

DNA 3779T

FG

PROBABILITY OF INJURY FROM AIRBLAST DISPLACEMENT AS A FUNCTION OF YIELD AND RANGE

A BAC 22765

Lovelace Foundation for Medical Education and Research P.O. Box 5890 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87115

29 October 1975

Topical Report

CONTRACT No. DNA 001-74-C-0120

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

THIS WORK SPONSORED BY THE DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY UNDER SUBTASK U99QAXMA012-04.

Prepared for Director DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY Washington, D. C. 20305





es not

Best Available Copy

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return to sender.

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY SIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE BEFORE COMPLETING FORM RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 2 GOVT ACCESSION NO. FRAIDO COVERED D PEOBABILITY OF INJURY FROM AIRBLAST crical j DISHACEMENT AS A FUNCTION OF YIELD AND NUMBER . CONTRACT OR GRANT HUMBER(A) E. Royce Fletcher, John T. Yelverton ENA Stat-74-realist Roy A. Hutton Donald R. Richmond 9 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK Lovelace Foundation for Medical Education and Research: P O. Box 5890. Subtask U-90ABA-11-04 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87115 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Director 29 Oct**air 19**75 Defense Nuclear Agency 10 Washington, D. C. 20305 15 SECURITY CLASS (of Inte rep lling Office) DNH-NWED-QHXM UNCLASSIFIED 15. DECLASSIFICATION/ DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 16 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release: distribution unlimited. **FEMENT** (at the abstract entered in Black 20, it different from Report) 18 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES inio when genore e or the Defense Haelean Agency under li den data di Anglanda den 🗕 🕞 19 KEY WORDS (Comtinue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Impact injuries Airbiast displacement Range-yield effects Whole-body displacement Blast-wave parameters Blast casualties Decelerative-tumbling injuries Mathematical models 20 ABSTRACT Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number: The purpose of this study was to predict the probability of impact injeries due to whole-body translation by airblast as a function of yield and ground range. Predictions were made for personnel in different orientations in open terrain and near structural complexes. A mathematical model was used to calculate the time-displacement history of personnel from considerations of aerodynamic TO T JAN 23 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) 212000

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

20. ABSTRACT (Continued)

drag and ground friction. Predicted values of maximum velocity, displacement at maximum velocity, and total displacement were tabulated for 1224 exposure conditions. These conditions included both air and surface bursts with yields from 1 T to 100 MT and peak overpressures from 2 to 97 psi.

Biological criteria were presented which indicate that personnel subjected to decelerative tumbling over open terrain can tolerate much higher velocities than personnel impacting a nonyielding, flat surface at normal incidence. These criteria were used in preparing range-yield plots for 1-, 2.5-, 5-, and 50percent probabilities of serious injury to prone personnel in the vicinity of a surface burst.

Methods for extending the presented results to other exposure conditions were discussed.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

PREFACE

This work unit, Decelerative Tumbling Blast Effects on Personnel. Contract No. DNA CO1-74-C-Ol2O, was part of the subtask, Biological Effects of Blast Environments.

This study was under the direction of R. K. Jones. The technical assistance of W. Hicks, K. Saunders, A. Shaw, and W. S. Jackson is acknowledged. T. Minagawa performed the technical photography and prepared the illustrative material. The report was typed and compiled by B. Martinez.

This research was conducted according to the principles enunciated in the "Guide for Laboratory Animal Facilities and Care," prepared by the National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council.

TABLE CF CONTENTS

Ħ

团

Page

Page

PREFACE	1
INTRODUCTION	5
MATHEMATICAL MODEL	6
Ground Friction Acceleration CoefficientBlast-Wave Parameters	6 7 10
CALCULATED VELOCITIES AND DISPLACEMENTS	12
INJURY PROBABILITY VS RANGE AND YIELD	1 3
REFERENCES	20
APPENDIX	23
DISTRIBUTION	35

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure

1- to 5-Percent Probability of Impact 1 14 Injuries to Prone Personnel in Open Terrain ---1- to 5-Percent Probability of Impact In-2 juries to Prone Personnel Near Structures -----15 50-Percent Probability of Impact Injuries 3 to Prone Personnel in Open Terrain -----16 4 50-Percent Probability of Impact Injuries 17 to Prone Personnel Near Structures ------A-1 Velocity-Displacement History of Prone Personnel in a Random Orientation Exposed to an Overpressure Level of 27.4 psi from 24 a 10-KT Surface Burst -----

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

Page

A-2	Personnel End-On to the Wind	25
A-3	Maximum Translational Velocity of Prone Personnel in a Random Orientation	26
A-4	Maximum Translational Velocity of Prone Personnel Side-On to the Wind	27
A-5	Maximum Translational Velocity of Standing Persinnel Front- or Back-On to the Wind	28
	LIST OF TABLES	
<u>Table</u>		Page
A-1	Blast-Wave Parameters Used to Calculate Displacement of Personnel	29
A-2	Displacement of Prone Personnel End-On to the Wird	30
A-3	Displacement of Prone Personnel in a Random Orientation	31
A-4		
	Displacement of Prone Personnel Side-On to the Wind	32

A-5	Displacement of Standing Personnel	
	Front- or Back-On to the Wind	33
A-6	Biological Criteria	34

INTRODUCTION

One of the major hazards to personnel in the vicinity of an explosion is associated with whole-body displacement induced by blast winds. The purpose of this study was to predict the probability of impact injury from displacement as a function of yield and ground range. Predictions were made for personnel in different orientations in open terrain and near structural complexes. A mathematical model (Reference 1) was used to calculate the time-displacement history of personnel from considerations of aerodynamic drag and ground friction. The model had been verified previously using data from anthropomorphic dummies translated over open terrain by high-explosive (HE) and nuclear detonations (References 2 and 3).

Two types of terrain were of interest because of differences in the translational velocities required to produce injuries. In open terrain, personnel undergo decelerative tumbling, in which case the energy of motion is dissipated slowly through a series of glancing impacts, and relatively high velocities can be tolerated. In contrast, personnel translating near a structural complex may impact rigid structures at approximately normal incidence, and the energy of motion is dissipated much more rapidly with a corresponding

increase in the likelihood of injuries. The biological criteria used in the present study were derived from experiments (Reference 4) by airblast from a shocktube. Injuries commonly incurred during either type of deceleration included lacerations, contusions. fractures, dislocations, and damage to internal organs.

MATHEMATICAL MODEL

The mathematical model used to calculate blastinduced translation of personnel (Reference 1) was adapted to an electronic digital computer. The computer program is quite general in that it takes into account variable ground friction, aerodynamic-drag characteristics, and blast-wave parameters.

Ground Friction

The average deceleration, due primarily to frictional effects with the ground, of a 165-1b man undergoing decelerative tumbling has been estimated in Reference 1 to be approximately 8.9029 $V_i^{0.38308}$ ft/sec², where V_i is the instantaneous translational velocity in ft/sec. This

relationship was derived from translational data obtained with guinea pigs, rabbits, dogs, and a goat by scaling to an animal the size of man. Previous calculations have indicated that the ground-friction formula also applies reasonably well to full-scale anthropomorphic dummies (Reference 3).

Acceleration Coefficient

In order to calculate the aerodynamic drag forces on a man it is necessary to know, at each instant, the acceleration coefficient, α , defined as the projected area presented to the wind multiplied by the drag coefficient and divided by the body mass (Reference 5). The α varies as the orientation of a man changes during translation. A value of approximately 0.03 ft²/lb has been estimated to be the average α for a random orientation (i.e., considering all possible orientations to be equally likely) of an unbent man.

The α for a prone man end-on to the wind is 0.0063 ft²/lb (Reference 6). Data obtained on 500-ton HE tests (Reference 3) suggest that, for near-ideal conditions, asymetries will cause a dummy which was initially prone and end-on to the flow to turn side-on to the wind after about 13 ft of displacement. The details of the subsequent displacement were not obtained, but the assumption of a random orientation

seems reasonable. An emperical fit to the data yielded the following relationships:

 $\alpha = 0.0063 + (D_i/31)^4$ for $0 \leq D_i < 12.2$ $\alpha = 0.03$ for $12.2 \leq D_i$

where α is expressed in ft^2/lb and D_1 is the instantaneous displacement in feet.

The a for a prone man in a random orientation is $0.014 \text{ ft}^2/1b$ (Reference 6). This value is smaller than the average a for a man considering all orientations because a prone man cannot be face- or back-on to the wind. The data mentioned in Reference 3 suggest that, on the average, a dummy which was initially prone in a random orientation will turn side-on to the wind after about 6 ft of displacement. The following relationships were used to approximate the acceleration coefficient during the displacement of a man initially prone in a random orientation:

 $\alpha = 0.014 + (D_i/47)^2$ for $0 \le D_i < 5.9$ $\alpha = 0.03$ for $5.9 \le D_i$

The α for a prone man side-on to the blast is 0.022 ft²/lb. The data indicate that dummies initially in this orientation will start to roll within a very short displacement

(~1 ft). The average α for a man rolling with his long axis perpendicular to the wind is 0.038. It is not known after what displacement the orientation would typically become more random in nature. Because of these uncertainties, an α of 0.03 ft²/1b was assumed throughout the displacement of a man initially prone and side-on to the wind.

The only calculations made for a standing man were for the worst case, i.e., for a man initially face- or back-on to the wind. Data obtained with dummies indicate that these two initial orientations will result in approximately the same displacement (Reference 3). It should be noted that orientation probably influences the displacement of a standing man less than in the case of a prone man, in that the α of a standing man can range from only 0.022 to 0.052, whereas the α of a prone man can range from 0.0063 to 0.022 depending on the orientation.

At overpressures below 2.7 psi, the standing dummies toppled over with their feet having undergone almost no displacement. At overpressures above 5.3 psi, the legs were blown out from under the dummies such that they became airborne almost immediately. Typically a standing dummy rotated such that it first impacted the ground on its head after a displacement of from 10 to 15 ft. Rather than attempting to

adapt the mathematical model to closely approximate the conplexities introduced by the sometimes airborne displacements, a simpler approach was considered. Calculations were made assuming that the α remained constant at 0.052 and that the ground friction was operative throughout the displacement (Reference 3). The predictions agree well with experimental displacements obtained over a limited range of yield (50 T to 38 KT) and overpressure (5 to 50 psi). The good agreement is probably due in part to two compensating errors: (1) assuming the α remains constant at 0.052 should result in the predicted velocities being too high because the dummies rotated, thus reducing the α ; (2) assuming the ground friction to always be operative should result in the predicted velocities being too low because the dummies were airborne during the first 10 ft of displacement.

Blast-Wave Parameters

The blast-wave parameters used to predict the displacement of personnel were derived primarily from data for nuclear detonations in References 7 and 8. Calculations were made at selected yields and ground ranges for surface bursts and for upper and lower optimum heights-of-burst (HOB's). The optimum HOB is defined as the one which tends to maximize, for a given ground range, both the peak dynamic pressure and the impulse of the positive dynamic pressure. Thus the optimum

HOB would also tend to maximize the translational velocity of personnel for a given ground range. The airblast data indicate that at intermediate ground ranges there are two local optimum HOB's, with the upper optimum HOB giving the larger dynamic-pressure levels and impulses for scaled ground ranges greater than approximately 770 ft/(KT)^{1/3}, and the lower optimum HOB giving the larger dynamic-pressure levels and impulses for smaller scaled ground ranges.

Table A-1 lists the pertinent blast-wave parameters. Note that values are given for both upper and lower optimum HOB's for scaled ground ranges between 400 and 950 ft/(KT) $^{1/3}$. For surface bursts the peak overpressures given in the table range from 2 to 97 psi corresponding to peak dynamic pressures between 0.093 and 140 psi.

Double-exponential equations, of the types used in Reference 8, were fitted to the overpressure and dynamicpressure waves to facilitate their being entered into the computer program. The Rankine-Hugoniot relations were assumed to apply at the shock front, and changes within the shock wave were assumed to be adiabatic. It was thus possible to use the overpressure to calculate the air density, which in turn could be combined with the dynamic pressure to calculate the wind speed, all of these quantities varying with time.

In calculating a displacement, the blast-wave parameters were evaluated at fixed time intervals, the size of which depended on the yield of interest. For yields of 1 T, 1 KT, 1 MT, and 100 MT the time intervals were 5 μ sec, 50 μ sec, 0.5 msec, and 2 msec, respectively. These values also represent the time-step sizes used in solving the differential equations of motion by Runge-Kutta methods.

CALCULATED VELOCITIES AND DISPLACEMENTS

Each of the 34 runs listed in Table A-1 was analyzed in connection with nine yields (ranging from 1 T to 100 MT by factors of 10) and four initial orientations (three prone and one standing). Thus a total of 1224 displacements were predicted using the computer. Figure A-1 shows a typical velocity-displacement history for a prone mum in a random orientation exposed to an overpressure level of 27.4 psi from a 10-KT surface burst. As was the usual case, the maximum velocity, V, was achieved within a displacement, S, which was short compared to the total displacement, D. Likewise, the time to peak velocity was short compared to the total time of displacement.

The predicted V, S, and D values for all of the computer runs are listed in Tables A-2 through A-5. For a given

yield and initial orientation, the V and D values always increased with the peak overpressure. The S values also increased with the peak overpressure except for some slight reversals at the higher overpressures. These reversals may be an anomaly caused by the finite size of the time step used in the calculations. Even if this is the case, the effect on the computed V and D values would be minimal. The predicted maximum velocities in Tables A-2 through A-5 for personnel exposed to a surface burst have been plotted in Figures A-2 through A-5.

INJURY PROBABILITY VS RANGE AND YIELD

Table A-6 indicates the probability of serious injury (fracture or ruptured internal organ) as a function of velocity for personnel undergoing either decelerative tumbling or impact at normal incidence against a nonyielding, flat surface. The velocities are in agreement with estimates of man's tolerance to impact in Reference 9.

Figures A-2 through A-4 were used to determine the scaled ground ranges corresponding to the velocities in Table A-6. Ground range vs nuclear yield was plotted in Figures 1 and 2 for 1-, 2.5-, and 5-percent probabilities of injury to prone personnel and in Figures 3 and 4 for 50-percent















:



probabilitiy of injury. Implicit in Figures 2 and 4 is the assumption that personnel near structures impacted, at normal incidence, a nonyielding, flat surface at the instant of maximum velocity.

Some of the curves in Figures 1 through 4 do not extend to 1 T because no attempt was made to extrapolate to yields less than those that could be read directly from the curves in Figures A-2 through A-4. However, the missing portions of the curves correspond to peak overpressures of greater than 55 psi, such that the primary-blast hazard would probably override the displacement hazard.

From Figures 1 through 4 it can be seen that, for a given injury probability and yield, the ground range is very dependent on both initial orientation and type of terrain. For example, for a 50-percent probability of injury from a 10-KT surface burst, the ground ranges for end-on and sideon prone personnel are approximately 850 and 1500 ft in open terrain and 1400 and 2500 ft near structures. In contrast, varying the injury probability from 1 to 5 percent has a relatively small influence on ground range. For example, for a 10-KT surface burst in open terrain, the ground ranges for 1- and 5-percent probabilities of injury are approximately 1200 and 1100 ft for end-on prone personnel and 2000 and 1900 ft for side-on prone personnel.

Four methods of extending the possible applications of the predictions presented in this paper are worth noting:

> First, velocities read from Table A-5 or Figure A-5 could be used to estimate injury probability vs range and yield for standing personnel.

Second, it would be possible to predict the approximate displacement of personnel subjected to a near-classical dynamic-pressure wave generated by an unspecified source by assuming that (for a given orientation) V, S, and D are each a function of only the peak dynamic pressure and the impulse of the positive dynamic pressure. Further, as the duration of the wave decreases, the significance of the wave shape and peak dynamic pressure decreases, such that V and D become functions of only the impulse of the positive dynamic pressure, and S approaches zero. All of these functions could be derived from the data in Tables A-1 through A-5.

Third, for a HOB lower than those listed in Table A-1, it is reasonable to use logarithmic interpolation to estimate translational velocities and displacements. For example, for a HOB of 200 ft/(KT) $^{1/3}$, one could interpolate between values for a surface burst and a

lower optimum HOB for ground ranges between 400 and 950 ft/(KT)^{1/3}, and interpolate between values for a surface burst and an upper optimum HOB for ground ranges between 1100 and 2600 ft/(KT)^{1/3}.

Finally, the predictions may be applied directly to an HE detonation by considering a nuclear yield twice as large as the HE yield. Thus, for 500 tons of high explosives, one would read 1 KT on the nuclear yield scales in the figures. This procedure is based on the assumption that approximately onehalf of the energy released in a nuclear detonation goes into airblast.

REFERENCES

- Fletcher, E. R. and I. G. Bowen, "Blast-Induced Translational Effects," Technical Progress Report, DASA-1859, Defense Atomic Support Agency, Department of Defense, Washington, D. C., November 1966. Subsequently published in <u>Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.</u> 152: 378-403 (October 28) 1968.
- 2. Taborelli, R. V., I. G. Bowen, and E. R. Fletcher, "Tertiary Effects of Blast--Displacement," USAEC Civil Effects Test Group Report, WT-1469, Office of

Technical Services, Department of Commerce, Washington, D. C., May 22, 1959.

3. Fletcher, E. R., D. R. Richmond and R. K. Jones, "Project LN402--Blast Displacement of Dummies in Open Terrain and in Field Fortifications," in <u>Event</u> <u>Dial Pack Symposium Report, March 30-April 2, 1971</u>, Vol. II, pp. 607-626, The Technical Cooperation Program, The Defence Research Board of Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, March 1971.

4. Richmond, D. R., E. R. Fletcher, R. K. Jones and
W. S. Jackson, "Airblast Effects Inside Field Fortifications," Final Project Officer's Report, Mixed
Company Event, Project LN401, POR 6622-1, Defense
Nuclear Agency, Washington, D. C., 18 June 1974.

Fletcher, E. R., R. W. Albright, V. C. Goldizen and I. G. Bowen, "Determinations of Aerodynamic-Drag Parameters of Small Irregular Objects by Means of Drop Tests," USAEC Civil Effects Test Operations Report, CEX-59.14, Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, Washington, D. C., October 1961.

6.

5.

Schmitt, T. J., "Wind-Tunnel Investigation of Air Loads on Human Beings," Report 892 (Aero 858), The

Department of the Navy, The David W. Taylor Model Basin Aerodynamics Laboratory, Washington, D. C., January 1954.

Dolan, P. J. (Editor), <u>The Effects of Nuclear Weapons</u>, rev. ed. 1975, Energy Research and Development Agency (formerly U. S. Atomic Energy Commission), Washington, D. C., (in draft).

- Brode, H. L., "A Review of Nuclear Explosion Phenomena Pertinent to Protective Construction," R-425-PR, The Rand Corporation, Santa Monica, California, May 1964.
- 9. Hirsch, A. E., "The Tolerance of Man to Impact," <u>Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.</u> <u>152</u>: 168-171, (October 28) 1968.

APPENDIX









ŧ

;

\$...

H





F

£

i i

> . .

. پېرونه کې





ł

1

1

4.4



Maximum Translational Velocity of Standing Personnel Front- or Back-On to the Wind. Computed for a surface burst. Figure A-5.

Ħ

4

1

ł

N,

ļ

i

.

*

BLAST-WAVE PARAMETERS USED TO CALCULATE DISPLACEMENT OF PERSONNEL

-- - --

No.	R/#1/3	H/#1/3	đ	Tp/#1/3	1 ₂₁ #1/3	J,	Tq/#1/3	$1_{q/\pi^{1/3}}$
	2600	IJ	1 98	. 150	.411	0934	.524	.0136
~	2200	0	2.56	403	.469	. 155	214	.0199
5	1100	0	3.25	. 365	. 52H	249	.438	. 0285
+	1600	0	4.34	31216	009	046.	.402	.0437
-0	1:300	÷	6.32	1.284	. 704	E16.	. 367	.0725
ç	1100	e	н. 32	253	. 800	1.51	.345	106
1	950	0	11.0	229	DHH.	2 50	. 330	.147
20	850	0	13.7	.213	01-6	3.71	324	181
9	200	3	20.0	185	1.05	7.70	. 314	105.
10	600	0	27.4	. 165	1.15	M.0	312	.474
11	500	0	40.5	.142	1.32	34.0	.314	. 795
12	00	0	69.0	.115	1.64	107	. 299	1.15
13	350	0	97.0	.100	1.99	143	263	1.36
7	3000	848	3.14	348	146.	.232	121	.0173
15	2600	634	3.93	.325	. 120	. 362	.403	0273
16	2200	913	5.19	306	. 485	.622	387	0432
1	1900	888	6.60	280	. 550	.958	. 380	0590.
18	1600	650	8.62	.273	.635	1.41	. 374	.0787
61	1300	795	11.9	.256	767	2.32	. 376	. 114
20	1100	744	15.0	. 242	588.	3.77	377	164
17	956	707	18.0	.228	.962	5.75	74	228
52	850	1H9	20.4	.215	. 995	7.95	. 367	605.
5	770	660	22.7	.204	1.01	10.7	. 360	101
5	200	636	25.4	, 191	1.04	14.5	. 351	. 475
25	600	590	30.1	. 167	1.12	20.4	. 327	. 537
26	500	529	39.5	137	1.30	26.5	. 299	.583
27	400	418	59.9	104	1.70	38.6	264	644
28	950	320	15.0	210	668.	1.31	126.	102
29	850	320	17.5	. 190	. 935	6.72	908.	.279
30	770	110	20.3	.173	1.00	10.7	. 295	.428
E	700	296	23.5	.158	1.09	19.6	.284	.600
32	600	276	30.9	.134	1.28	58.5	. 265	. 936
5	500	244	44.7	.109	1.58	127	. 250	1.21
34	400	209	74.7	.0904	2.10	195	297	1.49

H. Height of Burst. ft
 I mules of Doultive Dynamic Pressure. psl.wer
 I mules of Doultive Dynamic Pressure. psl.
 Rax Overpressure. psl.
 Rax Dynamic Pressure. psl.
 Ground Range. ft
 Duration of Positive Dynamic Pressure. wec
 T. Duration of Positive Dynamic Pressure. wec
 W. Suclear Yield. Kt

<u>Note</u> Runs 1-13 correspond to a surface burat. Runs 14-27 correspond to an upper optimum height-of-burat. Runs 28-34 correspond to a lower optimum height-of-burat.

E

ŀ

ł

;:

:

DISPLACEMENT OF PRONE PERSONNEL END-CN TO THE WIND

	T	Т	2	-		ę	2					-		-	-			ļ	1	i e			-			-	-		-	-			-	-	-	-	-		٦			
	1°	1	•	c	• •	-	*	i i	ļ		į.	2	ŝ	23	ŝ	2	1	Ś		- 2	Ē			1	1	2	1	ŝ	2	ŝ	3	5	a,	2	ş	Ŷ	50	ç				
6	i.		0				•						2	ñ	ş	Ť	8	١.					•••			::			Ē	ē	2	-	2	Ä	ř	2	-	5				
6	-		5			•	ń.	-		۰.	£	3.	2	~	×			ľ			6 a		4 5 f							_	_		~		~		-					
1 5	1	1	_	_				2	-		2	1	2	â	ŝ	f					-				÷		2	1	ć	3	×.	-	Ē.		ŝ	2	į.		ļ			
1	1.		-	2		•	ċ	x -		•		-	_	_		_		F	1		-		-																i			
		ļ						Ĩ			2	Ξ.	ž	2	ŝ		1	l			1			r		1	1	-	1	5	110	5	ŝ	ŝ	1	-	1980	1	1			
	1	T	8	2			2	6				_			_			ķ	i i		5		,		_	-				-	1		-				_		1			
	-	i	Ξ	=	1		2	ĥ	i a		5	5	Ē	3	2	ē	P	j	5			2			2	ā	ŝ	20	8	30	312	ā	5	ş	÷	÷	E	3	İ.			
1 +	j.	ł	•	N			-								54	5		1										-	-	Ē	7	-	÷.	=	Ξ	-	5	2				
	-		- -	с 5	1		ŝ	2	5			÷ :	ŝ		-	ŗ-	0	[= 15	1		i n		10		• • •	z				-		-	=	-	-	,	-		ļ			
1	ļ	ł	-	a s								-	-	2	Ā	2	-	1		_		-				-		5	ž	5	2	2	2	\$		0	ŝ	-	ľ			
		ł	e e	0	1			ć P	2			- 1	-	÷		=	c	Ľ	-	2			1				_	_	_	_		r				-			l			
ł	1	ì							1				5	2	5	ž	0.0	1				2	• -	5	1	2		Ξ	÷	÷	4		È.		÷	2	ŝ	ž	!			
	Π.	ļ	5	đ	ŝ		ş	2	:		• :	N.						12			ŝ	4	,	-		_					1	ļ		-		-			1			
1	! -	ł	-	0	¢	, ,	C		-			5	50.	1	ŝ	180	410	ţ۶	-		e 1	1	*	ţ,	22	-	ŝ	Ţ	ŝ	ŝ	٢Ì	÷	Ŧ	i	ā	Ę	Ē,	-	l			
1	:	Î	2	e.	5		-	H	-				_	_		1	-	i ic	_	_	•	Ŧ									-				•	Ē	-	-				
15	×	!	Ē	-	2		=	-	2	1				4	-	2	÷	ľ	c	-	-	-	Ę	5		Ē	5 6	ŝ	5	۰ د	2	-	4.		.,	<i>,-</i> -	Ŧ	74	1			
; _	;	ļ	=					ç			•••			1	÷	-	-	-	c	-		-				•	Ŧ	τ.	-	<i>.</i>	ĵ	•	<u>.</u>	*	2	-	2	2	1			
l	-	į	د د	5	-		-	e c	,	: a : 9			ы л	~	-	r-		Ē	5	1		int an	2	ş	24	-			~	~	-1				_		_	~	ł			
⊢	+	÷	_	-			2.	-	_	_					٦,	÷	2	L			-		_	-	£	2	2	ž	2	2	1	•		Ē.,	2	ē	ñ	ŝ	1			
	=	i	7 2	4 2	-		5	Ì	2			É : R :	-	-	2	-	j	Î,	-	-	Ĕ	3	-	Ì	:• *	-	•	4	2		-			5.		-	_					
		1	_		_									•	5	Ξ	Ŧ	ļ							-	۹	č	Ē		5	-			-	2	Ň	2	ž				
: 5	1.0		÷	5	17	1	1	ŝ	Ì	;					-	-	-	15	Ĩ	1.	2	1	1	÷.	ŧ	-	2.		s,	ç	÷	Z.	2	-	-	•	7	-	į.			
ΙĒ	i		-	5				_	-							2	Ĩ	Ļ		2					Ť	5	5	3	8	ŝ	3			1	5	7	Ř	ÿ	ļ.			
1	••	i	с С	5	2		-	-	4				£ :	-	2	e	e	c	1	-	C N	5	ī	с 	*	-	ж с	-	с. С	e c	-	•			-	-			ł			
	+	ł	_	2	-		-	(-	-							5	-	Ļ		-	-	_		-	-		1	Ţ	~		1	_			*	-	-	5	i			
1	=		= =	=	0	•		÷	0	2		6 - 4 P	• •	е : с ;		e - ec.	-	e	5	-	2	i de la como de la com	2		÷	7	ĩ	с. С.	-	2	чi сv	-				с : •	¥.	_				
i		ļ	~	_	~		_	-							-	Ŧ	1				_						-	-	=	-	Ĩ			-		-		ŝ	ļ.			
1	l er	ł	3	5	0			2	6	ê						÷	2	ē	200	3	2	2	-	2	22	÷	5	Ξ	2	-	F	51	ŝ	5	2	2	4	Ξ	ĺ			
12			5	3	ž	5	2	÷	2	5	15			••			~	2	2	ş	2	3	5	3	2	~	-		<u>,</u>	÷		2				c .			í.			
		L	-	÷	÷			-		1	1	: :	1	1		7	::	c	•	7	-	74	- 53	ſ	5	ŝ	2	ę,	5	2	-	- :				2	ş	ŝ.				
1	1_	į.	3	e	3	1	21	1	F	1	1		: ;	2		-	4	1	2	ÿ	::	3	Ę.	51	1	ŝ	ş	7	ĝ,		ł	5	1	2	5	•	2	e				
1	į	ŧ.	~	5	2			-	-	-						2	1		÷	-	2	2	2	2	-	24	-	•	•	¢ 1	ì	• •		•••	•	1		F	l			
i t	~		3	č	ē	10		3 2	-	-				2.	1	ž	-	5	Ξ	0	2	3	2	Ĩ	5	2	2	2	9		¢,						5	-				
-	1	1	2	ŧ	1	ş			2	÷	-			• •		-		ž	3	÷	ž	ŝ	ŝ	5	÷	2	2		-	- ·	1					_		Ξ.				
Ŀ.	Ľ	Ľ	-	•	÷	¢		÷	-	74	~	1		1		Ξ	=	=	-	5	•		-	-	÷	45	₹.	= :	5						I ;	τ.	2	;				
	4		ľ	g	ī	613	1	8	2	i	5	5			1	ž.	≡ļ	8	5	5	3	ž	2	ä	Ş	÷	-	-	2		Ţ				2	5		1	;			
-				2	2	10		2	0 52	ŝ	0 20		1 -			-	긬	=	=		=	= 2	-	고 동	-	۰ . -		_			ske ⊧p					-	ł	÷.,				
10	5		-		5	-		-	è	0	6				: • •	-	4	÷	-	÷	÷	o	e e	-	5	-				-							-	з .				
	-		3	Ţ	3	12.0		÷	ï	5	ž	5	1			-	-	ē	Ξ	5	±	ŧ	ā.	C		Ξ.	; ;	1	ē.	7	ţ,		1		٩.	e .	e ·	•				
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ł		-	с г	с -			ے ح	-				10			Ĥ	-	=	ŝ	с 	-	-	-	~	-	-	c •	c ,		#	• •	-		_	-	1	1			£	
Į	2		5	5	ē	101 13	•		2	10	0.0	1		1				ĕ	3	2	Ŧ	8 2	Ţ	÷.	-	2	۴.		-		l		-					÷.			-	
1			1	2	8	9		5	Ŧ		6	G	1		ţ	2 1	5	ŝ	ŝ	÷.	ŝ	Ē	5	Ē	ġ	21	Ŧ	g,	8	9 2	ł			2			2	3			1	
Ξ.	"		i e	•	-	-	1		2	د د	-	2	1			-	1	e -	-	Ξ	2	2	-	2	-	=	-	= :		2		-	-			-	-	•			1	-
	-		Ì	: :	č	-			-	2 2	i i i	100		1		•	1	-	2	 0		ñ	3 2	: : :		2	fi i	21			ľ		1				-			ź	į	
				Ē	ŝ	60			ş	3	5	ę	2				2	E.	Z	ŝ	ē	ī	Ξ	3	ž	ź.	8				ţ		1				5,	Ē		Ξ	-	-
	÷			1	2	3	4		-	-	1	÷	=	-			1	-	=	=	2	=	=	-	7	-	-	•			ł	-	2	÷		1	2	=		÷	Ē	-
12	7		58	5	Ě	ě e	č		5	ă	0.0	0 0					51: - -	-	3	ž	5	ž.	ē 2	1	÷		-	1	7	1			-				1			z	ţ	
		1	35	;	3	ŝ			5	2	ş	2	1		ļ			8	ž	ŝ	:	c	<u>.</u>	ŝ	ż.	Ē		3		5;	į.		-	2						3	i	1.1
\square	Ľ		2 3	2	2	c	-		=	-	÷.	5	-			^ -	+	÷	2	7	2	2	₹.	2	-	2 .					1		_	-		• •	1.	-1		ĩ	5	÷
		-	• •	• •	-	÷		• .	2	•	z	÷	24	5	12		-	3 3	<u>c</u> .	ŝ	-	×	Ξ.	5	5				Ç.			ą	3				1	ςĺ			_	_
L		L.,	_		_		_	_									1			_							••••	•••			1			1		· -		· 1		1	••	-

ţ

į.

, _ t

:

- -

ł

DISPLACEMENT OF PRONE PERSONNEL IN A RANDOM ORIENTATION

1		18	2	•												•											[- 1	
	a	-		5	Ξ	100	100	010	080	200		170	0	210	11	ţ		1	010	ŝ	9	870	Ş	ŝ		010	06	680	905	670	9	8	9	
5		0	5	_		•				-		•	•	-	••						-	~ '	~ ~	• •			-	-	2	e	۰.	•	-	
8	s	e	ē	2	Ē	È	ş	2	12	2	ŝ	e,	ŝ	33	-	5		- 8	69	÷	6 27	5	56	3	1	Ê	3	7	÷	ā	2	3	<u>بع</u>	
٦;		1	1	<u>_</u>	_					*	۴.			•	5		• •		-	2	-	•		n 4		. 17	i I I	ŕ	¢7	¥	æ	~	~	
1	2		-	r	ŝ	ž	5	1	ž	3	ş	÷	÷	R	N	-		2	÷.	÷	\$	21	21	Q 5	23	ų	2	ć	=	÷	3	=	2	
		 .	_	_				_		×	7	ĩ	9	ž					_	-	ñ	7			÷,	· .		-	-	Ē	ž	ŝ		
-	۵	7	÷	-	•			_			_	~	_	_	£.	n -		•									ĺ			_			_	
ļ		2		57	Ē,	ŝ	22	ŝ		Ĭ	1550	2.92	240	1270						Ş	ł	2				0	5	Ē	ŝ	8	00062	22		
5		2	ŝ	2	-1	5	~								09	F	-		-													•••		
2	~	2	c	÷	2	₽	2	Ę	1	÷.		513	Ě	146	e	•	28	5		ŝ	5		8.19	1	1	ē	2	8	5	ŝ	ŝ	ŝ	2	
1		a	2	£	-	÷	_					Ť	Ť	Ţ	5	ţ,			5								-		.,		Ĩ	Ī		
	~	¢	•	N	1	2	Ŧ	5	1	Ę	5	Z	ġ	ę	-	ó	2	i,	2	8	ç	e.	i i		: Ç	e	5	52	Ê	ï	5	3	ş	
			Ţ	24		-			_	_		•	-	Ξ		N				-	-	_		_	_	-	F			-	-		-	
i	а	3	Ē C	5	10 70	10				÷	•	•	ç	6	i i	i i	5 *	12	0		-					e.	-	۰.	••	3	c		c	
ł		•				0	~	-	~	Ē	ř.	126	101	5					•	2	36	÷	21		1	6	12	'n	¥.	1	-	162	<u> </u>	
s į	,	÷	2	1	7	2	÷	-		÷					5	ŝ	Ξ-	2.03	~	ŗ,	c						-	-						
- !		1	=	2	-	22	ŝ	Ē		ź	-	Ĩ	177	191	-	c :	4 3	ų.	×.	ç	2						5	ī	127	5	2	16.7	5	
	, : ;	5	2	ž	5	,	:							1	2	2	Ę,		2	•							l							
		5	÷	-	-	5	2			2	142	÷	1.1	2	-	r4 I	2		č	ž	1		1	1		11	Ē	ŝ	324	321	÷	ŝ	Ē	
;		8	E,	5	ŝ	2		-	• •						3				2	-	:•					-	•				_		1	
:		-	2	÷	-	×	2	2	1		52	ł	758	222	2		4.4	-	21	ŧ	.			ĺ.		240	é	2	97.7	i i	8.2	ŝ	2	
÷.	į	İş	2	×,	ž		5	-	• •	7.	2	÷	æ,	~	2	1		5	Ξ	×	-	, ;	e +	• •	• •	•	4		5	×	7	•-	-1	
Ę.	1	-	2	2	2			2	: :	1	Ş	Ģ	Ş	6	°	5 '	¢ -	• • •		Ë	Ë	2	55	;;		8	F	Ň	ç	3	5	ŝ	5	
•		3	ľ	;	-	ĉ			-						Ŧ	2	÷,	i n	••	•	•.	-					Ļ	¥					1	
	-	: :	4	~	î	£	-	-	5		111	ž	1	5	2		r y	=	7	C		2	2		Ē	66.1	2	5	533	ġ	HAN C	990	Ē	
		-		1	ŝ	£	Ş	1			-	-			1			1	*	_	÷.						Ŀ	-	 •				1	
	-	=	÷	÷	÷	- 4	-	-	2	Ş	2	191	266	222	c	•	-	- ~	-	2	1	2	3	1	9	8	12	ä	ŝ	ŝ	202	283	Ξ	
5		Ŧ	đ		5	1	Ş	ŝ	ž	7.	÷	.,	÷	+	e	2;	22	2	2	Ş	\$	23	٤.		• #	-	3	¢		~	7 .			
2		÷	=	c	2	5	-	- 24		-2	Ξ	H	1	2	e	5	¢ <		-	3	•	~ (• =	::	2	2	r	۴	2	1	1	2	-	
	-	1	Ŧ	ž	1	Ŧ	1	1			6	_	_		2	ŝ	21	5	Ŧ	e	-			•		*	ŀ	÷	ņ	æ.				
!		-	_			_		2	_			ž	ě	192	٢				-	-	-	h.,	7	5		ž	F	2	2		2	5	3	
	5	2	3	2	2	7	9	-	5	9	N	•	5	×	8	3		1	č	T.	2		•	1	c P	•	e	9	'n	+	-	*		
:		-	-	Ī		-				,-	-	4		ž				; c	-		÷	* :	21		18	2	ſ	Ŧ	-	ć	2	F	Ξ	
5.	2	F	2	7	5	5	i	1	1	1	3	÷.	÷	5	12	3	83		Ŧ	1	81	1	£ ;		ć	-	F		5	ł	3	2	₽ į	
- ;		2	5	7	I	1	2	-		-	24	-	Ĵ	2	2		0 0 5 9		2	22	с -			* -			È	2	~	-	-	2	21	
1	~	\$	÷		-	٠,	-		-		4	÷	ŝ	1	=	-			÷	•	÷	÷.,	53	į,		1	a	1	2	Ē	ŝ	2	Ξl	
	_	5	ġ	ł	ł	5	1	2		2	1	÷	-	-	č	I		1	-	÷	4	\$	5			E	I.,	ĉ		ŝ	m	-	=	
:	~	-	÷	?	-	-	7		-		-	3	17	18	2	5	2 4	: 2	=	с	•1		•		; a	-	-	•	۴	•	2	2	8	
5 5	*	١ ^٤	00 0	100	128.0	100	: ,	: 3	1	1	1.1	Ŧ	1 78	200	Ē	Ξ	2	13	Ξ		5	a i	2:	82	2	1	E	8	5	ŝ	E.	f.	2	
-		5	5	롎	1	17	100		9	ġ	Ĩ	-	- 	9 	H	5 . E .	1	1	5	11	-		، د بو	 	 		Ľ	97 0	=	0 5	0 6	÷	_	
	-	E	e	=	2	-		.~	-	-	2	2	2	ac	=	2			~	-	÷		2		1		F	C,	:	1	92	z	-	
	_	٤	3	2	20	ŧ	12	ţ	, 3	6	ŧ	-	14		ų.	a	-	5	-	52	:		= ;	;;	2		19	2	2	17	Ş	2	3)	
י 1 ב	-	0 0	-	c ç	0 7	0 8	5	-		5			4 7	8	0	9 9	• •	: C	ت. ج	-	ç 4	с. ह:				1.04	i-	: *	- -	5	Ţ	÷.	3	
зİ	×	9	Ŧ	5	:	•	0 0	: =		2	÷	=	÷	0	÷	2	2 2		-	•	0	2				-		:	c	5	-	Ş	-	
1	_	ŝ	2	ž	3	1	20	3	1	3	÷	*	Ŷ	-	1	ŝ	23	ž	3	ş		÷.	1	1		1	F	\$	R	2	e	*	+ [
4		5	-	-	c	•	-	-		•	-	2	-		2	-			-	-	2	-	0 3		-	_	ŗ	-	•	-	2	<u>-</u>	<u></u>	
	2	50	ē.	5 C	10 10	2	-	1	1		2	5	3.40	er 7	Ĩ	ĕ	5	27	-	Ē	-	5		1	-	12	12	5	1	1.1	5	5	-	:
-		00	ŝ	ŝ	5	5	10			2	-	ŝ	ţ	3	Ē	ŝ		2	3	Ì	Ξ	3	33		35	3	Ē	5	ŝ	ž	Ē	3	3	
-	~	2 2	с 8	÷.	-					-	-	с 8	:	0.	2	=	-		-	2	-	-			: :		¢	=	÷	0	0	-	2	
	*	0	E O	۲ 0	10	10	2				i i	è	2		5	- -		1		10	=		33	1			E	1 62	a N	ž	5	÷	3	
				~	-							~			t	~			-	-	_			_			t	-	-	_		-	Η	
		i ""			-	-					15	1	2	1	Ľ.	4.	- 1	. 5	: 2	2	5	2		1			L,	2	ŝ	7	2	2	z	

ł

. 1

i

DISPLACEMENT OF PRONE PERSONNEL SIDE-ON TO THE WIND

r-1	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-		~ ^				-	-	-			-	-				-	~	-	-		-	-		-	_	1	1
	٩			1	ī	2	5	2 :		2	8	2	2	2	9	2	\$ 8	* *	2	9	z	9	1	2	2	2 4		-	2	e	•	2	0	5	9	2	
									8	•	ž	ž	ä	ŝ	ş	5	Ľ	Ť	×	ä	ž	¥	ä	2	2			i.	5	ŝ	ž	ž	5	ŝ	Ş	13	
5	•	2	2	5	•	2					-	0		_	•	m	F	0	с 9	1.0	•	~	-	æ						_	~	-		•			l
91		Ι.			-				21	N	5	ŝ	ĉ	2	2	\$	L	~	•	-	Ξ	5	2	2	Ç:	25	5	2	3	5	Ş	\$	۶	1	E	2	
	*			n	2	1 17		2	2	2	ę	F.	2	2	9	3	F	10	23	5.00	9.9	Ę.		2	2.	= 1	2,2		2	a.	z	s.	5	ž	ŝ	9	
	-	-		_			_	_	-	-	č.	r.	- 2	-	2	-	4	_		_	_	-	-	-	ò	_	1.4		1	Ĕ		-	8	õ	2	5	1
	=			2		# 11			÷	×			ę	•	. r .	0		T R	₹ ₹		3	2			ç		: <) ÷	0	-	τ	ų	ų	÷	÷		ļ
		ļ			~				ñ	ā	÷	ł	19	27.0	â	000	Ē	"	÷	Ξ	2	ñ	Ŧ	ŝ	1	2	12	ŝ	2.7	Ē	Ē	2		5	ž	5	
5		1		'n.	24	3	. ,	4	r.								Ē	5		æ	r	a							1	ļ							
Ξ			-	2	~	1		7	2		ž	222		÷.	-	100	ſ	œ	3	Â	2	Ē	2	17	53.			1	1	8	212	306	200	135	423	\$3	
	-			1	22.1	2		•	2	_		_	_	_	-	_	7	•	•	-	*	¢	_	_									_		~	_	ł
				•	3	26	1	- 1	Ē.	2	1	1.8.5	g	HP	1050	1200		2	2	9	č	2	3	5	2				20	É	1	2	50	-	140	33	
				1	÷.*	·r									_		Ē	2	-	-	r										-	~	-			_	
		-		Ŧ	2				3	173	240	172		12		110	ľ	ï	5	\$	22	124	21.2	ĝ	į		2	1	, in the second se	1	107	ā.	URA.	1606	122	110	ł
E			:	ç	ž	2	2-	-	÷	-	-	٠					ļ.	Ę	2	_	I	D.	z .	-							¥.						
-	ŗ.			-	e,	1	1	ŝ	I	Ř	ĩ	ã	÷.	002	10.1	12	ŀ	+	3	ŝ	22	ź.	ņ	r.	2	33			140	ŝ	3	3	176	1	ł.	6	
	· .	1		ŝ	2	۰,	• •	•									1	10	\$	¥	~	æ															ļ
_					٠ _	2		1	2	ï	101	781		4412	1	1.7.8	-	•	2	ñ	Ş	8	5	2	0		1	1	130	171	170	2,22	191	2.00	i	1.0	
	5			í	-	1			2	2	-			_	. ~		e H	12	25.4	ž	-	-	2					_				_				_]
								•	Ŧ	ž	å	ŝ	1	1.1	ě			Ĩ	Ű	ž	ä	Ŧ	ī	2	2	2		Ş	36	F	3	2	55	ŝ	Ě	ž	
ų	86		Ľ	2	2				۴.	Ŧ	x	8	٣	5	-	÷	ŧ	đ	2	Ē	6	-	21	-	•	~ <	-	0		-	٠	•	÷	æ	9	0	
501				-		۰ •			2	2	5	5	'n	15	F	6	10	- 	^	'n	۲		2		2			1	3	-	ň	3	5	12		5	
	*		• •		-	,		200	сі 2	1	2	2	Ş		×	1		÷ n	0	5	9 12	2	2	š	•	2 3	: :	. t-	5		2	9	2	÷	-	÷	}
-	-	ł :		7	20			-		-	-	Ē	=	-	-		0	0	ę	-	Ξ	-	-	_	-			H	ň	-	È	-	~	-	2	-	l
	2			2	-	-	,		-	7	1	1	7	2.6	2	52	c	-	•••	5	•	91	20	\$	2		12	E	5	Ę	5	5	5	8	2	5	
6		1 3		5	5	1			5	1	18	•						20	ę	ţ	5	ŝ	æ	7					Ĵ			2	ĉ	Ĩ	۳ ۵	÷.	ł
21		-		2	•	-	• •	1	•	•	-	2	1	č	5	12	Ľ	4	~	-	6 4	~	ŝ	•	1	91	: =	5		-	2	5	S	2	5	S.	
	>			\$	2	1		¢	ф. Ф	2	-	•			. ~		f	11	2	î.	~	-	-	÷		5.				-	~	•	_	_			
	-		_	_	_			-	-	ñ	÷	ē	2	-	ŝ		Ĺ	_	_	-	-	2	8	•				-	15	Ē	3	-	2	2	į	5	
	2		5 8		ç	1 10			-	1	1	*	-	,	c	-	5	Ċ	2	Ē	۲. ۲	Ę	50	÷	ور م		1				3.4	1	5			e	
-		,			•	4			r		-			-		1.0	-	÷	~		c	-	~	-	*					_		į	-	1	12	8	}
-	ŝ			-	0	÷		2	~	-	0	6	-	7-		1	=	с С	0	•	•	_	*	-	-		, c	-		2	-	5 4	1	é r	ŝ	-	
	2			5	1 67	0.0			-	a.	*		2	•			ŝ	58.1	C¥ 2	1	ŧ	*		-	~			-	-	*	7	•	æ	_	_	_	{
		+	_	_	_	_		_	-	-	-	e -	v?:	σ		ļ		_	_		-	-	-	~	ē.	_			2	~	7	÷	ž	Ξ	1		
	=			ċ	- 0	1 1		5	÷	÷	ĥ	1.00	*	0		2	0	10	0 31	0.53	1	1 62	5	ž o	1	-			5	: .	193	•	+ +	•	-	5	
٠		1	; ;	2	ŝ	84	5		z	ş	ŝ	-	5	2		5	10	6	8	:	3	2	3	2	=			1	1		2	38	5	20	2	21	
чо 1	•	0		2	9 5	0 F.	-		9	≎ ₹	c ø	9	-	-		÷	0	000	000	с г	5	•	•	•	0.	• •	• ••	-	-	0	c	-	~	•1		-	
	~		,	2 2	-	1				10 1-	r.	1.01	21 3	4 44		1 11	F	-	÷	 	÷.	÷ o	•	\$ 21	-		1	n Z		0	* *:	5 3		38 0	с Г	8	
-	-	ta	; ;	B	8	50		ĩ	5	12	10	1	0		-	•	6	8		*	98	ţ	1	-		2 8	ě	5	i.	66	5	101	in the second se		-	-	
	1		•	•	с -	0		5	•	•			•	1	1	-	0	0	0	ن د	•	•	2	-	•				-		•	•	•	2	193	2	
5	-	18	í	ŝ	6	0.0			5	5	0 13	0.23		2.0	5	0.43	0	0 0	0 02	0 03	000	0	11 0	51 0	а. с	4 F - <	-	0.0	1	9 14	0E 0	0.33	0 \$3	0 42	96.0	0	
-		1		R	î			2.	2	7	11	ż	Ĩ	•	-	-	25	Ş	2	5	:	12		2	2				-	2	9	•	•		\$	-	
	ļ.,			-	-	0		-	7	-	*	*	-	22 5	13	1	Ľ	0	0	-	-	•	-	•	*		-	110	đ	Ļ	-	Ĩ	1	1	11	튁	
	2			5	0 0	0 0	-	5	ç	- 0	ň	ž O	1	ñ) •	ļ	0 0	0 0	3 0	10 0	-	ð 0	∔ ¢	-			0.0	2.4	E D	19 3	1.2	2 16	4	12	;	
⊾	,	8	1	3	8	5	Ę	5	3	3	6	50	8	2	2	1	ŝ	nn .	10	5	ē	2	9	\$	2		Ş	10	9	2	50	8	2	2	2	2	
-		1		5	0 62	0.14	1		2	с 5	340	0	30.0	•		1.0	15 0	24 0	10	0.03	0 83	0.42	0 8	3	8:			17 0	5 2	9	0 1	50	020	0 N	-]	
	>	-	•		0	a	-			-	-	-	•	2	ŝ		0	a	è	ē	0	-		H	•			-	-	-	-	•	•	2		2	
	2	-	.,		'n					-		3			-	7	-					•							1			•	2		-		ł
		L				-	-			-			-	-	-	7	Ľ		-		-	-	-	_	_		-		Ľ	-	-	-	-	-	-	ב	

V Max Velocits filmen 5 Displacement at Max velocity fi D Total Displacement fi

1

÷,

7

ţ

DISPLACEMENT OF STANDING PERSONNEL FRONT- OR BACK-ON TO THE WIND

1	~		•	-				_	-					٦	-	_			-					-		_		T			-		-			1
			2			2	i	1200	1500	0.00	0250	5100	7060	7690	F	1.52	Ĩ	3	ŝ	Ē	5961	1 840	1150	3110	0000			9					5	7740	7900	l l
5		8,	ę.	. •	e											\$	æ,																			
ŝ	-	• :				÷	ŝ	33	i	3	609	768	1	10.0	ĉ	ŧ		-	661	1	Ĭ,	2	470	5	Ş	ŝ	2	ŝ			27		ŝ		-04	
	-	-	.			•			~				~	0	h	0	*	ю. Э			<u>م</u>	~		_			_		_	_			<u>^</u>			
						5	ž	20	20	Ŧ	ž	ŝ	Ē	105	F	ř.	ž	5	ŝ	1	ž	Ā	7	ŝ	Ş	Ê		2			2	ř	561	173	I	
1	-	э. с — Э. с					×	<u>,</u>	ž	Ş	÷.	2	9	0	c	15 8	s	¥	2	s	ę	<u> </u>	2	2	2	<u>.</u>	7	E	-	C	ę	2	ŝ	9	g	
	i	-			-	4	-	ē	F	2	12	Ē		ş		-	-	7	Ñ	•	ñ	2	2	ä	ŝ	2		3.9			å	ŝ	2	÷.	3	
9	~	е. С	1	6 : 5 :	2 : 2 :	2	s	:	2	2	3	÷	2	2	¢	2	e ::	5	2	ž		ŝ	c	=		=	2		<u>s</u> :	2	2	t	1	1	2	
		ε.				_	-	2	7	ā	Ħ	Ŧ	*	Ŧ		_			_	-	Ξ	•	'n	×	Ä	m	ñ				ň	Ŧ	÷	÷	•	
	>						5	99	216	i	900	862	092	20	-	8	÷	5	88	1	2	QN 2	2	2	E	8		3			ŝ	16	2	ŝ	2	
-+		~		_	-		-	-			_		-	-		-	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	-		-			-	-	-	-	Ž	Ē	-	ļ
	-	'n			S,	5	2	ŝ	ŝ	101	07	094	020	2	-	2	5	8	135	3	2	ŝ	902	ĩ	2	2		0	2		080	110	770	020	8	
		2	2 2				_		_		-	7	-	-	5	ž	_				~			-	-	-	-	٦	-	•	=	Ä	-		ä	
5	N)	-	0 (2	3	2	ŝ	061		236	922	124	-	a	-	5	E	2	2	2	ĝ	3	š.	5	ł	10		22	2	ŝ		662		
		39		5 8		ņ	÷				~	. 1			2	_	~	÷	-																	ļ
		•		9	1	Ê	÷	122	151	955	34.0	009	941	1130	٢	5	Ē	\$	ŝ	6	2	5	201	66		3		2	E			Ş	1 03	1100	1360	
-+		1	1	- 1	-	•	-				• •				F	-	•	•	61	-			-				-	1				-		-		
		74.	E -	2	3	÷	ĩ	Ξ	LH2	131	000	993	1100	1390	ľ	2	5	33	ĉ	z	160	345	362	ļ	1	5		6	2	2	0		1070	1260	DUCT	ł
E.	×	23	1	5		-	J .	•		•	•		ę		8	5	5	÷	ŝ	÷	÷	÷	e	•		n	-	0	-	2	n		-	•	-	1
-			м I Ф :		•	1	5	30	2		2	102	3	ğ	Ļ	î	*	2	2	2	ŝ	2	5	£	5	¢.	Ê	2		2	2	2	50	2	2]
	>	ю. ге	1. 1.	-	5	-	÷	2	95	*	ş	ŝ	2	2	F	Ē	2.0	1		99°.U	91 8	2	ç	2	5	8	•	2			5	3	53	;	4	
-	-	÷.		5			4	Ŧ	-	-		-	-	1	C.	8	÷		-	•	÷	-	-	~	-				-	-	-	<u>-</u>		-	-	
	2	¢	N	•	-	5	Z	ē	£	ċ	ž	359	9	040	┢	n	r-	2	30	35	5	5	16.	332	387	ž	į.	-	1	5	592	6 0	ş	124	2	ł
E		8	ç,	2	;	5	5	n	~	t					Ŀ	2	ä	ç	E	:	2	•	•	•	-			-		•		•	•	-		
2		•	-			n	•	2	2	1	2	2	2	ň	Ċ	-	~	•	1	~	•	Ξ	2	5	5	2	2	23	F	2		7	2	33	ä	1
	3	-		8	2	1	5	5	1	X	z	-	-	-	Ē		-	÷.	19	2	2		5	5	2	<u>.</u>	8	20	1	2	2	8	5	-	8	
-	-	i.	c.		5	=	_	- -		-	-	n		*		2	2	2		-	_		<u>-</u>	-	-	=			Ę	_	-	ä	ň	-	0	ł
	-	c	0			ė	2	2	3.0	3	610	24.8	2	Ş	ė	-	-	÷	ø	2	1	2	-	ġ	1	5	2	141	g	8	3	165	930	ţ,	2	1
Ľ		=	3	ç:	£	3	ŝ	6	2	2	ŧ	•		(1	Þ	8	8	8	S,	Ξ	3	2	÷	5	\$	2	8	53	È.	:	\$	-	•	1	2]
-		\$ 2	۵ د	= ·	0 2				5	•		-	2	3	ľ	-	0 8	-	-1	-	-	*	f	r-	-		•	1		'n	•	3				
	~		54 - 54 -		c	:	2			3	2	2	12	10	-		•		5	50.5	50	Ş	0.85	11	8	5	1	8		2	្ទ	-	-	1	8	
\neg		10	2	5		ŝ	a.	-	8	•	-	-				1	5.2	0E	10	Ş	5	9	-	"	•			17		-	-		-	~	~	1
	^	e	C '	0	0	-	۴.	.1	•	2	2	ä		92	þ	0	Ð		"	-	•	11	2	ŝ	8	Ş	2	5	۴	2	B	8	113	174	2	
-		ŝ.	5	2	22	Ę	2	1 40	3	3	2		1	3	ĉ	2	51.1	5	5.13	5	r.	2	5	2		5	2	r,	5	ę	2	ĩ	1	i.	2	
ž		0	42	5	5	5	5	•		-					1°	3	0			-	-		-	-	ļ	÷	-	•	Ľ	~		-				
	-	-	•••	-	÷	£	•	1	1	ŝ	Ş		5		ŀ		2	-	ł	2	2	5	2	3	Ŧ	2	5	÷	Þ	2	ŧ		Ţ	122	151	1
	_	6	5	2	1	5	8		10	£	-				þ	=	122		5	5	5	19	8	E.	•	0	•		6	8	0	5			-] .
	ł	10	2		j	3	2	1		2	13				1	9	2	2	9	-	2	1	9 2	2	11	57 1	5	11 94	L	2	2	1 12	1	2	1.2	
5	"	0	2		0	2	0.	0 1	0	5	-				ŀ	-	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	þ	0	-	0	9	-	0	
	*	96.0	- -	1	ŧ	5	1			2	2		, e 13	2	ĺ.	00	-	0			1.0	1		5 8	4 65	8 62	1	• 11	ĥ	2	000	0 8	2	3	Ē	
		5	5	ĝ	5	-	e.	1	Z		1	2			10	0	6	=	-	Å	82	100	N	0.4			5	3		Ę	1.0	10				
	-	0	о 	5	-	ਹ ਜ	د •		0	-		•	1	2	1		9	-	0	-	0	-	-	•	т +	n 	*	۵ ب	2	-	•	-	2	1	1	
4	-	ě o	¢	-	÷	5	0	Ċ	0	6					i č		0	0	0	5	10.11	0	0	5	0	-1 0	-	0	F	ē o	0	1 0		1		l is
		1	Ŷ	F		1.49	23 E	-	6	1					f			8	69		1.6.1	2	0	X	9.0		0	0.0	1	6 .27	2.9		-		-	
			~	_	-							• 2	- ń		ť										-	-	-	-	÷.	1		-	1	16	-	1 18

BIOLOGICAL CRITERIA

Probability of Serious Injury, Percent	Impact Velocity, ft/sec, for Normal Incidence Against a Nonyielding, Flat Surface	Maximum Velocity, ft/sec, for Decelerative Tumbling Over Open Terrain
1	6.5 (4.5-8.2)	28.8 (12.7-37.8)
2.5	7.5 (5.4-9.2)	32.9 (16.7-41.4)
ى ا	8.4 (6.3~10.1)	36.8 (21.1-44.8)
50	15.4 (13.5-17.3)	66.4 (58.2-82.9)
95	28.4 (24.8-34.7)	120 (91.8-268)
	y = -2.384+6.211 log x	y = -6.705+6.423 log x
<pre>v is the probabil x is the velocity 95% confidence li in parentheses.</pre>	lity of injury in probit uni' '. mits for the velocities are	cs. given

Ę

1

. !

:

DISTRIBUTION LIST

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Director Armed Forces Institute of Pathology ATTN: Doc. Con.

Director Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute 10 cy ATTN: COR

Assistant to the Secretary of Defense Atomic Energy A (TN: Doc. (19)

Defense Documentation Center 12 cy ATTN: FC

Director Defense Civil Preparedness Agency ATTN: RE, Asst. Dir., Research, Walmer E. Strope

Director Detense Nuclear Agency ATTN: DDS4 ATTN: STS1, Archives ATTN: RATN ATTN: RAAE ATTN: RTNL 2 cy ATTN: STVL 2 cy ATTN: STVL, tech Lib.

Commander Field Command Detense Nuclear Agency AFTN: FCPR ATTN: FCT

Chief Livermore Division, Field Command, DNA ATTN: FCPRL

Interservice Nuclear Weapons School ATTN: Doc. Con.

Weapons Systems Evaluation Group ATTN: Doc. Con.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

Manager Ballistic Missile Defense Program Office ATTN: Plans Division

Assistant Superintendent Doctrine & Health Care Studies ATTN: Doc. Con.

Chief of Research, Development & Acquisition Department of the Army ATTN: NCB Division, LTC C. R. Sykes

Commander Harry Diamond Laboratories ATTN: AMXDO-NP

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY (Continued)

Director U.S. Army ballistic Research Laboratories AUTN: J. J. Meszaros

Commander U.S. Army Naclear Prency ATTN: ATCA-NAW

Commander Medical Research & Development Con, carel ATTN: NBC Sciences Division

The Surgeon General Department of the Army ATTN: Doc. Con

Commandant U.S. Army Medical Field Service School ATTN: Doc. Con.

Commandant U.S. Army Ordnand - Center & School ATTN, CBR

Director Walter Reed Army Institute of Research ATTN: Doc. Lib.

DEPARTMENT OF THE SAVY

Chief of Naval Research ATTN, Aubrey W. Pryce ATTN: Tom Quinn

Chief Bureau of Medicine & Surgery ATTN: Doc. Con.

Chief of Naval Material ATTN: MAT 0323, Irving Jaffe

Chief of Naval Operations ATTN: OP-985F

Commanding Officer Naval Aerospace Medical Institute ATTN: Doc. Con.

Commander Naval Surface Weapons Center ATTN: Code 219, Wayne W. Scanlon A4TN: Code 213, William L. Derkson ATTN: Code 1224, O. M. Meredith ATTN: Code 1224, Navy Nuc. Prgms, Off.

. '

Commanding Officer Naval Weapons Evaluation Facility ATTN: Doc. Con.

Commander Naval Air Development Center ATTN: MRPV, Gloria T. Chisum

DEPARIMENT OF THE NAVY (Continued)

Commander Naval Air Systems Command ATTN: AIR-356, Opinance Administrator ATTN: AIR-5381, Sat. Comm Astro-Def. Br.

Commanding Officer Naval Meticas to search Institute ATTN: Doc. Con

Daraction Naval Research Laboratory ATIN: Cold 2027, Tech Lab

Conversioner Naval Ship Research & Development Center AFTN: code L42.3, Library

Commanding Officer Naval Submarine Medical Center ATTN: Doc. Con.

Commander Naval Surface Weapons Venter ATTN: CHETHEC

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

The Surgeon General Department of the Air Force ATTN: Doc. Con.

USAF Mehead Service School ATTN: Doc. Con.

USAF Radiological Health Lab., AFLU ATTN: Doc. Con

USAF School of Aerospace Medicine, AFSC ATTN: RA, Chief, Radiobiology Division ATTN: RAT AFIN: RAW, Donald R. Anderson ATTIN: RAW, Donald J. Barnes. ATTN: EDAD

6570th Act is pace Medical Research Labs., AFSC ATTN: Doc. Con

Commander Aerospace Medical Division, AFSC

ATTN: Col William R. Godden, AMRE

Commander AF Special Weapons Center, AFSC ATTN: SWISX, Surv. /Vul. Br.

Headquarters Air Force Systems Command ATTN: SGI

HQUSAF/RD ATTN: BDQPN

Director of Naclear Safety The Inspector General ATTN: Doc. Con.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE (Continued)

AF Weapons Laboratory, AFSC ATTN: SUL

ENERGY RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

.....

Eniversity of California Lawrence Livermore Laboratory ATTN: Tech. Lib.

Les Alamos Scientific Laboratory ATTN: Dox, Con-

Sandia Laboratories Livermore Laboratory ATTN: Doe, Con. for Freds. Lab.

Sandia Laboratories ATIN: Doc. Cen. for Jun Plimpton ATTN: Doc. Con. for Frank Biggs ATTN: Doc. Con. for Clarence R. Mehl, Org. 5239 VEEN: Doc. Cos. for Jack V. Widker, 5220

Emog. Carbide Corporation Holdfield National Laboratory ATTN: Doc. Con. for J. Auxier

Union Carbide Corporation Nuclear Division ATTN: Doe, Con. for Atomic MOL Proc. Info. Circ., C. F. Barnet

Division of MERtury Application U.S. Energy R Search & Development Administration ATTN: Doc. Con. for R & D Br.

U.S. Energy Research & Development Administration Divison of Headquarters Service-ATIN: Doc. Con. for Eio. Med. Div., R. Schrobel ATTN: Doc. Con. for Rpts. Section

U.S. Energy Research & Development Administration ATTN: Supervisor, Ret. 10 for Research Library

Manager U.S. Energy Research & Development Administration AllIN: Doc. Con.

OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

NASA Scientific & Technical Information Facility ATTN: Acquisitions & Input Br.

National Library of Medicine Accessions Br. ATTN: Doc. Con.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS

- Lovelace Foundation for Medical Education ATTN: Assoc. Sei., Edward G. Damon
 - ATTN: Assoc., A. Bruner.
 - ATTN: Head Dept., Comp. Envir. Biol.,
 - Donald Richmond
 - ATTN: Assoc. Sci., E. Rovee I letcher ATTN: John T. Yelverton ATTN: Roy A. Hutton

100 CV ATTN: Asst. Dir. of Les., Robert K. Jones

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS (Continued)

Battelle Memorial Institute ATTN: K. H. Larson

General Electric Company TEMPO-Center for Advanced Studies ATTN: DASIAC DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS (Continued)

ł

: [

University of Cincinnati, College of Medicine ATTN: Eugene L. Sacnger