



Calhoun: The NPS Institutional Archive
DSpace Repository

Reports and Technical Reports

All Technical Reports Collection

1976-03

The effect of spatial discretization on the
steady-state and transient solutions of a
dispersive wave equation

Schoenstadt, Arthur L.

Monterey, California. Naval Postgraduate School

<http://hdl.handle.net/10945/29260>

Downloaded from NPS Archive: Calhoun



Calhoun is a project of the Dudley Knox Library at NPS, furthering the precepts and goals of open government and government transparency. All information contained herein has been approved for release by the NPS Public Affairs Officer.

Dudley Knox Library / Naval Postgraduate School
411 Dyer Road / 1 University Circle
Monterey, California USA 93943

<http://www.nps.edu/library>

NPS-53Zh76036

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

Monterey, California



THE EFFECT OF SPATIAL DISCRETIZATION ON THE
STEADY-STATE AND TRANSIENT SOLUTIONS OF
A DISPERSIVE WAVE EQUATION

by

Arthur L. Schoenstadt

March 1976

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

Prepared for:

Fleet Numerical Weather Central, Monterey, California 93940
Naval Environmental Prediction Research Facility, Monterey,
California 93940

FEDDOCS
D 208.14/2:
NPS-53ZH76036

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
Monterey, California

Rear Admiral Isham Linder
Superintendent

Jack R. Borsting
Provost

The work reported herein was supported by Fleet Numerical Weather Central and the Naval Environmental Prediction Research Facility.

Reproduction of all or part of this report is authorized.

This report was prepared by:

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER NPS-53Zh76036	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) THE EFFECT OF SPATIAL DISCRETIZATION ON THE STEADY-STATE AND TRANSIENT SOLUTIONS OF A DISPERSIVE WAVE EQUATION		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final - January 1976-March 76
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Arthur L. Schoenstadt		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N63134-75-PO-50007 N6685676WR00012
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Fleet Numerical Weather Central Monterey, CA 93940		12. REPORT DATE March 1976
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 35
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Dispersive Waves Numerical Weather Prediction Finite Difference Methods Finite Difference Solutions Fourier Transform Methods Geostrophic Adjustment		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The study of the dispersive wave equation is fundamental to an understanding of the process of geostrophic adjustment. In this report, the effect of replacing the spatial derivatives in a dispersive wave equation with second order, centered finite differences is examined with the use of Fourier Transform methods. The discretization is shown to both decrease the rate of spatial decay of the steady state solution, and to introduce additional transients at least as persistent as those in the differential case.		

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

11. Naval Environmental Prediction Research Facility
Monterey, CA 93940

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction -----	2
2.	The Differential One Dimensional Adjustment Process -----	4
3.	Second Order Centered Finite Differences - The Quasi Discrete Adjustment -----	11
4.	Conclusion -----	19
	Acknowledgement -----	19
	Appendix 1 -----	20
	Appendix 2 -----	24

1. Introduction

The central issue in approximating a partial differential equation by a finite difference equation is the degree to which the difference equation solution agrees with the solution to the differential equation. This agreement can be considered in both its quantitative (e.g., relative error), or qualitative aspects, e.g., behavior of transients, propagation of fronts, etc.

In this paper we investigate some of these aspects for a prototype dispersive wave (hyperbolic) equation. This type of problem is especially important in numerical weather prediction, since for "fast" time scales, the meteorological primitive equations are dispersive. We consider both the differential and quasi-discrete case, where second order centered spatial differences are used. In both cases solutions are obtained by Fourier transform methods, with steady state solutions extracted directly from the transforms and inverted in closed form, and the asymptotic behavior of the transients determined by the method of stationary phase.

Our analysis shows that discretization of spatial derivatives has two major effects:

(1) The initial conditions of the differential problem contribute to the steady state solution in a manner that decays exponentially with distance. This qualitative effect is retained in the quasi-discrete formulation, but the rate of decay is decreased.

(2) The discretization introduces additional transients beyond those encountered in the differential case. These new transients are at

least of the same magnitude of decay as the differential transients, and, in some instances, they are more persistent.

2. The Differential One-Dimensional Adjustment Process

One of the simplest models of dispersive waves is the linearized one-dimensional shallow water equations with no mean flow, in an infinite region:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - fv + g \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + fu = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + H \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0 , \quad (3)$$

where u is the perturbation velocity in the x direction, v is the perturbation velocity normal to the x direction, H and h are the mean and perturbed heights of the free surface, respectively, and $g > 0$ and $f > 0$ are gravitational and Coriolis parameters, respectively. This model is especially important in the study of the meteorological problem of geostrophic adjustment, and has been studied in some detail by Rossby [1], Cahn [2], Blumen [3] and Winninghoff [4]. In their papers, the model has been studied by eliminating between the equations to arrive at:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + f^2 u - gH \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = 0 , \quad (4)$$

then solving (4) by a Fourier Transform approach. After solving (4), solutions for h and v are obtained by substitution into (2) and (3), although closed-form solutions are not produced in some of the papers. Note the dispersive character of (4) is clearly seen by assuming a wave solution:

$$u(x,t) = A e^{i(kx-vt)} ,$$

which leads immediately to:

$$v^2 = f^2 + k^2 gH = f^2(1+\lambda^2 k^2), \quad \lambda = \sqrt{gH}/f . \quad (5)$$

We now derive an alternative means of solving (1)-(3) which is superior in that it does not require elimination, it produces u , v and h without back substitution, it yields interesting insights into the transient and steady state behavior of the solutions in the differential case, and it has an extension in the quasi-discrete case that is quite illuminating.

We denote Fourier Transforms by a wavy overhead bar, e.g.:

$$\tilde{u}(k,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x,t) e^{-ikx} dx , \quad (6)$$

etc. Then (1)-(3) reduce directly to:

$$\frac{d\tilde{u}}{dt} = f\tilde{v} - ikg\tilde{h} , \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{d\tilde{v}}{dt} = -f\tilde{u} , \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{d\tilde{h}}{dt} = -ikH\tilde{u} , \quad (9)$$

together with initial conditions:

$$\tilde{u}_0 = \tilde{u}(k,0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x,0) e^{-ikx} dx , \quad (10)$$

etc. Since (6)-(9) is a coupled set of constant coefficient ordinary differential equations, it can be solved by the usual process of finding the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the coefficient matrix. This leads straightforwardly to:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \tilde{u} &= \frac{\alpha_2}{v} e^{i\upsilon t} - \frac{\alpha_3}{v} e^{-i\upsilon t} , \\ \tilde{v} &= ikg \alpha_1 + \frac{if}{v^2} \alpha_2 e^{i\upsilon t} - \frac{if}{v^2} \alpha_3 e^{-i\upsilon t} , \\ \tilde{h} &= f \alpha_1 - \frac{kH}{v^2} \alpha_2 e^{i\upsilon t} - \frac{kH}{v^2} \alpha_3 e^{-i\upsilon t} , \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (11)$$

where v is given by (5), and the α_i are picked to satisfy the initial conditions. Observe that the $e^{i\upsilon t}$ and $e^{-i\upsilon t}$ terms both represent the transforms of transients in the time domain. Solving the initial conditions for the α_i , collecting like terms in (11), and simplifying yields:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \tilde{u}(k,t) &= \tilde{u}_0 \cos \upsilon t + \frac{f \tilde{v}_0}{v} \sin \upsilon t - \frac{ikg \tilde{h}_0}{v} \sin \upsilon t , \\ \tilde{v}(k,t) &= \frac{f}{v} \tilde{u}_0 \sin \upsilon t + \left\{ \frac{k^2 gH}{v^2} + \frac{f^2}{v^2} \cos \upsilon t \right\} \tilde{v}_0 + \frac{ikgf}{v^2} \{1 - \cos \upsilon t\} \tilde{h}_0 , \\ \tilde{h}(k,t) &= -\frac{ikH}{v} \tilde{u}_0 \sin \upsilon t - \frac{ikHf}{v^2} \{1 - \cos \upsilon t\} \tilde{v}_0 + \left\{ \frac{f^2}{v^2} + \frac{k^2 gH}{v^2} \cos \upsilon t \right\} \tilde{h}_0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (12)$$

Observe that (12) immediately yields by inspection the transform of the steady state ("balanced") solution:

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 \tilde{u}_S(k) &= 0 \\
 \tilde{v}_S(k) &= \frac{k^2 g H}{v^2} \tilde{v}_o + \frac{i k g f}{v^2} \tilde{h}_o = \tilde{v}_o + \frac{f^2}{v^2} \left\{ \frac{i k g}{f} \tilde{h}_o - \tilde{v}_o \right\} \\
 \tilde{h}_S(k) &= -\frac{i k H f}{v^2} \tilde{v}_o + \frac{f^2}{v^2} \tilde{h}_o = \tilde{h}_o + \frac{H}{f} \cdot \frac{f^2}{v^2} i k \left\{ \frac{i k g}{f} \tilde{h}_o - \tilde{v}_o \right\}
 \end{aligned} \right\} (13)$$

These can be immediately inverted by the convolution theorem, and the observation that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-|x|/\lambda} e^{-ikx} dx = \frac{2\lambda}{1+k^2\lambda^2} = \frac{2\lambda}{v^2} f^2$$

to yield:

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 u_S(x) &= 0 \\
 v_S(x) &= v(x,0) + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-|x-s|/\lambda} \left\{ \frac{g}{f} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(s,0) - v(s,0) \right\} ds \\
 h_S(x) &= h(x,0) - \frac{H}{2\lambda^2 f} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-|x-s|/\lambda} \left\{ \frac{g}{f} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(s,0) - v(s,0) \right\} ds
 \end{aligned} \right\} (14)$$

Solving equations (1)-(3) by this approach allows us to easily observe several elementary results:

(1) Since $\tilde{u}(k,0)$ does not contribute to any of the steady state solutions, any "imbalance" in $u(x,0)$ does not affect the final balanced state.

(2) The term in (14)

$$\left\{ -\frac{g}{f} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(s,0) + v(s,0) \right\},$$

the initial value of the quantity usually referred to as the ageostrophic wind, can be considered as the measure of "imbalance" in the initial state that is not dissipated at the final state.

(3) At steady state, the effects of this initial imbalance contribute most strongly in the immediate neighborhood of the imbalance and die off exponentially in space away from it.

Observe also that the transient part of (12) can be written:

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 \tilde{u}_T(k,t) &= \tilde{u}_0 \cos vt + \frac{f}{v} \left\{ \tilde{v}_0 - \frac{ikg}{f} \tilde{h}_0 \right\} \sin vt \\
 \tilde{v}_T(k,t) &= -\frac{f}{v} \tilde{u}_0 \sin vt + \frac{f^2}{v^2} \left\{ \tilde{v}_0 - \frac{ikg}{f} \tilde{h}_0 \right\} \cos vt \\
 \tilde{h}_T(k,t) &= -\frac{ikH}{v} \tilde{u}_0 \sin vt - \frac{ikHf}{v^2} \left\{ \tilde{v}_0 - \frac{ikg}{f} \tilde{h}_0 \right\} \cos vt
 \end{aligned} \right\} (15)$$

Explicit inverses to these transforms are expressible in terms of convolutions involving $J_0\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\sqrt{\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2}\right)$. However, a simplified view of the asymptotic behavior of the transients is possible by using the method of stationary phase. Let

$$d(x,t) = v(x,t) - \frac{g}{f} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(x,t) .$$

Then

$$u_T(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{u}(k,0) \cos vt e^{ikx} dx + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f}{v} \tilde{v}(k,0) \sin vt e^{ikx} dk . (16)$$

Thus, using the method of stationary phase (Appendix 1), we can show, for fixed x as $t \rightarrow \infty$:

$$u_T(x, t) \sim \left[\frac{\lambda f^2 t^2}{2\pi(\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2)^{3/2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \left| \tilde{u} \left(\frac{\lambda^{-1} x}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2}}, 0 \right) \right| \cos \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \sqrt{\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2} + \phi_k \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2}}{\lambda f t} \left| \tilde{d} \left(\frac{\lambda^{-1} x}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2}}, 0 \right) \right| \sin \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \sqrt{\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2} + \psi_k \right) \right\}, \quad (17)$$

where ϕ_k and ψ_k are slowly varying phases. When $|x| \ll \lambda f t$, this is more conveniently approximated as

$$u_T(x, t) \sim \left[\frac{1}{2\pi \lambda^2 f t} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \left| \tilde{u} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda^2 f t}, 0 \right) \right| \cos(ft + \phi_k) \right. \\ \left. + \left| \tilde{d} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda^2 f t}, 0 \right) \right| \sin(ft + \psi_k) \right\}. \quad (18)$$

Thus the decay to steady state of u at a fixed point x is governed by two factors:

(a) A $t^{-1/2}$ decay, which can be interpreted as the effect due to the dispersive nature of the process, and

(b) An additional possible decay, depending on the degree to which the initial imbalances were distributed in the long wave numbers, since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left| \tilde{u} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda^2 f t}, 0 \right) \right| = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(s, 0) e^{-i(x/\lambda^2 f t)s} ds \right| \\ = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(s, 0) ds, \text{ etc.}$$

Similar analysis of $v_T(x,t)$ and $h_T(x,t)$ yield

$$v_T(x,t) \sim \left[\frac{1}{2\pi \lambda^2 f t} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ - \left| \tilde{u} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda^2 f t}, 0 \right) \right| \sin(ft + \phi_k) + \left| \tilde{d} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda^2 f t}, 0 \right) \right| \cos(ft + \psi_k) \right\}, \quad (19)$$

and

$$h_T(x,t) \sim \left[\frac{1}{2\pi \lambda^2 f t} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ - \frac{Hx}{\lambda^2 f^2 t} \left| \tilde{u} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda^2 f t}, 0 \right) \right| \sin(ft + \phi_k) - \frac{Hx}{\lambda^2 f^2 t} \left| \tilde{d} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda^2 f t}, 0 \right) \right| \cos(ft + \psi_k) \right\}. \quad (20)$$

Note the one difference in $h_T(x,t)$. Due to the presence of the (ik) term in $h_T(k,t)$, the decay due to dispersion of $h_T(x,t)$ proceeds as $t^{-3/2}$, rather than $t^{-1/2}$.

In summary then, we have shown here that the differential formulation of the dispersive wave model (1)-(3) is most easily solved by Fourier transforming to a system of ordinary differential equations. This solution shows the model always tends to a steady state, whose difference from the initial state is determined solely by the initial ageostrophic wind field. The contributions from regions where the ageostrophic field is initially non-zero die out in the steady state exponentially with distance. Lastly, both the initial u and ageostrophic wind fields contribute to transients that die out in time as $t^{-1/2}$ for the velocities, and $t^{-3/2}$ for the free surface height.

3. Second Order Centered Finite Differences - The Quasi-Discrete Adjustment

In this section we present an analytic treatment of the most common difference scheme used for (1)-(3), and investigate the resulting effect on the steady-state and transient behavior discussed above.

Consider the continuous, quasi-discrete, second order centered-leap-frog formulation of (1)-(3)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= f v(x,t) - \frac{g}{2\Delta x} [h(x+\Delta x,t) - h(x-\Delta x,t)] \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} &= -f u(x,t) \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} &= -\frac{H}{2\Delta x} [u(x+\Delta x,t) - u(x-\Delta x,t)] \end{aligned} \right\} . (21)$$

If we Fourier Transform this system, noting

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x+\Delta x,t) e^{-ikx} dx = e^{ik\Delta x} \tilde{u}(x,t),$$

we have:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{d\tilde{u}}{dt} &= f \tilde{v} - \frac{ig}{\Delta x} \sin k\Delta x \tilde{h} \\ \frac{d\tilde{v}}{dt} &= -f \tilde{u} \\ \frac{d\tilde{h}}{dt} &= -\frac{iH}{\Delta x} \sin k\Delta x \tilde{u} \end{aligned} \right\} . (22)$$

Observe this system is identical to (7)-(9) with k replaced by:

$$\sigma = \frac{\sin k\Delta x}{\Delta x} . \quad (23)$$

Thus the solution to (22) becomes identical to (12), except k is replaced by σ , and v by

$$\hat{v} = \left[1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{\Delta x} \right)^2 \sin^2 k\Delta x \right]^{1/2} . \quad (24)$$

Observe that the sinusoidal terms in (12) continue to represent transients when v is replaced by \hat{v} and k by σ . Thus the quasi discrete case will tend toward a steady state whose transform is:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_S(k) &= 0 \\ \tilde{v}_S(k) &= \tilde{v}_0 + \frac{1}{1+\lambda^2\sigma^2} \left\{ \frac{i\sigma g}{f} \tilde{h}_0 - \tilde{v}_0 \right\} \\ \tilde{h}_S(k) &= \tilde{h}_0 + \frac{H}{f} \frac{1}{1+\lambda^2\sigma^2} i\sigma \left\{ \frac{i\sigma g}{f} \tilde{h}_0 - \tilde{v}_0 \right\} . \end{aligned} \right\} (25)$$

It is easily shown that

$$\frac{i\sigma g}{f} \tilde{h}_0 - \tilde{v}_0 = \mathcal{F} \left\{ \frac{g}{f} \frac{h(x+\Delta x, 0) - h(x-\Delta x, 0)}{2\Delta x} - v(x, 0) \right\} . \quad (26)$$

We shall let

$$\hat{d}(x, t) = v(x, t) - \frac{g}{f} \frac{h(x+\Delta x, t) - h(x-\Delta x, t)}{2\Delta x} . \quad (27)$$

Thus if we can invert

$$\frac{i\sigma}{1+\lambda^2\sigma^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{1+\lambda^2\sigma^2} ,$$

the steady state solution would be available by convolution. These are inverted in Appendix 2, where we show

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+\lambda^2 \sigma^2} e^{ikx} dx = \frac{\Delta x e^{-\beta|x|/\lambda}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + (\Delta x)^2}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x-2n\Delta x),$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{i\sigma}{1+\lambda^2 \sigma^2} e^{ikx} dk = \frac{-\Delta x e^{-\beta|x|/\lambda}}{\lambda \sqrt{\lambda^2 + (\Delta x)^2}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x-(2n-1)\Delta x)$$

where

$$\beta = \frac{\lambda}{\Delta x} \sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{\Delta x}{\lambda}\right), \quad (28)$$

and $0 < \beta \leq 1$ with equality only for $\Delta x = 0$.

Thus we can arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} v_S(x) &= v(x,0) - \Delta x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{e^{-\beta|s|/\lambda}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + (\Delta x)^2}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(s-2n\Delta x) \right\} \hat{d}(x-s,0) ds \\ &= v(x,0) - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda^2 + (\Delta x)^2}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ e^{-\beta|2n\Delta x|/\lambda} \hat{d}(x-2n\Delta x,0) (2\Delta x) \right\}, \quad (29) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$h_S(x) = h(x,0) + \frac{H}{2\lambda \sqrt{\lambda^2 + (\Delta x)^2} f} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ e^{-\beta|(2n-1)\Delta x|/\lambda} \hat{d}(x-(2n-1)\Delta x,0) (2\Delta x) \right\}. \quad (30)$$

Clearly (29)-(30) tend toward the corresponding integral forms (14) as $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$.

These expressions can now be examined versus (14). The conclusions that we can draw are that conversion of (1)-(3) to centered, second order, quasi-discrete form results in:

(1) The measure of imbalance, the initial ageostrophic wind, is converted to a finite differenced measure.

(2) Although at steady-state the effects of an initial disturbance die off exponentially away from its original neighborhood, the rate of decay is less than in the differential case. Furthermore, the rate of decay decreases as $(\Delta x/\lambda)$ increases.

(3) For the steady states, only the values of the ageostrophic wind at alternating points are considered.

One interpretation of why the sums in (29)-(30) involves only alternating points may be that, when elimination is tried on (21), one ends with the equation for u involving only alternating points:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} u(x,t) = -f^2 u(x,t) + \frac{gH}{4(\Delta x)^2} \left\{ u(x+2\Delta x,t) - 2u(x,t) + u(x-2\Delta x,t) \right\}. \quad (31)$$

A complete stationary phase analysis of the transient solution in the quasi-discrete case:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_T(k,t) &= \tilde{u}_o \cos \hat{v}t + \frac{f}{\hat{v}} \left\{ \tilde{v}_o - \frac{i\sigma g}{f} \tilde{h}_o \right\} \sin \hat{v}t \\ \tilde{v}_T(k,t) &= \frac{f}{\hat{v}} \tilde{u}_o \sin \hat{v}t + \frac{f^2}{\hat{v}^2} \left\{ \tilde{v}_o - \frac{i\sigma g}{f} \tilde{h}_o \right\} \cos \hat{v}t \\ \tilde{h}_T(k,t) &= -\frac{i\sigma H}{\hat{v}} \tilde{u}_o \sin \hat{v}t - \frac{i\sigma Hf}{\hat{v}^2} \left\{ \tilde{v}_o - \frac{ikg}{f} \tilde{h}_o \right\} \cos \hat{v}t \end{aligned} \right\} (32)$$

is algebraically extremely complicated, although quite straightforward. However, the salient features are relatively easily treated, and yield the most significant results on the transient behavior. Therefore we only present an outline of the details.

In computing the inversion integrals for (32), terms of the form

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A(k) e^{i(kx \pm \hat{v}t)} dk$$

arise. The stationary phase points of these integrals arise as the solutions of

$$\phi'(k) = x \pm \frac{f \lambda^2 t \cos k\Delta x \frac{\sin k\Delta x}{\Delta x}}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{\Delta x}\right)^2 \sin^2 k\Delta x}} = 0. \quad (33)$$

This expression can be simplified by adding $(-x)$ to both sides, squaring and writing $\cos^2 k\Delta x$ as $(1 - \sin^2 k\Delta x)$, to yield a quadratic in $\sin^2 k\Delta x$. The quadratic formula then yields as the points of stationary phase the solutions of

$$\sin^2 k\Delta x = \frac{(\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2) \pm \sqrt{(\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2)^2 - 4f^2 t^2 x^2 (\Delta x)^2}}{2\lambda^2 f^2 t^2}. \quad (34)$$

It is then easily shown that as $t \rightarrow \infty$, these solutions closely approximate:

$$\sin^2 k\Delta x \doteq 1 - \frac{x^2}{\lambda^2 f^2 t^2} \quad (35a)$$

and

$$\sin^2 k\Delta x \doteq \frac{x^2 (\Delta x)^2 \lambda^{-2}}{(\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2)}. \quad (35b)$$

Clearly, for large t , (35b) yields stationary points near both

$$k = \frac{\pm x \lambda^{-1}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2}}$$

and

$$k = \pm \frac{\pi}{\Delta x} .$$

(All other solutions of (35b) are beyond the Nyquist cut-off.) The first points are slight variants of the stationary points for the differential case. The points near $\pm(\pi/\Delta x)$ arise solely from the discretization, not the physics of the problem. However, since these points give a behavior of $((\sin k\Delta x)/\Delta x)$ identical to that of the stationary points near $k = 0$, it is easily shown that they contribute computational transients with precisely the same asymptotic behavior as the physical transients.

Note, before we consider the effect of terms introduced by the first solution, (35a), that a necessary and sufficient condition for the stationary phase points to be on the real axis is that the quantity under the radical sign in (34) be positive. After some manipulation, this condition reduces to

$$\frac{|x|}{t} > f \left\{ \sqrt{(\Delta x)^2 + \lambda^2} - \Delta x \right\}, \quad t > 0.$$

It is not coincidental that the quantity on the right hand side of the inequality is precisely the group propagation velocity for this quasi-discrete case.

Referring again to the contribution from the stationary phase points satisfying (35a), observe that we can easily show from (33) that

$$\phi''(k) = \frac{\pm \lambda^2 f t}{\left[1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{\Delta x}\right)^2 \sin^2 k \Delta x\right]^{3/2}} \left\{ - \left(1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{\Delta x}\right)^2 \sin^2 k \Delta x\right) \sin^2 k \Delta x + \cos^2 k \Delta x \right\}$$

and so, near these stationary points

$$\phi''(k) \sim \frac{\pm \lambda^2 f t \Delta x}{\sqrt{(\Delta x)^2 + \lambda^2}} .$$

Furthermore, note that near these same points

$$\frac{\hat{v}}{f} \sim \frac{\sqrt{(\Delta x)^2 + \lambda^2}}{\Delta x} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma \sim \frac{\pm 1}{\Delta x} .$$

Thus following again the argument of (3.7.5) in Miles [5], we see that the points of stationary phase which arise from the solution to the first lead to transients whose amplitudes, asymptotically, go as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u}_o \cos \hat{v} t &\rightarrow \left[\frac{\sqrt{(\Delta x)^2 + \lambda^2}}{2\pi \lambda^2 f t \Delta x} \right]^{1/2} \left| \hat{u} \left(\pm \frac{\pi}{2\Delta x}, 0 \right) \right| \\ \frac{f}{\hat{v}} \left\{ \hat{v}_o - \frac{i\sigma g}{f} \hat{h}_o \right\} \sin \hat{v} t &\rightarrow \left[\frac{\Delta x}{2\pi \lambda^2 f t \sqrt{(\Delta x)^2 + \lambda^2}} \right]^{1/2} \left| \hat{d} \left(\pm \frac{\pi}{2\Delta x}, 0 \right) \right| \\ - \frac{f}{\hat{v}} \hat{u}_o \sin \hat{v} t &\rightarrow \left[\frac{\Delta x}{2\pi \lambda^2 f t \sqrt{(\Delta x)^2 + \lambda^2}} \right]^{1/2} \left| \hat{u} \left(\pm \frac{\pi}{2\Delta x}, 0 \right) \right| \\ \frac{f^2}{\hat{v}^2} \left\{ \hat{v}_o - \frac{i\sigma g}{f} \hat{h}_o \right\} \cos \hat{v} t &\rightarrow \left[\frac{(\Delta x)^3}{2\pi \lambda^2 f t ((\Delta x)^2 + \lambda^2)^{3/2}} \right]^{1/2} \left| \hat{d} \left(\pm \frac{\pi}{2\Delta x}, 0 \right) \right| \\ - \frac{i\sigma H}{\hat{v}} \hat{u}_o \sin \hat{v} t &\rightarrow \left[\frac{H^2 f}{2\pi \lambda^2 t \Delta x \sqrt{(\Delta x)^2 + \lambda^2}} \right]^{1/2} \left| \hat{u} \left(\pm \frac{\pi}{2\Delta x}, 0 \right) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$-\frac{i\sigma Hf}{\hat{v}^2} \left\{ \tilde{v}_o - \frac{i\sigma g}{f} \tilde{h}_o \right\} \cos \hat{v}t \rightarrow \left[\frac{H^2 f \Delta x}{2\pi\lambda^2 t ((\Delta x)^2 + \lambda^2)^{3/2}} \right]^{1/2} \left| \tilde{d} \left(\pm \frac{\pi}{2\Delta x}, 0 \right) \right|.$$

(Note the evaluations are at $\pm(\pi/2\Delta x)$ since, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, these are the only solutions of (35a) that also satisfy the Nyquist limit.)

Viewing the above, it is now clear that the additional stationary points which arise in this quasi-discrete case, and which tend toward $\pm(\pi/2\Delta x)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ cause two noticeable effects on the transient behavior:

- (1) All of these transients now die off as $t^{-1/2}$ due to dispersion.

Comparing this to the results in the differential case, we see that these transients are at least as persistent as the differential transients, and for $h(x,t)$ more so, since the differential transients in $h(x,t)$ die out as $t^{-3/2}$.

- (2) Two of these transients (the first and fifth) are somewhat ill behaved as $(\Delta x) \rightarrow 0$. Assuming $u(x,0)$ has only finite power, then the Δx term in the denominator should be controlled by tail-off of $\tilde{u}(\pm \frac{\pi}{2\Delta x}, 0)$ as $(\Delta x) \rightarrow 0$, however, these terms are virtually certain to be the slowest decaying for small Δx .

(We note that (35b) also causes stationary points to arise, that tend toward $\pm(\pi/\Delta x)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, however, the transients from these points do not have an asymptotic dispersion decay that depends on (Δx) , and decay at the same rate as the differential transients.) Although we shall not show it analytically, we suspect the additional stationary points arise in the quasi-discrete case from two causes, the "folding" in temporal frequency that occurs at $k = \pm(\pi/2\Delta x)$, and the high frequency cut off at $k = \pm(\pi/\Delta x)$.

4. Conclusion

In this paper we have examined the effect of second order centered spatial discretization on a dispersive wave equation. We have shown that the methods of Fourier Transforms, and in particular the method of stationary phase, are quite useful in such investigations. In both the differential and quasi-discrete cases we have provided closed form expressions for the steady-state solutions. These expressions show that the contribution from any point in the initial state to the final state decays exponentially with distance from that point in both cases, however the rate of decay is decreased by discretization. The transients in both cases have been analyzed by the method of stationary phase. This analysis shows that the discretization introduces stationary phase points that have no counterpart in the differential case, and, furthermore, these points contribute transients that decay no faster than the differential ones, and in one instance, the discrete transient will dominate the differential transient.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Naval Environmental Prediction Research Facility and the Fleet Numerical Weather Central, both at Monterey, California.

The author is also indebted to Professor Craig Comstock for several helpful discussions.

Appendix 1

Consider the asymptotic behavior of

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A(k) \cos vt e^{ikx} dk = \psi(x,t) , \quad (1-1)$$

where $v = f\sqrt{1+\lambda^2 k^2}$. This integral can be decomposed into

$$2\pi\psi(x,t) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A(k) e^{i\phi_1(k)} dk + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A(k) e^{i\phi_2(k)} dk \right\},$$

where

$$\phi_1(k) = kx + vt, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_2(k) = kx - vt .$$

We can now determine the asymptotic behavior as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for fixed x of this term by using the method of stationary phase. Let k_1 and k_2 be defined by

$$\phi_1'(k_1) = \phi_2'(k_2) = 0 .$$

But

$$\phi_1'(k) = x + \frac{\lambda^2 f t k}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^2 k^2}}$$

and so we find as the point of stationary phase for the first integral:

$$k_1 = - \frac{x/\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2}} , \quad (1-2)$$

which is on the path of integration, for $t > x/\lambda f$. Similarly,

$\phi_2'(k_2) = 0$ yields as the stationary point for the second integral,

$$k_2 = \frac{x/\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2}}, \quad (1-3)$$

which is also on the path of integration for $t > x/\lambda f$.

Observe that

$$\phi_1(k_1) = -\phi_2(k_2) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sqrt{\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2},$$

and that

$$\phi_1''(k_1) = -\phi_2''(k_2) = \frac{\lambda^2 f t}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda^2 k_1^2}} = \frac{(\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2)^{3/2}}{\lambda f^2 t^2} > 0.$$

(Note $|\phi_1''(k_1)| = |\phi_2''(k_2)| = \phi_1''(k_1)$, for $\lambda f t > |x|$.)

Thus we have, by (3.7.5) on p. 51 of Miles [5],

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi\psi &\sim \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\phi_1''(k_1)} \right)^{1/2} \left\{ A(k_1) e^{i \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} \sqrt{\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right]} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + A(k_2) e^{-i \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} \sqrt{\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right]} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2\pi \lambda f^2 t^2}{[\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2]^{3/2}} \right)^{1/2} \left\{ A(-k_2) e^{i \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} \sqrt{\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right]} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + A(k_2) e^{-i \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} \sqrt{\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right]} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

But note that if $A(k)$ is the transform of a purely real valued function,

$$A(-k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(x) e^{ikx} dx = A^*(k) .$$

Thus, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \sim & \left[\frac{\lambda f^2 t^2}{2\pi(\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2)^{3/2}} \right]^{1/2} \left\{ \text{Re } A(k_2) \cos \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} \sqrt{\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right] \right. \\ & \left. + \text{Im } A(k_2) \sin \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} \sqrt{\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right] \right\} , \end{aligned} \quad (1-4)$$

where k_2 is given by (1-3). Observe for $\lambda ft \gg |x|$, the lead term acts as

$$\left[\frac{1}{2\pi\lambda^2 ft} \right]^{1/2} .$$

Similarly we can show

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A(k) \sin vt e^{ikx} dk \\ \sim & \left[\frac{\lambda f^2 t^2}{2\pi(\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2)^{3/2}} \right]^{1/2} \left\{ \text{Re } A(k_2) \sin \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} \sqrt{\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right] \right. \\ & \left. - \text{Im } A(k_2) \cos \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} \sqrt{\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right] \right\} . \end{aligned} \quad (1-5)$$

Lastly, since the trigonometric functions in both expressions have the same frequency, and since

$$\sqrt{[\text{Re } A(k)]^2 + [\text{Im } A(k)]^2} = |A(k)|$$

we see

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A(k) \cos vt e^{ikx} dx \sim \left[\frac{\lambda f^2 t^2}{2\pi(\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2)^{3/2}} \right]^{1/2} |A(k_2)| \cos\left[\frac{1}{\lambda} \sqrt{\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2} + \vartheta_k\right]$$

$$\vartheta_k = \frac{\pi}{4} - \tan^{-1}[\text{Im } A(k_2)/\text{Re } A(k_2)]$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{4} - \arg(A(k_2))$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A(k) \sin vt e^{ikx} dx \sim \left[\frac{\lambda f^2 t^2}{2\pi(\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2)^{3/2}} \right]^{1/2} |A(k_2)| \sin\left[\frac{1}{\lambda} \sqrt{\lambda^2 f^2 t^2 - x^2} + \vartheta_k\right]$$

Appendix 2

Consider

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ikx} dx}{1 + \lambda^2 \sigma^2}, \quad \text{where } \sigma = \frac{\sin k \Delta x}{\Delta x} \quad (2-1)$$

The denominator,

$$\psi(k) = 1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{\Delta x}\right)^2 \sin^2 k \Delta x \quad (2-2)$$

is an entire function of k in the complex plane. The zeros of $\psi(k)$ are solutions of:

$$\sin k \Delta x = \pm i \frac{\Delta x}{\lambda},$$

or, letting $k = k_r + i k_i$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sin(k_r \Delta x + i k_i \Delta x) \\ &= \sin(k_r \Delta x) \cosh(k_i \Delta x) + i \cos(k_r \Delta x) \sinh(k_i \Delta x) \\ &= \pm i \left(\frac{\Delta x}{\lambda}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus


$$\begin{aligned} \sin(k_r \Delta x) \cosh(k_i \Delta x) &= 0 \\ \cos(k_r \Delta x) \sinh(k_i \Delta x) &= \pm \left(\frac{\Delta x}{\lambda}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$k_r = \pm \frac{n\pi}{\Delta x}, \quad k_i = \pm \frac{1}{\Delta x} \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{\Delta x}{\lambda}\right). \quad (2-3)$$

as opposed to the poles at $k_r = 0, k_i = \pm 1/\lambda$ for the continuous case.

Thus all zeros of the denominator lie off the real axis. In fact, they are specifically distributed as shown:



Observe further that at its zeros,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi'(k) &= 2 \frac{\lambda^2}{\Delta x} \sin k\Delta x \cos k\Delta x \\
 &= \pm 2 \frac{\lambda^2}{\Delta x} \left(i \frac{\Delta x}{\lambda} \right) \cosh \left[\pm \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{\Delta x}{\lambda} \right) \right] \\
 &= \pm 2i \sqrt{\lambda^2 + (\Delta x)^2} \tag{2-4}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the positive sign holds for $k_i > 0$, and the negative one for $k_i < 0$. Thus the zeros of $\psi(k)$ are simple poles of the integrand.

Consider (2-1) for $x > 0$. (Observe that (2-1) is an even function of x .) To insure $\text{Re}(ikx) < 0$ we will close the contour in $\text{Im}(k) > 0$ half plane. As long as we route the contour to avoid all the poles there, the integrand is exponentially decaying as $|k| \rightarrow \infty$, $\text{Im}(k) > 0$. Thus, we invoke Jordan's lemma to yield, for $x > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ikx} dx}{1 + \lambda^2 \sigma^2} &= i \sum_{\text{Im}(k) > 0} \text{Residues} \left(\frac{e^{ikx}}{1 + \lambda^2 \sigma^2} \right) \\
 &= i \sum_{\text{Im}(k) > 0} \frac{e^{\hat{k}x}}{\psi'(\hat{k})}, \quad \hat{k} = k_r + ik_i
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda^2 + (\Delta x)^2}} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left\{ ix \left(\frac{n\pi}{\Delta x} + i \frac{1}{\Delta x} \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{\Delta x}{\lambda} \right) \right) \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda^2 + (\Delta x)^2}} e^{-\frac{\beta x}{\lambda}} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i \frac{n\pi x}{\Delta x}}, \quad (2-5)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\beta = \frac{\lambda}{\Delta x} \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{\Delta x}{\lambda} \right). \quad (2-6)$$

Observe (2-5) is not a convergent series in the usual sense. However, viewed as a generalized function, it is a Fourier Series for the function, periodic of period $2\Delta x$, given in the interval $-\Delta x < x < \Delta x$ by

$$S(x) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{i \frac{n\pi x}{\Delta x}} \quad \text{where } c_n \equiv 1.$$

But observe $c_n = \frac{1}{2\Delta x} \int_{-\Delta x}^{\Delta x} S(r) e^{-i \frac{n\pi r}{\Delta x}} dr = 1, \quad \text{all } n.$

Thus

$$S(t) = 2\Delta x \delta(t),$$

and so its periodic extension becomes

$$S(x) = 2\Delta x \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x - 2n\Delta x).$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ikx}}{1+\lambda^2\sigma^2} dk = \frac{\Delta x}{\sqrt{\lambda^2+(\Delta x)^2}} e^{-\frac{\beta|x|}{\lambda}} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x-2n\Delta x). \quad (2-7)$$

Note, if we view β as a function

$$\beta(x) = \frac{1}{x} \sinh^{-1} x ,$$

it is easily shown:

$$\beta(0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta'(x) < 0 .$$

Thus $0 < \beta(x) \leq 1$ with equality only at $x = 0$.

Since, for $\text{Im} k > 0$ and large

$$\frac{i\sigma}{1+\lambda^2\sigma^2} \sim \frac{\Delta x}{\lambda^2 \sin kx} \sim \frac{\Delta x}{\lambda^2 e^{x \text{Im} k}}$$

the above argument can be essentially repeated for

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{i\sigma e^{ikx}}{1+\lambda^2\sigma^2} dk &= i \sum_{\text{Im}(\hat{k}) > 0} \left\{ \frac{i\sigma(\hat{k}) e^{i\hat{k}x}}{\psi'(\hat{k})} \right\} \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\lambda\sqrt{\lambda^2+(\Delta x)^2}} e^{-\frac{\beta x}{\lambda}} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n e^{i\frac{n\pi x}{\Delta x}} , \quad x > 0 \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\lambda\sqrt{\lambda^2+(\Delta x)^2}} e^{-\frac{\beta|x|}{\lambda}} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\frac{n\pi}{\Delta x}(x+\Delta x)} \\ &= \frac{-(\Delta x)}{\lambda\sqrt{\lambda^2+(\Delta x)^2}} e^{-\frac{\beta|x|}{\lambda}} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x-(2n-1)\Delta x) \end{aligned} \quad (2-8)$$

References

- [1] Rossby, C.G., "On the Mutual Adjustment of Pressure and Velocity Distributions in Certain Simple Current Systems," J. Mar. Res., 1, 1937-1938, pp 15-28, 239-263.
- [2] Cahn, A., "An Investigation of the Free Oscillations of a Simple Current System," Journal of Meteorology, Volume 2, Number 2, 1945, pp 113-119.
- [3] Blumen, W., "Geostrophic Adjustment," Reviews of Geophysics and Space Physics, Vol. 10, No. 2, May 1972, pp 485-528.
- [4] Winninghoff, F., "On the Adjustment Toward a Geostrophic Balance in a Simple Primitive Equation Model with Application to the Problem on Initialization and Objective Analysis," Doctoral Dissertation, UCLA, 1968, 161 pp.
- [5] Miles, J., Integral Transforms in Applied Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 1971.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

		No. Copies
1.	Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12
2.	Library, Code 0212 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	2
3.	Dr. A. L. Schoenstadt, Code 53Zh Department of Mathematics Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93940	10
4.	Dr. R. T. Williams, Code 51Wu Department of Meteorology Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
5.	Commanding Officer Naval Weather Service Command Headquarters 3101 Building 200 Washington Navy Yard Washington, D. C. 20374	1
6.	Officer in Charge Environmental Prediction Research Facility Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	10
7.	Dean of Research Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	2
8.	Commanding Officer Fleet Numerical Weather Central Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	10
9.	Naval Oceanographic Office Library (Code 3330) Washington, D. C. 20373	1
10.	AFCRL - Research Library L. G. Hanscom Field ATTN: Nancy Davis/Stop 29 Bedford, Massachusetts 01730	1

11. Commander, Air Weather Service 1
 Military Airlift Command
 United States Air Force
 Scott Air Force Base, Illinois 62226
12. Dr. R. Alexander 1
 The RAND Corporation
 1700 Main Street
 Santa Monica, California 90406
13. Dr. A. Arakawa 1
 Department of Meteorology
 University of California
 Los Angeles, California 90024
14. Dr. D. Archer 1
 Mathematics Department
 University of North Carolina
 UNCC Station
 Charlotte, North Carolina 28223
15. Atmospheric Sciences Library 1
 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
 Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
16. Mr. E. Barker 1
 Environmental Prediction Research Facility
 Naval Postgraduate School
 Monterey, California 93940
17. Dr. W. Blumen 1
 Department of Astro-Geophysics
 University of Colorado
 Boulder, Colorado 80302
18. Dr. F. P. Bretherton 1
 National Center for Atmospheric Research
 P. O. Box 3000
 Boulder, Colorado 80303
19. Dr. John Brown 1
 National Meteorological Center/NOAA
 World Weather Building
 Washington, D. C. 20233
20. Dr. C. P. Chang, Code 51Cj 1
 Department of Meteorology
 Naval Postgraduate School
 Monterey, California 93940

21. Professor J. G. Charney 1
54-1424
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139
22. Dr. C. Comstock, Code 53Cs 1
Department of Mathematics
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93940
23. Dr. D. Dietrick 1
JAYCOR
205 S. Whiting St., Suite 409
Alexandria, Virginia 22304
24. Dr. R. L. Elsberry, Code 51Es 1
Department of Meteorology
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93940
25. Dr. Frank D. Faulkner, Code 53Fa 1
Mathematics Department
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93940
26. Dr. Richard Franke, Code 53Fe 1
Department of Mathematics
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93940
27. Dr. J. A. Galt 1
NOAA - Pac. Mar. Envir. Lab.
University of Washington WB-10
Seattle, Washington 98105
28. Dr. W. L. Gates 1
The RAND Corporation
1700 Main Street
Santa Monica, California 90406
29. Dr. G. J. Haltiner, Code 51Ha 1
Chairman, Department of Meteorology
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93940
30. Dr. R. L. Haney, Code 51Hy 1
Department of Meteorology
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93940


31. LT Donald Hinsman 1
Fleet Numerical Weather Central
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93940
32. Dr. J. Holton 1
Department of Atmospheric Sciences
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105
33. Dr. B. J. Hoskins 1
Department of Geophysics
University of Reading
Reading
United Kingdom
34. Dr. D. Houghton 1
Department of Meteorology
University of Wisconsin
Madison, Wisconsin 53706
35. Dr. A. Kasahara 1
National Center for Atmospheric Research
P. O. Box 3000
Boulder, Colorado 80303
36. Dr. L. D. Kovach, Code 53Kv 1
Chairman, Department of Mathematics
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93940
37. Dr. C. E. Leith 1
National Center for Atmospheric Research
P. O. Box 3000
Boulder, Colorado 80303
38. Dr. J. M. Lewis 1
Laboratory for Atmospheric Research
University of Illinois
Urbana, Illinois 61801
39. Dr. E. N. Lorenz 1
Department of Meteorology
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139
40. Dr. R. Madala 1
Code 7750
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, D. C. 20390

41. Dr. J. D. Mahlman 1
 Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory
 Princeton University
 Princeton, New Jersey 08540
42. Dr. G. Morris, Code 53Mj 1
 Department of Mathematics
 Naval Postgraduate School
 Monterey, California 93940
43. Meteorology Library (Code 51) 1
 Naval Postgraduate School
 Monterey, California 93940
44. LT W. F. Mihok 1
 Fleet Numerical Weather Central
 Naval Postgraduate School
 Monterey, California 93940
45. National Center for Atmospheric Research 1
 Box 1470
 Boulder, Colorado 80302
46. Director, Naval Research Laboratory 1
 ATTN: Technical Services Information Center
 Washington, D. C. 20390
47. Department of Oceanography, Code 58 1
 Naval Postgraduate School
 Monterey, California 93940
48. Office of Naval Research 1
 Department of the Navy
 Washington, D. C. 20360
49. Dr. T. Ogura 1
 Laboratory for Atmospheric Research
 University of Illinois
 Urbana, Illinois 61801
50. Professor N. A. Phillips 1
 National Meteorological Center/NOAA
 World Weather Building
 Washington, D. C. 20233
51. Dr. S. Piacsek 1
 Code 7750
 Naval Research Laboratory
 Washington, D. C. 20390

52. Dr. T. Rosmond 1
Environmental Prediction Research Facility
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93940
53. Dr. Y. Sasaki 1
Department of Meteorology
University of Oklahoma
Norman, Oklahoma 73069
54. Dr. Fred Shuman, Director 1
National Meteorological Center
World Weather Building
Washington, D. C. 20233
55. Dr. R. Somerville 1
NCAR
P. O. Box 3000
Boulder, Colorado 80303
56. Dr. D. Williamson 1
National Center for Atmospheric Research
P. O. Box 3000
Boulder, Colorado 80303
57. Dr. F. J. Winninghoff 1
1085 Steeles Avenue, #503
Willowdale (Toronto)
Ontario M2R2T1 Canada
58. Dr. M. G. Wurtele 1
Department of Meteorology
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024
59. Dr. J. Young 1
Department of Meteorology
University of Wisconsin
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

U171985

DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY - RESEARCH REPORTS



5 6853 01071139 3



NPS