

2

F8

AD A 024839




ANALYSIS OF MODES IN A FINITE-WIDTH PARALLEL-PLATE WAVEGUIDE

T. Itoh
R. Mittra

University of Illinois
Urbana, Illinois 61801

April 1976

Final Report



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

AIR FORCE WEAPONS LABORATORY
Air Force Systems Command
Kirtland Air Force Base, NM 87117

This final report was prepared by the University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois, under Contract F29601-74-C-001C, Job Order 37630102 with the Air Force Weapons Laboratory, Kirtland Air Force Base, New Mexico. Mr. William D. Prather (ELP) was the Laboratory Project Officer-in-Charge.

When US Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than a definitely related Government procurement operation, the Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever, and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise, as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

This report has been reviewed by the Information Office (OI) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS, it will be available to the general public, including foreign nations.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

William D. Prather
WILLIAM D. PRATHER
Project Officer

FOR THE COMMANDER


Larry W. Wood
LARRY W. WOOD
Lt Colonel, USAF
Chief, Phenomenology & Technology
Branch

Charles E. Olden
JAMES L. GRIGGS, JR.
Colonel, USAF
Chief, Electronics Division

DO NOT RETURN THIS COPY. RETAIN OR DESTROY.

19 REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
18 1. REPORT NUMBER AFWL-TR-75-83	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 9	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) ANALYSIS OF MODES IN A FINITE-WIDTH PARALLEL-PLATE WAVEGUIDE.		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final Report.	
7. AUTHOR(s) T. Itoh R. Mittra		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) 15 F29601-74-C-0010
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois 61801		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 17 64711F 16 37630102 AF-3763	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Air Force Weapons Laboratory Kirtland Air Force Base, NM 87117		12. REPORT DATE 11 Apr 1976	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 24
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)			
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This study was performed under subcontract to: The Dikewood Corporation, 1009 Bradbury Drive, SE, University Research Park, Albuquerque, NM 87106			
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Electromagnetic Fields and Waves Waveguides Parallel Plates Modes			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) An efficient method has been developed for analyzing modal characteristics of a finite-width parallel-plate waveguide. The method is based on an extension of Galerkin's procedure applied in the Fourier transform domain. Numerical values of propagation constants and field distributions have been obtained for various structural and modal parameters.			

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)



SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
I	INTRODUCTION	5
II	FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM	7
III	METHOD OF SOLUTION	13
IV	NUMERICAL PROCEDURE	16
V	NUMERICAL RESULTS	19
VI	CONCLUSIONS	22
	REFERENCES	23

ILLUSTRATIONS

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	(a) Cross Section of a Finite-Width Parallel-Plate Waveguide (b), (c) Equivalent Structure	6
2	Classification by Symmetry	18
3	Field Distribution in the Cross Section of the Waveguide	21

TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Classification by Symmetricity	8
2	Computer Solutions of Propagation Characteristics	20

SECTION I
INTRODUCTION

Parallel-plate transmission lines are often employed as a guiding structure for electromagnetic pulse (EMP) simulators. This type of transmission line, as shown in figure 1, has in addition to the dominant TEM mode an infinite number of higher-order modes. Because of the open nature of the structure, the propagation constants of these higher-order modes are usually complex, representing the propagation as well as radiation loss of the modes. When an object to be tested is placed in the present structure, it is illuminated by electromagnetic waves consisting of a combination of TEM and a number of higher-order modes. The scattered field is also a superposition of TEM and higher-order modes. Hence, the nature of the higher-order modes in the parallel-plate transmission line is worth investigating and the development of a computer program to obtain the propagation constant and the modal field distribution is important for EMP studies.

The problem of parallel-plate transmission lines has been studied by several workers (refs. 1 and 2) using various approaches. In this paper, a new method is presented for attacking this problem. The method is an extension of Galerkin's procedure in the spectral domain. The original version of this method, which has been applied to many microstrip-type transmission line structures (refs. 3 and 4), is extended here to apply to the structure with complex transverse propagation constants. In the following sections, the formulation of the problem, numerical procedures, and some results are presented.




Figure 1. (a) Cross Section of a Finite-Width Parallel-Plate Waveguide
 (b), (c) Equivalent Structure.

SECTION II

FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

The cross section of the parallel-plate transmission line is shown in figure 1. Assume that the plates are infinitely thin and that both the plates and the medium are lossless. It is well known that the dominant mode in this structure is TEM with the propagation constant identical to the free-space wave number. All of the higher-order modes may be classified into the two sets, TM and TE, with respect to the z-direction. Assuming $\exp(j\omega t - j\beta z)$ variation, where the propagation constant β is complex, in general, all of the field components of the TM and TE modes may generally be expressed in terms of scalar potentials as

TM modes

$$\left. \begin{aligned} E_z &= j \frac{k^2 - \beta^2}{\beta} \phi(x,y) \\ E_x &= \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} & E_y &= \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \\ H_x &= -\frac{\omega \epsilon_0}{\beta} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} & H_y &= \frac{\omega \epsilon_0}{\beta} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1)$$

TE modes

$$\left. \begin{aligned} H_z &= j \frac{k^2 - \beta^2}{\beta} \psi(x,y) \\ E_x &= \frac{\omega \mu_0}{\beta} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} & E_y &= -\frac{\omega \mu_0}{\beta} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \\ H_x &= \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} & H_y &= \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2)$$

where $k = 2\pi/\lambda$ is the free-space wave number, and ϵ_0 and μ_0 are the permittivity and permeability of free space, respectively. The common factor $\exp(j\omega t - j\beta z)$ has been and will be omitted throughout this paper.

In addition to the TE and TM classification, the symmetry of the structure allows us to further subclassify the modal spectrum. For instance, the symmetricity of the direction of the z-component of induced current on the plates allows us to subclassify the spectrum into the four cases listed in table 1. The detailed formulation will now be given for Cases 1 and 3 of TM modes only (odd TM modes), and only the resultant equations will be summarized for the rest of the cases. Because of the nature of the present method of analysis, the distinction between Cases 1 and 3 (and between Cases 2 and 4) is not necessary in the formulation process.

Table 1

CLASSIFICATION BY SYMMETRICITY

Case	$x > 0, y > 0$	$x < 0, y > 0$	$x < 0, y < 0$	$x > 0, y < 0$
1	+	+	-	-
2	+	+	+	+
3	+	-	-	+
4	+	-	+	-

+ J_z flows in the positive z direction

- J_z flows in the negative z direction

Such distinction is undertaken only at the stage of preparation for numerical computation.

In the odd TM mode cases, it is only necessary to consider the equivalent structure shown in figure 1(b) where the $y = 0$ plane is an electric conductor. Since the structure is infinite in the x-direction, the electromagnetic boundary value problem is formulated in the spectral or Fourier transform domain as opposed to the conventional space domain formulation (refs. 3 and 4).

To this end, let us define the Fourier transform $\tilde{\phi}(\alpha, y)$ of the scalar potential $\phi(x, y)$ via

$$\tilde{\phi}_i(\alpha, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_i(x, y) e^{j\alpha x} dx \quad (3)$$

where $i = 1$ and 2 designates the regions $0 < y < d$ and $y > d$, respectively.

The transforms of field components may be defined from equations (1) and (3)

as

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_{zi} &= j \frac{k^2 - \beta^2}{\beta} \tilde{\phi}_i(\alpha, y) \\ \tilde{E}_{xi} &= -j\alpha \tilde{\phi}_i(\alpha, y) \\ \tilde{H}_{xi} &= -\frac{\omega\epsilon_0}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \tilde{\phi}_i(\alpha, y) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (4)$$

Since ϕ_i satisfies the wave equation, $\tilde{\phi}_i$ is a solution of

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dy^2} - \gamma^2 \right) \tilde{\phi}_i(\alpha, y) = 0 \quad (5)$$

where

$$\gamma^2 = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 - k^2 \quad (6)$$

Because of the boundary conditions $E_z = E_x = 0$ at $y = 0$ and the radiation condition at $y \rightarrow +\infty$, the solution of equation (5) is

$$\tilde{\phi}_1(\alpha, y) = A(\alpha) \sinh \gamma y \quad 0 < y < d \quad (7a)$$

$$\tilde{\phi}_2(\alpha, y) = B(\alpha) \exp[-\gamma(y - d)] \quad y > d \quad (7b)$$

where A and B are unknowns. Note that $\text{Re } \gamma > 0$ and $\text{Im } \gamma > 0$ are to be satisfied so that equation (7b) represents a valid form for $y \rightarrow +\infty$.

The next step is to apply the interface conditions at $y = d$ in the transform domain. Since

$$E_{z1}(x, d-) = E_{z2}(x, d+) \quad \text{all } x$$

$$E_{x1}(x, d-) = E_{x2}(x, d+) \quad \text{all } x$$

$$H_{x1}(x, d-) = H_{x2}(x, d-) \quad |x| > w$$

the interface conditions expressed in the transform domain are

$$\tilde{E}_{z1}(\alpha, d-) = \tilde{E}_{z2}(\alpha, d+) \quad (8)$$

$$\tilde{E}_{x1}(\alpha, d-) = \tilde{E}_{x2}(\alpha, d+) \quad (9)$$

$$\tilde{H}_{x1}(\alpha, d-) - \tilde{H}_{x2}(\alpha, d+) = \tilde{J}_z(\alpha) \quad (10)$$

where \tilde{J}_z is the transform of the z-directed, unknown, induced current on the plate at $y = d$. Substitution of equations (4) and (7) into equation (8) gives the relation between A and B. Equation (9) is automatically satisfied for A and B. If these quantities are substituted in equation (10), A or B is expressed in terms of another unknown $\tilde{J}_z(\alpha)$.

$$B(\alpha) = \tilde{G}_0(\alpha) \tilde{J}_z(\alpha) \quad (11)$$

where

$$\tilde{G}_0(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{-\beta}{\omega \epsilon_0 \gamma [1 + \coth \gamma d]} \quad (12)$$

Now, the final boundary condition $E_z(x, d) = 0$ for $|x| < w$ is imposed in the transform domain. Since $E_z(x, d)$ is unknown but nonzero for $|x| > w$, it can be written as

$$E_z(x,d) = \begin{cases} 0 \\ j \frac{k^2 - \beta^2}{\beta} u(x) \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Hence, the transform is

$$\tilde{E}_z(\alpha, d) = j \frac{k^2 - \beta^2}{\beta} \tilde{U}(\alpha) \quad (14)$$

where

$$\tilde{U}(\alpha) = \int_{-\infty}^{-w} u(x) e^{j\alpha x} dx + \int_w^{\infty} u(x) e^{j\alpha x} dx$$

Eliminating $B(\alpha)$ from equations (8), (11) and (14), obtain

$$\tilde{G}_0(\alpha, \beta) \tilde{J}_z(\alpha) = \tilde{U}(\alpha) \quad (15)$$

It should be mentioned that equation (15) is the transform of the integral equation of the convolution form encountered in many conventional space domain analyses. It may also be worthwhile to mention that equation (15) contains two unknowns, \tilde{J}_z and \tilde{U} ; however, it is possible that in the solution one of the unknowns, \tilde{U} , may be eliminated and that equation (15) is solved for \tilde{J}_z only.

Before concluding this section, let us summarize the resultant equations for other symmetries and polarizations.

TM, even in y (Cases 2 and 4 in table 1)

$$\tilde{G}_e(\alpha, \beta) \tilde{J}_z(\alpha) = \tilde{U}(\alpha) \quad (16a)$$

$$\tilde{G}_e = \frac{-\beta}{\omega \epsilon_0 \gamma [1 + \tanh \gamma d]} \quad (16b)$$

TE, odd in y

$$\tilde{L}_0(\alpha, \beta) \tilde{J}_z(\alpha) = \tilde{V}(\alpha) \quad (17a)$$

$$\tilde{L}_0(\alpha) = \frac{\gamma\beta}{j(k^2 - \beta^2)[1 + \coth \gamma d]} \quad (17b)$$

TE, even in y

$$\tilde{L}_e(\alpha, \beta) \tilde{J}_z(\alpha) = \tilde{V}(\alpha) \quad (18a)$$

$$\tilde{L}_e(\alpha) = \frac{\gamma\beta}{j(k^2 - \beta^2)[1 + \tanh \gamma d]} \quad (18b)$$

For TE cases,

$$\tilde{V}(\alpha) = \int_{-\infty}^{-w} v(x) e^{j\alpha x} dx + \int_w^{\infty} v(x) e^{j\alpha x} dx \quad (19a)$$

$$v(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} H_z(x, d) \quad (19b)$$

SECTION III

METHOD OF SOLUTION

In this section, a method of solving algebraic equation (15) is discussed. The method, which is applicable to solving equations (16a), (17a), and (18a) as well, is based on Galerkin's procedure applied in the Fourier transform domain.

The first step expands the unknown $\tilde{J}_z(\alpha)$ in terms of known basis functions $\tilde{J}_n(\alpha)$, $n = 1, \dots, N$.

$$\tilde{J}_z(\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^N c_n \tilde{J}_n(\alpha) \quad (20)$$

where c_n 's are unknown coefficients to be determined. The choice of $\tilde{J}_n(\alpha)$'s is such that they are the Fourier transforms of appropriate functions with finite support, viz., $J_n(x)$'s, the inverse transforms of $\tilde{J}_n(\alpha)$'s, are zero for $|x| > w$.

Substituting equation (20) into equation (15) and taking an inner product of the resultant equation with one of $\tilde{J}_m(\alpha)$'s, $m = 1, 2, \dots, N$, one obtains

$$\sum_{n=1}^N K_{mn}(\beta) c_n = 0, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, N \quad (21)$$

where

$$K_{mn}(\beta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{J}_m(\alpha) \tilde{G}_0(\alpha, \beta) \tilde{J}_n(\alpha) d\alpha \quad (22)$$

The right-hand side of equation (21) is zero using Parseval's relation

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{J}_m(\alpha) \tilde{U}(\alpha) d\alpha = \frac{\beta}{j(k^2 - \beta^2)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} J_m(-x) E_z(x, d) dx \equiv 0$$

because J_m and E_z are nonzero only over complementary regions of x .

When $J_n(x)$'s, whose transforms are to be used as basis functions $\tilde{J}_n(\alpha)$'s, are chosen, they must satisfy certain symmetry requirements in addition to being zero for $|x| > w$. For instance, in Case 1 in table 1, $J_n(x)$'s must be symmetric with respect to the y axis, while in Case 3 they are required to be antisymmetric. Furthermore, it is desirable to use $J_n(x)$'s which well represent the edge condition at $x = \pm w$ where the actual z-directed current shows square integrable singularity. Using these basis functions, equation (21) is solved for unknown propagation constant β which is usually a complex number.

After β is obtained, the field distribution may be calculated as follows. Except for the normalization factor, the ratio of all c_n 's is determined, which gives the current distribution

$$J_z(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N c_n J_n(x) \quad (23)$$

The field distribution of E_z may be obtained from equations (7), (11), (15) and (20)

$$E_z(x,y) = \begin{cases} j \frac{k^2 - \beta^2}{\beta} \sum_{n=1}^N c_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh \gamma y}{\sinh \gamma d} \tilde{G}_0(\alpha) \tilde{J}_n(\alpha) e^{-j\alpha x} d\alpha & 0 < y < d \end{cases} \quad (24a)$$

$$\begin{cases} j \frac{k^2 - \beta^2}{\beta} \sum_{n=1}^N c_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp[-\gamma(y-d)] \tilde{G}_0(\alpha) \tilde{J}_n(\alpha) e^{-j\alpha x} d\alpha & y > d \end{cases} \quad (24b)$$

All the higher-order TE and TM modes in the present structure may be designated by a set of numbers (p,q) for each symmetric subgroup. The number p is associated with the field variation in the x direction and number q for the variation in the y direction. In the present method, p can be predetermined by the appropriate choice of basis functions \tilde{J}_n for the current distribution

on the plate. However, there is no built-in process to choose q in the analysis procedure. Rather, q is controlled in the numerical process of finding β by the judicious choice of a starting point in the root-seeking algorithm.

SECTION IV
 NUMERICAL PROCEDURE

A numerical algorithm has been developed for TM modes of Case 1 with the mode index $p = 0$. This choice of p corresponds to the higher-order modes with the least field variation in the x -direction. The basis functions have been selected so that the qualitative nature of the actual current is well represented. Specifically the following functions have been employed:

$$J_1(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & |x| < w \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

$$J_2(x) = \begin{cases} 1 + \left| \frac{x}{w} \right| & |x| < w \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

The basis functions for equation (21) are the Fourier transforms of equations (25) and (26), which are

$$\tilde{J}_1(\alpha) = \frac{2 \sin \alpha w}{\alpha} \quad (27)$$

$$\tilde{J}_2(\alpha) = \frac{4 \sin \alpha w}{\alpha} - \frac{2}{\alpha^2 w} (1 - \cos \alpha w) \quad (28)$$

Although any number of functions similar to these given by the above equations could be used as a set of basis functions, N in equation (21) was set to two. Only two basis functions (27) and (28) were employed for economic reasons, that is, for minimizing the computer time. It was found, however, that quite satisfactory answers were obtained for many microstrip problems by using only one or two basis functions of the type similar to equations (27) and (28) (ref. 4).

Equations (27) and (28) are substituted into equation (22) to numerically compute K_{mn} 's, and complex roots β of

$$\det |K_{mn}(\beta)| = 0 \quad (29)$$

are found by a complex root-seeking algorithm. This algorithm finds the closest zero from a given starting point. At this stage, only the mode number p is given and another mode number q is left undecided. It is possible to correlate the value of q and the appropriately chosen starting point of the algorithm.

The starting values of the route-seeking routine have been chosen in the following way. The present structure can be viewed as a fictitious closed waveguide with sidewalls with complex surface impedance. For well-guided modes, these sidewalls may be very close to the magnetic walls since, for such modes, the radiation loss may be quite small. Hence, the propagation constant β_s of waveguides with magnetic sidewalls may be chosen as a starting point of the algorithm. (See figure 2.) For the present mode spectrum of Case 1 and TM, β_s may be given from figure 2a


$$\beta_s = \sqrt{k^2 + \alpha_s^2} \quad (30)$$

$$\alpha_s^2 = -\left(\frac{p\pi}{w}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{q\pi}{d}\right)^2, \quad p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$


$$q = 1, 2, \dots$$

Note that we are concerned with $p = 0$ modes in the present numerical computations using equations (27) and (28) for basis functions.


It is hoped in the numerical algorithm that the zero of equation (29) closest to the value of β_s be obtained for a given q ; such a zero is called the propagation constant β_{pq} of the TM_{pq} mode of Case 1.



(a)



(b)



(c)



(d)

Figure 2. Classification by Symmetry for the Determination of the Starting Value of β .

SECTION V
NUMERICAL RESULTS

Some typical computer solutions are summarized in table 2 with d , w and the wavelength λ being input parameters. Also, the $p = 0$ and q values are specified.

In many EMP problems, the so-called transverse propagation constant α_{pq} is more preferable than the propagation constant β_{pq} (ref. 1). The definition of α_{pq} is

$$\sqrt{k^2 + \alpha_{pq}^2} = \beta_{pq} \quad . \quad (31)$$

The transverse propagation constant α_{pq} here corresponds to p_n given in ref. 1. Notice, however, that unlike in ref. 1, α as well as β carries two indices p and q because the structure in the present case has non-negligible plate width.

The magnitudes of the E_z field in the waveguide cross section, which are computed using equation (24), are plotted in figure 3. It is clear that the field decays away from the waveguide in both the x - and y -directions. Although $|E_z|$ must be zero on the plate at $y = d$, the numerical results did not predict that it would be zero, but would approach zero. It is hoped that these values approach zero as the number of basis functions are increased. It is also seen that the number of peaks in the y direction for $0 < y < d$ coincides with the given value of q .

Table 2

COMPUTER SOLUTIONS OF PROPAGATION CHARACTERISTICS

(0,1) MODE					
$\frac{w}{d}$	$\frac{d}{\lambda}$	$2\beta_s d$	$2\beta_{pq} d$	$2\alpha_{pq} d$	No. Iter.
2.5	2	24.32 - j2.432	21.76 - j0.114	-.1972 + j12.58	16
10	1	10.88 - j1.088	10.82 - j0.0652	-.1104 + j6.382	5
5	2	24.32 - j2.432	21.72 - j0.0448	-.0728 + j12.644	12
3.33	3	37.17 - j3.717	20.82 - j0.0335	-.0222 + j31.428	45
2.5	4	49.84 - j4.984	55.92 - j6.68	-27.28 + j13.696	15
2	5	62.5 - j6.25	54.1 - j0.163	-.276 + j31.96	24
5	10	125.5 - j12.55	107.2 - j14.72	-22.28 + j70.80	7
5	5	62.5 - j6.25	59.6 - j14.5	-28.46 + j30.36	25
5	3.33	41.4 - j4.14	45.8 - j11.78	-25.52 + j21.14	29
5	2.5	30.8 - j3.08	34.4 - j3.94	-15.92 + j8.52	8
5	2	24.4 - j2.44	21.8 - j0.045	-.078 + j12.50	12
5	1.67	19.98 - j1.998	16.74 - j0.060	-.080 + j12.58	12
5	1.11	12.46 - j1.246	12.36 - j0.021	-.040 + j6.50	5
5	1	10.88 - j1.088	10.78 - j0.044	-.074 + j6.46	5
2	1	10.88 - j1.088	10.29 - j0.044	-.063 + j7.22	8
1	2	24.32 - j2.432	19.28 - j0.068	-.081 + j16.12	15
0.67	3	37.2 - j3.72	50.88 - j12.66	-36.3 + j17.70	27
(0,2) MODE					
10	1	0.2 - j0.02*	0.078 - j0.88 ₄ x 10 ⁻⁴	-7.6 x 10 ⁻⁷ + j9.56	12

*The actual $2\beta_s d$ was 0.0 - j0.0. However, $2\beta_s d$ was shifted to 0.2 - j0.02 for numerical convenience.





Figure 3. Field Distribution in the Cross Section of the Waveguide

SECTION VI
CONCLUSIONS

A simple and efficient numerical method has been developed for analyzing a finite-width parallel-plate waveguide. Sample computations based on this method predicted the propagation constants of the modes in such a structure and the field plots so obtained have shown the expected physical nature of these modes.

REFERENCES

1. Marin, L., "Modes on a Finite-Width, Parallel-Plate Simulator. I. Narrow Plates," Sensor and Simulation Notes No. 201, Dikewood Corporation, September 1974.
2. Liu, T. K., "Impedance and Field Distributions of Curved Parallel-Plate Transmission-Line Simulators," Sensor and Simulation Notes No. 170, Dikewood Corporation, February 1973.
3. Itoh, T. and Mittra, R., "Dispersion Characteristics of the Slot Lines," Electronics Letters, 7, 13, pp. 364-365, July 1971.
4. Itoh, T. and Mittra, R., "Spectral Domain Approach for Calculating the Dispersion Characteristics of Microstrip Lines," IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech., MTT-21, 7, pp. 496-499, July 1973.