

		READ INSTRUCTIONS
	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
		Master's thesis
	4. TITLE (and Subjection)	MSME Thesis
1	Nucleation and Growth of Anodic	December 1975
Í	Hull Zinc in Salt Water Solutions.	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
	7. AUTHOR(+)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
15	James M./Todd (12) 174 2.	
- An	S. PERFORKING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDATES	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT. PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
	Naval Postgraduate School	
	11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
	Naval Postgraduate School	December 1975
	Monterey, California 93940	175
	14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dilloront from Controlling Office)	18. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	Naval Postgraduate School Monterov California 93940	Unclassified
		SCHEDULE
	Approved for public release; distributi	on unlimited.
	Approved for public release; distributi	on unlimited.
	Approved for public release; distribution in the exercit on of the exercit on of the exercit on the second in wheek 20, if different in the second in wheek 20, if different in the second seco	on unlimited.
	Approved for public release; distribution	on unlimited.
	Approved for public release; distribution 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed onlored in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if nacesoary and identify by block numb	on unlimited.
	Approved for public release; distribution 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Centinue on reverse aids if nacessary and identify by block number 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse aids if nacessary and identify by block number	on unlimited.
	Approved for public release; distributions 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed onlored in Wlock 20, 11 different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number 20. ABSI/ACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number The structure of corrosion products with and without a steel couple and exp	en unlimited.
	Approved for public release; distributions 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed in Mieck 20, If different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number 20. ABST ACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number The structure of corrosion products with and without a steel couple and exp water electrolytes was studied as a fur growth mechanism is hypothesized involve	on unlimited.
	Approved for public release; distributions 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTANY NOTES 18. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb 20. ABSYMACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb The structure of corrosion products with and without a steel couple and exp water electrolytes was studied as a fur growth mechanism is hypothesized involv platelets in a near-surface electrolyte anodic areas. Inclusion areas on the z	on unlimited.
	Approved for public release; distributions 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed on block 20, if different is 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number The structure of corrosion products with and without a steel couple and exp water electrolytes was studied as a fur growth mechanism is hypothesized involv platelets in a near-surface electrolyted anodic areas. Inclusion areas on the z rich corrosion product initiation point	on unlimited.
	Approved for public release; distributions 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the exercise entered in Black 20, If different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse alds if necessary and identify by black number The structure of corrosion products with and without a steel couple and exp water electrolytes was studied as a fur growth mechanism is hypothesized involv platelets in a near-surface electrolyte anodic areas. Inclusion areas on the z rich corrosion product initiation point DD 10000 1000 1000 1000 4011 SECURITY C	on unlimited.

ţ

SELUMITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Dele Entered)

20. Abstract (Continued) film tends to form over these areas. Hexagonal ZnO platelets are the primary corrosion product with secondary products categorized and presented. X-ray diffraction powder patterns suggest a ZnCO₃ product and a ZnCl₂ complex product in the base film.



ı

DD Form 1473 (BACK) 1 Jan 73 S/N 0102-014-6601

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

Nucleation and Growth of Anodic Electrocrystallized Products on Ship Hull Zinc in Salt Water Solutions

by

James M. Todd Lieutenant, United States Navy B.S., United States Merchant Marine Academy, 1968

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degrees of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN MECHANICAL ENGINEERING and MECHANICAL ENGINEER

from the

NÁVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL December 1975

Author

Approved by:

Thesis Advisor Second Reader

Nem. Z

Chairman, Department of Mechanical Engineering

cademic Dean

ABSTRACT

) V

1, 1 1

•

1

The structure of corrosion products formed on a zinc alloy with and without a steel couple and exposed in various salt water electrolytes was studied as a function of time. A film growth mechanism is hypothesized involving nucleation of ZnO platelets in a near-surface electrolyte layer adjacent to anodic areas. Inclusion areas on the zinc surface are lead-rich corrosion product initiation. points, and a passivating film tends to form over these areas. Hexagonal ZnO platelets are the primary corrosion product with secondary products categorized and presented. X-ray diffraction powder patterns suggest a ZnCO₃ product and a ZnCl₂ complex product in the base film.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

°.

•

I.	INTE	RODUCTION	19
	A.	CATHODIC PROTECTION	19
	B.	CORROSION VARIABLES	29
IJ	EXPI	ERIMENTAL PROCEDURES	34
III.	EXPI	ERIMENTAL RESULTS	44
	A.	DISTILLED WATER TESTS	44
	Β.	SEA WATER TESTS	48
	c.	PRE-ETCHED SAMPLES	57
	D.	X-RAY DIFFRACTION IDENTIFICATION OF CORROSION PRODUCT COMPOUNDS	79
IV.	DISC	CUSSION	81
	A.	ELECTROCHEMISTRY OF DISSOLUTION	81
	Β.	CORROSION MODEL	84
	c.	SECONDARY CORROSION PRODUCTS	95
	D.	CRYSTAL GROWTH	128
	E.	PASSIVATION	134
v.	CON	CLUSIONS	137
VI.	SUM	MARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER WORK	139
APPEN	DIX A	A	162
APPEN	DIX	B	16,
A2PEN	DIX	C	170
LIST	OF R	EFERENCES	172
INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST 174			174

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	I	CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF ZINC SAMPLES	141
TABLE	II	CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF SYNTHETIC OCEAN WATER ASTM D1141	142
TABLE	III	X-RAY POWDER PATTERNS OF SELECTED SAMPLES	143
TABLE	IV	HANAWALT X-RAY POWDER PATTERN S'L'INDARD DATA	155
TABLE	v	ZINC SAMPLE COMPILATION SHEET	158

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	1	2000 ml beaker used in corrosion tests	35
Figure	2	Scanning electron microphotograph of initial polished zinc surface, 3000X	37
Figure	3	Plexiglass stand assembly with steel plate and zinc anode sample	38
Figure	4	Cambridge Model S4-10 scanning electron microscope (SEM) and Princeton Gamma- Tech PGT-1000 X-ray Analyzer	39
Figure	5	X-ray spectrum of clean Zn surface	41
Figure	6	X-ray spectrum of clean zinc surface showing elemental Zn lines in the spectrum	43
Figure	7	Zinc anode surface corroded in distilled water electrolyte, steal couple, 2.5 hours exposure, 130X	45
Figure	8	Oxide cluster formation on steel coupled zinc anode in distilled water electrolyte, 2.5 hours exposure, 2600X	46
Figure	9	X-ray spectrum of "dark" area showing zinc, lead, and chlorine peaks for zinc anode, distilled water electrolyte, steel couple, 2.5 hours exposure	47
Figure	10	Base ZnO plateLet formation on un- coupled zinc anode in natural sea water electrolyte, 24 hours exposure, 2600X	49
Figure	11	Anode surface appearance on steel coupled sample in natural sea water electrolyte, 10 minutes exposure, 2500%	50

Figure	22	Anode surface appearance on steel coupled sample in natural sea water electrolyte, 12 minutes expo. 2500X	51
Figure	13	Anode surface appearance on steel coupled sample in natural sea water electrolyte, 15 minutes exposure, 2500X	52
Figure	14	Anode surface appearance on steel coupled sample in natural sea water electrolyte, 20 minutes exposure, 2500X	53
Figure	15	Anode surface appearance on steel coupled sample in natural sea water electrolyte, 25 minutes exposure, 2500X	54
Figure	16	Anode surface appearance on steel coupled sample in natural sea water electrolyte, 30 minutes exposure, 2500X	55
Figure	17	Maximum ZnO platelet diameter versus time exposure	56
Figure	18	Anode surface appearance on steel coupled sample in natural sea water at 50°C, 3 minutes exposure, 2500X	58
Figure	19	Anode surface appearance on steel coupled sample in natural sea water at 50°C, 5 minutes exposure, 2500X	59
Figure	20	Anode surface appearance on steel coupled sample in natural sea water at 50°C, 10 minutes exposure, 2500X	60
Figure	21	Anode surface appearance on steel coupled sample in natural sea water at 50°C, 15 minutes exposure, 1280X	61
Figure	22	Anode surface appearance on steel coupled sample in natural sea water at 50°C, 22 minutes exposure, 1280X	62

おお ちちち ちち いちち しち

Figure	23	Passivating film growth on steel coupled sample in natural sea water electrolyte, 1 week exposure, 250X	63
Figure	24	Oxide cluster formation over "dark" areas on steel coupled sample in synthetic sea water electrolyte, 4 hours exposure, 680X	64
Figure	25	Pre-etched zinc sample showing grain structure and surface inclusion distribution, 1260X	66
Figure	26	Pre-etched zinc sample showing surface inclusions and phase transformations within grains, 2700X	67
Figure	27	X-ray spectrum of inclusion area on pre-etched zinc sample showing Zn and Pb peaks	68
Figure	28	Pre-etched zinc sample with an atmospheric oxidized inclusion area, 2600X	69
Figure	29	Pre-etched zinc sample, steel couple, 30 minutes exposure, with inclusion area dissolution, 670X	70
Figure	30	Pre-etched zinc sample, steel couple, 30 minutes exposure, showing ZnO platelet growth preferentially at an inclusion site, 3000X	71
Figure	31	Pre-etched zinc sample, steel couple, 30 minutes exposure, showing grain dissolution and ZnO platelet formation, 3000X	72
Figure	32	Pre-etched zinc sample, steel couple, 30 minutes exposure, showing ZnO platelet random orientation with respect to grain structure, 3000%	73

۳.,

Figure 33	Pre-etched zinc sample, steel coupled, 30 minutes exposure, illustrating the electrochemical dissolution mechanism of the base matrix zinc, 6600X	75
Figure 34	X-ray spectrum of base zinc matrix of steel coupled, 30 minutes exposure sample showing only Zn peaks	76
Figure 35	Pre-etched zinc sample, steel coupled, 30 minutes exposure, illustrating ZnO platelet traversing a grain boundary, 6600X	77
Figure 36	X-ray spectrum of ZnO platelet formed on the steel coupled, 30 minutes exposure sample which traverses a grain boundary	78
Figure 37	Norelco X-ray Diffractometer	80
Figure 38	ZnO platelet "buried" in base film on steel coupled sample, natural sea water, 25 minutes exposure, 6600X	86
Figure 39	X-ray spectrum for 25 minutes exposure sample, natural sea wate base film	87
Figure 40	ZnO platelet initial nucleation site in a surface scratch on Zn sample, lab sea water, 5 minutes exposure, 2600X	89
Figure 41	ZnO platelet initial nucleation site at inclusion areas on Zn sample, lab sea water, 10 minutes exposure, 2600X	90
Figure 42	Base film growth on Zn sample, natural sea water, 51 hours exposure, 284X	92
Figure 43	Base film growth on Zn sample, natural sea water, 3 hours exposure, 2600X	93
Figure 44	Cluster oxide growth over inclusion area, lab sea water, 5.5 hours exposure, 1260X	94

•

Maria V

i

Figure 45 Zinc anode corrosion model ------96 Spongy ball-like secondary corrosion Figure 46 product growing together over an oxide cluster on steel coupled zinc, 25 hours exposure, natural sea water, 1300X ------97 Figure 47 X-ray spectrum of spongy tall-like corrosion product on steel coupled 98 zinc, 25 hours exposure -----Figure 48 Spongy product filling gaps between ZnO platelets on steel coupled zinc, lab sea water, 65 hours exposure, 99 2600X ----Figure 49 Pre-etched zinc showing an atmospheric oxidized inclusion area, 6600X ----- 101 Figure 50 X-ray spectrum of atmospheric oxidized inclusion area showing Zn, Pb and Fe peaks --------- 102 Figure 51 Cluster growth over base film on steel coupled zinc, natural sea water at 50°C, 10 minutes exposure, 2570X ------ 103 Figure 52 X-ray spectrum of cluster growth and possible underlying volume on steel coupled zinc, natural sea water at 50°C, 10 minutes exposure, showing Zr, Pb, and Cl peaks ----- 104 Figure 53 Silicon-rich corrosion product on preetched zinc, steel coupled, 30 minutes exposure, natural sea water, 6300X ----- 105 Figure 54 X-ray spectrum of silicon-rich corrosion product on pre-etched zinc, 30 minutes exposure, natural sea water, Zn, Si, Al, and Cd peaks showing ----- 107

Figure 55	Silicon-rich corrosion product around	
	inclusion area on steel coupled zinc	
	in natural sea water at 50°C, 3	
	minutes exposure, 6400X	108

- Figure 56 X-ray spectrum of silicon-rich corrosion product on steel coupled zinc, 3 minutes exposure, natural sea water at 50°C, showing Zn, Si, Al, Fe, Pb, Cd, and Cl peaks ------ 109
- Figure 57 Iron-rich corrosion product on preetched zinc, steel coupled, 30 minutes exposure, .atural sea water, 2550X ----- 110
- Figure 58 X-ray spectrum of iron-rich corrosion product on pre-etched zinc, steel coupled, 30 minutes exposure, natural sea water, showing Zn and Fe peaks ----- 111
- Figure 59 Iron-rich corrosion product representative of iron product morphology on zinc anodes in natural sea water, 2600X ----- 112
- Figure 60 X-ray spectrum of iron-rich corrosion product showing Zn and Fe peaks ------ 113
- Figure 61 Iron-rich corrosion product attached to broad face of ZnO platelet, preetched zinc, steel coupled, 30 minutes exposure, natural sea water, 2600X ----- 115
- Figure 62 X-ray spectrum of iron-rich corrosion product attached to broad face of ZnO platelet, showing Zn and Fe peaks ----- 116
- Figure 63 Lead-rich corrosion product morphology of steel coupled zinc, 65 hours exposure, natural sea water, 2600X ----- 117
- Figure 64 X-ray spectrum of long time exposure lead-rich corrosion product showing Zn, Pb, Cl, and Si peaks ------ 118

Figure	65	Lead and iron corrosion product in dissolved inclusion area on pre- etched Linc, steel coupled, 30 minutes exposure, natural sea water, 2550X	119
Figure	6б	X-ray spectrum of lead and iron corrosion product showing Zn, Pb, Fe, Cr, and Cl peaks	121
Figure	67	Lead-rich crystal morphology for pre- etched zinc, steel coupled, 30 minutes exposure, natural sea water, 2550X	122
Figure	68	X-ray spectrum of lead-rich crystal morphology corrosion product showing Zn, Pb and Cl peaks	123
Figure	69	Example of lead-rich corrosion product not in an inclusion area on pre-etched zinc, steel coupled, natural sea water, 30 minutes exposure, 2550X	124
Figure	70	X-ray spectrum of lead-rich corrosion product on base zinc matrix showing Zn, Pb, and Cr peaks	125
Figure	71	Lead and chromium-rich corrosion product in an inclusion area on pre- etched steel coupled zinc, 30 minutes exposure, natural sea water, 2600X	126
Figure	72	X-ray spectrum of lead and chromium- rich corrosion product in an inclusion area showing Zn, Pb, and Cr peaks	127
Figure	73	Parallel ZnO platelet growth on steel coupled zinc, 12 minutes exposure, lab sea water, 6600X	130
Figure	7î.	Parallel ZnO platelet growth over base film on steel coupled zinc, 50 minutes exposure, lab sea water, 2650X	131

ć,

.

T

Figure	75	Common ZnO platelet morphology on steel coupled zinc, 15 minutes exposure, lab sea water, 6250X	132
Figure	76	"X" platelet morphology in cluster formations over base film on steel coupled zinc, 25 hours exposure, natural sea water, 250X	133
Figure	77	Zinc surface with electric field lines and the affect of inclusion areas on the near surface electric field	135

į

LIST OF SYMBOLS

9

╘╅╼╍

.

2

*

ţ

4

2

Zn	zinc
Zn ⁺⁺	zinc ion
e	electron
EZn	zinc potential
E ^O Zn	standard zinc potential
R	gas constant (8.314 joules/degree • mole)
Т	temperature
n	number of electrons in cell reaction (faraday/mole)
F	faraday constant (96,500 coulombs)
^a Zn ⁺⁺	zinc ion activity
H+	hydrogen ion
0 ₂	oxygen
н ₂ 0	water
ED	cathode potential
Е _D о	standard cathode potential
a _{.H} +	hydrogen ion activity
^p 02	oxygen activity
OH_	hydroxide ion
E ₀₂	oxygen potential
E_{02}^{o}	standard oxygen potential
^a OH ⁻	hydroxide ion activity

emf electromotive force FeSi iron silicide ppm parts per million Fe₂A1₅ iron aluminide cm^2 square centimeter micrometer 1.m ZnO zinc oxide ml milliliter 1 liter standard hydrogen potential (pH= -log A_{H}^{+}) pH Arrhenius constant A B activation energy base of natural logarithms exp С centigrade degrees t time cm centimeter SEM scanning electron microscope ZnCO3 zinc carbonate ZnC1₂ zinc chloride Zn(OH)₂ zinc hydroxide C1 chlorine РЬ lead Fe iron

A1	aluminum
Cr	chromium
Si	silicon
d	atomic spacing
A	Angstrom units

Ψ,

₩,

1.4

The second s

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

4

The author wishes to express his appreciation to Professor A. J. Perkins for his guidance and encouragement during the course of this investigation. The impetus for this investigation was provided by the sponsorship of the Department of Mechanical Engineering, NPGS, Monterey, California.

A special note of thanks is due to Roy Edwards, Material Science Laboratory Technician, whose untiring assistance throughout the course of the investigation was invaluable to the author.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. CATHODIC PROTECTION

Corrosion of metals in an environment such as sea water is of an electrochemical nature. Electrochemical corrosion consists of chemical reaction accompanied by passage of electrical current. [1] In order for this to occur a potential difference must exist between different metall'c areas in the system. Anodes exist at areas of lower reduction potential where dissolution of metal occurs by the loss of electrons (oxidation) to form ions. Cathodes exist at primes of higher reduction potential where the anode ctrons migrate to be utilized in a reductio The reduction process can take a variety of forms. The combination of the anodic and cathodic processes causes the passage of current via electrons in the solid metallic areas and electrolytic conduction via ions in solution. In most cases of single metal corrosion, the galvanic cell is made up of microscopic anode and cathode areas distributed over the surface of the metal.

The electrochemical microcell corrosion model is well established in the literature [2] and shows zinc going into solution at an anode site:

$$2n \longrightarrow 2n^{++} + 2e^{-}$$

with the reversible half-cell potential given by

$$E_{Zn} = E_{Zn}^{O} - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln a_{Zn}^{++}$$

The above anode reaction leaves the surface of zinc spotted with "pits" at anode sites by a chemical dissolution process. To balance the electronic charge requirements, electrons are accepted at cathode sites by one of the following reactions:

$$2e^{-} + 2H^{+} + \frac{1}{2} O_2 \longrightarrow H_2O \qquad (1a)$$

where

$$E_{\rm D} = E_{\rm D}^{\rm o} - \frac{RT}{2F} \ln \frac{1}{(a_{\rm H}^+)^2 (p_{02})^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$
 (1b)

in acidic solutions, or

$$2e^{-} + \frac{1}{2}O_2 + H_2O \longrightarrow 2(OH)^{-}$$
 (2a)

where

$$E_{02} = E_{02}^{0} - \frac{RT}{2F} \ln \frac{(a_{OH}^{-})^2}{(p_{02})^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$
 (2b)

in neutral or alkaline solutions.

Equations la and 2a are exactly equal in overall electrode emf; consequently, it is futile to distinguish between these two expressions chemically. These equations show favored reactions in the presence of oxygen.

Cathodic protection utilizes a principal which is the application of a counter-current sufficiently large to neutralize the dissolution process at the microanode areas, so that the metal as a whole acts as a cathode and is protected. The type of cathodic protection to be discussed in this thesis is galvanic coupling utilizing an electrode of sacrificial metal which is anodic with respect to the metal to be protected.

Before discussing galvanic coupling, some description of the other type of cathodic protection, namely impressed current, is appropriate. [3] In this case, the countercurrent source to the corroding metal is an electrode that becomes the anode. The anode material used here can be either an inert material or one that deteriorates and is replaced periodically. One reason this type of cathodic protection is not being utilized as the major cathodic protection system for the protection of U.S. Navy ship hulls is that a dedicated direct current power source or a set of silicon type rectifiers with associated circuit equipment as well as control system equipment is both expensive and space consuming compared to the galvanic sacrificial anode system. Typical impressed current anode materials are scrap iron, silicon-iron, carbon, stainless steel, and platinum. Impressed current cathodic protection systems do offer potentially better cathodic protection over the long haul than galvanic anodes, but the factors stated above plus

3

the added maintenance required by ship's force personnel have kept this system from being fully exploited.

Galvanic coupling utilizes metals with uniquely different electrode potentials in order to automatically insure an appropriate anti-corrosion current for the cathode metal. Metals have been classified according to relative electrode potentials in a standard galvanic series. The more electronegative metals (on a standard reduction potential scale) are commonly used as sacrificial anodes because they are able to supply a sufficient counter-current to neutralize the corrosion current. Magnesium, zinc and aluminum are the metals most commonly used as sacrificial anodes for cathodic protection.

Magnesium has been utilized on ship hulls as a galvanic anode. In fact, magnesium is more electronegative than any of the metals in the galvanic series, but magnesium anodes are only 50% efficient in sea water. This means that half the anode deteriorates in sea water without providing any useful protective current. In addition, the high potential of magnesium necessitates the use of dielectric shields on the hull beneath and at least two feet around the periphery of the anode array. Severe paint damage and subsequent loss of the system's effectiveness can occur without this shielding.

大事 ちょうぎんかい

The paint damage is due to hydrogen evolution from the magnesium dissolution process and is a major disadvantage of using this metal on ship hulls. The replacement cycle for magnesium anodes in sea water is two years.

Aluminum's virtues as a galvanic anode are its relative light weight and its ability to provide more than three times the current per pound compared to zinc anodes. Since equivalent surface areas of zinc and aluminum have approximately the same current outputs and the efficiency of aluminum anodes in sea water is greater than 90%, it is possible to design a system utilizing aluminum which would weigh the same as zinc but would only require replacement every six years. The only problem seems to be in development of an alloy with reliable service characteristics. Experimental evidence indicates that aluminum corrosion morphology is very sensitive to small changes in many ordinary metallurgical variables such as grain size, heat treatment, and impurities.

ł

-

Zinc is the most widely used anode material for cathodic protection of U.S. Navy ships and is currently installed on 90% of the ships. It is over 90% efficient in sea water and has a replacement cycle of three years. The U.S. Navy procures zinc anodes under military specification MIL-A-18001H.

Much investigative work has been done to discover an optimum zinc anode.

Galvanic coupling utilizes a process whereby the effect of coupling zinc with steel hulls is to cause the steel to become a local cathodic electrode while the zinc becomes an anodic electrode. The zinc "sacrifices" itself by dissolution, forming zinc ions which become part of the electrolyte. As long as the zinc continues to function as an effective anode, the steel will not corrode. If, however, a dense corrosion film forms on the zinc anode, the electrolyte will be unable to penetrate to the metal surface and zinc will not be able to deliver the counter-current required to make it an effective anode. This condition is referred to as passivation.

Historically, the use of zinc anodes for cathodic protection dates back to 1824 when Sir Humphrey Davy [4] advised the British Admiralty to use blocks of zinc to protect the copper sheathing on Admiralty ships. Subsequently, zinc anodes were used on other structures, such as steel-hulled ships, buoys, and $st \in el$ pilings, to protect them from galvanic attack.

Around 1940, separate investigations were conducted by the British Admiralty and the U.S. Navy as a result of

problems encountered with in-service use of zinc anodes on ships' steel hulls. One of the results of these investigations stated that "the current output of zinc anodes in sea water is restricted, after a short period of time, by the formation of tightly adherent corrosion product films." [5]

The 1950's and 1960's found many investigations attempting to discover the cause of adherent film formation on zinc anodes in sea water. R. B. Teel and D. B. Anderson [6] reported in 1956 that iron content in zinc anodes when found to be greater than 0.0014% had a pronounced effect on both decreased current output and the formation of adherent product film. Even more interesting'y, they reported that: "The corrosion products present on the zinc were made up of two distinct layers. The outer layer contained mounds of corrosion products which were located directly over pitted areas on the surface of the zincs. The inner layer was more dense and contained an adherent black deposit that appeared in greater quantities on the zincs containing the greater amount of iron."

Other investigators later discovered that ali ing certain other elements with zinc tended to counteract the adverse effects of iron. Southin [7] reported that iron, which has a low solid solubility in zinc, appears in the

microstructure as an iron-zinc compound containing 6% iron when it is present in amounts greater than 10ppm. A relatively large amount of the 6% iron-zinc compound is found in zinc anodes containing as small as 0.07% iron. He reported that adding silicon causes the formation of FeSi which is resistant to corrosion and therefore unlikely to take part in the corrosion process. The formation of this compound reduces the amount of 6% "free" iron to a very low level of less than 5ppm. One problem associated with adding silicon to zinc castings is that silicon has a very low solubility in liquid zinc necessitating an aluminum-silicon alloy which is soluble in liquid zinc. Aluminum [7] is also beneficial to zinc anode performance because it goes into solid solution and has been found to make the corrosion product less adherent or more porous. It also affects grain size but Southin [7] reported that grain size does not affect anode performance. Aluminum has another beneficial effect in that it causes the formation of Fe₂Al₅ which reduces the 6% "free" iron in much the same manner as silicon. Cadmium [7] is another element added to zinc anodes which has good solid solubility characteristics and also tends to change the corrosion product composition, making it less adherent.

The conclusion [7] reached from the above discussion of elemental additions to zinc anodes is that there are two

available complementary mechanisms which can lead to improved performance of alloyed zinc anodes:

 The addition of elements which reduce the 6% "free" iron present in iron-zinc alloys by the formation of a compound which takes no part in the corrosion process.
Examples of this are FeSi and Fe₂Al₅.

2. The addition of elements which have some solid solubility in zinc. This improves anode performance by changing the composition of the corrosion product by making it less adherent. More future improvements are possible in this area.

One might conclude from the above discussion that the best way to ensure good zinc anode performance is to go to extremely high purity zinc, eliminating other elements entirely. However, Waldron and Peterson [8] investigated this very possibility and reported that anode performance was markedly superior for those anodes which had certain optimum amounts of aluminum and cadmium as compared to extremely high purity zinc anodes, with iron content maximum at .0014% and constant in both samples. These results, as well as work by Carson [9] and his colleagues, have led to recommended optimum percentages for each of the elements discussed above. The U.S. Navy has generally adopted the results of these investigations in determining specifications

for elemental content of alloy zinc anodes used on ship hulls for cathodic protection.

Since the early 1960's, very little can be found in the literature which sheds further insight into the reason why iron impurities in zinc anodes may cause the formation of strongly adherent corrosion-products during sea water galvanic corrosion. U.S. Navy service experience in recent years occasionally has found dense adherent film formations on hull zincs without proper explanation of the cause, Directives in the early 1970's concerning the use of zinc anodes in heat exchanges and condensers on U.S. Navy ships ran the gamut from complete removal to partial reinstallation.

Knowledge has never been developed regarding microscale mechanisms leading to the effec⁺iveness of zinc anodes. In view of this need for a better understanding of the nature of anodic zinc corrosion, Perkins and Bornholdt [10, 11] initiated studies at the Naval Postgraduate School in 1973 which also formed the basis for the present study. Utilizing standard Naval specification zinc specimens and direct microscopic examination of corrosion product morphology, Bornholdt and Perkins observed that the anodic film structure for zincsteel couples in sea water was a microscopically porous

formation of discrete single crystal hexagonal shaped platelets of zinc oxide, [11] The density of the zinc oxide platelets was on the order of 10^6 platelets per cm² of anode surface, and individual platelets grew to about 30um diameter and several um thickness after one week exposure. One other important detail observed was that the zinc oxide platelets tend to form with the ZnO basal plane of the hexagonal ZnO crystals parallel to the broad slow-growing faces, with these faces tending to be at high angles (nearly right angles) to the anode surface. Extension of these studies by this student has sought to delineate the details of the film nucleation and growth process on zinc anodes and to relate particular variations to base metal structure and environmental conditions, with the ultimate aim of testing the significance and necessity of current compositional specifications for zinc anode materials.

B. CORROSION VARIABLES

Passivation has many definitions in corrosion literature, but in this study passivation will be defined as the phenomenon which occurs when the corrosion film formation is so thick and adherent that the anode ceases to act as an effective anodic cell in a cathodic protection system. Before actually describing the experimental procedures used

to pursue the zinc corrosion product morphology and passivating film formation mechanisms on zinc anodes, some discussion of the variables affecting zinc corrosion in sea water seems appropriate.

Composition of the environment: Probably the most 1. difficult aspect of the corrosion process to duplicate consistently in the laboratory is the ocean environment. Sea water is a complex heterogeneous mixture. It is composed of water, a multitude of dissolved gases and salts, suspended organic and inorganic matter, and live organisms. When these variables are considered along with wide variations in temperature, pressure, and current velocity, it becomes obvious that one must evaluate corrosion in specific ocean regimes rather than expect to be able to extrapolate results obtained from laboratory solution tests. Monney [12] reported that salinity variations in the open ocean are relatively small and generally range in value between 33 to 35 parts per thousand. Therefore, salinity variations should not influence corrosion rates by more than 10% to 15%. Live organisms [12] which can influence corrosion rates by the formation of oxygen concentration cells exist at all ocean levels. They are much more numerous and troublesome in warm, oxygen rich surface waters. Sea water

is observed [12] to be slightly basic, with a nearly constant pH ranging in value from 8.0 to 8.3 near the surface. This knowledge of the pH range is very important in corrosion studies and is a major variable effecting passivation potential. The oxygen content of sea water is a vital determinant in corrosion rates. Near the surface, the oxygen content is generally in the range of from 4 to 7 ml of dissolved gas at standard temperature and pressure per 1 of water and decreases with depth. In general, corrosion rates decrease as oxygen content decreases, but pitting and crevice corrosion can still occur.

2. Temperature: In most cases, increasing temperature increases the severity of corrosion. This can be illustrated by the well-known Arrhenius equation:

Rate of Corrosion = A exp (-B/RT)

where all the symbols except T are constants; T is absolute temperature, B is activation energy, R is the gas constant and exp is the base of natural logarithms. This relationship may break down at temperatures above 60° C where there is evidence [13] that the anode-cathode potentials for zinciron couples reverse making the iron anodic to zinc. Depending on the activation energy, the rate of a chemical reaction can double with an increase in temperature of only 10° C. [127 Also the conductivity of sea water nearly doubles as the temperature is raised from 0° to 25° C. Zinc anodes ordinarily will experience a temperature range in service of approximately 0° to 30° C.

3. Velocity: Most corrosion products which form on a surface inhibit corrosion. If they are soft, currents may wash them away and increase the corrosion rate. Also, if the cathodic process is controlled by ion concentration polarization, removal of the ion concentration by currents will increase the corrosion rate. The former velocity effect is extremely important in evaluating in-service anode performance. It can be assumed from this characteristic alone that ship hull zinc anodes will not tend to passivate while the ship is underway. Therefore, passivation of current military specification anodes is actually only a severe problem for ships which are in port for periods in excess of one week.

4. State of stress: Zinc anodes are not subjected to any appreciable stress states in service other than those transient gradients due to propellor wash and ship velocity. Therefore, this variable is not a very important one in evaluating zinc anode performance and corrosion product morphology.

5. Time: Corrosion rates are all time dependent. Therefore, this variable is of great importance to any corrosion

study. In this study, the actual corrosion process on zinc anodes as a function of time was closely studied.

6. Chemical composition of the metal: In light of the discussion above concerning the effect of alloying elements on the corrosion film formation of zinc, the chemical composition of zinc is an extremely important variable. The zinc anode material utilized in this study was obtained from Mare Island Naval Shipyard and was military specification Mil-A-18001H [14] anode material of the type currently in use on U.S. Navy ships. Table I shows the chemical composition of these anodes.

7. Degree of homogeneity of the alloy: Local anodic/ cathodic cells can be formed on the surface of zinc due to differences in elemental composition. This variable is believed to be quite significant with regard to passivation of zinc anodes and is the issue primarily addressed in this study.

8. Pressure: This variable has some effect on the corrosion process. Primarily, pressure affects the concentration of ocean environmental variables. This has been investigated separately [15] and will not be addressed in the present study.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Corrosion tests were conducted in the laboratory using zinc samples 1.25 cm x 1.25 cm x 0.6 cm in size. The zinc alloy was 99.9907% pure zinc, adhering in most respects to Mil-A-18001H [14] (see Table I). The test assembly consisted of 2000ml beakers filled with 1800ml of electrolyte (see Figure 1). Three different electrolytes were used in the experiments. One of these was natural sea water from Monterey Bay with a salinity of 32.8 to 33.8 parts per thousand and an oxygen content of 1.33 ml/liter. In addition, synthetic sea water conforming to ASTM-D1141-52 (see Appendix A and Table II) standards was prepared and used. Distilled water was the third electrolyte. In most of the tests the zinc samples were coupled to a low carbon steel plate with an area ratio of the couple (cathode to anode) at approximately 50-1 which closely conforms to standard U.S. Navy service requirements for cathodic protection.

Great care was taken to prepare the zinc samples in an effort to show reproducibility of data. The samples were washed in acetone, rinsed in distilled water, ground on two different SiC grit paper in order to get as finely a polished surface as possible, and rinsed in distilled water again.



Figure 1

2000ml beaker used in corrosion tests
Each sample was prepared as nearly the same as possible. Figure 2 shows a clean polished zinc surface at 3000X magnification, which illustrates the typical initial surface condition of zinc samples used in these experiments. When the samples were coupled with zinc, the steel was always ground and free of rust. Nylon bolts attached to a specially designed plexiglass stand were used to couple the zinc and steel (see Figure 3).

The zinc was corroded in a number of different ways. Samples were immersed in distilled water or in natural sea water, with and without steel coupling, or were coupled with steel in synthetic sea water (referred to here as lab sea water).

After exposure, each zinc sample was rinsed in distilled water, dried in air, and mounted on a SEM stub. Each sample was examined for corrosion film morphology utilizing a Cambridge Model S4-10 scanning electron microscope (SEM) (see Appendix B and Figure 4).

Use of the SEM allows observation of surface corrosion film growth at a useful magnification up to about 10,000X. Most microphotographs in this study were taken at about 2500X. Generally, microphotographs were made of areas on each sample representative of the most often observed pattern



Figure 2

Scanning electron microphotograph of initial polished zinc surface, 3000X



Figure 3

Plexiglass stand assembly with steel plate and zinc anode sample



And the second se

Figure 4

Cambridge Model S4-10 scanning electron microscope (SEM) and Princeton Gamma-Tech PGT-1000 X-ray Analyzer of the corrosion film, as well as locally unique film formations.

1

While nearly all exposures were at room temperature, a series of tests were conducted at 50°C for steel-coupled zinc samples in lab sea water.

Selected samples were pre-etched in a chromic acid solution (see Appendix C).

Selected samples for all the above conditions were investigated for surface elemental content utilizing the PGT-1000 non-dispersive X-ray spectrometer. This equipment allows high resolution X-ray elemental analysis of corrosion products of interest. The operating principles of the PGT-1000 are discussed in Appendix B. It seems appropriate, however, to point out some of the more useful characteristics and features of the spectrum analysis. Each element emits X-rays at a distinct energy level; the analyzer displays an energy spectrum, and individual elements can be identified at the various peak intensities; this identification feature is a programmed function of the PGT-1000. Figure 5 shows the spectrum generally seen when analyzing clean zinc surface areas illustrated previously in Figure 2. The three distinctive peaks in Figure 5 are identified as zinc peaks by the analyzer element identification program, and this feature is



.

1

Figure 5

X-ray spectrum of clean Zn surface

shown in Figure 6. The command of "Lines 30" seen in the upper left-hand corner of the spectrum photograph results in the lines shown in Figure 6; and the element number 30, Zn, is shown in the upper right-hand corner just below the date. All future discussion or identification of elemental impurities in the corrosion product morphology will have resulted from a procedure like the one described above.

т. Ч.



いいいいろう 二日の人 あんい えんかん えんちかい しゅうしゅう



X-ray spectrum of clean zinc surface showing elemental Zn lines in the spectrum

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A. DISTILLED WATER TESTS

SEM examination of samples immersed in distilled water without steel couples for various time periods up to 24 hours showed very little corrosion product formation. A few starburst-like oxide deposits were found as well as round, black areas. Samples coupled with steel and immersed in distilled water for various time intervals up to 36 hours also exhibited these round, black zones and starburst clustertype zinc oxide formation. The round areas are larger and the clusters more numerous in the coupled samples for the same time exposure. Figures 7 and 8 show the general surface conditions at 129X magnification and the oxide cluster formation at 2600X magnification, respectively, of a sample exposed for $2\frac{1}{2}$ hours. Of particular interest in Figure 7 are the dark round areas. These are relatively moreconducting zones and seem to have at their center some sort of surface impurity. Investigation of one of these areas utilizing the PGT-1000 X-ray analyzer yields an energy spectrum shown in Figure 9 which has distinct peaks for zinc, lead and chlorine.



1.2

Figure 7

Zinc anode surface corroded in distilled water electrolyte, steel couple, 2.5 hours exposure, 130X



F

Figure 8

Oxide cluster formation on steel coupled zinc anode in distilled water electrolyte, 2.5 hours exposure, 2600X



Figure 9

X-ray spectrum of "dark" area showing zinc, lead, and chlorine peaks for zinc anode, distilled water electrolyte, steel couple, 2.5 hours exposure

B. SEA WATER TESTS

Figure 10 shows the result for uncoupled exposure in sea water electrolyte for an exposure time of 24 hours. The corrosion product is not very dense with cluster formation of zinc oxide platelets as well as a few single platelets. General surface corrosion is not evident.

The nucleation and growth kinetics of the oxide formation in the exposures described above were not the same as for zinc samples coupled with steel in sea water. However, the observance of surface impurities and their effect on the corrosion morphology in the early stages suggested that close attention should be paid to this aspect of the phenomenon of oxide crystal growth on zinc protective anodes. The oxide film morphology on coupled samples at exposure times up to one hour was extensively studied on the SEM. Figures 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 show the corrosion product morphology formation (all at 2500X magnification) after 10, 12, 15, 20, 25 and 30 minutes exposure respectively. Of particular interest on these microphotographs is the progressive nucleation and growth mechanism. Duplicate tests to those above using lab sea water produced nearly identical nucleation and growth results. Figure 17 illustrates growth versus time results up to one hour for the tests described above.



É

6

Figure 10

Base ZnO platelet formation on uncoupled zinc anode in natural sea water electrolyte, 24 hours exposure, 2600X



12

Figure 11

Anode surface appearance on steel coupled sample in natural sea water electrolyte, 10 minutes exposure, 2500X



The Mr. of

-4

6

\$ 2 5 Figure 12

Anode surface appearance on steel coupled sample in natural sea water electrolyte, 12 minutes exposure, 2500X



. 4

L

Figure 13

Anode surface appearance on steel coupled sample in natural sea water electrolyte, 15 minutes exposure, 2500X



ŧ

Figure 14

Anode surface appearance on steel coupled sample in natural sea water electrolyte, 20 minutes exposure, 2500X



Ļ

Figure 15

Anode surface appearance on steel coupled sample in natural sea water electrolyte, 25 minutes exposure, 2500X



Figure 16

Anode surface appearance on steel coupled sample in natural sea watrc electrolyte, 30 minutes exposure, 2500X



A parallel series of tests were conducted at conditions identical to those described above for steel coupled, sea water electrolyte samples but at 50°C versus room temperature of 25°C. The results of these tests are shown in Figures 18, 19, 20, 21 and 22 which show progressive nucleation and growth for time exposures of 3, 5, 10, 15 and 22 minutes respectively. The effect of higher temperature conditions is simply to shift the growth rate curve in Figure 17 to the left since although nucleation occurs earlier, it progresses as before under room temperature conditions. No significant change in the corrosion product morphology was observed at the higher temperature exposures.

Some samples exposed under these static conditions at room temperature produced a passivating film after about a week as shown in Figure 23. It was observed that those samples with passivating film formations had dense oxide film growth over surface areas which exhibited some sort of elemental impurity. Figure 24 is a good example of the oxide cluster formation growth preference over the "dark" areas in the microphotograph.

C. PRE-ETCHED SAMPLES

The evidence of corrosion product initiation and accumulation at "dark" areas on the zinc anode surface suggested a



Y....

.

人間 出 2

*

ŧ

1

ţ

ł

Figure 18

Anode surface appearance on steel coupled sample in natural sea water at 50°C, 3 minutes exposure, 2500X



WE SHERE

. 4

4

Figure 19

Anode surface appearance on steel coupled sample in natural sea water at 50°C, 5 minutes exposure, 2500X



No. Martin

.1

¢

ľ.

ж.

٩,

٩,

i

11

Figure 19

Anode surface appearance on steel coupled sample in natural sea water at 50°C, 5 minutes exposure, 2500X



ধ

4

ą

Figure 20

Anode surface appearance on steel coupled sample in natural sea water at 50°C, 10 minutes exposure, 2500X



West Maria

- 2

ţ

Figure 21

Anode surface appearance on steel coupled sample in natural sea water at 50°C, 15 minutes exposure, 1280X



Har Maria

-1

1

Figure 22

Anode surface appearance on steel coupled sample in natural sea water at 50°C, 22 minutes exposure, 1280%



-ત્રનુ

Figure 23

Passivating film growth on steel coupled sample in natural sea water electrolyte, 1 week exposure, 250X



٧.,

-2

4

Figure 24

Oxide cluster formation over "dark" areas on steel coupled sample in synthetic sea water electrolyte, 4 hours exposure, 680X more detailed look at the surface features. Pre-etching was used (see Appendix C) since the grain structure and orientation were of interest. Figures 25 and 26 illustrate a clean zinc etch at 1260X and 2700X respectively. The "dark" areas are generally distributed over the zinc surface and can be explained as elemental inclusions in the zinc cest. An X-ray spectrum analysis of the inclusion spots is shown in Figure 27. Zinc peaks are dominant as well as a peak which is identified by the analyzer as lead. Figure 28 is another microphotograph of the grain structure and an inclusion area which had oxidized. Other samples were etched and subsequently coupled to steel and immersed in sea water electrolyte. Figures 29, 30, 31 and 32 show the results of a 30 minute immersion in lab sea water. It is interesting to note in Figures 31 and 32 the apparent randomness of the zinc oxide platelets as they are attached to the base zinc and the "pitted" appearance of the base zinc. Figure 29 shows the inclusion areas have dissolved to larger holes when compared to clean zinc inclusion areas in Figure 25. Figure 30 is a higher magnification view of an inclusion area (3000X versus 670X for Figure 29). None of the etched and corroded samples show the secondary corrosion products evident in the unetched samples.



4. .

6

Y

Figure 25

Pre-etched zinc sample showing grain structure and surface inclusion distribution, 1260X



۳ بې پ

ŝ

\$

Figure 26

Pre-etched zinc sample showing surface inclusions and phase transformations within grains, 2700X



67

Figure 27

X-ray spectrum of inclusion area on pre-etched zinc sample showing Zn and Pb peaks



New Maria

1

Figure 28

Pre-etched zinc sample with an atmospheric oxidized inclusion area, 2600X



ł

Ļ

Figure 29

Pre-etched zinc sample, steel couple, 30 minutes exposure, with inclusion area dissolution, 670X


- ŧ

Figure 30

Pre-etched zinc sample, steel couple, 30 minutes exposure, showing ZnO platelet growth preferentially at an inclusion site, 3000X



ALL AND ALL AND

. 4

Figure 31

Pre-etched zinc sample, steel couple, 30 minutes exposure, showing grain dissolution and ZnO platelet formation, 3000X



- 1

Figure 32

Pre-etched zinc sample, steel couple, 30 minutes exposure, showing ZnO platelet random orientation with respect to grain structure, 3000X

From the evidence illustrated in these microphotographs, grain structure can be concluded to make no contribution to the corrosion process nor does it seem to inhibit or impede the electrochemical dissolution/precipitation mechanism. The "pitted" background zinc is direct photographic evidence of the mechanism of electrochemical dissolution of the base matrix zinc into zinc ions. The "pitting" is uniformly distributed, with a region of lesser attack adjacent to grain boundaries. Figures 33 and 34 are illustrations of this phenomenon and an X-ray analysis spectrum of the grain structure respectively. The inclusion areas are areas of much higher dissolution than the inclusion-free base grains, and the inclusions themselves are microcathodic with respect to the immediate microanodic zinc surrounding them. This accounts for the preferential dissolution at the inclusion areas as is vividly shown in Figure 30. Also of note in this microphotograph is preferred dissolution along the grain boundary. In Figures 31 and 32, it is seen that the hexagonal zinc oxide platelets seem to occur randomly on the surface and are observed to traverse grain boundaries. Figure 35 is a higher magnification view of a platelet traversing a grain boundary, and Figure 36 is the X-ray spectrum of the platelet.



-1

Figure 33

Pre-etched zinc sample, steel coupled, 30 minutes exposure, illustrating the electrochemical dissolution mechanism of the base matrix zinc, 6600X



N. Star

4



X-ray spectrum of base zinc matrix of steel coupled, 30 minutes exposure sample showing only Zn peaks



New Street

• ‡

Figure 35

Pre-etched zinc sample, steel coupled, 30 minutes exposure, illustrating ZnO platelet traversing a grain boundary, 6600X



L.

4

.

1

1

Figure 36

X-ray spectrum of ZnO platelet formed on the steel coupled, 30 minutes exposure sample which traverses a grain boundary

D. X-RAY DIFFRACTION IDENTIFICATION OF CORROSION PRODUCT COMPOUNDS

State of the state

A Norelco X-ray diffractometer (see Figure 37) was used to qua'itatively identify the corrosion products observed in this study. X-ray patterns were run on a number of samples including the time study series discussed previously in Figures 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 as well as some fiftyminute exposure samples and the passivated sample shown in Figure 23. As a comparison to the clean zinc X-ray pattern, a pattern was run on a sample of commercial "Marz-grade" zinc (99.999% pure). All the results of the X-ray patterns are tabulated in Table III. Another feature of Table III is a tabulation of some of the possible corrosion product patterns which were available in the Hanawalt card deck. This can be used as a comparison to the actual pattern.

ZnO is identified as the major corrosion product from the X-ray patterns. $ZnCO_3$ is found to be a secondary corrosion product. Other compounds which were not specifically identified by the X-ray patterns but known to exist as a result of the X-ray dispersive tests include $Zn(OH)_2$ and a hydrated $ZnCl_2$ compound. Other more complex compounds are probably present but not identifiable from the data base available.

£



Star W.

-4

4

×

ł

ŧ

.



Norelco X-ray Diffractometer

IV. DISCUSSION

A. ELECTROCHEMISTRY OF DISSOLUTION

The introduction of this thesis included a brief discussion of the electrocherical nature of corrosion. A more thorough consideration of the mechanism of zinc anodic dissolution is now appropriate.

One of the mechanisms proposed for metal dissolution is the mixed-potential polyelectrode concept. [17] It can be summarized as follows: There exists on the surface of the metal which is undergoing dissolution two or more independent electrode reactions which are related only by a common electrical potential difference which exists between the metal and the solution. The emphasis of the concept is on the currents flowing across the interface and the potential difference across the interface. Thus in many cases the existence or the location of separate cathodic and anodic areas need not be assumed as is usually the case in the theory of local elements. There is no real distinction, however, between the mixed potential concept and the theory of local elements. The latter has been considered a special case under the former more general theory. It is not necessary

to have separate macroscopic areas which are exclusively cathodic or anodic on a metallic surface in order for the dissolution process to proceed by an electrochemical mechanism. Any one site may be anodic during an instant of time and cathodic during another instant, and/or anodic and cathodic rocesses can occur simultaneously on atomically adjacent sites. The sites also may shift about the surface rapidly during uniform dissolution. The various surface sites may have atomic, microscopic and/or macroscopic dimensions.

In most cases, no given part of a metallic surface is entirely anodic or cathodic on an average time basis unless some special condition exists such as a rectifying film or lack of a necessary reactant at the site in question. In this case, however, nonuniform corrosion under uniform solution conditions does occur so that the dissolution current values of the metal anodes are larger at some surface sites than at others. These differences may arise from variations in composition and structure. Haterogeneities due to composition may supply areas which are predominately cathodic or anodic depending upon the values of the common electrochemical variables of the different reacting systems at the particular sites. Galvanic couples as we have in

this study are a case in point. It has been suggested [17,7] that even on an apparent homogeneous surface of a pure metal the Tafel electrochemical constants for a cathodic reaction will vary over the surface.

It has been reported [17] that the rate of dissolution and the reversible potential may differ for the various crystallographic planes. It is very likely that local imperfections and zones of disarray (such as edges and corners of incompletely packed planes, emergent dislocations, etc.) may be sites of higher activity.

The etching process itself utilizes a dissolution process which will pit the surface at dislocation sites if not properly controlled. For pit formation, the etch rate along a dislocation must be greater than other etch rates in its vicinity. The dislocation line has a certain amount of strain associated with it, even at the surface. In order to relieve the stress at the surface, it seems logical that the atoms must rearrange themselves. In doing so, their bonds become strained, and it is this strain which may cause nucleation of a pit at a screw dislocation. Also, dislocations intersecting the surface act as preferred dissolution sites. It may be possible to correlate ZnO plate density (number of plates/unit area) with dislocation

density (number of dislocations/unit area). From the evidence found in this study, sea water does in fact play the role of an etchant with initial dissolution on zinc taking place at dislocation sites.

B. CORROSION MODEL

The use of scanning electron microscopy and energydispersive X-ray spectroscopy to evaluate anodic mechanisms for zinc is unique to this study. Data developed for steelcoupled zinc samples in sea water electrolyte lead to the following film formation observations:

1. Nucleation of (hexagonally-outlined) ZnO platelets on clean coupled surfaces occurs after an incubation time of approximately 10 minutes. The mechanism of oxide platelet nucleation can be postulated to occur within a super-saturated thin layer (about 5 - 10 Jum thick) of dissolved zinc ions above the metal surface. The evidence tends to support the hypothesis that the three-dimensional nucleation point is quite close to the base metal surface. In static lab conditions, the zinc-rich ionic boundary layer reaches a steady state concentration level, and the electrochemical corrosion rate on zinc anodes becomes ion concentration polarization dependent. This suggests that if the electrolyte is flowing relative to the anode surface, the

"boundary layer" will become thinner and nucleated ZnO platelets will tend to be swept away before attaching to the anode surface.

Velocity effects may cause ZnO platelet precipitation at different orientation angles to the base zinc than those observed in static tests. Crevice corrosion mechanisms will also be evident in a fluid situation, and the corrosion product may change somewhat in crystal morphology. The corrosion rate will certainly change due to different polarization values. In fact, flow effects are extremely important to a full understanding of in-service anode corrosion characteristics and should be fully investigated. This variable has not been addressed in the present study.

It is further hypothesized that the hexagonally shaped oxide platelets grow by lateral extension within the near surface layer and then settle and attach themselves to the metal surface with a general preference for orientation normal to the surface in static circumstances. This is a favorable (non-passivative) condition. Plates oriented at high angles to the base metal surface often appear to be embedded in a field of a fine scale corrosion product. Figure 38 is a good example of this fine scale base film product; Figure 39 is the X-ray spectrum, showing Cl and Pb peaks in addition to Zn.



ALL MARKED

. 41

トラ

Figure 38

ZnO platelet "buried" in base film on steel coupled sample, natural sea water, 25 minutes exposure, 6600X



Figure 39

X-ray spectrum for 25 minutes exposure sample, natural sea water, base film

2. Local surface discontinuities such as surface asperities, scratches and second phase particles (inclusions) in the base metal microstructure form microcells where the corrosion current is initially highest. ZnO plate nucleation is accentuated at asperities and at the periphery of (more cathodic) Fe and Pb-rich inclusion particles. Figures 40 and 41 illustrate initial ZnO plate nucleation at scratches and inclusions respectively.

3. The number of platelets which form as a function of time (nucleation rate) is highly unstable in time exposures from 10 minutes to one hour, in spite of intensive efforts to characterize nucleation frequency. Consequently, data on nucleation rates of ZnO platelets was not tabulated or plotted. The platelets typically form a complete threedimensional network array after a few hours exposure. In this porous network structure individual plates grow by lateral extension (and some thickening) as further nucleation of plates takes place, leading to an effective thickening of the film network. It was reported earlier [10] that the hexagonal crystal structures grow with the basal (0001) planes parallel to the exposed broad faces of the ZnO crystals, increasing in size by lateral extension, corresponding to growth perpendicular to either the (1120) or (1010) ZnO



-1

4

Figure 40

ZnO platelet initial nucleation site in a surface scratch on Zn sample, lab sea water, 5 minutes exposure, 2600X



いたい。

ŝ.

13

15

*

,

¥

Ņ

ŧ

Figure 41

ZnO platelet initial nucleation site at inclusion areas on Zn sample, lab sea water, 10 minutes exposure, 2600X prism planes. Figures 42 and 43 are representative of the general thickening of the base film.

4. As growth proceeds with time, the size of any given ZnO platelet is found to reach a maximum of about 50 jum diameter, corresponding to a diameter-to-thickness ratio of about 15. As growth of individual plates ceases, nucleation and growth of other plates in the network continue.

An additional feature occasionally seen in lab sea water exposure samples is the formation of local plate clusters as out-croppings above the base film network. As noted earlier for cluster formations at early stages, these groups form over dark-appearing inclusion areas on the anode surface. Figures 24 and 44 are good examples of the cluster growth phenomenon above the base film network.

5. Under appropriate conditions, a passivating phase of film growth occurs consisting of formation of a compact (non-porous) film. This occurs by the formation of a dense secondary level of cluster oxide formation, originating, as mentioned, over "dark" areas on the base zinc surface and leading to platelet formation parallel to the base anode surface, as shown in Figure 23.



٠

.

6

*

ť,

ţ

ł

ĵ

ĺ

4

Figure 42

Base film growth on Zn sample, natural sea water, 51 hours exposure, 284X



(<u>*</u>

.

L

*

5.

\$

1

1

Figure 43

Base film growth on Zn sample, natural sea water, 3 hours exposure, 2600X



- 1

.

L ?

3

,

ł

ŧ

Figure 44

Cluster oxide growth over inclusion area, lab sea water, 5.5 hours exposure, 1260X It is evident in all these observations that base metal impurities have a pronounced effect on corrosion product morphology and distribution in early stage of film growth and that close attention must be paid to the role of specific base metal microstructural features under various electrochemical conditions. Figure 45 schematically illustrates the corrosion film growth model indicated by the observations in this study and detailed above.

C. SECONDARY CORROSION PRODUCTS

Throughout the present observations, products in addition to the hexagonal ZnU plates are in evidence. The most common of these is the "general background film" (see Figure 38) evident in most of the microphotographs seen here. Figure 46 emphasizes the morphology of another product, which may be the same compound as the general background film. The product in Figure 46 has a spongy ball-like appearance and an affinity for attachment to the broad face of the hexagonal platelets. This product could be another morphological form of ZnO or another compound. Figure 47 shows the X-ray spectrum for Figure 42 and has a distinct Cl peak suggesting the possible presence of a zinc chloride (ZnCl₂) complex. (ZnCl₂ is not crystallographically identified by diffraction.) Figures 46 and 48 illustrate









Figure 46

Spongy ball-like secondary corrosion product growing together over an oxide cluster on steel coupled zinc, 25 hours exposure, natural sea water, 1300X



r M

ALL ARCAN

، چہ

.

64

***** 4

÷

Į

1

Figure 47

X-ray spectrum of spongy ball-like corrosion product on steel coupled zinc, 25 hours exposure



Figure 48

Spongy product filling gaps between ZnO platelets on steel coupled zinc, lab sea water, 65 hours exposure, 2600X that the spongy product tends to grow and fill gaps in the hexagonal ZnO plate network and thus may play a significant role in the growth of a coherent passivating film.

Other corrosion products are evident in small trace amounts on the immersed samples and are presented here in order to catalogue more thoroughly the morphological forms of electrocrystallized products which result from zinc anode corrosion in sea water solution. Figure 49 shows the morphology of a corrosion product unique to specific inclusion areas of a pre-polished and etched sample. This particular form is apparently due to atmospheric oxidation.

Figure 50 is the X-ray spectrum of the product in Figure 45 and shows iron and lead peaks. Figure 51 is another example of the cluster growth phenomenon over the base film. Figure 52 is the X-ray spectrum for the cluster itself (and possibly the underlying volume). It is significant to note that all spectra taken from examples of cluster growth yielded the same relative strengths for the lead and chlorine peaks that are observed in Figure 52. Since lead was observed only at inclusion areas, it can be concluded that the lead in the inclusion areas plays a leading role in the passivating film growth process.

Figure 53 illustrates the morphology of another distinct crystalline corrosion product, determined to contain



No Marcal

£

V

÷.

١

Figure 49

Pre-etched zinc showing an atmospheric oxidized inclusion area, 6600X



- 1

i i i

Figure 50

X-ray spectrum of atmospheric oxidized inclusion area showing Zn, Fb and Fe peaks



*

Figure 51

Cluster growth over base film on steel coupled zinc, natural sea water at 50⁰C, 10 minutes exposure, 2570X



No. Maria

-1

1



X-ray spectrum of cluster growth and possible underlying volume on steel coupled zinc, natural sea water at 50°C, 10 minutes exposure, showing Zn, Pb, and Cl peaks



Mar an ar

×

\$

Figure 53

Silicon-rich corrosion product on preetched zinc, steel coupled, 30 minutes exposure, natural sea water, 6300X proportions of silicon, cadmium, and aluminum. Figure 54 is the spectrum for that product. Figure 55 is a microphotograph of another corrosion product type seen on natural sea water coupled samples. It is of the same morphological form as the product seen previously in Figure 53, but in this case the product is formed at the edge of an inclusion area. The X-ray spectrum (Figure 56) shows some differences from that corresponding to Figure 53, but again with distinctive elemental peaks for silicon, aluminum, lead, cadmium, iron, and chlorine.

とうないというというと

It would appear that the more important secondary corrosion products are those containing iron and lead. Etched samples were most useful to study these products with gross surface irregularities removed, inclusion ar were more prominent as areas of electrochemical dissolution. As mentioned, inclusion areas were found to be primarily lead with traces of iron. Figure 57 shows the corrosion product at an inclusion area after thirty minute immersion. This product was found to be iron-rich. The X-ray spectrum is shown in Figure 58. Figure 59 (spectrum in Figure 60) is an iron-rich product of the same form as that shown in Figure 57. This particular form of iron-rich corrosion product correlates with that seen on unetched coupled samples


New Mr.

- 1

Figure 54

X-ray spectrum of silicon-rich corrosion product on pre-etched zinc, 30 minutes exposure, natural sea water, Zn, Si, Al, and Cd peaks showing



. # *

Ô,

Figure 55

Silicon-rich corrosion product around inclusion area on steel coupled zinc in natural sea water at 50°C, 3 minutes exposure, 6400X



¥.w.

r ~15

1

1

Figure 56

X-ray spectrum of silicon-rich corrosion product on steel coupled zinc, 3 minutes exposure, natural sea water at 50°C, showing Zn, Si, Al, Fe, Pb, Cd, and Cl peaks



NU ARGINA

Figure 57

Iron-rich corrosion product on preetched zinc, steel coupled, 30 minutes exposure, natural sea water, 2550X



Not ARINE

괫

1

Figure 58

X-ray spec_rum of iron-rich corrosion product on pre-etched zinc, steel coupled, 30 minutes exposure, natural sea water, showing Zn and Fe peaks



ŝ

Figure 59

Iron-rich corrosion product representative of iron product morphology on zinc anodes in natural sea water, 2600X



ĥ

7 1

* +_m

No. Martine Contraction

1

Figure 60

X-ray spectrum of iron-rich corrosion product showing Zn and Fe peaks

immersed in sea water electrolyte, which showed similar iron product traces of the same crystal morphology. These iron-rich products were not numerous, which is an important observation. Figure 61 shows the affinity of an iron-rich product for attachment to the broad face of a ZnO platelet formation. Lead-rich products found on various samples were more numerous than any other secondary corrosion product and apparently quite important with respect to passivating film formations. Figure 63 illustrates a lead-rich corrosion product under a cluster formation (on an unetched coupled sample). It is interesting to note that the ZnO platelet orientation around this lead-rich formation shows a greater tendency to orient with broad faces parallel to the base zinc surface. Figure 64 is the X-ray spectrum for the lead corrosion product in Figure 63 and shows lead, chlorine and silicon. Figure 65 is another microphotograph of an etched sample inclusion area which has been coupled and immersed for thirty minutes. This particular microphotograph emphasizes the microcell nature of the process ' near inclusions. The inclusion is obviously microcathodic with respect to the microanodic zinc surrounding it. When the anodic zinc dissolves, a corrosion product (probably a ZnO product) is precipitated in the ever growing void

114

in the second second second



Figure 61

Iron-rich corrosion product attached to broad face of ZnO platelet, preetched zinc, steel coupled, 30 minutes exposure, natural sea water, 2600X



Mar Million

. -

.

1

*

i

ł

: " |

Figure 62

X-ray spectrum of iron-rich corrosion product attached to broad face of ZnO platelet, showing Zn and Fe peaks



Figure 63

Lead-rich corrosion product morphology of steel coupled zinc, 65 hours exposure, natural sea water, 2600X



West Marchan

۵<u>۴</u>

Figure 64

X-ray spectrum of long time exposure lead-rich corrosion product showing Zn, Pb, Cl, and Si peaks



* v_{*,}

₩.

Figure 65

Lead and iron corrosion product in dissolved inclusion area on preetched zinc, steel coupled, 30 minutes exposure, natural sea water, 2550X

around the inclusion. Figure 65 seems to indicate that this process continues until the zinc dissolves to the extent that the inclusion becomes isolated from the base metal, whereupon it undergoes its own oxidation process. Figure 66 is the X-ray spectrum for the corrosion product discussed above, and it shows lead, chlorine, iron and chromium. Chromium is probably present here as a byproduct of the etching process which utilizes chromic acid (see Appendix C). Chromium peaks were eliminated in subsequent samples by a more thorough rinsing process as discussed in the experimental section of this thesis without any resultant change in the corrosion product.

Figure 67 is a mircophotograph of another product of a somewhat different crystal morphology than the previous lead products; Figure 68 shows lead and chlorine peaks for this product. Figure 69 and 71 are examples of the more common form of predominantly lead-rich corrosion product which was found on etched samples after coupling and immersion in sea water electrolyte. Figures 70 and 72 are the X-ray spectrums for Figures 69 and 71 respectively. In Figure 69, the lead product seems to be simply sitting on top of the zinc background rather than at the center such as in Figure 71. The difference in the morphological forms is due to the amount



١...

Figure 66

X-ray spectrum of lead and iron corrosion product showing Zn, Pb, Fe, Cr, and Cl peaks



ALCONT.

上町、第一、三十二十十十十十

Figure 67

Lead-rich crystal morphology for preetched zinc, steel coupled, 30 minutes exposure, natural sea water, 2550X



N. ARATAL Y

Figure 68

X-ray spectrum of lead-rich crystal morphology corrosion product showing Zn, Pb, and Cl peaks



. * 4₈

Figure 69

Example of lead-rich corrosion product not in an inclusion area on pre-etched zinc, steel coupled, natural sea water, 30 minutes exposure, 2550X



24

Figure 70

X-ray spectrum of lead-rich corrosion product on base zinc matrix showing Zn, Pb, and Cr peaks



¢

Figure 71

Lead and chromium-rich corrosion product in an inclusion area on preetched steel coupled zinc, 30 minutes exposure, natural sea water, 2600X



ALL ALLES

24

1.7

Figure 72

X-ray spectrum of lead and chromiumrich corrosion product in an inclusion area showing Zn, Pb, and Cr peaks of chromium left from the chromic acid etch solution with Figure 71 showing the effect of more chromium. Also, iron and lead-rich corrosion products have been observed to be simply sitting on the base zinc matrix as in Figure 69 on unetched zinc samples, and this could be due to a breaking away and resettling of some particulate during the immersion and rinsing process.

D. CRYSTAL GROWTH

ALL AND A

Throughout this thesis there have been numerous references to preferred crystal growth directions for the ZnO corrosion product. The various microphotographs presented show nearly all ZnO platelets attached to the zinc surface at angles in the range of 75° - 115° from the horizontal The reason that randomly nucleated hexagonal surface. shaped crystals have a preferred near normal attachment aspect to the base zinc surface during the precipitation phase of the corrosion mechanism is not clear. An explanation of this phenomenon may involve the well-known electrical double layer theory. [187 The electrical double layer theory postulates that at any metal/electolyte interface, a double layer of opposite ionic charge exists. Any reaction that occurs at the interface is therefore influenced by the electric charges and resulting field produced. In our case,

128

الله ر

it is apparent that the electric field is normal to the zinc surface and that the ZnO platelets precipitate to the zinc surface at a normal angle. On the other hand, this is not always the case. Some platelets are typically observed to nucleate and grow to full hexagonal shape and precipitate parallel to the surface. Figures 73 and 74 are illustrative examples of parallel platelet precipitation during different phases of the corrosion process.

The ZnO platelets have several distinct morphological The most common is the simple hexagonal crystal forms. shape with blunt edges and with generally not all sides of the hexagon visible above the base film (see Figure 38). A morphological variation is shown in Figure 75. This form has a partial-platelet located near the center of a full platelet, possibly having nucleated at some defect in the full platelet and having a fan shape appearance. This particular feature may be important relative to mechanisms of base film growth during later stages of the corrosion In Figure 24, which shows an example of the process. appearance of a passivating film, platelets grow in a stacking process with a predominance of morphological features such as described above. Another common feature in platelet morphology is shown in Figures 44 and 76 where



۰.

ł.

▲君っ 第 ヨード・ド・ド

ş

Figure 73

Parallel ZnO platelet growth on steel coupled zinc, 12 minutes exposure, lab sea water, 6600X



۲. .

•

「第二軍人 ふまし、

3

l

Figure 74

Parallel ZnO platelet growth over base film on steel coupled zinc, 50 minutes exposure, lab sea water, 2650X



Figure 75

Common ZnO platelet morphology on steel coupled zinc, 15 minutes exposure, lab sea water, 6250X

2

Ì



* *3

Figure 76

"X" platelet morphology in cluster formations over base film on steel coupled zinc, 25 hours exposure, natural sea water, 250X multiple platelets are attached at a near-normal angle with what must be commonly shared atomic sites. This form is found more often in the cluster oxide formations above inclusion sites.

E. PASSIVATION

Little can be found in the literature which describes the microscopic electrochemical kinetics of passivation effects. Conway $\lfloor 187 \rfloor$, in the only thorough discussion that was found of this important aspect of anode performance, has suggested that passivation effects can arise in two or three distinguishable ways: (1) reduction of the available anode surface area for the electrochemical reaction by formation of a corrosion product (generally an oxide or hydroxide); (2) change in the electrochemical standard free energy of activation due to the presence of a corrosion product which changes the energy of activation; (3) change of the catalytic properties and local field at the metal surface by even small surface coverage of corrosion product. The effect (3) is analogous to that considered under (2) but may be more specific and operate at lower surface coverage by corrosion product.

Since the nucleation and growth process slows with time, the surface area reduction effect described above is an

obvious contributor to a final passivating film formation. However, that effect does not cause passivation. Passivation is found in this study to occur as a result of surfaceparallel ZnO platelet growth such that the electrolyte is effectively isolated from the zinc surface. Since all the evidence discussed previously points to inclusion areas as initiation points for parallel ZnO growth, the effect described above concerning a local field change is probably the cause of this phenomenon. The possible local field change at an inclusion area is shown in Figure 77 below:



Figure 77

Zinc surface with electric field lines and the affect of inclusion areas on the near surface electric field The change in the field lines is due to dissimilar metal effects on a microscopic level much the same way an electric field exists macroscopically between the zinc and steel galvanic couple. This causes the platelets to orient with the field lines and precipitate parallel to the zinc surface. Another more obvious effect at inclusion areas is a higher concentration of zinc ions surrounding these areas causing higher local nucleation of the ZnO platelets and subsequent denser oxide film growth.

An one we have been another man and the second second second to the second se

V. CONCLUSIONS

The following is a list of the significant conclusions reached as a result of this study:

1. Zinc anodes in static sea water conditions corrode according to the model shown in Figure 41 and described in the corrosion model section.

2. Areas near cathodic inclusions on the zinc anode surface are initiation sites for enhanced electrochemical dissolution and are also sites at which passivating film growth tends to begin.

3. Inclusion areas on the U.S. Navy service zinc anodes tested are predominantly lead-rich.

4. Total elimination of clemental impurities is not necessarily the answer to eliminating inclusion area effects, as high purity zinc does not corrode uniformly. Control of the number, distribution, and composition of inclusions in an optimized alloy composition is the most in control approach.

5. The adverse effect of iron with right to passivation is not evidenced in this study. Therefore, if iron content is kept below the 0.0014% value prescribed by MIL-18001H, it will not be a problem in service.

6. In general, present MIL-1800111 zinc anodes are only suspect for use on ships which are in port for sustained periods of time. Velocity eft. ts are anticipated to diminish greatly the probability that a passivating film will grow on ships which are underway.

VI. SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER WORK

The efforts to date have concentrated on the mechanisms and kinetics of anodic corrosion product growth on zinc anodes such as are used for cathodic protection applications. The results of this study could be extended by further isolation of individual variables which have been found to have the greatest effect on zinc corrosion behavior. These might include:

1. A determination of the effect of base metal compositional and microstructural variables on macroscopic corrosion rates, corrosion product structures, and nucleation and growth mechanisms. These variables might include grain size effects, phase distribution effects, and alloying element distribution effects.

と見たいという

2. A determination of the effect of electrolyte agitation and velocity on corrosion product structures in order to understand the effect of hydrodynamic disturbances to near-surface electrolyte layers and to the microscopic dissolution-precipitation reactions occurring within.

3. A determination of the effect of potential/ current density variations on corrosion product structures.

4. A determination of the effect of the primary electrochemical variables including temperature, pressure, and dissolved oxygen on corrosion product morphology.

5. Parallel studies of other protective anode alloy systems including aluminum alloys.

A detailed understanding of all the variable effects discussed above ultimately will lead to a better general knowledge of the corrosion process in microscopic detail and will lead to an optimum anode alloy for use in ship hull cathodic protection system.

It is further recommended that natural sea water or its laboratory prepared equivalent be used as the electrolyte in all the above studies in order to simulate more closely in-service conditions.

TABLE I

~

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF ZINC SAMPLES*

Metal	Per Cent <u>Composition</u>
Lead	0.0020
Iron	0.0020
Cadmium	0.0030
Copper	0.0003
Aluminum	0.0010
Silicon	0.0010
Zinc	Remainder

*Spectrographic analysis by Metallurgical Labs, Inc., San Francisco, California

State of the state of the state

TABLE II*

ASTM D 1141	
Compound	Concentration, g/liter
NaCl	24.53
MgCl ₂	5.20
Na ₂ SO ₄	4.09
CaCl ₂	1.16
KCl	0.695
NaHCO3	0.201
KBr	0.101
н _з во _з	0.027
SrCl ₂	0.025
NaF	0.003
Ba(NO ₃) ₂	0.0000994
$Mn(NO_3)_2$	0.0000340
Cu(NO ₃) ₂	0.0000308
$Zn(NO_3)_2$	0.000096
рь(NO ₃) ₂	0.000066
AgNO3	0.0000049

CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF SYNTHETIC OCEAN WATER ASTM D 1141

pH concentration after adjustment is 8.2

*see Appendix A

31
TABLE III

1. A. A.

Marz Grade (99.999%) Zinc				<u>Clean Zi</u>	nc		
<u>20</u>	$d(\Lambda^0)$	<u> </u>	$\frac{1/I_1 Zn}{1}$	20	$d(\Lambda^{o})$	I	1/1 ₁ Zn
17.95	4.9377	13	2	36.35	2.4695	470	47
32.75	2.7323	40	5	39.05	2.3048	380	38
36.4	2.4663	760	100	43.25	2.0902	1000	100
39.0	2.3076	55	7	54.4	1.6852	245	25
43.25	2.0902	100+	13	54.5	1.6823	140	14
43.4	2.0833	86	11	70.15	1.3405	210	21
46.15	1.9654	20	3	70.3	1.3380	150	15
54.35	1.6866	38	5	70.65	1.3322	90	9
54.5	1.6823	25	3	70.85	1.3289	88	9
70.1	1.3413	32	4	77.10	1.2350	28	3
70.3	1.3380	19	2	77.25	1.2340	17	2
77.2	1.2347	190	25	82.1	1.1730	165	17
82.1	1.1730	110	14	82.35	1.1700	89	9
82.35	1.1700	67	9	83.75	1.1540	27	3
89.95	1.0898	26	3	86.55	1,1237	101	10
90.25	1.0870	17	2	86.85	1.1206	57	6
L15.8	.9093	44	6	90.0	1.0894	29	3
L16.25	.9071	27	4	90.3	1.0865	19	2
L28.5	.8552	34	4	94.9	1.0456	33	3
L29.05	.8533	24	3	95.2	1.0431	24	2
139.2	.8218	100+	13	109.15	.9453	52	5
L40.0	.8197	79	10	109.55	.9430	30	3
				115.85	.9091	30	3
				116.4	.9063	56	6
				116.85	.9042	32	3
				124.0	.8724	24.0	02
				124.6	.8700	18	2
				127.45	.8591	73	7
				128.05	.8569	46	5
				131.9	.8435.	19	2

X-RAY POWDER PATTERNS OF SELECTED SAMPLES

Zinc Coupled with Steel in Natural Sea Water Electrolyte, 10-Minute Exposure. (Sample No. 59) ۲,

<u></u>	<u>d(A⁰)</u>	<u> </u>	I/I_1Zn	I/I_1ZnO
35.3	2.5406	13.5	1	54
36.3	2.4728	420	42	<u> </u>
37.8	2.3781	13	1	
38.05	2.3630	14	1	
39.15	2.2991	320	32	
39.9	2.2576	14	1	
41.8	2.1593	16	2	
43.3	2.0879	1000	100	
44.65	2.0279	19	2	
54.5	1.6823	172	17	
70.25	1.3388	170	$\overline{\overline{17}}$	
70.37	1.3368	92	9	
70.75	1.3306	130	13	
70.95	1.3273	69	7	
77.20	1.2347	25	3	100
77.40	1.2320	21	$\overline{\overline{2}}$	200
82.20	1.1718	131	13	
82.4	1.1694	73	7	
83.8	1.1534	25.5	3	
84.1	1.1501	19	$\overline{2}$	
86.62	1.1230	98	10	
86.90	1,1201	51.5	5	
90.05	1.0889	27	3	
90.30	1.0865	19	$\overline{2}$	
94.95	1.0452	28	3	
95.25	1.0427	21	$\overline{2}$	84
109.2	•9450	41	4	
109.6	.9427	25	3	
115.95	.9086	29	3	
116.5	.9059	49	5	100+
L10.95	.9037	27.5	3	<u></u>
LZ4.05	.8722	22	2	
	.8700	15.5	2	
	.8589	72	<u>7</u>	
128.1	.8567	47	E	

1

Zinc Coupled with Steel in Natural Sea Water Electrolyte, 12-Minute Exposure. (Sample No. 60)

20	$d(A^{o})$	I	I/I_1Zn	$1/1_1Zn0$
35.3	2,5406	13	2	<u>50</u>
36.3	2.4728	312	48	
37.7	2.3842	12.5	2	
39.2	2.2963	180	27	
39,95	2.2549	12	2	
42.0	2.1495	14	2	
43.2	2.0925	655	100	
44.65	2.0279	16	2	
54.55	1.6809	94	14	
70.3	1.3380	101	15	
70.85	1.3289	74	11	
77.25	1.2340	26	4	<u>100</u>
82.25	1.1712	72	11	
82.50	1.1683	50	8	
83.9	1.1523	17	<u>3</u>	
84.0	1.1512	17	3	
86.7	1.1221	61	<u>9</u>	
86.95	1.1196	33	5	
90.15	1.0879	21	<u></u>	
90.4	1.0856	15		
95.0	1.0448	21.5	<u>3</u>	
95.4	1.0415	12	2	<u>46</u>
109.25	.9447	33	5	
109.7	.9421	20.5	3	
115.95	.9086	38	<u>6</u>	-
116.50	.9059	50.5	8	100^{+}
116.95	.9036	25.5	4	
124.2	.8716	18	3	
124.7	.8696	13	2	
127.5	.8589	60	9	
128.1	.8567	37	6	
132.0	. 8432	14	2	

Zinc Coupled with Steel in Natural Sea Water Electrolyte, 15 Minutes Exposure. (Sample No. 61)

1

しつますべい

20	$d(A^{o})$	I	I/I_1Zn	1/1 ₁ Zn0
35.3	2.5406	12	1	<u>50</u>
36.5	2.4597	380	<u>40</u>	
39.2	2.2963	280	<u>30</u>	
41.9	2.1544	17.5	2	
43.3	2.0879	940	<u>100</u>	
44.65	2.0279	16	2	
54.5	1.6823	130	$\frac{14}{14}$	
70.25	1.3388	130	<u>14</u>	
70.4	1.3363	85	9	
70.75	1.3306	100+	브	
71.0	1.3265	65	$\frac{1}{2}$	100+
77.2	1.2347	26	3	100
77.45	1.2313	20	2	
82.2	1.1718	100+	브	
82.45	1.1689	64	1	
83.85	1.1529	24	3	
84.15	1.1495	16	2	
86.65	1.1227	86	<u>9</u>	
86.90	1.1201	56	0	
90.1	1.0884	21	4	
90.35	1.0861	15	2	
95.0	1.0448	28	<u>2</u>	63
95.25	1.0427	16.5	2 /.	
109.2	.9450	40	4	
109.65	.9424	26	3	
115.95	.9086	29	55	100+
116.5	.9059	4/	7	<u> </u>
116.95	.9037	25	2	
124.1	.8720	23 17	<u>-</u> 2	
124.65	.8698	1/ 70	7	
127.5	.8589	/U /.0	<u>'</u>	
128.1	.826/	42	$\frac{1}{2}$	
131.95	.8434	TD	<u> </u>	

Zinc Coupled with Steel in Synthetic Sea Water Electrolyte, 15 Minutes Exposure. (Sample No. 80)

3 5

. -

Ś

20	$d(A^{O})$	I	I/I ₁ Zn	1/I ₁ ZnO
36.55 39.20 43.45 54.50 54.60 70.3 70.80 77.25 77.40 82.25 82.50 83.90 86.70 86.95	2.4565 2.2963 2.0810 1.6823 1.6795 1.3380 1.3298 1.2340 1.2320 1.1712 1.1683 1.1523 1.1221 1.1196	580 305 780 120 110 130 77 37 28 86 58 24 86 58 24 86 53	$ \begin{array}{r} 74\\39\\100\\15\\14\\17\\10\\5\\4\\11\\7\\3\\11\\7\\3\\11\\7\end{array} $	<u>100</u>
90.10 95.05 95.35	1.0884 1.0444 1.0419	25 28 18	<u>3</u> <u>4</u> 2	<u>50</u>

Zinc Coupled with Steel in Natural Sea Water Electrolyte, 20 Minutes Exposure. (Sample No. 62)

; 4. .

Þ

ふたいたい ちょうく ちょう

20	d(A ⁰)	I	I/I ₁ Zn	$1/1_1Zn0$
35.3	2.5406	1.2	1	44
36.45	2.4630	370	<u>37</u>	
39.40	2.2851	260	26	
43.65	2.0720	1000	100	
54.50	1.6823	150	<u>15</u>	
/0.25	1.3388	130	<u>13</u>	
70.75	1.3306	100+	<u>10</u>	
77.20	1.2347	27	<u>3</u>	<u>100</u>
82.20	1.1718	100+	<u>10</u>	
82.40	1.1694	76	8	
83.80	1.1534	24	2	
86.65	1.1227	85	<u>8</u>	
86.90	1.1201	48	5	
90.10	1.0884	21	2	
95.00	1.0448	27	3	
95.30	1.0423	17	2	<u>63</u>
109.20	.9450	42	4	
109.65	.9424	27	3	
115.95	.9086	32	3	
116.50	.9059	48	5	100^{+}
116.90	.9039	27	3	
124.10	.8720	19	<u>2</u>	
127.50	.8589	70	<u>7</u>	
128.10	.8567	46	5	
132.95	.8401	17	2	
139.00	.8224	31	3	
139.70	.8205	20	2	

Zinc Coupled with Steel in Lab Sea Water Electrolyte, 20 Minutes Exposure. (Sample No. 78)

Ŷ

and the second

•

 Z_1^{*}

E.v.

Ş.

ă)

...

*

なまたいとうちょうちょうとうとう

57

20	d(A ⁰)	<u> </u>	$\frac{1/l_1 Zn}{2}$	1/1 ₁ Zn0
20.55	4.3185	14	2	
32.85	2.7242	14	2	
33.55	2.6690	13	1	
35.75	2.5096	23	3	<u>50</u>
36.45	2.4630	900	100	
39.15	2.2991	310	34	
-,3.40	2.0833	890	99	
54.45	1.6838	130	14	
70.20	1.3396	140	16	
70.40	1.3363	98	11	
70.75	1.3306	130	14	
70.95	1.3273	71	8	
77.15	1.2354	54	6	100
82.20	1.1718	100+	<u>11</u>	
82.45	1.1689	59	7	
83.85	1.1529	27	<u>3</u>	
86.60	1.1232	99	11	
86.90	1.1201	57	6	
90.05	1.0889	30	<u>3</u>	
90.30	1.0865	18	2	

~

Zinc Coupled with Steel in Synthetic Sea Water Electrolyte, 50 Minutes Exposure. (Sample No. 105)

20	$d(A^{O})$	I	$\frac{1}{1_1 Zn}$	$\frac{1}{1}^{2nC}$
25.5	3.4903	11	2	
32.9	2.7202	12	2	
33.7	2.6574	12	2.	<u>50</u>
36.55	2.4565	230	32	
39.25	2.2935	210	29	
43.45	2.0810	720	100	
54.60	1.6795	92	13	
70.35	1.3372	94	13	
70.80	1.3298	87	12	
77.35	1.2327	22	3	<u>100</u>
82.30	1.1706	83	12	
83.90	1.1523	23	3	
86.75	1.1216	75	10	
86.95	1,1196	50	-7	
90.15	1.0879	20	<u>3</u>	

Zinc Coupled with Steel in Lab Sea Water Electrolyte, 50 Minutes Exposure. (Sample No. 106)

٠.

20	d(A ^O)	<u> </u>	I/I ₁ Zn	$1/1_1Zn0$
35.35	2.5371	13	2	<u>50</u>
36.55	2.4565	260	33	
39.2	2.2963	230	29	
39.95	2.2549	14	2	
42.5	2.1253	20	3	
43.5	2.0788	790	<u>100</u>	
54.6	1.6795	100	13	
70.25	1.3388	100	<u>13</u>	
70.80	1.3298	92	<u>12</u>	
77.25	1.2340	27	<u>3</u>	<u>100</u>
82.3	1.1706	86	<u>11</u>	
82.5	1.1683	53	<u>7</u>	
83.9	1.1529	25	<u>3</u>	
84.1	1.1501	15.5	2	
86.75	1.1216	77	<u>10</u>	
87.0	1.1190	45	6	
90.2	1.0875	20	3	
90.4	1.0856	15	2	
95.0	1.0448	22	3	
95 3	1.0423	17	2	

Zinc Coupled with Steel in Lab Sea Water Electrolyte, 4 Hours Exposure. (Sample No. 93)

0,

0

Ì.

10 Y.

1

20	d(A ⁰)	I	I/I ₁ Zn	1/1 ₁ ZnO	$1/1_1$ ZnCO ₃
20.6	4.3081	15.5	2		
21.45	4.1393	27	. 4		
24.1	3.6898	17	2		
25.5	3.4903	42	5		100
27.5	3.2408	14	2		
28.4	3.1401	15	2		
29.85	2.9908	14	2		
30.25	2.9522	23	3		
32.4	2.7610	13.5	2	<u>43</u>	<u>32</u>
32.9	2.7202	40	5		
33.6	2.6651	42	5	<u>100</u> +-	
35.2	2.5475	15	2		
35.75	2.5096	41	5	100+	
36.5	2.4597	510	<u>67</u>	<u>100</u> +	
37.45	2.3995	21	3		
39.2	2.2963	210	<u>28</u>		
43.4	2.0833	760	<u>100</u>	,	
48.4	1.8791	18	2	<u>60</u>	
54.5	1.6823	100+	<u>13</u>		
58.5	1.5765	19	2	<u>63</u>	
59.0	1.5643	16	2	<u>53</u>	
60.3	1.5337	11	1		<u>26</u>
62.65	1.4817	12	2	<u>40</u>	
70.25	1.3388	135	$\frac{18}{18}$		
70.5	1.3347	77	<u>10</u>		
70.8	1.3298	86	<u>11</u>		
71.0	1.3265	52	$\frac{7}{1}$		
77.25	1.2340	30.5	$\frac{4}{2}$	100	
77.5	1.2307	22	3	73	
82.25	1.1712	101	<u>13</u>	<u>100</u> +	
82.5	1.1683	60	8		
83.9	1.1523	23	3		
84.2	1.1490	11	1		
86./	1.1221	/4.5	10		
86.9	1.1201	44	6		
90.T	1.0884	24	3		
90.4	1.0856	. 17	2		
95.05	1.0444	26	3		
95.35	1.0419	15	2		

Zinc Coupled with Steel in Natural Sea Water Electrolyte, 427 Hours Exposure. (Sample No. 95)

the second second second second

20	d(A ^O)	I	I/I ₁ Zn	1/1 ₁ Zn0	I/I ₁ ZnCO ₃
13.80	6.4119	97	54		
14.25	6.2104	27	15		
18.50	4.9721	23	13		
20,40	4.3499	65	36		
21.45	4.1393	36	20		
24.05	3.6973	39	22		
25.50	3,4903	69	38		87
27.55	3.2351	62	34		
28.40	3,1401	36	20		
29.85	2,9908	30	17		
30.25	2.9522	67	37		
32.35	2.7652	23	13		
32.95	2.7162	79	44	88	100
33.65	2.6613	86	48	97	
35.10	2.5546	31	17		
35.75	2.5096	90	50	100	
36.60	2.4532	69	38		
37.35	2,4057	34	19		
39.15	2.2991	61	34		77
41.75	2.1618	16	9		
43.45	2.0810	180	100		100+
48.40	1.8791	47	26	<u>52</u>	
49.05	1.8557	22	12		
54.05	1.6953	48	27		<u>61</u>
54.60	1.6795	36	<u>20</u>		
58.50	1.5765	43	24	<u>48</u>	
59.0	1.5643	36	20	40	
60.30	1.5337	30	17		<u>38</u>
62.70	1.4806	18	10	<u>20</u>	<u>23</u>
66.95	1.3966	21	12	23	
69.0	1.3600	17	9	<u>19</u>	
70.30	1.3380	50	<u>28</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>63</u>
70.85	1.3289	27	<u>15</u>	<u>30</u>	
71.05	1.3257	24	<u>13</u>		
77.25	1.2340	16	<u>9</u>	<u>18</u>	
82.30	1.1706	31	<u>17</u>		
86.75	1.1216	27	<u>15</u>		
90.20	1.0875	19	11		

20	$d(A^{O})$		I/I_1Zn	$1/1_1ZnO$	$1/1_{1}2nc0_{3}$
109.25 116.0 116.50 117.0 127.35 128.20	.9447 .9083 .9054 .9034 .8594 .8563	18 20 29 18 29 19	10 11 16 10 16 11	<u>32</u>	<u>25</u>

6

. За₁₄

TABLE IV

Ĩ E

	Zn			<u>ZnO</u>	
$\frac{d(A^{O})}{d(A^{O})}$	<u>1/1</u>	hkl	d(A ⁰)	1/1 ₁	hkl
2.473	53	002	2.816	71	100
2.308	40	100	2.602	56	002
2.091	100	101	2.476	100	101
1.687	28	102	1.911	29	102
1.342	25	103	1.626	40	110
1.332	21	110	1.477	35	103
1.237	2	004	1.407	6	200
1.1729	23	112	1.379	28	112
1.1538	5	200	1.359	14	201
1.1236	17	201	1.301	3	004
1.0901	3	104	1.238	5	202
1.0456	5	202	1.1812	3	104
0.9454	8	203	1.0920	10	203
0.9093	6	105	1.0639	4	210
0.9064	11	114	1.0422	10	211
0.8722	5	210	1.0158	5	114
0.8589	9	211	0.9848	4	212
0.8437	2	204	0.9764	7	105
0.8245	1	006	0.9555	1	204
0.8225	9	212	0.9382	4	300
			0.9069	12	213
			0.8826	6	302
			0.8675	1	006
			0.8369	6	205
			0.8290	2	106
			0.8237	2	214
			0.8125	5	220

HANAWALT X-RAY POWDER PATTERN STANDARD DATA

155

	ZnCO3			≪ - Zn(C1 ₂
$\frac{d(A^0)}{d(A^0)}$	1/11	hkl	<u>d(A⁰)</u>	<u>1/1</u>	hkl
3.55	49	012	4.79	65	011
2.750	100	104	3.08	100	112
2.329	25	110	2.903	20	013
2.110	18	113	2.351	25	121
1.946	26	202	1.975	16	123
1.776	11	024	1.928	8	015
1.703	44	116,018	1.910	25	220
1.515	13	211	1.866	45	024
1.493	13	122	1.773	6	031
1.411	9	214	1.621	30	132
1.408	2	1.0.10	1.595	6	033
1.374	3	208	1.339	25	125,116
1.357	2	119	1.482	8	231
1.343	9	300	1.423	4	017
1.2524	6	0.0.12	1.374	6	233
1.2423	1	217	1.357	4	035
1.2048	3	0.2.10	1.350	10	040
1.1833	8	306,128	1.299	4	141
1.1632	1	220	1.290	4	008
1.1057	2	312	1.259	4	127
1.1028	2	1.1.12	1.236	6	332
1.0710	6	134	1.225	2	143
1.0699	3	2.1.10	1.212	16	235,136
1.0552	2	226	1.105	2	145
1.0371	<1	0.1.14	1.094	16	244
0.9730	5	404			
0.9606	8	318			
0.9473	1	2.0.14			
0.9201	3	1.1.15			
0.9174	3	232			
0.9160	8	3.0.12			
0.8977	<1	324			
0.8967	<1	1.3.10			
0.8878	<1	048			
0.8793	1	410			
0.8775	< 1	1.2.14			
0.8523	1	2.2.12			
0.8514	< <u>1</u>	0.2.16			
0.8370	<1	4.0.10			

ę - PbO ₂			ZnC	$ZnCl_2 \cdot 4Zn(OH)_2$		
$d(\Lambda^{o})$	<u>1/1</u>	hkl	d(A ⁰)	1/1 ₁	hkl	
3.50	100+	110	7.87	100	003	
2.79	80	101	5.35	21	101	
2.46	90	200	4.02	21	104	
1.85	50	211	3.94	8	006	
1.75	50	220	3.58	23	105	
1.68	50	002	3.17	37	110	
1.556	30	310	2.940	31	113	
1.519	30	112	2.878	25	107	
1.486	50	301	2.725	53	201	
1.387	30	202	2.672	67	202	
1.273	50	321	2.601	21	108	
1.238	30	400	2,488	3	204	
1.214	30	222	2.470	10	116	
1.152	30	312	2.374	37	205	
			2.174	5	1.0.10	
			2.067	7	211	
			2.023	22	119	
			2.012	9	208	
			1.971	4	0 0.12	
			1.957	9	214	
			1.900	8	215	
			1.830	4	300	
			1.792	8	2.0.10	
			1.782	5	303	
			1.768	13	217	

218

2.0.11

1.1.12 306

220

223

314 226

315 2.0.14

317 401

2.0.13 309

15

14

8 5 4

P.S.W.

157

1.768 1.699

1.693

1.674 1.660 1.585 1.554 1.517 1.502 1.475 1.471 1.449 1.439 1.388 1.369

TABLE V

N. ANT

ZINC SAMPLE COMPILATION SHEET

(All samples tested at 25°C unless noted)

SAMPLE			STEEL		
NO.	ELECTROLYTE	TIME	COUPLE	SEM	<u>X-RAY</u>
1				x	
$\overline{2}$	dist. water	2 min		x	
3	dist. water	5 min		x	
4	dist. water	32 min		х	
5	dist. water	5 hr		х	
6	tap water	24 hr		х	
7	díst. water	24 hr		х	
8	tap water	20 hr		X	
9	dist. water	20 hr		х	
10	nat. sea	2 min		X	
11	nat. sea	5 min		Х	
12	nat. sea	32 min		х	
13	nat. sea	12 hr		x	
14	nat. sea	5.75 hr		x	
15	nat. sea	9.5 hr		Х	
16	nat. sea	12 hr	Х	x	
17	nat. sea	6 hr	Х	Х	
18	nat. sea	2 min	х	Х	
19	nat. sea	5 min	х	х	
20	nat. sea	30 min	Х	х	
21	nat. sea	1.5 hr	х	х	
22	nat. sea	16.5 hr	х	Х	
23	nat. sea	3 min	Х	X	
24	nat. sea	5 min	х	х	
25	nat. sea	7 min	х	Х	
26	nat. sea	9 min	Х	X	
27	nat. sea	36 min	Х	X	
28	nat. sea	2.5 hr	Х	X	
29	nat. sea	1.5 hr	x	X	
30	nat. sea	17 hr	х	X	
31	dist. water	17 hr	Х	X	
32	dist. water	2.5 hr	х	X	
33	nat. sea	2.5 hr	х	X	
34	nat. sea	1 hr	X	X	
35	dist. water	4.3 hr	Х	х	

SAMPLE			STEEL		
NO.	ELECTROLYTE	TIME	COUPLE	SEM	X-RAY
36	nat. sea	4.3 hr	x	x	
37	nat. sea	5.2 hr	x	x	
38	nat. sea	51 hr	x	x	
39	nat. sea	64 hr	x	x	
40				x	х
41	nat. sea	1 min	х	x	
42	nat. sea	2 m ¹	X	x	
43	nat. sea	3 min	x	x	
44	nat. sea	4 min	X	x	
45	nat. sea	5 min	х	x	
46	nat. sea	7 min	x	X	
47	nat. sea	10 min	X		
48	nat. sea	12 min	х		
49	nat. sea	15 min	Х		
50	nat. sea	20 min	Х		
51	nat. sea	25 min	Х	х	
52	nat. sea	30 min	Х		
53	nat. sea	1 min	х		
54	nai. sea	2 min	Х		
55	nat. sea	3 min	Х	х	
56	nat. sea	4 min	Х		
57	nat. sea	5 min	х		
58	nat. sea	7 min	Х	х	
59	nat. sea	10 min	Х	х	х
60	nat. sea	12 min	Х	х	х
61	nat. sea	15 min	Х	х	х
62	nat. sea	20 min	Х	х	х
63	nat. sea	25 min	х	х	
64	nat. sea	30 min	х	х	
65	nat. sea	35 min	Х	х	
66	nat. sea	40 min	х	Х	
67	0.1 NaC1	20 min	х	Х	
68	0.1 NaCl	10 min	Х		
69	0.1 NaCl	15 min	Х		
70	nat. sea	1.2 hr	Х	Х	
71	nat. sea	30 min	Х	Х	
72	nat. sea	20 min	Х		
73	nat. sea	22 min	Х	Х	
74	nat. sea	20 min	X		
75	nat. sea	25 hr	Х	X	*
76	nat. sea	113 hr	Х	Х	
77	nat. sea	187 hr	Х	Х	

SAMPLE			STEEL		
NO.	ELECTROLYTE	TIME	COUPLE	SEM	<u>X-RAY</u>
78	lab sea	18 hr	X	X	X
79	lab sea	20 min	х	X	X
80	lab sea	15 min	Х	X	X
81	lab sea	10 min	Х	X	
82	lab sca	30 min	Х	X	
83	lab sea	40 min	X	X	
84	lab sea	3 hr	X	. X	
85	lab sea	17 hr	X	X	
86	lab sea	5 min	X	31	
87	lab sea	7 min	x	X	
88	lab sea	10 min	X	X	
89	lab sea	12 min	X	X	
90	lab sea	15 min	х	X	
91	lab sea	20 min	Х	X	
92	lab sea	25 min	х	X	
93	lab sea	4 hr	Х	X	X
94	lab sea	1 hr	Х	X	
95	nat. sea	427 hr	Х	X	x
96	lab sea	24 hr	Х	Х	
97	lab sea	5.4 hr	X	х	
98	lab sea	12.8 hr	Х	Х	
99	lab sea	30 min	Х	х	
100	lab sea	1 hr	Х	х	
101	lab sca	40 min	X	X	
102	lab sea	24 hr	X	X	
103	lab sea	65 hr	Х	X	
104	lab sea	161 hr	Х	X	
105	lab sea	50 min	х	X	X
106	lab sea	50 min	Х	X	x
107	lab sea	50 min	Х	x	
108	lab sea	30 min	Х	х	
109	nat. sea	50 min	х	х	
110	nat. sea	50 min	Х	Х	
111	nat. sea	50 min	X	х	
112	nat, sea ²	50 min	X	X	
113	nat. seal	10 min	X	X	
114	nat. seal	15 min	X	X	
115.	nat. seal	22 min	X	Х	
116	nat. sea ¹	5 min	X	X	
117	nat. sea ¹	3 min	х	Х	
118	see note 2			X	X
119	see note 3			X	

SAMPLE			STEEL		
<u>NO.</u>	ELECTROLYTE	TIME	COUPLE	SEM	<u>X-RAY</u>
120	lab sea ³	30 min	x	x	
121	nat. sea ³	30 min	х	х	
122	lab sea ³	10 min	х	Х	
123	nat. sea ³	10 min	х	Х	
124	dist. water ³	3 hr	х	Х	

Note 1. - Temperature = 50°C Note 2. - MARZ Grade zinc sample (00.999% zinc)

Note 3. - Etched Samples

ي.

3

STAR S

くことすべくとス・ます

APPENDIX A

ASTM Standard D1141-52/Standard Specification for Substitute Ocean Water.

1. Scope

1.1 This specification covers the preparation of solutions containing inorganic salts in proportions and concentrations representative of ocean water.

Note 1 - Since the concentration of ocean water varies with sampling location, the gross concentration employed herein is an average of many reliable individual analyses. Trace elements, occurring naturally in concentrations below 0.005 ppm, are not included.

1.2 This specification provides three stock solutions, each relatively concentrated but stable in storage. For preparation of substitute ocean water, aliquots of the first two stock solutions, with added salt, are combined in larger volume. An added refinement in adjustment of heavymetal concentration is provided by the addition of a small aliquot of the third stock solution to the previous solution.

2. Application

2.1 This substitute ocean water may be used for laboratory testing where a reproducible solution simulating sea water is required. Examples are for tests on oil contamination, detergency evaluation, and corrosion testing.

Note 2 - The lack of organic matter, suspended matter, and marine life in this solution does not permit unqualified acceptance of test results as representing performance in actual ocean water. Where corrosion is involved, the results obtained from laboratory tests may not approximate those secured under natural testing conditions which differ greatly from those of the laboratory, and especially where effects of velocity, salt atmosphere, or organic constituents are involved. Also the rapid depletion of reacting elements present in low concentrations suggests caution in direct application of results.

3. Reagents

3.1 Purity of Reagents; Reagent grade chemicals shall be used in all tests. Unless otherwise indicated, it is intended that all reagents shall conform to the specifications of the committee on Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society, where such specifications are available. Other grades may be used, provided it is first ascertained that the reagent is of sufficiently high purity to permit its use without lessening the accuracy of the determination.

3.2 Furity of Water; Unless otherwise indicated, references to water shall be understood to mean reagent water conforming to ASTM Specification D 1193, for Reagent Water.

3.3 Sodium Hydroxide Standard Solution (1.10N); Prepare and standardize as directed in ASTM Methods E 200, for Preparation, Standardization, and Storage of Standard Solutions for Chemical Analysis.

3.4 Stock Solution No. 1 - Dissolve the indicated amounts of the following salts in water and dilute to a total volume of 7.0 liters. Store in well-stoppered glass containers.

MgC12	• 6 H ₂ 0	3889.0g
CaC12	(anhydrous)	405.6g
SrC12	• 6 H ₂ O	14.8g

3.5 Stock Solution No. 2 - Dissolve the indicated amounts of the following salts in water and dilute to a total volume of 7.0 liters. Store in well-stoppered glass containers.

KC1	486.2g
NaHCO ₃	140.7g
KBr .	70.4g
н ₃ во ₃	19.0g
NaF	2.1g

3.6 Stock Solution No. 3 - Dissolve the indicated amounts of the following salts in water and dilute to a total volume of 10.0 liters. Store in well-stoppered amber glass containers.

$Ba(NO_3)_2$	0.994g
Mn(NO ₃) ₂ · 6 H ₂ O	0.546g
$Ca(NO_3)_2 \cdot 3 H_2O$	0.396g
Zn(NO ₃) ₂ · 6 H ₂ O	0.151g
Pb(NO ₃)_	0. 066g
AgNO ₃	0.0049g

Note 3 - To make the addition of AgNO₃ in the above solution, dissolve 0.049g of AgNO₃ in water and dilute to 1 liter. Add 100ml. of this solution to stock solution No. 3 before diluting to 10.0 liters.

4. Preparation of Substitute Ocean Water

日に見たいでいたのでは、

a state of the second second to be a second to be a

4.1 Dissolve 245.34g of sodium chloride (NaCl) and 40.94g of anhydrous sodium sulfate (Na₂SO₄) in 8 to 9 liters of water. Add slowly with vigorous stirring 200ml of stock solution No. 1 and then 100ml of stock solution No. 2. Dilute to 10.0 liters. Adjust the pH to 8.2 with 0.1 N sodium hydroxide (NaOH) solution. Only a few milliliters of (Na OH) solution should be required. Note 4 - The solution should be prepared and the pH adjusted immediately prior to use.

5. Preparation of Substitute Ocean Water with Heavy Metals 5.1 Add 10 ml of stock solution No. 3 slowly, and with vigorous stirring, to 10.0 liters of the substitute ocean water prepared as described in section 4.

APPENDIX B

Scanning Electron Microscope

The introduction of the Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) in the recent past has enabled the Materials Science field to have available a valuable new technique which overcomes to a great extent many of the limitations of optical and conventional electron microscopes. [16] One great advantage of the SEM besides the availability of much higher magnification (up to 20,000X) is high depth of field. This makes flat specimens unnecessary and makes SEM pictures look "natural." The SEM also gives the scientist an ability to detect regions of different electric charge in a specimen, to examine opaque specimens, and to produce stereoscopic images.

The operating principle of the SEM is closely related to a conventional electron microscope. An electron gun produces a beam of electrons at a diameter of about 50um. This beam is reduced by two condensing lenses and a final lens which are electrostatic in nature. The final size of the beam is about 100A (or 10um). An extremely small aperture at about 0.25 degrees imparts a great depth of field which is a major feature of the SEM as described above.

The beam of electrons is caused to scan over the surface of the specimen by two pairs of deflection plates which move the beam in a raster pattern similar in many respects to the raster pattern principle used in television. As the electron beam strikes the specimen, secondary electrons are emitted as are back scattered electrons, X-rays, light, and Auger electrons. The actual magnitude of secondary electron emission depends upon the angle between the beam and local surface as well as the chemical content of the surface. The secondary electrons are utilized to form the image.

The secondary electrons emitted are detected in an electron collection system which contains a scintillator and photo-multiplier and are amplified and displayed on a cathcde ray tube. The beams of the cathode ray tube and the SEM electron gun are synchronized for the scanning process. The ratio of the length of the scan line on the cathode ray tube on the length of a scan line on the specimen is the magnification of the image.

One of the most important features of the SEM image with respect to this study is the electrical distinction between light and dark areas on the image. Light areas indicate less conductive areas and vice versa for dark areas.

An attachment to the SEM utilized in these studies is a Princeton Gamma-Tech PGT 1000 X-ray Analyzer. The microscope and analyzer perform as an integral unit. Characteristic X-rays are emitted by elements in a sample when that sample is "fluoresced" by higher energy radiation (gamma rays, X-rays or charged nuclear particles). These X-rays are detected by a solid state Si(Li) semiconductor detector. The detector converts each X-ray photon into electron-hole The number is proportional to the energy of the pairs. X-ray photon. Electronic circuits convert these electronhole pairs into voltage pulses that are sorted according to energy by means of a pulse height multichannel analyzer. Clusters of the voltage pulses form gaussian-shaped peaks and these peaks are displayed by the multichannel analyzer. The position of the peaks on the horizontal scale corresponds to X-ray energy and consequently affords a direct means of identification of the element represented by the peak. The ar'a under the peak (number of X-ray events of a particular energy) is a function of the concentration of that element.

APPENDIX C

Chemical Polishing of Zinc for Etching Purposes [16]

An easy alternative to mechanical polishing and electropolishing of zinc and its alloys is the chemical polishing process. Chemical polishing is distinct from "electrolytic" polishing (electropolishing) since some metal surfaces can be polished by immersion in a suitable solution without the application of an external potential. The function of an ideal chemical polishing process is:

(a) smoothing of the surface irregularities;

And the second se

the state of the s

A REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF

(b) brightening without the appearance of etch pits. In general, the rates of dissolution are much higher in chemical polishing than for electropolishing, and rough surfaces can be smoothed rapidly.

The following solution was used for chemical polishing the zinc samples in this study:

> 200g chromic acid 15g sodium sulfate 50ml nitric acid

950ml water

The samples were immersed in the above solution for times varying from several minutes to thirty minutes. The longer the sample was immersed, the heavier the etch. An immersion of five minutes was found to be an optimum time for the particular zinc alloy used. Following immersion in the above solution, the samples were rinsed in a dilute chromic acid solution (half chromic acid, half distilled water) and then rinsed in distilled water. The samples subsequently were rinsed in alcohol in order to negate the chromic acid film remaining from the etching process above. All the rinsing described above is necessary because a dense layer is formed during the initial immersion which is soluble in water. The rate of dissolution during the chemical process is reported to be approximately 7 microns/minute.

The second second second

LIST OF REFERENCES

1. G. Butler, H. Ison, <u>Corrosion and its' Prevention in</u> <u>Waters</u>, 1966, Reinhold Publishing Corporation.

- R. C. Weast, "Surface Characteristics of Zinc in Aqueous Media," <u>Final Report ILZRO Project ZE-20</u>, International Lead Zinc Research Organization Inc., May 1967.
- B. H. Tytell, H. S. Preiser, "Cathodic Protection of an Active Ship Using Zinc Anodes," <u>ASNE Journal</u>, Nov. 1956, pp. 701-704.
- Humphrey Davy, "On the Corrosion of Copper Sheathing on Ships by Sea Water, and a Method of Preventing This Effect; and in Their Application to Ships of War and Other Ships," <u>Phil. Trans.</u> <u>Ray. Soc.</u> (London), 1824; Corrosion, 3, 295 (1947).
- 5. Sigmund Schuldines, <u>The Cathodic Protection of Ships</u> <u>Hulls in Sea Water - A Critical Review of the</u> <u>Problem</u>. Naval Research Laboratory Report #3616, pages 25-32, (1950) January.
- D. B. Anderson and R. B. Teel, "The Effect of Iron in Galvanic Zinc Anodes in Sea Water, "<u>Corrosion</u>, 12 (1956), 53-59.
- R. T. Southin, "Some Observations on the Microstructure of Zinc Alloy Anodes," <u>J. Inst. Metals</u> 93 (1964-65) 428.
- L. J. Waldron, M. H. Peterson, "Effect of Iron, Aluminum and Cadmium Additions On the Performance of Zinc Anodes in Sea Water," <u>Corrosion</u>, 16 (1960), 375-379.
- J. A. H. Carson, W. L. M. Phillips, J. R. Wellington, "A Laboratory Evaluation of Zinc Anodes in Sea Water," <u>Corrosion</u>, 16 (1960), 171-177.

 R. A. Bornholdt, J. Perkins, "SEM Examination of Corrosion Product Morphology for Anodically Polarized Zinc," <u>Metallography</u> 8, 39-47, (1975)

- 11. R. A. Bornholdt, J. Perkins, "Corrosion Product Morphology in Anodic Electrocrystallization of Zinc Oxide on Zinc," <u>Corrosion Science</u> (in press, 1975).
- 12. N. T. Monney, "Deep Ocean Corrosion Simulation Facilities vs. In-Situ Research," <u>Materials</u> <u>Protection and Performance</u>, Vol. 12, No. 1, Jan. 1973.
- 13. A. Brasunas, "A Critical Review of the Reproducibility and Interpretation of Corrosion Test Procedures," <u>Materials Protection</u>, December, 1967, 20-24.
- 14. Military Specification MIL-A-18001H, <u>Anodes, Corrosion</u> <u>Prevention, Zinc; Slab, Disc, and Rod Shaped</u>, 28 June 1968.
- 15. R. A. Bornholdt, <u>Corrosion Product Morphology on Zinc</u> <u>Anodes in Sea Water</u>, MSME Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, June 1974.
- W. J. McG. Tegart, <u>The Electrolytic and Chemical</u> <u>Polishing of Metals in Research and Industry</u>, 2nd .ition, p. 96-102, Pergaman Press, 1959.
- J. V. Petrocelli, <u>Electrochemistry of Dissolution</u> <u>Processes</u>, The Surface Chemistry of Metals and Semiconductors, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1960, pp. 326-356.
- B. E. Conway, <u>Theory and Principles of Electrode</u> <u>Processes</u>, 1965, The Ronald Press Co., pp. 187-200.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

· · · · ·

		<u>No.</u>	Copies
1.	Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria Virginia 22314		2
2.	Library, Code 0212 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940		2
3.	Department Chairman, Code 59 Department of Mechanical Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940		1
4.	Professor A. J. Perkins, Code 59Ps Department of Mechanical Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940		4
5.	LT James M. Todo, USN 5257 North Lake Road Virginia Beach, Virginia 23454		1