of each potential sampling plan should be evaluated in terms of its statistical efficiency and its ease and simplicity of use.

#### CHAPTER VI

## USAF ANTHROPOMETRIC NEEDS AND SURVEY STRATEGIES

Ľ

ĺ,

Seattle States

The USAF needs for basic anthropometric data take a variety of forms. The most appropriate way of gathering a particular body of data usually depends on the use to which it will be put. Thus it may be worthwhile to consider, first, the nature of the needs and then explore how they may best be satisfied.

1. There is need for a large body of general data, covering many dimensions and all parts of the body, based on subjects of various ages, socio-educational groups, ethnic backgrounds, and both sexes. These data are needed for an understanding of the statistical nature of body size measurements and of the interrelationships among the dimensions of the body. The primary function of these data is to facilitate an understanding of anthropometric problems and to aid in developing approaches to their solutions.

2. From time to time, the need for data to solve a specific design problem arises. Ideally, these data should accurately portray current and future USAF personnel who will use or otherwise be affected by the item being designed.

3. Information is required to furnish a sound basis for estimating accurately the proportions of USAF personnel who

61

<del>no na se</del>de desenverses en en

fall within specified ranges of selected anthropometric measures, such as weight, stature, and sitting height.

4. There is need for data with which to follow secular trends.

5. Data must be assembled for studying the relevance of body size and body proportions to the outcome of lowprobability incidents, such as in-flight ejection.

6. Information is needed to study variations among major groups within the USAF, such as differences between Oriental and Caucasian faces or between male and female reach envelopes.

## Understanding the Statistical Nature of Body Size Data

The first of these broad groups of needs is, fortunately, rather well satisfied by the survey data already available. Not all questions we could ask about the statistical nature of body size data have been answered and some probably never will be. Many of these unanswered questions involve the tails of the statistical distributions, the very small men and the very large ones. Massive surveys, such as the 100,000+ survey of men leaving the Army in 1946, are not likely to prove useful in answering the questions since the solutions depend on the analysis of very small variations requiring a level of measuring and sampling precision not likely to be achieved in massive surveys. There remain, however, many questions for which the answers <u>can</u> be obtained from the available data.

## Data for Specific Design Problems

1

A STATE AND A

に出

ドクビデー

a For an include a strategiest and a subject and the state of the

It is difficult to imagine any major design problems for which the basic body size data are not already available. With the passage of time, it may be desirable to update some of this information and we will suggest how best to do this in a subsequent portion of this report. However, most of the alreadyexisting basic data for multi-size designs will continue to be useful unless substantial shifts in body proportions take place. Although mean heights and weights do increase with the passage of time, there is no evidence to suggest that a piece of personal equipment which fits a man of 175 pounds and 70 inches a decade ago will not fit a man of this size today or a decade from now.\* 10.00 A

Ĵ

We would recommend against conducting any more massive all-purpose surveys designed to describe in detail the entire human shape from head breadth to instep length. Given the already available data, any further such undertaking would be unnecessary, inefficient and impractical. Additional surveys which will be needed from time to time should have a specific purpose to serve as, for example, the gathering of additional head and face data to meet new mask design requirements.

<sup>\*</sup> A few years ago Sears Roebuck and other mail order firms began sizing boys' clothing on the basis of a re-analysis of data from the mid-thirties. The resulting drop in returned merchandise indicated that even for children - a far more heterogeneous and rapidly changing population than flying personnel - clothing sized on dimensions like height and weight can properly fit the descendants of the survey sample.
Task-oriented surveys are almost certain to be concerned with a single portion of the body at a time. While it is possible that the need for new face data and new foot data might arise at the same time, little, if anything, would be gained from an anthropometric point of view by obtaining these data from the same men. The men who wear masks will, of course, wear shoes, but they will choose the appropriate size of one without regard to the other. It is, in addition, difficult to imagine a combined survey contributing anything useful we do not already know about the interrelationships of face and foot dimensions.

One element essential to body size surveys is a ready supply of appropriate subjects. Since the most critical USAF design problems usually concern flying personnel, the most appropriate subjects for our surveys are USAF officers, men who often have pressing time commitments and are, thus, prone to annoyance if measuring sessions become lengthy. It has been found that an hour is the maximum time which can reasonably be demanded for the actual measuring process; this, in turn, means a total of one-and-a-half to two hours away from an officer's normally scheduled activities.

If the survey design requires that a large number of measurements be made on each man, pressure to complete this process in a brief period may seriously hamper efforts to carry out the measuring with maximum care and precision. To make 180 measurements (fewer than were made in the 1967 survey) in one hour provides exactly twenty seconds per

measurement. It is true that many studies have shown that the accuracy with which a task is conducted does not necessarily increase as the time spent doing it is increased. Nonetheless, there is a minimum time required for performing each measurement properly. Without careful posing and careful checking of the tape or anthropometer, results cannot be relied upon.

. . .

del cald high constant

a marina and a state of the state of the

New surveys will presumably be concerned mainly with new and not-as-yet standardized measurements. In contemplating future surveys, Dr. S. M. Garn (1973) has proposed that where a choice of techniques and procedures exists, decisions should be based on comparative studies of inter- and intra-measurer reliabilities. Again, the human resources for large numbers of such studies are likely to be severely limited.

We suggest that surveys of body size dimensions be limited to about 40 measurements which should be adequate for a survey oriented to a particular task. This number is large enough to include a few measurements which would serve to the the sample in with prior samples, and small enough to assure the sort of thorough planning and careful execution needed to provide data of high accuracy.

### "Head-Count" Data - Tariffs and Proportions-Disaccommodated

A variety of USAF design and logistics problems are concerned with ascertaining the number of individuals who fall into a particular body size category. These problems fall into the realm of "tariffing," a term used by the clothing and personal equipment industry to mean a schedule showing

the relative numbers of each size of garment or other item that should be manufactured or purchased--of every 1,000 protective garments procured, how many should be short-regular? A closely related problem revolves around the concept of "proportions-disaccommodated"--how many USAF pilots would not be accommodated by a design specifying a maximum sitting height of 39 inches and a minimum thumb-tip reach of 29 inches?

USAF anthropologists have long followed the practice of providing tentative tariffs in technical reports describing the design of items of personal aquipment. Whatever the USAF's future anthropometric program may be, it must retain the capability for creating tariffs for newly designed clothing and equipment.

Table IX shows a typical tariff and the basis on which it was constructed. Six boxes, corresponding to selected ranges of face and lip length were superimposed on the bivarate frequency table, and the numbers in each box were counted. When parts of a box of the original table fell within more than one size-box, the number of men within that box was divided among the appropriate sizes. The ultimate tariff for each size is the number associated with its size-box expressed as a percent or per mil of the total for all the size-boxes.

Tariffing from such data is not a precision operation. Some men are not fitted by their indicated size. Some are fitted by more than one size and may strongly prefer a size other than their indicated one. Many of the men who are not considered in establishing the tariff and fall outside all

66

a load the line of the

## TABLE IX

## DESIGN RANGES AND TARIFFS FOR THE

### MC-1 ORAL-NASAL OXYGEN MASK

## (from Anthropometric Sizing and Fit of the MC-1 Oral-Nasal Oxygen Mask by Emanuel et al., 1958)

## Design Ranges and Tariffs

Size	Face Length	Lip Length	Tariff/1,000
Short-Narrow	3.90-4.40	1.70-2.10	186
Short-Wide	3.90-4.40	2.10-2.50	91
Regular-Narrow	4.40-4.90	1.70-2.10	368
Regular-Wide	4.40-4.90	2.10-2.50	163
Long-Narrow	4.90-5.40	1.70-2.10	142
Long-Wide	4.90-5.40	2.10-2.50	50

Face Length vs. Lip Length (Intervals in Inches)

										NOR 18			_								
	3.W- 3.60	3.4	3:87	1.M	• 3.91•	6,04-	4.20- 9324	1.2 1.1	1.3	1.54-	1.67	1.19-	10	1.0)	1:1	1.17-	5.¥	12	5.44.	5.Q	Total
2,54-2,45								1	1												3
2.90-2.57								1			2	1		1	2						1
2.4.4.4					8	1	1	2	1				1								٠
1.1-1.1					1	1	8	8	12	1	4	1	3		1	1					55
1.164.33					6	11	16	ų	48	16	85	IJ		5	3	1	6				150
2.19-1.25				b	6	10	81	35	10	13	>>	,10	18	22	6	•		1		1	177
2.32-4.28			1	1	20	н	94	71	107	-	94	17	54	86	34	IJ	1	3	1		6475
1.03-1.10			1	,	19	24	41	13	1.04	107	104	79	15	<b>30</b>	29	1	10	5	3		1773
1,11-1.08			3	1	1 29	10	51	-	1 91	207	134	100	60	15	87	14	u	8	3		835
2.07-2.96			1	1	1 28	W	74	77	700	90	103	93		40	.33	6		5	3		714
3.79-3.84		1	3	h	5	24	83	30	24	37	X	38	и	IJ	13	1					-
1.71-1.78			3	5	1.5		17	20	IJ	15	35	36	11	. 1	1	5	11	1			3.87
3.42-3.70					1	2	1	t		1	1	2	3	2	1	1					-
3.56-3.68								3	1		1		1		1						20
1.18-1.95									1		1				1						
1.604.67					7				1												
1.38-1.39	1						1														1
Tue		1	35	))	104	200	335	114	517	201	578	44	395	124	11)	4	ų	19	3	3	1015

and the second second

the size-boxes will wear or use one of the sizes whether or not it fits. In addition to problems of this type and those related to manufacturers' deviations from design specifications, there are problems resulting from the practice of issuing an item during a particular time period to a limited subset of the USAF personnel. In practice, it is quite unlikely that we will know either the anthropometric nature of the subset or how to adjust a tariff to reflect anthropometric differences between this group of men and USAF personnel in general.

H. L

с<sup>н</sup>

È,

ł.

ι.

i i

1

ł

i.

What the anthropologist can be expected to do is to provide a tariff of reasonable accuracy which will serve as a basis for initial procurements. More precise tariffs will be obtained only by adjusting these rough figures on the basis of actual field experience.

We do not believe additional large-scale surveys are necessary in order to continue providing tariffs similar to those we have provided in the past. We believe, in fact, that new approaches will make better information of this type more quickly available. Three points are relevant here.

First, tariffs depend solely on the distributions of the basic sizing dimensions. Thus, as long as the sizing dimensions for a new item are among those measured in the 1967 survey or are, in general, ones which can be computed from the measurements for these dimensions, we can create tariffs relative to the 1967 sample or an updated version thereof. This can be done whether a new design is based on data from the old sample or from a new, small-scale survey.

68

and the second second second second second second

Secondly, a number of USAF sizing systems are based on height and weight. We presume there will be more, rather than less, height and weight data available in the future. If there are, it may be possible to examine the height-weight distributions for age-, task-, and command-specific subseries and perhaps provide better tariffs for items, such as arotic clothing, used only by certain segments of the USAF. It is also possible that the new data may be reported, rather than measured, heights and weights. One of the virtues of the height-weight sizing system has been that men presumably know their own heights and weights and consequently can determine their proper sizes. A strong case could therefore be made for basing sizing and tariffs on the reported rather than the measured values.

ľ

State State

Thirdly, artificial bivariate frequency tables provide an approach which can be used even when actual "head-count" data are unavailable or do not exist, or when such data are too few in number to provide accurate results. These tables have the further advantage of making it easy to determine what effect a shift in either the basic design intervals or in the distributions of the basic dimensions in the proposed user groups has on a tariff.

We earlier asserted that the measured values of most body size dimensions follow a statistical pattern (specifically, that designated as approximately multivariate-normal) which permits us to compute any characteristic of the distribution of such variables from the basic statistics--means, standard deviations,

69

and correlation coefficients. We can, for example, compute from such statistics the proportion of a population which falls within a specific range or set of ranges.\* When the ranges are based on two measurements, we refer to the outcome as an artificial bivariate table; the artificial bivariate approach to tariffing can be applied equally well to sizing systems based on one sizing dimension or on three.

Table X illustrates a group of tariffs for the six-size height-weight sizing system computed by this method and based on summary statistics from the 1950 and 1967 USAF surveys, the Turkish segment of the NATO survey, and on the heights and weights we have predicted for astronauts in the year 1985. For reasons already cited, we cannot be sure how accurate any of these figures are, but it is reasonable to suppose that the trends indicated by the statistics are fairly realistic. The tariffs for the two medium sizes (medium-short and medium-long) are essentially the same for all three U. S. populations, but there are drastic decreases in the smalls (38% in 1950 to 19% in 1985) and similar increases in the large sizes (9% in 1950 to 24% in 1985).

A major reason for conducting the NATO survey was that Turkish pilots were not adequately fitted by USAF partial pressure suits. Presumably, these suits were sent to Turkey on the basis of the USAF tariff; this table shows clearly why

<sup>\*</sup> While these computations are tedious, they are not complicated. They are performed quickly by a computer using a fairly simple program.

## TABLE X

# TARIFFS FOR SIX-SIZE HEIGHT-WEIGHT PROGRAMS (Based on Artificial Bivariates)

## A. Within Design Range:

	Small-Short	Small-Long
Turkish AF	41%	14%
1950 USAF	17%	21%
1967 USAF	10%	14%
1985 NASA	78	12%

	Medium-Short	Medium-Long
Turkish AF	20%	5%
1950 USAF	218	24%
1967 USAF	228	298
1985 NASA	208	308

	Large-Short	Large-Long
Turkish AF	1%	0 %
1950 USAF	5%	4 %
1967 USAF	98	10%
1985 NASA	118	13%

## B. Outside Design Range:

والمرجوب والمتحد والمركبة والتهر وتحارث والحارث

4. 41.4

	Too Small	Too Big	Too Short	Too Tall
Turkish AF	17%	08	28	03
1950 USAF	6%	0 🐐	1%	18
1967 USAF	2 %	28	1%	28
1985 NASA	1%	18	18	38

. Th

the suits did not fit. On the basis of the USAF tariff, only one in every six suits should be a small-short, whereas the tariff based on Turkish Air Force heights and weights calls for 41% small-shorts and strongly suggests that an additional sub-small size, to be obtained by extrapolating the design values downward, be added to provide for the 17% of the Turks who were too small even for the small-short size.

A related problem is that of estimating the number of individuals who will not be accommodated by a design based on two or more variables. Rarely is it practical to design equipment or workspace to accommodate all potential users without adjustment. A typical solution is a design of the type suggested in the opening paragraph of this section (page 65) -- one specifying cutoff values for a pair of dimensions. There, purely for purposes of illustration, we postulated a hypothetical set of cutoff values: a maximum 39-inch sitting height and a minimum 29-inch arm reach. Data from the 1967 survey indicate that 4.1% of the subjects exceeded the maximum sitting height and 4.6% had arm reaches below the indicated minimum. The total number not accommodated will not be the sum of these percentages (4.1 + 4.6) but rather this sum minus the number of men who both exceed the 39-inch value for sitting height and have an arm reach less than 29 inches; these men must be subtracted because they have been counted twice.

The initial values, 4.1% and 4.6%, were easily obtained from the frequency distributions or the percentile distributions. The third value (representing the number of men who

are out-sized in both dimensions) is not so easily come by since it depends on the correlation between sitting height and arm reach. However, a computer program similar to that used to prepare artificial bivariate tables and, like that one, based on the elementary summary statistics, can quickly compute this value.\*

The use of computer programs to determine the number of men who will be disaccommodated by both of a pair of design limits can be extended to enable the design engineer to work gut such problems as what pairs of values for sitting height and arm reach will leave 5% of the population disaccommodated, what pairs of values will leave 10% disaccommodated, and so on. Other questions of a similar nature which we have been called upon to answer range from the simple to the complex. How many USAF pilots are less than, 5'7" and 150 pounds? If eight-man groups are to be selected randomly from among USAF flying personnel who weigh less than 160 pounds, what is the probability that the eight men will collectively weigh no more than 1,200 pounds? Answers to these and many other such questions will be far easier to obtain from appropriate computer programs and the basic summary statistics than from a direct count of survey data.

South States

Alsert

<sup>\*</sup> Actually, in this example the third value will be quita small because men will rarely be too large in one long-bone measurement and too small in a second. The problem will be most serious when the two design values are closely related and the critical values are either both "large" or both "small" ones.

#### Long Term Trends in Size and Shape

Men, both individually and collectively, change in body size and shape with the passage of time. The importance to the USAF of having some sense of the directions and magnitudes of these changes has been discussed earlier. Unfortunately, these trends are not easily measured and it has, in fact, been argued that trends as such do not exist. Undoubtedly the differing sizes of USAF bodies at different times can be attributed to a variety of factors among which long term trends may not be the most important.

One recent attempt to isolate and evaluate such trends was carried out by the AMRL in response to a request from NASA to predict the body size of astronauts in 1985. The initial assumption of this study was that it could best be done by predicting the size of USAF pilots who will be in their midthirties in 1985 and accepting these predictions as being suitable for astronauts as well.

We began our study by analyzing the available data for stature. This is not only the most important dimension but probably the easiest one to study. We can assume that an aviator's stature remains fairly constant from the time he reaches full growth--at 23 years or younger--until, in general, the end of his active flying career. Assuming a simple long term trend, stature for men in this age range would be a function of year of birth. To study this trend we used data from the 1950 flying personnel survey for birth years 1915-1927; from the 1957 PhotoMetriC survey for the years 1922-1934; from

the Navy flying personnel survey for the years 1929-1941; from the 1965 USAF survey for the years 1930-1942; and from the 1967 flying personnel survey for the years 1932-1944. In 1973 we conducted a brief survey of about 500 student pilots and navigators, aged 23 to 27, to obtain coverage of the years 1946-1950. The 23- and 24-year-old men measured in the latter survey were particularly important for this study since they will be in their mid-thirties in 1985.

In analyzing these data, an effort was made to minimize background variables by eliminating all non-officers from the data. Non-Caucasian subjects, however, were not eliminated because they were too few in number to have any real effect.

Some of the data from this analysis appears in Table XI. These data indicate that an upward linear trend of sorts does seem to occur at the rate of about eight millimeters a decade. But other questions arise. Why, for example, is there no clearcut trend for the subjects in any single survey group? A comparison of the statures of youngest and oldest men considered in each survey gives the following erratic results:

	OLDEST				YOUNGEST			
	Birth			Birth				
Survey	Year	Age	Stature	Year	Age	Stature	Difference	
1950 USAF	1915	35	175.88	1927	23	176.18	= 0.30 cm	
1957 USAF	1922	35	176.67	1934	23	176.75	= 0.08 cm	
1964 Navy	192 <b>9-</b> 32	33	177.72	1939-41	24	177.73	= 0.01 cm	
1965 USAF	1930-31	35	177.26	1941-42	24	176.44	=-0.82 cm	
1967 USAF	1932	35	177.76	1944	23	177.41	<b>=-0.25</b> cm	
1973 USAF	1946	27	178.13	1950	23	178,49	= 0.36 cm	

It is difficult in light of data such as these to accept the idea that changes in stature are solely, or even predominantly,

E 19
N N
54 28
36
54 64
60
60
67 175.67 <u>98</u>
42 i76.13 75
88 176.30 70
58 176.26 57
00 177.75 26
09 177.43 29
175.13 36
177.22 58
175.90 52
177.22 77
176.81 147
177.32 173
176.75 133

こう 二日の二日の二日の ちょうちょうちょう したいろう しょうしょう

ij

ļ

•

......

TABLE XI

.

.

. A ball a contract of a standay and

the result of a broad regular genetically-related pattern of growth, on the busis of which we can predict with confidence stature values for the future.

Other dimensions present additional problems. Fleshy measurements, including weight, cannot be assumed to be independent of age, and the problem of trying to establish trends for them becomes complicated by the need to consider both age and year of birth. In any one survey, these two variables are inflexibly tied together. The tendency of large surveys to have similar average ages presents further obstacles to separating age and year of birth.

In this study a working assumption was made that the ponderal index (height divided by the cube root of weight) was independent of year of birth. With this assumption it was possible to make weight predictions although inconsistencies in the original data certainly made the soundness of the entire exercise questionable. Attempts to establish extrapolative trends for circumferences and similar measurements seemed even less feasible; 1985 values for these measurements were estimated using regression equations and predicted heights and weights.

The evaluation of secular trends for many dimensions and shape indices are further complicated by difficulties in obtaining precise, accurate measurement. Two illustrations of the problems which arise will suffice.

A pair of indices of importance in applied anthropometry is sitting height divided by stature and crotch height divided by stature. These indices are more or less complementary; they add

up to almost exactly 100%, and as a rule one decreases when the other increases. Which one increased from 1950 to 1967? The answer, puzzlingly enough, is that both increased by almost equal amounts. In 1950, sitting height was 52.0% of stature; in 1967 it was 52.5%. In 1950, crotch height was 47.5% of stature; in 1967 it rose to 48.0%. Detailed analyses of the data confirm these discrepancies and efforts to explain them in terms of differences in weight and age of the two samples have been fruitless. The simplest and most likely explanation is, alas, that one or both of these dimensions were measured differently in the two surveys.\*

ų į

The most classic of the body shape indices is probably the cephalic index, head breadth divided by head length.\*\* For the 1950 survey, this index was 78.2%; for the 1967 survey it was 78.5%, a statistically significant difference. However, since the difference could result from a shift of less than 0.7 millimeters in head breadth and no effort was made in either survey to measure head lengths and breadths more closely than to the nearest millimeter, this "statistically significant" difference could well reflect nothing more than variations in measuring procedures.

A the addition of the addition of the interest of the second of the seco

<sup>\*</sup> These indices are racially related; Blacks have smaller sitting height indices than Whites, Whites smaller ones than Orientals. Similarly the crotch height index tends to be smallest for Orientals and largest for Blacks. Analyses of these indices for groups containing substantial numbers of Blacks or Orientals should be done on racially-specific subseries.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The comments of the previous footnote also apply to cephalic index. The order of relative size is the same as that for the sitting height index.

Most of the data in these attempts at trend analysis were obtained from large surveys. The problems sketched here and others encountered in the use of these data for studying trends point up the fact that such surveys do not provide the data needed for serious trend analysis. We do not pretend to know just how surveys should be conducted or how samples should be selected to obtain adequate data for such analysis--or even if it can be done.

5) 6

i.

الالاستان الأولا ولالات التجافين الأراثية المعادية

One simple strategy, however, is available. In estimating astronaut statures for the 1980's, it was assumed that our concern was with men who would be in their early and midthirties at that time. In a sense, it was not necessary to estimate these men's statures: we could go out and measure them. Men with appropriate birth years were then (1973) already participating in USAF pilot and navigator training programs. A survey was, therefore, carried out at two training bases. Statures and other data were quickly obtained for about 500 men, 23 to 27 years old, men, that is, with full growth who will be from 30 to 34 in 1980 and from 35 to 39 in 1985.

A similar procedure can be used to provide data for the USAF itself. USAF flying crews, judging on the basis of the 1950 and 1967 surveys, average somewhere around 30 years of age. It is reasonable to assume that at any given time the USAF flying personnel who, as a group, are of average age will also be of average stature. Hence, we can determine the average stature of these men seven years in advance simply by measuring the 23-year-olds as they go through USAF pilot and navigator

training programs. Adequate data for providing a seven-year lead time can thus be obtained by a two-man measuring team in a single week and tabulated and summarized in a similar time period.

ŝę.

1

1.. 31 41

i r

fu.

Ξ.

ļ

ų

Ŀ

いたのでいう時にしているのでなった。ためになるのでは、ないなり、ないないないのであった。ため、た

March & Barry Barry & State of the Spice of

We know of no equally simple and potentially reliable basis for providing a similar lead time for weight. Accurate estimates of stature would, however, seem to be at least a prerequisite and a useful first step to obtaining proper estimates of weight.

#### Data Relating Body Size to Low Probability Incidents

Pilots occasionally eject in flight with tragic results, while other pilots eject without trauma. It is reasonable to suppose that there are anthropometric factors contributing to the outcome of such ejections, and that it would be useful to compare the body dimensions of pilots who eject successfully and those who eject unsuccessfully. Data for men in the former group can, in theory at least, be obtained after the fact, but this is not possible for the latter group. Fortunately, the number of men in the second group--even over a period of years--is rather small, and very few men who will later fail to survive ejection are likely to be included in surveys with sample sizes of 2500-4000. In short, data, beyond some height and weight figures from medical records, do not generally exist for studying the size and shape factors which differentiate the successful from the unsuccessful ejectors.

BO

The official end of USAF activities in Vietnam was followed by the return of a number of USAF fliers from POW camps. AMRL was asked at that point to provide whatever anthropometric data existed for these men so that changes in their dimensions as a consequence of their POW experiences could be assessed. Despite the fact that many of the subjects of the 1967 survey were on their way to Vietnam at the time they were measured, only a meager handful of the POW returnees were found to have been included in the 1967 sample.

The problem of providing data on POW returnees and on men who have undergone seat ejection are but two examples which point up the USAF need for data which must be obtained on men in advance of any knowledge of their involvement in low-probability incidents. Because the number of such men is small, data for them are not likely to be available unless data are available for an exceedingly large proportion of the USAF population.

We believe that the best prospect for providing the needed data is a survey which can create a large reservoir of "latent data" at a low time-and-effort cost per man. Our recommendation is the creation of a "library" of standardized photographs which, within a period of a few years, would include most USAF flying personnel. Then, whenever the need arises for a man's data, it would be possible to extract his photograph and convert the "latent data" contained in it to workable information ready for analysis.

Research on the feasibility and potential usefulness of a photographic project to serve this and a variety of other purposes is currently underway.

#### Data Related to Racial and Other Group Differences

b

> і. Г

r

ì.

Ŀ

Ť

ŗ,

Å

The relatively small number of non-Caucasians among USAF flying personnel and, as far as we know, among upper level NCO's and non-flying officers, makes the problem of acquiring anthropometric data for these men somewhat difficult. Extreme care is required to insure that differences in measuring techniques will not blur existing body size differences or create differences where they do not exist. Several recent articles in the American Journal of Physical Anthropology demonstrated apparently vast anthropometric differences between groups of data, in cases where measurements were made on the same people by different anthropologists. Fortunately, the USAF's need for data in this area is a limited and practical one. The basic question is not really whether Black pilots have, say, larger or smaller waists than do White pilots, but whether anti-g suits based on data from White pilots will fit Black pilots, although, of course, the answer to the first question can be of value in anticipating the answer to the second. Fortunately, too, U. S. Whites are a very heterogeneous group. In a study of Black and White basic trainees we made some years ago, almost all Blacks fell within the White range on almost all measurements except those of the face. It is in the area of facial measurements that the greatest need exists for

82

بالجار الدرائة المرد أزارته المناثم وبهود بهوا حابة

race-specific data. This need cannot be adequately met by extracting data from a large-scale general-purpose survey. It will require a study carefully designed and executed for the specific purpose.

The major group differences with which USAF designers must cope are, of course, those between men and women. To a large extent, the data documenting these differences already exist.

「「「「「「」」」」」」

#### CHAPTER VII

#### MEASUREMENT AND SAMPLING ERRORS AND A DEFINITION OF ACCURACY

An essential element in the design of an anthropometric survey is some basis for judging how large a sample will be required to provide "adequate accuracy." In this chapter we will propose an objective definition of adequate accuracy for data to be used for design purposes and in the next chapter we will discuss the size of samples which would be required to satisfy this definition for most common anthropometric dimensions.

First, however, it is useful to consider the nature of the errors which affect anthropometric data and to explore how the errors in the individual data affect the accuracy of the usual statistical summaries. In particular, it is useful to note which error effects are related to sample size and which ones, being independent, cannot be reduced by increasing the sample size.

Ideally, a sampling procedure should be designed to give an appropriate level of accuracy--and no more. Accuracy beyond a useful level is not only expensive to obtain and wasteful but may well be illusory as well. Often an increase in one aspect of overall accuracy will be achieved at the cost of decreases in other aspects of accuracy. A decrease in random sampling error obtained by a large increase in sample size, for example, may be negated by increases in measurement

85

and the second secon

## PRECEDING PACE, BLANK-NOT FILME

error resulting from a concomitant reduction in the time available for the careful posing of subjects and taking of measurements.

#### The Relationships Between Measurement Errors and Accuracy

Anthropometric data, like data from other fields of measurement, are, of course, subject to error; both the individual measurements and the statistical summaries based on these measurements will, in varying ways and to varying degrees, be in error. Among the factors which contribute to these errors are the following:

- 1. inaccurate measuring
- 2. inaccurate posing or positioning of subjects
- 3. inaccurate measuring equipment
- 4. uncontrolled variation in the subjects
- 5. sampling errors

Some of these factors represent errors in a real sense; that is, they reflect flaws in the design and execution of the total data gathering process which affect the accuracy of both the individual data and the statistical summaries. Theoretically, though not practically, these flaws could be eliminated or reduced to trivial levels, even for small samples.

In addition, the accuracy of most of the statistical summaries is affected by random (and perhaps non-random) sampling errors which do not represent flaws in the data gathering as much as they reflect the inherent variability of the dimensions being measured. The size of these random errors depends, among

other things, on the standard deviation of these dimensions and their overall magnitude can be estimated from 'a data themselves. As we shall see, the size of these err, can be reduced both by increases in the sample size and by the use of matched or controlled sampling.

It may be of value to consider the way errors in the individual data affect statistical summaries such as the mean, standard deviation, percentiles, design ranges, and correlation coefficients. We will deal with this here in a more or less exploratory, rather than a mathematically rigid, form. We begin with the assumption that each source of error has both a constant sytematic element,  $\mu_1$ , and a random element which has a mean of zero and a standard deviation of  $\sigma_1$ .\* Thus, for example, a scale might give weights which on the average are  $\mu$  pounds too high, and such that the error in the individual weights fluctuates up and down from this average by amounts proportional to some value  $\sigma$ .

Where, as is usual, there are several sources of error, the systematic and random elements combine to determine the overall errors of the data. The two types of errors combine rather differently. The systematic element of the total error  $(\mu_{\perp})$  is simply the sum of the individual systematic errors:

μ<sub>τ</sub> = Σμ<sub>ι</sub>

1

\* The various error elements affecting a particular set of data are assumed to be, in a statistical sense, unrelated.

The random element of the total error obtained will have a standard deviation  $(\sigma_{\tau})$  obtained by adding the squares of the individual random errors and taking the square root of the sum:

$$\sigma_{\tau} = \sqrt{\Sigma \sigma_{\tau}^2}$$

Since  $\Sigma \mu_1$  represents an algebraic sum it is possible for some systematic errors to be balanced off by others. Every random error, on the other hand, tends to increase the size of the overall random error, although  $\sigma_{\tau}$  is often only slightly larger than the largest of the  $\sigma_1$ .

These two types of error factors in the data affect the accuracy of the statistical summaries in rather different ways.

The Mean. The error components of the mean value as calculated from a sample of size N will be:

 $E\mu_1$  and  $\sqrt{[(SD)^2 + E\sigma_1^2]/N}$ 

where 5D represents the actual (not the observed) standard deviation.

The random factor, essentially what is often referred to as the standard error of the mean, decreases in size with increasing sample size. However, an increase in sample size does not cause a proportionate decrease in this error. To cut this error in half will require quadrupling the sample size; to reduce it to one-third will require a sample nine times as large, and so forth. Equally important, if not

more so, is the fact that the size of the systematic error is in no way affected by the sample size.

The Standard Deviation. The errors of the standard deviation are somewhat different. The two components are

 $\sqrt{(SD)^2 + \Sigma\sigma_2^2} - SD$  and  $\sqrt{[(SD)^2 + \Sigma\sigma_2^2]/2N}$ 

Unlike the sample mean, the sample standard deviation (a) is unaffected by the systematic errors in the data and (b) has a systematic error element which is due to random errors in the data.

The systematic component of the error of the standard deviation is always positive, resulting in a consistently positive bias in the sample standard deviation. The random component is similar to that of the mean, being equal, in fact, to the standard error of the mean divided by the square root of two. The random component thus can be reduced by increasing the sample size, but no such reduction can be made in the systematic error.

<u>The Percentiles</u>. The errors associated with percentiles are a bit more complex and are related to the method by which they are computed. Percentile values for use in solving design problems are often approximated as values located a certain number of standard deviations above or below the mean, e.g.,  $\bar{x} = 1.63$  SD for the 5th percentile,  $\bar{x} + 1.63$  SD for the 95th percentile, and so forth. We shall base our discussion of percentiles on approximations of this type. Because the sample means and sample standard deviations from normal

69

distributions are statistically independent, the errors of these approximations are simple combinations of the errors of the mean and the standard deviation. Designating the approximations as  $\overline{x}$  + K·SD, the two error components are: (a) Syserror  $(\overline{x} + K \cdot SD)$ 

11

- = SYSERROR  $(\overline{x})$  + K · SYSERROR (SD)
- (b) Ranerror  $(\overline{x} + K \cdot SD)$ 
  - =  $\sqrt{[Ranerror(\bar{x})]^2 + K^2 [Ranerror(SD)]^2}$

As is the case with the mean and standard deviation, these error components have elements which are dependent and elements which are independent of sample size. As is the case with the sample means, these percentile estimates may be either too large or too small. But since errors in the data tend to increase the size of the standard deviation, a design range based on a complementary pair of percentiles-say, the 5th and the 95th--will always tend to be excessively wide as a result of the errors in the original data. The systematic error in the width of the design range, based on approximations to the 5th and 95th percentiles, will be about 3.29 times the systematic error of the standard deviation.

The Correlation Coefficient. After the mean, the standard deviation and the percentiles, the correlation coefficient is the most important summary statistic for most design purposes. The effects of measurement and sampling errors on the correlation coefficient are somewhat more complex than those for the statistics already discussed, and we will limit ourselves to

a consideration of the effect of measurement errors for samples large enough as to render sampling errors unimportant. If, in this case, the measurement errors of variables x and y are independent of each other, the observed correlation  $R^*(x,y)$ will be related, on the average, to the true value R(x,y)by the formula:

$$R^{\star}(x,y) = \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{\Sigma(\sigma_{1,x})^{2}}{SD_{x}^{2}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\Sigma(\sigma_{1,y})^{2}}{SD_{y}^{2}}\right)}$$

The random measurement errors will in all cases increase the denominator of this expression and consistently depress the size of the observed correlation coefficients.

It is not practical to consider here the case in which measurement errors for x and y are not independent; in practice the existence of relationships among the errors for two measurements will occur and may substantially affect the correlation coefficients. Related errors would occur, for e.ample, when two measurements such as sitting height and eye height, sitting, are measured without a change in the subject's position. If a subject sits overly erect both measurements may be too high, while both values for a semi-slumped subject will be too low. Such errors may lead to exceedingly exaggerated correlation coefficients. In general, however, measurement errors tend to deflate the correlation coefficients. Atypically small correlation coefficients, in fact, at times provide a basis for suspecting the existence of serious measurement errors.

Thus, both the random and the systematic or non-random components of the measurement errors adversely affect the mean, the standard deviation, the correlation coefficient, the percentiles and the width of design ranges based on the percentiles. Not only do the systematic errors of the measurement data enter into the errors of these statistics without regard to sample size, but the random errors can also affect the accuracy of the statistics in a manner which is independent of sample size. In no case can the effect of measurement error be eliminated by increases, even drastic ones, in the sample size.

A STATISTICS

) ) (

ļ

•

It would be incorrect, however, to assume that reasonably accurate statistics cannot be obtained without unrealistically precise data. The non-random components of the measurement errors do add together directly but careful planning and execution of a survey can keep their total small. The effect of random error components will be quite small as long as they are of modest size compared to the standard deviation. Thus, for example, if statures are measured with a random error of one centimeter, the bias in the resulting standard deviation estimate, assuming a true standard deviation of six centimeters, can be estimated from the formula

 $\sqrt{(SD)^2 + \sigma^2} - SD = \sqrt{6^2 + 1^2} - 6 = 0.08$  cm.

In this case the random measurement error of one centimeter has caused a bias of less than a millimeter or about 1% of the standard deviation. Generally, the effect of random error components on the standard deviations do tend to be modest in

92

، الملولية بالملط من محمور والأرب

anthropometric measures of large size, but often become relatively meaningful when the dimension being measured is small like ankle height.

### Appropriate Levels of Accuracy

It is impossible, as a rule, to specify in advance how accurately any body size parameter needs to be known and to design a survey which would provide such accuracy. Accuracy must be specified in terms of a particular design-manufactureruse context and requires the analysis of a multiplicity of factors many of which, like the required accuracy, are unknown in the abstract.

Nonetheless, we believe that some basis for a rational approach to the concept of adequate accuracy can be developed and from this approach we can develop some sense of the sample size necessary to keep random sampling errors within appropriate limits. In developing our approach to this problem we make some assumptions unsupported by hard facts and, at times, we indulge in fuzzy reasoning. However, we feel that our results make sense and, in the absence of a better approach, can be used profitably in designing a survey.\* The discussion here will assume random sampling and the results of the discussion will subsequently be extended to matched samples.

P. Oper Shut

<sup>\*</sup> We could, it is true, formulate a "cost-function" (in the sense of Wald) involving the ultimate costs of erroneous data plus the costs of obtaining the data. By setting the derivative of this function with respect to N equal to zero and solving for N, we find the value of N which minimizes the total cost. However, the information necessary to convert this from a theoretical approach to a practical one does not exist.

We base our approach on the assumption that it is sufficient to know design values within either of the following limits: (1) the daily variation of the potential users' anthropometric measures or (2) the preciseness with which a manufacturer will follow a design.

A man's body constantly varies in size, not just over lengthy periods of time but over quite short periods as well. His chest circumference, for example, varies plus or minus one percent or more from its mean value every six seconds or so with breathing. A man's stature decreases from the time he leaves his overnight horizontal position until he returns to it. Olivier (1969) suggests that this decrease is about 20 millimeters and Damon (1964) quotes an early study by Backman which postulates a value of 0.95 in. (24 mm). Both these values can be interpreted as essentially equal to one percent of mean stature.

Items of clothing, protective equipment, and workspace to be anthropometrically suitable for any individual must be suitable for him throughout his working day. It would seem, therefore, that any design which requires design values more precise than the variation which occurs in a human being within a normal day is, ordinarily at least, unrealistic.

Thus, we suggest that for estimating stature, a sample will be of acceptable size if it is so large that random sampling error will be, in general, less than one percent

of mean stature.\* The argument for accepting one percent of the mean value as the criterion for the accuracy required for other measurement data is, perhaps, less direct.\*\* Nonetheless, it seems a reasonable criterion and one which we have accepted.

The random sampling error for many small dimensions is compounded by a relatively large measurement error. For such dimensions rather large samples would be required to provide a precision of one percent of the mean value. The one percent criterion may also be unrealistically low for these dimensions because of the small mean values. We have, therefore, accepted as the basis for a second criterion the notion that precision beyond that with which a manufacturer will follow a design is unnecessary.

What precision a manufacturer will follow in the design and fabrication of an item in the future we do not know, of course. It is relevant to note, however, that for many USAF designs created over the past two decades, the anthropometric data have been reported in guarter-inch units because USAF anthropologists working closely with the manufacturer have insisted that the manufacturers would not use more

<sup>\*</sup> We are more or less equating a mean change of 1% with a random error of 1%. This is an example of the fuzzy thinking which we have already admitted to; data on diurnal patterns of variation are too sketchy to enable us to properly assess the reliability of our assumption.

<sup>\*\*</sup> We shall relax this criterion slightly in the next chapter to 1.5% for fleshy measurements, based on the magnitude of breath-to-breath variation in chest circumference.

detailed data. For some items, particularly those such as helmets and masks which relate to the head and face, the reporting unit has usually been one-tenth of an inch. Whichever of these units is used in reporting the data, it is reasonable to assume that a precision equal to or less than the reporting unit will be satisfactory.

がある町の支援

ļi,

1

t: S

It seems reasonable, therefore, to expand our definition of acceptable sample size to include those which provide a precision of either (1) one percent is the mean value or (2) the smaller of the units in which the data are normally provided by the manufacturer--that is, one-tenth of an inch. In general, the minimum sample size for large dimensions will be determined by the first and that for small dimensions by the second of these criteria.

We have used the terms accuracy and precision in this chapter without clearly defining them. Our discussion of the errors of the more important summary statistics demonstrated that each of these statistics has different error components and that definitions of accuracy differ accordingly.

When anthropometry is applied to design problems, considerable use is made of the approximations to the 5th and 95th percentiles obtained by subtracting or adding 1.65 standard deviations to the mean value. Because of the extensive applicability of this use and the fact that these percentile estimates have substantially hi her sampling errors than do the mean and the standard deviation, we have based our definition of accuracy on the 5th and 95th percentiles.

Because the mean and the standard deviation are independent of each other in the normal distributions, the random sampling error of the quantity (the mean plus a multiple of the standard deviation) is given by the formula:

Sampling error  $(X + K \cdot SD)$ 

=  $\sqrt{[\text{Sampling Error } (\mathbf{x})]^2 + K^2 [\text{Sampling Error } (\text{SD})]^2}$ 

 $= \sqrt{\frac{(SD)^{2} + K^{2}}{N} \frac{(SD)^{2}}{2N}} = \sqrt{\frac{SD}{N}} \sqrt{\frac{1 + K^{2}}{2}}$ 

Setting K = 1.65, we get

Sampling error (estimated 5th or 95th percentile)

 $= \int_{N}^{SD} \sqrt{\frac{1 + (1.65)^2}{2}} = 1.53 \int_{N}^{SD} \sqrt{N}$ 

The criterion of adequate (random sampling) accuracy which we have chosen is--for reasons given below--that twice this quantity be less than 1% of the mean or 0.1 inch, whichever is larger:

 $\frac{3.06 \text{ SD}}{N} < 0.01 \overline{x}$  or  $\frac{3.06}{N} < 0.1$  inch.

Equivalently, we define as an acceptable sample size (relative to a specific variable) one such that:

 $N > \left(\frac{3.06 \text{ sD}}{0.01 \text{ X}}\right)^2$  or  $N > \left(\frac{3.06 \text{ sD}}{0.1}\right)^2$ 

We chose twice the sampling error because by the laws of probability we can expect the random sampling error of these

percentile estimates, based on such samples, to be less than  $1\sqrt[3]{x}$  or 0.1 inch, whichever is appropriate, 95 times out of 100.\*

Because the percentile estimates have larger random sampling errors than the mean and the standard deviation, the latter parameters will be estimated with smaller sampling error. When the random sampling for the percentiles is 1% of the mean, that for the mean will be about two-thirds as large  $(0.65\%\bar{x})$  and that for the standard deviation less than half as large  $(0.46\%\bar{x})$ .

A useful variant of this statement is that when the odds are 95 out of 100 that the random sampling errors of the percentile estimates are no more than  $1\sqrt[3]{x}$ , the corresponding odds are 998 out of 1,000 for the sample mean and 99,997 out of 100,000 for the sample standard deviation.

98

<sup>\*</sup> A more stringent definition of precision can be based on using 3 SE, i.e., 4.59  $SD/\sqrt{N}$ . The odds in this case would rise to 997 out of 1,000. This definition of precision would require samples 2.25 times as large as those based on the 2 SE definition.

#### CHAPTER VIII

#### SMALL MICROCOSM SAMPLES--MATCHED AND UNMATCHED

The discussion of errors and the definition of adequate accuracy presented in the preceding chapter lead naturally to the question of how large microcosm samples need to be in order to provide minimum sampling accuracy for typical body size dimensions. We shall explore the answer to this question here and shall demonstrate that samples of a few hundred subjects can often be adequate.

The definition of adequate accuracy suggested in the previous section--that is, that the standard error of the estimated 5th and 95th percentiles be less than either 0.5% of the mean value or 0.05 inches--provides a relationship between the variability of the data and the size of the sample necessary to satisfy these criteria. The requirement that the standard error be less than 0.5% of the mean value will be satisfied whenever the sample size (N) and the coefficient of variation (V) are related by the expression:

 $V < 0.0326 \times \sqrt{N}$  %

Similarly the requirement that the standard error be less than 0.05 inches can be expressed in terms of the sample size and the standard deviation (SD) by the expression:

 $SD < 0.0326 \times \sqrt{N}$  inches

Table XII provides the maximum values of V and SD which satisfy these relationships for a number of values of N. The first line in this table, for example, indicates that for samples of 100, any variable with a coefficient of variation not exceeding 3.26% will have a standard error for the percentile estimates of 0.5% of the mean or less, and any variable with a standard deviations of 0.33 inches will have a standard error of no more than 0.05 inches. Note that a random sample of 400 men is needed to provide similar accuracy for measurements of twice as great variability (V <6.52%, SD <0.65 inches).

四次の日本 とざらいりには世界にというからます

ř

、商品的美いなどの主義

30 J. (2) J. (2)

÷,

÷

#### TABLE XII

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION, STANDARD DEVIATION (SD), AND SUGGESTED ACCEPTABLE SAMPLE SIZE (N)

N	<u>V (8)</u>	<u>SD (in.)</u>
100	3.26	.33
150	3.99	.40
200	4.61	.46
250	5.15	.52
300	5.65	.57
350	6.10	.61
400	6.52	.65
450	6.91	.69
500	7.29	.73

This table provides a basis for estimating the appropriate sample size for a contemplated survey. Prior to the conduct of a survey, means and standard deviations of the dimensions to be measured will not be known precisely. Usually, however, these statistics can be approximated closely enough to make sensible use of this table. Rough approximations of mean values can, as a rule, be easily obtained. The fact that the
coefficients of variations are relatively equal for anatomically similar dimensions usually provides a basis for estimating the coefficient of variation which, along with the approximation to the mean value, can also provide a useful estimate of the standard deviation.

Since the sample size requirements are tied to the coefficients of variation and standard deviations, it will be worthwhile to consider the distribution of coefficients of variation and standard deviations for the measurements made in the 1967 flying personnel survey and the WAF survey. These distributions are given in Table XIII.

Consolidating values from the last two tables, we get the following proportions of the measurements made in these surveys that could have been "adequately" measured according to our criterion with samples of various sizes:

e.

•

Ł

į,

ç

上来たなななたたが、人間のないないに

ห้อยไม่ใหม่สมสม คราม การกระบบการเ

	Proportion of Var Sample would	lables for Which be Adequate
Sample Size	1967 Survey Data	WAF Survey Data
100	281	225
150	39%	349
200	51%	52%
250	70%	601
300	791	67
350	84%	75%
400	87%	80%
450	89%	861
500	915	89%

Data for 9% of the variables in the flying personnel survey and 11% of those in the WAF survey would not satisfy our criterion of adequate accuracy even on samples of 500.

Thus, about 70% of the 185 linear measurements made in 1967 could have, in the sense we have developed here, been

reliably measured on a sample of 250; 79% on a sample 300; 84% on a sample of 350; 91% on a sample of 500. A sample of about 1,000 would have been required to include all the variables.

## TABLE XIII

DISTRIBUTIONS OF STANDARD DEVIATIONS AND COEFFICIENTS OF VARIATION FOR VARIABLES MEASURED\* IN ANTHROPOMETRIC SURVEYS OF USAF FLYING PERSONNEL (FP) AND WOMEN OF THE AIR FORCE (WAF)

Standard Deviations	FP	WAF	Coefficients of Variation	FP	WAF
(inches)			(%)		
2.4 & up	7	5	10.0 & up	17	10
2.2 - 2.4	4	5	S.5 - 9.9	2	2
2.0 - 2.2	3	6	9.0 - 9.4	0	4
1.8 - 2.0	3	3	8.5 - 8.9	4	8
1.6 - 1.8	11	5	8.0 - 8.4	7	4
1.4 - 1.6	11	4	7.5 - 7.9	12	4
1.2 - 1.4	7	6	7.0 - 7.4	9	5
1.0 - 1.2	17	4	6.5 - 6.9	6	8.
0.8 - 1.0	22	18	6.0 - 6.4	11	14
0.6 - 0.8	15	16	5.5 - 5.9	12	17
0.4 - 0.6	27	16	5.0 - 5.4	32	14
0.2 - 0.4	34	22	4.5 - 4.9	35	13
0.0 - 0.2	24	12	4.0 - 4.7	16	12
			3.5 - 3.9	14	6
			3.0 - 3.4	7	1
			2.4 - 2.9	1	

\* Excluding weight and grip strength and, for the WAF's, the over-foundation-garment measurements.

It may be worth noting for which measurements the sample size criteria would not have been met with an N of 350. They are:

- 10 fleshy circumferences (chest, waist, waist/sitting, buttock/sitting, upper thigh, upper thigh/sitting, biceps relaxed, biceps/relaxed-left, biceps/flexed, biceps/flexed-left);
  - 7 <u>fleshy breadths and depths</u> (chest, waist, bicristale, and forearm-forearm breadths; chest, waist, buttock depths);

語言を見たるないでありたい。

- 11 surface measures not related to a pair of bony
  landmarks (six scrotale-to-waist measures, spine
  to scye length, crotch length, interscye, anterior
  and posterior neck lengths);
  - 3 <u>miscellaneous</u> (elbow-rest height, calf height, weight).

This list can be summarized as consisting of 28 fleshy measurements and three miscellaneous measurements which constitute an unclassifiable group. Elbow-rest height is an example of those measurements which do not represent an actual body dimension, but rather a difference between two dimensions (shoulder height, sitting and shoulder-elbow length). Such measurements will always have high coefficients of variation, ranging up to almost infinite values when the mean values of the two dimensions are approximately equal. The presence of calf height on this list illustrates the point that even a height measure acquires a level of fuzziness when its landmark is not a well defined bony point.

Weight is, of course, a rather special dimension. Whereas all the other dimensions are linear in nature, weight is a cubic quantity. It could be argued, therefore, that for purposes of this analysis, we should consider not weight but its cube root,  $\sqrt[3]{Wgt}$ . If we do that, we get a measurement with a coefficient of variation close to one-third\* of that for weight. Since the coefficient of variation for weight was about 12%, we would expect the coefficient for its cube root to be about 4%, suggesting that this variable could be adequately measured on a fairly small sample.

We propose, on the basis of this analysis, to modify our definition of precision by increasing the acceptable error in the circumferences, breadths and depths of fleshy measurements and in surface measurements without two bony landmarks--from 1% of the mean or 0.1 inch, to 1.5% of the mean or 0.15 inch. These values are well within the cyclic variation of chest circumference and probably well within the differences that result from different levels of tension on the measuring tapes or compression of the calipers. This change has the effect of multiplying, for measurements of

104

W South Street

<sup>\*</sup> The value of V for  $\sqrt[k]{X}$  is approximately 1/k that for X, the value for X<sup>k</sup> is approximately k times that for X.

this type, the values of V and SD in Table XII by 1.5 for all values of N (i.e., samples of 100 will be adequate for V <4.89% and SD <0.5 inches).

With this modified definition of precision, a sample of 350 men would have been adequate for all the linear measurements made in the 1967 survey with three exceptions-elbow-rest height, calf height, and interscye. Reasons for the highly variable nature of the first two have been commented upon. As for the interscye, rather than casting about for explanations, we bluntly express our conviction that interscye was not properly measured in this survey.\*

Although a large number of measurements were made in 1967, clearly there are others which might have been made. The 1950 USAF survey, the NATO survey, the U. S. Army Aviators survey, and the recent RAF survey between them included some 50 additional measurements. Every one of these could also have been obtained from a similar sample of 350 men. Thus, all but two or three out of nearly 240 dimensions could have been measured reliably on samples of 350 men.

Analysis of the data from the WAF survey provides similar results. Using our initial criterion of 1% of the

<sup>\*</sup> Interscye has long enjoyed a reputation as an unreliable measurement, with a range of definitions and mean values which vary from survey to survey. The coefficients of variation, however, have been fairly consistent: 1950 Flying Personnel, 7.1%; NATO, 7.7%; Army Aviators, 7.5%; 1965 USAF, 7.9%; WAF, 7.0%. Why 9.8% for this survey? We don't know.

mean or 0.1 inch, some 31 measurements would have required samples of more than 350. Of these, 16 are circumferences, 8 breadths or depths, 4 surface measurements and 3 miscellaneous: weight, elbow-rest height and waist height/sitting. On the basis of the relaxed criterion of 1.5% of the mean or 0.15 inch, only two of the linear measurements--elbow-rest height and biceps circumference/relaxed, left--would have required a sample in excess of 350.

「日本のない」の

19日本には日本部にはないではない。 19日本には日本部にはないでは、19日本には日本部には日本部では、19日本では、19日本では、19日本には、19日本には、19日本には、19日本には、19日本には、

1

and a set of the set of the set of the set of the

.

The possibility of increasing the precision of sample results by using matched or adjusted sampling has been mentioned. At this point, we will consider the improvement which we could expect if a microcosm sample were selected to agree with the population it is intended to resemble in terms of the standard summary statistics for selected basic measurements. Height and weight--as matching variables-could be expected to provide the greatest improvement. However, since it seemed likely that reported heights and weights were more likely to be available than the corresponding measured values, this analysis was begun by comparing the multiple correlation coefficients for all other variables based on the heights and weights as reported by the subjects with the correlation coefficients based on measured heights and weights. The agreement is high, as reported earlier, with a median difference of only 0.02, indicating that the reported heights and weights would serve almost as well as the measured heights and weights in matching sample to population.

Regression equations for the 1967 survey based on measured heights and weights and those based on reported heights and weights appear in Appendix 2 (A and B). Similar equations for the WAF's based on measured data appear in the report of that survey. If these equations are to be used, the standard error of estimate will replace the standard deviation in our analyses.

The following values show how well matching reported heights and weights to population values would do (1967 survey data):

Sample Size	"Accurately Estimated"
100	55%
150	79%
200	89%
250	934
300	968
350	978
400	988

Three dimensions (2%), elbow-rest height, scrotale to posterior waist level/sitting, and interscye did not meet our criterion on the basis of samples of 400.

The sharp increase in the number of variables measurable on smaller samples has suggested that an N of 250 may be quite suitable when using matched samples of this type. The variables not satisfying our initial criterion when N=250 are, in addition to the three non-conforming measurements already mentioned, acromion-biceps length, bicristale breadth, waist depth, a full half dozen scrotale-to-waist level measures, scrotale, scrotale to anterior scye/sitting,

「日本のない」「日本のない」「日本のない」」「日本のない」」「日本のない」」「日本のない」」「日本のない」」「日本のない」」「日本のない」」「日本のない」」「日本のない」」

\* Based on the original criterion of 1%  $\overline{X}$  or 0.1 inches.

and anterior and posterior neck lengths. Most of these measurements satisfy the criterion based on 1.5% rather than 1% of the mean value.

Analysis of WAF data shows similar results. All but 11 measurements appeared to be reliably measurable with matched samples of 250.

It will not always be possible to select the individual members of a survey sample on the basis of their heights and weights, either reported or measured. It is still possible to achieve much of the improvement of matched sampling by adjusting the sample statistics on the basis of the heights and weights of the sample itself. Table XIV shows the mean values for an assortment of 20 measured dimensions, age, reported height and weight, and a coded rank value, computed from the data for 10 samples constructed by selecting 350 subjects randomly from the 1967 survey staple. Table XV shows the similar results obtained by using samples of 250 and adjusting the results so that reported heights and weights agreed with those for the total survey means. We feel that the results are a clear indication of the potential of small sample surveys.

It can, perhaps, be argued that this analysis shows what might have been done in the past but does not directly address itself to the problems of future surveys which, presumably, will be designed to measure other dimensions. However, since this analysis has been based on such a large number of measurements of all types covering all parts of the body and

### TABLE XIV

## MEAN VALUES FROM RANDOM SAMPLES OF 350\*

(No Adjustments)

	Variable No.											
Sample	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	80.3	84.8	108.9	172.2	60.2	167.7	93.1	177.1	98.0	87.4	98.3	171.9
2	80.4	85.3	109.2	173.5	60.5	167.8	93.2	177.6	98.3	86.9	98.5	172.8
3	80.4	85.3	109.4	175.2	60.7	168.3	93.3	177.6	99.0	88.4	99.1	174.9
4	80.5	85.7	109.8	173.6	60.6	167.9	93.3	176.1	98.6	87.3	98.4	173.4
5	80.2	85.1	109.3	174.7	60.5	168.0	93.2	177.4	99.2	88.0	98.9	173.8
6	80.4	85.2	109.4	174.6	60.5	168.7	93.5	177.8	99.0	87.7	99.0	174.2
7	79.9	84.9	108.9	172.8	60.3	167.7	93.0	176.9	98.4	87.6	98.6	172.5
8	80.2	85.2	109.1	172.3	60.4	168.2	93.4	177.5	98.1	87.1	98.3	172.1
9	0.0	84.9	109.0	173.7	60.5	168.0	93.1	177.2	98.7	87.6	96.8	173.1
10	80.3	84.6	108.7	173.5	60.1	168.3	93.2	177.0	98.8	87.7	98.6	172.8
Total 1967	80.3	85.1	109.7	173.6	60.4	168.1	93.2	177.3	98.6	87.6	98.6	173.1

		Variable No.										
Sample	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
1	48.0	32.7	35.2	69.4	57.5	19.9	15.6	29.8	12.0	27.0	19.1	11.5
2	48.4	32.9	35.2	69.8	57.5	19.9	15.6	29.7	12.1	27.1	19.2	11.5
3	48.4	33.0	35.4	69.7	57.6	19.9	15.6	29.7	12.0	27.1	19.2	11.5
4	48.3	32.8	35.2	69.8	57.5	19.8	15.6	30.1	12.0	27.1	19.2	11.6
5	48.5	33.0	35.4	69.6	57.7	19.9	15.6	29.9	12.0	27.1	19.1	11.5
6	48.4	32,9	35.3	69.8	57.5	19.9	15.6	30.2	12.0	27.0	19.2	11.6
7	48.2	32.8	35.2	69.4	57.4	19.8	15.6	29.4	11.9	27.0	19.0	11.5
8	48.0	32.6	35.2	69.7	57.4	19.9	15.5	30.5	12.0	27.0	19.1	11.6
9	48.3	32.7	35.3	69.5	57.5	19.8	15.6	29.7	12.0	27.0	19.1	11.6
10	48.4	32.9	35.2	69.5	57.6	20.0	15.6	30.7	12.0	26.9	19.1	11.7
Total 196	7 48.2	32.8	35.3	69.6	57.5	19.9	15.6	30.0	12.0	27.0	19.1	-

### VARIABLES

- 1 Thumb-tip reach
- 2 Crotch height
- 3 Iliocristale height
- 4 Weight
- 5 Buttock-knee length
- 6 Vertical trunk circumference
- 7 Sitting height
- 8 Height (stature)
- 9 Chest circumference
- 10 Waist circumference
- 11 Buttock circumference
- 12 Reported weight

- 13 Bideltoid breadth
- 14 Chest breadth
- 15 Hip breadth
- 16 Reported height
- 17 Head circumference
- 18 Head length
- 19 Head breadth
- 20 Age
- 21 Menton-nasal root length
- 22 Foot length
- 23 Hand length
- 24 Rank

\* All data in cm except weight and reported weight (lts.), reported height (in.), age (yrs.), rank (coded). 1967 flying personnel survey data.

here with a start of the second starting of t

## TABLE XV

MEAN VALUES FROM RANDOM SAMPLES OF 250\* Values Adjusted on the Basis of Reported Height and Weight

						Variat	ole No					
Sample	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	80.5	85.0	109.2	173.6	60.4	168.1	93.2	177.6	98.1	87.6	98.7	(172.3)
2	80.1	85.2	109.1	173.6	60.4	167.9	93.1	177.3	98.8	87.2	98.5	(173.6)
3	80.3	84.9	108.9	173.6	60.4	168.0	93.'2	177.3	98.1	87.3	96.6	(171.9)
4	80.2	85.1	109.2	173.2	60.5	167.6	93.2	177.3	98.6	87.8	98.6	(174.6)
5 '	80.6	85.5	109.6	173.4	60.5	167.8	93.0	177.6	98.7	87.6	28.5	(174.3)
6	80.2	85.2	109.3	173.7	60.6	167.7	93.0	177.4	98.7	87.7	98.6	(172.8)
7	80.2	85.0	109.2	173.8	60.4	167.6	93.3	177.3	99.0	87.5	98.6	(174.1)
8	80.2	84.9	109.0	173.6	60.3	168.4	93.3	177.3	99.1	87.5	98.8	(175.8)
9	80.2	85.2	109.2	173.0	60.5	167.9	93.2	177.3	98.2	87.6	98.8	(171.2)
10	80.2	85.2	109.1	173.4	60.4	168.0	93.2	177.3	98.4	87.6	98.5	(173.5)
Total 1967	80.3	85.1	109.2	173.6	60.4	168.1	93.2	177.3	98.6	87.6	98.6	173.1

		Variable No.										
Sample	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
1	46.2	3 1.8	35.4	(69.5)+	57.5	19.9	15.6	29.5	12.0	27.0	19.1	11.5
2	48.2	3.4.9	35.2	(69.6)	57.5	19.9	15.6	30.0	12.1	27.0	19.1	11.6
3	48.2	32.8	35.2	(69.6)	57.6	20.0	15.6	29.7	12.1	27.0	19.1	11.5
4	48.3	32.9	35.3	(69.7)	57.6	19.9	15.6	29.8	12.0	27.1	19.1	11.6
5	48.4	32.9	35.3	(69.8)	57.3	19.8	15.6	30.1	12.0	27.1	19.1	11.6
6	48.2	32.8	35.3	(69.7)	57.7	19.9	15.6	29.9	12.0	27.0	19.1	11.6
7	48.4	33.0	35.3	(69.6)	57.7	19.9	15.6	30.0	12.0	27.1	19.1	11.6
8	48.3	32.9	35.3	(69.9)	57.5	19.9	15.6	30.4	12.0	27.0	19.1	11.6
9	48.3	32.7	35.3	(69.5)	57.5	19.9	15.5	29.6	12.0	27.0	19.1	11.5
10	48.2	32.8	35.2	(69.4)	57.4	19.8	15.6	29.4	11.9	27.0	19.1	11.5
Total 1967	48.2	32.8	35.3	69.6	57.5	19.9	15.6	30.0	12.0	27.0	19.1	

#### VARIABLES

- 1 Thumb-tip reach
- 2 Crotch height
- 3 Iliocristale height
- 4 Weight

- 5 Buttock-knee length
- 6 Vertical trunk circumference
- 7 Sitting height
- 8 Height (stature)
- 9 Chest circumference
- 10 Waist circumference
- 11 Buttock circumference
- 12 Reported weight

Construction and the metal of the second second second second

- 13 Bideltoid breadth
- 14 Chest breadth
- 15 Hip breadth
- 16 Reported height
- 17 Head circumference
- 18 Head length
- 19 Head breadth
- 20 Age
- 21 Menton-nasal root length

Stan Barris Barrison of

2012年1日日日には1月1日には1月1日には1月1日には1月1日には1月1日日

. .

i

1.11

- 22 Foot length
- 23 Hand length
- 24 Rank

\* All data in cm except weight and reported weight (lbs.), reported height (in.), age (yrs.), rank (coded). 1967 flying personnel survey data. † Not adjusted.

utilizing data for both men and women, it seems reasonable to suppose that these results will provide a realistic guide to the conduct of many future surveys.



o - And a grad a way at more in a sine

## CHAPTER IX

### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

We have, in the preceding pages, observed that the USAF's needs for anthropometric data are numerous and varied, that the resources for meeting these needs are substantial, that the available sampling and data acquisition strategies are of goodly number and take various forms. We have presented a definition of adequate accuracy and have demonstrated that such accuracy can be obtained from samples far smaller than those used in large-scale surveys. We have presented at least a prima facie case that the use of samples of a few hundred in surveys designed to obtain data on small groups of dimensions will, by making possible increased levels of preparation and care in the conduct of a survey and increased subject-time per measurement, provide more accuracy than is normally obtained in massive surveys.

Specific recommendations as to strategies for future data gathering are included at appropriate points in this report. To summarize, we make the following recommendations:

1. surveys should be task oriented;

2. data for the solution of design problems should be based on surveys limited to 40 measurements and to samples of 250-350 subjects, and whenever possible the sample should be one matched with or adjusted to appropriate population values for basic dimensions;

113

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

3. heights of student pilots and navigators aged 23 or 24 should be measured biennially to provide a running seven-year lead time on average USAF heights;

4. large numbers of USAF personnel, fliers and non-fliers, officers and non-officers, men and women, should be asked to report their heights and weights at least once every five years; such queries should be accompanied by additional questions designed to provide a basis for detecting major errors in the height-weight figures. These data should be used to adjust general USAF data to specific task-, command-, age-, rank-, and other subgroups within the USAF;

5. when research on the most satisfactory method of obtaining standardized anthropometric photographs has been completed, a five-year program, designed to provide a full set of these photographs should be undertaken;

6. the AMRL data bank should be expanded by the addition of new data whenever it becomes available;

7. all relevant data in the AMRL data bank should be analyzed to provide predictions of all dimensions for flying personnel and WAF's for 1980, 1985 and so on.

#### APPENDIX I

### A LISTING OF VARIABLES IN THE AMRL DATA BANK

においていたのであるというというという。

- 1999年の1999年11月1日におけばの時代に1995年の1999年の1999年の1997年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年の1995

.

; ; ;

١

.

ķ

i Giologia

.

顥

will watch

Tenfacere

-----

All and a substant set

65.0

i ang si k .

.

X

A		BALL OF FOOT LENGTH	99
ADDOMTNAL DEDTU		BIACKUMIAL BREADIM	103
ADDUNINAL DEFIN	4 -	BIAURICULAR BREADTH	107
ADDUNINAL UEFING SILLING	0	BILEPS CIRCUMPERRNUE, FLEXEU	111
ADDUMINAL EXTENSION	•	BICEPS CIRCUMPERENCE, FLEXED	
AROUNTERENCE Arounterence	8		112
SNOE OVER FOUNDATION CARGUMPER-	•	BICEPS GIRCUMPERENCE, RELAXED	113
CNUE UVER FUUNDATION GARMENT	y y	BICEPS CIRCUMPERENCE, RELAXED	
ABOUMINAL EXTENSION DEPTH	10	LEFT	114
ABUUMINAL EXTENSION DEPTH, OVER			
FOUNDATION GARMENT	14	BICRISTALE BREADTH	118
ABDUMINAL EXTENSION HEIGHT	15	BIDELTOID BREADTH	155
		BIGONIAL BREADTH	126
ABDOMINAL EXTENSION HEIGHT		BIILIOCRISTALE BREADTH	130
OVER FOUNDATION GARMENT	19	BIMALLEOLAR BREADTH	134
ACROMIAL HEIGHT	23	BIOCULAR BREADTH	138
ACROMIAL HEIGHT, SITTING	25	BITRAGION BREADTH	142
ACROMION TO BENT ELBOW LENGTH	28	BITRAGION-CORONAL CURVATURE	144
ACROMION TO BICEPS CIRCUMFER-		BITRAGION-CRINION CURVATURE	146
ENCE-LEVEL LENGTH	30	BITRAGION-INION CURVATURE	148
ACROMION TO DACTYLION LENGTH	32		
ACROMION TO ELBOW LENGTH	34	BITRAGION-MENTON CURVATURE	150
ACROMION TO FOREARM LENGTH	36	BITRAGION-MININUM FRONTAL	
		CURVATURE	152
ACROMION-RADIALE LENGTH	39	BITRAGION-FOSTERIOR CURVATURE	154
ACROMION TO UPPER ARM LENGTH	42	BITRAGION-SUBMANDIBULAR	
ACROMION TO WRIST LENGTH	44	CURVATURE	156
AGE	48	BITRAGION-SUBNASALE CURVATURE	154
AGE AT MENARCE	51	BUST CIRCUMFERENCE	169
ANKLE BREADTH	55	BITROCHANTERIC BREADTH	161
ANKLE GIRCUNFERENCE	58	BIZYGOMATIC BREADTH	
ANKLE DEPTH	61	(FAGE BREADTH)	165
ANKLE HEIGHT	64		
ANTERIOR FOOT LENGTH	6.6	AUSTROINT-AUSTROINT ARFADIN	172
	•••	BUSTPOINT HEIGHT	174
ANTERIOR NECK HEIGHT	70	BUTTOCK CTRCHNELPENCE	178
ANTERIOR NECK LENGTH	72	BUTTOCK CIRCUMERRENCE, STITING	179
ANTERIOR WAIST LENGTH	74	BUTTOCK CIRCUMEEPENCE, STITING	
ARM REACH FORWARD	78	OVER CONNATION CARMENT	
ARM REACH FROM WALL	, C A 1	BUTTOCK DEETU	4.8.2
ARM REACH URWARD	82	BUTTOCK DEPTH	A 0 0
ARM SOVE CIRCUMFERENCE	AR	CADMENT	
AXTLLARY ARM CTROUMFERENCE	AQ	BUTTOCK HEICHT	107
TRACERICE BALL VARIABLE ENGINE	5	BULLOCK RETORI	100
_		BUTTOCK-HEEL LENGTH	191
B		BUTTOCK-KNEE LENGTH	194
		BUTTOCK-LEG LENGTH	197
BACK CURVATURE	93	BUTTOCK-POPLITEAL LENGTH	200
BALL OF FOOT CIRCUMFERENCE	97		

Ţ,

\* Gaps have been left in the numbering system so that additional variables can be added.

<u>y</u> 557

1

. .

Sector and the state

and the substance of the second second

•	•	•	•	•	•	

şά.

いたい れいしおいて れいちょうし

化价格 计分子 计算机

CALF BREADTH Calf Circumference Galf Circumference, Left Galf Depth Calf Height Cervicale Height	204 207 209 212 215 215 219
CHEST BREADTH	223
Chest breadth at scyf	225
Chest breadth(bone)	227
Chest circumference	230
CHEST CIRCUMFERENCE AT SCYE CHEST CIRCUMFERENCE BELOW BUST CHEST CIRCUMFERENCE HEIGHT	231 232
SITTING	233
CHEST DEPTH	236
CHEST DEPTH AT SCYE	238
CHEST HEIGHT	241
CHIN PROMINENCE TO WALL	245
CROTCH HEIGHT	249
CROTCH LENGTH	252
CROTCH THIGH BREADTH	255
Crotch Thigh Circumference	257
Crotch Thigh Depth	259
Crotch Thigh Height	261
D	
DACTYLION HEIGHT	265
Deltoid curvature	269
Dorsal Hand Skinfold	273
E	
EAR BREADTH	277
EAR LENGTH	280
EAR LENGTH ABOVE TRAGION	282
EAR PROTRUSION	285
ELBOW BREADTH	289
ELBOW BREADTH, FLEXED	291
ELBOW BREADTH, LEFT	293
FLBOW GTREONNEFFENCE, FLEXED	294
ELBOW CIRCUMFERENCE, RELAXED	297
ELBOW DEPTH	300

and a start of the second start

ELBOW HEIGHT	303
ELBOW REST HEIGHT	306
ELBOW-DACTYL ION LENGTH	309
ELBON-ELBON BREADTH	312
ELBOW-GRIP LENGTH	315
ELBOW-WRIST LENGTH	318
ECTOCANTHUS TO TOP OF HEAD	322
ECTOCANTHUS TO WALL	324
EYE HEIGHT	328
EYE HEIGHT, SITTING	330

F ....

FEMORAL BREADTH	334
FEMORAL BREADTH. LEFT	336
FIBULAR HEIGHT	340
FINGER DIAMETER AT	
NETACARPLE TIT	344
FIRST PHALANX LENGTH DIGIT ITI	348
FIST CIRCUNFERENCE	352
FOOT BREADTH	356
FOOT CTROUMEERENGE	359
FOOT LENGTH	362
FOOT BENDIN	UQE
FORFARM BREADTH	366
PODEADM OTDOUNEEDENDE ELEVED	740
PUKLANN UIRUUNPEKENUL¢ PLEKEU	307
FOPEARM GIRCUMFERENCE, RELAXED	370
FOREARM DEPTH	373
FOREARN DEPTH. SITTING	375
FORFARM TO FORFARM BREADTH	378
CODEADM_ HAND I CNCTH	7.4.4
FURLARN-MANU LENGIN	201
FUNCTIONAL REACH	385

G....

GLABELLA TO TOP OF HEAD	389
GLABELLA TO WALL	39:
GLUTEAL ARC	39
GLUTEAL FURRON HEIGHT	39
GRIP DIAMETER INSIDE	40
GRIP DIAMETER OUTSIDE	40
GRIP STRENGTH	40

H . . . .

## HAND BREADTH 411

Second Second

Training the second states of the second states of

3.15

1.2.22

·• .

HAND	BREADTH INCLUDING THUMB	413
HAND	CIRCUMFERENCE	416
HAND	CIRCUMFERENCE AROUND	
THUM	B	417
HAND	LENGTH	420
HAND	THICKNESS	423
HEAD	BREADTH	427
HEAD	CIRCUMFERENCE	430
HEAD	DIAGONAL-FROM INION TO	
PRON	ASALE	433
HEAD	DIAGONAL-FROM MENTON TO	
OCCI	PUT	434
HEAD	DIAGONAL-FROM NUCHALE TO	
PRON	ASALE	435
HEAD	DIAGONAL-HAXIMUM TO	
MENT	ON	437
HEAD	DIAGONAL-HAXIMUM FROM	
NUCH	ALE	438
HEAD	LENGTH	441
HEEL	ANKLE CIRCUMPERENCE	445
HEEL	ANKLE DIAGONAL	447
HEEL	BREADTH	450
HEEL	CIRCUNFERENCE	453
HIP E	BREADTH	457
HIP E	BREADTH, SITTING	459
HIP 8	BREADTH, OVER FOUNDATION	
GARI	IENT	461
HIP (	DIRCUMFERENCE	464
HIP (	SIRCUMFERENCE 7'' BELOW	
WAIS	ST LEVEL	466
HIP (	CIRCUMFERENCE 9'' BELOW	
WAI:	ST LEVEL	468
HIP (	CIRCUMFERENCE 7'' BELOW	
WAIS	ST OVER FOUNDATION GARMENT	470
HIP (	CIRCUMFERENCE 9'' BELOW	
WAI	ST OVER FOUNDATION GARMENT	472
HIP	CIRCUMFERENCE HEIGHT	474
HIP	DEPTH	477
HIP	DEPTH, SITTING	479
HUME	RAL BREADTH	483
HUME	RAL BREADTH, LEFT	485

I	٠	•		٠	•
---	---	---	--	---	---

.

San Barris

Ű.

ILIOCRISTALE HEIGHT

INSTEP CIRCUMFERENCE	493
Instep length	496
Interocular breadth	500
Interpupillary breadth	503
Interscye curvature	506
Interscye curvature, maximum	507
J Juxta NIPPLE Skinfold	511
K	
KNEE CIRCUMFERENCE Knee Circumference, Sitting Knee Circumference, Sitting	515 517
HEIGHT	519
KNEE DEPTH	522
KNEE DEPTH, SITTING	524
KNEE HEIGHT	527
Khee Height, Sitting	529
Knee to knee breadth	532
Kneecap Height	535

#### L . . . . .

LARYNX TO WALL	539
ATTRAL MALLEOLUS HEIGHT	543
LTP LENGTH	547
ITP LENGTH. SHILING	549
LTP PROTRUSION TO WALL	552
ITP TO LIP LENGTH	555
LOWER THIGH BREADTH	559
IOWER THIGH CIRCUMFERENCE	561
LOWER THIGH DEPTH	563
LOWER THIGH HEIGHT	565

#### H . . . . .

MAXINUM FRONTAL BREADTH	569
MAXIMUM REACH FROM WALL	572
NEDTAL CALE SKINFOLD	576
NEDTAL HALLEGLUS HEIGHT	579
MENTON-CRINICN LENGTH	583
MENTON-SELLION LENGTH	556

AND AND AND A

and the breaking the same of the substance of the

489

10.0

MENTON-PROJECTION NENTON-SUBNASALE LENGTH	589 692	PRONASALE TO TOP OF HEAD	692
HENTON TO TOP OF HEAD	595		424
NENTON TO WALL	597		
		Q	
METACARPLE-III HEIGHT	601		
MIDAXILLARY LINE AT UMBILICUS			
LEVEL SKINFOLD	605	R • • • •	
MIDAXILLARY LINE AT XIPHOID	* * *		
LEVEL SKINFULU	606	RADIALE-STYLION LENGTH	<b>6</b> 39
MINGHOW DEA HEICHI BIIINC	640		
MINIMA EDANTAL CHOVATHEE	812 242	<b>C</b>	
MINIMIA FRONTAL CORVATORE	618	3	
HINING FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM	910	SAGITTAL CURVATURE	702
		SCROTALE TO ANTERIOR SCYF-	
Nanaa		LEVEL LENGTH	709
		SCROTALT TO ANTERIOR SCYE-	
NASAL BREADTH	622	LEVEL LENGTH, SITTING	710
NASAL ROOT BREADTH	625	SCROTALE TO ANTERIOR WAIST-	
NASAL ROOT DEPRESSION TO		LEVEL LENGTH	711
OCCIPUT	627	SCROTALE TC ANTERIOR WAIST-	
NASAL ROOT HEIGHT	629	LEVEL LENGTH, SITTING	712
NECK BREADTH	633	SCROTALE TO CERVICALE LENGTH	713
NECK-BUSTPOINT LENGTH	636		
NECK CIRCUMFERENCE	639	SCROTALE TO CERVIGALE LENGTH	
NECK DEPTH	642	SITTING	714
NOSE BREADTH	646	SCROTALE TO MIDSHOULDER LENGTH	
NOSE L'ENGTH	649	MEASURED ANTERIORLY	715
NOSE PROTRUSION	652	SCROTALE TO MIDSHOULDER LENGTH	
		SITTING MEASURED ANTERIORLY	716
•		SCROTALE TO MIDSHOULDER LENGTH	
0		MEASURED OVER BUITUGK	111
		STATING MEASURED OVER OUTLOCK	
<b>Ö</b>		STITING HEASURED OVER BUTTOUR	110
F # 3 # # #		SCROTALE TO MIDSHOULDER LENGTH	
PALM LENGTH	656	MEASURED EDSTERIORLY	719
PATELLA HEIGHT	660	SCROTALE TO MIDSHOULDER LENGTH	• • •
PATELLA BOTTON HEIGHT	663	SITTING MEASURED POSTERIORLY	720
PATELLA TOP HEIGHT	666	SCROTALE TO POSTERIOR SCYE-	
PENALE HEIGHT	670	LEVEL LENGTH	722
PHILTRUM LENGTH	674	SCROTALE TO POSTERIOR SCYE-	
POPLITEAL HEIGHT	678	LEVEL LENGTH, SITTING	723
POSTERIOR ARC	682	SCROTALE TO POSTERIOR WAIST-	
POSTERIOR NECK HEIGHT	684	LEVEL LENGTH	724
POSTERIOR NECK LENGTH	686		
		SCROTALE TO POSTERIOR WAIST-	
		LEVEL LENGTH, SITTING	725
PRONASALE TO OCCIPUT	690		

.

ţ

÷

ł

ł

,

Let a addition a second a second as the second of a second of the second of t

SCROTALE TO SUPRASTERNALE	
LENGTH	727
SCROTALE TO SUPRASTERNALE-	
LENGTH, SITTING	728
SCROTALE TO WAIST-LEVEL LENGTH	
OVER BUTTOCK	730
SCROTALE TO WAIST-LEVEL LENGTH	
OVER BUTTOCK, STITING	731
SCYE CIRCUMPERFNCE	735
SELLTON TO TOP OF HEAD	739
SELLION TO MALL	744
SUCLON TO HALL	744
SHOWLDER BREADIN Showlder Breading Shoe	743
SHOW BED STRUMPERSNOE HETCHT	747
SHOULDER GIRGUNFERENGE NEIGHT	740
SHOULDER-ELBOW LENGTH	[21
SHOULDER LENGTH	754
SITTING HEIGHT	758
SITTING HEIGHT, RELAXED	760
SLEEVE INSEAM	764
SPINE-TO-ELBOW LENGTH (SLEEVE	
LENGTH SEGMENT)	768
SPINE-TO-SCYE LENGTH (SLEEVE	
LENGTH SEGMENT)	770
SPINE-TO-WRIST LENGTH SLEEVE	
LENGTH SEGNENT	772
SOMATOTYPE-OYSPLASIA	776
SONATOTYPE-DYSPLASTA-1 HOOTON	777
SOMATOTYPE-DYSPLASTA-2 HOOTON	778
SOMATOTYPE-ECTOMORPHY	780
SONATOTYPE-FOTOMORPHY HOOTON	781
SOMATOTYPE -ENDOMORPHY	783
SOMATOTYPE-ENDOMORPHY HOOTON	784
SONATOTTPE-GREGADONORPHY	786
CONATO TYPE-GINANDRONODDHY	100
UDATON UTFE-UTNANDRUNUKFNT	707
	707
	769
	790
SUMATUTTE TEXTURAL QUALITY	195
CANA TATURE SEVENDAL ANAL TEM	
SURAIUITPE-IEXIURAL QUALITY	
HUUTUN	793
SPAN	797
SPHYRION HEIGHT	801
STATURE	805
STATURE AS REPORTED BY SUBJECT	808
STATURE, MAXIMUM	611

·- !-

.

.

1 I

.

1

in the second

٨

٠.

STONION TO TOP OF HEAD	815
STONION TO WALL	817
STRAP LENGTH	821
SUBNASALE-SELLION LENGTH	825
SUBNASALE TO TOP OF HEAD	827
SUB NA SALE TO WALL	829
SUBSCAPULAR SKINFOLD	833
SUBSCAPULAR SKINFOLD, LEFT	834
SUBSTERNALE HEIGHT	837
SUPRASTERNALE HEIGHT	841
SUPRAILIAC SKINFOLD	844
SUPRAILIAC SKINFOLD, LEFT	845
SUPRAPATELLA SKINFOLD	548

T++++

THTCH CTROUMERDENOS	
THICH ATRAUMEEBENCE STITING	072
THING UIRCUNFERENCE; SITTING	073
THICH CLEANANCE	070
IMIGH-THIGH BREADTH, SITTING	059
THIGH-THIGH BREADTH, SITTING	
OVER FOUNDATION GARMENT	860
THUMB CROTCH LENGTH	864
THUMB-TIP REACH	867
THUMB-TIP REACH, EXTENDED	869
TIBIALE HEIGHT	873
TRAGION HEIGHT	877
TRAGION HEIGHT. SITTING	879
TRAGION TO TOP OF HEAD	882
TRAGION TO WALL	884
TRICEPS SKINFOLD	888
TROCHANTERYC HETGHT	AGL
TRUNK HEIGHT	898
TRICEPS SKINFOLD, LEFT	890
Uu	
UPPER ARM BREADTH	902
UPPER ARM BREADTH. SITTING	904
UPPER ARM CIRCUMFERENCE	906
UPPER ARH DEPTH	900
UPPER ARM DEPTH. STITING	910
we have share we truly wat take	729
V	

914

ļ

VERTICAL REACH, SITTING

VERTICAL	TRUNK	CIRCUMFERENCE	916
VERTICAL	TRUNK	CIRCUMFERENCE	
SITTING			917

H ....

2

Ŀ

and the second

NAIST BACK	921
WAIST BREADTH	924
WAIST BREADTH. SITTING	926
WAIST BREADTH. OVER FOUNDATION	740
GARMENT	928
WAIST CIRCUMFERENCE	960
WATST CTRCUMFERENCE, OVER	70 L
FOUNDATION CAPMENT	070
WATST CTPCHNEEPENCE, STTTING	302
NATET CONTOU ADA	700
WATEL AKAION AKA	A2P
MATST OFDIL	
WATCT DEDTU CTTTVNC	323
HAIST DEPTH STILLING	941
HALSI UEPIH; UVER FOUNDATION	<b>.</b>
GARMENT	943
WAIST FRONT	946
WAIST HEIGHT	949
WAIST HEIGHT, SITTING	951
WAIST HEIGHT, OVER FOUNDATION	
GARMENT	953
WEIGHT	957
	· •
WEIGHT AS REPORTED BY SUBJECT	960
WRIST BREADTH	964
WRIST CIRCUMFERENCE	967
WRIST DEPTH	970
WRIST HEIGHT	077
	213

X....

Y . . . . .

Z....

1,1

Harden of the state of the stat

CANEL TO

#### -----.

	00		: c	C T	•	J	En		A T	۳n	N.S		A • • •	5 E I 1 2 E	'Esr n	4D 4D	17	L 46 (	1c T-	-A 110	ED		HE	T C	ыя	r .	Ê MI	n	ME	* ^ 4	21 N	DEU	461	<b>.</b>	нт	
		. 9 M 2	29 01	21	Ur		EU		нт. мі	1 U	1913 111	) ( 7 (2) (	E S			0 %	• •	י בור א בור	13 20		11			LG	111				n ; (	5 M G 8 <b>T</b> I			WE.	. U :	•••	•
	VAP	(TA)	ar.	5															. u	UA	. 1	Ur.								, , , , ,				•		
									Ų	UR	R		ų,		N								_							E. P	KĶ	UK		_		
1	AGE	_								•	1:	51	(		42	• 3	54		٠	01	ZH	IGI	T	+	• [	15	1.W	GT		Ðe	s Z	23	20	7	25	
2	WEIG	GHT.								1.	0(	] ()	(		Q	• 3	0 1	+(	).	00	OH	IG 1	T	+ 1	. 6 (	) ()	OM	GT	)	0 (	. 0	0 0	0,	, 0	00	
3	SKF	SU	8 S	ĈA	P	'R	-L	<b>.N</b> I	GE		6	56	(		39	• 7	8	•	٠	03	31	<b>IG</b> 1	T	+	• 1	ι9	QW	GŢ	)	40	• 0:	23	29.	<b>4</b>	34	
- 4	SKF	TR	IC	EP	S	-L	AN	IGI	E		51	75	(		21	.7	1	-		02	1	IG1	T .	+	• 1	15	8 H	GT	)	4.	. 2	01	32.	, 9	66	)
5	SKF	JU	X 🕶	NI	PF	۶L	E-	۰L/	GE		6(	55	(		41	• 5	57	•	•	04	OF	IG 1	T	+	• 4	24	3N	GT	)	5,	. 0	10	36	. 8	16	,
6	SKF	MAI		XĪ	Pt	4.44	0-	۰Ĺ (	ĠΕ		71	12	C		40	. 0	16	-		03	71	IG 1	T	+	. 2	21	7 H	GT	2	4	. 0	47	33	5	37	
7	SKE	Stil	PR	ÂT	1	rΔ	č-	ιĒ (	GF		79	١Ä.	i		67		â	-		0.	AL	iGI	T	•			0 M	ĞŤ	5		2	77	34.	6	<u>52</u>	
. Á	SKE	SUI	80	ΔD		ÌF	ĭ.	Δ.	-1			14	7		14	Z	1	-		<b>N</b> 4	4.	101	ř	<u>.</u>		17	314	δÌ	5	4		73	28.	Ē	a n	
	SHE	- C I II		<b>A</b>					- 6	• •	1	, <del>,</del>			**	•	19	_	•	7 2		161	•	¥ 4			E M	ct.	ί.	- Â .			34	2		
. 7	JAF	30	73						NK 177 NH 1612				5	7		• 5	26	_	٠	36	75		1	7 <b>4</b>		, U 	9 M 4. M	91 67		39( 9A		7 <b>4</b>			U J 80	
1 U	SKC	1 K.	LC	Ę٢	2.	- 11	AK	1		T e	0	13	l	C	23	• 1	90	•	٠	21	-	101	1	71	•	13	4 W	91			•	0 Q	50(	• •	47	
							_									_							_			_	<b>.</b>			<b>.</b> .						
11	SKF	SU	PR	AI	L	<b>[</b> A	C-	H	PN	۳.	6	32	(	6	12	• 3	5	-	٠	58	81	1G .	T	<b>+</b> 3		37	3W	GT	27	74:	<b>1</b> 6	53	30	) 6	28	
12	GRIF	<b>,</b> S,	t R	EN	G	ΓH					3	99	(		-	. 4	+3	•	٠	02	31	1G1	T	<b>+</b>	• (	9	6H	GŢ	)	6.	, 9	64 -	12	, <b>3</b>	47	
13	HEIC	SHT	(	ST	'A1	٢U	RE	)		1.	01	00	t		0	• (	00	+:	۱.	00	O H	IGI	T	+0		0 0	OW	GT	>	0,	. 0	00	0	. 0	00	
14	GERY	IC.	A L	Ε	H	ΞĪ	GH	(T			9	77	1	-	96	. 6	50	+		90	21	1G 1	T	•	. (	9	7 H	ĠŦ	> :	12.	. 3	04		. 8	ũ9	J
15	ACR	THE	1 N	Тн	F	īā	HT				a	<b>K 1</b>	ì		ġ.Ā		24	•		85	31	iG'	Ť	•		22	4 1	ĞŤ	1	15	ā	59	4	. Ó	ēē	1
14	3 Ant		E	HE	7/	Т	Ŧ				6	52	ì		48		E A	Å		63	44	10.	÷	1			21	ĠŤ	5	17		11	- Ŧ.	. ē	ŝò	,
10	ATV:	5 M 61	5			уп - ц	*			•	21		;	_	79 27	• •		Ţ	•			101	÷	T.		10	<b>4</b> 4	ČT		573 74		4 9			2 U 2 A	,
31	5170	1.0	N	пс.	1	<u>э</u> п				•		43		-	23	• (	2		٠	43	191	10		•	• 3	13	78	51		[]	Š	16	_ <u>6</u>	12	70	
18	DACI	TYL.	10	N	H	ΞĪ	GH	IT		•		75	Ţ	•	78	• 6	25	•	•	40	121	16	1	+	• 7	10	3 H	GT	1	22(	• 1	<b>71</b>	3	12	03	
19	SUPP	RA S'	FΕ	RN	AL	,Ε	H	G	HT		9	76	(	-	58	• (	)5	+		83	31	161	F	+	• 1	L 8	7W	GT	1	11.	J 9	05		, 8	20	
20	NIP	3 Je	H	EÏ	G	HT					9	49	ŀ	-1	34	• 1	14	+		80	161	1G'	T	-	•	01	9H	GT	):	16	, 5	24	1	, 2	78	ı.
21	WAIS	ST (	HT	-0	M	PH	AL	1.	CN		9	25	(•	-2	07	• (	6	+		73	31	1G '	T		• 1	15	9H	GT	):	17	. 9	05	1	. 6	81	,
22	TLI	OC R	ĩS	TΔ	L	5	HT	1			9	14	i	-1	50		79	+		69	101	iG'	Ť	+		1 0	7 H	GT	1	19		99	1	. 7	86	
22	AUT	rnc.	2	HE	7	-	Ť					20	i.		ō.x					64	71	161	÷.	÷.		0 0	4 1	άŤ	ń.	24		4.8	. 2		82	)
54.	1001		ĽΨ	20	÷	511	ំម				3	4 - <del>7</del>	1.	- 4	70 #4	- 7				61	2.	10.	÷.	-			<u>.</u>	čŤ	1	3 A		4 U		. 4	16	
5.4		211 H.					. n					9 r 9 A			4 ¥	• ;	14	7	•	24	96 T	יטי ימנ	•	_	•		7 II	01		4 10 1		38		1.	20	,
27	9 L U		L.	<u>ru</u>	I R I	RU		н	<b>U</b> I	•	0	LA.		- 4	13	•	32		•	20	141	10	<u>'</u>			11	38	91		12		£7	Ē	13	21	
25	CRO	TCH	୍ୟ	EI	GI	HT				•	8	51	( ·	•1	<u>8</u> 9	• 1	35	•		61	31	16	Ĩ	•	•	21	6W	GT	21	21 /	• 0	52	- X	• 4	74	1
27	PAT	566	A	TQ	P	H	EI	G	HT	•	8	55	(	•	<b>3</b> 3	• 1	19	+		35	121	16	T	+	•	01	ÔM	GT	);	13	• Z	49	2	• 2	18	)
28	KNE	E C.	IR	C	н	ΕI	G۲	IT.			8	59	- ( •	-1	13	• (	87	+		34	21	16	T	+	• (	01	9W	GT	)	12	•7	41	2	, 5	66	•
29	FIB	JL A	R	HE	1	GH	T				8	45	1	-1	09	•	53	+		31	01	1G '	T	٠.		01	2 H	GT	1	12	. 0	34	2	.7	43	1
30	GAL	F HI	ΕI	GH	T						7	47	1.	-1	17	. (	52	+		26	541	16'	T	+ '	•	02	8 H	GŤ	):	14	.7	68	4	.1	54	,
										-				-						_										-						
24	ANK	F	WP	16		Ŧ						72	1	-	21	. 1	8 <b>R</b>			nc	121	461	T		- Í	n 🤊	61	GT	<b>.</b>	1 1	. 1	30	7	. 3	84	
12	CTT	66 7 T N	6		Υ.	сы	T				7		2	2	20			1		14		10	÷	1			<u>4</u>	61		10		ăă	2		03	i i
36	311		9	116		97	 			•		07	-	Б. Д	30				•	30	191	10	÷	Ţ	•		78			47' 48	• -				20	
33	ETE	HE	Ĩĉ			21		1	NG	-	1	39		1	[9	•	1	•		34	• 31	16	<u>!</u>	•		00	1.			ZU	• 3	14		17	03	,
34	MID	SHO	UL.	UE	R	H	17/	'5	ΤĪ	•	7	15	ſ	1	37	•	25	+		20	51	16	T	+	• i	26	QW	GT		1.4	•1	95	Z	• A	00	)
35	ACR	OMI	01	, H	•••	GH	1/	'S	11	•	6	66	(	1	26	•	35	+		24	5	1G.	T	+		28	4H	GT	)	21	• 2	91	3	•4	87	,
36	ELB	OW -	RE	ST.	' (	HĢ	T/	'S	IT		. 2	72	(	1	51		02	+		02	29)	łG	T	+	• i	28	0 W	GT	)	25	• 0	68	- 9	• 9	70	1
37	KNE	ЕΗ	ΕI	GH	IT.	/s	11	IT.	"G		8	87	(	-	54	•	15	+		33	12)	1G'	T	+	•	13	31	GT	3	11	. 4	80	2	. 0	58	1
38	POP	ĪŦ	EA	IL.	H	GH	iŤ/	's	T Ť		8	55	- ( -	• 1	31		32	+		33	191	1G'	T			19	11	GT	1	11	. 6	19	2	. 6	58	j
10	AUT	Toc	K	KA	IFI	F	1.	٩Č	ŤH		Â	12	i	-	75		10			29	7)	iG	Ť	•		41	QU	GT	5	15	. 7	42	2	. 6	06	i.
	-901 1911	100	2	.0/								20	ì		L.C		2 V 2 (.	4		22	241	10	÷	1		76	74	ČT	· •	17		44	1			, t
<b>4</b> U	901	I Q Ç	n -	· г С		-	. 1 6	., M	•	•		67	•		49				•			19	•	•			* 11	<b>V</b> I	•	• '	••		v			<i>'</i>
1.4		u	• -			<u>~</u> -	-										. ~					4	-	*			4 44	<u>e</u> +	<b>.</b>	4 =	•	04				
41	ACRI	m = 8	10	E P	• •	ΰÍ	ĸ	Ļ	YL.	•	4	52	ļ	-	15	٠	3 Z	•	•	11		10	<u> </u>		•	U 4	2.1			17	• 2	U 44	2	• 1	부경	4 •
42	SHO	ULD	ER	?-E	Ľ	80	i H	Ļ	TH		7	53	(		-9	•	04	•	•	20	171	1G	Т	+	•	01	31	GT	)	11	• 2	63	3	• 1	33	5
43	ACR	OMI	01	l – F	2 A I	DÏ	AL	.ε	L		7	20	(		19		38	+	٠	19	<b>15</b> 1	IG	T	+	•	01	8 N	GŤ	).	11	• 8	05	3	, 5	83	\$
44	ELB	0₩-	WR	15	ST.	L	Eł.	١G	TH		7	38	(		6	•	35	+		16	31	HG	T	+	•	03	11	GT	1	9	, 5	10	- 3	• 1	70	1
45	RAD	IAL	Ë-	-51	I YI	LĨ	0	1	LH		.7	03	(	-	12		64	+		1	551	HG	T	+		0 3	41	GT	)	10	. 1	.07	- 3	. 7	60	
44	FIR		<u>,</u> F	, je		Ē	N	ŚТ	м.		7	53	i		- 6		85	+		10	13	HG	T	+		Ő Ż	11	GT	ġ.	10	. 6	14	ž	. 0	15	5
40	100		9 P 7 1	D	p	= 4 F A	n.		••	•		an.	ì		5.E		14	i.		L.	161	HR.	Ť	•		í	<u><u><u></u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u>	i G T	5	20	1	30		ĨĂ	24	1
78		но. Нов.	+ 4		R.	6 M 41 M	i V ľ	2	<b>T</b> 11	, (	2	20			22			- <b>T</b>		4	, U) 1 A	un.	÷		•	4 8	10 M			マン			ž		79	ý.
40		п <b>о</b> Ф сие	11	5 m 1 a -	ĸ	- U A	) <b>(1</b> /	r X	I M		9	4 U 4 0	2		27		07	<b>.</b>	•	. मार् जन्म	יבייכ	10	÷	•	•	4 J	27 15 U	101		47		70 9 F	- J - P	. 4	77 C	;
49	SLE	EVE	1	n S	Ē	AM				. 1	ŗ	19	ļ	-	23	!• '	23	•	•	36		10	<u>_</u>		•	14	그린			11	• 0	0.3	3	• 0	د ۲۰۰ موت	,
50	9IA	CRO	M J	AL	•	UÑ	E/	40	TH		, 4	8 Z	(	2	42	•	10	+	٠	06	5Z	١G	Ł	+		51	7 N	آفا	)	17	• 0	07	- 4	• 1	76	•
							_								_		_										_									
	BA:	SED	C	ĊŅ	1	96	7	U	SA	F	S	UR	VE	Y	DA	T	A.	1	Va	11	10	B .	in	1	im,	,	kg	8	n	đ ;	ye	ars.	•			
*	• St	and	az	d	•	rr	01	c/:	mø	aı	1	X	10	0.																						

+ Tenths of mm.

dan melikan syara melangan manya pana penjerang senang menjerang daran m

١

.

1

}

. į

ł

;

121

where and the second states

-

	REG VARI	RESS Aðle	ION	E	A U A	NTI MU	ON LT	S E IPi	JAS	SEI	D	ON	1	ME (	AS EQ	UR UA	EC	) [ 0	HE N)	1	GH	T	A	ND	M	E A S 1		UR ND	ED Arc	WEI	GI	HT <b>-</b> "
51	SIDEL	TOIO	BR	EAC	TH	1	• 8 • 7	56 66	41. ( r	4	N 09 87	• 8	6	•	•	06	1+ (a)	1G	T	+:	1.	04 8 2	42 2 a	HG HG	T) T)	15 13	i rai i e i	17 69	2	3.	1	45 76
53	WAIST	BRO	)TH-	ONF	- H	'N TH	. 8	70	i	2	65 84	• 8	4	•		08	101	1G 4G	Ť T	+: +:	1.	0(	65 33	WG	Ť) T)	11		76 56	07	3.	7	99 74
55	HIP B	READ	ÎTH ITH	SI	•••	•G	• 8	09 59	i	2	30 71	• 6	2	•	•	00	111	1G 1G	Ť T	+ +	•	7: 9	14 70	HG HG	Ť) T)	11		06 79	1 1	3.	1	37 20
57 58	ELBOW	8RC 9RC	TH	801	NE/	/R /L	.5	05 27	(		33 32	• 3	58	++	•	01	161	4G 1G	T T	+ +	•	09	52 51	NĜ Ng	T) T;		} . ! . !	11 97	9 9	4. 4.	42	04 00
59 60	F ARM	-F"/ Br"C	IR M	8R' 801	*01 NE/	rh 'R	•7	29 44	t t	5	30 56	• 5	53 58	+	•	13	141 131	4G 4G	T	+: +	1.	4/	43 11	NG Ng	T) T)	51	;. ;.	90 44	0	4. 3.	7	68 50
61	KNEE	BR"Ċ	TH	80	NE /	<b>1</b>	• 6	52	(	-	54	• 4	17	+	•	01	51	HĢ	Ţ	+	•	1	11	HG	T ) T )	4	3.	41 74	8	3.	4	32
63	WAIST	OEP	PTH-	OM	PH.	"N		92	Ċ	2	71 64	• 9		-	•	11	161	4G	Ţ	+	•	9	40 40	WG	T)	1	2.	91 74	5	5.	7	91 92
65	THIGH	GLE	AR		E	17	. 8	71 21	Ċ	1	47 84 44	•	35		•	07		HG	T	+	•	707	2 C 0 3 4 R	WG	Ť) T)			70 87 24	9	4.	7	56 67 66
67	SHOUL	DER	CI	içu:	Ne( 1001			41	ì	10	00		+3	•	•	14	+2    E	HG	T	+	2.	4	63 84	WG	T) T	3		44	7	2	6	72 17
69 70	CHEST	CIF		(F **) ( P H /	ENG	CE	.8	61 93	Ì	9	57		13	-	•	20	521	HG	T	÷.	2.	8	57 69	WG	T) T)	3	2.	29	9	3.	2	77 89
71	WAIST	CIF	۱۵-۵۱	1PH	/\$:	11	. 0	66		9	66		30	•	•	39	301	HG	T	+	3.	4	48	HG	T)	31	7.	37	8	4	2	77
72 73	SUTTO	CK C	SIR( SIR(	SUMI	F"( /S:	CE	•9	32 99	(	7	83 63		56 51	-	•	13	381 791	H G H G	T T	++	2.3.	5	74 55	N G N G	T) T)	2	).	00 40	7 0	2.	, 0 , 7	29 32
74 75	VERTI VERT	CAL Trui	TRI NK (	JNK JIR/	C: /s:	IR It	•8	57 14	(	7 6	10 13	1 a d 1 a d	33 45	+	•	32	251 771	HĢ	T	++	2.	29	69 10	HG HG	T) T)	3(	5.	82 34	9	2.	1	91 01
76 77	SCROT SCROT	ALE-	- A N' - A I	T WI Tai:	AI: St.	ST / 5	• 5	04 69	( (		96 49	) a (	54 L 3	+	•	1	721	HG	T	++	•	3	43	HG HG	τ) Τ)	1	7.	80 00	34	- 6 ( 5 (	2 8	60 99
78 79	SCRTL SCRTL	- SUI - SUI	PRA	STE!	RNI	LE /S	•6	97 41	l	2	91 91	•	97 59	+	•	14	+1  09	HG	i T	+ +	•	8	45	HG		2	* • 2 •	45 99	17 14	3.	, 5 , 6	52 11
80	SCRTL	-AN1		CYE.	L '	VL	•6	23	(	1	54		49 	•	•	1!	59	HG	iT 	+	•	5	88	WG	т.	. 2	••	51	.7	4 ( E	• 7	50
81 82	SCRTL	-AN	110 110	SHO		DR	17	57	(	3	34		60 57	+	•	1	46	HG	5 <b>T</b>	+	1.		39 39	WG	T	2	4 e 4 e	91 50 70		30		40 67
84	SCROT	ALE	-PS1	T W		S ST	• 6	17	(	2	43					0	24	HG	JT.	+	•	3	76	WG		2	3.	03	)4)  4   1	50 60	• C • 5 • 7	16
86	SCROT	ALE	131 •P   fot	IAN IAN	ST.	/S	• 7	91	(	2	42		9 7 9 5 7 7			0		HG		+		7	16	WG	іТ ) :Т )	2	4 4 7 4 5	11	6	70	. 4 . 4	20
88	SCROT	ALE	-CE		CA		• 2	32	(	3	51		02	+		1	66i	HG	T	+	1		44	WG	iT)	2	7 . 7 . 7 .	01	.4	3	2	69 07
90	SCRTL	-PS'	t S	CYE	Ĺ	VL	.6	40	ì	Ž	68		39	•		1	16	HG	T	+	•	. 8	54	MG	Ť	2	7.	39	9	4	. 4	07
91 92	SCRTL	-PS1	r s Mid:	CYE Sho	ւ. Սև	/S Cr	•6	18	(	3	11		33 41	•		1	06 30	H G H G	iT it	+ +	1	8	45 26	i M G i M G	573 573	2	8. 6.	24 15	9 19	4.	• 3 • 0	75 65
93 94	SCRTL SCRTL	-HD: -P	SHL	0 0 HLD	VR R/	8 S	17 17	40	(	4	39	5 .   9 . ;	86 18	•		1	79 25	HG	6 <b>T</b>	+++	1.	1	02	WG WG	67 ) 67 )	) 2 ) 2	7.	98 32	16 19	3.	• 0 • 2	60 4 <b>5</b>
95 96	SCRTL	- MD: th:	SHL Igh	0 0 CI	B RC	/S Um	• 7	40 97	(	4	21	L a I 2 a i	66 85	, 4 ; -		12	54 13	HG	5 <b>T</b> 5T	++	1020	1	37	WG	17) 17)	) 2   1	7. 9.	61	6	3	• 0	97 35
97 98	UPPER KNEE	CIR	IGH Cum	C/ Fer	SI En	T CE	• 9	14	(	5	60	5 a 1	47 53	' •   •		10	93 07	HG	GT GT	++	2	0	43	IN G 2 N G	17 17	)1	7. Q.	30	07	20	, 9 , 9	90
99 100	KNEE Calf	CIR	CUM	"CE F/R	/S Ig	IT Ht	• 6 • 8	155 101	(	23	46	5.) ).(	69 66	; ;		0	00 81	HG	jT jT	++		, 8 , 9	47	'NG SMG	GT (	) 1	1.	62	13	2. 3.	• 8 • 6	63

ł

1

١

.

•

الناور

des inter

<u>\_</u>\_\_\_\_

122

. .

All ta the division of the second second

يە بەر

	REGRESSION EQUA	TIONS BASE	D ON ME	ASURED HE	IGHT AND	HEASURED WEIGHT+
	VARIABLE	MULTIPLE Correlatio	N	(EQUATION)		STANDARD "V"
101	GALF CIRCUMF/LEFT	.804 ( 3	36.11 -	• 072HGT	+ .925NG1	1) 13 . 27 2 3 . 594
102	ANKLE CIRCUMF"ENC	E .695 ( 1)	68.00 -	• 010HGT	+ .424WG1	1) 9.093 4.058
103	SCVE CIRCUMFERENC	E 1742 ( 3) T 1866 ( 7)	38,94 -	• 015HGT	+ .982WG1	
105	BICEPS C-EXTEND/L	T +867 ( 3)	62.79 -	• 140HGT	+1.088WG1	111.668 3.839
106	SICEPS C-FLEXED/R	T .819 ( 3	54.71 -	.112HGT	+ .984WG1	12.960 3.958
107	BICEPS C-FLEXED/L	T .830 ( 3	46.61 -	• • 111HGT	+ .990WG1	12.533 3.902
100	ELBOW GIR-EXTENDE	D • 786 ( 2	18.30 -	• • 021HGT	+ .556WGT	
110	LONER ARN CHEXTEN	0.802 ( 2)	47.78 -	• • 039HGT	+ .597WG1	() 13.739 3.104
111	LOWER ARM C-FLEXE	D .717 ( 2)	40.41 -	• • 023HGT	+ .560NG1	1)11.010 3.699
112	SLVF L/SPINF-SCVF	5 8707 ( 1) .877 / 1	1/0/8 1 96.61 d	• 009MGT	+ .239WG1	() 7.498 4.241 () 48.384 8.407
114	SLVE L/SPINE-ELBO	W .701 ( 1	73.97 (	• 206HGT	+ .383NG1	
115	SLVE L/SPINE-WRIS	T .789 ( 2	03.17 4	. 356HGT	+ .424WG1	21.601 2.378
116	ANTERIOR NECK LGT	H .483 (-1	06.16 4	• 142HGT	- +395WG1	1) 14.731 17.464
117	POSTERIOR NECK LT	H .293 (	1.15	• <b>N91HGT</b>	177NG1	1) 16.076 12.139
110	SHOULUEK LENGTH	• 399 ( )	90.24 1 8.70 d	• 034NGT	+ • 0 8 6 W G 1	
120	INTERSCYE	.414 ( 3)	74.08 -	• • 072HGT	+ .A12WG1	1)11+970 7+990 [}34.255 A.A40
				••••		
121	INTERSCYE MAXIMUN	.685 ( 3	60.58 4	• 059HGT	+ .868HG1	1)21.970 3.570
122	WAIST FRONT-DMPH"	N 8584 ( 2)	14.11 (	• 056HGT	+ .499NG1	1) 17.969 4.446
124	WATST RACK-ONPHIN	N 8767 ( 4) N 8884 /	U/660 4 QN.20 4	4 UZ9HU1	+ 1+401WG	1300944 40320 1148.099 4.634
125	FOOT LENGTH	.693 (	51.69 4	114HGT	+ .092WG1	
126	INSTEP LENGTH	.622 (	45.73 4	. 078HGT	+ .084HG1	1 7.457 3.768
127	FOOT BREADTH	• 507 (	47.40 4	• OZ1HGT	+ .074WG1	13 4.262 4.364
124	JALL-OF-FOOT CIRC	• 584 ( 1.	26,56	• 044HGT	+ .252WG1	() 9 <b>,9</b> 96 4.024
130	HEFT CIRCUMPTNG	5 4042 1 1 5 .748 / 1	30407 1 96.03 4	- 4039MG1	+ .291NG  + .104NG1	) 90270 30010  ) 9.403 9.770
***	HELE CERCIN ENERG					
131	BI-MALLEOLAR BROT	H .547 L	30.26 4	.018HGT	+ .059WG1	1) 3.202 4.370
132	LAT"L MALLEOLUS H	T +463 (	6.18	• • 033HGT	+ .037NG1	() 4.804 6.824
133	MEU"L HALLEULUS H Mann ikngth	T 0444 (	21.93 4 41.16 A	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	+ +039WG1 + .024WG1	[] 5.088 5.939 [] 6.206 1.24A
135	PALM LENGTH	.538 (	27.94 4	. 143HGT	+ . 019WG1	1) 4.562 4.213
136	HAND BR/HETACARPL	E .494 (	49.22 (	.016HGT	+ .062WG1	1) 3.605 4.050
137	HAND BRTH AT THUM	8 .517 (	50.06 4	+ 022HGT	+ .074WG1	1) 4.240 4.160
136	HAND C/METAGARPAL	E .539 ( 1	30.12	• 031HGT	+ .178WG1	7.904 3.667
139	HAND C RUUNU IMUM Hand Thick/Neta-1	5 •010 ( 1) 	01+52 4 48.04 4	+ UZ9HGT	♦ •297NG1 • •094NG1	0.964 3.826   2.011 7.271
-7V		<b></b> .	*****		- IUCINO	
141	HEAD CIRCUMFERENC	E .423 ( 4	88.60 4	.026HGT	+ .236WG1	112.924 2.247
142	SAGITTAL ARC/INIC	N .167 ( 2	78.46 (	• 033HGT	+ .049WG1	116.314 4.709
143	RITRACION-CODONAL	2UZ ( 1 - 327 / 2	31050 <b>-</b> 70.44 -	- • UUSMUT • • 834 Mgt	▼ .0051WG1 ▲ .0100000	1 7.707 5.000 1)44.008 7.774
145	BITRAGN+NIN FRNTA	1.375 1.2	52.92 4	- 017HGT	+ .144MG1	() 9.218 2.993
146	BITRAG"N-SUBNASAL	E .466 ( 2	56.10 .	. 001HGT	+ .223WG1	1) 9.019 3.077
147	BITRAGION-MENTON	.544 ( 2	59.28	. ODBHGT	+ .300WG1	1) 10.348 3.170
148	BIT-SUBMANDIBULAR	.533 ( 2	42.94 .	•001HGT	+ .393WG1	1) 13. 319 4. 299
149	SITRAG"N-POSTERIO	R .301 ( 2	92,41 (	• 004HGT	+ .Z04WG1	14.252 4.840
190	NEAU LENGTH	• 2 4 3 ( 1	20+01 (	• • <b>U17MG</b> T	• •U\$7WG1	17 0.449 3.245

al Child Section with restances the constant we have been and the section of the section of the section of

.

44.94

*.* 

The sense when all a block the sense of the

	REGRESSION	EQUATI	ONS B	ASEC	D ON	MEA	SURED HE	IGH	T AND	MEASURED	WEIGHT*
	VARIABLE	MU	ILTIPL	E		(E	QUATION)			STANDARO	••••
		00	RRELA	TION	1					ERROR	
151	HEAD DIAGNL/	HENTON	• 4 4 5	(1)	84.21	+	•032HGT	+ •	089WG'	() 6.785	2.650
152	HD DIAG/INIO	N-NOSE	• 263	( 17	12.90	+	•017HGT	+ .	092WG1	1) 9.807	4.471
153	EAR BREADTH		•194	( )	27.36	+	•004HGT	+ .	019WG1	1) 2,956	7.784
154	EAR LENGTH		.302	( (	15.29	•	•007HGT	+ •	047WG	[) 4.061	6.156
155	EAR L ABVE TI	RAGION	.127	( 1	19.96	+	.005HGT	+ •	0 0 6 H G 1	() 2.914	9.920
156	HEAD BREADTH		.306	( 1)	47.446		.003HGT	+ •	0 82WG	1) 5.156	3.305
157	MAXIMUN FRON	TAL BR	.303	( 9	98 <b>.</b> 42	+	• 004HGT	+ .	057NG	() 4.342	3.742
158	BITRAGION BR	EADTH	.398	(1)	28.32	•	.002HGT	+ +	107WG	r) 5.093	3.574
159	BIZYGOMATIC	BR"OTH	•456	(1)	50.86	-	.005HGT	+ •	116WG	1) 4.583	3.222
160	BIGONIAL BRE	ADTH	• 434	( 1:	19.36	-	•017HGT	+ •	159WG	[) 6.231	5.312
161	EAR-TO-EAR B	READTH	. 281	( 1	51.26	+	.013HGT	+ -	0.82WG	7.752	4.117
162	BIOCULAR BRE	ADTH	191	i	50.14	+	. 00 3HGT	÷ .	0 39WG	() 4.760	5.191
163	INTERPUPILLA	RY BRD	.191	i i	51.95	+	.004HGT	+	0.26 HG	1) 3.554	5.668
164	INTEROCULAR	BR"OTH	.158	i	28.43	+	.001HGT	• •	019HG	() 2.746	8.243
165	NOSE BREADTH		199	i	35.96	-	.003HGT	÷ .	0 31WG	2.875	8.115
166	LIP L'ENGTH		.173	i	7.03	+	. GOOHGT	+ .	0 30WG	3.686	7.048
167	EAR PROTRUSI	DN	.121	i :	15.14	+	.002HGT	+ .	015WG	() 3.339	15.420
168	SUBNASALE-NA	SAL RT	.197	(	31.08	+	.011HGT	+ -	0 04WG	1) 3.649	7.108
169	PHILTRUM LEN	GTH	.137	1 :	15.72	-	.002HGT	+ .	0 2 0 M G	() 2.737	17.638
170	LIP-TO-LIP L	ENGTH	.124	(	7.91	•	• 008HGT	• •	0 2 3 W G	1) 3.798	21.916
171	NENTON-SURNA		. 104		46.87	•	- 01 0HGT	• -	0.2646	1) 5. 168	7.489
172	MENTON-NASA:	ROOT	.293	2 3	77.06		. 021HGT	4	03446	1) 5. 622	4.839
173	GLARELLA-TO-	VERTEX	.108	i	61.71		. 020HGT		1 2 2 HG	C) 9.651	10.404
174	NASAL ROOT T	O-WRTX	.173	ii	59.32		ADZAHGT		013NG	T) 9,257	8.614
175	TRNL CANTHU	S-VRTY	.181	i	80.17	÷ .	- 022HGT	•	0 04HG	7.575	6.339
176	PRONASALE-TO	-WRTX	.192	ii	84.30	i ∔	. 038HGT		D29HG	7) 10. 823	7.341
177	SUBNASALE-TO	-VRTX	.229	i	91.41		-041HGT		0.21WG	9.995	6.213
178	STONION-TO-V	ERTEX	.241	i 1	12.92	+	- 041HGT		0 1 2 WG	T) 9.712	5.288
179	NENTON-TO-VE	RTEX	.284	( 1)	46.58	+	. 044HGT	+ -	015WG	7) 9.821	4.313
180	TRAGION-TO-V	ERTEX	.210	( I	03.76	+	•015HGT	+	0 25WG	5.963	4.435
184	GLARELLANTON		. 312	( ))	50.D <b>C</b>	•		٠ ـ		T) 6.40F	3.447
182	NASAL POCTAT	N-WALL	.311		KQ. 02		. 04 9HGT		D BANG	T) 6.946	3.097
142		• NABP			59.44		- AAGHAT		DELUC'	T) 6.446	3.607
184	TRNI CANTHIN	5-4A4 L						· · ·			
	ATRNL CANTHU	S-WALL	.232 .31A	( 1)	86,00		. 016HGT	•	D BONG	7, 140	3.136
188	XTRNL CANTHU PRONASALE-TO SUBNASALE-TO	S-WALL -WALL -WALL	.232 .318 .290		84.09 75.21	+	• 016HGT	+	0 80WG	7.110 7.503	3,135
185	XTRNL CANTHU PRONASALE-TO SUBNASALE-TO	S-WALL -WALL -WALL F-WAL	• 232 • 318 • 290		84.09 75.21	+	• 016HGT • 011HGT	+	0 80WG	() 7.110 () 7.503 () 8.178	3.135 3.574 3.465
185 186 187	XTRNL CANTHU PRONASALE-TO Subnasale-to Lip Promin"C Chin Promin"C	S-WALL -WALL -WALL E-WALL E-WALL	•232 •318 •290 •294 •329		84.09 75.21 80.88 74.44	+   +   +	• 016HGT • 011HGT • 007HGT • 002HGT	+ • + •	0 80WG 0 86WG 1 06WG	<ul> <li>7.110</li> <li>7.503</li> <li>7.503</li> <li>7.8.178</li> <li>7.9.444</li> </ul>	3.135 3.574 3.865 4.828

T. NO.

1

and the state of the second

124

و اي

APPENDIX II-B

...

•

i. Li .

and and the second second and a second second second and the second second second second second second second s

	REGRESSION	EQUATIONS BASED O	NDIX I N REP(	ORTED HEIGHT AND REPORTED WEIGHTA
	VARIABLE	MULTIPLE	(	EQUATION) STANDARD "V" **
		CORRELATION	•	ERROR
1	AGE	.169 ( 50	- 64 -	.018HGT + .062WGT) 6.205 20.664
2	WEIGHT	.975 ( 16	.93 -	• 018HGT + 1.092WGT) 4.787 2.758
3	SKE SUBSCAP		• 84 -	• 036HGT + .206WGT) 4.169 30.503
5	SKF JUY"NTD	DELANGE 1940 ( 23	. QN -	• • UZ3MGI • •171WGT) ••294 33•700
6	SKE MAL XIP	PH"D-LGE .668 ( 44	.33 -	- ALINGT - 2358671 - 20190 - 300109
7	SKF SUPRAIL	IAG-LGE . 676 ( 73	.28 -	.073HGT + .487WGT) 8.643 33.052
8	SKF SUPRAPA	TELLA-L .558 ( 16	. 86 -	.013HGT + .080NGT) 1.913 26.104
9	SKF SUBSCAP	P"R-HARPt.641 ( 441	79 -	.359HGT +1.961HGT) 37.898 27.267
10	SKF TRICEPS	5-HARP"Nt.574 ( 266	.06 -	.228HGT +1.531NGT)35.606 28.918
	OVC DUDDAT			
11	SKP SUPRALL	IAU~MPNT.090 ( 002	•99 ·	.639HGT +4.171NGT) 78.025 32.220
13	HETCHT ISTA	THPF) .986 / 98	.493 T	- 44147 - 020467140 007 4 520
14	GERVICALE H	EIGHT .941 ( -79	14 4	A764GT 4 .1684GT)10.660 1.202
15	ACROMION HE	IGHT .928 ( -79	.91 +	.825HGT + .303NGT) 21.484 1.479
16	RADIALE HEI	GHT .893 ( -28	.35 +	.610HGT + .328NGT) 20.507 1.826
17	STYLICN HEI	GHT .809 ( -34	.63 +	.478HGT + .254HGT) 23.148 2.674
18	DACTYLION H	IEIGHT .743 ( -59	.95 +	.386HGT + .231NGT) 23.526 3.502
19	SUPRASTERNA	LE HGHT .939 ( -35	• 64 +	• 804HGT + • 266NGT) 18.842 1.298
20	NIPPLE HEIG	iHT •908 (-114	+ 17 +	.781HGT + .035WGT) 21.923 1.696
24	HATST HTLON	IRMALTON . 896 /-244	64 4	
22	ILTOCRTSTAL	F HT	1.87 A	
23	BUTTOCK HEI	GHT .846 (-201	.38 +	.614HGT + .014HGT)23.383 2.894
24	TROCHANTERI	ON HGHT .859 (-184	.88 +	.635HGT07ANGT) 22.230 2.366
25	GLUTEAL FUR	ROW HGT .852 (-220	. 59 +	.586HGT104NGT) 20.961 2.584
26	CROTCH HEIG	HT .838 (-214	.02 +	. 619HGT 216NGT) 22. 584 2.654
27	PATELLA TOP	P HEIGHT .831 (-100	• 28 +	.347HGT + .030WGT)14.211 2.701
28	KNEE CIRC H	EIGHT .837 (-116	•77 +	.338HGT + .037WGT)13.607 2.741
29	FIBULAR HEI	GHT .820 (-110	• 38 +	.306HGT + .005WGT)12.882 2.936
30	GALF NEIGHT	•/26 (=119	•11 •	• 201MGT + • 037WGT) 15• 278 4• 297
31	ANKLE HEIGH	17 .459 ( -23	. 62 +	.092HGT027HGT)10.208 7.441
32	SITTING HEI	GHT .749 ( 254	.87 +	. 362HGT + .161WGT) 21.006 2.254
33	EYE HEIGHT/	SITTING .698 ( 204	.68 +	. 326HGT + .116NGT) 21.580 2.666
34	MIDSHOULDER	HT/SIT .686 ( 161	.87 +	. 238HGT + . 329NGT) 19.930 3.085
35	ACROMION H"	GHT/SIT .640 ( 152	•13 +	. 221HGT + . 356WGT) 21.930 3.592
36	ELBOW REST	HGT/SIT .269 ( 179	•68 +	.007HGT + .345WGT)25.108 9.978
37	KNEE HEIGHT	/SIIT"G .867 ( -54	• 28 +	• 326HGT + • 163WGT) 12• 398 2• 223
30	PUPLINEAL N	1971/211 6030 (-140 1971/211 6030 (-140	.09 +	• 341HGI ~ • 193WGT) 12• 508 2• 862
40	AUTTOCK-POP	E ENGIN 6000 ( 71 DITTFAL 2716 ( 47	.03 4	• 673 NG 7 • 471 NG / 10 • 1/1 2 • 5//
••				1570001 + 1210H011711300 21300
41	ACRM-BICEP	CIR LVL .470 ( -12	•14 +	.108HGT + .049NG7)13.323 7.010
42	SHOULDER-EL	90W LTH .731 ( -9	•14 +	.203HGT + .023WGT)11.687 3.251
43	ACRCHION-RA	DIALE L .697 ( -18	• 35 +	•191HGT + •029WGT)12•197 3•702
44	ELBOW-WRIST	LENGTH .726 ( 2	•39 +	•162HGT + •040NGT) 9.680 3.227
45	KAULALE-STY	LIUN LH .687 ( -13	• 07 +	•153HGT + •C48WGT) 10.329 3.842
40	THUNDATIO O	LENGIH +738 ( 3	134 +	• 191HGT + • 034WGT) 10.897 3.095
47 48	THUMPSTED D		+ EQ +	• JEAN F • 210001/29.879 3.720
49	SLEEVE INSE		.32 +	- 323HGT + 1101H01/320626 30930 - 323HGT + 146HGT148.334 3.394
50	BIACROMIAL	BREADTH .481 ( 239	.60 +	.060HGT + .344NGT) 17.026 4.1A0
•			• •	
4	BASED ON 1	967 USAF SURVEY DA	TA. Y	Values in mm, kg and years.
	standard e	rror/mean x 100.		-
т	TAUCUE OL 1			

125

ta participante de la companya de la

.

. . .

-----

	REGRESSION	EQUATION	S BASED ON	REPORTED	HEIGHT AND	REPORTED WE	IGHT*
	VARIABLE	MU	LTIPLE	(EQUA)	ION)	STANDARD	••v••
		CO	RRELATION			ERROR	7 705
71 57	CHEST AREAD	SKEAUIN Sth	.763 ( 420 .743 ( 299	•09 - •073 •61 - •073	PHGT + _9871	GT ) 14.183	4.326
53	WAIST BROTH	H-OMPH"N	.842 ( 277	.43094	HGT +1.156	GT)12.859	4.154
54	BICRISTALE	BREADTH	.639 ( 193	.10019	HGT + .6971	IGT) 15.746	5.638
55	HIP BREADTH	4	.786 ( 237	.31010	HGT + .770	GT)11.637	3.300
56	HIP BREADT	H SITT"G	.834 ( 282	.11040	SHGT +1.6521	IGT)12.709	3.363
27.	ELBOW BRUTH	A BONEZR	6497 ( 35 .818 / 34	•11 + •014 -54 + •014	NGT + .0991	IGT) 34137 IGT) 2.808	4.430
59	F*ARN-F*ARN	A AR"DTH	.714 ( 563	•94 • •U1:	HGT +1.5981	GT) 26.501	4.879
60	KNEE BR"OTH	BONER	.637 ( 58	.62 + .01	HGT + .1241	IGT) 3.467	3.475
61	KNEE BR DTI	H BONE/L	.645 ( 56	•51 + •01	2HGT + 1241	IGT) 3.443	3.457
50	WATST OFOTI	4-0MDH#N	1/04 ( 20/ .778 ( 283	•00 - •09/ •88 - •131	/1101 + ±0/01 LHGT ±1.0241	(61)16.416 (61)13.773	3+UD1 6.176
64	AUTTOCK DEI	PTH	.820 ( 265	35 - 113	HGT +1.003	GT}11.742	4.899
65	THIGH CLEAP	RANCE HT	.769 ( 193	.61079	HGT + .6551	IGT) 8.460	5.122
66	NECK CIRC .	-MAXINUM	.703 ( 379	.41074	HGT + .7871	IGT) 13.612	3.550
67	SHOULDER C	IRCUN"CE	.816 (1033	.9318	HGT +2.6881	GT) 33.418	2.839
50	CHEST CIRC	AT SCYE	.804 ( 962	• 82 = • 24(	HGT +2.8201	(GT) 36+047	3.525
70	WATCT CIRCU	CHPHAL "N	•032 ( 976 •865 ( 963	.30301 .30411	NGT +3.7941	GT)37.020	3.700 4.72K
••							
71	WAIST CIR-O	DNFH/SIT	.836 (1035	.03454	HGT +3.763)	(GT) 41.101	4.703
72	SUTTOCK CI	RCUMF"CE	.902 ( 819	•63 - •170	HGT +2.7941	GT) 23.794	2.413
73	SUTTOCK CI	RCUM/SIT	•873 ( 926	•70 - •240	HGT +3.345	(GT) 32.746	3.042
74	VERILUAL II	CTU/STT	•040 ( /00 .700 / 468	•4/ + •67/ •50 + •32/	(HG] 4292301 NGT 42.4444	GT)41.800	2:513
76	SCROTALE-AI	NT WAIST	.485 ( 104	.84 + .064	HGT + 3691	GT)18.017	6.335
77	SCROTALE-A	WAIST/S	.450 ( 54	.56 + .099	HGT + .124	GT)15.167	5.963
78	SCRTL-SUPR/	ASTERNLE	•675 ( 330	.01 + .100	NHGT + •9481	GT) 25.180	3.657
79	SCRTL-SUPR	STRNLE/S	•616 ( 219	•40 + •18	5HGT + •490)	IGT) 23.545	3.704
<b>0</b> U	SURTL-ANT :	SUTE LVL	.605 ( 1//	•20 + •13	5MGT + 16981	161124.975	4.541
81	SCRTL-ANT S	SCYE LZS	.515 ( 73	.21 + .21	LHGT + .1961	NGT) 25.311	5.221
82	SCRTL-A HI	DSHOULDR	.733 ( 374	.29 + .11	HGT +1.164	(GT) 25.304	3.271
83	SCRTL-A HDS	SHLDR/S	.670 ( 268	.81 + .180	3HGT + •6821	IGT ) 24.329	3.363
84	SCROTALE-P	ST WAIST	•601 ( 258	•93 - •04	)HGT + •959	IGT) 23.397	6.618
07	SCRIL-HAIS	UVR BK	+980 ( 209	.25 + .00	HGT + .8821	GT \ 27 . 775	5.770
87	SCRTL-WAIS	T/BUTT/S	.497 ( 272	.7200	HGT + 1764	GT)25.308	6.484
88	SCROTALE-CI	ERVICALE	.719 ( 387	.18 + .13	HGT +1.183	GT) 27.528	3.331
89	SCROTALE-CI	ERVCLE/S	.694 ( 422	.80 + .12	HGT +1.147	GT) 28.610	3.388
90	SCRTL-PST	SCYE LVL	• 6 27 ( 295	• 38 + • 089	9HGT + +9971	IGT) 27.770	4.467
<b>a</b> 4	SCOTI -051 0			.76 4 .070		GT) 28, 747	4.452
92	SCRTL-P MT	DSHOULDR	•300 1 346 <b>.756 ( 4</b> 48	A7 + 100	3HGT +1.360	IGT) 26,728	3.132
93	SCRTL-HOSH	LD OVR B	.731 ( 438	•61 + •14	HGT +1.250	GT) 28.375	3.103
94	SCRTL-P HDS	SHLDR/S	.720 ( 471	.68 + .09	3HGT +1.3561	IGT) 29.097	3.333
95	SCRTL-PDSH	LD O B/S	.724 ( 446	.83 + .12	7HGT +1.2551	IGT) 28.320	3+173
96	UPPER THIGH	H CIRCUN	.856 ( 616	.90234	HGT +2.248	NGT) 22.884	3.891
97 0A	WNEE CTRCIII	NEEDENCE	•070 ( 990 .890 1 944	+00 - +221	JHUI 4202001 Dagt 4 .0421	10112U+4U1 4GT)44,807	3.923
99	KNEE CIRCU	M"CE/SIT	.833 ( 261	.12010	5HGT + 1912	GT) 11.724	2.983
100	CALF CIRCU	MF/RIGHT	.776 ( 365	.7809	5HGT +1.032	IGT) 14.348	3.858

	PEOPESSION	ROUATION	NS BASED	ON RE	PORTED	HETGHT	AND RE	PORTED WE	IGHT*
	VARTARLE	MU			(EQUAT)	CON)		STANDARD	••v•
		CO	RRELATIO	N				ERROR	
101	GALF CIRCUM	F/LEFT	.781 ( 3	50.36	0871	HGT +1.	011WGT)	13.931	3.772
102	ANKLE CIRCU	MF"ENCE	.685 ( 1	76.48	- +019	IGT + 📲	471WGT)	9.208	4.109
103	SCYE CIRCUM	FERENCE	.725 ( 3	55.30	- •033	1GT +1.	078NGT)	19.119	3.953
104	BICEPS C-EX	TEND/RT	.826 ( 3	79.15	153	HGT +1.	171WGT)	13.147	4.271
105	SICEPS C-EX	TENDILT	.836 ( 3	50.52	- +150	46T +1+	1899617 1899617	12.019	4.617
106	BICEPS C-FL	EXEDIAT	•794 ( 3	67.41	- 120	101 TI.	U / ONG I J 8 44467 V	13.784	46174
107	610673 U-FL	TENDED	.776 / 2	20.02		HCT 4 .	4 A MGT 1	9.046	3.270
100	CLOUN CIRCE	FI FYFN	.697 / 4	Q2. KA	01 QI	HGT + .	4 94MGT)	14.008	4.484
110	LONER ARM C	-EXTEND	.788 ( 2	58.60	051	HGT + .	661WGT)	8.995	3.195
					••••				
111	LOWER ARM C	-FLEXED	.707 ( 2	50.19	034	HGT + •	621NGT )	11.173	3.753
112	WRIST CIRCU	MF"ENCE	.580 ( 1	22.94	+ • 004	HGT +	265HGT)	7.519	4.276
113	SLVE L/SPIN	E-SCYE	.519 ( 2	10.39	006	HGT + .	487NGT)	16.481	5.441
114	SLVE LISPIN	E-ELBOW	.685 ( 1	89.22	+ 189	HGT + •	446WGT )	19.060	3.146
115	SLVE L/SPIN	E-WRIST	.775 ( Z	13.69	+ • 339	HGT + •	499WGT)	22.247	2.430
116	ANTERIOR NE	CK LGTH	.456 (-1	13.24	+ +147	461 <b>*</b> •	3348617	14.970	170740
117	POSTERIUK N	EUK LIH Noth	1290 ( 764 /	1.02 E6 08		101 167	L//WUI/	10.290	7.089
110	SHUULUER LE	NUIN		12.03	07X	101 T 8 167 A .	N ALMGT )	12.085	7.894
120	TNTERCOVE		.390 ( 3	45.47	070	HGT 4	A47HGT)	34.549	8.942
46.0	THEFT						• • • • • • • •	•••••	
121	INTERSCYE M	AXZMUN	.682 ( 3	77.17	+ + 039	HGT + .	974HGT)	22.061	3.585
122	WAIST FRONT	-OMPH"N	.565 ( 2	46.48	+ .032	HGT + .	574WGT	18.257	4.517
123	GROTCH LGTH	-OHPH"N	.704 ( 4	37.98	005	HGT +1.	593HGT	31.505	4.462
124	WAIST BACK-	OHPHL"N	.578 ( 1	10.03	+ .179	HGT + 🔹	219KGT)	19.381	4.131
125	FOOT LENGTH		.683 (	53.02	+ .110	HGT + •	113WGT	8,685	3.213
126	INSTEP LLNG	λH.	.615 (	47.04	+ • 074	HGT + •	102WGT	7.509	3.794
127	FOOT EREADT	H	. 903 (	49.20	4.019	MG1 + •	004761/		4.3/0
120	HALL-OF -FUU	I GIRG	+ 5// ( 1		T 8 U00	П91 Т 0 Ист 1 .	2004014	9.197	3.487
129	THREE OTROIN	REDENCE	.737 ( 1	34.87	4 .081	HGT 4 .	3 LANGT	9.544	2.813
120	HELL UIROUN	FERENUE	• • • • • • • •		* * * * * *		040101		
131	BT-MALLEOLA	R BRDTH	.542 (	31.14	+ .017	HGT + .	066WGT	3.217	4.390
132	LAT"L HALLE	OLUS HT	.454 (	6.43	+ .032	HGT + .	041WGT	4.830	6.861
133	NED"L HALLE	OLUS HT	.435 (	23.62	+ .030	HGT + .	048HGT	5.113	5.968
134	HAND LENGTH		.640 (	42.38	+ .079	HGT + .	040WGT	6.299	3.297
135	PALH LENGTH		.933 (	27.62	+ .042	HGT + •	026WGT	4.582	4.231
136	HAND BRIMET	ACARPLE	.493 (	53.33	+ .012	HGT + •	077WGT	3+607	4.053
137	HAND BRTH A	T THUMB	.515 (	52.62	+ + 019	NGT + a	0 87MGT	4.248	4.107
138	HAND CIMETA	DARMALE	• 5 3 6 [ 1	50.50	+ + 024	1791 † ¥ 46t →	202467	1 4 7CK	3.117
139	HAND C RUUN		+ DU7 1 1	20.24	▼ «U≤1 ▲ ,004	1101 T 4 MGT 4 -	676701	7 00073 2.811	7,979
7.4 A	HAND INIVKA	n <b>etw-3</b>	4617 7	6 U V F 6	* * * * * *				
141	HEAG CIRCUN	FERENCE	.415 ( 4	98.63	+ .017	HGT + •	2 6 9 HGT	12.974	2.256
142	SAGITTAL AR	C/INION	.156 ( 2	86.72	+ .027	HGT +	OBBNGT	16.345	4.718
143	MINIMUN FRO	NTL ARC	.194 ( 1	31.98	006	HGT +	0 87 NGT	7.720	5.677
144	BITRAGION-C	ORONAL	.317 ( 2	87.21	+ .025	HGT + .	150 MGT	11.950	3.342
145	BITRAGN-MIN	FRNTAL	.371 ( 2	160.59	+ .010	HGT + .	168HGT	9.234	2.998
146	BITRAG"N-SU	BNASALE	.462 ( 2	64.25	- + 008	HGT + .	253WGT	9.044	3.066
147	BITRAGION-M	ENTON	.533 ( 2	.68.99	• • 000	MGT + .	3 35WGT		3.198
148	BIT-SUBMAND	IBULAR	.515 ( 2	(DJ.98	- • 011	NGT 4	4 3596T) 2 2846T	13.402	4.340
149	UEAD LENGTH	DIERTOR	1 2 7 7 1 2 . 2 1 7 1 4	23.0U	- + UU1 - 4 , A12	HGT 4 -	C C 7 T VI	/ 1 7 1 607 ) 8.48A	3,981
72 0	HEAU LENGIN	•	• E O Y \ ]		- 4073	тт <b>у</b> т, т 6	4 <b>4 3</b> 4 4 1		44274

di la

a handartan martil a son den mengender mensen han servera

**.** 

İ,

۰.

ĥ

127

1

1.4

· · · ,

and the second state of the second stat

	REGRESSION	EQUATION	S BASED	ON	REPOI	RTED H	EIGHT	AND	REPORTED WE	IGHT*
	VARIABLE	MUL	TIPLE		(E	QUATIC	DN)		STANDARD	••٧••
		COR	RELATIO	)N					ERROR	
151	HEAD DIAGNL	/MENTON .	426 ( 1	.91.7	75 +	.026HG	GT + .	106WC	GT) 6.853	2.677
152	HD DIAG/INI	ON-NOSE .	260 ( 1	.79. 2	25 +	. 012HG	GT + ,	11100	GT) 9.815	4.474
153	EAR BREADTH	•	192 (	27 . 1	12 +	• 004HG	5T + 1	020HC	ST) 2.957	7.787
154	EAR LENGTH		284 (	48.5	58 +	.005HG	ST + .	05240	jT) 4.084	6.191
155	EAR L ABVE	TRAGION .	124 (	19.7	78 +	.005HG	6 <b>T + .</b>	006HC	ST) 2.915	9.923
156	HEAD BREADT	Η	296 ( 1	.49.4	44 -	.005HG	<u>эт + .</u>	0 8 9 H C	FT) 5.174	3.317
157	MAXIMUN FRO	NTAL BR	298 (	99.7	79 +	• 00 3HG	it + •	06440	5T) 4+349	3.749
158	BITRAGION B	READTH	390 ( 1	30 • 2	27 -	• 005HG	бТ <b>+</b> •	117 W	5T) 5.113	3.588
159	BIZYGOMATIC	BR"DTH .	453 ( 1	34.	97 -	• 009HG	<u>it</u> + •	132W0	ST) 4,592	3.228
100	BIGUNIAL BR	EAUTH d	433 ( 1	20.1	16 -	• 022HG	9T + a	19190	(T) 6.234	5.314
164	FADATOAFAD		274 1 4	67.4	54 A	. 644146	1 <b>T</b> A	00440	T) 7.760	4.426
169	STACHLAD OD	ERCAUIN (		.958C	94 T 18 A	• 011H0	21 T 4 1 <b>T</b> 4 .	0 7 7 10	TI 4.767	# 1 L CO
162	TNTEADILETI I	APV APA	100 (	87.4		- 002H0	21 T 8 2 <b>T</b> 4 .	04340	T) 44700	7.134
164	INTERCOLLAP	APHNTH .	163 (	24.1	16 4	. 001 46	)	02240	Th 2.748	A. 236
165	NOSE REFACT		107 /	37.5		. 00446	ур у у 17 ф .	6 3 KHO	T) 2.876	A.110
166	LIP LENGTH		175 4	49.2	22 -	- 002HG	ст • .	03640	T) 3.685	7.046
167	EAR PROTRUS	TON	113 (	15.7	76 +	- 002HG	Т.	04640	(T) 3.342 ·	15.434
168	SURNASALE-N	ASAL RT	184 (	32.4	43 +	- 01040	іт <b>•</b> .	00740	T) 3.659	7.126
169	PHILTRUN LE	NGTH	128 (	17.1		A 00 3HG	бт + .	02280	T) 2.740	17.659
170	LIP-TO-LIP	LENGTH	120 (	7.2	26 +	. 008HG	GT	02680	T) 3.800	21.927
171	MENTON-SUBN	ASALE L .	182 (	49.6	51 +	. 008HG	GT + .	031W0	ST) 5.180	7.507
172	NENTON-NASA	L ROOT	276 (	81.4	43 +	.018HG	ST + .	042HC	jT) 5.852	4.864
173	GLABELLA-TO	-VERTEX .	097 (	63.0	06 +	.019HG	йт <b>ч</b> .	021WC	ST) 9.662 :	10.416
174	NASAL ROOT-	TO-VRTX .	162 (	60.7	70 +	.027HG	GT	010W0	;T) 9.275	8.631
175	XTRNL CANTH	US-VRTX .	168 (	82.0	88 +	.020HG	GT + .	0 0 9 WC	GT) 7.593	6.354
176	PRONASALE-T	O-VRTX .	173 (	88.0	D7 +	• 035HG	iT	023W0	GT)10.863	7.369
177	SUBNASALE-T	O-VRTX	207 (	95.7	73 +	• 038HG	ST	012W0	GT)10.043	6.243
178	STOMION-TO-	VERTEX	217 ( 1	18.1	+ 8E	.037HG	GT	0 0 3 WG	5T) 9.768	5.319
179	MENTON-TO-V	ERTEX	262 ( 1	53.3	32 +	• 039HG	ST + .	02740	GT) 9.885	4.341
180	TRAGION-TO-	VERTEX	201 ( 1	.05.7	77 +	• 013HG	GT + •	030MC	GT) <b>5.</b> 975	4.444
			*** * *				· • ·			
191	NACAL BOOT	TO-WALL (	308 ( 1		4U +	+ U15HU		U71WC	01) 0.415	3.192
102	VTONI AANTH	10-WALL ( 116-441)	376 / 4	1030 i		0.0500	31 T 4 54 A		017 01201 T & 4 444	30104
199	BBONSCALE-T	03-886L (	747 4 4	2000 80 4	94 <b>4</b>	04200	71 <b>₹</b> • 17 ⊥		111 0+424 Th 9 494	3.012
4 A M	CHANACALE"	O-WALL (	34K / 4	.070) 1070	10 T	+ V1CMU	31 ▼ 0 27 ⊥	リフラオし	217 74124 171 7 844	3+141
184	I TO DOANTHA	0-8866 ( 85-68/11	207 L 1 280 / 4	AE 4	55 T	007HU	71 ▼ 0 17 ▲	4 9 4 4 4	717 74313 Tr a 409	3.219
1.47	CHIN DOUNTN	06-8866 (  Cf=68]	107 1 1	.074( 78 1	96 T 72 -	• 003HU	21 7 6 17 4	47207	T) 9:176	3.0/1
188	TPACIONATO-	WALL (	174 I 1	04.C	76 - 92 -	- 006M0	71 T #	11240	717 71723 (T) 6.471	4+042
***	1 // 401 // 4 / 10-	ANEL (	194 C		76 Y	<b>PODTUR</b>	71 <b>T</b> 🛔	U 4 E #U	11 01401	

İ.

ANALA

۰.

and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second se

128

1.145

сú.

#### REFERENCES

. . . . . . .

i,i, ja

Ç.

日本のと中国語など、中であるというできた。「たちにはないののため」

Alexander, M., J. T. McConville, J. H. Kramer, and E. A. Fritz, <u>Height-Weight Sizing of Protective Garments</u>, <u>Based</u> on Japanese Air Self-Defense Force Pilot Data, with Fit-Test Results, AMRL-TDR-64-66, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1964. (AD 606 039)

Ashe, W. F., P. Bodenman, and L. P. Roberts, <u>Anthropometric</u> <u>Measurements, 1943</u>, Project No. 9, Armored Forces Medical Research Laboratories, Fort Knox, Kentucky, 1958.

Baxter, J. H., <u>Statistics, medical and anthropological, of</u> the Provost Marshall General's Bureau, derived from records of the examination for military service in the Armies of the United States during the late War of the Rebellion, of over a million recruits, drafted men, substitutes and volunteers, Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., 1875.

Bolton, C. B., M. Kenward, R. E. Simpson, and G. M. Turner, An Anthropometric Survey of 2000 Royal Air Force Crewmen, <u>1970/1971</u>, Technical Report 73083, Royal Aircraft Establishment, Farnborough, England, 1973.

Churchill, E., "Statistics for Functions of Anthropometric and 'Somatolytic' Variables," <u>Annals of the New York Academy</u> of Sciences, Vol. 110, Part I, pp. 161-170, 1963.

Churchill, E., "Statistical Procedures," in F. Faulkner, ed., Human Development, W. B. Saunders, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 1966.

Churchill, E., J. T. McConville, L. L. Laubach, and R. M. White, <u>Anthropometry of U. S. Army Aviators-1970</u>, Technical Report 72-52-CE, U. S. Army Natick Laboratories, Natick, Massachusetts, 1971.

Churchill, E., "Factor Analysis as a Tool for Anthropometric Research," unpublished paper read at the 1974 meeting of the American Statistical Association, St. Louis, Missouri, (ND).

Clauser, C. E., P. Tucker, J. T. McConville, E. Churchill, L. L. Laubach, and J. Reardon, <u>Anthropometry of Air Force</u> <u>Women</u>, AMRL-TR-70-5, Aerospace <u>Medical Research Laboratory</u>, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1972. (AD 743 113)

Damon, A., and F. E. Randall, "Physical Anthropology in the Army Air Forces," <u>American Journal of Physical Anthropology</u>, N.S. 13, 711-742, 1944.

وهادا المعاوري والعراجي والروار

and the second second

Damon, A., "Notes on Anthropometric Technique: 1. Stature Against a Wall and Free Standing," <u>American Journal of</u> <u>Physical Anthropology</u>, 22:1, 73-78, 1964.

Daniels, G. S., H. C. Meyers, Jr., and E. Churchill, <u>Anthropometry of Male Basic Trainees</u>, WADC TR 53-49, Aero Medical Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1953. (AD 20717)

ø

Daniels, G. S., H. C. Meyers, Jr., and S. H. Worrall, <u>Anthro-</u> pometry of WAF Basic Trainees, WADC TR 53-12, Aero Medical Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1953. (AD 20542)

Davenport, C. B., and A. G. Love, <u>The Medical Department of</u> the United States Army in the World War, Volume XV, Statistics: Part 1, Army Anthropology, Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., 1921.

Emanuel, I., M. Alexander, and E. Churchill, <u>Anthropometric</u> Sizing and Fit-Test of the MC-1 Oral-Nasal Oxygen Mask, WADC TR 58-505, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1959. (AD 213 604)

Garn, S. M., "Retrospective Testing of Data Quality in Nutrition Surveys" (Commentary), Ecology of Food and Nutrition, Vol. 2, 237-238, 1973.

Garrett, J. W., and K. W. Kennedy, <u>A Collation of Anthropometry</u> (2 volumes), Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1971. (Vol. 1, AD 723 629; Vol. 2, AD 723 630)

Gifford, E. C., <u>Compilation of Anthropometric Measures on U. S.</u> <u>Navy Pilots</u>, Report NAMC-ACEL-437, Air Crew Equipment Laboratory, U. S. Naval Air Materiel Center, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 1960.

Gifford, E. C., J. R. Provost, and J. Lazo, <u>Anthropometry of</u> <u>Naval Aviators-1964</u>, Report NAEC-ACEL-533, Aerospace Crew Equipment Laboratory, U. S. Naval Air Engineering Center, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 1965.

Gould, B. A., <u>Investigations</u> in the <u>Military</u> and <u>Anthropolog-</u> <u>ical Statistics of American Soldiers</u>, U. S. Sanitary Commission, Hurd and Houghton, New York, New York, 1869.

Grunhofer, H. J., and G. Kroh (eds.), <u>A Review of Anthropometric</u> Data of German Air Force and United States Air Force Flying <u>Personnel 1967-1968</u>, AGARDograph No. 205, Advisory Group for Aerospace Research and Development, North Atlantic Treaty Organization, Neuilly Sur Seine, France, 1975. (AD N75 26635)

and provide the provided of the second

the state and the state and the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the

٠

Hansen, R., and D. Y. Cornog; H. T. E. Hertzberg (ed.), Annotated Bibliography of Applied Physical Anthropology in Human Engineering, WADC TR 56-30, Aero Medical Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1958. (AD 155 622)

Hart, G. L., G. E. Rowland, and R. Malina, <u>Anthropometric</u> Survey of the Armed Forces of the Republic of Korea, Technical Report 68-8-PR, U. S. Army Natick Laboratories, Natick, Massachusetts, 1967. (AD 640 891)

Hertzberg, H. T. E., G. S. Daniels, and E. Churchill, <u>Anthro-pometry of Flying Personnel--1950</u>, WADC TR 52-321, Aero Medical Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1954. (AD 47953)

Hertzberg, H. T. E., E. Churchill, C. W. Dupertuis, R. M. White, and A. Damon, <u>Anthropometric Survey of Turkey, Greece</u> and Italy, AGARDograph 73, Pergamon Press:Oxford, London, New York, Paris, distributed in the United States by the MacMillan Company, New York, 1963. (AD 421 428)

Hobbs, P. E., <u>An Anthropometric Survey of 500 Royal Air Force</u> <u>Aircrew Heads</u>, <u>1972</u>, Technical Report 73137, Royal Aircraft Establishment, Farnborough, England, 1973.

Japanese Air Self Defense Force, <u>Anthropometry of JASDF</u> <u>Personnel and Its Application for Human Engineering</u>, Aeromedical Laboratory, Tachikawa Air Force Base, Japan, 1972.

Kay, W. C., "Anthropometry of Republic of Korea Air Force Pilots," <u>Republic of Korea Air Force Journal of Aviation</u> <u>Medicine</u>, Volume 9, No. 1, Seoul, Korea, 1961.

44, 51.

Kennedy, K. W., "Anthropometry and Kinematics in Crew Station Design," pages 65-79 in <u>Crew Station Design</u>, edited by K. D. Cross and J. J. McGrath, Anacapa Sciences, Inc., Santa Barbara, California, 1973. (Also published as AMRL-TR-72-75)

King, B. G., D. G. Morrow, and E. P. Vollmer, <u>Cockpit Studies-</u> The Boundaries of the Maximum Area for the Operation of Manual <u>Controls</u>, Project X-651 Report 3, Naval Medical Research Institute, Bethesda, Maryland, 1947.

Long, L., and E. Churchill, "Anthropometry of USAF Male Basic Trainees--Contrasts of Several Subgroups," unpublished paper prepared for the 1968 meeting of the American Association of Physical Anthropologists, Detroit, Michigan.

Newman, R. W., and R. M. White, <u>Reference Anthropometry of Army</u> <u>Men</u>, Environmental Protection Section Report No. 180, U. S. Army Quartermaster Climatic Research Laboratory, Lawrence, Massachusetts, 1951. (AD 149 451)

 $a_{1}^{*} < a_{2}^{*}$ 

and a strategy of the second second second second second second second second second second second second secon

Noorani, S., and C. N. Dillard, Jr., <u>Anthropometric Survey of</u> the Imperial Iranian Armed Forces (Volume I, Data Collection and Analysis; Volume II, Statistical Data), Technical Report of the Combat Research and Evaluation Center, Imperial Iranian Ground Forces, Teheran, Iran, 1970.

「「「「」」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「」、

O'Brien, R., and W. C. Shelton, <u>Women's Measurements for</u> <u>Garment and Pattern Construction</u>, <u>Miscellaneous Publication</u> No. 454, U. S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., 1941.

Olivier, G., <u>Practical Anthropology</u>, C. C. Thomas, Springfield, Illinois, 1969.

Oshima, M., T. Fujimoto, T. Oguro, N. Tobimatsu, T. Mori, I. Tanaka, T. Watanabe, and M. Alexander (USAF), <u>Anthropometry</u> <u>of Japanese Pilots</u>, Reports of the Aero Medical Laboratory, Volume 2, No. 2, Tokyo, Japan, 1962.

Randall, F. E., and A. Damon, <u>Anthropometric Facial Survey at</u> <u>Wilberforce University</u>, Memorandum Report, Air Corps, <u>Materiel Division</u>, Wright Field, Ohio, 1942.

Randall, F. E., A. Damon, R. S. Benton, and D. I. Patt, <u>Human</u> Body Size in Military Aircraft and Personal Equipment, Army Air Force Technical Report No. 5501, Wright Field, Dayton, Ohio, 1946. (ATI 25419)

Randall, F. E., and E. H. Munro, <u>Reference Anthropometry of</u> <u>Army Women</u>, EPS Report No. 149, <u>Quartermaster Climatic</u> Research Laboratory, U. S. Army, Lawrence, Massachusetts, 1949. (AD 209 837)

Reid, B., An Annotated Bibliography of USAF Applied Physical Anthropology, January 1946--May 1973, AMRL-TR-73-51, Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1973. (AD 762 287)

Roche, A. F., and G. H. Davila, "Late Adolescent Growth in Stature," Pediatrics, 50:6, 874-880, 1972.

Schane, W. P., D. E. Littell, and C. G. Moultrie, <u>Selected</u> Anthropometric Measurements of 1640 U. S. Army Warrant Officer Candidate Flight Trainees, USAARL Report No. 69-2, U. S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory, Fort Rucker, Alabama, 1969.

Stoudt, H. W., A. Damon, R. A. McFarland, and J. Roberts, Weight, Height, and Selected Body Dimensions of Adults--United States, 1960-62, Public Health Service Publication No. 1000--Series 11, No. 8, U. S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Washington, D. C., 1965.

եր Դե

うちんち

A she & she was a house the set of the set of states of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set

New Automatical Contraction of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the

Stoudt, H. W., A. Damon, R. W. McFarland, and J. Roberts, Skinfolds, Body Girths, Biacromial Diameter, and Selected Anthropometric Indices of Adults--United States, 1960-62, Public Health Service Publication No. 1000--Series 11, No. 35, U. S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Washington, D. C., 1970.

Tanner, J. M., "Early Maturation in Man," <u>Scientific American</u>, 218:1, 21-27, 1968.

U. S. Air Force, The 1957 PhotoMetriC Survey, unpublished data, Aeromedical Research Laboratories, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, (ND).

White, R. M., <u>Anthropometry of Army Aviators</u>, Environmental Protection Research Division Technical Report EP-150, U. S. Army Quartermaster Research and Engineering Center, Natick, Massachusetts, 1961. (AD 263 357)

White, R. M., <u>Anthropometric Survey of the Armed Forces of</u> the <u>Republic of Vietnam</u>, U. S. Army Natick Laboratories, Natick, Massachusetts, 1964. (AD 458 864)

White, R. M., <u>Anthropometric Survey of the Royal Thai Armed</u> Forces, U. S. Army Natick Laboratories, Natick, Massachusetts, 1964. (AD 450 836)

White, R. M., and E. Churchill, <u>The Body Size of Soldiers:</u> <u>U. S. Army Anthropometry-1966</u>, <u>Technical Report 72-51-CE</u>, <u>U. S. Army Natick Laboratories</u>, Natick, <u>Massachusetts</u>, 1971. (AD 743 465)

and and the second best with the second state of the second second second second second second second second s

Zeigen, R., M. Alexander, and E. Churchill, <u>A Head Circumfer-</u> ence Sizing System for Helmet Design: <u>Including Three-Dimensional</u> <u>Presentation of Anthropometric Data</u>, WADD TR 60-631, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1960. (AD 251 939)

and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second